## The New Ensign

#### No. 70 June 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



# Calling The True Israel Peoples

## **Editorial**

Dear Reader,



While many are aware that this year is the 800th anniversary of Magna Carta, how many know that 2015 is also 800th anniversary of the Fourth Lateran Council held on the 11th November 1215 (11/11 = the number of Gog)?

This is noteworthy because it was at this time the Roman Catholic Church had reached the zenith of its power. It was remarkable also for it Condemned Jewish Christians – "they upset the decorum of the Christian religion by such a mixing"

They were coming to the knowledge that Jews cannot be changed. Accordingly, Old anti-Jewish decrees were expanded and Jews were compelled to wear the **Yellow Patch**, the "Badge

of Shame", to distinguish them from Christians. It was enforced in France, England, Germany and later in Hungary.

The Pope also at this time instigated the **Doctrine of Transubstantiation**, in which the wafer (Host) and wine in the Eucharist are believed to become the blood and flesh of Jesus. It is probably no coincidence that it was this act that led to the decline of the Roman Catholic Church from that point onwards..

No doubt some Edomites had infiltrated the Church from apostolic times and went unrecognised, for the Doctrine of Transubstantiation fits Edomite vampire nature. It is no coincidence that from this point on the Roman Catholic Church went into terminal decline.


We praise Yahweh for his sure word of prophecy as it continues to unfold before our very eyes!!

#### Editor thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contonts	
Contents	Page
Serious Questions (Part 3) - Arnold Kennedy	3
The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras - Pastor Eli James	6
The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain - Brian Chalmers	9
Harold Stough Notes - The Arcane Suffolk Landscape	12
5 Big Signs The Global Engine of Deceit, and Control Are Coming To End	15
Flowers Of The Elderflower Bush Kills All Viruses - William Bolitho	17
Jewish Freemasonry on the Subversion of Church and State	18
Abuse of The Law - Jack Lewis	19
Antony C. Sutton - Steven Lloyd	21
The Shetar's Effect On English Law	22
Letters & Views	26
86 Year Old German Woman Lays Charges	28
Who Stole All The Wealth? - T Stokes	31
Proof of The Zionist Takeover of the BBC!	32
The Greatest Conspiracy of The Last Century Pastor August B. Kreis III	34
The Destruction of A Great Nation - John Paul Jones	36
Fifty Years of Oppression - Roger Roots	37
Sayings about and by The Jews	38

#### **Serious Questions - Part 3 Arnold Kennedy**



**QUESTION** TWELVE. **WHO ARE SAVED AND** TURNED TO GOD?

OOK at the highlighted words from

one specific race only.

**Matthew 1:21.** "*And she shall bring forth a son,* and thou shalt call His name Jesus, for he shall save His people from their sins."

**Luke 1:16** "And many of the Children of Israel shall he turn to the Lord their God.".

**Luke 1: 55** "*He has helped His servant Israel,* in remembrance of His mercy, as he spake to our fathers, to Abraham, and to His seed forever".

**Luke 1:68-9** "Blessed be the Lord God of Israel for He hath visited and redeemed His people. And has raised up a horn of salvation for us in the House of His servant David ....as He spake by the mouth of His holy prophets to perform the mercy promised to our fathers

**Luke 1:77** "To give knowledge of salvation unto His people by the remission of their sins".

Luke 2: 34 "Behold, this Child is set for the rising again of many in Israel.

**John 1:31** *But that He should be made manifest* to Israel, therefore am I come baptising in water".

Acts 5:30 "The God of our fathers raised up Jesus to be a Prince and a Saviour to give repentance to Israel".

Many Christians are fond of Isaiah 53, but they have not noticed the limitation of verse 8, "for the transgression of "my people was He stricken". The popular beliefs infer that Isaiah to other cities also, FOR THEREFORE AM I

was wrong. Has this really changed to include every other race? Those who want to be able to say this have to be able to say just when it happened and why Isaiah and other prophets were wrong.

#### **QUESTION THIRTEEN** WHO WILL JESUS ULTIMATELY **RULE OVER?**

the New Testament; Matthew 2:6 "Out of thee shalt come a they eliminate all but Governor, that shall rule my people ISRAEL".

> Luke 1:32-33 "And the Lord God shall give unto Him the throne of His father David, and He shall reign over the House of Jacob for ever.

> Here we are told the ultimate rule is stated to be over Israel. No one can make the "House of Jacob" or "Israel" mean all races. Denominations do not like these restrictions, and so ignore them.

> **Acts 1:6** "Lord, will you at this time restore again the Kingdom to Israel"?

> Jesus agrees with this, but does not disclose the time factors.

#### **QUESTION FOURTEEN** WHO WAS JESUS SENT TO AND TO WHOM DID HE SEND THE DISCIPLES?

Matt. 15:24 "I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel".

**Matt. 10:6** "But go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel".

Matt. 11:10 "I send my messenger before Thy face which shall prepare Thy way before Thee".

Malachi's message was to Israel only. It is recorded in the parables that the labourers were sent into the "vineyard", and "last of all He sent unto THEM His Son". We do not find a specific statement about Jesus being sent to others. This is the particular "kosmos" that Jesus came to save.

**Luke 4:43** "I must preach the Kingdom of God

SENT... and He preached in the synagogues of Galilee".

Jesus confined His proclamation to Israelites, involving Judahites and Galileans. These were separated by a clear border-[John 7:1], and most of His ministry was in Galillee, not in Judea.

**Luke 11:49** "Therefore says the wisdom of God, I will send THEM them prophets and apostles". The context here is totally that of Israel.

**Luke 13:24** "O Jerusalem, Jerusalem, which killeth the prophets, and stonest them THAT ARE SENT UNTO THEE, how often would I have gathered THY CHILDREN together...". Could we extend these scriptural limitations?

Acts 3:20 "And He shall SEND Jesus Christ which before was preached unto YOU".

Peter here goes on to tell about Moses's prophecy about Jesus being raised up UNTO ISRAEL; the people being spoken unto as being the children of the prophets OF ISRAEL. Can we really extend this constraint and say Moses was wrong?

#### QUESTION FIFTEEN [About the meaning of "Gentiles"]

HOW IS IT THAT THOSE THAT PAUL, THE APOSTLE TO THE GENTILES, WROTE TO HAD THE "FATHERS" ABRAHAM, ISAAC AND JACOB?

HOW COULD THEY BE OTHER THAN ISRAELITES?

HOW COULD NON-ISRAELITES HAVE BEEN BAPTISED UNTO MOSES IN THE RED SEA?



Determination of this word and its meaning are critical. Concordances and Bible dictionaries will not always help, and will often only show

usage, not meaning. Vine's Expository Dictionary points out that the word "ethnos" denotes "a multitude of people of the same genus.". It can refer thus to Israel or to non-Israel, but "genus" can never be converted into "belief". We could go through each book of the Bible to show that the traditional interpretation of this transliterated Latin word is wrong, but just one verse gives sufficient example to show that the popular meaning/interpretation is wrong. The following verse is said to be written to "Gentiles". Look at this one verse below carefully and then ask if the people being written unto were Israelites or not. Then ask the question, "Whenever could this have changed within this church age?" It had not changed at this stage and this stage is the present 'church age'.

1 Cor. 10:1-2 "Moreover, Brethren, I would not have you ignorant, how that all our fathers were under the cloud, and all passed through the [Red] sea, And were baptised unto Moses in the cloud and in the sea...."

The whole of this book is in the Israel context and does not include anyone else but Israelites. There is a mass of such confirmation through the New Testament. It is the pre-conditioning about "gentiles" that blinds the eye.

In the KJV the word "ethnos" is translated 64 times as "nations", 5 times as "heathen", 2 times as "people", and 93 times as "gentiles". BUT, we also find the word "helen" sometimes translated as "Gentiles". The translators have made a sorry mess! Let us look further into this mess. Anyone who cares to take a look in any concordance will find that the words in both Greek and Hebrew for "Gentiles" are also used of Israel. Knowing this, then "have some fun". For instance, anyone can transfer translations for a given word and quickly find that when God said to Abraham, "I will make a great nation of you", it could equally be translated "I will make a great Gentile of You". Rebecca would have had two Gentiles in her womb, and thus Israelites would have to be Gentiles. The word "Gentiles" refers to any group of people of a common origin, and never did mean what Bible dictionaries try to make it mean. It is not difficult to "knock" the popular interpretation to bits of every reference in the New Testament that appears to contradict the right meaning of "Gentiles". We can look at every so-called type, such as the Ethiopian Eunuch -[Acts 8:37]- that is used in support of

the wrong meaning and show that they are not valid. Either a look into the original languages or simple questions give us the answer, in this case such as:

- "Would a black man have been allowed into the Temple at that time?"
- "What would a black non-Israelite man be doing going to an Israelite feast"?
- "Would we expect him to be reading the prophets"?
- Could we be sure an Israelite could not have been in the employ of the Queen of Ethiopia?
- Why was there such a fuss when Paul wanted to take a Greek [suspected of being a non-Israelite] into the temple?

Anyone who uses a territorial/national term and converts the same into a racial term is liable to come to a wrong conclusion, every time. Yet, this is the common experience and teaching. For instance, when we read in Galatians 3:28 and Colossians 3:11, "Neither Jew nor Greek, circumcision or uncircumcision, Barbarian, Scythian, bond or free", it is popular to treat the territorial terms as being racial terms. Those who promote this idea should then be able to say how four racial terms could cover every other race. What this verse is saying is that it does not matter whether or not the Israelites come from Judea. Greece, Scythia, or whether or not they have a barbarous religion, and whether or not they are circumcised. Further to this, when we read in Revelation 5:9, "And hath redeemed us by The Blood out of every kindred, and tongue, and people and nation", it is popular to miss out the meaning of "out" [ek] and make this mean "of" instead of "out of" to try to accommodate every race. The prophets only spoke about Israel in connection with both the Blood and who is gathered to the City. It is also recommended that those supporting the popular doctrine should have a good look into the different meanings of "Christ", "Christ Jesus", "Jesus Christ", "Christ's" etc., according to grammar. For instance, where "christ" is a verbal adjective, no one has any right to translate and use it differently. No translator has any right to put a capital "C" in Galatians 3:16 because it cannot honestly be supported by grammar. That this

"cooking the books" has been done for centuries does not make it valid.

In the Old Testament we have people who are used to promote the new doctrine, such as Ruth who was an Israelite who had been living in Moab. We read in the first verse of Ruth about Israelites living in the land of Moab. That their sons married "women of Moab", does not tell us anything about race; Moab was where the women lived just as we find her Israelite family living in the first verse. It was unlawful for any Israelite to marry other than an Israelite, so Ruth would have to be an Israelite, even if she considered herself a foreigner by having taken foreign gods.

Because the Old Testament is so clearly racist we are quoting primarily from the New Testament, because this is where the changes are supposed to have been made. But, perhaps we should look at one more Old Testament verse which spells out the real position.

**Jer 31:36** "If those ordinances depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel shall cease from being a nation before me".

Note "the seed [zera'] of Israel". Those ordinances, the sun and the moon, have not disappeared yet, and so Israel is still the same people today. As the word for "nation" is the same as that translated "gentile" and "heathen", we could equally read "the seed of Israel shall not cease from being Gentiles before Me". We could even say Israel would not cease from being heathen! This becomes absurd if we take modern meanings! Yet, much more modern teaching is equally as absurd.

#### To be continued

#### A Talk With Alan Campbell



Listen to Alan Campbell Talking with Pastor Eli James on the last Sunday of each month at 4pm UK time on Eurofolk Radio.

http://eurofolkradio.com/

#### The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras 11 & 12, An Identity Interpretation (Part 2)

#### By Pastor Eli James



Interpretation as per Dr. Eugene Scott:

Y comments to Dr. Scott's interpretation will be in [brackets]. – Eli.

Now let's look at the last twelve kings of the Holy Roman Empire:

- **1 Francis 1637**
- **2 Leopold I 1658**
- 3 Joseph I 1705
- 4 Charles VI 1711
- 5 Charles VII 1742
- 6 Francis I 1745
- 7 Joseph II 1765
- 8 Leopold II 1790
- **9 Francis II 1792**

#### **Post-Napoleon:**

- 10 William I 1871
- 11 Frederick III 1888
- 12 William II (Kaiser Wilhelm) 1888-1918

#### **II Esdras Chapter 12:**

17: As for the voice which you heard speak, and that you saw not to go out from the heads but from the midst of the body thereof, this is the interpretation:

18: And in the midst of the time of that kingdom there shall arise great strivings, and it shall stand in peril of failing: nevertheless it shall not then fall, but shall be restored again to his beginning.

Rulers	Begin Reign	Reign years
1 Francis	1637	21
2 Leopold I	1658	47
3 Joseph I	1705	6
4 Charles VI	1711	29
5 Charles VII	1742	3

Rulers	Begin Reign	Reign years
6 Francis I	1745	20
7 Joseph II	1765	25
8 Leopold II	1790	2
9 Francis II	1792	14
10 ***William I	1871	17
11 ***Frederick	III 1888	3 months
12 ***William II	1888-1918	30
(Kaiser Wilhelm)	)	

The first nine of these HRE monarchs were crowned by the Pope. Note that Leopold I ruled much longer than any of the pre-Napoleon monarchs. [Fulfilling Verse 12:15. – Eli]

"Then I beheld, and, lo, in process of time the feathers that followed stood up upon the right side, that they might rule also; and some of them ruled, but within a while they appeared no more: For some of them were set up, but ruled not." (2Esdras 11:20-21)

The Post-Napoleon Kaisers Were NOT Crowned By Any Pope.

Dr. Eugene Scott—"Medieval history shows a struggle to keep the empire alive. In the fifth century the Emperor abdicated and the ruler at Constantinople became the ruler of the whole empire from the eastern end of it. The struggles that tore Europe apart set the stage for Charlemagne and that attempt to preserve the Roman Empire in Medieval Europe via the phenomenon of the Holy Roman Empire where the pope crowned the king. And as you know Napoleon stole the pope; put him in prison…"

"And Napoleon crowned himself because there was no one to crown him but him."

[This is not accurate. Pope Pius VII was set to crown Napoleon at a formal ceremony in Paris, but Napoleon would not oblige. From my article, "The 8th Beast of the Apocalypse": "To demonstrate Rome's power over all of Europe, the reigning Popes had crowned the kings and queens of the European monarchies for centu-

ries. It was understood by the Catholic monarchs of Europe ruled by the authority of the Papacy. The crowning of the new emperor was an established rite, which was begun by Pope Leo III when he crowned Charlemagne in the year 800; and Napoleon, a thousand years later, was expected to adhere to this tradition. The site was the Cathedral of Notre Dame. As Pope Pius VII was preparing to crown him, Napoleon grabbed the crown from the Pope's hands and crowned himself, thus signifying that he, and not the Papacy, was the true power.]



Dr. Scott—"Napoleon had to put his own wrinkle on it. But as Charlemagne formed the Holy Roman Empire, ultimately it breaks and separates from Rome; because the last three of these selfstyled emperors never rule. They were not crowned by Rome, the

pope. He refused to crown them. So of the twelve, the last three never rule."

[Since Napoleon overthrew the Sixth Beast, Rome, Rome no longer existed as a kingdom, but future rulers, the post-Napoleon Kaisers of the Second Reich, will rule in the name of Rome. The next three Emperors ruled in the name of Caesar. Kaiser = Caesar.]

Dr. Scott—"You will find during these struggles in Europe the beginning of the secret societies of power behind the throne that continued to rule clear to the present day through fronts that they control with both mystical and economic power."

Quoting from Hayden's Dictionary of Dates, 1910:

"It will be noticed from the list that the second, Leopold I, actually did reign 17 years longer than the next longest, William II. Moreover, as none of the last three .....were crowned by the pope the requirements of the prophecy were met exactly because he had to reign twice as much as anybody else and if you take the three not crowned the prophecy is exactly fulfilled. Twice as long as any other crowned ruler."

[It is more correct to say "nearly twice as long," as Charles VI reigned 29 years and Joseph II reigned 25 years.]

"With the last of the twelve feathers when they had been gone—which occurred with the defeat of the Kaiser and the abdication at the end of the war, WWI, two things would take place. Eight small states, contrary feathers, would come into being, which I just read to you. Three new heads would appear on the body of the eagle. Herbert Wallace in the pamphlet mentioned above in this article observed that in order to identify these eight states the signature of the peace treaty June 1919 must be awaited...This guy wrote before 1919 and he said based on Esdras there had to be eight states. Who these eight states will be, we must await the signature of the peace treaty June 1919. And what if there'd been nine, or six.?

"Do you know who the states were? When the peace treaty was published, it was noticed that eight small independent states had appeared:

- 1. Latvia
- 2. Lithuania
- 3. Estonia
- 4. Poland
- 5. Romania
- 6. Czechoslovakia
- 7. Yugoslavia
- 8. Albania.

Now Esdras begins to look a little bit more convincing."

"And after the twelve feathers, eight independent states; two shall perish (this is the middle time approaching). Four shall be kept until their end began to approach. Two shall be kept until the end. Now we know where to look for the two out of the eight. We're closer to the two little wings than we were. Two shall perish; four shall be kept until their end begins to approach..."

[History has witnessed the fall of two of the above kingdoms: Yugoslavia and Czechoslova-

kia. The two most likely to survive until the Judgment Day would be, in my opinion, Poland and Romania. Albania and Poland have eagle emblems, but Lithuania has a mounted knight as its State Emblem. Latvia's emblem includes a lion and a griffin, but no eagle. Estonia has a shield with three lions. Czechoslovakia split up into two nations in 1993: the Czech Republic and Slovakia. The combined emblem, from 1918 to 1993, was two eagles and two lions. Yugoslavia's state emblem was a double-headed eagle. In the 1990's Yugoslavia split up into several autonomous states: Serbia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Slovenia, Macedonia and Montenegro, with Kosovo still in dispute. The national symbol of Romania is a golden eagle. So, three of the eight underfeather states lack eagle symbolism.

The rest of Dr. Scott's analysis also begins to break down, because he tries to limit the interpretation of the next elements of the vision to those nations, which had/have eagles in their national emblems; but not all of the under-feather nations had eagle emblems, so this interpretive method has already been violated by three of the eight under-feathers. I include the rest of Dr. Scott's analysis here for your inspection; and I will continue to insert my own comments. There are five elements vet to be identified: the two small feathers and the three heads. Part of the problem in interpretation, for most of the interpreters of this vision, is that they try to limit themselves to kingdoms that have an eagle as the national insignia. For this reason, both Scott and Trimm try to insert Nazi Germany and Fascist Italy into the vision. These two nations actually opposed the power of the Three Heads, as we will soon see.]

"Not only were these eight states to occur, it was prophesied three new heads were to rise; the outgrowth of the old Roman eagle." [Technically, these heads are not "new." They are part of the original image. Dr. Scott is forgetting about this. – Eli]

"Mussolini the centre one, suddenly rises up to revive the ancient Roman Empire with his emblem of the eagle. The Kaiser had laid the ground work and under demon power Hitler raised up the Third Reich, the Kaiser of the head on the left, German for Caesar, and with the abdication of the eastern Constantinople Empire falling, one of the Ivans of Russia who had married a cousin of Caesar moves it north and the next Ivan is called the Czar, the head on the right communism, fascism on the other side."

[Dr. Scott has one of the movements correct: Communism. It is odd that he puts communism on the right and fascism on the left! – Eli]



"The one in the centre the prophecy said will die in his bed. From the tip of the boot including Sicily through Italy the allied troops devastated that land. To destroy Mussolini's fascism in the heart linked by the way to the Roman church for its own survival knowing whoever rules Italy

encroaches on their Vatican state."

[This symbolism doesn't work either, because, to me, dying in one's bed means dying peacefully, or at least not during a military invasion. Mussolini's empire died fighting against the Allies. Dr. Scott admits that Italy was "devastated." This is NOT dying in one's bed!]

"The second head, Kaiser, resurrected in Hitler's Third Reich with the eagle still there in the swastika with its head looking the different direction than the American eagle which is a different kind or species of eagle. These are the vulture group. Eaten up by the Russian troops and, as Esdras said, the final head. You know the eagle symbol of the Austrian Prussian Empire, the two headed eagle with the crown of the pope resting on it, except that crown was not given to the last three of the emperors of the Holy Roman Empire. And the resurrection we've seen in our day of the final three headed eagle; the centre one dying in his bed with pain ravaging the country. The second, the western king Hitler and the Third Reich being literally eaten up and dying by the sword as Russia charges in and takes a great portion of the German Empire, Czechoslovakia, east Germany, and that last head."

[In my opinion, Dr. Scott's analysis breaks down for the reasons cited in my previous comments. His analysis is old school, teaching that Russia is the Gog and Magog of Ezekiel. Rand, Comparet and Swift also taught this, but I have shown that this judgment was premature. {See "Who Is Gog?" http://anglo-saxonisrael.com/blog/?p=97 } Zionism, which was BORN in the Jewish Pale of Russia (old Khazaria), of the Talmudic rabbis, is Gog (the SynaGOGue of Satan) and Magog means those nations which are allied with Zionism in these End Times. Of these, Red China is the #1 threat to world peace, not Russia. The Israelis have been building up communist China since Nixon opened trade with China in 1972. In 1952, Taiwan was booted from the Olympic Games in favour of Red China, a move which can only be explained by the secret machinations of the Illuminati, in their ongoing communisation of the world via the United Nations Organization. The Israelis have been providing China with free and/or cheap military technology since the early 1980's, even stealing technology from us, using spies like Jonathan Pollard, and giving it to China, for the inevitable Battle of Armageddon. Once the Rothschilds have completely bankrupted America, we will be given the final ultimatum: Give up your sovereignty or else. The American people will then have to face the proposition of replacing the US Constitution with the communist UN Charter. The Rothschilds created Red China during WWII by using subversives, such as Vinegar Joe Stillwell and George Catlett Marshall, to divert supplies intended for Chang Kai-Shek to Mao Tse Tung and Chou En Lai. Red China is simply part of the Illuminati's New World Order.

For the details about this betrayal of Western Civilization to International Communism, you

must read America's Retreat From Victory, by Senator Joseph R. McCarthy. This website gives details about McCarthy versus the Jews in the Roosevelt/Truman White House:-

http://www.jewwatch.com/jew-communists-joe-mccarthy-and-the-jews.html .

Gog and Magog are Talmudic Zionism and Talmudic communism, both international movements founded and funded by the Rothschilds. Gog means to destroy America, because we are a Christian nation. This is why the international bankers have been bleeding us dry, economically. They have to weaken us to the point of starvation, in order to guarantee a military victory when they eventually order the Chinese to invade America. The Rothschilds are weakening America through constant moral depravity, coming from their educational and entertainment corporations, constant warfare, and a constantly growing ZOG (Zionist Occupation Government). Three world wars were foreseen by Albert Pike in 1871. Since Albert Pike was the chief of the Illuminati, Freemasonry and the Ku Klux Klan, all at the same time, he was privy to information available to no other person. The third phase of his prophecy also dovetails with George Washington's Vision at Valley Forge, so we have a lot of prophetic information coming together to tell us what is about to happen. Here is the link to George Washington's vision on our

To be continued

#### The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain (Part 3) Brian Chalmers



#### **Powerful Middlemen**

At the heart of the conflict between Old and New Christians was the familiar tendency of the non-Jewish ruling elite to utilize Jews to further their interests at the expense of the non-elite members of society, that is, of the great mass of the Spanish people. Beginning in the Greco-Roman and Persian world of antiquity and extending into the post World War II era of Soviet domination of Eastern Europe, Jews have often served as middlemen between oppressive ruling elites (especially those of alien origin), and native populations. A significant source of animosity toward the Jews in Christian Spain was the widespread belief that the Jews had aided the Muslim invasion of Spain in the eighth century, and in the ensuing centuries had served the Muslims as loyal administrators over the subject Christian population. (Modern scholars, government that had a bearing on the people's including Netanyahu [p. 57], accept that this belief is based in fact.)

Jews were typically recruited for this status because they were known to have no strong loyalty to the native people or culture. Ruling elites knew that Jewish loyalty to the regime derived from their status as dependent aliens. In Spain, there was a long history of kings patronizing the Jewish community in return for Jewish loyalty as administrators and tax farmers, and in the 15th century these functions were assumed by the New Christians. (In return for payment of a fixed sum of money, determined through bidding, the king commissioned the highest bidder as a tax farmer, granting him the exclusive right to amass as much money as he could collect through taxes levied on the people of a given district.)



As in many other traditional societies, the Jews' alien or out-group status with regard to the rest of society, and their loyalty to the king (rather than to the people or nation) made them ideal tax farmers. Rulers knew that because the Jews (or, after 1391 in Spain, the Conver-

sos) had no loyalty to the native people, they could be trusted to treat the non-Jewish subjects as an outgroup, and would thereby maximize the king's revenues. (By contrast, to employ non-Jews as tax collectors would be disadvantageous, because their identification with the native population would make them less likely to wring out the maximum amount in taxes.)

"Tax collection remained largely in Jewish hands until the end of the Jewish sojourn in Spain," writes Netanyahu. He continues (pp. 71-72):

It was primarily because of the functions of the Jews as the king's revenue gatherers in the urban areas that the cities saw the Jews as the monarch's agents, who treated the common people as objects of massive exploitation. By serving as they did the interests of the kings, the Jews seemed to be working against the interests of the cities; and thus we touch again on the phenomenon we have referred to: fundamental conflict between the kings and their people - a conflict not limited to financial matters, but one that embraced all spheres of

It was in part thanks to this conflict of interests that the Jews could survive the harsh climate of the Middle Ages, and it is hard to believe that they did not discern it when they came to resettle in Christian Europe. Indeed, their requests, since the days of the Carolingians, for assurances of protection before they settled in a place show (a) that they realized that the kings' positions on many issues differed from those of the common people and (b) that the kings were prepared, for the sake of their interests, to make common cause with the "alien" Jews against the clear wishes of their Christian subjects. In a sense, therefore, the Jews' agreements with the kings Middle Ages resembled the understandings they had reached with foreign conquerors in the ancient world.

As Netanyahu notes, Jews were well aware of their role as intermediaries between conflicting segments of gentile society (rulers and subjects). There is no question that as a result of their special relationship with the king, Jews were often viewed as exploiters by the common people. The Petition of 1449 by the rebels of Toledo accused the New Christian tax gatherers of having "caused the [economic] ruin ... of many noble proprietresses (dueñas, caballeros, and hijos-dalgo)" and of having "oppressed, destroyed, robbed and depraved ... most of the old houses and estates of the Old Christians" (p. 959). "Throughout the country," historian Cecil Roth has noted, "they [the Conversos] farmed the taxes. Thus, they inevitably became identified in the popular mind with the royal oppression."

#### An Alliance of Oppression

Netanyahu provides considerable detailed evidence showing that during the 15th century the kings of Spain utilized the New Christians in this very traditional manner as a highly competent intermediary group between themselves and the great mass of Christian subjects. Alvaro de Luna, King Juan II's chief minister, advanced the fortunes of both Jews and New Christians as a force loyal to the monarchy in its struggles with the nobility, and in preference to the non-Jewish urban aristocracy. New Christians were even more valuable than Jews because, as nominal Christians, they gave a sort of theoretical legitimacy to activities such as tax farming that was lacking when Jews performed these functions (Netanyahu, pp. 217ff).

Conversion therefore put a new twist to the traditional Jewish role as an alien, exploitative middleman. A 15th-century satirist depicts an Old Christian lamenting that the New Christians, because of their ostensible conversion, had become "legitimate" and were now entitled to use their "manipulations, chicaneries, subtleties and deceits, without fear of God and shame of the people" (p. 513). Conversion had not changed the cohesiveness or group status of the "Hebrew race," nor their eagerness to exploit the Old Christian population - but merely by changing their surface religion, their much-resented behaviour toward the Old Christians had now become permissible, at least from a Christian theological perspective.

Spaniards understandably continued to regard the Jewish "New Christians" as a cohesive group that very successfully exploited the traditional (Old) Christian population. In spite of conversion, this group persisted in many of the same oppressive activities that had provoked anti-Jewish hatred prior to their conversion, especially tax farming and collaborating with the king against the interests of the people.

For all his biased interpretation of sources, the facts presented by Netanyahu are consistent with the following overall scenario: The Conversos remained a separate, unassimilated "nation apart" within Spanish society well into the 15th century, and indeed through the height of the Inquisition period, and even into the 18th century. Freed from the traditional economic and social constraints placed on Jews, this self-aware ethnic-cultural group rose quickly to a position of dominance, and was correctly perceived by the mass of people (Old Christians) as an alien, exploitative enclave.

It is this fundamentally unhealthy situation that ultimately led to the Inquisition. Indeed, it is this general condition (which of course has varied in particulars from country to country and from age to age), that has provoked hostility toward Jews throughout history.

#### **Conflict Between Rulers and Subjects**

In Spain, periods of close Jewish ties to the monarch and the country's ruling elite were characterized by exploitation of the common people by the rulers, and alienation between the monarch and his subjects. Given the generally hostile relationship between Jews and non-Jews

in Spanish society, it is not surprising that changes of government or periods of royal weakness often resulted in anti-Jewish pogroms, especially in the century prior to the establishment of the Inquisition.

As Netanyahu shows, the closer the alliance between the King and the Conversos, the greater the hostility toward the King among the people. Fray Alonso de Espina, a Franciscan friar who was instrumental in establishing the Inquisition, not only hated the Conversos, he also condemned the rulers who had betrayed their people. Quoting Espina, Netanyahu writes (p. 731) that he sharply criticized the

"detested avarice of the Christian princes" and "the temporal gains which they get from the Jews" that brings them to let the Jewish crimes go unpunished. It is their excessive converse with the Jews, and the numerous gifts they receive from them, that lead them to permit the "ravenous wolves" who have entered the "flock" of God to continue their ravages without opposition.



Espina praised the rulers of England and France for their wisdom and concern for the good of their nations in expelling the Jews from their realms. He had particular praise for French King Philip II (Augustus) [left] as a ruler who "burned with the zeal of God" when, in the

year 1182, he despoiled the Jews of his country and expelled them, in spite of pleas from the nobility and prelates, and offers of bribes from the Jews. (p. 831).

#### A Double Standard

Netanyahu is exquisitely sensitive to the "immorality" of the Old Christians when or if they exaggerated a lack of religious sincerity among the Conversos. Similarly, he condemns the Inquisition for its "blatant disregard for human life ... [its] desire to flaunt power and exercise control over life and death ... [and its] shameless rapacity" (pp. 1085-1086).

But Netanyahu's moral sense is one-sided. He implicitly suggests that the Spaniards should

have been unconcerned that they were being dominated and exploited by an alien group, and that their aristocracy, and indeed the entire upper classes, were fast becoming "New Christian." In Netanyahu's view, the Spaniards should have acknowledged their inferiority and humbly acquiesced in their own economic, social and political domination by an out-group dedicated to its own interests. The astonishing notion that a people is morally obligated to passively accept its own eclipse and domination is certainly not likely to appeal to sincere advocates of social justice, whatever their ideology. Such a "morality" is unlikely to win approval by any subjugated nation.

Netanyahu seems incapable of seeing the selfcentred and even hypocritical nature of this interpretation of history, apparently because he views morality through strictly Jewish-Zionist eyes. While accepting the view that Jews constitute a distinct national-religious group, and that the Jewish people-nation can and should compete with non-Jewish nations for resources and power, he condemns as immoral the efforts by non-Jews to resist or counter Jewish domination. Institutions developed by non-Jews to protect and further their own group interests - such as the Inquisition - are predictably seen by Netanyahu (as well as by other similarly motivated historians) as the height of immorality.

In Netanyahu's view, efforts by non-Jews to increase their own power and position at the expense of Jews are inherently immoral, and are ascribed to motives of envy, jealousy and racism. (Netanyahu repeatedly calls the Inquisitors and the anti-Converso pogromists "racists.") On the other hand, he sees nothing wrong or immoral about the efforts by Jews throughout history to take and maintain economic, social and political power, often with great success, at the expense of others. Thus he portrays the motives of the Conversos as entirely natural human strivings for money, political power and social status. Consistent with this, he regards tax farming as a morally neutral activity that was a necessary part of the machinery of government in the Middle Ages.

#### To be continued



## Harold Stough Notes The Arcane Landscape In Suffolk Revealed (A Paper By Jeremy Taylor)

**ISTORY**, the ritual landscape and geometry once resonated very much as one. Faint traces of our ancestors whose silent whispers in the landscape once conveyed so much awe and splendour now sadly lie silent, their purpose and meaning largely forgotten, for in general there is a present day lack of any real sense of connectedness. This compounds our ability to relate - be it to the environment through the seasons, the cosmos - through the heavenly bodies or to our community through a neighbour. For far away from the mind boggling complexity of the pyramids of Giza, yet equally compelling, sit seven sites of mythic antiquity whose geomantic and geometric design collectively creates a beautiful and vast heptagon in the landscape.

'Mathematical geomancy....does not mean the imposition of abstract designs upon the

landscape, but the detection and enhancement of the geometry that is already concealed within it' (Behrend, 1975).

Over a decade later I learned that the landscape symbol I discovered had been employed by our forefathers before whilst plotting their temples and markers in the landscape of Southern Britain (Behrend, 1975), consciously created and designed to personify a harmonious fusion between temple proportion, the circumference and ancient units of measure. In the early 1900's Sir Norman Lockyer studied ancient alignments and the astronomical significance at Stonehenge. As well as traditional straight line alignments across the landscape Lockyer discovered an equilateral triangle whose three points (Stonehenge, Grovely Castle hill fort and Old Sarum hill fort ) were all exactly times David Furlong ( *Keys to the Temple*, 1997) a phenomenal circle of megalithic and largely Christianised pagan sites, also with this same 9.6 km factor. Only this time it was the radius of the circle. The symbol I have discovered in Suffolk, centring on Bury St Edmunds is identical in size to these proportions; at 19,149 metres across (nearly 12 miles) it is only 4 metres out from the size of the twin circles of ancient sites on the Marlborough Downs. The landscape heptagon includes ancient Neolithic earthworks, a long barrow, standing stones and the only location in East Anglia, I believe, where all the three ancient track ways (the Icknield Way (below) - the oldest road in Britain, Peddars Way and the Puddingstone Track, whose origins date as far back as 6,500 B.C.) Coalesce.



It is only now that we are beginning to truly recognise that our European Bronze age ancestors showed the same remarkable levels of sophistication and civilisation as that of the ancient Egyptians and cultures of the Middle East. It was only forty years ago that we equated ancient history with that of classical Greece (the curriculum at Cambridge, USA, Freer, 2000). And it was just over 300 hundred years ago that state of the art scholarship of the time was teaching that the creation of the world began at 4004 B.C.! (James Ussher, Irish Protestant bishop in 1654). On the whole still, even today when we stare back into the face of history we perceive a decrease in cognitive ability, incrementally a greater deficit the further back in time we go. It is us who have evolved in harmony with the forward and progressive passage of time becoming far more superior than our ancestors. The reality is though that the more we understand about our ancestors through their temples and artefacts our interpretations and the dating for mankind's capacity and ability to be able to relate to our world on a more complex and sophisticated symbolic level

9.656 kilometres from each other. In more recent understanding is increasingly being questioned and pushed further back in time. There has been found to exist within the scientific community the process of what has been described as 'knowledge filtration' (Cremo & Thompson, 1999 ). The authors document clearly in their 'Hidden History of the Human Race' numerous examples of archaeological evidence which have either been knowingly bypassed or ignored in order to protect this ruling paradigm when such evidence fails 'to fit' the accepted theory. 'At the heart of this argument is the incorrect notion that theory overrides evidence' (Boerner, 2003). The chronology for and possible dating of the acquisition of this 'civilised' world view is continuously being rewritten, as alluded to earlier, brought about by such discoveries as:

- 1 Scientific American reported that a 75 metre wide circle near Goseck in Germany is the remains of the world's oldest observatory, dating back 7,000 years and is the first circle whose function is evident (Mukerjee, 2003).
- 2 Evidence in France at Lascaux also shows that our ancestors as long ago as 14,00 - 27,000 BC had already developed a sophisticated preoccupation with the phases and movements of the moon at this time.

The earliest written astronomical records possessed a preoccupation with the risings and settings of objects against the horizon, measuring the duration's of time between these and other such 'heavenly' acts. This preoccupation with celestial events is believed to be connect with the cycles of vitality and fertility of the Great Mother Goddess through the stellar and solar events, which in turn affected her ( Broadhurst & Miller, 1989). We know our ancestors were transporting capable of and erecting approximately 10,000 megaliths, some up to 30 tons in weight at the same time as the 5th dynasty Egyptian pharaohs who were erecting their own seven major pyramids. At Avebury in Wiltshire the same volume in chalk as that of those seven major pyramids was being excavated - around 90,000 cubic metres ! ( Burl, 1979, Furlong, 1997 ). These 'Stoneage' ancestors were also capable of maintaining a standard unit of length : megalithic yard of 2.72 ft, from one end of England to the other, all with a margin of error of only 0.1 % ( Thom, 1967 ). It is no coincidence that they chose these numbers to of represent their sacred canon for the ratio between

the dimensions of the earth and the moon is 10: 2.7272 - volumetric mean radius 0.2727 km. The ratio between these planets and their inherent geometrical proportions equals the megalithic yard of 2.72 feet and is very close to the 2.718...of energy constant e, e being the rate at which anything doubles, from plant growth to bank interest. These ancient monuments on the surface of the earth were not only erected to discover the patterns of behaviour of our celestial neighbours (the major planets and stars) but were also designed in such a way as to embody those patterns, already known in what has been described as a 'religious architecture' (North, 1996).

At least one thousand years before the earliest mathematicians of classical Greece SAM (stone age man ) had a competent working knowledge of geometry and was capable of setting out elaborate stone circles in a variety of ellipse shapes based on at least three of the different Pythagorean triangles- 3,4,5, - 12, 35, 37 and 5, 12, 13 (Thom, 1967) all with a proficiency only rivaled today by a trained surveyor. Temples such as Stonehenge were founded on this relationship i.e. the width of the lintel stones being exactly one Jewish Rod - 3.4757485 ft, a unit of measure equal to one six-millionth part of the Earth's polar radius. The ancient science behind this embodiment of number was once inextricably bound up with a world view containing science, religion and magic, the mastery of which would have been central to the priesthood's ability to perform and carry out the necessary geomantic skills needed for locating a particular sacred site.

We now appreciate that individual ancient sites and collective structures carried profound psychological meaning to our prehistoric ancestors. Round barrows served to symbolically 'represent the wider landscape in architectural form' (Watson, 2001) and were believed to represent the centre of the world, or even cosmos (Richards, 1996). These great monuments were built to influence the way people experienced the landscape and how they were configured serving to structure the ways that people understood both space and time. Even mainstream archaeology is embracing ideas now which a decade ago would have seemed fringe and 'new-age' which appeared In the Journal of British Archaeology (March, 2004). There it is acknowledged that the plan of the three henges

at Thornborough in Yorkshire (see below) was designed to be an exact mirror of the three main setting stars in the belt of the constellation of Orion between 3,300 - 3,000 B.C.



Our own internal rhythms interact with the Earth's low frequency isoelectric field, the magnetic field and our own electrostatic field, affecting our balance, REM sleep patterns, health and mental focus. We live amongst a complex matrix of oscillating fields within which life has evolved over many epochs. Minute fluctuations one interlocked field have cosmic ramifications upon others. Many times per second the pulses of these fields circumnavigate the globe between our planet's surface and the ionosphere 'sending co-ordinating signals to all organisms'. These signals connect us to the global electrostatic field, the Schuman's resonance (SR) which has been described as the orchestrating pulse for life on our planet ( Miller & Miller, 2003) and have been known to 'reactivate stalled healing processes, enhance growth, accelerate immune responses' (Sidorov, 2001).

There is a consistent connection demonstrated between frequency, wavelength, energy transference and the arcane landscape. In a world of 'dumbing down' reality TV it is possible to reconnect, reconfigure with the infinity within ourselves. I see the heptagon in "Seven Wonders - Suffolk's Ancient Sites: a Vision of an Arcane Landscape", whose geometry is closely bound to the circumference of the Earth and to the wavelength of those frequencies which circumnavigate it as a vehicle for doing so and may your god(s) and goddesses guide you well on your way.

For more information and a book on the subject go to link below:

www.lulu.com/spotlight/arcanelandscape

**The End OS21990** 

#### 5 Big Signs The Global Engine of Deceit, Lies, and Control Are Coming To End By Marco Torres



F YOU DON'T SEE IT COMING, you may have been hiding in a very dark place for the last decade. The lies, deceit, fearmongering and illusions portrayed by elite controlling entities of the world are slowly crumbling right in front of their eyes as the world is awakening. Here are 5 signs that the era of deception and duplicity is coming to an end.

#### 1. Pharmaceutical Industrial Complex Has Been Exposed

Perhaps one of the biggest controlling entities of the world is the pharmaceutical industrial complex who has deceived billions for almost two centuries. Their corruption, fabrications and outright deceit has enveloped almost every nation on Earth. In the last decade alone, millions have out-cast drugs and vaccines from their circle of trust. More people are coming to discover that this powerful group of criminals will stop at nothing to sell their snake oil to the public. Over the last several years, Pfizer, GlaxoSmithKline, and Novartis — and all other pharmaceutical giants, which once seemed unassailable are slowly drowning. Drug discovery jobs have disappeared by the thousands in the United States and by the hundreds in Europe as the industry has cut costs in order to adjust to what is widely perceived as the end of the blockbuster-drug era.

People are getting it. From the <u>H1N1</u> scandal to <u>HPV</u> from <u>Lipitor</u> to <u>Vioxx</u>, the public is catching on that **most modern day diseases are man-made** to sell us more poison in a vicious cycle which perpetuates until our death. Research is now showing that **natural** health products are as effective as man-made

**drugs** and for the first time in history, the natural health industry is **aiming to amend legislation** to allow physicians to include natural health products in their practice—a change that could revolutionize the allopathic treatment model.

#### 2. The Media Is No Longer Capable of Instilling Trust

Recent polls now show that an overwhelming 80% of the population no longer trusts mainstream newscasts. From the lies and promotion of wars to our health, the mainstream media is incapable of generating any unbiased newsworthy content without corporate or government propaganda. Conan O'Brien easily revealed how mainstream media's scripted talking points are just a farce. This happens almost everyday on any issue you can imagine, across all networks at any time. The media, as they say "is a joke" branded to program the minds of millions though manipulation and deception. But their dominion and monopoly has been thwarted by the alternative media who are now fully engaged in exposing every lie one at a time....and we are achieving great success.

#### 3. The Conventional Food Industry is Collapsing

By observing the chess match between anti-GMO camps and Monsanto (and their lobbyists), we see a clear indication that big agriculture, biotech and the entire food industry is in turmoil. Trade agreements currently being drafted in developed nations are attempting to secure legislation dictating all GMO labelling as illegal. Resistance against GMOs are being defined as "anti-free trade practices" that governments are attempting to enforce in the form of economic sanctions against nations that attempt to ban GMOs. Labelling initiatives spawned by various groups are being exposed as controlled opposition and the true intentions and transparency of these organizations is inevitable. Food giants are being held accountable for their manufacturing processes, toxic ingredients and lack of credibility.

All of these things are sure signs that the biotech industry is losing control as the entire world is awakening to the dangers of genetically modified Technology is coming forward that will soon allow on-the-spot tests for environmental toxins, GMOs, pesticides, food safety and more with their smart-phones and other hand-held devices as a defiant public will stop at nothing to regain independence within the food supply.


#### 4. The Freedom Movement Is Gaining Momentum

De facto foreign administrations of unelected industrialists, financiers, academics, military leaders along with representatives from our elected government officials have been very busy the past century implementing changes into nations who were once sovereign and free. However, they have no real authority to restrict public mobility, free trade or limit access to anything. That means that everybody is free to drive without insurance, driver's license, license plates, free to trade any articles of exchange including vitamins, supplements, healing modalities and free to consume and ingest anything they wish without restriction. This is a right of all people born on any land, and the movement to educate millions on these issues is rising across the globe. Mass populations are starting to see the reality that there is no longer sovereignty within nations. The people of these nations have lost their ability to write their own laws, avoid arrest, injury and damage from corporations which seek to remove all the freedoms from the people.

The elite societies of the world are now petrified of the awakening of these fundamental human rights. This is the fear of every official who deems their opinion can be enforced over others through artificial laws that don't hold any weight in the highest courts. We are now declaring those rights more than ever and every beneficiary of the corrupt system is sending the police to insist that we are in wrong and they are right. It is about knowing what absolute sovereignty truly is and embracing the power to express that right regardless of anybody else's interpretation. Police are being held accountable for these injustices and their homes and possessions are being taken from them in the highest courts as the people fight for their rights to be free and will accept no damage by illegal enforcement (the police) in the interim.

#### 5. The Liberation of Nature and **Abolishment of All Things Toxic Is Now** have **Inevitable**

foods and the conventional food supply. More than a dozen U.S. states have now completely decriminalized the act of possessing marijuana and both Colorado and Washington have made it legal to possess, sell, transport and cultivate the plant. But soon it may be legalized across the entire country. That is quite the 180 from the federal government's tune in 2011 when they decreed that marijuana had no accepted medical use and should remain classified as a highly dangerous drug like heroin. Nobody has the right to criminalize or restrict anybody from possessing a plant or smoking it for that matter. The rights of people to interact with nature are being recognized on all levels and cannabis liberation is a amazing step forward.



The restoration of clean drinking water through the removal of toxic fluoride is another example of how governments can no longer contain their lies, in this case the 60-year old deception of fluoride. Almost the entire world is now coming full circle to the health consequences of poisoning the water supply with fluoride. Most developed nations, including all of Japan and 97% of western Europe, do not fluoridate their water. Israel was recently added to that list. Many communities, over the last few years, stopped fluoridation in the US, Canada, New Zealandand Australia. Recently, both Wichita, Kansas and Portland, Oregon rejected fluoridation 60% to 40%. Hamilton, NZ, councillors voted 7-1 to stop 50 years of fluoridation after councillors listened to several days of testimony from those for and against fluoridation. Windsor, Ontario, stopped 51 years of fluoridation. Sixteen regional councils halted or rejected fluoridation

dropped there in Nov. 2012.

blooming worldwide. There is no stopping it. The potential war in Syria which has no support from the American public, is a beautiful reminder that people are tuning into their own hearts and wisdom as they come to understand

in Queensland since mandatory fluoridation was that war will never accomplish anything but create more war.

The news is spreading and a renaissance is Get ready my friends because we are headed for an incredible ride as we pave the way for a new consciousness as we rebuild the Earth, take back our power as united and free citizens of this wonderful planet.

**The End OS21980** 

#### Flowers Of The Elderflower Bush Kills All Viruses By William Bolitho



Elder is a very powerful healing plant.

The herbalist, Henry Box of Plymouth, England said that, ".... for colds, influenza, fevers, inflammation of the brain, inflammation of the lungs, stomach, bowels or any part, this is a certain cure.

I have never known it to fail, even when given up to and at the point of death.

It will not only save at the eleventh hour, but at the last minute of that hour.

It is so harmless that you cannot use it amiss, and so effectual that you cannot give it in vain."

Qualities of Elder, astringent, which tightens, tones, and stops secretions in body tissue, amazingly good for sore throats, mouth ulcers, inflamed gums, and conjunctivitis, twitching eyelids, and tired, sore eyes.

- . Inflammation
- . Rheumatism, gout, and arthritis.
- . laxative use the berries.

Flowers used for flu, colds, tonsillitis, laryngitis,

respiratory infection, of the lungs and chills.

Circulation, liver, kidneys, and very effective kill's viruses you will be amazed.

Upper respiratory infections.

Great for depressed immune system.

Kills the diseases that are caused by evil spirits.

All you have to do is make Christ your physician by praying and believing that your healing is all around you.

YHWH has not abandoned you, He has created a wonderful world full of herbs and plants which can and will heal every disease known to man. But you must believe by faith that He has done this The End OS21987


#### Steven Books

**League Enterprises** 49, Lodge Lane Grays Essex **RM175RZ** 

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion

#### Jewish Freemasonry on the Subversion of Church and State From The Masonic Handbook Appendix 1



HIS shockdocuing ment was first published in the /London Catholic Gazette/ of February 1936 under the heading of "The Jewish Peril and the Catholic Church." Its contents were smuggled out of a series of speeches

delivered at secret Jewish meetings in Paris. A few weeks later its authenticity was unexpectedly verified when the Parisian weekly, *Le Revell du Peuple*, published a similar account, adding that the statements had been made at a recent convention of the Jewish B'nai-B'rith, the secret directors of international freemasonry. The article of the Catholic Gazette read as follows:

"As long as there remains among the Gentiles any moral conception of the social order, and until all faith, patriotism, and dignity are uprooted, our reign over the world shall not come—And the Gentiles, in their stupidity, have proved easier dupes than we expected them to be. One would expect more intelligence and more practical common sense, but they are no better than a herd of sheep. Let them graze in our fields till they become fat enough to be worthy of being immolated to our future King of the World...

We have founded many secret associations, which all work for our purpose, under our orders and our direction. We have made it an honour, a great honour, for the Gentiles to join us in our organizations, which are, thanks to our gold, flourishing now more than ever. Yet it remains our secret that those Gentiles who betray their own and most precious interests, by joining us in our plot, should never know that those associations are of our creation, and that they serve our purpose.

One of the many triumphs of our Freemasonry is that those Gentiles who become members of our Lodges, should never suspect that we are

using them to build their own jails, upon whose terraces we shall erect the throne of our Universal King of the Jews; and should never know that we are commanding them to forge the chains of their own servility to our future King of the World...

We have induced some of our children to join the Christian Body, with the explicit intimation that they should work in a still more efficient way for the disintegration of the Christian Church, by creating scandals within her. We have thus followed the advice of our Prince of the Jews, who so wisely said: 'Let some of your children become canons, so that they may destroy the Church.' Unfortunately, not all among the 'convert' Jews have proved faithful to their mission. Many of them have even betrayed us! But, on the other hand, others have kept their promise and honoured their word. Thus the counsel of our Elders has proved successful.

We are the Fathers of all Revolutions, even of those which sometimes happen to turn against us. We are the supreme Masters of Peace and War.

We can boast of being the Creators of the Reformation! Calvin (*Phillip II*, by William Thomas Walsh, p. 248: 'The origin of Calvin (whose real name was Chauvin) See also: Lucin Wolf, in Transactions, Jewish Historical Society of England, Vol. XI, p. 8; Goris, Les Colonies Marchandes Meridionales Ö Anvers; Lea, History of the Inquisition of Spain, III, 413)) was one of our Children; he was of Jewish descent, and was entrusted by Jewish authority and encouraged with Jewish finance to draft his scheme in the Reformation.

Martin Luther yielded to the influence of his Jewish friends unknowingly, and again, by Jewish authority, and with Jewish finance, his plot against the Catholic Church met with success. But unfortunately he discovered the deception, and became a threat to us, so we disposed of him as we have so many others who dare to oppose us..

Many countries, including the United States have already fallen for our scheming. But the Christian Church is still alive... We must destroy it without the least delay and without the slightest mercy. Most of the Press in the world is under our Control; let us therefore encourage in a still more violent way the hatred of the world against the Christian Church. Let us intensify our activities in poisoning the morality of the Gentiles. Let us spread the spirit of revolution in the minds of the people.

They must be made to despise Patriotism and the love of their family, to consider their faith as a humbug, their obedience to their Christ as a degrading servility, so that they become deaf to the appeal of the Church and blind to her warn-

ings against us. Let us, above all, make it impossible for Christians to be reunited, or for non-Christians to join the Church; otherwise the greatest obstruction to our domination will be strengthened and all our work undone. Our plot will be unveiled, the Gentiles will turn against us, in the spirit of revenge, and our domination over them will never be realized.

Let us remember that as long as there still remain active enemies of the Christian Church, we may hope to become Master of the World.—And let us remember always that the future Jewish King will never reign in the world before Christianity is overthrown...

#### The End OS21986

#### Abuse of The Law By Jack Lewis



OME TIME AGO I wrote about the growing frustration at seeing the law being abused by those who are supposed to uphold it. In spite of this we continue to try and find ways to bring these traitors to book. Today it is quite clear that the evil people who are controlling everything do have the upper-hand and to them 'might is right'. I see no way that we (activists) will get the support we need from the

general public. They have been totally brainwashed and isolated from reading or hearing the true facts about this country and the world at large. It is obvious that what we see happening in the Western world is being orchestrated by a race of people who want to see the destruction of the white race, their morality and their culture, even though they look white themselves. As far as they are concerned all other races are of no importance and simply useful idiots to do their bidding.

We have seen Western countries all succumbing to the violation of their respective ancient Constitutional laws, corrupt justice systems, corrupt law enforcement, loss of national sovereignties, political correctness, financial fraud on an industrial scale, forced multiculturalism, obsession with homosexuality and all the other sexual perversions, sexual exploitation of children in schools, children able to view pornography, real and virtual violence, sex grooming gangs of immigrants, drugs and it is no surprise that the suicide rate amongst teenagers is at an all-time high. This list goes on and on.

Depressing isn't it.

How will people cope when it becomes such that we will be frightened to step outside our front doors? Have you noticed that when people are faced with real life and death situations that something in them makes them say things like 'Jesus Christ' or 'Oh My God' (which now is so common that it is abbreviated to OMG), 'God help us' and other similar appeals to a deity that they once would have denied a belief in? This life and death situation is fast approaching each one of us now due to the above scenario. At this present time we cannot conceive being that scared but it will happen make no mistake about it! When that time does come how many of us will call out, in lots of cases hypocritically, and ask God to rescue us? That is exactly what God wants us to do but to do it now before it gets too bad! However people being people will still believe that things will get better right up until it is too late.

The Bible tells us that God's chosen people the Israelites, from whom the Caucasian race is descended, will face levels of evil and violence never before witnessed because they turned their back on Him and disobeyed his laws. The Bible also talks about there being two particular races of people - His people the Israelites, descended from Adam and a race of people descended from Cain that would be determined to try and eliminate God's people. This is what is meant in Gen 3:15:-

And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.

Many Christians think this refers to Mary and the death of Jesus and His subsequent victory over Satan.

The two seeds lines referred to here are the Israelites and the Jews. All the other races of people were the ones that God used to punish the Israelites when they turned their back on him. These people were created in Genesis chapter 1. Many of today's traditional Christians will not understand that because of what they are taught.

If we had observed God's laws then He would have protected us as he did the Israelites at different times in the Old Testament. However every time that the Israelites turned their back on him He gave them over to their enemies (people created in Gen 1) who did to them what we see being done to us today.

something in them makes them say things like 'Jesus Christ' or 'Oh My God' (which now is so common that it is abbreviated to OMG), 'God help us' and other similar appeals to a deity that they once would have denied a belief in? This we are at the edge of the precipice with no place life and death situation is fast approaching each one of us now due to the above scenario. At this present time we cannot conceive being that Our only hope of minimising this evil being inflicted on us is to call-out to God now as a nation in repentance. This is the most obvious thing to do but as a nation it won't happen until we are at the edge of the precipice with no place of safety to run to. When this happens then we will hear everyone calling out Jesus Christ or OMG!

For those of you who are into sci-fi isn't this what 'The Day The Earth Stood Still' film was all about? In the film everything that was of human creation including humans was going to be destroyed in order to save the non-human life forms on the Earth from destruction. In the end a pretty lady persuaded the Alien man that humans can change and will change when at the edge of the precipice and so the Alien called off the destruction.



I think it is much better and more rational to appeal to a creator that has predicted these world events in His book the Bible. When this time comes God will collect all the wicked and evil people together (both dead and

alive) and he will utterly destroy them. Who are these wicked people? Everyone who actively denied God. Who does that leave? The Israelites (Caucasian people) who have obeyed God's laws will be separated from all the others to live in harmony forever whilst all the other people will be ruled by Jesus and he won't be messing around with human rights etc - He will be ruling with a 'rod of iron'.

This parable 'The Tares and Wheat' explains the above paragraph. Do bear in mind that it is not given to everyone to understand the parables.

**Note**: Tares is a weed that looks just like wheat. When consumed it causes intoxication, drowsiness and stupor.

Matt 13:24-30; Another parable put he forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field: But while men slept, his enemy came also the wheat with them. Let both grow togethand sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way. But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also. So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares? He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up? But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up

er until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.

Don't despair it will all right on the night for those who hang in there!

The End

#### Antony C. Sutton — Feb. 14, 1925 - June 17, 2002 **Steven Lloyd**



'extreme' or, more often, simply ignored." The report was too much and Sutton's career as well-paid member of the academic

Technology . . . [Antony] Sutton comes to conclusions that are uncomfortable for many businessmen and economists. For this reason his work tends to be either dismissed out of hand as

establishment was under attack and he was told that he "would not survive".

His work led him to more questions than answers. "Why had the US built-up it's enemy? Why did the US build-up the Soviet Union, while we also transferred technology to Hitler's Germany? Why does Washington want to conceal these facts?"

NTONY SUTTON has been persecuted but never prosecuted for his research and subsequent publishing of his findings. His mainstream career was shattered by his devotion towards uncovering the truth. In 1968, his Western Technology and Soviet Economic Development was published by The Hoover Institute at Stanford University. Sutton showed how the Soviet state's technological and manufacturing base, which was then engaged in supplying the North Vietnamese the armaments and supplies to kill and wound American soldiers, was built by US firms and mostly paid for by the US taxpayers. From their largest steel and iron plant, to automobile manufacturing equipment, to precision ball-bearings and computers, basically the majority of the Soviet's large industrial enterprises had been built with the United States help or technical assistance.

Professor Richard Pipes of Harvard said in his book, Survival Is Not Enough: Soviet Realities and America's Future (Simon & Schuster; 1984): "In his three-volume detailed account

of Soviet Purchases of Western Equipment and



Sutton, following his leads, proceeded to research and write his three outstanding books on Wall Street, FDR, the Rise of Hitler, and The Bolshevik Revolution. Then, someone sent Antony a membership list of Skull and Bones and "a picture jumped out". And what a picture! A multigenerational foreign-based secret society with fingers in all kinds of pies and roots going back to 'Illuminati' influences in 1830's Germany.. The End OS21991

#### The Shetar's Effect On English Law By Judith A. Shapiro



**Notes:** A Law of the Jews Becomes the Law of the Land - No doubt a payback for funding William the Conqueror's invasion of England.

The rational study of law is still to a large extent the study of history. - Holmes, *The Path of the Law*.

NGLISH LAW, like the English language, is an amalgam of diverse cultural influences. The legal system may fairly be seen as a composite of discrete elements from disparate sources. After the conquest of 1066, the Normans imposed on the English an efficiently organized social system that crowded out many Anglo-Saxon traditions.[2] The Jews, whom the Normans brought to England,[3] in their turn contributed to the changing English society. The Jews brought a refined system of commercial law: their own form of commerce and a system of rules to facilitate and govern it. These rules made their way into the developing structure of English law.

Several elements of historical Jewish legal practice have been integrated into the English legal system.[4] Notable among these is the written credit agreement—shetar, or starr, as it appears in English documents. The basis of the shetar, or "Jewish Gage," was a lien on all property (including realty)[5] that has been traced as a source of the modern mortgage[.6] Under Jewish law, the shetar permitted a creditor to proceed against all the goods and land of the defaulting debtor.[7] Both "movable and immovable" property were subject to distraint.[8]

In contrast, the obligation of knight service under Anglo-Norman law barred a land transfer that would have imposed a new tenant (and therefore a different knight owing service) upon the lord.[9] The dominance of personal feudal loyalties equally forbade the attachment of land in satisfaction of a debt; only the debtor's chattels could be seized.[10] These rules kept feudal obligations intact, assuring that the lord would continue to be served by his own knights. When incorporated into English practice, the notion from Jewish law that debts could be recovered against a loan secured by "all property, movable and immovable" was a weapon of socio-economic change that tore the fabric of feudal society and established the power of liquid wealth in place of land holding.[11]

The Crusades of the twelfth century opened an era of change in feudal England. To obtain funds from Jews, nobles offered their land as collateral.[12] Although the Jews, as aliens, could not hold land in fee simple,[13] they could take security interests of substantial money value[14] That Jews were permitted to hold security interests in land they did not occupy expanded interests in land beyond the traditional tenancies[15] The separation of possessory interest from interest in fee contributed to the decline of the rigid feudal land tenure structure.[16]

At the same time, the strength of the feudal system's inherent resistance to this widespread innovation abated. By 1250, scutage[17] had completely replaced feudal services: tenant obligations had been reduced to money payments.[18] And as the identity of the principals in the landlord-tenant relationship became less critical, a change in the feudal rules restricting alienability of interests in land became possible.

One catalyst for this change may have been the litigation surrounding debt obligations to Jews secured by debtors' property. The Jews in Norman England had a specified legal status. They alone could lend money at interest.[19] They were owned by the King, and their property was his property.[20] The King suffered their presence only so long as they served his

interests[21]—primarily as a source of liquid England. Even as the Jews began to be excluded capital.[22]



Because money lending by Christians was infrequent, **English** law had not established its own forms of security.[23] The Jews operated within the framework their own legal practice,[24] which was based on Tal-

mudic law developed over centuries of study. But the peculiar status of the Jews as the Crown's de facto investment bankers encouraged the King to direct his courts to enforce the credit agreements made by Jews under their alien practice. This nourished the growth of Jewish law in a way that blurred the absolutes of feudal land tenure.[25] Previously inalienable rights in land gave way to economic necessities, and the English ultimately adopted the Jewish practices.[26]

This note examines a moment of contact between two peoples, when necessity, proximity, and social upheaval prompted a cultural exchange between the Jewish merchants and moneylenders and those they served. The note describes the effect on English law brought about by the King's Jews as they executed and registered debt instruments, assigned and enforced the underlying obligations, and generally survived by money-lending, the only profitable occupation open to them.[27] It first reviews the Jewish credit agreement and its function in Anglo-Norman feudal society. It then suggests a rational explanation for a development in medieval English law heretofore perceived only as an anomaly: that the early writs of debt, which were for recovery of money, used terminology more appropriate to an action for recovery of land. This confusion now appears to be merely the linguistic expression of an innovation in the law due to the development of an action to recover alternative relief: repayment of money lent or award of collateralized land.

Jewish law into English practice through a series of thirteenth century cases involving the by then become part of everyday business in content that loan agreements be formalized in

from money-lending, their procedures were adopted into the general English law governing debt registration and collection. In 1275, the statute "De Judeismo"[28] forbade the Jews' usurious practices.[29] In 1285, the Statute of Merchants[30] formalized creditor remedies that paralleled the provisions of the Jewish shetar.[31] In 1290, the Jews were expelled,[32] but their credit practices remained.

#### JEWISH CREDIT AGREEMENTS IN FEUDAL ENGLAND A. THE SHETAR IN JEWISH LAW

The law of the shetar, developed and elaborated by 500 A.D. in the Babylonian Talmud, ante-Norman Conquest dates the by centuries.[33] Historically, the "shetar hov" (or generally just "shetar") was an instrument that established formal obligation, either in contract or in debt.[34] At the moment that a debtor acknowledged his indebtedness through a shetar, a general lien was established, encumbering all the debtor's property as security for ultimate repayment." In case of default, the creditor could proceed not only against movable and immovable property held by the debtor, but also against encumbered land that the debtor had transferred to a third party.[36] The debt attached to the land, and the creditor's lien had priority over subsequent alienations.[37]

Because of the severe obligations imposed by the shetar, the contents of the instrument followed a standard form designed to ensure authenticity and precision. Each shetar recited standard clauses of obligation, the creditor's right to customary modes of execution, and a final phrase stating that the document was not merely a form but a statement of an express contract.[38] Inserted into the form language were the names of the parties, the sum and the currency of the debt, and the date of the obligation, thereby indicating the creation of the lien.[39] To prevent fraud, the document was signed by two witnesses who knew the parties.[40]

A nation of wanderers, in adapting to a variety Finally, the note focuses on the incorporation of of cultures, determined that the language in which the shetar was written should be irrelevant to its legal validity.[41] Thus, in dealings same Jewish litigant. Jewish debt procedure had with a surrounding Gentile populace, Jews were Latin or in the Norman French of early England. [42] Generally, the Jewish parties and witnesses would attest in instrument's formation, regardless of whether the lien was expressly written into the shetar. Jewish law originally did not attach debt obligation to chattels. During the amoraic period, Jewish law extended the lien to the movable property of the debtor if specifically noted in the shetar. But the rabbinic courts would not enforce a lien against movable property that had been sold by the debtor to a third party. Id.

Hebrew and the Christians in French or Latin.[43] Although neither party may have understood the other's language, the document had the full force of law in both communities.'[44]

The crucial limitation on debt collection under Jewish law was that a creditor had a lien against the debtor's land, but not against the debtor's person.[45] Personal freedom was not to be diminished by a debt obligation, and a creditor could not enslave one who was unable to repay him.[46] The origin of this practice was the Biblical protection of the dignity of debtors, as embodied in the injunction not to enter the debtor's home to receive a pledge, but rather to wait outside for the debtor to bring it out.[47] This was the structure of the law of obligation that the Jews brought with them to England.

#### B. NORMANS IN ENGLAND—A CENTRALIZING MONARCHY



Unique among its feudal neighbours, the Norman Duchy was governed as a centralized unit, with no baron strong enough to challenge the Duke's authority.[48] Although the Norman Duke owed fealty to the King of France, that King lacked effec-

tive power over his vassals, who independently governed their own territories.[49] In Normandy, however, feudalism was strictly territorial: a pyramid of land tenure embodied a system of military obligations ascending from knight through baron to Duke, from whom all land and authority derived.[50] On the continent, and

later in England, William the Conqueror set out to maintain and strengthen this Norman system of centralized governance.[51] With the Conquest, the Normans introduced to England a well-organized central authority.[52]

The early governance of conquered England concentrated power in the King. As William the Conqueror imposed the rigorous order of the feudal system, he avoided the system's tendency toward decentralization and disintegration that had sapped the power of the French kings.[53] He limited the power of his tenants-in-chief by granting each of them landholdings scattered over the realm, instead of large, contiguous tracts.[54] He governed the counties through sheriffs who depended on him for their power.[55] He maintained a national militia, thereby shunning total reliance on the loyalty of his tenants-in-chief.[56] And he had all significant landholders swear an oath of primary allegiance to him.[57] This concentration of power in the monarch grew during the successive reigns of a series of strong kings who increasingly assumed more power-military, legislative, and judicial-over the nation."

#### C. THE JEWS UNDER THE NORMAN KINGS

Outsiders in feudal society, both Anglo-Norman and continental, the Jews were not part of the network of land-based obligations. They could not own land. On the Continent, they were owned as chattels by the local lords, who protected the Jews' possessions on the understanding that what a Jew owned, he held for the ultimate use of his lord.[59] The Jews in Norman England, however, were within the exclusive domain of the King's personal control, living at his sufferance and according to his wishes.[60]

The first settlement of Jews in England came in the wake of William the Conqueror.[61] William determined that he should be the sole owner of Jews in England. Others could own Jews only with the King's permission as expressed by royal grant.[62] The Leges Edwardi Confessoris, a twelfth-century compilation and translation into Latin of laws attributed to Edward the Confessor,[63] contains a statute that, if not that ancient, adequately describes the Norman period:

Be it known that all Jews wheresoever they may be in this realm are of right under the tutelage and protection of the King, nor is it lawful for any of them to subject himself to any person of wealth without the King's licence. Jews and all their chattels are the King's property and if anyone withhold their money from them let the King recover it as his own.[64]

As chattels of the King, the Jews retained their own property at his pleasure. In the thirteenth century, Henry de Bracton wrote:





[a] Jew cannot have anything of his own, because whatever he acquires, he acquires not for himself but for the king, because they do not live for themselves but for others, and so they acquire for others and not for themselves.[65]

They lived where the King permitted, and when they died, their property vested in the King.[66] Because the ecclesiastical courts could proceed only against Christians,[67] the Jews operated free of the Church's usury prohibitions. The civil authorities openly permitted the Jews to lend money at interest and enforced their credit contracts, both for principal and interest.[68] As the Jews prospered the King did too, extracting from them the fruits of their monopoly on usury.[69]

Because it was worthwhile to protect Jewish subjects for their potential money value, successive sovereigns clarified the status of Jews. Charters of Henry I and Henry II[70] granted individual Jews rights to reside in England, to buy and sell goods, and to possess all lands, fiefs, purchases, and pledges coming into their hands.[71] Subject to estate taxes,[72] Jews were permitted to inherit property and loans. Richard I's charter, [73] granted in 1190, confirmed these rights. John affirmed the early charters in 1201, extending their coverage to all Jews and adding the right hold "mortgages."[74]

Under John's charter, a Jew was free "quietly to sell his gage where it be certain that he has held it for a full year and a day."[75] The charter further clarified that in suits between Jews and Christians, litigation rights were explicit and, in some cases, advantageous to the Jews. The "bare oath" on the Torah of a Jewish defendant sufficed to rebut a charge against him by a plaintiff unaccompanied witnesses;[76] a Christian defendant similarly situated might be required to "wage his law" with compurgators.[77] A suit against a Jewish defendant was tried by a jury of his "peers." [78] And although a Jewish plaintiff could use a writ to substitute for a required witness, a Christian plaintiff could not.[79] Trials involving Jews and Christians could be held only in the King's courts,[80] while jurisdiction of disputes between Jews remained with the Jewish courts.[81]

But the Jews had fewer rights in themselves and in their possessions than did the least vassal to the King. The underlying reality was that the Jews were no more than the embodiment of the King's accounts receivable. Jews were subject to periodic tallage and tithing when the King required them to turn over money that was held, ultimately, on his behalf.[82] The King preserved the Jews and their investments as representing his own financial future.

The royal charters, in effect, permitted the Jews usufruct of money[83] much as their Christian neighbours were permitted use of the land. At the King's pleasure, they could derive a livelihood by lending money at interest. Because Jews could lend money at interest, they were available to finance excursions to continental Europe and on Crusade.[84] In addition to the extraordinary fiscal demands of the Crusades, the nobles still owed knight service. Taxpaying began to replace personal service in the practice of "scutage"—money assessed from landowners in lieu of knight fees.[85] For this too, the Jews' assets were liquid, and available for a fee.

It was convenient to the realm to have a source of credit. It was further convenient that the profits from the loan arrangements, forbidden to Christians, be available to the King via his Jews. And it was to the King's advantage to enforce the contracts of credit made by the Jews.

#### To be continued

#### **Letters And Views**



Copy of a Letter to Bernard Hogan-Howe Commissioner of the Metropolis

#### **Ref Simon Hughes MP and Denizens**

Sir—On being admitted to the office of constable you took an oath before God and too your Queen to uphold the laws of England to the best of your ability. So far you have failed to impress us with the best of your ability, but hope they say reigns eternal so lets try again.

A Denizen is a naturalised person half way between a natural born subject of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II and a alien, he can buy sell inherit or leave property and experiences most though not all the rights and freedoms of a natural born subject.

A Denizen can not be admitted to any order of Nobility, sit in either house of Parliament nor may he sit on the Privy Council, nor hold any public office. Our Most Sovereign Lady Queen Elizabeth II is refused permission to change this by English Common and Constitutional law, the 1559 Act of Supremacy and the 1689 Declaration and Bill of Rights. As well as a natural restriction placed upon the Sovereign Kings and Queens of England. As made crystal clear by the above two Major Constitutional Laws.

Now this I am sure will give you more than a twinge of conscience, but the attached article on our friend Simon Hughes MP in which he clearly attempts to subvert the Common and Constitutional law of England by inviting Muslims who by definition are at best Denizens at worst the enemy of the free world to hold the highest offices in the land. Offices they are forbidden by law to hold. Offices Her Most Gracious Majesty

Queen Elizabeth II is forbidden by law from granting them.

Ah you say but they already hold high office, and this is true because treacherous politicians have betrayed this Kingdom and Her Majesty for personnel gain, and allowed them to curry favour.

I am requesting and requiring you in the name of the law to.

- (1) Investigate Simon Hughes MP for treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act by imagining the death of Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II by taking upon him self an authority refused by the law to Her Majesty as supreme governor of England.
- (2) Arrest and remove every Denizen in either House of Parliament so as to ascertain by questioning under caution by what means they obtained their advancement. So that those who proposed them can be arrested and questioned under caution with a view to placing them on trial for treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act.
- (3) You are further required by law to remove from office every Denizen who purports to hold the office of constable which state is a public office.
- (4) You are further required by the ancient laws of England to remove every Denizen from public office throughout the length and breadth of this ancient Kingdom.

I would strongly recommend that you invest some of your resources on the best Constitutional lawyers available failure to act constitutes a neglect of duty and a Misprision of treason at common law. Respectfully submitted, Albert Burgess.

#### The Cheesewrings, Bodmin Moor Powerful Ley line

The powerful energy forces that criss-cross our planet, which many people call ley lines are in fact Holy energy lines laid down by YHWH (God), when He created the world.



If you are a Christian no harm will come to you when you visit these strange so called ley line hotspots, but if you seek that which is not of YHWH in these places you will be putting yourself in considerable danger.

I visited this place recently when I returned to the place of my birth Cornwall. My ancestors were all miners and farmers who worked this ancient land. My grandfather worked down a mine at Dolcoath Camborne, he began his work down there at the age of fourteen, this mine is one of the deepest mines in West Cornwall.

Many tales he would tell of the strange happenings deep down under the earth's crust, to an outsider it would be very frightening to enter these deep caverns under the earth, but the Cornish miners had great faith in their Christian belief and knew that the power of Christ would bring a far greater light to those dark places than any candle ever could. Armed with a cross in their right hand and a pick in their left, they dug out these rich minerals, and made England what it is today.

Now I encountered a power surge which caused my camera to malfunction at the top of this tor (hill), and some other spiritual happening which I will not disclose at this time, but I can assure you that it was an encounter of the highest heavenly spiritual realm, which would shatter the unbelief of the unbeliever. Yours truly, William Bolitho, The Netherlands

### Robert Relf: Death of an English Patriot

**Sir**—,Robert Relf, an English patriot and former member of the Parachute Regiment, died on 12 October, 2014, aged 90. Robert was an English 'race martyr' who briefly became a cause

célèbre for the media in the 1970's, due to being persecuted for daring to decide who he could sell his own property to.

Robert first came to national attention in 1976 when he advertised his house in Leamington Spa as being "For Sale - to an English family only". He was found to be in breach of the Jewish-instigated and created "Race Relations Act" and was jailed for contempt of court when he refused to take the sign down.

Robert's plight was taken up by some of the more honest elements of the media as an example of the draconian nature of race legislation and there was an outcry when Robert was imprisoned for his actions.

However, the press turned against him when articles appeared in the Sunday Times, a pro-Zionist newspaper, stating that he had been a member of the British Movement and had served as a bodyguard to Colin Jordan.

In jail, Robert went on hunger strike and was released from prison the same year, although by now much of the popular support he had enjoyed had died away.

By way of contrast, in May this year, a house in the heavily Muslim area of Lancashire had a similar sign in the window advertising rooms to let for Pakistanis only. When passers by reported the sign to the police they weren't interested.

After leaving prison, Robert briefly rejoined the British Movement, but devoted most of his energies to the National Front.

In September 1978, he was handed a £10 fine for refusing to wear a motorcycle crash helmet in protest at the legal exemption from the requirement for Sikhs.

He then disappeared from public life until 1991 when he fell into the public spotlight again, this time over a letter sent to the Conservative Party in Cheltenham.

In the letter, Robert attacked local Tories for their decision to endorse John Taylor, a Black African and current member of the House of Lords, as their candidate for the 1992 general election. Robert suggested that those who had chosen Taylor should be strung up because Taylor wanted a nation of half-breeds.

Taylor failed to win the traditional Conservative seat of Cheltenham in the 1992 election, losing to Nigel Jones of the Liberal Democrats.

In later years, Robert and his wife moved to the Kentish seaside town of Birchington-on-Sea. In August 2001, Robert barricaded himself into his bungalow in Birchington and refused to pay a council tax levy to help asylum seekers. He claimed it would mean pensioners in the UK

would be financially worse off than those seeking asylum.

In 2002, he refused to complete a census form as there was no opportunity to state his nationality as English. Robert was jailed for a week after refusing to pay a £100 fine.

Robert Relf was a patriot and a warrior. He will be sadly missed. Yours truly, A Southend Patriot.

#### 86 Year Old German Woman Lays Charges Against The Central Council Of The Jews In Germany From Our Midland Correspondent



vou won't hear in the "mainstream media" nor the alternative, unless it is first put through the wash and spin cycle. Thus, I will do my to simply translate and present this important and newsworthy story to the world for

consideration as provided by the original author, whom I shall introduce, as well as provide some context for her perspective, as well as my own.

Frau Ursula Haverbeck, born in 1928, is an 86 year old survivor of the genocidal Allied terror bombing, the Russian onslaught of rape and pillage on Germany's Eastern frontier, the anti-German pogroms and expulsions, and the postwar Morgenthau Plan of mass starvation perpetrated against Germany, the enslavement and mass murder of surrendered German soldiers as well as, of the post-war cultural genocide of the German nation by the criminal victors.

Many today still live in "denial" of these obvious and provable crimes, but no law prevents anyone from voicing their denial, so no one has ever been jailed for denying these facts. Nor is any notion of "collective guilt and punishment" forced upon the citizens of the nations which perpetrated these monstrous "war crimes" and "crimes against humanity". The peoples of the

Allied nations have not been divided, and had their land, culture and heritage stolen. Nor have they been forced to pay reparations to the Germans for this "Unholicaust". No one has ever been jailed for spreading "false news" and "inciting hatred" toward the German people, though it is committed daily in the mass media; in books, films, TV shows, newspaper articles, schools, and in everyday average conversation amongst people everywhere.

Frau Haverbeck is a very learned, lucid and articulate lady, who is in good physical and mental health. She holds several university degrees, including in the field of Education. She, along with her late husband (a professor and former Eastern Front soldier) were both, in past years, very involved with environmental concerns in Germany, and were activists for the preservation of the German language and culture. On November 20th, 2014, Frau Haverbeck made history by laying criminal charges against the Central Council of the Jews in Germany, under S. 344 of German Penal Code with "False Prosecutions" perpetrated against innocent Germans concerning their "denial of the The crime of False holocaust" (TM). Prosecution is punishable with up to 10 years imprisonment.

In this recent video entitled "The Biggest Problem of Our Time", she cited the ever diminishing publicly acknowledged numbers of Jews who died at Auschwitz, as already acknowledged by official sources, and which can also be read by all on the plaque outside the former Auschwitz camp.

She referred to an article to this effect in the heinous crime ever committed. Then let us nationally published magazine "Der Spiegel" in 2002. Frau Haverbeck also stated that original NS German documents concerning the exact orders pertaining to the duties at Auschwitz which had been seized by the Soviet Union after the war, were now in possession of the mainstream "Institut für Zeitgeschicht" (Institute for History), and also available to the public for a fee of €124.00 since 2000.

Frau Haverbeck says that "these documents indicate very clearly, in full detail, that the Auschwitz camp was not an 'Extermination Camp', but rather a 'labour camp' for the defence industry, and moreover, that those in charge of its operation were under orders to maintain the health of the prisoners to the best of their ability for the purposes of production, which was essential for the continuing war effort". She adds, "that is what those who worked there and have been accused of war crimes have always maintained!"

"Surely by now" Frau Haverbeck says "an official revision which encompasses these facts should have taken place and that all judgments made against so-called 'deniers' should have been overturned, as the evidence is available which supports their position, but nothing of the sort has happened. Many are still sitting in jail to this day. This puts the onus back on the accusers who continue to claim that 6 Million Jews were exterminated, to show us where the physical evidence is that supports their claim. Where are they?"

Frau Haverbeck stated that "I and others have petitioned possible every government department and branch of justice and have provided them with these documents, and yet nothing has happened. There has been no reply! The reason for that must be that they have no response as they have no evidence, as there was no holocaust".

"If this was an indeed such an 'open and shut case' that is irrefutable, as the courts have ruled over and over again, and which they never tire of telling us, their case cannot rest upon any so-called 'secret order' . And for them to now have to acknowledge a drastically reduced number of victims is for them also not possible, given the alleged singularity of this event, as

consider with and compare this 'Rheinwiesenlager' (Eisenhower's Death Camps), Dresden, Hiroshima, and horrors experienced by the many millions of German expellees and refugees from the East!"



Frau Haverbeck then goes on to state that "in statements made at Nuremberg, no one testified to the presence of any gas chambers, and that confessions, particularly those of Rudolf Höss, are now well known to have been extracted through unimaginable, excruciating torture, to which anyone would have confessed to the mass murder of millions. No testimony, received by such means, as legal experts know, can be given consideration. Why is it that there should even be such a law as S. 130 that should deny us the ability to question this? And how is it that the entire body of defence testimony and uncountable volume of physical evidence which they tried to provide was completely ignored or destroyed? Such could only have proved to have been incriminating if something sinister had actually taken place. Obviously, they (the prosecutors) could prove nothing, and so these witnesses and their evidence had to disappear." She goes on to question how this is possible in Germany for the authorities to ignore, and asks whose interests these "authorities" "academics" etc truly serve? (This roughly the first 10 minutes of the 19 minute long video. I'll leave it there in the interest of time and length.) In a statement posted on her website, Frau Haverbeck writes:

"After weeks of reading on the internet that 'there was no Holocaust' all processes in which critical-thinking citizens convicted of Holocaust denial under section 130, paragraph 3 of the Criminal Code must now be re-opened.

These citizens have committed no other crime other than that they came to the same conclusions earlier, that there may not have been the one and the only, and the biggest and most any such "holocaust", because of their own due diligence and scientific knowledge.

the competent courts in order to make them now aware of this new evidence. Then, contact their respective lawyers to clarify as to how to proceed. It was outrageous what took place after the reduction of the number of victims of Auschwitz, which was officially announced to the public. with no explanation, nor apology for giving false information. Whereas, the 'Spiegel' Editor, who wrote the same thing in an essay based upon the new archival discoveries, etc., has gone unpunished.



Even more serious and incompatible with the simultaneous arrest and jailing of the chemist Germar Rudolf. (Left) He had, with his chemistry expertise and scientific into investigations Zyklon as potential gassing

agent, come to the conclusion that the holocaust, as hitherto described, could not have happened at Auschwitz.

Now this is unacceptable: on the one side, the documents of the Commandant of Auschwitz show that this was a labour camp for the defence industry and not an extermination camp, yet the Ludwigsburg Central Office for prosecution of 'National Socialist crimes' has indicted about thirty men, now over ninety years old, on the charge that they had been involved an the extermination camp at Auschwitz, and in the murder of hundreds of thousands of people.

If the German citizen is still the supreme sovereign under the Basic Law in this Federal Republic of Germany, then each of us has a responsibility for the truth, and a right to expect that justice be done. This is the basic responsibility of every citizen. It is, therefore, also the duty of each to also work towards the restoration of law and justice."

Approx. 2,000 Germans are charged each year in Germany under S.130 of Penal Code for "Holocaust Denial" which is punishable with up to 5 years imprisonment and fines, and also has

All interested parties should immediately consult themselves unable to work and shut out of organizations, etc. In the media they are demonised and vilified as "Neo-Nazis" and "right-wing extremists". The term "Nazi" is, as I have previously reported, a pejorative term created by the enemies of National Socialism long before Hitler ever came to power, and it is still wielded as a weapon to this day, in Germany and elsewhere, to stifle dissent and discussion, and to incite hatred.

> Frau Haverbeck, herself, has been labelled as such and has previously been charged and convicted as a "Holocaust denier" and also with "Volksverhetzung" (inciting hatred) for her rule of law was the efforts to speak out on behalf of the German people who to do this day are burdened with the alleged guilt of starting the war, of war crimes, crimes against humanity, and the systematic mass murder of the European Jews.

> > Questioning any of this in "liberated" Germany is punishable with imprisonment. Presenting evidence to the contrary is not allowed. It is also forbidden in Canada and many European nations. Here is just a partial list of others (Germans and non-Germans) who have been tried, convicted, stalked, harassed and violently attacked for sharing their views and for presenting factual evidence contrary to the official and legally enforced narrative.

#### **Quotes from Frau Havenbeck:**

"Wir leben gar nicht in einer echten Demokratie, was man schon daran erkennen kann, daß alle Angst haben. Die Herrscher haben vor dem Volk Angst, deshalb gibt es Maulkorbgesetze, und das Volk hat vor den Regierenden Angst, deshalb wagt es nicht zu sagen, was es denkt." ~ Ursula Haverbeck vor dem Landgericht Dortmund, 11. Juni 2007.

Translation: "We do not live in a real democracy, which one can easily see when everyone lives in fear. The Controllers are afraid of the people, and for this reason, they have made "muzzle laws", and so the people live in fear of those who rule over them. Therefore, the people do not dare to speak their minds." ~ Ursula Havenbeck, before the State Court in Dortmund, 11th June, 2007

"Vaterland und Muttersprache bilden die social penalties, as those convicted find Grundlage jeder völkischen Entwicklung. [...]

Haverbeck als Referentin im Bürgerzentrum, März 2010

Translation: "Fatherland and Mother Tongue are the basis for any folkish (German cultural)

Ein Sklave, der seine Ketten nicht spürt, sehnt development. [...] A slave who does not realize sich niemals nach der Freiheit." ~ Ursula he is in chains will never seek to free himself" ~ NPD- Ursula Havenbeck, as guest speaker of the NPD-Citizen Centre, March 2010.

**The End OS21983** 

#### Who Stole All The Wealth?

By T. Stokes



HE PATRIOTIC BRITISH AUTHOR EMILY BRONTE who 100 years ago wrote the masterpiece of literature "Wuthering Heights" she said; "The tyrant grinds down his slaves and they don't turn against him, they just crush those beneath him."

Exactly 100 years ago in Emily Bronte's time the British Empire covered a third of the world, but two ridiculous world wars for reasons historians cannot adequately explain, and waffle about an Archduke in Austria and agreements with Poland, explanations which just don't stack up, have depleted Britain and other nations wealth but made the bankers rich beyond belief, this money stolen from us is actually loaned back to us at high interest by the IMF (International Monetary fund) which is really Rothschild who stole the money in the first place.

Communism was instigated as a way of robbing the people and keeping the wealth in the hands of the few, and one of the rules of Marxism is that all wealth is owned ultimately by the state.

Many British people have a high percentage of their wealth tied up in a motorcar, but the

government secretly changed the laws so you no longer own that car, you are now only the registered keeper, and because the government now owns it they can take it and crush it if they want and they often do.

All property is theft, runs the Marxist proverb and now all property in Britain has been confiscated, you are no longer the owner of your house you are the occupier, because the government owns everything you have and even you as a person and the banks own the government that owns you, this is what Hitler fought to smash.

Before each major war we have a severe depression as the banks claw in every penny and every cent from the people, and we are now informed Fort Knox the US governments giant secure piggy bank where they hold the people's money, is empty, the German people have asked for their gold back from the US, and the USA has been fudging and it is said the US have spent others wealth too.

British author Emily Bronte was so loved that when the traitor Guy Burgess who sold Britain to Rothschild and the communists dashed off to hide in Russia, he took with him Bronte sister's books and a dress and bonnet from that period. homosexuality was seen as an abomination in Russia and they confiscated his suitcase.

The Daily Mail of Feb 20 2013 had an article claiming that more than 600,000 Londoners had been squeezed out during the past 10 years, the Census figures show White people left London, that's the population of Glasgow pushed out by newcomers, this shows that in every major English City white people are now in a minority, a distinction between English white people and coloured and said English people are Anglo Saxon, how could they be any other colour other than white?

The Daily Mail article went on that only 45% of What would Emily Bronte have said? people in England are white and it's going down by the year, Left wing activist Carl Markus said

Paul Wilson of the ex-servicemen's assoc made the English person is the new Red Indian, despised and outdated in their own land, the government only wants newcomers, fresh people and mixed nationalities for the planned new cosmopolitan era.

The End OS21882

#### **Proof of The Zionist Takeover of the BBC! Eurofolk Raio**



The British Broadcasting Corporation (BBC) is world's best known international broadcaster, and with over 23,000 staff, certainly the largest. It is a public service broadcaster which is owned by the British government, has an annual revenue of in excess of £5 billion (\$8.4) billion), primarily funded by an annual television tax charged to all households in Britain.

as a close study of its inner workings, controlling figures and methods of indoctrination show, it another Jewish propaganda outlet.

The current head of BBC Television is the Jewish Supremacist Danny Cohen—who, prior to his April 2013 appointment to that position, was the Controller of BBC One, the BBC's principal television channel in the United Kingdom.

Danny Cohen is not only a dedicated Zionist-Jewish Supremacist, he is of elite Jewish pedigree that is specially honoured by Jews all over the world, and that has special status in Israel. Cohen is a "Kohen," plural Kohanim, the most revered Jews of Judaism and of Israel. In

fact, although Israel has laws against marrying non-Jews, some exceptions are sometimes allowed for converts. However, the Kohanim, as the elite of the Jews, have even more stringent proofs of pure Jewish racial descent than that of SS elite in National Socialist Germany.

In Israel the Israeli Government under the control of the head rabbis, will not allow a Kohen to marry even a Gentile convert to Judaism! In fact, in Israel a Kohen by law cannot marry any "Jew" that has a single drop of non-Jewish blood.

Prior to controlling BBC One, Cohen was Controller of BBC Three, for three years between 2007 and 2010. He started out his "television executive" career with the UK's commercial Channel 4, where he took up various roles including Head of Documentaries. Head of Factual Entertainment and Head of digital television channel E4 ("Entertainment 4").

The BBC is supposed to be "independent"—but, Cohen's past "entertainment achievements" include the commissioning of a number of "shows", identical in content to the sort of trash is, as of August 2014, nothing more than yet produced by his coreligionists in Hollywood and Supremacist-controlled on American TV. Cohen's creations include:

> Skins: The show depicts sixth-form pupils indulging in drink and drugs binges and idolizes dysfunctional families, eating disorders and sexual identity,

> The Inbetweeners, which follows a group of socially awkward teenagers who make crude comments about girls, get drunk, and break the law.

> Snog, Marry, Avoid, which depicts so-called 'slap addicts" who are given a make-under, rather than a make-over, and

Hotter than my Daughter, which features parents who think they are more attractive than their children. Such shows are typically completely pre-scripted (as in the US's "Storage Wars" series") but are presented as "reality" and exploit all manner of real and imagined human weaknesses.

The BBC's current head of Statistics is another Jewish Supremacist by the name of Anthony Reuben. Prior to taking over this key position, Reuben was a senior personal finance reporter at The Money Channel, a program Reuters Television, **Business** producer at Sky News, Output editor at Financial Times Television—and did his internship at 2014) article titled "Caution needed with Gaza the Jerusalem Post in Israel.

According to Reuben's Linkedin business profile, his position as "head of statistics" was created especially for him by the "BBC Innovation Fund to be a champion of statistical robustness across BBC News."

Supremacists in the BBC. There are many more, as prime examples of how this supposedly independent and state-owned corporation is manipulated in the interests of the Zionist conspiracy.

The perfect example of Jewish Supremacist control of BBC output comes with the wellknown and respected financial journalist Max Keiser. Commissioned to do a series called "The Oracle" for BBC World News, Keiser quit after ten episodes—because, as he announced on Twitter:

In other words, Keiser, who now works for the RT news channel, was allowed to mention anything at all—except Israel, and by implication, Jews and the Jewish role in world financial scandals and problems.

In a second Twitter comment on the same subject, Keiser said that the BBC has a "no go' policy re: Israel."

He then linked to an article showing how the British government was actually arming Israel, and posed the question "Is this why? Revealed Britain's 'role' in arming Israel."

So, the Jewish grip over the leading international TV outlet in the world is such can even censor their programs who dare to mention Israel. One must remember that most people will put their career first, so when their bosses which give them lucrative programs, tell them not to mention Israel, they usually do it for their self-interest and self-preservation. Kaiser exposed this, but one can only imagine how many others go along with the Jewish demands.

The second example of how the BBC, under its current Jewish supremacist bosses, manipulates the news in favour of the Zionist fanatics, came with Anthony Reuben's recent (August 11,

casualty figures."

As pointed out, Reuben is a big Zionist with a former position in the top echelons of Israeli media!

In this article, Reuben attempts to create the impression that the United Nations reports on the

These are but two of the host of Jewish number of civilian deaths and injuries suffered by innocent men, women and children as a result but for the purposes of this study, they will serve of the attacks on Gaza by Israel, are misleading.

> In a carefully-chosen image which accompanies the article, a picture of a wounded Palestinian child adorns the website page. This is a subtle psychological trick—the Jewish Supremacists want readers to associate pictures of wounded Palestinian children with "fake statistics" and thereby get the racist Zionists off the hook.

> For someone whose specialty is supposed to be "robust statistics," Reuben's article actually says nothing. No facts at all are produced to contradict the official UN figures on the number of dead and wounded civilians.

> Instead, all that Reuben does is make comments such as "it is hard to say with certainty at this stage how many of the dead in Gaza are civilians and how many were fighters" and that "some of the conclusions being drawn from them [the UN figures] may be premature."

> Reuben does not produce any facts at all to support his claims—and the article is obviously an attempt to spread disinformation by innuendo

Max Kaiser

The reason I quit my BBC

show: got strict orders not to

mention Israel in any context.

This kind of censorship leads

to Gaza horror.

commonly used Jewish propaganda tactic.

Not only does Reuben hope that the title and image of the article create a subconscious association between injured or dead Palestinian children and "fake statistics, but it is also an attempt to whitewash Israel's genocidal war crimes in Gaza—and this, from a publiclyfunded and supposedly independent state broadcaster.

In the pre-internet era, the broader public would have been exposed only to the propaganda which Jewish Supremacists like Cohen and Reuben would have put out.



#### The Los Angeles Jewish Times

#### The Zionist Media Conglomerates

"Four of the largest five entertainment giants are now run or owned by Jews. Murdoch's News Corp (at number 4) is the only Gentile Holdout - however Rupert is as pro-Israel as any Jew, probably more so." - October 29, 1999

It is little wonder therefore, that the Jewish racists have been able to have their own way and manipulate the public, especially in the West, for

Supremacist so many years. 99.9 percent of the people watching BBC TV have no idea that the man who runs it all is a dedicated Jewish supremacist. They have no idea when the read the article on Gaza statistics on the BBC that it was written by a Jewish Zionist who formerly worked in Jewish media.

> If this is the condition of government run media such as the BBC what is the situation in the private, mostly Hollywood centred TV and movie industry which is owned overwhelmingly by Jews? Here is an article from the Jewish Times of Los Angeles boasting of the Zionist control over the world's largest media conglomerates.

> Thankfully, however, the advent of the internet has pulled the exclusivity of information rug out from under the feet of Jewish Supremacists such as Cohen and Reuben.

> Once the wall of silence and disinformation is broken down, the fall of Jewish Supremacism is not far behind. - Dr. David Duke

> This video goes into details about the Kohanim. It has a restriction on it, because it reveals the uncomfortable truth

> > The End OS21884

#### The Greatest Conspiracy of The Last Century By Pastor August B. Kreis III



entry into World War I our citizens and soldiers were told that "The War to End All Wars" was being World Safe For historians pointed World War I was

fought over money.

Shortly after our entry into World War II our of citizens and soldiers were told that the War was being fought to "stop Nazi aggression" and to "stop the monster Adolf Hitler." Over sixty years later we are still being told the same thing.

As the historians who dissected the root cause of World War I proved, wars are not fought over fought to "*Make the* ideology. Wars are fought over money.

**Democracy.**" Later What if World War II was fought to preserve oil company profits? Sound far-fetched? Let's look out the root cause of at some of the evidence.

trade rivalry between John D. Rockefeller got his start selling crude Great Britain and Germany, i.e. World War I was oil as a cure for constipation. Out of that humble "snake oil" beginning evolved our modern cylinder radial copied from our Pratt and pharmaceutical industry.

Whitney engines, ran on alcohol. The Zero could



The cotton thread had been invented in 1791. Up until that time a lot of clothing had been made from the hemp or marijuana plant. Hemp was and is 26 times more durable than cotton. The cotton could be processed by machine. Hemp couldn't. Then, in the early 1930's, International Harvester built a machine that would process hemp. It was in the early 1930's that the petroleum industry introduced synthetic fabrics, such as nylon and rayon. Then, in 1937, Congress passed the Marijuana Stamp Tax Act. If you wanted hemp, even for clothing, you had to pay a \$100 a pound tax. This was in the days when a brand new car cost \$900.

Prior to World War II petroleum had competition for use as a fuel. Chrysler was shipping cars to New Zealand equipped to run on alcohol. In the Philippines everything up to and including locomotives ran on alcohol made from sugar cane. Europeans ran a tank full of alcohol through their automobiles on a semi-annual basis in order to clean the carbon off their cylinder heads.

The Chinese were running their diesels on tung (vegetable) oil. Every farm in America had a steam engine. The fuel for the boiler was wood, corn stover, or the like.

On December 7, 1941 we were dragged into World War II. Supposedly the Japanese had previously invaded Manchuria, a program we allegedly interfered with, in a search for oil. At least, that's what we're told.

However, when our Marines opened drums marked "Aviation Fuel" written in Japanese at Guadalcanal, what they found was alcohol. The Japanese Zero, a wooden airplane with a ninecylinder radial copied from our Pratt and Whitney engines, ran on alcohol. The Zero could outrun and out climb anything we had at the beginning of the War. Alcohol allows the use of a higher compression in the engine, runs cooler, and permits higher rpms.

During the War the government sent people around to collect steam engines from farms in order to melt them down into planes, ships, tanks, and guns. Whether this was actually necessary was a dubious proposition. Henry Ford, for example, unloaded iron ore in one end of his factory and spit completed machines out the other. Ford's Willow Run plant alone produced 1,000 B-29 bombers a month. It is unlikely that an occasional melted-down steam engine would have provided that much extra iron for the war effort



The War ended in 1945. By 1946 a steam locomotive had reached such a level of efficiency that it took only a cup of water and a pound of coal to move one ton one mile on the rails.

That same year the rest of the world was using petroleum products for fuel exclusively. The last steam locomotive was built in the United States in 1947. The conversion to diesel, a petroleum product, was in progress.

Today steam is used only in large municipal power plants. A handful of people run their diesel cars on vegetable oil or their sparkignition car engines on alcohol.

Was World War II fought to make the world safe for petroleum? And is the interest in alternative fuels partially responsible for the planned invasion of Iraq?

The End OS21886

#### The Destruction of A Great Nation By **John Paul Jones** (With Acknowledgement to Eurofolk Radio)



AM A YOUNG BRITON who was brought up in London, the capitol of a tiny country once called Great Britain. It is with great sadness that I feel compelled to leave the country that I have served as a soldier, the country of my ancestors. But I could not bring a child into this degrading, violent, multi-racial cesspit.

The decline of a nation that once ruled one quarter of the earth's surface is almost complete. We can no longer control the crime in our own backyard. We can no longer speak the truth. Britain has repeated the mistakes that the United States first made. We have been subjected to a carefully coordinated and sustained attack on everything White, moral and decent. Our once proud Union Flag has now been outlawed by the vast majority of local authorities, making it a prosecutable offence to display it even in your own home.

for authority and no work ethic. Our famous British bobbies, once the model for a modern police force, are too outnumbered, too ill-funded and too afraid of "political correctness" to do their jobs. Our once fearsome armed forces have been forced to accept homosexuals, disabled people and the low-grade beggars from a hundred nations we once ruled. The members of our once proud Parliament are embroiled in every corrupt and immoral practice imaginable. Cabinet ministers are paid mercenaries for every special interest except the White people of Britain. Our more depraved political leaders cruise Hampstead Heath for homosexual encounters. Our once envied National Health Service is forced to send its patients to Greece and Turkey because it can no longer cope.

How did this politically correct assault on Britain succeed? Because we did nothing to oppose it. First came the softening up. We were told that we needed these primitive immigrants, who have now flooded our country, to fill a labour shortage. Then we were bombarded with stories of how these "poor, decent, hard-working" immigrants were subjected to terrible mistreatment. We were told that we owed them hand outs. Then these "poor, decent, hardworking" immigrants started committing the most terrible of crimes, shootings, gang-rapes and muggings. But this was "not their fault," the liberals told us. Our mistreatment of the dark races turned them that way. We were told that our past history of slavery and colonialism was so horrific that their crimes paled to insignificance. In fact we owed such a debt to them we needed to appoint them to positions of power, regardless of merit, ability or loyalty just to show how much we deplored our history and hated ourselves.

Then came the next generation, brought up on stories of slavery and oppression. (It was conveniently forgotten that Britain was the first nation to outlaw slavery, almost two hundred Our once great education system churns out years ago, or that Britain brought medicine, illiterate, ignorant violent thugs with no respect railways and progress to nations that had lived under the most brutal warlords and sadistic tribal chiefs imaginable.) We were taught that Britain harmed the dark races so much that they now deserve everything free at our expense. It is their birth right now. Then came the flood of people from outside the Commonwealth. These people are not called "illegal immigrants." They are given a politically correct (and dishonest) new name "asylum seekers." We were told that we have a duty to feed, clothe and house them at our expense because all the current problems of the Third World should be blamed on us.

Then came the assault on the police. They are "institutionally racist" because most of their arrests are poor "oppressed" non-Whites, who never mug, sell drugs, shoplift, or do anything to deserve police attention. The schools had long had their turn, teaching White British children of their guilt and worthlessness, that their beliefs and culture were of no importance. The army

came next, with its "institutional racism" and its racist traditions and practices. And so fell the last bastions of power left to oppose the wholesale handover of a once great nation to an invasion by primitive people.

All through this we sat back. "Someone will do something, but I don't want to get involved."

Now there is no one left but our Jewish masters, the wire-pullers, who control every important move our politicians make. A breed of people that implant themselves into nations as weeds implant themselves into gardens and choke the life and beauty away in their parasitic grip.

May God have mercy on the soul of Great Britain.

The End OS21887

## Fifty Years of Oppression by Roger Roots



HEN GERMANY WAS DEFEATED in 1945, conditions were set for an extended totalitarian state maintained by the continual suppression of political dissidents. Military occupation by the unchallenged Allied conquerors, combined with the continuous agitation of the world's powerful Jewish community, have made Germany the single greatest violator of human rights in Europe.

Some facts that are not widely known about Germany:

Any "anti-Semitic" statements or expressions critical of Jewish power, political influence or control of media is punishable by up to five years in prison.

Any denial of the "Holocaust" is now punishable by up to five years in prison, (That is to say, any denial of the official Hollywood version of the Holocaust—and only that which was perpetrated upon the work camp internees of the Germans, not the Germans themselves).

Modern Germans can be jailed for showing any reverence for the soldiers who fought or died for the Nazi government during WWII. To this day, no sizeable monument exists anywhere in Germany honouring the millions of Germans who gave their lives in the defence of their country.

The post-war East German Stasi (East Germany's notorious secret police force) deployed twice as many agents as the Nazi Gestapo did in a population five times as great. Thus the proportion of Stasi agents to Gestapo agents was no less than 10 to 1.

Unlike the despotic Communist regime of East Germany, the Nazi government was elected to power by its own people, and was only defeated by a world in arms. The East German regime was crushed by its own people.

The Nazi government was a profound proponent of high literacy and involvement in political issues among the German population. Hitler himself zealously pushed programs to increase library use and regarded public libraries as an important institution. A public library was provided for every village of 500 or more and even soldiers in the field were provided easy access to library service. The current Germans, on the contrary, are greatly ignorant of contemporary issues and the actions of their government.



Although the Nazi government is often criticised for its censorship of Communist books and reading materials, far more books are banned today by the German government than were ever banned under the Nazis. At any time during Nazi Germany, a German could purchase most major international publications at any metropolitan newsstand, including The New York Times, The Washington Post, The London Daily News, and other papers. Germany's highly literate, multilingual population could freely read virtually any such materials. Foreign journalists who were extremely antagonistic to Hitler and Nazism, such as William Shirer, were allowed to live freely in Germany while writing hostile news stories about the Nazis even after the war began.

The modem German government actually forbids a person from drawing a swastika in public, publishing material with a swastika, or giving a salute with a raised hand. Any expression of favourable attitudes toward the vanquished German government of 1933-1945 can bring on heavy fines and jail terms.

Even Hitler's best-selling book Mein Kampf is now banned from publication or sale, along with any other writings which are declared "neo-Nazi" in philosophy by the German government. The modern German government may actually ban musical recordings based on their political message, and has done so on dozens of occasions.

Groups which "act against the constitutional order or the concept of international understanding" can be banned outright by the German government. By some accounts, over 100 political parties have been banned by the post-war regime—far more than were ever banned under Hitler.

#### The End OS21888

## Sayings by and about Jews

"The Jew...is not content merely to destroy Christianity, but he preaches the gospel of Judaism; he not only assails the Catholic or the Protestant faith, but he incites to the unbelief, and then imposes on those whose faith he has undermined his own conception of the world, of morality and of life. He is engaged in his historic mission, the annihilation of the religion of Christ." (Benard Lazare, Antisemitism: It's History and Causes, Translated by Britons Publishing Co., London (1967), p. 158).

"America is full of businesses bearing old Christian names, but which are really owned and run by Jews. Most of them have been acquired in the manner I have just described, the way the Jew creates something out of nothing (slow strangling). The Jew, better than anyone else in the world knows how to dispossess the poor and the members of the middle classes. To fit this case, the old P.T. Barnum adage needs only a little changing. A gentile enters business every minute, with two Jews waiting to take him out of it." (Jews Must Live, Samuel Roth)

"The admission of the Jew into the body of the nations has proved fatal to them; they are doomed for having received him...The entrance of the Jew into society marked the destruction of the State, meaning by State, the Christian State." (Benard Lazare, Antisemitism, Its History and Causes, pages 318-320 and 328).

#### The End

#### IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [Listen to audio clip] \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/

#### **Eurofolk Radio**



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

**TUNE IN NOW!** 

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/ge neral/



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm





## FOR THE REAL NEWS

http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol





Pastor Eli James Sundays 16.00 hrs (British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/

#### Announcements






The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

#### www.anglo-saxonisrael.com


Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.



## GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



## CONTACT pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensier von Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew