Did God Build A "Nation" Or A "Race"?




By: Edward Charles Anderson

Did God Build A "Nation" Or A "Race"? By:

Edward Charles Anderson

HE "TITLE" of my thesis is directed to those that may not be familiar with the Christian Identity Message or to those that "know" about it but have a different Scriptural viewpoint of this matter because they only use a select few verses of Scripture that they twist out of context to "prove" their ideas. For those in between, I hope this to be an informative "review."



I find my article necessary because "someone" (and a few others) who claims to have been in "on the ground floor" of the Christian Identity Movement, states God was building a "NATION" when he called Israel and NOT "RACE" and refers to TWO main verses of Scripture to prove his/their doctrine, as well as a few other "minor" verses that are twisted out of context. The problem and confusion here lies in the fact that "NATION" is referred to

MANY times in Scripture in regards to Israel, so I can understand where that viewpoint comes from, but then again, when you look at the BEGINNING of that "NATION" and the pertinent verses that accompany this subject, it is CLEARLY about "RACE"!!! Yes, God clearly built a "NATION" of Israel, but He did it with a specific "RACE" of People, and THAT should have been clear to the people who claim to have studied this situation.

I will not state that those that profess a different viewpoint are not "saved" but my concern is that when one speaks/writes anything about/for God, what they write/ state/ speak HAS TO BE 100% CORRECT!!! I fear to be the one that takes God's Word with a flippant sloppy attitude!!! I will start with the "MAIN" verses of Scripture and their arguments so you can fully understand the situation and judge the fact of the matter for yourself.



Gen 14:14 "And when Abram heard that his brother was taken captive, he armed his trained servants, born in his own house, three hundred and eighteen, and pursued them unto Dan."

This is one of the MAIN verses used to prove that these "servants" were pagan/heathen people and NOT of Abram's own blood and that they were eventually "mixed" into Abram's family to form this "NATION." There are several other minor verses used to "prove" these are "pagan/heathen" people and I will list these verses and then

proceed to explain the errors that have been used. But what I find most interesting with this verse is that the word "servant" in the KJV is IN ITALICS, which means that this word has been ADDED!!! It "may be assumed" who Abram "trained" were "servants," but I will state that this is NOT the case!!! You will find out later how I come to my conclusions.

Gen 15:1 "After these things the word of the LORD came unto Abram in a vision, saying, Fear not, Abram: I am thy shield, and thy exceeding great reward."

Gen 15:2 "And Abram said, Lord GOD, what wilt thou give me, seeing I go childless, and the steward of my house is this Eliezer of Damascus?"

Gen 15:3 "And Abram said, Behold, to me thou hast given no seed: and, lo, one born in my house is mine heir."

Gen 15:4 "And, behold, the word of the LORD came unto him, saying, This shall not be thine heir; but he that shall come forth out of thine own bowels shall be thine heir".

Some of the main "errors" that the people that use these verses to "prove" that God was building a "NATION" and not a "RACE" are because (1) we are not TOLD that these "servants" are of pagan/heathen people, IT IS ASSUMED (2) Abram states: "I go childless...You have given no seed...one born in my house is my heir." (3) We "believe" that Abram only had the two sons, Ishmael and Isaac. When one uses the Scriptures as sloppy and careless as these people do, it is no wonder they have a different view of the Scriptures. I don't have a any "Degrees or PhD" in Scripture but if sloppy and careless reading/studying/believing of the Scriptures is the result of having one, it's a good thing I didn't waste my money!!!

I'll examine these verses before I add any others for clarity. The "after these things" of Gen.15:1 is the battle and defeat of the kings that had taken Lot and the people of Sodom & Gomorrah captive. God then gave Abram a vision afterwards and we are told that Abram is "childless" and a "servant born in his house is the heir." It is ASSUMED that these 318 men stated in Gen. 14:14 are "servants" but I have pointed out that that word is in "italics" and that means it has been ADDED "for clarity"!!! But the problem here is, how does one know "servants" is the proper idea because it is the word "trained" that the emphasis is on in the Hebrew, and the word "servant" is not in the Hebrew!!! One way around this dilemma for them is to state that these "pagan/heathen" people can be "ADOPTED" by Abram in order that they could inherit all of his property "LEGALLY," again, a major ASSUMPTION, and not stated in Scripture. I believe there is ANOTHER, better explanation of this situation that explains WHO these "servants" are, and I will get to that after the next batch of Scripture. I have to lay a little more "groundwork" to make this all come together since most will not have looked at this situation from the angle that I'm going to show you. It will clear up the NATION/RACE issue of the Identity Movement.

Gen 16:1 "Now Sarai Abram's wife bare him no children: and she had an handmaid, an Egyptian, whose name was Hagar."

Gen 16:2 "And Sarai said unto Abram, Behold now, the LORD hath restrained me from bearing: I pray thee, go in unto my maid; it may be

that I may obtain children by her. And Abram hearkened to the voice of Sarai."

Gen 16:3 "And Sarai Abram's wife took Hagar her maid the Egyptian, after Abram had dwelt ten years in the land of Canaan, and gave her to her husband Abram to be his wife."

Gen 16:4 "And he went in unto Hagar, and she conceived: and when she saw that she had conceived, her mistress was despised in her eyes."



We are informed by Scripture that Abram was in Canaan ten years before he took Hagar as a wife. It is ASSUMED, as usual, that Abram had ONLY these two wives and that was it, and all of the other people in his household were servants/slaves that he either bought or what came with him when he left Haran. The problem here is that there is too much ASSUMPTION when it comes to these verses!!! Abram was living in Haran in the Land of the Chaldeans which were ruled by the

Code of Hammurabi. Concubines were the norm under this Law and during that time Abram was definitely a man of the times, for we are clearly TOLD of his "concubines and offspring" in:

Gen 25:1 "Then again Abraham took a wife, and her name was Keturah."

Gen 25:3 "And Jokshan begat Sheba, and Dedan. And the sons of Dedan were Asshurim, and Letushim, and Leummim."

Gen 25:4 "And the sons of Midian; Ephah, and Epher, and Hanoch, and Abida, and Eldaah. All these were the children of Keturah."

Gen 25:5 "And Abraham gave all that he had unto Isaac."

Gen 25:6 "But unto the sons of the concubines, which Abraham had, Abraham gave gifts, and sent them away from Isaac his son, while he yet lived, eastward, unto the east country."

We are CLEARLY told in Gen. 25:6 that Abraham had CONCUBINES and CHILDREN by them!!! We are not told WHEN he had all of these concubines and their children but I would surmise that a lot, if not most of those 318 men "born in his household" came from all of these concubines. We are also not told HOW MANY concubines he had, but if he had six children with what little time he spent with Keturah, it is not inconceivable to believe that most or all of those 318 men "born in his house" came from them, especially since he lived 175 years and that would enable him to have that many children and then some.

2 Ch 11:21 "And Rehoboam loved Maachah the daughter of Absalom above all his wives and his concubines: (for he took eighteen wives, and threescore concubines; and begat twenty and eight sons, and threescore daughters.)"

2 Ch 12:13 "So king Rehoboam strengthened himself in Jerusalem, and reigned: for Rehoboam was one and forty years old when he began to reign, and he reigned seventeen years in Jerusalem, the city which the LORD had chosen out of all the tribes of Israel, to put his name there. And his mother's name was Naamah an Ammonitess"

As can be seen from the verses above, Rehoboam had eighteen wives and SIXTY concubines, from which he had produced EIGHTY-EIGHT children and helived to be only FIFTY-EIGHT YEARS OLD!!! Think of how many children he could have had, had he lived to be 175 and if a number of those wives and concubines had twins or triplets!!! It wouldn't take too many years for Abraham to have had 318 children by all of the wives and concubines that he had. Again, we are told that he HAD concubines and CHILDREN by them, we are not told HOW MANY, but I can pretty much prove that most of the kings and great chiefs of Israel

had an appetite for women. Solomon is the prize winner but Rehoboam was no slouch when it came to collecting women and children.

As mentioned earlier, "servants" in Gen. 14:14 are in the italics and is not part of the Hebrew text; it has been ADDED for clarity, and Abram did not call the "one born in my house" a "servant," it is ASSUMED. I feel this "clarity" of the use of "servant" in Gen. 14:14 has been a big mistake but with what all I have shown you thus far, you can draw your own conclusions. I have no choice but to believe that the 318 men that were born in his house were the result of all of the concubines that this "great chief" of Israel had. And there is no need to "legally adopt" anyone to inherit Abraham's possessions. Sarah and Hagar were Abraham's WIVES, and all others that he took were his concubines, and then, after Sarah died, Abraham took Keturah as a wife. But the MAIN POINT we can take from these verses is that all of these concubines and children of Abraham were sent to the east, away from Isaac!!! There was no marriage with these sons/servants with Isaac or his children!!!



The Expulsion of Hagar and Ishmael

Now the question could be asked if it was only the concubines and their children from Abraham that were sent away or if all of the servants were sent also. I believe we can get a fair answer to that question if we do a little math. We know in Gen 14:14 that Abram had 318 "servants" that were born in his house. He then had Ishmael, Isaac, and then the six sons of Keturah and then we have to add Keturah.

Let us say that this is ALL of the people he had when he died for a total of 327 people. Abraham dies, and we have to assume that all of these "servants" are passed on to Isaac.

We add Rebecca, his wife and their two sons Jacob and Esau. We will not count any "servants" born in Isaac's house during his lifetime, but as you can see, there should have been HUNDREDS of more people added to Isaac's "house" if all of these "servants" and their offspring are included in this "nation building"!!! And when Isaac dies, Jacob should have inherited all of these servants and their offspring. Yes, I am well aware that many of Abrahams' original servants would be dead by now, for any of you bean counters out there that would try to nitpick my thesis to justify your theological positions, but if each 318 man took a wife, that would have increased Abram's household to 636 servants.

Now, let's give them an average of FOUR children per couple, a very very LOW estimate for that age, you would have 2544 servants just in Abraham's household, and every year, this total would have increased dramatically into the THOUSANDS of people when we get to the time of Jacob!!! But yet, when we are told when Jacob went down to Egypt, there were ONLY seventy souls that were counted, which included Joseph that came from Jacob's body-his direct descendants!!!

Where did ALL of these "servants" disappear from Abraham's and Isaac's household Jacob SHOULD have inherited if God was trying to build a NATION and they were all intermarrying!!?? because it is these missing people that are used to justify a belief in nationhood!!!

Exo 1:5 "And all the souls that came out of the loins of Jacob were seventy souls: for Joseph was in Egypt already."

As we are clearly told in the above verse, **only the people born to Jacob were counted!!! Where** do these folks get the idea that God was building a "NATION" and not a "RACE" especially when you see that God CHANGED Abram and Sarai's names to reflect a RACE, not a NATION!!!???

Gen 17:5 "Neither shall thy name any more be called Abram, but thy name shall be Abraham; for a father of many nations have I made thee."

Gen 17:6 "And I will make thee exceeding fruitful, and I will make nations of thee, and kings shall come out of thee."


Gen 17:7 "And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy seed after thee in their generations for an everlasting covenant, to be a God unto thee, and to thy seed after thee."

Gen 17:15 "And God said unto Abraham, As for Sarai thy wife, thou shalt not call her name Sarai, but Sarah shall her name be."

Gen 17:16 "And I will bless her, and give thee a son also of her: yea, I will bless her, and she shall be a mother of nations; kings of people shall be of her".

Gen 17:19 "And God said, Sarah thy wife shall bear thee a son indeed; and thou shalt call his name Isaac: and I will establish my covenant with him for an everlasting covenant, and with his seed after him."

Gen 17:21 "But my covenant will I establish with Isaac, which Sarah shall bear unto thee at this set time in the next year."



I left out a few verses in this narrative because there was no need to repeat needlessly. One should get a Bible and read ALL of the Scriptures to flesh out the story but I highlighted the most important verses. As can be seen, Abram's name was changed to "Abraham" which means "father of a multitude" and Sarai's name was changed to "Sarah" which means "Princess"!!! In short, with Sarah's name change, we are told in Gen. 17:16 that "KINGS of people shall be of her"!!! KINGS of People is ROYALTY folks,

and you can ONLY pass "royalty" down through BLOODLINE, not by "adoption" and these "NATIONS" were to come DIRECTLY FROM ABRAHAM'S OWN BODY!!! PLEASE re-read Gen. 17:6 if you are still confused about this matter because that verse CLEARLY states TWO

things: (1) I will make thee FRUITFUL, i.e. CHILDREN are to come directly from him, not by adopting pagans, (2) KINGS shall "come out of thee" EXACTLY as was stated for Sarah, and kings can only get their Right to rule BY BLOODLINE, NOT BY ADOPTION!!! It is apparent to me that those that espouse the "NATION" building have confused Roman ruling practices with the Hebrew practice. Roman rulers could and did "adopt" people to succeed them in rule, but in Hebrew practice, the Right to the Throne was through direct BLOODLINE!!!

Gen 24:2 "And Abraham said unto his eldest servant of his house, that ruled over all that he had, Put, I pray thee, thy hand under my thigh:"


I would like to clarify the word "servant" here. It "can" be used to refer to a "slave" but since there are about eight different meanings to this word, it does not have to mean that this person WAS a "slave." It can and has been used to show a lower ranked person in reference to a higher ranked person. Also, WHY would a "slave" rule over all Abraham had when Isaac is a SON, and was around 37 years old at this time, an adult, who was fully capable to inherit and rule over his own father's household!? I contend that this "servant" would be the "firstborn" of Abraham's concubines and THAT would be a reason why he had "rule" over ALL that he had.

Gen 24:3 "And I will make thee swear by the LORD, the God of heaven, and the God of the earth, that thou shalt not take a wife unto my son of the daughters of the Canaanites, among whom I dwell:"

Gen 24:4 "But thou shalt go unto my country, and to my kindred, and take a wife unto my son Isaac."

I have a question for those that espouse the "NATION" theology. My question is: If God was ONLY building a "NATION," and that would have included ALL of the "servants" of Abraham's household, then WHY did Abraham send his servant BACK TO ABRAHAM'S FAMILY TO GET A WIFE FOR ISAAC, when all Isaac had to do was just marry one of the servants!!!??? After all, he already was given the Promise of Covenant through his seed/children, so it shouldn't have mattered if he

married one of the "servants" since it is taught/preached/believed that these "servants" were counted as Abraham's household/NATION!? Answer PLEASE!!!??? It is apparent that these "servants" WERE NOT COUNTED AS A PART OF THIS NATION: PLEASE SEE EXODUS 1:5 if you have any doubts about this. We see the same pattern repeated when Rebecca tells Isaac to send Jacob to her family to get a wife, and this again, would have been a moot point if all Jacob had to do was marry one of the "servants" since, it is taught, they were all "intermarrying" among the household of Abraham and Isaac. Ahhh, I don't think so, and these verses PROVE it!!! Once again, sloppy and flippant studying of the Scriptures.



Gen 27:46 "And Rebekah said to Isaac, I am weary of my life because of the daughters of Heth: if Jacob take a wife of the daughters of Heth, such as these which are of the daughters of the land, what good shall my life do me"?

Gen 28:1 "And Isaac called Jacob, and blessed him, and charged him, and said unto him, Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan."

Isaac COULD have told Jacob, "I don't want you to take a wife of the daughters of Canaan, you need

to take a wife from the "servants" of Abraham my Father, or one of the "servants" that I have acquired, since God is building a "NATION" and NOT a "RACE"!!! THIS is what SHOULD have happened if these "servants" were counted among the "NATION" of Israel, and NOT by RACE, but we do NOT see that in Scripture, we see "RACE" being accounted for here. There is no getting around this in the Scriptures.

Gen 28:2 Arise, go to Padanaram, to the house of Bethuel thy mother's father; and take thee a wife from thence of the daughters of Laban thy mother's brother.

Now, it will become apparent AFTER the sons of Jacob are born, that THEY have some wives that were not of Hebrew ancestry, even as Joseph was given an Egyptian for a wife. I am well aware of these problems, and it can be argued that this "proves" that God built a "NATION" and not a "RACE" but time works ALL problems out. From the Scriptures I will now show, I believe that God has the power to make sure people "interbreed," if I may be allowed to use that term, to phase out outside genetics.

But one last point in the life of Jacob that can be proven that God was building a "RACE" and not just a "NATION" with Israel:

Gen 32:16 "And he delivered them into the hand of his servants, every drove by themselves; and said unto his servants, Pass over before me, and put a space betwixt drove and drove."

This verse proves that Jacob had "servants" as these were the ones that drove the animals that Jacob presented to Esau as gifts, when Jacob was returning to Canaan. Yet, we are told in Exo. 1:5 that it was ONLY the people that were directly descended from Jacob that was COUNTED in Egypt. Either a lot of people are dyslexic and can't read very well or they have a theological bias that they are trying to pass onto the gullible that is not proven by Scripture. It is CLEARLY shown that God was building a "RACE" from the descendants of Isaac, the ones that had the Right of the Covenant promised in Gen. 17:19!! Again, the "servants" from Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob were NOT intermarrying within the Tribe!!! It was ONLY "direct descendants" that were counted!!!

This is the other major verse that they use to try to prove God was building a "NATION" and not a "RACE:"

Exo 12:38 "And a mixed multitude went up also with them; and flocks, and herds, even very much cattle."



THE PASS OF ISRAEL CAUCASUS MOUNTAINS¶

I have to agree in this instance that this "mixed multitude" had been absorbed into the gene pool by Israel, but by that time, there were around five MILLION Israelites so it would not have affected the gene pool of the Israelites that much. Again, God can get people to pair off that would phase out a lot of outside genetics. A good case in point is that America has had some 300

plus years of immigration and up until 1960; it was still roughly 90% Caucasian. It was only after the "sexual revolution" of the late 1960's that there has been a heavy occurrence of inter-racial marriages, but even today, in 2014, the majority of the population is still 69% Caucasian. So even if there were a "mixed multitude" in Israel, they were not the majority, and as the case in the US, it has not been proven that this "multitude" all married Israelites or they had that much effect on the gene pool. I am very well aware of Rahab Ai & Ruth, outsiders, marrying into Israel, but as I stated, this was the "exception" and not the norm, and had very little affect on the gene pool. Still, on the matter of the Israelite people intermarrying with all of the countries around them, they were commanded to be a SEPARATE PEOPLE:

Num 36:6 "This is the thing which the LORD doth command concerning the daughters of Zelophehad, saying, Let them marry to whom they think best; only to the family of the tribe of their father shall they marry."

As can be seen from the above verse, even the daughters of Zelophehad had to marry within their own Tribe, they could not marry anyone from outside of it. Again, if God was only building a "NATION" out of Israel and not a "RACE" there would not have been a need to prohibit marriage outside of the Tribe. Even the other Israelite Tribes had been forbidden in this instance so this was not a matter of marrying "foreign/pagan" men as some would want to postulate.

We are told in the verse below that God had SEPARATED Israel from ALL of the other Nations of the earth!!! There was no need to do this if any Tom, Dick, or Harry could marry any Israelite man/woman they chose since they would have ALL been "counted" as an Israelite if God was only building a "NATION."

1 Kings 8:53 "For thou didst separate them from among all the people of the earth, to be thine inheritance, as thou spakest by the hand of Moses thy servant, when thou broughtest our fathers out of Egypt, O Lord GOD."

Well, these people who espouse the "Nation" building concept have to twist some Scripture to do it and I have to give them credit for at least being consistent if nothing else. One of the ones that they throw in to "prove" their "NATION" thesis is:


Lev 19:34 "But the stranger that dwelleth with you shall be unto you as one born among you, and thou shalt love him as thyself; for ye were strangers in the land of Egypt: I am the LORD your God."

If they would only go BACK to Gen.17:19, 21 and remember WHO that Covenant was made with, there should not be any confusion as to whether God was establishing a "NATION" or a "RACE." If Ishmael and Esau and their descendents, or the children of Abraham with his concubines, who were DIRECT offspring from Abraham could not get the Covenant, just where do they think STRANGERS/FOREIGNERS could get it!? This verse was about equality LEGALLY, not about having a part of the Covenant. And they even try to twist Rom. 9:6-8 to try to state that these verses are talking about a "remnant" who acquired God's salvation by Grace and this included the pagans/heathens who accepted Yahweh/Christ. Problem is, if they would have looked at what was stated in Genesis they would have correctly understood these verses in Romans.

Rom 9:6 "Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel:"

Rom 9:7 "Neither, because they are the seed of Abraham, are they all children: but, In Isaac shall thy seed be called."

Rom 9:8 "That is, They which are the children of the flesh, these are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed."



What Paul (left) is saying here is that ALL of Abraham's other children either through Hagar, Keturah, or his concubines. **PLUS** THE STRANGERS IN ISRAEL, who were to be counted as "Israelites," remember Lev. 19:34, are NOT the that received ones Covenant/Promises, ONLY THOSE DESCENDED FROM ISAAC!!! The "children of the flesh" were all of Abraham's other children, but it is to be through Isaac's line that was to have this Covenant. You HAVE to ALWAYS go back to the source of

Paul's use of Scripture because he usually convolutes the Scriptures when he states something. I fully understand WHY Peter had to warn us that Paul was so hard to understand, even for the apostles!!! It took almost a lifetime for me to figure this out myself and I am passing what I learned to any who will look into this. Far from proving Rom. 9:6-8 shows that God was building a "NATION" which anyone could get in on the Covenant/Promises; it once again proves that God was building a "RACE" from the line of Isaac!!! Let us look at a few more verses to see if God was building a "NATION" or a "RACE."

Ezr 10:2 "And Shechaniah the son of Jehiel, one of the sons of Elam, answered and said unto Ezra, We have trespassed against our God, and have taken strange wives of the people of the land: yet now there is hope in Israel concerning this thing."

Ezr 10:3 "Now therefore let us make a covenant with our God to put away all the wives, and such as are born of them, according to the counsel of my lord, and of those that tremble at the commandment of our God; and let it be done according to the law."

Ezr 10:11 "Now therefore make confession unto the LORD God of your fathers, and do his pleasure: and separate yourselves from the people of the land, and from the strange wives."

Ezr 10:12 "Then all the congregation answered and said with a loud voice, As thou hast said, so must we do."

If God was only building a "NATION" then there would not have been a problem with the Israelites marrying all of these foreign women. They would have been counted as being a part of that "NATION" just as they try to state that all of these "servants" in Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob's household were intermarrying with Isaac's offspring. But it is clear, that the intent was to keep the "bloodline/race" pure. Yes, there will be some that will try to state that the problem with these foreign women was that they were idol worshippers, and THAT was why they had to be put away. REALLY!!!??? You mean to tell me that there wasn't ONE "Godly" foreign woman in that whole lot!!!??? Not one Rahab; not one Ruth; not one Asenath!? NOT ONE!!!??? NOT ONE!!!??? And the kids had to go!!! PLEASE tell me it ain't so!!!!!!

Let's look at a few more verses that these "NATION" folks like to throw up to prove that God was building a "NATION" of Israel and not a "RACE:" Num 31:9 And the children of Israel took all the women of Midian captives, and their little ones, and took the spoil of all their cattle, and all their flocks, and all their goods.


Num 31:14 "And Moses was wroth with the officers of the host, with the captains over thousands, and captains over hundreds, which came from the battle."

Num 31:15 And Moses said unto them, Have ye saved all the women alive?

Num 31:16 "Behold, these caused the children of Israel, through the counsel of Balaam, to commit trespass against the LORD in the matter of Peor, and there was a plague among the congregation of the LORD."

Num 31:17 "Now therefore kill every male among the little ones, and kill every woman that hath known man by lying with him."

Num 31:18 "But all the women children, that have not known a man by lying with him, keep alive for yourselves."



As can be seen from the verses above, Moses was ANGRY that they spared the women. There should NOT have been ANY Midianite woman alive!!! But Moses takes it upon himself to allow the captive virgins to be allowed to marry into the Tribes without getting any direction from God about what to do with the women. This does not prove God was building a "NATION" of anyone with the Israelites, but only that Moses took it upon HIMSELF to allow these captive virgins to marry into the Tribes. Again, we have to ask ourselves that if God

was only building a "NATION" out of Israel, there should not have been a problem with ALL of these women marrying into the Tribes, provided they cease their idolatries.

I have shown that there was NO "intermarrying" among the household of Abraham, Isaac, or Jacob with the "servants" in their households, I have shown that Israel was to keep themselves SEPARATE from the Nations of the world, I have shown that they were to marry WITHIN their own Tribes, I have shown that time after time it was always about THE RACE/BLOODLINE of ISAAC and not just a common "Nation" building that was going on with the People of Israel. In closing there are a few more verses I will touch upon to prove the thesis of "RACE" not "NATION" and I go to:

Heb 2:16 "For surely it is not angels that he helps, but he helps the offspring of Abraham."

This is in the English Standard Version. This is a more modern Version based on better Greek Manuscripts and it shows that "he" (Jesus) helps the "seed" (children) of Abraham, and I have already shown, and everyone who has rightly studied this should know, that it is the children of Isaac who are the inheritors of the Covenant/Promises, NO ONE ELSE!!! Please see my book "With Fury Poured Out" to verify this with more Biblical proof. The world will benefit from the Covenant as they are grafted into the Kingdom of the ones that have that Right of the Covenant. The problem and confusion arises that if the Covenant is based on "RACE" and not on a "NATION," then HOW do the people of the world acquire the benefits of the Covenant!? The answer is the SAME as it was in Lev. 19:34. As long as the foreigners dwell in the Kingdom as an Israelite, they are to be treated as an Israelite and to be counted as one among them. Still, the actual Covenant belongs to the children of Isaac as per Gen. 17:19 and Rom. 9:6-8. As I stated in the beginning of this article, God IS building a "NATION" out of Israel, but He is using a "RACE," the descendants of Isaac, to do it. Everyone else benefits as they join themselves to these People.

Isa 14:1 "For the LORD will have mercy on Jacob, and will yet choose Israel, and set them in their own land: and the strangers shall be joined with them, and they shall cleave to the house of Jacob."

Eze 47:23 "And it shall come to pass, that in what tribe the stranger sojourneth, there shall ye give him his inheritance, saith the Lord GOD".

As can be seen from the above verses, when the children of Israel are returned to the Land of Israel when Jesus returns to set up His Messianic Kingdom, the people who do NOT have a Right to the Covenant are called STRANGERS among the Tribes!!! THIS is one of the strongest PROOFS that God is building a NATION from the RACE of Isaac, and not from all of the people of the world!!! I could add a lot more verses and proofs than I have so far, but the article would have to be a book; and I have

Did God Build a Nation or a Race? - Edward Charles Anderson

written such a book to PROVE a "RACE" and not just a "NATION" that included all of the other people of the world through "Jesus." But surely one ought to be able to understand that God built a NATION from the RACE of the children of Isaac, as promised, and with no one else, as per Jer. 31:31 and restated in Heb. 8:8-10. The other non-inheritors of the Covenant benefit as they adhere to the children of Isaac as per Is. 14:1, and the Laws of that Israelite Kingdom.



THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE CHURCH

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE

