

The New Ensign

No. 181 September 2024

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Readers,



Who Can Make War With The Beast?

Many readers will be familiar with the various beasts mentioned in the book of Revelation, some having several heads and 10 horns when finally the 8th beast arises with 2 horns.

The different beasts represent various phases of control over the nations and Israelite empires symbolised as mountains in the Bible. The Bible, refers to this evil controlling power as mystery Babylon because its control is secret. Since the demise of the original Babylon, it is still very much with us, having moved its location and is now located in the City of London, Vatican City and Washington DC - the three evil frogs of Revelation!

The horns, except for the little horn, are the tribes of Israel. Ten horns (Levi, the civil servants and Judah the sceptre tribe amongst all the tribes). Horns represent government, hence the little horn because it was a very small tribe compared to true Israel, it arose in the midst of all the other tribes, till eventually it controlled all the tribes. People today remark how is it possible, this tribe

could have taken control of all the western nations?

The eighth beast (Baphomet) represented as having 2 horns of a lamb, but spake as a dragon, this of course is the Roman Catholic Church and Protestant Church, whose flag is a red cross on a white background with a lamb holding a brown cross (the C of E being the original Protestant church).

The reason for the biblical description of beasts, for the evil rulers is that, that is precisely what they are as defined by Yahweh because they are Non Adamic humanoids lacking the spirit of Yahweh in their soul.

We are instructed to come out of the Babylonian Beast System, lest Yahweh's judgment upon it, be on us also. We his people are the horns of the beast, by disengaging, its power will be severely diminished, for it is but a little horn!

Its heartening to report that many are doing just this throughout the Western nations, although a trickle at the moment, it will become a flood!

Our brothers who through their diligence in studying the law have found the key that opens the prison door we're in and are willing to help others. More about this in the next edition. Praise Yahweh the power of His Word which becomes a sword when an "s" is put in front of it!!

Editors (editor@newensign.com)

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine

Contents

	Page
Yahweh Is The Holy One of Israel (Part 9) Pastor - Eli James	3
OT "Word for Word" Exodus Part 33 Chap. 39 - Pastor Steven H. Anderson	10
Why I Left Scofieldism - (Part 2). E. Cox	12
Harold Stough Notes - Lindisfarne Church	16
Great Tartaria Its History and Destruction (Part 8) - James W Lee	18
Can Electricity be heard? - (Part 3) Arthur Firstenburg	21
King Arthur and Falsification of British History (Part 4)	23
Letters and Views	25
Scott Ritter: The Zionist Experiment Is Finished	32
Our American Identity - Dr Stanley Barnes	37

Yahweh Is the Holy One of Israel (Part 9) Or Apologia in Defence of the Sacred Names: Yahweh and Yahshua Or Yahweh Versus Chutzpah By Pastor Eli James



Continuing With The Dead Sea Scrolls and Apostle Zimmerman (Abbreviated AZ)

ALL 4 LETTERS OF JEUE WERE CONSIDERED "VOWELS", EVEN THE J [SORRY! NO J! – ELI] because the Jot/Jota/iota character could be used to have either the J sound, or the E or I sound, depending on the other sounds within the word. Since it was connected with 3 other vowels, it must have the J sound, that's the rule of language.

[If it's not in the Chicago manual of Style, I won't believe it! I think Zimmerman is an excellent comedian! The rabbis in the yeshivas are laughing their S's off!! – Eli]

The U was also a semi-vowel having both the short u vowel sound & consonant S sound.

[OMG, The U has the sound of an S? I can't take nonsense anymore! anymore! Sorry! No S!-Eli]

But all 4 letters were considered vowels since they had the connection of possible vowel sounds in certain cases. But it would be illogical to think that we could pronounce the holy name as 4 vowel sounds, even though it was written with 4 vowels. We must have consonant sounds in a word/name. It also would not make any

sense to pronounce **Jeue** with a consonant sound at the beginning followed by 3 vowels without having another consonant sound. In this particular structure of letters, we really need 2 consonant sounds. So we must pronounce the U/S with both the U and S sounds. Thus we have the name of **Jesue**, not pronounced "JE-SUE" but rather "JE's-u" or "G's-u".

Remember that the u is short, not long, and is the same short u sound in "us".

[There you have it, folks! The Jewish Jesus! Or is it the Jewish Jesuit? – Eli]



Paleo Hebrew

The problem with Y name followers is that they try to force every Assyrian so called "Hebrew" pronunciation upon every Paleo-Hebrew letter, which is impossible to accurately do, because Paleo-Hebrew and Aramaic are 2 distinct, mostly unrelated languages, as shown in the charts on this article. [The problem with Zimmerman is that he forces numerous letters to have sounds that are non-existent! – Eli]

As I have proven, it is more accurate to place ancient Greek pronunciations upon Paleo-Hebrew.

[Zimmerman, you have proven no such thing! Tsk, tsk. What you have proven is your sly ability to twist words and letters with serpentine logic into jewsuit lies. I can make up words too! Hehe. – Eli]

[COMMENT. AZ has proven no such thing! He has clearly invented this “rule of language”!! There is no such rule, except in AZ’s vibrant Jewish imagination! Groucho Marx is laughing in his grave!

Zimmerman’s jokes are **Sooooo Funny!** The reality is that there is no soft G sound in these fictional rules!!! To this day, Greek has no soft G sound. AZ’s comments are pure dissimulation, with no attempt to cite one of those “experts” he mocks!



A Celtic Church Cross

The Celtic church of Britain has always pronounced His Name as Yesu. Listen to Bach’s composition, “Jesu, Joy of Man’s Desiring” in English. Jesu is pronounced YAZ-Zoo. We are not trying to force Assyrian pronunciation onto Hebrew.

AZ has invented new letters for old, so that he can flummox unwary Judeos who will believe anything a joo says because he is one of the ‘hosen who has claimed to a Kwistian; and we must believe therefore! Believe the Jew!

This attempt to twist the Scriptures actually deserves an A for effort. It’s even funnier than the Abbot and Costello “Who’s on First” routine. I have never witnessed such incredible linguistic prestidigitation, forcing all languages to conform to his very creative, but fictional analysis. For this, AZ definitely deserves a Snakademy Award

for the best work of fiction by a joo! We are almost at the end. Just a few more jibes. Back to this Jewish scribe’s account: - Eli.]

Remembering S Sounds

We know that after the Jewish priests transitioned to the Aramaic language & to the Assyrian name of YHWH, that even though the original divine name was banned by Babylon & by the Jewish government rulers, the priests remembered the S sound in the middle of the original true divine name by making the Aramaic S letter with their hands when they performed priestly blessings.



[In honour of the Serpent, no doubt! Yahweh is **Not** an Assyrian name! That is pure hogwash, a black hole full of lies!! Yahweh is a Hebrew name, without question. No other people ever used that Name, because they rejected as belonging to the hated Israelites.


I have shown above that AZ has totally misidentified the relationship between Hebrew, Aramaic and Assyrian. AZ has given a completely false picture of those languages and cultures, including their chronology. Here is an assessment of the situation by an Assyrian living in America:

[The Ancient Assyrian language is related to Hebrew in a distant sense, much the same way as Russian and English are both Indo-European languages. However, they are not close enough to have a normal conversation.

In the Ancient Period in the Middle East, Akkadian often served as a lingua-franca, a language of communication that educated people

throughout the region would understand. Ancient Assyrian was very closely related to Akkadian, so it was likely that the educated among the Ancient Israelite and Ancient Judean population would have understood some Assyrian. (This would be in much the same way that Spanish speakers can make out Portuguese, but not completely understand it.)

as Aramaic or Hebrew has no support among the Assyrian-speaking world. Also, the original Assyrian language, Akkadian, was written in cuneiform. Hebrew was never written in Akkadian cuneiform. There IS evidence that the earliest **Hebrew was written in Egyptian cuneiform:**



Aramaic

However, around 2700 years ago, the Ancient Assyrian language died off and was replaced by Aramaic, which is much more similar to Hebrew than it is to Ancient Assyrian or Akkadian.

Accordingly, those Assyrians would have had a much easier time conversing with Ancient Israelites and Ancient Judeans than previously. It bears mentioning that most of the interactions between Assyrians and Israelites or Judeans occurred in this later period, because it would only be in the Neo-Assyrian Empire (where Aramaic was spoken) that the Assyrians would launch a successful conquest of the Levant region.

If the Bible is an accurate account, though, Assyria also had a diplomatic core with Hebrew-fluent members.

The Rabshaqe, or the Assyrian Chief of Princes, actually gives a particularly graphic speech in the Kingdom of Judah in fluent Hebrew to the inhabitants of Jerusalem, threatening them with the cruellest of punishments should they resist the Assyrian Imperial advance Source: Was the ancient Assyrian language related to Hebrew? Could an Israelite and an Assyrian converse without a translator? - Quora

[So, we can see from this statement that AZ's contention that Assyrian is similar or the same



Egyptian Cuneiform

It is not possible that anyone could be so badly wrong about these things by accident. AZ claims he has hit a home run, but it was only a foul tip into the Jewish catcher's mitt. – Eli]

They were not allowed to write & speak the divine name, but they used sign language to remember the S sound in the middle of the divine name. [Sign language? Really? Where is this in the bible? Please site a source other than yourself or other Jewish sources for this information.

I just typed in my browser the words "Can any of the letters of the Tetragrammaton be confused with the letter S"? Zero results. AZ is simply making this up! The Jews love that letter S because it resembles their father!! – Eli.]

There is also reason to believe that they might have even remembered the S sound both in the middle and at the end! The rule of the Greek language, (which actually is the Paleo-Hebrew language [This is a false equation. Greek

grammar is much more complex than Hebrew! There is no S in the Tetragrammaton. The second S in the word 'Jesus' had to be inserted at the end for grammatical reasons. The first S comes from the "sh" sound in Yahshua. – Eli]

[We have done so! AZ has not given us any "undeniable proof" yet, so why should we expect any now? I agree with him that Greek was derived from Hebrew, but there is no reverse influence. – Eli]

—because history shows that the Greeks adopted their language from the Hebrews) is that you add the S sound at the end of **Jesue** to show that it's a male masculine word. Then the u sound blends in with the final E to make one sound mixed with the final S sound, thus we have "Jesus", pronounced "G's-us". [As in the word, 'casuistry'! – Eli]

[Here is the history of the Greek language, by the Encyclopedia Britannica: {While it is possible that speakers of Hellenic or pre-Hellenic arrived earlier, there is no linguistic evidence of Hellenic prior to the first half of the 2nd millennium BC on what is now the Greek peninsula, where the language brought by the relevant people(s) developed into Greek.

Therefore, to say the ancient Paleo-Hebrew divine name of the creator & properly applying all of the rules of language [AZ is simply making up his own rules and claiming that "all rules of language" support his dubious thesis!!


Later, Greek-speaking people occupied most of the islands of the Aegean and, about 1000 BC, the west coast of Anatolia. With few exceptions that is still the area occupied by the Greek language today.

Considering the fact that every language has its own grammatical rules, this is a completely idiotic statement!! Need we go any further to prove that AZ is a dissimulation artist? – Eli], we should pronounce the ancient Hebrew divine name as "Jesus". [**Ridiculous!** - Eli]


In the second quarter of the 1st millennium BC, a vast "colonial" movement took place, resulting in establishments founded by various Greek cities all around the Mediterranean and the Black Sea, especially in southern Italy and Sicily. This extension of the linguistic area of Greek lasted only a few centuries; in the Roman period, Latin, more or less rapidly, took the place of Greek in most of these ancient colonies.

Greek is Hebrew. Jesus is Hebrew. [Sorry! No J! No S! - Eli] Also, English comes mostly from Greek which is Paleo-Hebrew.

After the conquest of Asia Minor, Syria, and Egypt by Alexander the Great, Greek was the standard language of the rulers in the new urban centres of these countries until the invasions of the Arabs and the Turks. "Colonial" Greek survived longest at Byzantium, as the official language of the Eastern Empire. }



The USA & British Commonwealth are the modern descendants of the "lost" 10 tribes of Israel & so English still maintains a lot of Hebrew sounds.



[The joo certainly knows how to ingratiate himself with those of us in Israel Identity! – Eli] Source. Even if you disagree, [I certainly do! – Eli] please at least take the time to finish reading this article because soon I'm going to give you solid, undeniable proof about the origins of the Y names of Yeshua, Yahweh, YHWH, Yahshua, etc.

[Yes! And the Holycaust killed six million and six million more! Beware of people who cannot spell. They like to cast spells. The names of the Hebrew prophets virtually all end in the suffix el or iah (yah), as in EzekiEl, JeremiYah, IsaiYah, ObadiYah, EliYah, etc.

None of these biblical names ends in Je or Jes or even with an S or anything like what AZ is claiming; and throughout history no one has ever pronounced them with the J sound.

For 4,000 years or more, the word, 'halleluyah' has always been pronounced with a YAH sound even if it is spelled with a J, as in 'hallelujah.'

If you look up the word 'John' in Strong's Concordance (G2491), you will see that it is spelled Ioannes (with an I) and is pronounced accordingly. 'James' comes from the Greek (G2385), 'Iakobus.' I, not J.

AZ is blowing smoke out of his kazoo! [Eli's slang for the double O is pronounced like a double T: 'butt'. Hehe]

Back to AZ's document. – [Eli]



Exodus 3:14 The Name At The Burning Bush
The other main scripture all of us refer to in the discussion of sacred names or concerning the Name of the Creator is Exodus 3:14 which in the KJV says **"And God said unto Moses, I Am That I Am: and he said, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel. I Am hath sent me unto you."**

According to Strong's Concordance (a dictionary of the bible), which is actually a concordance of the Babylonian Aramaic Language (when referring to the Old Testament), the words "I AM

That I AM" comes from H1961 H1961: "hAZah hAZah". [Sooo, that's where the expression "Huzzah, Huzzah" comes from! Great scholarship! - Eli] However in the true Hebrew scriptures of Exodus. 3:14, it actually said:

"EHJEH ASHER EHJEH". (Pronounced **I-JE Asher I-JE**)

[I'm sorry, but this is nonsense. Neither Hebrew or Greek ever had a J sound, only a Y sound. This is pure fiction coming from someone who has no background as a linguist but is posing as an expert. – Eli. [Proof #1, Proof #2 [Poof, poof! LOL! – Eli]

Contrary to what some people say, it was not "hAZah hAZah" and not "I Am, I Am". But regardless of what the above sources claim, it also was not "EHYEH ASHER EHYEH" because there was no Aramaic or Yiddish letter Y in Paleo-Hebrew.

[This statement is incredible, because every known source of scholarship says quite the opposite! Since Zimmerman repeats the same lie over and over again, ad nauseum, I have to refute it over and over again. That's my job, mahn!

The J sound was completely absent from all related languages until about 1500 AD, when it was brought into English from the French. So, here is this completely unknown person, who does identify himself as a jew, who does not love White people, contradicting all known scholarship with unfounded, undocumented, meshugana chutzpah yargon. – Eli]

The image on page 6 shows what it would look like in Paleo-Hebrew. Note: This is not an image of an actual scroll, but rather is just an example of how it would have been written.

The most important part of this sentence is "EHJEH". So I will explain each letter. In this image, you see 3 words. The 1st word & 3rd word is "EHJEH". Reading from right to left, in the 1st & last word, the last letter is looks like a capital letter A on its side, pointing left.

Most of the time, that is actually the letter A. But not always. Thousands of years ago, the sounds of both E and A were interchangeable. And there was more than one possible letter/character for


the e/E sounds. The next letter, working to the left, looks like a backward F with an extra line, or perhaps like the letter E backwards with an extra long handle. It had the possible sounds of E or EH.

The next letter looks like Z with a line through it. But it's the ancient Jota/Jot/Jud that had the sounds of J, I and E. All of these letters depend how what letter and sound is associated next to it. In this case, it has the J sound because of its association with the over vowels in this word.

And then the final letter is the backward F/E looking character again. Therefore we have "EHJEH". It's pronounced like the 2 letters "I, G", but the letter G is not an accurate way to write the word/sentence. But it has the sound of saying the 2 English letters "I" and "G".

God was saying "I am JE", [Hmm, Zimmerman's g-d is saying "I am a Jew." Now, I get it!! LOL! At this point I just have to laugh, because AZ is making statements that are not backed up by any scholarship, anywhere, except in his own synagogue of make-believe!!!! – Eli] which is the more accurate way to write this sentence.

But He did not use the word "am" in the middle. He did not say "I AM" because thousands of years ago, they did not use so many words in a sentence.



[How is "I AM" or "I AM THAT I AM" to be construed as a long sentence? Genesis 1:11 states, "And God said, Let the earth bring forth grass, the herb yielding seed, and the fruit tree yielding fruit after his kind, whose seed is in itself, upon the earth: and it was so."]

Not so many words in a sentence? It's amazing how AZ drops so many little tidbits of profoundly unfounded assertion in our laps! The Jew speaks! The goyim listen! – Eli] They spoke very short sentences, with few words. He said "I

JE", which means "I am JE". [AZ forgot to add the W! Hehe. – Eli] Now, placing this phrase into the entire 3 word sentence, we have:

"Ehjah Asher Ehjah" It's hard to translate into just 3 or 4 English words. It means "I am **Jeh** (the h is silent so it's pronounced JE, the same sound in Jesus) the beginning, currently self-existing, and eternally existing, **Jeh**".

[Again, there is no evidence that Hebrew, Greek or Aramaic ever had the J sound. This is not reasoning. This is bovine excrement. – Eli]



He wasn't saying that His Name was "EHJEH ASHER EHJEH", but rather He was speaking a sentence that basically says "I am JE the beginning, currently self-existing, and eternally existing, JE"




Or in other words, He was saying "I am JE, The Alpha & the Omega, the beginning and the end, the eternal".

He said that His Name is JE as in Jesus, JE with us, God with us. JE is short for Jesus. In fact, many early New Testaments even abbreviated Jesus as "JE".

[I guess the S is silent! {chuckle}. Jesus said, "I come in my Father's name." That name is Yahweh, not Jesus. If the Father's name were Jesus, Yahshua would have said, I come in My

own Name"! Not so? – But none of this makes any sense, in any language! – Eli.]

"EHJEH ASHER EHJEH" is only part of what He said in Exodus 3:14. After this, He said "Thus Shall You Say to the Children of Israel, 'Jesus Has Sent Me to You'"



[The New Testament says quite the opposite. – Eli] Therefore He first explained Who He is (basically 'Alpha & Omega', a very primitive way that man had already known the Creator) in connection with the JE abbreviation of His name, and then He gave the full name!

[“Apostle” Zimmerman is providing a very unique analysis of Ex. 3:14. Also, AZ’s insistence that Y should be pronounced like a G is completely foreign to all other scholarship! Here is a conventional analysis of the I AM THAT I AM of Ex. 3:14:

And God said unto Moses, I am that I am This signifies the real being of God, his self-existence, and that he is the Being of beings; as also it denotes his eternity and immutability, and his constancy and faithfulness in fulfilling his promises, for it includes all time, past, present, and to come; and the sense is, not only I am what I am at present, but I am what I have been, and

I am what I shall be, and shall be what I am. The Platonists and Pythagoreans seem to have borrowed their (to on) from hence, which expresses with them the eternal and invariable Being; and so the Septuagint version here is (own): it is said, that the temple of Minerva at Sais, a city of Egypt, had this inscription on it, ‘‘I am all that exists, is, and shall be.’’

And on the temple of Apollo at Delphos was written (ei), the contraction of (eimi), "I am". Our Lord seems to refer to this name, (John 8:58) and indeed is the person that now appeared; and the words may be rendered, "I shall be what I shall be," the incarnate God, God manifest in the flesh: thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, I AM hath sent me unto you; or as the Targum of Jonathan has it, “I am he that is, and that shall be”.

This is the name Ehjeh, or Jehovah, Moses is empowered to make use of, and to declare, as the name of the Great God by whom he was sent; and which might serve both to encourage him, and strengthen the faith of the Israelites, that they should be delivered by him. Source: Exodus 3:14 - Meaning and Commentary on Bible Verse (biblestudytools.com)

A further criticism of AZ’s analysis of ehjeh asher ehjeh is that, although the two ‘ehjeh’s are verbs, the Name is a complete sentence. Hence, I AM THAT I AM is actually a statement about Yahweh’s own Being.

This is common for Hebrew names. I seriously doubt that this is true of Assyrian or Greek. For example, the name Abraham means “father of many.” Ariel means “lion of El.” Elijah means “My God is Yah.” Elianah means “my God has answered.” Ezekiel means “God (Elohiym) strengthens.”



Considering All Things [All these falsehoods! – Eli]

- Considering EHJEH "I (am) JE" in Exodus 3:14 & that it meant that He is the Alpha & Omega; and JESUS said He is the A&O in the book of Revelation,
- in Exo. 3:14, He said both JE and JESUE/JESUS,

- and "JESUE/Jesus" in Exo.6:3 and most other places in the bible,
- and "Jesus" abbreviated as just "JE" in many early New Testaments,
- and the name of "Jesus" in both early & modern New Testaments, the conclusion must be that the creator's name in both the Old Testament & New Testament, in Paleo Hebrew, Greek & English is "Jesus".

["The conclusion must be" is not a very definitive expression of AZ's argument. That is because it is completely fabricated: styrofoam impersonating concrete! – Eli]

His name does not change in different languages, because He is the creator of all the Earth and all races.

[This is an obvious non-sequitur, as all names undergo changes in sounding from language to another! As we have already demonstrated with many proofs, English is about the **Only Language** that contains the word 'Jesus.'

"Apostle" Zimmerman is simply asserting: "My pet name for God is unchangeable." However,

AZ's entire thesis is based on arguing against the ways the pronunciation has changed from one language to another! Now, contradicting himself, he says the name never changes! – Eli]

It is wrong to try to change the pronunciation of the divine name. [I agree! So, why are you doing it?! – Eli] His name is Holy & should not be changed to a different pronunciation in any language. Jesus is his Hebrew name. [Nonsense! Nonsense! Nonsense! Jewish nonsense! – Eli]

We respect his Hebrew name & have no need to alter it.

Very Important Comment: This is nothing but pious, poisonous posturing, which the Jews are experts at! AZ is precisely the one who is altering it! The original KJV of 1611 **Did Not Contain** the letter J. The Greek / Latin / English name of the Messiah was spelled 'Iesus' therein! In 2012, I had the opportunity to visit pre-Revolutionary grave sites in Williamsburg VA. There I found numerous gravestones on which the name "James" was inscribed. No J!

To be continued OS23946

Old Testament

"Word for Word" Exodus Chap. 39 - Part 33

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 39

1. And of the blue, purple, and scarlet, they made cloths for service, to do service in the Holy Place, and they made the holy garments for Aharon; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

2. And made he the ephod of gold, blue, purple, scarlet and fine linen.

3. And beat they the gold into thin plates, and cut it into wires, to work it in the blue, in the purple, in the scarlet, and in the fine linen, with artistic work.

4. Made they the shoulder pieces for it, to join it together: by the two edges it was joined together.

5. And the woven waistband of his ephod, that was upon it, was of the same, according to the work of it; of gold, blue, purple, scarlet, and fine linen; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

6. And they wrought stones of shoham enclosed in settings of filigree, engraved, as signets are engraved, with the names of the sons of Yisrael.

7. And put he them upon the shoulders of the ephod, as stones for a record of the sons of Yisrael; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

8. And made he the breast-piece of artistic workmanship like the workmanship of the ephod; of gold, blue, purple, scarlet, and fine linen.



The High Priest's Breast Plate

9. It was foursquare; they made the breast-piece double, a span was the length of it, and a span the width of it, being doubled.

10. And set they in four rows of stones: the first row was an odem, a pit'dah, and a bareqeth: this was the first row.

11. And the second row, a nophech, a sapiyr, and a yahalom.

12. And the third row, a leshem, a sh'bo, and an ach'lamah.

13. And the fourth row, a tar'shiysh, a shoham, and a yash'phey: they were enclosed in a filigree setting of gold in their enclosings.

14. And the stones were according to the names of the sons of Yisrael, twelve, according to their names, like the engravings of a signet, every one with its name, according to the twelve tribes.

15. And made they upon the breast-piece chains at the ends, of twisted work of pure gold.

16. And made they two filigree settings of gold, and two rings of gold; and put the two rings in the two ends of the breast-piece.

17. And put they the two chains of twisted gold in the two rings on the ends of the breast-piece.

18. And the two ends of the two twisted chains they fastened in the two filigree settings, and put them upon the shoulder pieces of the ephod, in the front.

19. And made they two rings of gold, and put them on the two ends of the breast-piece upon the border of it, which was on the side of the ephod inward.

20. And made they two other gold rings, and put them on the two sides of the ephod underneath, toward the front of it, opposite the other coupling of it, from above the woven waistband of the ephod.



21. And they bound the breast-piece by its rings to the rings of the ephod with a lace of blue, that it might be above the woven waistband of the ephod, and so that the breast-piece might not be loosened from the ephod; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

22. And made he the robe of the ephod of woven work, all of blue.

23. And there was a hole in the midst of the robe, as the hole of a collar, with a band around the hole, so that it would not tear.

24. And on the hems of the robe made they pomegranates of blue, purple, scarlet, and fine linen.

25. And made they bells of pure gold, and put the bells between the pomegranates upon the hem of the robe, round about between the pomegranates;

26. A bell and a pomegranate, a bell and a pomegranate, round about the hem of the robe

to minister in; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

27. And made they coats of fine linen of woven work for Aharon, and for his sons,

28. and a turban of fine linen, and headband turbans of fine linen, and linen breeches of fine linen,

29. and a sash of fine linen, and blue, purple, and of scarlet, of needlework, as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh

30. And made they the plate of the Holy Crown of pure gold, and wrote upon it in a writing, like engravings of a signet, **HOLINESS TO YHWH.**

31. And they tied it to a lace of blue, to fasten it from above upon the turban; as commanded Yahweh to Mosheh.

32. Thus was all the work of the Tabernacle of the Tent of Meeting finished: and the children of Yisrael did all according to that which commanded Yahweh to Mosheh, so they did.

33. And brought they the Tabernacle to Mosheh, the Tent, and all of its articles, its hooks, its boards, its bars, its pillars, and its bases,

34. and the covering of ram's skins dyed red, and the covering of [chashiym] skins, and the veil of the covering,

35. and the Ark of the Testimony, and the poles of it, and the kaporeth,

36. The table, and all the articles of it, and the Bread of the Presence,

37. The pure menorah, with the lamps of it, with the lamps to be set in order, and all the articles of it, and the oil for the lamps,

38. the golden altar, and the oil of anointing, and the incense of spices, and the hanging for the door of the Tent,

39. the altar of bronze, and its grate of bronze, its poles, and all its articles, the laver and its base,

40. the hangings of the courtyard, its pillars, and their bases, and the hanging for the gate of the courtyard, its cords, and its tent pins, and all the articles of the service of the Tabernacle, for the Tent of Meeting,

41. the cloths of service to do service in the Holy Place, and the holy garments for Aharon the priest, and his sons' garments, to minister as priests.

42. According to all that Yahweh commanded Mosheh, so the children of Yisrael made all the work.


43. And looked Mosheh upon all the work, and, behold, they had done it as had commanded Yahweh, even so they had done it: and blessed Mosheh them.

Chapter 39 no Notes

To be continued

Why I Left Scofieldism (Part 2)

By William E. Cox



ON PAGE 9, FOOTNOTE I, SCOFIELD SAYS:

"The Adamic Covenant conditions the life of fallen man - conditions which must remain till, in the kingdom age, the creation also shall be

delivered from the bondage of corruption into the glorious liberty of the sons of God' (Rom.

8:21)." Here again the reader will find that the passage given does not even refer to people, but to the creation.

One might ask just what harm could come from fixing in people's minds that Eve and Adamic conditions represent the church. The subtle danger is that when Scofield's disciples think of the church they just automatically think of sinful, fallen, unfaithful children of Satan, and Adam and Eve! Then it is a simple step to teach, without scriptural proof, that the church is destined to end in failure (apostasy).

Coming to the Tower of Babel (p. 18) Scofield continues his slander-by-association. He says that the history of Babel strikingly parallels that of the professing church. He then refers his readers to his footnote on Isaiah 13:1.



There he says that Babylon (above) means confusion and is used symbolically to refer ahead to the church. Babylon, he says on page 725, refers to apostate Christianity, destroyed by the nations headed up under the Beast and false prophet (Rev. 17:16).

One could go on piling footnotes on top of each other, showing that Scofield teaches that the church will end in failure, and also showing the type of "reasoning" he uses in arriving at such a conclusion. Let us give one last statement to this effect.

"Each of the Dispensations may be regarded as a new test of the natural man, and each ends in judgment - marking his utter failure" (C. 1. Scofield, Rightly Dividing the Word of Truth, p. 13).

In this teaching, as in many others, Scofield unveils his weak Christology. For he admits elsewhere that this church, which he says will end in failure, is the Body and the Bride of Christ! Paul says that the church is destined to glorious victory:

"And hath put all things under his feet, and gave him to be the head over all things to the church, which is his body, the fullness of him that filleth all in all" (Eph. 1:22,23). Should one believe Scofield or the apostle Paul?

And what should one do with the statement of our Lord (Matt. 16:18) that not even the gates of

hell should prevail against his church?

II. Scofield Teaches That God Has Two Bodies - Israel and the Church

Let us first get before us the age-old Christian teaching on this subject: God always has had but one people. In the Old Testament this people (the type) was called Israel. In the New Testament the antitype was known as the church, or "the Israel of God." The elect number from Israel plus the elect number of Gentiles constitute one and the same olive tree (Rom. 11: 17,24).

Here, again, Scofield begs to differ from the New Testament writers, the church fathers, the reformers, and the outstanding commentators. He chooses rather to accept another of John Nelson Darby's "rediscovered truths" instead of the historic Christian teaching.



He (above) teaches that Israel is an earthly people while the church is a heavenly people; that God has two separate plans for these two distinct peoples; and that Israel and the church have separate destinies. Israel, he says, will spend eternity on earth while the church, made up of Jews and Gentiles, will spend eternity in heaven.

Facing one of the many quandaries to which this teaching naturally leads, Scofield says (p. 922, SRB.) that one must make a distinction between the wife of God and the bride of Christ (the church).

He says that a wife and a bride are two different things! Here again, Scofield betrays his weak Christology. Does God the Father have attributes which God the Son does not have? Or, to pose

the question another way, does God the Son have possessions to which God the Father may not lay claim? Jesus said, "I and the Father are one."

Scotfield flies in the face of many scriptures when he teaches that God has two separate bodies. Let a few such passages suffice.



And other sheep I have, which are not of this fold: them also I must bring, and they shall hear my voice; and there shall be one fold, and one shepherd (John 10:16, italics mine).

For if thou were cut out of the olive tree which is wild by nature, and were grafted contrary to nature into a good olive tree: how much more shall these, which be the natural branches, be grafted into their own olive tree (Rom. 11:24)?

In this verse Paul teaches two things pointing to one body, which is made up of Jews and Gentiles:

(1) Gentiles were grafted into the faithful remnant of national Israel (not into a separate olive tree), and (2) the believing part of Israel will be grafted into the same olive tree. In other words, Paul explicitly teaches (by way of analogy) that God is grafting Jew and Gentile into one and the same olive tree. If God had two bodies, Paul's logic would break down and he would need two separate olive trees.

Let us continue with other scriptures which show conclusively that God has, not two bodies, but one.

There is neither Jew nor Greek, there is neither bond nor free, there is neither male nor female: for ye are all one in Christ Jesus. And if ye be Christ's then are ye Abraham's seed, and heirs according to the promise (Gal. 3:28,29, italics mine.)

But now in Christ Jesus ye who sometimes were

far off are made nigh by the blood of Christ. For he is our peace, who hath made both one, and hath broken down the middle wall of partition between us;

Having abolished in his flesh the enmity, even the law of commandments contained in ordinances; for to make in himself of twain one new man, so making peace; and that he might reconcile both unto God in one body by the cross, having slain the enmity thereby (Eph. 2:13-16,

Whereby when ye read, ye may understand my knowledge in the mystery of Christ, Which in other ages was not made known unto the sons of men, as it is now revealed unto his holy apostles and prophets by the Spirit;

That the Gentiles should be fellow heirs, and of the same body, and partakers of his promise in Christ by the gospel (Eph. 3:4-6).



It would be difficult to find language any plainer than that used by Paul in the above-quoted scriptures. Paul states emphatically that God took two peoples and made them into one people. He says that God, in effect, took two men (Jew and Gentile) and made the two of them become one man.

Truly Scotfield contradicts the apostle Paul by teaching that God has two bodies.

III. Scotfield Teaches That God's Promises to National Israel Await Future Fulfilment.

What is the historic Christian teaching on this subject? All such promises have been either fulfilled or invalidated through unbelief. Those on which Scotfield rests most of his case have been literally fulfilled, and these fulfilments are

clearly recorded in the Bible. Scofield, on the other hand, teaches that God has future plans to regather national Israel to Palestine, rebuild the Temple, and reinstitute the Old Testament economy (including the blood sacrifices).

The reader might find it interesting to look at some of the typical scriptures on which Scofield builds this argument. On page 157, note 2, one reads: "The feast of Trumpets, vs. 23-25. This feast is a prophetic type and refers to the future regathering of long-dispersed Israel."

This footnote goes on to state that trumpets are always symbols of testimony and that they are connected with the regathering and repentance of Israel after the church, or pentecostal, period is ended.

Remember now, that this conclusion is based on Leviticus 23:23-25. Let us quote these verses word for word in order that one might see more clearly Scofield's handling of the Scriptures.

And the Lord spake unto Moses, saying. Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, in the first day of the month, shall ye have a sabbath, a memorial of blowing of trumpets, an holy convocation. Ye shall do no servile work therein: but ye shall offer an offering made by fire unto God.

You expected more? Honestly now, that is all the passage says! Check it in your own Bible. And on this passage, and others equally unrelated, Scofield bases a doctrine of the regathering of Israel to Palestine.



Realizing that the Bible records two regathering of Israel to Palestine in fulfillment of prophecies (see note on p. 25, SRB.), Scofield says that three such regatherings are predicted in Scripture. His only proofs for this alleged return are scriptures such as Leviticus 23:23-25, which we have quoted above. Actually, no third regathering to

the land is mentioned anywhere in the Bible. Scofield lists a number of scriptures on page 25, purporting to show by these that a third such regathering is promised.


However, each of these passages clearly refers either to the first or second regathering to the land (already fulfilled, as attested to in the Old Testament), or to the first advent of Christ.

It is not necessary here to refute all of Scofield's claims for national Israel. His argument actually rests on whether or not they have ever occupied all the land promised them through Abraham. Scofield argues thus:

(1) Israel has never received all the land promised in the Abrahamic Covenant (p. 250, SRB);

(2) therefore, she must some day return to the land;

(3) associated with the land are the Temple, blood sacrifices, etc.; therefore, since they will return to the land, it stands to reason that they will rebuild the Temple and all that goes with the land.



So, if Scofield is wrong concerning the land, his entire plan for national Israel goes begging. Let us examine the Bible itself to see whether or not God has kept his promise that Israel would inherit all the land of Palestine.

Behold, I have set the land before you: go in and possess the land which the Lord swore fathers, Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, to them and to their seed after them (Deut. 1:8, italics mine).

Please note which land it is into which God says Joshua will lead the Israelites. It is the same land promised to Abraham.

And he brought us out from thence, that he might bring us in, to give us the land which he sware unto our fathers (Deut. 6:23).

Moses stated explicitly that God's purpose in the Exodus from Egypt was to fulfill his promise to Abraham to give the land to Abraham's seed.

Be strong and of a good courage; for unto this people shalt thou divide the land, which I sware unto their fathers to give them (Joshua 1:6).

Here God but reaffirms to Joshua the promise given through Moses, i.e., that God was now about to fulfill his promise to Abraham with reference to the land. Did God keep this promise through Joshua? What saith the scripture?

So Joshua took the whole land, according to all that the Lord said unto Moses; and Joshua gave it for an inheritance unto Israel according to their divisions by their tribes. And the land rested from war (Joshua 11:23).

And the Lord gave unto Israel all the land which he sware to give unto their fathers; and they possessed it, and dwelt therein.

And the Lord gave them rest round about, according to all that he sware unto their fathers: and there stood not a man of all their enemies

before them; the Lord delivered all their enemies into their hand.

There failed not ought of any good thing which the Lord had spoken unto the house of Israel; all came to pass (Joshua 21:43-45).

Their children also multipliedst thou as the stars of heaven, and broughtest them into the land, concerning which thou hadst promised to their fathers, that they should go in to possess it (Nehemiah (9:23).

The above scriptures record the first regathering of Israel to the land. Scofield devotes not a single footnote to either of these verses! The prophets later predicted a second such return.

This second return literally came to pass under the leadership of Zerubbabel, and its fulfillment is recorded in such books of the Bible as Ezra and Nehemiah (whose books, incidentally, were written after those of all the prophets with the possible exception of Malachi). Following this second return and the literal rebuilding of Solomon's temple, in 516 B.C., there is not a single scripture reference, either in the Old Testament or the New, regarding a return to the land. What saith the scripture?

To be Continued OS23559



Harold Stough Notes St Mary's Church, Lindisfarne And Holy Island

Lindisfarne - The Holy Island

THE HOLY ISLAND OF LINDISFARNE LOCATED off the north east coast of Northumbria is closely tied to the history of two of Britain's saints, being St Aidan and St Cuthbert.

St Aidan hailed from Ireland but was sent from Iona at the request of King Oswald of Northumbria and established a monastery on the island in 635 AD. From this headquarters St Aidan set about evangelising the Saxon kingdom of Northumbria. With the patronage of Oswald, and later Oswin, Lindisfarne became a centre not



just for Christianity but wider scholarly learning. Which evolved into one of the largest medieval book and manuscript repositories where works were copied and disseminated throughout the country.

In the same year as the murder of King Oswin in 651 AD, St Aidan also passed. After St Aidan's death his remains were buried at the priory for around eleven years. In 663 AD they were exhumed to be placed into his shrine.



Yet when his remains were unearthed no sign of decay were to be found and taken as a sign of his sanctity. In this setting a young monk named Cuthbert served under St Aidan before his death and after would rise to be Prior.

St Cuthbert's life and ministry extended beyond Lindisfarne, influencing the development of Christianity in Northumbria and beyond. His legacy is commemorated through the ruins of Lindisfarne Priory and the nearby church of St Mary the Virgin, which features a remarkable sculpture depicting the monks of Lindisfarne bearing St Cuthbert's coffin away from the island after a Viking attack in 793 AD. Which is one of the most famous accounts of Viking slave raids recorded in the Saxon Chronicle.



Causeway To Lidisfarne and Holy Island

Both saints played crucial roles in establishing and nurturing Christianity on the island of Lindisfarne.

St Aidan's foundation of the monastery and his work as a bishop laid the groundwork for the spiritual and educational activities that would flourish under St Cuthbert's leadership. St Cuthbert's subsequent tenure as prior and his eventual canonization further cemented Lindisfarne's status as a significant religious site. The island's strategic location and the dedication of these saints helped transform Lindisfarne into a beacon of Christian faith and learning in the Northumbrian region.



St Mary's Church, Lindisfarne

The current church occupies the spot Saint Aidan built his 635 AD wooden church. The wall leading to the chancel is dated to the Saxon period being mid to late 600's or early 700's.

According to historical and linguistic evidence, the island was originally settled by Anglo-Saxon migrants from Lincolnshire, known as the Lindisfarans. This migration likely occurred in the 7th century, coinciding with the early Christian settlement.

The church underwent significant changes over the centuries, with the Normans building Lindisfarne Priory in the 1120's, and later, the parish church of St Mary's being rebuilt or expanded in the 12th to 13th centuries. The church's architecture reflects this complex history, with features such as round arches from the late 12th century and a south arcade from around 1300.

Despite the Viking raids and the eventual abandonment of the monastery in 875 AD,

Christianity persisted on the island. The parish church of St Mary’s has remained a centre of pilgrimage, with the current building dating back to between 1180 and 1300. The church’s Saxon roots and its enduring significance as a place of

Christian worship make it a unique and important historical site.

The End OS23560

Great Tartaria (Part 9) Its History and Recent Destruction of the Most Advanced Civilisation Ever By James W Lee

The Allegories of the Wizard of Oz



MOST ARE AWARE THE FIRST EVER COLOUR MOVIE MADE PUBLIC WAS THE WIZARD OF OZ (above) and was full of allegories.

The yellow brick road was paved in gold, as the US legal currency was then based on Gold. Such was the movie The Wizard of Oz, an allegory of the state of affairs we now live in today — an allegory of the unfolding New World Order that was instituted in America via the stock-market crash of 1929 and the bankruptcy of the United States in 1933.

Individual: a United States government Employee. (Title 5 USC §552(a)2). The Internal Revenue Code (IRC) and all state tax codes are in harmony with the above definition of “individual” by reference only.

A corporation-of-one is an artificial person constructed by law; not a living, breathing man or woman. An “individual” is a public corporate persona existing only in the public (government) domain having been created by law, not by God.

The Strawman represented the legal strawman, filled with hay and no standing. In the 1930’s the all-capital-letter-written-name strawman — the newly created artificial “person” that has no

brain and speaks and acts for its once-upon-a-time sovereign, you and me — was created while Americans were confused and distracted by the commotion caused by the introduction of the New World Order of communistic socialism, to figure out that they even had a strawman with which to contend.

The scarecrow identified this strawman persona for Dorothy thusly: “Some people without brains do an awful lot of talking. Of course, I’m not bright about doing things.”

The setting of this allegory is in Kansas — the “heartland” of America; the geographical centre of the U.S.A. In came the twister — the whirling confusion of the Great Depression, the stock-market crash, the U.S. Bankruptcy, and the theft of America’s gold — that whisked Dorothy and Toto up into the New Order of the World; an artificial new dimension “somewhere, over the rainbow,” above the solid ground of Kansas.



When they landed in Oz, Dorothy commented to her little dog Toto: “Toto? I have a feeling we’re not in Kansas anymore . . .” Exactly! After the bankruptcy of the United States, Kansas was

no longer “Kansas” anymore, it is now “KS” — a two-capital-letter federal postal designation that is part of the “federal zone,” designated by the **Zone Im Provement** (ZIP) Code established by the bankrupt United States in 1933 — and Dorothy and Toto were now “in this state.”



The terms: “in this state,” “this state,” and “state” are deceptively defined for tax jurisdiction purposes as the “District of Columbia,” a.k.a. the United States, Inc., or the corporate United States. The Tin-man, our Taxpayer - Identification-Number (TIN) man, is a hollow man of tin, a vessel, or vehicle; newly created code words for our strawman. Just as the strawman has no brain, the tin-man vessel/vehicle has no heart. Both are artificial persons. (person = persona = mask).

One of the definitions of “tin” found in Webster’s dictionary is “counterfeit.” The tin-man represents the mechanical and heartless aspect of commerce and commercial law.

Just like they say in the Mafia, as they throw you overboard, your feet in concrete overshoes, “Noing personal; [its] just business.”

The lion in the story represents the “at-one-time” fearless American people as having lost their courage.

And after a round with the IRS, in “defending” your T-I-N man, dummy corporation, vessel vehicle, individual employee, public corporation, all capital letters written name, artificial person, strawman, you’d lose your courage, too.

You perhaps haven’t known it, but the IRS has been dealing with you all along via your Tin-Man under the hidden laws of commerce. Just like the tin-man, “commerce” has no heart; it is heartless.

To find the Wizard, you have to “follow the yellow-brick road” (the gold-bar road.) Follow the trail of America’s stolen gold and you’ll find the thief who stole it.

As they all scampered toward the Emerald City — the city of green non-federal Federal Reserve Notes (the new fiat money - money by decree) — we hear the Munchkins singing the glories of the Wizard’s Creation:

“You’re out of the woods, You out of the dark, Your out of the night. Step into the sun, Step into the light, Keep straight ahead for the most glorious place on the face of the earth or the stars!”

This jingle abounds with Illuminati/Luciferian metaphors regarding darkness and light. The Wicked Witch of the West made her home in a round medieval Watchtower — ancient symbol of The Knights Templar of Freemasonry who are given to practicing witchcraft and are also credited to be the originators of modern banking, circa 1099 A.D.

Vice Admiralty courts are courts established in the Queen’s possessions beyond the seas, with jurisdiction over maritime causes and those relating to “prize.”



The United States is now a colony (a possession) of the English Crown, per a joint commercial venture agreement between the colonies (the United States) and the Crown, which brought the United States back under British ownership and rule, in 1933.

But the American people had a “standing in law” as sovereigns independent of any connection to the United States and the Crown.

This “standing in law” necessitated that the people be brought back under British rule, quietly and one at a time — but the Commercial Process of Redemption, through the UCC, will redeem us from this travesty.

All courts in America are Vice-Admiralty courts conducting the private foreign commerce of the Crown. But there is commercial remedy in [Redemption-in-Law](#).

The Flying Monkeys commanded by the Wicked Witch of the West were really the Tartarian Griffins, sent East to halt the potential spread of freedom to the individual and to continue in the Great Legal Deception of everyone being “out to sea” under admiralty law.



Those in power used very subtle imagery and prose to mock the Tartarians.

Dorothy’s tactic was to drug them into unconsciousness by covering the countryside with poppy flowers, poppies — the source of heroin, opium, and morphine — and then waltz in and snatch the slippers. In other words, the best way to loot the gold was to dull the senses of the American people with a contrived crisis (the Great Depression.)


And of course, now we have illicit street drugs, heroin, cocaine, etc., and legal drugs such as Ridlin®, etc. and television, bogus media dishing out control propaganda, etc. ...etc.

The poppy-drugs worked on Dorothy, the lion and Toto — the flesh-and-blood entities — but had no effect on the scarecrow or the tin-man — the artificial entities. The two cried out for help, and Glenda — the Good Witch of the North —

answered their cries with a blanket of snow that nullified the narcotic effect of the poppies on Dorothy, Toto, and the lion.



As they all scampered toward the Emerald City — the city of green non-federal Federal Reserve Notes (the new fiat money - money by decree) — we hear the Munchkins singing the glories of the Wizard’s Creation:



“You’re out of the woods, Your out of the dark, Your out of the night. Step into the sun, Step into the light, Keep straight ahead for the most glorious place on the face of the earth or the stars!”

Often Griffins were portrayed as feminine, as the Feminine Divine of Nurture/Nature, Love, Creativity and the “Attraction Principle” were all honoured and revered and celebrated.

To be Continued

The Invisible Rainbow

You Mean You Can Hear Electricity? (Part 3)

By
Arthur Firstenburg



Wright-Patterson Air Force Base

Then the Electromagnetic Warfare and Communication Laboratory at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base in Ohio published a report written by Alan Bredon of Space Labs, Inc., investigating both electro-phonetic hearing and microwave hearing for their potential use in space.

The goal was to develop “an efficient, dual-purpose transducer which can be worn with an absolute minimum of discomfort during long missions in the confines of pressure clothing and aerospace environments.”

Bredon found that electro-phonetic devices were unsuitable because the sound they produced was too faint to be useful in the noisy environment of aircraft or space vehicles. And microwave hearing was judged useless because it appeared to depend on short pulses of energy and did not produce continuous sound.



But Patrick Flanagan's Neurophone (left) which had been recently publicized in Life magazine,⁷ caught Bredon's interest. This device, which Flanagan claimed to have invented at the age of 15, was a radio wave device almost identical to the one Eichhorn had patented in 1927, and appeared to work by skin vibration.

It differed, however, in one crucial respect: Flanagan used a carrier frequency in the ultrasonic range, specified as being between 20,000 and 200,000 Hz. He had rediscovered the phenomenon that Stevens had briefly described back in 1937 and never followed up on.

As a further result of the publicity surrounding Flanagan's invention, Henry Puharich, a physician, and Joseph Lawrence, a dentist, under contract with the Air Force, investigated what they called “transdermal electro stimulation.”

They delivered electromagnetic energy at ultrasonic frequencies via electrodes placed next to the ear. The audio signal, added to the ultrasonic carrier, was somehow demodulated by the body and heard like any other sound.

Like Flanagan's device, it appeared at first glance to work by skin vibration. However, several astonishing results were reported.

First, most people's hearing range was significantly extended. Say the upper limit of a person's hearing was normally 13,000 or 14,000 cycles per second. By using this device, they typically heard sounds as high in pitch as 18,000 cycles per second.

Some even heard a true pitch as high as 25,000 cycles per second—5,000 cycles higher than most human beings are supposed to be able to hear.

Second, the use of an ultrasonic carrier wave eliminated distortion. When the audio signal was fed directly into the electrodes without the carrier wave, speech could not be understood and music was un-recognizable.

But when the speech or music was delivered only as a modulation to a high frequency carrier wave—in the same way that AM radio broadcasts deliver speech and music—the body, like a radio receiver, somehow decoded the signal and the person heard the speech or music

perfectly without any distortion. The optimal carrier frequency, delivering the purest sound, was found to be between 30,000 and 40,000 Hz.


Third, and most surprising, nine out of nine deaf people—even those with profound sensory neural deafness from birth—could hear sound in this way by trans=dermal stimulation.



But the electrodes had to be pressed more firmly on the skin, and the deaf subject had to move the electrode around beneath or in front of the ear until he or she located the exact spot that stimulated hearing—as though the signal had to be focused on a target inside the head.

The four subjects with residual hearing described the sensation as “sound,” not “vibration.” The two who were deaf from birth described it as something “new and intense.”

The three who had acquired total deafness described it as hearing as they remembered it. When insulated electrodes were used, people with normal hearing responded to power levels as low as 100 micro-watts (millionths of a watt).




When bare metal electrodes were pressed directly against the skin, more current was

required, but the deaf could hear as well or better, with this method, than hearing people.

Once the proper skin pressure and location were found, the threshold electromagnetic stimulus was between one and ten milliwatts (thousandths of a watt) for both hearing and deaf people, while only the slightest increase in power brought the sound, as described by one of the deaf subjects, “from a comfortable level to one of great force.”

Even more amazingly, ten out of ten profoundly deaf subjects, who had never heard speech before, were able to understand words, after very brief training, when delivered in this manner. And patients who had lesser sensorineural hearing loss, who could identify only 40 to 50 percent of words spoken through the air, scored 90 percent or better by trans dermal stimulation, without training.

For the first time in fifty years, there was evidence that an electrode carrying radio waves to the skin might be doing something more than just causing the skin to vibrate.



These researchers speculated, based on measurements of cochlear micro phonics (electrical signals generated by the inner ear), that trans dermal stimulation produced a sound by a combination of acoustic and electrical effects—by both vibrating the skin and directly stimulating the hair cells in the inner ear.

“However,” they wrote, “these two effects do not give a satisfactory explanation of word recognition response in those patients whose cochlea is non-functional.”

The results of animal experiments were just as astonishing. Two dogs were rendered deaf—one through injections of streptomycin, which

destroyed the cochlear hair cells, and one by surgical removal of the ear drums, middle ear bones, and cochlea.

Both dogs had previously been conditioned to respond to trans dermal stimulation by jumping over a divider in a box, and both had learned to respond correctly better than 90 percent of the time. Incredibly, both dogs continued to respond correctly 90 percent of the time to the high frequency stimulus when it was modulated with the audio signal, but only 1 percent of the time to the un-modulated high frequency signal alone.

The implications of this research are profound. Since people and animals without any cochlear function at all, or even without any cochlea, can apparently hear this type of stimulation, either the brain is being stimulated directly—which is unlikely since the source of the sound always appears to the person to be coming from the direction of the electrode producing it—or there is another part of the inner ear besides the cochlea that responds to ultrasound, or to electromagnetic waves at ultrasonic frequencies.

Since most hearing subjects were able to hear much higher frequencies than they could hear in

the normal way, this is the most likely explanation.

And we will see that there are good reasons to believe that most people who are bothered by electrical “tinnitus” are hearing electrically-delivered ultrasound.

Puharich and Lawrence patented their device, and the Army acquired two prototype units for testing aboard Chinook helicopters and airboats used in Vietnam.

The news editor for Electronic Design reported, after trying out one of the devices, that “the signals were almost, but not quite, like airborne sounds.”

In 1968, Garland Frederick Skinner repeated some of Puharich and Lawrence’s experiments at higher power, using a carrier frequency of 100 kHz, for his master’s thesis at the Naval Postgraduate School.

He did not test his “Trans-Derma-Phone” on any deaf people, but like Puharich and Lawrence, he concluded that “be it the ear, the nerves, or the brain, an AM detection mechanism exists.”

To be continued

King Arthur & Conspiracy Against True British History How Alan Wilson and Baram Blackett’s Endeavours to Uncover The True British History Have Been Thwarted By A USA Correspondent (Part 4)

4. The British Kings of the Dark Ages

THE FIRST THING THAT ALL BRITISH FOLK NEED TO UNDERSTAND IS THAT THERE WERE NO DARK AGES IN ANCIENT BRITAIN.

THE MAJOR BRITISH KINGS in their fortress Kingdom located in South East Wales continued from around 500 BC to AD 1300. Wales was and is a Kingdom and definitely not a ridiculous “principality” and the political and religious campaign directed at totally obliterating all traces of the ancient British Kings is a national disgrace.



The ancestors of the Mercian Vandals in central England, and the Angles of the north and east coasts, and the Saxons scattered along the South Coasts of England and inland to Middlesex, were

not in Britain in any great numbers before circa 560, and as they were largely illiterate for them there were Dark Ages before their arrival and during the early centuries. The British over in the West of Britain were an advanced literate culture and for them there were no Dark Ages.

What academics in London, Oxford, and Cambridge, and elsewhere cannot get into their thick heads is the provable fact that the British line of Kings persisted right through the greatly exaggerated alleged 'Roman Period' and that there never was any Roman Britain of the order that they imagine, and imagine is the correct word.



It begins with the conflict between King Caradoc I, (above) the son of Arch, and the Romans in the years AD 42-51.

A battle was fought between Romans and the British at a traceable site in South Wales, and both sides claimed a victory. If the Romans won how is it that they were unable to enter South Wales until AD 74, good question.

King Caradoc I went off north to Queen Aregwedd Ffoedawg Cartismandua to foreigners to try to get her to help him clear the Romans out of Britain, but she put him in chains and handed him over to the Romans.

This embarrassed the Romans who took Caradoc I and his family to Rome where they were housed in the Palace of the British. Christianity arrived in Wales in AD 37 “the last year of Tiberius” and so the first Christians arrived in Rome. Caradoc’s sister named Claudia married Agricola, and a daughter married Ruffus Pudens of Biblical record (below).



The first Bishop of Rome was Linus a son of King Caradoc I.

Back in Britain Ceri Longsword the nephew of Caradoc I became King and continued fighting the Romans. In AD 74 the Romans won a victory and entered South East Wales, and in AD 80 King Baram ejected all the Romans from Britain.

The Romans put it as - “Bonassus (Baram) usurped the empire in Britain.” How a rightful King is a usurper in his own lands is hard to understand. From then onwards until AD 125 there were no Romans in Britain apart from possible traders, and it was not until Hadrian, who had no heirs, made his celebrated diplomatic visit that there was any contact.

Hadrian built a string of forts across northern England and linked them with a ditch and palisade fence.

Some 70 years later the Emperor Septimus Severus, who also lived in Britain and whose British son Caracalla succeeded him, linked these forts with a stone wall. Read the histories as it is Severus’ Wall and not Hadrian’s Wall, and if the academics cannot get even that correct then what else have they muddled.

From the time of Hadrian's visit to Britain the History of Britain is totally and completely different from the version invented by Anglo-Saxon writers.

They forget that Brutus was the First Consul of the Roman Republic, and that the British Kings claimed a direct descent from that same Brutus.

The British Kings continued in Britain without interruption all through the grossly exaggerated era of the alleged "Roman Britain". These are fully listed later.

To be continued OS22000



Letters And Views



TO ALL THE KIDS WHO WERE BORN IN THE 1930's 40's, 50's, 60's, 70's & early 80's!!

Sir__First, we survived being born to mothers who smoked and/or drank while they carried us. They took aspirin, ate blue cheese dressing, tuna from a can, and didn't get tested for diabetes.

Then after that trauma, our baby cribs were covered with bright coloured lead-based paints.

We had no childproof lids on medicine bottles, doors or cabinets and when we rode our bikes, we had no helmets, not to mention, the risks we took hitchhiking.

As children, we would ride in cars with no seat belts or air bags. Riding in the back of a pick up on a warm day was always a special treat.

We drank water from the garden hose and **Not** from a bottle. We shared one soft drink with four friends, from one bottle and **No One** actually died from this.

We ate cupcakes, white bread and real butter and drank soda pop with sugar in it, but we weren't

overweight because...**We Were Always outside Playing!!** We would leave home in the morning and play all day, as long as we were back when the streetlights came on.

No one was able to reach us all day. And we were OK.



We would spend hours building our go-carts out of scraps and then ride down the hill, only to find out we forgot the brakes. After running into the bushes a few times, we learned to solve the problem.

We did not have Play stations, Nintendo's, X-boxes, no video games at all, no 99 channels on cable, no video tape movies, no surround sound, no cell phones, no personal computers, no Internet or Internet chat rooms...**We Had Friends** and we went outside and found them!

We fell out of trees, got cut, broke bones and teeth and there were no lawsuits from these accidents.

We ate worms and mud pies made from dirt, and the worms did not live in us forever.

We were given BB guns for our 10th birthdays, made up games with sticks and tennis balls and although we were told it would happen, we did not put out very many eyes.

We rode bikes or walked to a friend's house and knocked on the door or rang the bell, or just yelled for them!

Not everyone made the 1st team rugby or soccer. Those who didn't had to learn to deal with disappointment. Imagine that!!

The idea of a parent bailing us out if we broke the law was unheard of. They actually sided with the law!

This generation has produced some of the best risk!-takers, problem solvers and inventors ever! The past 50 years have been an explosion of innovation and new ideas.

We had freedom, failure, success and responsibility, and we learned **How to Deal with It All!**———**and You** are one of them! **Congratulations!**

You might want to share this with others who have had the luck to grow up as kids, before the lawyers and the government regulated our lives for our own good. and while you are at it, forward it to your kids so they will know how brave their parents were. **Yours truly, Jack Lewis, UK.**



The Two Horned Beast of (Revelation 13:11 KJV)



Baphomet

"And I beheld another beast coming up out of the earth; and he had two horns like a lamb, and spake as a dragon." (Revelation 13:11 KJV).

Sir__, In Revelation we are told this deceptive beast is the **False Prophet**, with two horns. The

root of 'Vatican' in Latin means 'prophet' so clearly the Roman Catholic Church is one of those two horns. But what about the other horn that has mystified people over the centuries?

Why, it can be none other than the apostate Protestant Church. Hence both of these deceptive horns finally work together to cause all the world to worship the first beast, and take his 666 'mark of the beast' for buying and selling prophesied in Revelation 13.

Here are a couple of good examples of how the massive power of these two Catholic and Protestant horns on the **False Prophet** are already powerfully deceiving the masses - over just one example of many - Trump's staged attempted assassination:

1) the Catholic Horn: Vatican Responds to Assassination Attempt on Donald Trump

"Why God Saved Trump - Stunning & Alarming Biblical Sign for America"

2) the Protestant Horn: Rev. Franklin Graham Leads Rng in Prayer during Full Speech Endorsing Donald Trump

Donnie Swaggart Addresses the President Trump Assassination Attempt

Do you understand? The great deception is spiritual, and is coming directly from these Christian **False Prophets**, and then fed into the mainstream media to deceive the global secular population.

Time to wake up, especially if you claim to be a Christian. Of course, this is thousands of times more subtle and deceptive than any of the other devils did to subvert the Roman Catholic and Protestant churches.



A Second Look at the Protocols


Sir__ In May of 2018, I made a presentation on The Protocols of the Elders of Zion. Although I stand behind what I said back then, its been five years and I've learned much more in my awakening journey and so would like to share my new thoughts on it with you.

I recently discovered the work of an American Professor named Thomas Dalton, and although I don't agree with him on everything, I do agree on the important stuff. He is fluent in German and so translated important works such as Hitler's Mein Kampf, excerpts from Joseph Goebbels' diary and the Nuremberg Trials transcripts.

Recently, he took the various translations of The Protocols of the Elders of Zion, there are at least four main versions out there, and edited them for flow and clarity. He then also gathered essays by authors speaking on The Protocols such as Wickham Steed, Henry Ford, Julius Evola, Hitler, Goebbels and Rosenberg, as well as modern day essays by Carlo Mattogno and Thomas Dalton himself.

I highly recommend everyone reading Dalton's book on the topic. **but** since many of you can't for various reasons, I wanted to highlight the main points for you because I think they are so important.

The Protocols of the Elders of Zion is basically a CliffNotes version for World Domination, put together haphazardly, but with key points that have come to fruition, making us note that if this book is "fake" the odds of real life events playing out parallel to the events in a fake book, would be like a trillion to one. Its just impossible. [Main Link:](#)



So it is mentioned that The Protocols came out at a time when the Jews were trying to shake things up in Russia. And that it was published to WARN the Russian people about the threat in their midst. Then, in 1917 the Jews **Were** able to overcome the Czar and his Russian government with their Bolshevik Revolution. This was a major victory for the Jews, and a

major defeat for the Russian people. Everything went downhill for them ever since.

The people trying to get the word out were "Paul Revere's" in their own right, but instead of shouting, "The British are coming! The British are coming!", they shouted, "The Jews are coming! The Jews are coming!"

But since the Jews owned almost all outlets of media, they were able to muffle most of these shouts. With the ones they weren't able to muffle, they instead used the **TACTIC OF RIDICULE**, by laughing at them, saying The Protocols was just a hoax, and that only silly people would give them a good scrutiny. **Yours truly, Kat the Fryan, USA.**



Letter to Sir Mark Rowley, Commissioner of the Metropolis New Scotland Yard, London



Sir ___, Herewith, a copy of a letter I wrote on 23/10/24. To the Met. Police Commissioner.

Mark, It is my belief that Governments and the police response is not only not going to work, but that it will serve only to alienate even more ordinary law abiding subjects of the Crown.

The best weapon a police officer has is his tongue. You ignore this completely when you boast of having six thousand trained officers ready to deal with any civil unrest. There are approximately one hundred and eighty thousand officers across the country and the last report I

saw claimed that there are fifty million subjects who are very deeply fed-up over what has been happening.

There are eight million people in London. Over half are recent immigrants and many are Islamic. If you put your six thousand on the streets to put down a demonstration, if even a small portion of the (say) three million population decide to go on the streets, your entire force will find themselves heavily outnumbered by some very angry people who feel the police have let them down badly.

You cannot deny that the Muslim-blocking of streets as they pray is a source of annoyance. Uncontrolled knife and gun crime very largely immigrant led and is seen by the world not to be dealt with by your Force



People have not forgotten the Islamic murder of Lee Rigby. Nor the many other Islamic violent crimes across London.

I respectfully suggest that rather than violent confrontation, Chief Officers agree to meet with the leaders of the protest groups, listen to their concerns and set about dealing with them. You might even find yourselves in agreement with their concerns.

I would remind you, you are answerable to the law, not Government. Neither Government, Parliament nor the Sovereign can order you to do anything. You answer to the law and only the law. The use of anti-terrorism laws is not appropriate and is not good law.

Anti-terrorism laws as such cannot be applied to the indigenous people. The appropriate charge for indigenous people or the holders of a British passport is Treason by making war on His Majesty's subjects within the realm and I doubt that you could produce enough evidence to prove

a charge of treason. So all you are left with is violent disorder and criminal damage and I suspect proving that against individuals will not be easy.

What has happened is that the murder of the three girls has brought out an upsurge of patriotism among the indigenous British people who feel and have felt for a long time with some justification that Government and the Police have failed them.

If you jail patriots and they are likely murdered by Islamic prisoners, that will only fuel the anti government/police feeling currently being shown.


If the police go to war against the general population, the police can only lose. And immigrant/Islamic crime will run wild. I saw a recent counter protest took place in London with wall to wall protesters, over a mile long.

The majority I suspect, were Muslim. What will you do when they arm themselves and start murdering people - doubtless starting with your officers? I would point out that it is not whether that will happen, but when.

Look at what Muslims have done the world over. Respectfully submitted, Yours truly, Albert Burgess, Oxfordshire, UK.



Show Me Where In The Bible It Says This Star Is Attributed To David ...



Still Searching?

You Won't Find It Attributed To David. You Will However Find It Attributed To Satan In Acts 7:43 and Amos 5:26

A Royal Retraction Required



Sir A copy of this letter below (24th February 2023) was sent to him, before the Coronation, but I don't think that it had much - if any - effect.

**His Grace the Duke of Norfolk,
The Earl Marshal
The House of Lords
LONDON
SW1A 0PW**

Dear Duke of Norfolk,

The Hardwick Alliance for Real Ecology (HARE) Common Law Constitution.Org. A Royal Retraction is now required for the Coronation Oath to be taken.

We, the undersigned, make this urgent and open approach to you in your formal position as the Earl Marshal responsible for the planning and coordination of the forthcoming Coronation of King Charles III.

We write not as Republicans but as loyal and well researched Constitutional Monarchists who fear very much the direction that our democracy is now being taken.

His Majesty is to be crowned in Westminster Abbey this May as our 'first among equals', confirmed with the onerous and overriding responsibility of protecting his fellow sovereigns from tyranny, oppression and injustice throughout the period of his reign.

To ratify this formally, the King will swear and then sign his Coronation Oath in Westminster Abbey before God and his fellow sovereigns.

This will confirm that he will rule according to the ancient 'laws and customs' that make up the Law of the Land (Legem Terrae – the Customary Common Law) which, for England and Wales, is our ancient Common Law Constitution that was confirmed in 1215 by the Great Charter (Magna Carta).

As constitutional researchers of many years standing, we are fully conversant with the effective and inalienable protection that is rendered by our tried and tested Common Law Constitution.

The Law of the Land takes absolute precedence over any statutory legislation that has been passed by Parliament, especially if that legislation is contrary to the fundamental principles and the effective protection that is offered by Common Law.

Unless the people of England and Wales wish to experience government overreach that could lay the foundations of outright tyranny, common sense dictates that Parliament must never be allowed to write itself into constitutional authority.

To understand the full ramifications of having a fully-fledged Common Law Constitution, we will take this opportunity to remind Your Grace of two of the essential responsibilities a Monarch has:



1. His Majesty must ensure that all trials involve a randomly selected Jury of the defendant's peers that judges all aspects of the case, independently of legislation and the

judiciary meaning that Annulment can result. It is in this way, that people define their own liberties and govern themselves at all times.

2. His Majesty must use his constitutional right to withhold Royal Assent where proposed legislation would be violating the liberties of the people or be infringing constitutional laws and customs.

These two fundamental duties of His Majesty the King should provide a double-lock safeguard against any future encroachment of tyranny.



However, it is now becoming clearer by the day that both of these safeguards have been completely overlooked by previous Monarchs, including, it has to be said, the late Queen Elizabeth II.

During the whole of Her Majesty's long reign, in complete contradiction to Article 39 of Magna Carta (which has not and can never be repealed by Parliament), unlawful trials were taking place without Juries.

Where trials did take place with Juries, the Jurors were not permitted complete independence as is required by our Common Law Constitution.

Never once did Her Majesty refuse to give Royal Assent despite very clear unconstitutional moves being made by Parliament to undermine the very sovereignty and fabric of the British nation.

As His Majesty's reign begins, it would appear that there are no plans to address this unconstitutional state of affairs and this is despite the invocation of Article 61 of the 1215 Great Charter by concerned peers back in 2001.

To this day there has never been any form of redress for that quite lawful invocation to challenge clear government overreach. Redress would include, but not be limited to, the expunging of all statutes that are out of alignment with our Common Law Constitution.

Indeed, to the contrary, King Charles has warmly endorsed, perhaps unwittingly, a global initiative by an organisation that is both unelected and entirely unbound by any oath of service to the people of this country.

For, on June 3rd 2020, the King, as the then Prince of Wales, helped to launch publicly the World Economic Forum's 'Great Reset'.

This is the brainchild of Klaus Schwab who set up this privately run global think-tank that recruits the world's wealthiest entrepreneurs and technocrats whilst grooming and placing his 'young global leaders' to influence the world's political channels.

Meeting annually in Davos and elsewhere, these unelected and unaccountable 'visionaries of the future' purport to direct the lives of all the peoples of the world.



Working alongside the privately controlled and usury-practising central banking system led by the Bank for International Settlements (BIS above), the World Economic Forum (WEF) is now overseeing extreme social engineering to hollow out sovereign nations from within in order to bring them to the point of complete

moral collapse and meek compliance, especially when it comes to sowing the seeds of deliberate confusion and doubt in young minds.



This all has the potential to take humanity towards a very dark place indeed, involving complete digital enslavement and the physical lockdown of entire communities and countries. At the same time, ‘bad science’, to quote the late and sorely-missed Professor David Bellamy (above), is being hyped up by multi-billionaire-funded universities to justify this ‘Great Reset’.

They are using bogus ‘climate change’ modelling to take us towards a Net Zero carbon-free economy where we will experience complete state control over our everyday lives involving among other things, their planned ‘15 minute cities’.

Any proper scientific debate has been deliberately shut down by the mainstream media and people are not being told that CO₂, which accounts for only 0.04% of the Earth’s atmosphere, is in fact the ‘Gas of Life’. Real science actually says that we need more CO₂ not less!

Our freedoms will be further put in jeopardy by the myriad of digital traps that are currently being laid: for example, the ‘internet of things’, the ‘internet of bodies’ and ‘transhumanism’ – that is the physical linking of the human body to the digital world.

It is proposed that we will have Digital IDs and Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) which will be combined with invasive and detailed Social Credit Schemes that use advanced Artificial Intelligence (AI).

Whether in our home, on the streets or in our workplace we will all be monitored on a daily basis by the state using SMART 5G/6G

technologies and advanced facial recognition software.

Our capacity to make independent decisions for ourselves, including the right to travel, will be diminished considerably, if not altogether.

Our ability to spend our state-provided digital money or tokens in an otherwise cashless society will be determined by our ‘carbon footprint’ and our loyalty, acceptance and ‘good behaviour’ towards the ‘state’ and what it now stands for. Everything that George Orwell warned us about is now starting to happen.

When it comes to the actual roll-out of this encroaching nightmare, the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) is already leading the way as it sets about to digitally enslave all of China with a comprehensive and all-pervading CBDC and Social Credit System – a blueprint that Klaus Schwab and his WEF team have actually been working on with the CCP for some years.

And please remember, Your Grace, that this is all being done on the premise of ‘saving the planet’ from a gas that actually gives life to all living creatures on Earth.

But apparently, it is not all bad news. The all-seeing and all-knowing state will allow us to invest in the digital Metaverse so that we can all enjoy and escape to a very different ‘reality’ from the one that the ‘globalists’ are now working to create for us.

His Majesty, to his enormous credit, has a high profile in calling for the tackling of pollution, increasing bio-diversity, supporting rural economies and protecting our vulnerable ecosystems. The ideas of the WEF are deliberately presented so as to appear to provide solutions to many of his Majesty’s legitimate environmental concerns.

However, the level of control proposed presents an enormous risk to our liberties, the main protection against which is to shore up our national sovereignty under our ancient Common Law Constitution. The country looks to His Majesty for this protection.

What is now emerging as a result of the British Establishment’s support for and endorsement of these external policies is a technocracy about which the British people have neither been consulted nor for which they have voted.

This is unlawful under our Constitution. If this state of affairs is permitted to continue there is a risk that our ancient Common Law Constitution and our great nation will be completely destroyed.



In order that the people can feel sure that they have His Majesty's protection, common sense decrees that there now must be a comprehensive and public Royal Retraction by His Majesty to end, formally, his commitment to the WEF and its planned Great Reset; this to include the rescinding of the 2006 honour endowed on Klaus Schwab by the late Queen.

It would seem, Your Grace, that only then would His Majesty be in a position to recite and sign his Coronation Oath to uphold our ancient laws and customs.

Lastly, as with our ancient Common Law Constitution that was drawn up by our forebears and later confirmed by the Great Charter of 1215, this Royal Retraction must contain a solemn commitment that the King and all future monarchs will continue to uphold good governance according to the same constitutional principles.

This must be a statement in the form of a Royal Constitutional Document signed personally by His Majesty and His Royal Highness the Prince of Wales, in front of the privy councillors and invited lay witnesses.

Only then can His Majesty take the Coronation Oath before God with a truly clear conscience.

Your Grace, this is an extremely serious situation that we all find ourselves in and a massive constitutional crisis will emerge if His Majesty takes the Coronation Oath without having first signed and sealed a Royal Retraction to negate his erroneous support for what is being planned by the World Economic Forum. **Yours Truly Justin Walker. Hardwick Alliance for Real Ecology (HARE) and William Keyte (Common Law Constitution)**

The End



Scott Ritter: The Zionist Experiment Is Finished By Chuck Baldwin



Scott Ritter

FROM A STRICTLY THEOLOGICAL PERSPECTIVE—a theological perspective that is founded upon Christ's New Covenant (**Not** the false doctrines of premillennial dispensationalism) —it is an absolute certainty that Zionism (Christian or Jewish) is a devilish counterfeit designed to first deceive and second to enslave the minds and hearts of the evangelical Christians of America, and then, with their fanatical support, capture the hearts and minds of the war makers in America's Congress as a means of exporting perpetual death and destruction worldwide.

Remember that Jesus emphatically proclaimed that Satan (the Devil) is the master murderer (and liar). (John 8:44) And war takes murder to its most prolific level.

Over the past 76 years, Zionism has accomplished all of its intended goals. It has turned evangelical pastors and Christians away from Christ's New Covenant to the point that most evangelicals know almost **Nothing** of Christ's New Covenant.

It has turned political conservatives into rabid—almost maniacal—warmongers on June 23. It has opened the floodgates of moral debauchery into America's entertainment industries and our public school systems.

It has opened a tidal wave of mass illegal criminal immigration into our country, allowing an unfettered alien army of tens of millions of military-age single men to enter, live, organize and proliferate into a very clear and present danger to our safety—and even to our very existence as a nation.



Again, from a New Covenant perspective, if God still has a plan for Christian America and has not determined to allow America to completely implode from within or explode in a global nuclear war—which the U.S. is agitating—



Heaven itself must deal with the moral and spiritual blight of Zionism, because Christian America is largely enslaved by it and totally inept to resist it's demonic machinations.

Contrary to the assertions of Scofield Futurists such as John Hagee, Robert Jeffress, Greg Laurie, John MacArthur, et al., modern Israel is **Not** a resurrected Biblical Israel or a fulfillment of prophetic Israel. The modern State of Israel has absolutely nothing to do with Bible prophecy or the Second Coming of Jesus Christ. **Nothing!**

The modern state of Zionist Israel is a devilish counterfeit—a **Fake**. And again, from a New Covenant perspective, Zionist Israel is the bane of global peace, American prosperity, Christian discernment and Biblical understanding.

This means either one of two things must happen in the near future:

- 1) Nothing changes, and America either collapses under the judgment of God from the rot and wickedness within it or disappears under the rubble of a global nuclear war, or
- 2) God intervenes and, in grace and mercy upon His New Covenant people, deals with Zionist Israel Himself. If it's the latter, it would mean the dissolving of the Zionist government.

In my opinion, former U.S. Marine Corps Intelligence Officer and U.N. Chief Weapons Inspector Scott Ritter is one of the most geopolitical astute men on the planet today.



Along with men such as Col. Douglas Macgregor (above), Jeffrey Sachs, Larry Johnson, Ron Paul, Judge Andrew Napolitano, Paul Craig Roberts, Clayton Morris, Lew Rockwell and Gerald Celente, Ritter is relentlessly trying to help the American people see through the lies of the mainstream media and Washington propagandists and see the reality of what is actually taking place in our world.

Mr. Ritter was recently interviewed by Danny Haiphong. I must share some of the significant statements Ritter made in this interview—statements that I believe are prophetically accurate from a New Covenant perspective.

Ritter: Israel is in a very difficult situation right now. And the Israelis know it. There's panic in Israel as we speak. In order for Israel the nation to exist, it needs to be literally a land of plenty, a land of comfort, a land of economic success. And to have that, Israel has to live in peace and

harmony with its neighbours and to be horizontally and vertically integrated with its neighbours from an economic standpoint. On October 6th, everybody was talking about normalization of relations.



Israel was negotiating normalization of relations with Saudi Arabia. Joe Biden had just gone to the G20 Summit in India, where he bragged about what he called the India-Middle East Economic Corridor, which would connect India with Dubai and Dubai with Saudi Arabia by rail through Jordan into Israel through Haifa into Greece, so that India and Europe are now connected by this Corridor.



And this is such a big deal that Benjamin Netanyahu (above) himself briefed this during the general assembly debate in September. Instead of putting up his cartoon figure of the Iranian bomb, he put up a map that showed Israel's role in this. And this is what Israel needed to survive as a nation state: peace and prosperity.

Israel will never again enjoy peace, ever. They're not going to defeat Hamas. Gaza is a permanent wound. It's not going to be resolved in Israel's favor. Israel is talking about expanding the conflict into Southern Lebanon against Hezbollah. Israel cannot win that conflict.

I think one of the reasons why Israel hasn't yet launched that invasion is because they were reminded of that fact by Hezbollah, who successfully took out several Iron Dome sites in the vicinity, letting the Israelis know that they can take out all of the Iron Dome sites, and Israel will have no ability to protect itself from tens of thousands of rockets that'll land on Israel fired from Hezbollah on a daily basis. And if you hit Israel with enough rockets over enough period of time, Israel doesn't exist.



The Litani River

And the other thing is that Hezbollah isn't going to fight a fight that allows Israel to put 50,000 troops in Southern Lebanon to push Hezbollah back to the Litani River. Hezbollah has gone underground just like Hamas did in Gaza. And while Israel is digging and fighting Hezbollah there, Hezbollah will appear in the rear of Israel and take Galilee.

Hezbollah will ensure that the next fight between Israel and Hezbollah isn't going to be fought amongst Southern Lebanese villages but amongst the villages and towns of Northern Israel. And Hezbollah will win this fight. Israel now knows this.



The Houthis (above) have shown that Israel's sea lines of communications are vulnerable and have been successfully interdicted. And Israel has suffered billions of dollars of economic loss as

a result. And then these continued attacks from Gaza, from Yemen, from Hezbollah, from Iraq now; the pro-Iranian Shia militias are now firing drones and cruise missiles that are striking Haifa, the city of Haifa (below).



The bottom line is, Israel will never know peace as long as it continues this current stance. The India-Middle East Economic Corridor is finished. It is not going to be revived.

The concept of normalization of relations between Israel and its neighbours is ridiculous. Israel has lost the support it enjoyed amongst other nations around the world. In fact, the only nation that unabashedly supports Israel is the United States.

Everybody else is turning their back on Israel. Israel has lost the world. Which means that if this is not rectified, and it won't be rectified, that millions of Israelis are going to start asking themselves the question, "Can we continue to live in Israel?"

Is it the quality of life that we were expecting? Is this a healthy enough economy? Do I want to subject my family to the economic pressures and the psychological pressures of living in a besieged Israeli State?"

And the answer is "no." Millions of Israelis have dual citizenship. They hold second passports. And we've already seen hundreds of thousands of them flee Israel, and if this situation deteriorates, you'll see millions more. And as Israel depopulates, it becomes less and less viable as a nation state, especially in terms of the singular state.

Israel is in big trouble. The only thing that's holding Israel up right now is the support of the United States. The United States just passed a resolution now that's been voted in favor of by

the Security Council. If Israel disregards this, they're going to lose the United States. The United States will have no choice but to move forward and impose some sort of restrictions on Israel.

And we can make the following observation. Throughout the modern history of Israel, especially since the Six Day War in 1967, no Israeli prime minister has been able to remain in power without the support of the United States. And no American president has been able to be elected without the support of the State of Israel.



We have a situation now where Joe Biden is probably going to be compelled to decisively act against the State of Israel. And this is going to create tremendous political problems for him here in the United States.

And we have a problem for Benjamin Netanyahu, that he is going to have to act in a manner which alienates him from the United States at a time when his government is in collapse. And there may be the necessity for reelection. And this is going to damage him politically. So, this is a big deal, this resolution. It puts both the United States and Israel, both Biden and Bibi, in a lot of hot water.

Which only then comes back to the point I was making. None of this promotes stability and peace. All of this promotes continued chaos and anarchy.

And this will be disruptive to the region, disruptive to Israel and probably prompting even more Israelis to flee. I don't see Israel lasting the decade. I don't see Israel as it currently exists surviving another 10 years. It can't. Its economy will collapse. The political isolation will be real.

As you move forward with a two-state solution, that only works if both states are viable. But as Israel depopulates, remember, if Israel is compelled to move towards a two-state solution, there's going to be big problems.

There's going to be a lot of Israelis that say, "We don't want to live in a two-state solution." They'll leave.



The other thing is, you have a whole bunch of West Bank settlers in there who have stolen land that they're going to have to be evicted. That is another guaranteed outcome from this.

The settlers cannot be allowed to remain in any construct that is Palestinian land. If the Israelis move against those West Bank settlers,) there's going to be a crisis of confidence inside Israel. Again, those settlers aren't gonna say, "Uh okay. We're just gonna stay in Israel."

These are Brooklyn Jews who fled the United States knowing they were going to steal land and stay there. These are people who don't believe in hard work; they don't believe in earning anything. They're thieves; they're thugs; they're murderers; they're bandits.

And when they lose their settlements, they're going to go back to where they came from: the United States or Australia or Canada or Europe. They're not staying.

Israel is going to depopulate and lose its most fervent Zionistic elements, which means that the Israelis that stay behind are going to be those Israelis who are conditioned to the understanding that Israel has to live peacefully with their Palestinian neighbors, which means that the

Zionist entity, this Zionist experiment, is finished, and that whatever emerges from this isn't going to be called the State of Israel. It's going to be called the State of Palestine.

And hopefully it will incorporate a significant Jewish population. Of course, a significant Muslim population, a significant Christian population. The current population of Palestine is going to have to come together and learn to live together.

I hope you didn't read over Scott's words too quickly. If you did, go back and read them again. I believe what Ritter said is **Truth**.

And although Ritter may not understand the Scriptural significance of what he said, his words are lining up with genuine New Covenant teaching. Sometimes the unchurched have a better instinctive grasp of spiritual truths than church-going people who call themselves Christians—especially if they are going to Christian Zionist churches.



Of course, if Donald Trump regains the White House, he is an even bigger Zionist than Biden and would do his best to save the Zionist regime—even at the cost of the welfare of the United States. But if the collapse of Zionist Israel (as described by Ritter above) is the inevitable work of Almighty God for the sake of Christ and His New Covenant, there will be nothing Trump can do to stop it.

In fact, God may choose to deal with ultra-Zionist Donald Trump at the same time. We'll see, won't we?

All I am sure of is that for the message of Christ's New Covenant to have a rebirth of pre-eminence in the pulpits of America, Heaven must deal with the hellish Zionist State of Israel. If Scott Ritter is accurate in his political analysis—and I am right in my spiritual analysis

of Israel's genocidal war against Gaza—Heaven might be already working on the matter.

PS. This is the **Final Call** to vote for us in the Best Of poll. If you have not already voted or didn't see my column last week, we need your help in this poll. This is the **Final and Deciding** vote. Please vote for Chuck Baldwin as Best

Pastor here. Please vote for Liberty Fellowship as Best Church here. And please vote for Dr. Annie Bukacek as Best Family Physician here. Thank you very much! Chuck Baldwin.

The End OS22000



Our American Identity (Part 2) **By Dr. J. Stanley Barnes** **(Comments By Pastor Eli James)**



Writing ca. 95 A.D., Josephus updates the known identity of the "lost sheep" of the House of Israel in these words: "There are but two tribes in Asia and Europe subject to the Romans, while the ten tribes are beyond Euphrates till now and are an immense multitude." (Antiquities, Book XI, Ch. V., 2)

That is why Jesus could be confident as He instructed the disciples, "but go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel. And as ye go, preach saying, The kingdom (government) of heaven (God) is at hand" (Matthew 10:6, 7).

The disciples were specifically charged to proclaim that concise message: the coming of the government of God upon the earth. In order for the disciples to speak to the "lost sheep of the house of Israel" they would necessarily travel far beyond Palestine to wherever the "lost sheep" had migrated across seven centuries. And when the disciples finally reached those lost Israelites, they obviously would need to recognize them, despite all the changes the years had wrought.

This would require the leading of the Spirit of God, and that resource was provided before the disciples left Jerusalem after our Lord's ascension.

The call of Jesus to the disciples was in these familiar words, "Follow me, and I will make you fishers of men" (Matthew 4:19).

It is almost universally assumed that Jesus was speaking in very general terms and had no particular group or nation of men in mind; but Jesus, unlike most of us, knew the prophecy found in Jeremiah, where God, speaking of the "children of Israel" said, "Behold, I will send for many fishers, saith the Lord, and they shall fish them..." (Jeremiah 16:16).

It is the plan of the Almighty to reach all nations of men through a particular ethnic group, for

Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

"...the Lord thy God has chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth know therefore that the Lord thy God, He is God, the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and keep his commandments to a thousand generations." (Deuteronomy 7:6, 9).



If we arbitrarily estimate twenty five years for a generation, this promise of God guarantees God will remember His people for twenty-five thousand years, which leaves us at least twenty thousand more years to go before we may say that God will forget any of the people of all twelve tribes of Israel.

This means that not only will God remember the tribe of Judah, but that God will just as surely remember those of us who are descended from any of the other tribes of Israel. We will see that this includes all those of Celtic, Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Scandinavian, and related stock. It also includes certain population groups now found in Italy, Spain, and the Slavic countries of Eastern Europe. Evidence for this revelation will be found in a later section of this writing.

The 48th and 49th chapters of the book of Genesis (beginnings) set forth the destiny of all the tribes of Israel from the time of the death of Jacob Israel till the coming of God's kingdom on earth.

The course of human history has evolved in accordance with the inspired prophecies of the dying patriarch Jacob Israel. All of us who are descended from white European roots are included there, though few of us have been aware of it. Powerful vested interests have suppressed the truth because they have wanted salvation to

be exclusively either through their institutions or for their race.

Jacob Israel had twelve sons, one of whom was Joseph. He, in turn, had two sons, Ephraim and Manasseh. Jacob Israel adopted those two grandsons to inherit on the same basis as each of the other eleven sons, so that in a sense, there were then to be not twelve, but thirteen tribes.


Manasseh would become, in effect, a "thirteenth" tribe, and the number thirteen would one day be peculiarly theirs, dating from the time of their political independence, and would be found on their national seal and the basic unit their currency.

But the other son of Joseph, Ephraim, was to be given even greater prominence, would one day be the centre of a commonwealth of nations, and would provide the one language which would be the tongue of commerce and diplomacy for all nations in the last days.

Speaking of Ephraim and Manasseh, Jacob Israel said, "...let my name be named on them" (Genesis 48:16), thus indicating that as the dual bearers of his name, the nations descended from them would hold the birthright, which was title to material and financial blessing. First Chronicles 5:2 confirms "—the birthright was Joseph's" (through Ephraim and Manasseh).

This destiny to become wealthy through divine approval is stated in Deuteronomy 33:13-16 in terms of "land" and "precious fruits" and wealth, to one day be derived from "the ancient mountains" and the "fullness" of the earth, such as mineral wealth and world leadership in the production of food such as wheat and corn.

To be continued OS23544



IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Paul English
PAULENGLISHLIVE.COM
RESERVE NOTE
STATES OF AMERICA
F 23245501
WASHINGTON, D.C.
6

in US eastern · gab.com/paulenglish · t.me/paulenglish · ru

League of Patriots Sentinel

A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



**In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte**



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe
By
Pastor Eli James**

[CLICK HERE](#)



www.theflameuk.com

Contact


Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail [HERE](#)

This website is dedicated to those who have an open mind and question the official Government narratives about COVID19 and the lockdown measures.

<https://judgeforyourself.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

**Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew**