

The Kissinger Betrayal Plan for Rhodesia



By
Eric. D. Butler

The Kissinger Betrayal Plan for Rhodesia

By

Eric. D. Butler

(The New Times November, 1976)

C. H. DOUGLAS OBSERVED THAT INTERNATIONAL FINANCE HAS AT ITS SERVICE THE BEST BRAINS WHICH MONEY CAN BUY. John Maynard Keynes, the economist who so skilfully perverted the challenge of Social Credit with his deficit spending and “controlled inflation” programme, was not lacking in brains.

While Dr. Henry Kissinger may not be quite the genius suggested by the public relations men who laud him, the Jewish refugee from Germany was seen by the Rockefellers and associates as a man with the capacity to serve them well. Dr. Kissinger’s betrayal plan for Rhodesia, the last of the betrayals of the West {South Africa followed in 1994}, has the warm approval of International Finance, which has consistently worked to create the much-published “One World.”

The question of whether Dr. Kissinger is, or was, a KGB agent is of academic interest only. Kissinger has, in a number of statements, made it clear that he believes that the One World can only come through international control of the raw materials of the world. International control must be extended also to food supplies.

Such international control means increasing links with International Communism. A former American naval director Admiral Umwelt, reports that he was present at a briefing meeting at which Dr. Kissinger said: “The day of the United States is past and today is the day of the Soviet Union. My job as Secretary of State is to negotiate the most acceptable second-best position available.”

In more robust days an American Secretary of State who expressed views like that would be, at the very least, hounded from office as a traitor. But after a long series of betrayals including the Helsinki Agreement which

formally declared the Christian and civilized Captive Nations of Eastern Europe permanently under Soviet control, Dr. Kissinger will probably retire to obtain an estimated \$1 million for his memoirs and to continue his treacherous activities as an academic. A new political puppet, Mr. Jimmy Carter, is moved to the front of the world stage, advised by men working for the same masters for whom Dr. Kissinger rendered such noble service.

The superficial observers of the constant betrayal of the West muddy the situation by suggesting that the Kissingers and their backers are at worst confused and do not understand what they are doing. The question is asked, "But surely, the Rockefellers, the Rothschilds and their international financial groups will also lose if the whole of Southern Africa with its vast untapped minerals resources, is lost to International Communism?"

The masters of International Finance have never believed that they lose by aiding and abetting Communism. They financed those two brilliant revolutionaries, Lenin and Trotsky, neither of them in the dull class, to seize control of Russia. They have financed the Soviet Union ever since. The biggest industrial complex in the whole world today, the Kalm River heavy truck enterprise is being built by Western industrial organizations and financed by the Rockefellers and their associates.

Mr. David Rockefeller has not established his Chase National Bank in the Soviet Union and China for nothing. If Mr. David Rockefeller can express the view that Communist China is engaged in the most "successful social experiment" in the history of mankind, then why should a man who thinks like that be concerned about the fate of the Europeans, or the Africans, in Rhodesia under a Communist take-over?

The Long View

The international bankers believe that, in the long run, they can handle developments in Southern Africa as they have controlled them elsewhere. The retreat by the Vorster Government became obvious after the 1973 visit of Mr. David Rockefeller to South Africa. The threat of oil sanctions

against the whole of Southern Africa, the sudden depression of the price of gold by the International Monetary Fund, and other financial pressures were all used to force Prime Minister Vorster and, through him, Prime Minister Ian Smith, to accept the Kissinger ultimatum for Rhodesia. Dr. Kissinger also threatened the loss of the U.S. vote at the U.N. But it must never be forgotten that not only the loss of South African support forced Rhodesians to start trying to negotiate the best surrender terms possible.

A major factor was the cowardly action of Western Governments, including “anti-Communist” Governments in Canberra and Wellington, in subsidizing the Marxist Governments of Mozambique to close the border with Rhodesia, and to indirectly encourage increased terrorist attacks upon the Rhodesians. Words are inadequate to describe one’s feelings concerning the revolting behaviour of a “British” Socialist Government permitting a criminal terrorist, Robert Mugabe, to participate in a conference allegedly concerned with constitutional government in Rhodesia.



And why did Dr. Kissinger (above) feel that it was necessary for the Communist-backed Governments of Angola and Mozambique to be consulted about the best type of Government for Rhodesia? Can it be that Dr. Kissinger has sufficient influence with the Soviet to have it stop supporting its agents in Southern Africa? There is no evidence whatever

that the Soviet is going to agree to any settlement in Rhodesia which does not completely eliminate all possibilities of any type of responsible Government.

Just as Dr. Kissinger made it clear that he believed that the ultimate victory of Communism in Vietnam was “inevitable” he has adopted the same attitude towards Rhodesia and South Africa. As his policy has the support of International Financiers, it is clear that they are taking the long view of the situation and are satisfied that irrespective of what happens, they will be playing the decisive role in the exploitation of Southern Africa’s vast mineral reserves.

Can Rhodesia yet Survive?

But it is one thing to plan for the creation of the “One World”, it is another to make the plan operate. Rhodesia could still prove a major stumbling block to the grand design.

I have never been numbered amongst those who have seen Prime Minister Ian Smith as a conscious traitor. I have studied him closely over many years, and while I am well aware of his limitations, a captive of his own liberalism and financial orthodoxy, he has certain attributes which make him a hard man to neatly categorize.

Harold Wilson never really understood the man he was dealing with. I do not think that Ian Smith had much basic understanding of what he was taking on when he and his Cabinet declared complete independence from Great Britain on November 11, 1965. But Rhodesia has survived for eleven years primarily because of the resourcefulness of a highly intelligent European population, the flexibility which is possible only with a small nation, and the type of leadership which Ian Smith provided.

Ian Smith’s surrender address to Rhodesia, and the world, on September 24, is not the type of address I would have given. But there was a typical doggedness about it, which in essence said, “I have been forced to go to Geneva by Dr. Kissinger, but having been forced to go, I am determined to insist that the Kissinger plan is adhered to.”

Whatever one may feel about Mr. Ian Smith, it cannot be said his Minister for External Affairs Mr. P.K. Van Der Byl, the man who took over from Mr. Smith when he left Geneva to go home, does not have some understanding of the realities of the international power game. The African, “Nationalist leaders” have behaved at Geneva exactly as Mr. Smith and his colleagues must have anticipated. They are all impatient for power – now.

So long as the Rhodesians insist that the Kissinger agreement must be adhered to, it is Dr. Kissinger and his backers who are in a situation from which it is difficult for them to extricate themselves. The recent second major counter-strike by the Rhodesians into Mozambique has demonstrated that the Rhodesians still have plenty of will to fight back.

The Cubans in Angola are finding it difficult to subdue the Africans as witnessed by recent developments. Prime Minister Vorster has agreed that Prime Minister Ian Smith is right in insisting that the Kissinger plan must be adopted at Geneva. Mr. Vorster can hardly feel too comfortable with an increasingly restive electorate, which realizes that a collapse in Rhodesia opens the road to a direct assault on South Africa.

The Southern African situation is one in which every ounce of moral support should be given to the South Africans and Rhodesians to hold on. And at the same time every pressure should be applied to Western politicians to press for an easing of the pressure on Rhodesia and South Africa. It would be one of the truly ironic events in history if Dr. Kissinger, having carried all before him in serving the “One World” concept over so many years, was finally thwarted by little Rhodesia at the very moment it appeared to be completely defeated.

My Comments

Of course all is history now, Rhodesia became Zimbabwe and the criminal Robert Mugabe is still ruling and ruining a once great country. South Africa followed in 1994 and a once great country is falling apart. The Kissinger plan is bearing fruit and he is still alive and well and planning the next attack to bring all under the “One World”. Now the Western

Governments who applied sanctions against white Rhodesia and South Africa, instead of giving support to their own kith and kin, they made the decision to share in the demise of Southern Africa, are themselves now under attack by the same international forces. Now is the question will Europe go the same way as Southern Africa, destroyed, ruled by African despots, criminal terrorists, or will Europe fight back to live another day and become even greater? Only time will tell and this luxury Europe does not have.

What we have learned in this article written so long ago that the onslaught against the Aryan Race is nothing new, let us learn by educating ourselves who the enemy is, it only makes us stronger. Let the Aryans stop fighting each other and concentrate on our common enemy the Jew.



THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE CHURCH

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE

