The New Ensign No. 81 May 2016

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,



The EU Referendum 23rd June 2016

On Thursday 23rd of next month, UK citizens will be given an opportunity to decide whether or not they wish to remain in the EU (European Union). This is not as straight forward as it may seem, for in the first place the act of merging our sovereignty was an unlawful act. Secondly, the British people were deceived as to what was involved by joining. The government of the day even ignored the advice of their chief law officer that joining would breach the English constitution!

One also has to remember that those involved in taking Britain into the Common Market, as it was then called, was a treasonous act, that would incur the death penalty - so what happened? Under Tony Blair's regime, the crime of treason was removed from the statute book, which in itself is a crime!

In the background, which goes largely unreported in the mainstream media, people have been working very hard in reporting this crime of treason to their local police stations. Initially this was brushed off, but because of the persistence and tenacity of this group and others, the crime did indeed get passed on to the Met Police by some local police. This has rattled our controllers, hence a referendum.

This referendum could well be a ploy to make an unlawful act lawful, by obtaining the consent of the people! But as one man once said, its not who you vote for that matters, but who counts the votes!! We known that previous referendums in this country and elsewhere in Europe have been manipulated to get the desired result. Rest assured it will happen again!

Whatever the result very little will change as control will still come from the "evil trinity", that is, the City of London, Washington DC and the Vatican.

However, whatever happens, Yahweh's will, will prevail and the machinations of His enemies will be thwarted - Praise Yahweh!

Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents P	age
Was Athaliah Jezebel's Filial Daughter Or A Stepdaughter? (2) Pastor Eli James 3	
In The Name of Yahweh - Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock	8
Yahweh's Solar Calendar	12
Letters and Views	15
Harold Stough Notes - The Gospel According to St. Paul	20
To Whom Sent? - John Trotter	21
The Trojan Origins Of European Royalty! (Part 2) - John D. Keyser	23
Gigantic Fossilised Irish Giant - Garry Biltcliffe	29
The Spanish Influenza Epidemic Of 1918 Was Caused By Vaccinations	30
Scam Of Cancer Industry Blown Wide Open - Mike Adams	33
Report - Destruction Coca-Cola Unleashes On Internal Organs - Mike Adams	35
World Leaders are Trained to Manipulate Their Audiences with Body Language	e 36
An Irish Blessing & Poem - Who Will Speak For Europe? - Mike Walsh	38

The Blood Of Athaliah Was Athaliah Jezebel's Filial Daughter Or A Stepdaughter? (Part 2) Pastor Eli James



Summing Up the Genealogy

N ADDITION to what these rabbis have written, I would also suggest one more possibility: Ahab ADOPTED

Athaliah has his own, just as Jacob adopted Ephraim and Manasseh as his own. All of these possibilities cast doubt upon the assumption that Jezebel was Athalia's biological mother. This is good news for the purists, but it is **NOT** definitive proof. We have merely explored the possibilities that the KJV translation leaves open.

Given the lack of clarity in Scripture about stepsons and stepdaughters, it is possible that Jezebel was not Athalia's biological mother. Certainly, the translators of the KJV would have had a great deal of difficulty dealing with this mess of in-law relationships between the House of Israel and the House of Judah, which is complicated by the fact that both Athaliah's brother and husband are named Jehoram. Keeping all of this information straight is a genealogical nightmare; but I believe that we have come to the correct conclusion. It appears that Yahweh cleared up this mess by having nearly all these in-laws killed off by General Jehu – except for Joash.

But there is one more avenue of scholarship, which may yield a more definitive account of Jezebel's actual bloodline. If Jezebel was not a Zidonian but a Tyrian, the presumption of her Canaanite ancestry would be false.

Part 2:

Was Ethbaal a Hebrew or a Canaanite?

There is more encouraging news from biblical history:

Assuming that Athalia was the literal daughter of Jezebel, who is presumed to be a Canaanitess by virtue of being a Zidonian, is it possible that that Jezebel was not a Zidonian, but a Tyrian instead? Secular history suggests this as a real

possibility. In other words, Jezebel may actually have been a Tyrian, or a Baal-worshipping Israelite descended from Hiram. Several scholars have suggested that the House of Hiram was descended from the either Asher or Issachar.

The House of Hiram consisted primarily of Israelites of the northernmost tribes, such as Dan, Asher and Issachar. This would make Jezebel to be just another Baal-worshipping Israelite, but one who had been incorporated into the House of Hiram.

If Jezebel were Athaliah's biological mother, her ruthlessness, it would appear, is based on her religion, which she received from Jezebel. Of course, genetic traits can never be ruled out. Not all Israelites are good people.

Here is a good genealogical table of the Hamites, showing where the Zidonians belong in the genealogical tree:

The Zidonians were descendants of Canaan; and Ethbaal was Jezebel's father.

* Eth-baal II., who, according to the testimony of the native historians, belonged to the royal family of Tyre, is called King of the Sidonians in the Bible (1 Kings XVI. 31), and the Assyrian texts similarly call Elulai King of the Sidonians, while Menander mentions him as King of Tyre. It is probable that the King of Sidon, mentioned in the Annals of Shalmaneser III. side by side with the King of Tyre, was a vassal of the Tyrian monarch. (Source)

The fact that Ethbaal was king of the Sindonians does not definitively mean that he was a racial Zidonian. Just as the Germanic Israelite Russian kings were descendants of Zarah-Judah ruling over a vast empire of Slavs, the Tyrians were Hebrews, most likely Israelites as well, who ruled **over** the Zidonians in the territory of the northern House of Israel that eventually became known as Phoenicia.

There is a distinction to be made between the Tyrians and the Zidonians. The Tyrian kingdom was originally comprised of three Israelite tribes:

Dan, Asher, Issacher, plus a smattering of other Israelites who were good sailors, such as Zebulun. It is these Tyrians who assisted Solomon in building the Temple. The Bible tells us that Hiram, King of Tyre, was a Yahweh worshipper. (2 Chron. 2:12.) The Canaanites never worshipped Yahweh. They were Baal worshippers. It is possible that Ethbaal, Jezebel's father, was a paganized Israelite, just like the entire northern Kingdom was.



It was under Ethbaal that the two nations of Tyre and Sidon were being merged together to form Pheonicia. Tyre and Sidon were originally two different groups. The Zidonians were Canaanites who were tributaries to the Israelites. The Tyrians were Asherites. Sidon, called Saida today (Arabic for "fishing"), was named after the firstborn son of Canaan (Gn 10:15) and probably settled by his descendants. The northern border of ancient Canaan extended to Sidon (Gn 10:19). Later, Jacob spoke of it as the boundary of Zebulun (Gn 49:13) and Joshua included it as part of the land promised to Israel (Jos 13:6). Sidon was included in the inheritance of Asher, on its northern boundary (Jos 19:28), but it was not taken by that tribe in conquest (Jgs 1:31, 3:3). Settled from the beginning as a port city, Sidon was built on a promontory with a nearby offshore island that sheltered the harbor from storms. Twenty miles south of Sidon, in the middle of a coastal plain, Tyre (called Sour in Arabic today) was constructed on a rock island a few hundred yards out into the Mediterranean (Ward 1997:247). In fact, the city took its name from this rock island. Tyre comes from the Semitic sr (Hebrew Sor, Arabic Sur, Babylonian Surru, Egyptian Dr.) meaning rock.

Historical and archaeological evidence indicate both cities were settled by the early second millennium BC and were important seaports long before the Israelites settled in Canaan. Yet, while Sidon was mentioned many times during

the Canaanite and early Israelite periods in the Bible, Tyre first appeared as part of Asher's western boundary (Jos 19:29). Specifically called a "fortified city" in this passage, it was noted as a significant landmark. Tyre does not appear again in the Bible until Hiram, king of Tyre, sends cedar, carpenters, and masons to build David's house (2 Sam 5:11).

Although the dates of Homer's Iliad and Odyssey are still in dispute, only Sidon and Sidonians are mentioned (17 times: Iliad 6.290– 91; 23.743-44; Odyssey 4.83, 84, 618; 13.272, 285; 14.288, 291; 15.118, 415, 417, 419, 425, 473). Yet the failure to mention either Tyre or Tyrians may not be significant. At least some of Homer's usage appears to relate the term Sidonian with Phoenicians in general (see also 1 Kings 5:6; Jidejian 1996:60). It would seem that during the second millennium BC, Sidon was the pre-eminent of the two port cities. It also appears, during the first millennium BC, that Tyre eclipsed Sidon.

While Tyre and Sidon were considered Canaanite during the second millennium BC, scholars call the Lebanese coast after the time of the Israelite Conquest of Canaan, Phoenicia. "Phoenicia" was the name given to the region by the Greeks, from their word for purple. The ancient world's purple dye industry developed from extracting a fluid from a Mediterranean mollusc, the murex. Not only did the people of the Phoenician coast develop this industry, they specialized in shipping this very valuable commodity all over the Mediterranean world.

Beginning with David, the Tyrian connection became prominent. Hiram, king of Tyre, offered cedar trees, carpenters and masons to build David's palace (2 Sam 5:11). To what extent cedars were used in David's house is unclear, but David did consider his abode to be a palace of cedar (2 Sam 7:2), and God seemed to agree (2 Sam 7:7). Later David utilized the help of Sidonians and Tyrians to provide cedar trees for the Temple (1 Chron. 22:4).

Hiram also offered to bring cedars down from the mountains and float them down the Mediterranean coast to Joppa for Solomon's royal construction projects (1 Kings 5:8–11; 2 Chron 2:16), which included both his palace and the Temple. Interestingly, while Hiram continued to be the dominant Lebanese contact, Solomon spoke of the woodworking skills of the Here is the link that contains all of this Sidonians (possibly just a generic term for Phoenicians?) and builders from Gebal, known by the Greeks as Byblos (1 Kings 5:18).

Ethbaal was the father of Jezebel and king of Sidon (1 Kings 16:31). Many scholars follow Josephus (Against Apion 1.121-24; Antiquities 8), who was quoting Menander, and identify Ethbaal with Ithbaal (Hebrew "Man of Baal"), priest of Astarte who killed the king of Tyre and seized the throne (Jidejian 1996:73, 306). In fact, during many periods, the king of one city seemed to be considered king of the other city by outsiders. Source


Surprise, surprise! The Original Tyrians were not Canaanites, but Asherites.

This would explain the apparent discrepancy between Ezra, the Priest-King of Judah, who refused to allow the Samaritans to assist in rebuilding the Temple, versus Solomon who utilized the Tyrians to do much of the work on the First Temple. The only way that Yahweh would allow this is if the Tyrians were, in fact, Israelites. The Phoenicians never called themselves Canaanites. The reference to them as "Sidonians" would therefore be a territorial reference, probably because Zidonia was a much larger territory in comparison to Tyre.

From the cumbersome link cited below, from the Scottish Christian Herald, we find that the Phoenicians never referred to themselves as Canaanites. Since most secular historians never dreamed that the Phoenicians were mainly Israelites, the Israelite connection to Tyre and Phoenicia has been misunderstood by these scholars. They have also been misled by the Jewish claim that they (the Jews) are Hebrews. But the Phoenicians were obviously Aryans, not hook-nosed, receding forehead Jews! Little do these historians know that the Israelites were Aryans of Hebrew stock. Unless you understand that the Jews, being Edomites and Canaanites at this point in history, were (and still are) non-Hebrews and non-Israelites, you will be forever confused about Biblical history.

Based upon this false Jewish claim to Israelite heritage, virtually all historians, with the exception of BI and CI scholars, have falsely assumed that the Phoenicians were non-Israelites.

information.



The author of the article states that the Phoenicians were of Abrahamic stock, not Canaanites. He argues that at least some of them were descendants of Hagar and Keturah, who at this point in time were still of unmixed, Hebrew blood. If all of this information is correct, then it can be

seen that "Phoenicia" was a Hebrew settlement, containing Ishmaelites, Keturians and Israelites, all of Hebrew stock. The original kingdom of Tyre was under the rule of Hiram the Israelite. Since the Canaanites were not known as sailors, it is very unlikely that the Israelites would have needed the Canaanites for any purpose on their ships, even as slaves, as space on these ships was at a premium for cargo. Non-sailors would only get in the way. Besides, the Canaanites were still hated by the Israelites, although under tribute to the House of Joseph.

The author states, in arguing that the Tyrians were Israelites, not Canaanites, "The conduct of David and Solomon towards the Tyrians brings us also to the same conclusion. Though the Israelites were forbidden to have any dealings with the Canaanites, and though, in accordance with the Divine command, David drove them from the Promised Land or brought them under bondage, both he and his son Solomon entered into the closest alliance with the Tyrians, who were also associated with the Jews [sic. Israelites] in building the temple. Would David and Solomon have thus joined in league with them, if they had been Canaanites whom the people of Israel were commanded to hold in abhorrence? - p. 462.

Good question! Indeed; and there is no such thing as a Jewish carpenter anyway; so, the idea that Hiram was anything other than an Israelite is out of the question. All the evidence suggests that Hiram was an Israelite, most likely of the tribe of Asher.

To seal the deal, the author adds, "These From this website, which reveals the Israelite arguments are further strengthened by the fact which Herodotus mentions, of the Phoenicians practicing circumcision, while the Philistines and Canaanites are always spoken of in Scripture as 'the uncircumcised.""

From the evidence gathered here, it looks as though Ethbaal was a Tyrian, not a Zidonian. If this is the case, then Jezebel, despite her notoriety for being the most evil woman in the Bible, was in fact an Israelite. (It is also possible that Delilah, Samson's concubine, was a pureblooded Hamite, as the Philistines were Hamites, but not Canaanites.) But why does the Bible refer to Jezebel as a Zidonian? It is because her father annexed Zidonia to Tyre, bringing the combined territory of Tyre and Zidonia under Ethbaal. The combined kingdom was henceforth called Zidonia. As with the case of Ruth, the 'Moabitess' and 'Zidonian.' terms. are territorial terms, not necessarily racial terms.



King Ethbaal was most likely an Asherite of the dynasty of Hiram. After the merger, the resultant likelihood of intermarriage between the Asherite Tyrians and the Canaanite Zidonians would have been much increased. Nevertheless, the Israelite dynasty of Tyre, despite having adopted Baalworship, would have resisted intermarriage with the Canaanites. The so-called Phoenicians were Israelites. This is why the language of the Phoenicians and the Israelites is exactly the same: Hebrew. The Canaanites that dwelt among them were in bondage to the Tyrians until the merger enacted by Ethbaal. All of this information increases the likelihood that Jezebel was, in fact, a pure-blooded Israelite, despite all appearances.

From the website, Hope of Israel, we learn of the other Israelite tribes associated with the Phoenicians:

heritage of many of the Mediterranean nations:

Continuing to quote Slouschz, Davidy goes into the legend of Cadmos' birth-heritage, among which Cadmos went west, founding Thebes in Greece, maybe Carthage in North Africa, and places in southern Europe. Another quote, by Lempriere, under "Cadmos," says that Cadmos is believed to have settled ultimately in Illyricum [modern Yugoslavia].

"Agenor (father of Cadmos) represents Phoenicia. He was the son of 'Poseidon.' Another son of 'Poseidon' ('Neptune') was Albion who, according to Greek tradition, founded a kingdom in Britain. The hinterland of Phoenicia is known as Lebanon. 'Albion' (i.e., Britain in Classical terms) is synonymous in meaning with 'Lebanon.' Both words indicate 'whiteness' and both derive from the same root."4

"'Neptune' was associated with the Israelite Tribe of Nephtali and 'Poseidon' with the 'Sons of Dan.'"5 Other historical evidence confirms that some of the Israelites inhabiting Lebanon were the same as those in Britain.

Davidy continues. "The Phoenician historian, Sanchuniathon (ca. 300 BCE), was cited by Philo, Eusebius, and others. He stated that the city of Tyre had been founded by Sameroumous and Ou'soos. Sameroumous was a maker of tents and is attributed characteristics of Jacob (Genesis 26:27). His name appears to be a play on that of 'Samaria,' meaning the northern Israelite kingdom. This indicates a partial Israelite involvement in Tyrian (i.e., Phoenician) enterprise and settlement. On the other hand, the name 'Tyre' sometimes serves as a euphemism for the descendants of Esau [quoting Bar Deroma, pp. 348-352, The True Boundaries of the Holy Land, Jerusalem, Israel, 1958]. Ousoos, who also, said Sanchuniathon, founded Tyre, is given the qualities of Esau, the brother of Jacob. Esau was ancestor to Edom, and an entity of Edom occupied a portion of Tyre on its landward side [quoting Slouschz, p. 98]. Esau was considered the archenemy of Israel.

"The mother city of Phoenicia was Sidon, and the term 'Sidonian' came to be synonymous with Phoenician, even though Tyre became more powerful. A Phoenician inscription mentions, Sidon, the land of the sea, and Yeshurun.'

"'Yeshurun' was another name for Israel: 'Fear not, My servant Jacob, Jeshurun whom I have chosen' (Isaiah 44:2).

"Sidon at one stage had been conquered by one of the Sea Peoples called 'Shakalesh,' or 'Sakkara.' The Shakalesh and Sakkara were one and the same and in effect were Israelites from the Tribe of Issachar. Issachar was linked to his brother Zebulon. Zebulon had been blessed, 'Zebulon shall dwell at the haven of the sea (literally, "on the sea shores") and he shall be for an haven of ships; and his borderland shall be unto Sidon' (Genesis 49:13)."6

This same connection is made again in Deuteronomy 33:18-19. "Rejoice Zebulon in your going out; and Issachar in your tents. They shall call the people unto the mountain; they shall suck of the abundance of the sea, and of treasures hid in the sand...."

Davidy adds that, "The Talmud (Megilla, 6a) understood the blessing of Zebulon to entail success in sea fishing, the production of purple dye from a sea mollusc, and the manufacture of glass from certain sands in the Tyre and Sidon area. Zebulon was also considered a sea merchant. All the activities of Zebulon are those otherwise associated with the Phoenicians [italics added].

"The Israelite Tribe of Dan too had interests and I came across a neat website, q-bible, which colonies in Phoenician areas. Hiram, king of renders the LXX and the Holy Name KJV side Tyre sent to Solomon, 'a cunning man, endued with understanding...the son of a woman of the daughters of Dan, and his father was a man of Tyre...' (2 Chronicles 2:12,13)."7

1 Kings 7:13,14 adds this: "And king Solomon sent and fetched Hiram out of Tyre: He was a widow's son of the Tribe of Nephtali and his father was a man of Tyre, a worker in brass [probably means bronze]."

The difference here of Dan and Naphtali is insignificant, since by the time of Solomon, Phoenician Tyre was almost exclusively an Israelite power, composed of the northern Tribes of Dan, Naphtali, Zebulon, Issachar, and Asher.

What is important is that Israelites from Dan and Naphtali were interconnected with Tyre and with crafts for which Tyre had a reputation.

So, from all of the above information, it is clear that Tyre/Phoenicia was an Israelite kingdom, which had its own dynasty, from Hiram. Only after the days of Ethbaal did this kingdom become mixed with the Zidonians. I Kings 7: 13-14 tells us that Hiram's mother was a Naphtalite, thus giving more proof that the dynasty of Hiram was Israelite. If Hiram's father was an Asherite of Tyre, as the above evidence suggests, then we see that Hiram was a fullblooded Israelite of Tyre.


All of us in CI understand that Yahshua was a pure-blooded descendant of David through Adam. In Galatians 4:4 Paul says that "God sent forth His Son, born of a woman, born under the law." Since the Law forbids us to intermarry with non-Adamites, it is obvious that Paul is telling us that Yahshua's blood is as White and pure as the whitest snow.

Deut. 7 specifically forbids intermarriage with Canaanites, so the possibility of Canaanite DNA in the bloodline is out of the question. Furthermore, the High Priest, Jehoiada, would not have given Joash a copy of the Covenant and anointed him if Joash were not an Israelite. (2 Ki. 11:12.)


Conclusion: Athalia was a pure-blooded Israelite, no matter how you cut it, no matter what her lineage is. Amen.

(Final note: In doing the research for this article, by side:

The End OSOS22053



In The Name of Yahweh By Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock Part 7



EXODUS 20:22 – And Yahweh (the LORD) said unto Moses, Thus thou shalt say unto the children of Israel, Ye have seen that I have talked with *for nothing*. you from heaven.

Exodus 20:23 – Ye shall not make with me gods of silver, neither shall ye make unto you gods of gold.

Exodus 20:24 – An altar of earth thou shalt make unto me, and shalt sacrifice thereon thy burnt offerings, and thy peace offerings, thy sheep, and thine oxen: in all places where I record my name I will come unto thee, and I will bless thee.

Exodus 20:25 – And if thou wilt make me an altar of stone, thou shalt not build it of hewn stone: for if thou lift up thy tool upon it, thou hast polluted it.

Exodus 20:26 – Neither shalt thou go up by steps unto mine altar, that thy nakedness be not discovered thereon.

NOTE that Yahweh instructs Moses to speak to the children of Israel (formerly known as Jacob), descended from Isaac, descended from Abraham, descended from the first white race man Adam, who was created by Yahweh. Yahweh does not tell Moses to speak to the Jews.

This passage deals with the law of the altar. Sacrifices are mentioned which will later be done away with, however an interesting point is where Yahweh specifies that, "Ye shall not make with me gods of silver, neither shall ye make unto you gods of gold."

Would that not rule out most churches today, with all their depictions of historical figures in decorative silver and gold? The Catholic Church springs to mind straightaway.

This clarifies what gods most churches today are having their congregations worship.

Interestingly all the catholic cardinals, bishops and the pope wear yarmulkes, the skullcap worn by those of the Jewish faith, which is forbidden in 1 Corinthians indeed it states in:

1 Corinthians 11:4 – Every man praying or prophesying, having [his] head covered, dishonoureth his head..."

XODUS 20:22 – And **Exodus 21:1** – Now these [are] the judgments which Yahweh (the LORD) thou shalt set before them.

Exodus 21:2 – *If thou buy an Hebrew servant, six years he shall serve: and in the seventh he shall go out free for nothing.*

Exodus 21:3 – *If he came in by himself, he shall go out by himself: if he were married, then his wife shall go out with him.*

Exodus 21:4 – *If his master have given him a wife, and she have born him sons or daughters; the wife and her children shall be her master's, and he shall go out by himself.*

Exodus 21:5 – And if the servant shall plainly say, I love my master, my wife, and my children; I will not go out free:

Exodus 21:6 – Then his master shall bring him unto the judges; he shall also bring him to the door, or unto the door post; and his master shall bore his ear through with an aul; and he shall serve him for ever.

Exodus 21:7 – *And if a man sell his daughter to be a maidservant, she shall not go out as the menservants do.*

Exodus 21:8 – If she please not her master, who hath betrothed her to himself, then shall he let her be redeemed: to sell her unto a strange nation he shall have no power, seeing he hath dealt deceitfully with her.

Exodus 21:9 – And if he have betrothed her unto his son, he shall deal with her after the manner of daughters.

Exodus 21:10 – *If he take him another [wife]; her food, her raiment, and her duty of marriage, shall he not diminish.*

Exodus 21:11 – *And if he do not these three unto her, then shall she go out free without money.*

In **Exodus 21:1** judgments also means laws, so here Yahweh is basically telling Israel how to live.

This section of Exodus contains the laws concerning servants from the house of Israel. Indeed Jacob's (renamed Israel) son Joseph was sold into servitude by his brothers in Genesis Chapter 37, prior to these laws coming into force.

Exodus 21:12 – *He that smiteth a man, so that he die, shall be surely put to death.*

Exodus 21:13 – And if a man lie not in wait, but Yahweh (God) deliver [him] into his hand; then I will appoint thee a place whither he shall flee.

Exodus 21:14 – But if a man come presumptuously upon his neighbour, to slay him with guile; thou shalt take him from mine altar, that he may die.

Exodus 21:15 – *And he that smiteth his father, or his mother, shall be surely put to death.*

Exodus 21:16 – *And he that stealeth a man, and selleth him, or if he be found in his hand, he shall surely be put to death.*

Exodus 21:17 – And he that curseth his father, or his mother, shall surely be put to death.

Exodus 21:18 – And if men strive together, and one smite another with a stone, or with [his] fist, and he die not, but keepeth [his] bed:

Exodus 21:19 – If he rise again, and walk abroad upon his staff, then shall he that smote [him] be quit: only he shall pay [for] the loss of his time, and shall cause [him] to be thoroughly healed.

Exodus 21:20 – And if a man smite his servant,

or his maid, with a rod, and he die under his hand; he shall be surely punished.

Exodus 21:21 – *Notwithstanding, if he continue a day or two, he shall not be punished: for he [is] his money.*


Exodus 21:22 – If men

strive, and hurt a woman with child, so that her fruit depart [from her], and yet no mischief follow: he shall be surely punished, according as the woman's husband will lay upon him; and he shall pay as the judges [determine].

Exodus 21:23 – And if [any] mischief follow, then thou shalt give life for life,

Exodus 21:24 – *Eye for eye, tooth for tooth, hand for hand, foot for foot,*

Exodus 21:25 – *Burning for burning, wound for wound, stripe for stripe.*



Exodus 21:26 – And if a man smite the eye of his servant, or the eye of his maid, that it perish; he shall let him go free for his eye's sake.

Exodus 21:27 – And if he smite out his manservant's tooth, or his maidservant's tooth; he shall let him go free for his tooth's sake.

These are the laws concerning violence.

As many of them result in the death penalty, commandment six of the ten commandments, which states, "Thou shalt not kill," clearly means that you should only kill in accordance with the law.

The real consistency amongst these laws is the level of personal responsibility they carry. There is no provision for modern day get-out clauses such as, "I did this because I was addicted to drugs, or I did that because Mummy and Daddy never paid attention to me when I was a child," they are laws requiring either adherence or a willingness to accept the consequences.

Indeed Exodus 21:24-25 makes it clear that aggressors would be subjected to the same

injuries they inflict upon their victims. Would that not make these people think twice about assaulting someone, if they had to endure the same violence they had meted out, as opposed to sitting with their feet up in a prison cell for a few months at the taxpayers' expense.

Interestingly whilst Exodus

21:15 proclaims death for one who strikes their mother or father, the Jews, who claim they are the Israel of the Bible, state in section Sanhedrin 85b of their Talmud,

"He who strikes his father or mother is liable only if he wounds them."

Exodus 21:28 – If an ox gore a man or a woman, that they die: then the ox shall be surely stoned, and his flesh shall not be eaten; but the owner of the ox [shall be] quit.

Exodus 21:29 – But if the ox were wont to push with his horn in time past, and it hath been

testified to his owner, and he hath not kept him **Exodus 22:1** – If a man shall steal an ox, or a in, but that he hath killed a man or a woman; the sheep, and kill it, or sell it; he shall restore five ox shall be stoned, and his owner also shall be oxen for an ox, and four sheep for a sheep. put to death.

Exodus 21:30 – If there be laid on him a sum of and be smitten that he die, [there shall] no blood money, then he shall give for the ransom of his life whatsoever is laid upon him.

Exodus 21:31 – *Whether he have gored a son,* or have gored a daughter, according to this judgment shall it be done unto him.

Exodus 21:32 – If the ox shall push a **Exodus 22:4** – If the theft be certainly found in manservant or a maidservant; he shall give unto their master thirty shekels of silver, and the ox he shall restore double. shall be stoned.

Exodus 21:33 – And if a man shall open a pit, or if a man shall dig a pit, and not cover it, and an ox or an ass fall therein;

Exodus 21:34 – *The owner of the pit shall make* [it] good, [and] give money unto the owner of Exodus 22:6 - If fire break out, and catch in them; and the dead [beast] shall be his.

Exodus 21:35 – And if one man's ox hurt that kindled the fire shall surely make restitution.

another's, that he die; then they shall sell the live ox, and divide the money of it; and the dead [ox] also they shall divide.

Exodus 21:36 – Or if it be known that the ox hath used to push in time past, and his owner hath not kept him in; he shall surely pay ox for ox; and the dead shall be his own.

These are laws concerning

animal control which again require the individual to take personal responsibility.

In this case his is liable for the conduct of his animals, and if he has known of those animals to have been violent in times past, he is subjected to the same punishments meted out to the animal.

Also note Exodus 21:32's requirement of thirty shekels of silver as compensation. There is no provision made here for inflation, indicating Yahweh did not consider inflation had a place among his Israel people.

Exodus 22:2 – If a thief be found breaking up, [be shed] for him.

Exodus 22:3 – If the sun be risen upon him, [there shall be] blood [shed] for him; [for] he should make full restitution; if he have nothing, then he shall be sold for his theft.

his hand alive, whether it be ox, or ass, or sheep;

Exodus 22:5 – If a man shall cause a field or vineyard to be eaten, and shall put in his beast, and shall feed in another man's field; of the best of his own field, and of the best of his own vineyard, shall he make restitution.


thorns, so that the stacks of corn, or the standing corn, or the field, be consumed [therewith]; he

> Exodus 22:7 – If a man shall deliver unto his neighbour money or stuff to keep, and it be stolen out of the man's house; if the thief be found, let him pay double.

Exodus 22:8 – If the thief be not found, then the master of the house shall be brought unto the judges, [to see] whether he have put his hand unto his neighbour's goods.

Exodus 22:9 - For all manner of trespass, [whether it be] for ox, for ass, for sheep, for raiment, [or] for any manner of lost thing, which [another] challengeth to be his, the cause of both parties shall come before the judges; [and] whom the judges shall condemn, he shall pay double unto his neighbour.

Exodus 22:10 – If a man deliver unto his neighbour an ass, or an ox, or a sheep, or any beast, to keep; and it die, or be hurt, or driven away, no man seeing [it]:



Exodus 22:11 – [Then] shall an oath of Yahweh Maybe people would be less inclined to steal if (the LORD) be between them both, that he hath not put his hand unto his neighbour's goods; and the owner of it shall accept [thereof], and he shall not make [it] good.

Exodus 22:12 – And if it be stolen from him, he shall make restitution unto the owner thereof.


Exodus 22:13 – If it be torn in pieces, [then] let him bring it [for] witness, [and] he shall not come along and take them can claim them for make good that which was torn.

Exodus 22:14 – And if a man borrow [ought] of Also when it comes to defrauding Christians (the his neighbour, and it be hurt, or die, the owner future name of Israel), see the Jewish document thereof [being] not with it, he shall surely make Choschen Hammischpat, where it states, "If a [it] good.

Exodus 22:15 – [But] if the owner thereof [be] with it, he shall not make [it] good: if it [be] an hired [thing], it came for his hire.

The property laws. Unlike so called laws pertaining to theft today, they actually benefit the victim and penalise the criminal.

Instead we have prisons, where people who have committed crimes are housed and fed for free, whilst they learn how to commit crimes from other criminals, that is when they're not too busy sat in their cell watching television or playing computer games.



they had to restore five times what they had stolen, or if they were at risk of death whilst undertaking the theft.

The Jews who claim to be the Israel of the Bible, have a different take on property laws. In the section of their Talmud entitled, Babha Bathra 54b, it states, "All things pertaining to the Goim (non-Jews) are like a desert; the first person to his own."

Jew is doing good business with an Akum (Christian) it is not allowed to other Jews, in certain places, to come and do business with the same Akum. In other places, however, it is different, where another Jew is allowed to go to the same Akum, lead him on, do business with him and to deceive him and take his money. For the wealth of the Akum is to be regarded as common property and belongs to the first who can get it."

If the criminal had nothing as per Exodus 22:3, "...he shall be sold for his theft."

For example if the criminal is defiant and says he has no money to restore double what he stole and double is, for example £200, he will be auctioned off in the manner of, "Who will pay £200 for a week of this man's work?"

If the criminal is keen to make restitution, and wants to make amends for what he has done, then there will be buyers, if however he is like most criminals today, surly and unrepentant, he will be unproductive and unreliable thus his week's work may not even be worth £10 or £20, which means he will be working for a lot longer to pay off the £200.

Either way, the victim will get their due and it is up to the criminal how long that will take. The criminal can repent, change his attitude, pay his debt to society and move on with his life, or wallow in self pity, and spend far longer paying off what he owes.

To be continued OS22084

Yahweh's Solar Calendar (Kingdom of America Blog)



UR GOD, YAHWEH, made appointed times that are called feast days that we are to celebrate. However our calendar has been changed several times, making it hard to determine when the feast days actually are. The feast day schedule on this site is based on the solar calendar since there is no proof in the bible that the Hebrew calendar was lunar. Currently the US uses a lunisolar calendar which is based on using the sun and the moon to identify appointed times.

A lot of people want to use the moon to identify appointed times based on Genesis 1:16, however, the moon is not mentioned but they think it is implied. The moon only reflects light and is visible during the day at times. So the moon cannot determine the night if it is visible during the day at times. The real implication is that the stars rule the night. The stars show their light only at night and are never visible during the day. In Genesis 1:14 the Hebrew word used for seasons is *moed*, which means appointed time, place, or meeting. Here are four translations of Genesis 1:14-18:

Young's Literal Translation:

14 And God saith, 'Let luminaries be in the expanse of the heavens, to make a separation between the day and the night, then they have been for signs, and for seasons, and for days and years, 15 and they have been for luminaries in the expanse of the heavens to give light upon the earth: and it is so. 16 And God maketh the two great luminaries, the great luminary for the rule of the day, and the small luminary-- and the stars-- for the rule of the night; 17 and God giveth them in the expanse of the heavens to give light upon the earth, 18 and to rule over day and over night, and to make a separation between the

light and the darkness; and God seeth that [it is] good;

World English Version:

14 God said, "Let there be lights in the expanse of sky to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days and years; 15 and let them be for lights in the expanse of sky to give light on the earth," and it was so. 16 God made the two great lights: the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night. He also made the stars. 17 God set them in the expanse of sky to give light to the earth, 18 and to rule over the day and over the night, and to divide the light from the darkness. God saw that it was good. 19 There was evening and there was morning, a fourth day.


English Standard Version:

14 And God said, "Let there be lights in the expanse of the heavens to separate the day from the night. And let them be for signs and for seasons, and for days and years, 15 and let them be lights in the expanse of the heavens to give light upon the earth." And it was so. 16 And God made the two great lights—the greater light to rule the day and the lesser light to rule the night—and the stars. 17 And God set them in the expanse of the heavens to give light on the earth, 18 to rule over the day and over the night, and to separate the light from the darkness. And God saw that it was good.

King James Version. In this version 'he made' is in italics so that means they inserted these words. So read this version and omit the words 'he made'.:

14 And God said, Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years:15 And let them be for lights in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth:and it was so. 16 And God made two great lights; the greater light to rule the day, and the lesser light to rule the night: he made the stars also. 17 And God set them in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth, 18 And to rule over the day and over and not moon. So using these verses as proof of the night, and to divide the light from the darkness: and God saw that it was good.

Also see biblehub where it is shown the words 'he made' and 'also' were not part of the original Lexicon. Hebrew text.



Here are the reasons I agree with the solar calendar:

Nowhere in the bible does it reflect that the Hebrews went outside to sight the new crescent moon.

Nowhere in the bible does it mention a 13th John Prat.com month which is required for a lunar calendar.

The Hebrews and Israelites were farmers and it would be hard to determine the planting and harvesting times based on a lunar calendar.

The laws for keeping the feast days do not mention there must be a crescent or full moon before they occur.

The Hebrew word 2320 Chodesh was translated as moon in Ezekiel 46:1, Amos 8:5, and 2 Kings 4:23 - Chodesh is used 283 times in the Old Testament and only 26 times was it used for the word 'moon' - the other 257 times chodesh meant 'month'.

So the word chodesh really means month and it was translated as moon in error. The same for Col 2:6 the Greek work used is normally month

a lunar calendar is really not proof. You can use Bible-Hub to determine the Greek or Hebrew word used in a translation. Just search for the verse you want to translate and then click on

The Book of Enoch describes the use of a solar calendar.

The Jews based their Passover on a lunar calendar. The New Testaments reflects that Jesus, a Judahite, and his Israelite Apostles held their Passover dinner on a different day than the Jews.

Daniel prophesied the calendar would change and it did. The 4th Beast, which was Rome, changed our calendar.

Daniel 7:25 "He shall speak words against the Most High, and shall wear out the saints of the Most High, and shall think to change the times and the law; and they shall be given into his hand for a time, times, and half a time."

See :

Wikipedia. Gregorian Calendar

For more information about the solar calendar, see these pages:

Wikipedia Enoch Calendar

Anglo-Saxon-Israel

Prove All Things

Solar Calendar

Yahwey's Calendar2

The Day

Our God, Yahweh, intended the day to start at sunset. Notice that evening is mentioned first in these scriptures:

Genesis 1:5 "And God called the light Day, and the darkness he called Night. And the evening and the morning were the first day."

Genesis 1:8 "And God called the firmament Heaven. And the evening and the morning were the second day."

Genesis 1:13 *"And the evening and the morning were the third day."*

In this verse, they are closing the gates at sundown in preparation for the Sabbath day since the Sabbath started in the evening.

Neh 13:19 "And it cometh to pass, when the gates of Jerusalem have been dark before the Sabbath, that I speak, and the doors are shut, and I say, that they do not open them till after the Sabbath; and of my servants I have stationed at the gates; there doth not come in a burden on the Sabbath day."

So the feast days start in the evening and end the next sunset.

New Year

Based on Exodus 12:1, we know that the new year started in Abib, the same month as Passover.

Exodus 12:1 "The Lord said to Moses and Aaron in the land of Egypt, 2 "This month shall be for you the beginning of months. It shall be the first month of the year for you. 3 Tell all the congregation of Israel that on the tenth day of this month every man shall take a lamb according to their fathers 'houses, a lamb for a household." [scripture goes on to give instruction for the Passover feast]

It is thought that the word 'Abib' actually means Equinox. See this page for more information'

Therefore, it is thought that the new year starts on the spring equinox that is on March 20th in 2014.

It is interesting to note that the signs of the Zodiac start with Aries on March 21st. The Zodiac signs go way back in time and are based on the observation of the stars. See HERE. (The signs of the Stars or Zodiac were never intended to tell our fortune.) Here is what Wikipedia reflects:

Knowledge of the Babylonian zodiac is also reflected in the Hebrew Bible. E. W. Bullinger interpreted the creatures appearing in the books



of Ezekiel and Revelation as the middle signs of the four quarters of the Zodiac, [14] [15] with the Lion as Leo, the Bull is Taurus, the Man representing Aquarius the Eagle and representing Scorpio.[16] Some authors have linked the twelve tribes of Israel with the twelve signs. Martin and others have argued that the arrangement of the tribes around the Tabernacle (reported in the Book of Numbers) corresponded to the order of the Zodiac, with Judah, Reuben, Ephraim, and Dan representing the middle signs of Leo, Aquarius, Taurus, and Scorpio, respectively.[17][18] Such connections were taken up by Thomas Mann, who in his novel Joseph and His Brothers attributes characteristics of a sign of the zodiac to each tribe in his rendition of the Blessing of Jacob.

Here is an interesting YouTube video based on the Zodiac that reflects Jesus' birth on Sept 11th in 3 BC:

The Sabbath

We all know that we must observe the Sabbath. The laws for keeping the Sabbath come from the same books of the bible where Moses, a Levite, documented the rules for keeping the Feast Days. Here are the laws for keeping the Sabbath:

Exodus 20 Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. 9 Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:10 But the seventh day is the Sabbath of the Lord thy God: in it thou shalt not do any

work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy together]. You shall do no work. It is a Sabbath manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that is within thy gates:11 For in six days the Lord made heaven and earth, the The law states that it is a day of rest and that we sea, and all that in them is, and rested the seventh day: wherefore the Lord blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.

Lev 23:3 "Six days shall work be done, but on review Yahweh's word on the Sabbath. We the seventh day is a Sabbath of solemn rest, a could assemble as a family or group of holv convocation [Hebrew 4744 also shown as neighbours. assembly, from Hebrew 7121 to call, to call

to the Lord in all your dwelling places.

should assemble. It does not state we should go to church. Church is a mistranslation for the words: assemble, congregation and call together. Therefore, we should assemble together and

The End OS22275



Don't Give Your Thumb Print

Sir--, The next step in having technology "controlling" our lives is already in place, and is being installed into the new smart phone and computer "pad" technologies. It is the technology that reads your fingerprint, or thumbprint!

Apple has come out with an IPhone and and IPad which uses your own thumbprint as a personal Identification code to "unlock" the device. Sounds harmless right? Almost like a good thing, so what could possibly be wrong with it?

Well, it's being "planned" to have people start using their thumbprints as a personal SIGNET, or LEGAL Signature so that you can make payments with your device simply by pressing your thumb on a certain key, or you can "BUY THINGS" on line by pressing your thumb on the same key.

Letters And Views

THIS is so dangerous to personal freedom that it boggles the mind, and most people can't even begin to understand why!

A reader friend living near San Francisco, and working in the "TECH" industries that are everywhere present in that area of the United States, works with applications and knows coding and programming well.

Various men and women in that industry, who like to keep in touch with each other and gather into Twitter groups to share information, call themselves "techno-philes", or people who LOVE technology.

While discussing the ALL CAPS name and how the government uses it to entrap people into being a legal FICTION, he suddenly raised his eyes and said "OKAY, now I get it, - it all makes sense."

He began to tell me that the BANKING INDUSTRY is very interested in this new thumb print technology that uses a persons "thumbprint" as a signature to pay for things, to make online purchases and even to SIGN DOCUMENTS, simply by using a phone or some other device.

Here's where it gets interesting.

A lot of these San Francisco "programmers" are super geniuses, and super nerds! They are very independent and don't like to be told HOW to create their programs.

Many of them were approached to write the difficult programming and coding that would



"attach" a persons thumbprint, gained by the "print capturing" technology of these smart devices, to the persons actual name.

Several of programmers took on this task and finally had workable а application that did just that. The BANKS who

wanted this technology immediately sent it back to them in a not so nice way, and told the programmers and coders that what they had developed was totally unacceptable for their purposes.

When asked why, the reply was simply that the persons name, that was being attached to the thumbprint WAS NOT IN ALL CAPITAL **LETTERS!**

When several of the programmers who had worked so hard on this stuff had the audacity to ask WHY a persons name had to be in all capital letters, and why it mattered so much, they were told to MIND their own business and simply do it the way they were being told to do it, and not ask any questions.

As you can imagine, this really rattled a lot of And, as in South Africa, the Negroes will cut off the nerdy techno-guys and gals, in the area, and they started to "TWITTER" among themselves asking if anyone knew WHY a person's name HAD TO BE written in all capital letters, and what the story behind that was.

My brother told me all of this and then said —"Now I know why!"

Well, the rest of this gets even better.

We all know that before ANY contract can be signed..., a SIGNET or SIGNATURE has always been required as a mark of CONSENT. So these sneaky BANKSTERS are trying to put one over on the public here.

What they are trying to do here, is to substitute a living human being's actual Thumbprint for their Signature! This is HUGE! This is also CRAZY.

You have really dig down into the demented and sicko logic of this to see what they are trying to do.

Only a real living and breathing human being HAS a thumb print! The ALL CAPS NAME is a total fiction, created by the Government, and the has nothing to do with a human being. The two are always totally separate! We all know that now. But what this does is to DIRECTLY CONNECT a real living being's physical BODY to that ALL CAPS fiction name! Almost making them inseparable!

They've now removed a very substantial barrier between what is fiction and what is real.

And now here's the trap! The more that a real living being uses his thumbprint as his signature (to sign papers, checks, and buy things) the more he is CONSENTING that his own physical body IS NOTHING MORE THAN A LEGAL FICTION. Which makes him a slave, and an owned piece of property and nothing more.

This technology is nothing more than a legal trap..., a huge, huge legal trap!

Shame on the tech companies for working with the BANKSTERS. Shame on them! Shame!!! Shame!!! Shame!!!

each other's hands in order to get that thumbprint money!!!! Yours Truly, Pastor Eli James.

Poisoning Our Food



The dead brown stuff pictured is a farmers field by us, full of potato crop which was sprayed just over a week ago with glyphosate - Monsantos' Roundup. Look how dead the plants look compared to everything else around the field. They do this to kill the plants because it makes harvesting easier! Glyphosate is a systemic weedkiller therefore it is absorbed into the plant and into the potatoes which then end up in the

supermarkets either bagged or in crisps, chips or convenience foods. The other very green picture is our super healthy organic potato plants grown in mulch. They are still producing new leaves and lots of delicious tatties. Farmers are also spraying grain crops like wheat and barley etc because it's easier on their combines. They don't care that they are poisoning you. Local farmers round here don't eat their own crops - they buy organic boxes from local suppliers so what is that telling you? Glyphosate is now in your food. **Yours Truly, Lincs Patriot.**

Third World Invasion: Eyewitness Description, September 5, 2015



Sir—, An eye-witness account from a Polish travel blog writer, who was present on the Italian-Austrian border on September 5, 2015, as swarms of Third World nonwhites poured across the border to invade Austria and Germany (A translation from Polish): (Please note: all pictures from the Hungarian-Austrian border)

"Half an hour ago on the border between Italy and Austria I saw with my own eyes a great many immigrants. Through megaphones the Austrians announced that there is permission for them to cross the

With all solidarity with people in difficult circumstances I have to say that what I saw arouses horror ... This huge mass of people – sorry, that I'll write this – but these are absolute savages. Vulgar, throwing bottles, shouting loudly "We want to Germany!" – and is Germany a paradise now?

I saw how they surrounded a car of an elderly Italian woman, pulled her by her hair out of the car and wanted to drive away in the car. They tried to overturn the bus in which I travelled myself with a group of others. They were throwing faeces at us, banging on the doors to

force the driver to open up, spat at the windscreen. I ask for what purpose? How is this savagery to be assimilated in Germany?

It felt for a moment like being in a war. I really feel sorry for these people, but if they reached Poland – I do not think that they would get any understanding from us. We were waiting three hours at the border which ultimately we could not cross.

Our whole group was transported back to Italy with a police escort. The bus being damaged, covered with faeces, scratched, with broken windows. And this is supposed to be an idea for demographics? These big powerful hordes of savages?

Among them there were virtually no women, no children—the vast majority were aggressive young men. Just yesterday, while reading about them on all the websites I subconsciously felt compassion, worried about their fate but today after what I saw I am just afraid and yet I am happy that they did not choose our country as their destination. We Poles are simply not ready to accept these people – neither culturally nor financially.

I do not know if anyone is ready. To the EU an agenda is on the march which we were not told about, and I am sorry if anyone is offended by this entry.

I can add that cars arrived with humanitarian aid – mainly food and water and they were just overturning those cars.

Through megaphones the Austrians announced that there is permission for them to cross the border—they wanted to register them and let them go on—but they did not understand these messages. They did not understand anything.

And this was the greatest horror. For among those few thousand people nobody understood Italian, English, German, Russian nor Spanish. What mattered was fist law. They fought for permission to move on and they had this permission— but did not realize that they had it! They opened the luggage hatches of a French bus—and everything that was inside was stolen within a short time, some things left lying on the ground. Never in my short life had I an opportunity to Jews who masquerade as being Catholics - and see such scenes and I feel that this is just the beginning. Yours truly, Kamil Bulonis.

The Biggest Scam in The World



Sir---, The Vatican is a Tax Haven and has the largest collection of medieval pornography in the world! British author, Chris Everard, says that the Vatican has been infiltrated, and is running a massive scam on a billion people.

The Pope sits on a multi-billion dollar portfolio of top properties. But all is not well at the Vatican. Recently, Pope Francis prayed at the Wailing Wall in Jerusalem, but has not yet worshipped at Mecca - it seems obvious that the Catholic church has drawn itself closer to Jews than it has to Muslims. Why? Why is the Supreme Court and governments worldwide populated by large numbers of Jews, but hardly no Muslims? Why does the Pope bother to pray at a Jewish shrine?

The answer is concealed in an ancient document entitled Pardon for The Knights Templar. This document says that even though the Knights Templar were rounded up and arrested for Witchcraft and the worshipping of shrunken human heads called 'Baphomets', and their leader, Jaques de Molay was executed like a witch at the command of the Vatican and Royal family of France, the Templars are today considered by the Pope to be 'innocent'. In truth, this massive flip-flop by the Vatican was caused by the Catholic hierarchy being INFILTRATED

by members of a Judeo Cult called the 'Zevites' who summoned spirits through the decapitated heads of corpses - and these weird and sick rituals have their roots in Jewish mysticism. For centuries, Rome has been the centre of rumours that there is a 'Black Pope' who leads a cult of

that in secret, they worship demons written into the pages of the Kabbalah.

The Knights Templar believed a twisted myth that Adam in the Garden of Eden married a an evil spirit called Lilith and they had 'demon children' together who were sent out into the world and instructed to become spiritual and political World Leaders, who would then defile the planet.

From that moment onwards, the Knights Templar considered themselves to be those demon children.

Chris Everard's new digital book is called ANUNAKI DEMONS & the EVIL MESSIAH. It is the first book to link the demons of the Assyrian clay tablets with the 'Watchers' of the Holy Bible, and the 'Dark Side' of the Tree of Life featured in the Jewish mystical books of the Kabbalah.

Chris Everard's research is the first book to link the spread of PLAGUE, known as the Black Death, to the Zevite cult. Many thousands of their cult members converted from Judaism to Islam in the year 1666 - from then onwards, they eventually also infiltrated the top management of the Vatican.

Anunaki Demons & the Evil Messiah is a digital book which will be delivered to you directly via email immediately. It has nearly 150 large format pages, is fully illustrated and is delivered in a universal format ideal for iPad retina, iPhone, Android Tablets, Macs and PCs. Read more about it HERE. Yours truly, H. K. Midlands, UK

From The Daily Mail, February 3, 1900

There landed yesterday at Southampton from the transport Cheshire over 600 so-called refugees, their passages having been paid out of the Lord Mayor's Fund. . . There was scarce a hundred of them that had, by right, deserved such help, and these were the Englishmen of the party. The rest were Jews. . . . When the Relief Committee passed by they hid their gold and fawned and whined, and, in broken English, asked for money for their train fare." Yours truly, AP

The Seal of The Tri-Lateral Commission Revelation 13.1-7



Sir—, And I saw a beast rising out of the sea, having ten horns and seven heads; and on its horns were ten diadems, and on its heads were blasphemous names. And the beast that I saw was like a leopard, its feet were like a bear's, and its mouth was like a lion's mouth. And the dragon gave it his power and his throne and great authority. One of its heads seemed to have received a death-blow, but its mortal wound had been healed. In amazement the whole earth followed the beast. They worshipped the dragon, for he had given his authority to the beast, and they worshipped the beast, saying, 'Who is like the beast, and who can fight against it?'

The beast was given a mouth uttering haughty and blasphemous words, and it was allowed to exercise authority for forty-two months. It opened its mouth to utter blasphemies against God, blaspheming his name and his dwelling, that is, those who dwell in heaven. Also, it was allowed to make war on the saints and to conquer them. It was given authority over every tribe and people and language and nation. **Yours truly, D.J.**

Wellington and The War Criminals

Sir—, Thanks for the Red Letter days when 2 Magazines and the very important article re wrath's children arrived. I've revised my 19th century history. After Wellington's and Blucher's great victory at Waterloo and the national disaster at the change, the war criminals were converting important people to be their minions. They would try it with Wellington. He owed



them thanks for financing his campaigns and possibly entrusted private business to their agents. There was the Wellesley colliery at Methil, Fife. It appears, that his footprint was there. and possibly Belgium. The Wallons

owed him a debt from Waterloo. The Iron Duke knew that, as always, the French would try to break our thin red line. So in the centre he placed the Belgian troops, knowing that they would run away.

Bonaparte was very popular in Belgium--- the Napoleon dock is in Antwerp - could take the largest ships then, but now only coasters.

Our Guards were placed behind the Belgians, recumbent, and not visible to the Corsican bandit. They were given strict orders not to shoot the fleeing Belgians as is customary. When the Belgians fled Wellington did not say "Up Guards and at "em" but gave the order in the Infantry Manual - "Stand up the Guards"

Belgium is the only country on the continent with the dirty British habit of coal bings, causing Aberfans. All other countries put the spoil back into the mine to stop subsistence. Did the Duke's mining engineers take ship from Methil to Belgium?

It is interesting to note that our politicians did not engage in war until the Commander in Chief was safely dead. Then the Crimean war was quickly made to protect "the sick man of Europe". I've recently read that our politicians wished things to remain as arranged at the Congress of Vienna, so our men died protecting Turkey, when Russia may have had very good reason for war. The "powder Keg of Europe" remained safe for 1914 up until today. The 40 years of peace proves that war criminal's could not make Wellesly a minion.

When Bonaparte took Germany he found 300 separate governments and melded them down to 30. He began the unification of Germany, not

Bismarck, for which France paid a terrible price metal was as expensive as silver. He was in 1870, 1914 and 1939, and I've met Frogs who think he is a great man! Bismarck unified Germany with the Zollverein (Toll Community) just as the Common Market was formed, For Satan and his sly servants can never change their spots. As Bismarck appears to be a war criminal minion the Kaiser was quite right "dropping the pilot" in 1890. Apparently, Napoleon III's wife a war criminal agent! The woman was unqualified to be Queen. Did she egg him on to declare war in 1870? He was bound to lose, although he spent much money on his army. The cavalry had aluminium breastplates, when this

imprisoned after his failed *coup d'état* and wrote a book on artillery.

Just before the war the German army adopted steel cannon, against military opinion. With a greater charge of powder the shells fly further and in battle the French artillery could not reach the German. So goodbye to Napoleon II. With their minions the war criminal's will have known beforehand. Yours Truly, An Ancient Mariner.

The End



Harold Stough Notes The Gospel According to Paul. (Rom. 6:4; Col. 2:12)

"I am an envoy for Christ" - II Cor. 5:20 (Moffatt). "Paul, a servant of Jesus Christ, called to be an apostle, set apart for the gospel of God" - Rom. 1:1 (M).

"The God who had set me apart from my very birth called me by His grace ... He chose to reveal His Son to me, that I might preach Him to the Gentiles" - Gal. 1:15

"He hath chosen us in Him (in Christ) before the foundation of the world" - Eph. 1:4

Faith

AITH MEANT a confidence that God would fulfil His promises. This was the root idea of the Abraham story. ...it is but a single step... to the idea of faith as a practical force for life, an act and attitude of self commitment to a God Worthy of all trust. To him', says Principal Cairns (The Faith that Rebels, 206) faith is the great fundamental human virtue, the indispensable condition of all salvation and life and blessing'. "Faith was not so much a confidence that God's promises would some day be fulfilled, as a recognition that it had been fulfilled already, and fulfilled in a way that claimed the surrender of a man's life in love and gratitude and obedience."For all the promises of dull resentment can bring— it is God who God in Him and yea, and in Him Amen, unto the reconciles, man who is reconciled. glory of God by us"- II Cor. 1:20.

Reconciliation

"What makes sin an essentially lonely thing is not the separation of the sinner from his brother men or even from his own best self: is it his isolation from God. This is what Paul calls alienation. You that were sometime alienated, and enemies in your mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled'. He charges his converts that they walk not as other Gentiles walk alienated from the life of God'.

Other religions take it for granted that God is the one who requires to be reconciled. Ritual acts and offerings are prescribed through which the offended deity may be placated.---Is this the conception with which Paul is working? When he speaks of reconciliation, is he thinking of a change in the attitude of God? Or is it man-not God at all who needs to be reconciled'.

With one voice the pagan creeds declare that man must take steps to reconcile his God, and so restore himself to favour. Christianity cuts clean across this, and declares the exact opposite. GOD IS THE RECONCILER. God has taken the initiative, has broken into the atmosphere of man's hostility, and has thrown down every estranging barrier that guilt and hopelessness and

"All things are of God, who hath reconciled us it is God Himself Who has 'set Christ forth' in to Himself by Jesus Christ" - II Cor 5:18. God was in Christ, reconciling the world unto Himself"- II Cor. 5:19. "If, when we were enemies, we were reconciled to God by the death of His Son, much more, being, reconciled, we shall be saved by His life". Rom 5:10.

"A God who needs to be reconciled is not the apostolic God of grace. He is certainly not the God and Father of Jesus Christ.

Propitiation

Being justified freely by His grace, through the Redemption that is in Christ Jesus; Whom God hath set forth to be a propitiation through faith in His blood" Rom. 3:24. "He is the propitiation for our sins; and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the whole world" - 1 John 22. "Herein in love, not that we loved God, but that He loved us, and sent His Son to be the propitiation for our sins". - I John 4:10

It is now coming to he generally accepted that the Greek Word as used by Paul in Romans 3:25 is not a noun at all, but a masculine adjective agreeing with – "Whom God hath set forth with propitiatory power".

It cannot be too firmly emphasized that the whole idea of propitiating God is radically unscriptural. It plays havoc with the Bible's fundamental tenet, which is the Divine initiative. When Paul affirms that Christ possesses 'propitiatory powers' he is careful to remark that

this character ...'

Wrath

What Paul means by the wrath of God...is the totality of the divine reaction to sin, your outlook is not Gods but man's – Matt.16:23 (Moffett)

I Corinthians 13. "Though I speak with the tongues of men and of angels"- Religion as ecstatic emotionalism. "Though I have the gift of prophecy, and understand all mysteries, and knowledge"all Religion as gnosis, intellectualism, speculation.

"Though I have all faith, so that I could remove mountains" - Religion as working energy. "Though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor" Religion as humanitarianism. "Though I give my body to be burned"- Religion as ascetism.

All these one-sided and patently inadequate representations of the Gospel, Paul expressly repudiates.

Historical data and reminiscences you can rationalize; a living Lord you can only proclaim. We have both union and communion with Him.

A great forgiveness is the really creative thing, it is the moral power that secures the future, and that forgiveness is in Christ for individuals and Israel.

The End OS4479

To Whom Sent? Matthew 10: 5-7, 15: 24, 18: 11. John Trotter



OTH THE BIBLE and its history belong to Israel. Other nations mentioned are referred to only when Israel comes in contact with them. All the Bible themes primarily relate to Israel, for example redemption, and the Gospel of the Kingdom. The word Israel relates to the descendants of Israel in the Old Testament, who are mostly living in the Western World. The common view is that the world is made of Jews and Gentiles. The word Jew is taken to mean Israel as a nation, and the word Gentile is a generic term for anyone who is not of Israel. I do not agree with this simplistic view. The word Gentile has a Latin origin. The word that should be used is ethnos, which means nation. In certain situations the word ethnos can be applied to the 2 houses of Israel. Acts 9: 15 is used to express the view that the Gospel was sent to all nations on earth. The word Gentile can mean heathen tribe. as a nation, is yet to prepare herself, as a Bride, ready for the Marriage (Revelation 21:2). The need to take the Gospel of the Kingdom to those



In Acts 3: 25, 26 we read that the Gospel of the Kingdom was preached first to the Southern House of Judah. Because they rejected the message as a nation the word was taken to the Northern House of Israel who were not only living locally but were as far away as Babylon, Northern India, Scythia (Southern Russia), Galatia, and even as far as the British Isles. Despite the destruction of countless books, there are still plenty of sources to show that the Gospel was primarily sent to the Northern House of Israel who in the meantime had become "not my people" (Hosea 1: 9). James 1: 1 clearly shows to whom the book was written to. Hebrews was written to the Hebrew people. The word strangers in 1 Peter 1: 1 refers to the exiles of the dispersion of Israel. The Gentiles referred to in Acts 18: 6 were of the Northern House of Israel. Read Acts 28: 25 - 28 and Isaiah 6: 9, 10. Uzziah was King of the Southern House of Judah. It is hoped you understand the connection.

Even the Jews (some of whom were of Judah), understood the matter relating to the dispersed or Diaspora. In John 7: 33 - 34 Jesus says, "Yet a little while am I with you, and then I go unto Him that sent me. Ye shall seek me and shall not find me and where I am thither ye cannot come". Then in verse 35 the Pharisees ask a question, "Will He go unto the dispersed (Diaspora) among the Gentiles?" These Gentiles of the Southern House were the dispersed of Israel amongst the Greeks (Helene's). Acts 2: 5 shows that the Jews (Judahites) were widely scattered. The Northern House of Israel, was divorced, not the Southern House of Judah. If the Southern House of Judah had been divorced, then Jesus would have been illegitimate by the Laws of Divorce. Jesus had to rise from the dead to allow for the proceedings of the remarrying to His bride, Israel. The marriage is still future. Israel

as a nation, is yet to prepare herself, as a Bride, ready for the Marriage (Revelation 21:2). The need to take the Gospel of the Kingdom to those of Israel, was the first step under the New Covenant. Again the New Covenant is only with both Houses of Israel. I realise this view runs contrary to most thinking. God's priority is with Israel. The other non - Israel nations have to wait for Israel's redemption to be complete.

The view that all people on earth are sheep is not Scriptural. Only Israel are His sheep. The characteristics of a sheep is very clearly reflected in the nations of the West. Psalm 79: 13 clearly states that Israel are His sheep. Psalm 44 describes the condition of Israel and in verse 11 it says that the sheep have been scattered amongst the heathen. Jeremiah 50: 17 says Israel are scattered sheep. Jeremiah 23: 1 says that the pastors have scattered the sheep. Ezekiel 34: 31 says Israel is the flock of His pasture. Would anyone in their right mind state that the Assyrians or Babylonians are God's sheep? Of course not! As I believe there is historical proof to show that the Anglo - Saxon - Celtic people are modern day Israel, how can it be said that other races and nations of those races are His sheep. It is we who have fallen from our role of service and have become apostate. We are the ones who have broken God's Laws that were given to Israel. Every attempt was given to the Southern House to receive the Gospel of the Kingdom but they rejected it as a nation. It was unto them that the oracles of God were given (Romans 3: 1, 2). Because they did not accept the message the Gospel of the Kingdom had to go to the Northern House of Israel.

The theme of the sheep is continued into the New Testament. Matthew 9: 36 and 10: 16 mentions how the people had no shepherd and were scattered amongst the wolves. Read Matthew 26: 31 and Ezekiel 34: 5, 6. In Luke 15: 6 we have the Parable of the Lost Sheep. Whilst only one sheep is found, this sheep is of Israel. The Parable expresses joy and repentance, which is also a national matter. Christ is the Shepherd of the sheep (Hebrews 13: 20) who has laid down His life for the sheep (John 10: 15). Peter in John 21: 16, 17 was advised that he had to feed the sheep. One of the reasons why the Southern House did not accept Christ was because of the influence of the leaven arising from the Edomite - Herodian presence. In John 10: 16 Jesus says, "other sheep (Northern House) I have that are not this flock". These had been scattered into those areas already mentioned above. astray, but are now returned unto the Shepherd and Bishop of our souls". The seed of the King-

During recent times I have read a number of books on the subject of what happened to the Disciples. A number of these books are written by people who do not have my belief as to who is Israel today, and yet the information they provide point in the direction of my belief. Some of this information comes from Jewish authorities. The blindness of Israel as stated in the Bible includes a blindness of who we are. There is no doubt in my mind that the disciples carried out Christ's urgent request. The end result was that many died in tragic circumstances but they shall be rewarded for their belief.

When Jesus said in Matthew 18: 11 (Luke 19: 10), He came to "save that which was lost", He was referring to Israel. The word "lost "means those who were cast off due to their disobedience. This phrase can only apply to Israel. In Jeremiah 7: 15 we read that God is to cast off Israel out of His sight. Yet in compassion He says in Isaiah 41:9 that Israel is His Servant and has not been cast away. This is not a contradiction for even though God forgot His wife for a period of time, we are told in Jeremiah 31:10,"He that scattered Israel will gather him, and keep him as a shepherd doth His flock". When you realise that the disciples and many others fulfilled the request of Christ to go to the "lost sheep of the House of Israel", then you have a better understanding of 1 Peter 2 : 25 when it says, "For we were as sheep going

astray, but are now returned unto the Shepherd and Bishop of our souls". The seed of the Kingdom message had been sown into the minds of those who were chosen to receive the inheritance of eternal life.

For a short period in church history the job was complete, but as stated the wolves were close at hand (Acts 20: 29). The false prophets who come clothed in sheep's clothing are inwardly ravening wolves (Matthew 7:15, Ezekiel 22: 25 -27). Down through history the same pattern has occurred. A time of repentance and then the sheep go to sleep not realising that the leaven of the Pharisees and Herod has worked its way into society (Mark 8:15).

In the near future for the last time those within Israel of the West will call upon the God of our Fathers and He will rescue us again from the clutches of those who have crept in unawares (Jude 1: 4). These ministers of Satan (2 Corinthians 11: 13-15) are the tares. No more will Israel, His bride be lost, for the New Covenant will usher in the fulfilment of Hebrews 8: 10-12 where in part it says "for all shall know me from the least to the greatest and their sins and their iniquities will I remember no more". Then we will understand why Israel had to be redeemed so her role as a servant would be complete. THY KINGDOM COME.

The End OS22269

The Trojan Origins Of European Royalty! (Part 2) John D. Keyser



Mycenae Crete

The Cretan Connection!

HERE ARE NUMEROUS links between Troy and Crete. Dardanus built a city at the foot of **Mount Ida** in the Troad; there is also a **Mount Ida** near the Cretan city of **Mycenae!** *The Link*, published by the Christian Israel Foundation, notes that "perhaps the most striking evidence of an Israelitish **Migratory Settlement in Cretan Mycenae** is to be found in Sir Arthur Evans' monumental work, 'Mycenaean Tree and Pillar Cult,' in which it is established that **Hebrew Rituals** were observed there...This culture **moved to Asia Minor**, where, behind **Troy**, we again find a Mount Ida (Judah), and where, as in Miletus, survived the belief in the Cretan Royal Descent." (June, 1989, p. 261).

Crete had early contacts with Greece and Asia Minor, where many archaeological finds testify to the fact. The civilization of Cretan Mycenae bore a **striking resemblance** to that of the Hebrews and, according to the Roman historian **Tacitus**, the "Hebrews" were "natives of the **Isle of Crete**," who derived their name from that of Mt. Ida (Judah), well known in ancient history and mythology. This account in the works of Tacitus might well be considered fantastic were it not for the fact that a **most intimate** cultural link existed between the **Mycenaeans and the Israelites!**

"Later Greek myths indicate that they [the Trojans] Came From The Same Source As The Mycenaeans, but moved farther north to cross into Asia Minor at the Bosporus, the strait between the Sea of Marmara and the Black Sea in Russia.

"They then migrated into what is now **Turkey**. Finally a branch under **Ilus** founded **Troy** under the name 'Ilium."" (*The Mysteries of Homer's Greeks*, by I. G. Edmonds. Elsevier/Nelson Books, N.Y. 1981, pp. 71-72).

Tacitus, Josephus, and others point to the establishment of a Judahite Royal line in Cretan Mycenae -- established by Exiles From Egypt who practiced circumcision and were considered "aliens" by the Egyptians!!


Dardanus was clearly the Darda of the Bible -the son of Zarah and grandson of Judah -- and a prince of Judah in his own right!

The Royal Line of Darda

After building the city of Dardanus in the Troad, **Darda** established his **Royal Line** in the land, which continued as follows:1). Dardanus (Darda)

- 2). Erictanus
- 3). Tros
- 4). Ilus
- 5). Laomedon
- 6). Priamus (Priam)

Priam's reign ended in 1181 -- the year the Trojans were crushed in the First Trojan war by their brethren the Greeks. **Aeneas**, of the royal line, escaped the destruction of Troy and made his way to **Italy**. The story of his migration is found in the **Aeneid**, written by the Roman historian Virgil. *Funk and Wagnalls New Encyclopaedia* outlines the story:



The Aeneid is a mythical [according to the "experts"] work in twelve books. describing the wanderings of the hero Aeneas and a small band of Trojans after the fall of Troy. Aeneas escaped from Troy with the images of his ancestral gods, carrying his aged father on his shoulders,

and leading his young son Ascanius by the hand, but in the confusion of his hasty flight he lost his wife, Creusa. He collected a fleet of twenty vessels, and sailed with the surviving Trojans to Thrace, where they began building a city. Aeneas subsequently abandoned his plan of a settlement there and went to Crete, but was driven from that island by a pestilence. After visiting Epirus and Sicily (where his father died), Aeneas was shipwrecked on the coast of Africa and welcomed by Dido, Queen of Carthage. After a time he again set sail; Dido, who had fallen in love with him, was heartbroken by his departure and committed suicide. After visiting Sicily again and stopping at Cumae, on the Bay of Naples, he landed at the mouth of the Tiber river, seven years after the fall of Troy. Aeneas was welcomed by Latinus, King of Latium. Lavinia, the daughter of Latinus, was destined to marry a stranger, but her mother Amata had promised to give her in marriage to Turnus, King of the Rutulians. A war ensued, which terminated with the defeat and death of Turnus, thus making possible the marriage of Aeneas and Lavinia. Aeneas died three years later, and his son Ascanius founded Alba Longa, the mother city of Rome. -- Vol. I. MCMLXXV, p. 196.

The *Compendium of World History* records that "the refugees of the First Trojan War settled...

in Italy. They founded Lavinium two years after years (1178-1175). He, in turn, was succeeded the First Trojan War -- that is, in 1179 -- and later the city of Alba (the site of the Pope's summer palace today) at the time of the Second Trojan War in 1149. The Trojan Royal House founded in Italy a line of kings that reigned in Alba from 1178 until 753, when the centre of government passed to Rome."

The Line of Latinus

Latinus, king of Latium, was himself descended from Judah! Notice:

The famous woman Electra or Roma was daughter of Atlas Kittim. Josephus reveals Atlas to have been Epher, Abraham's grandson. His daughter, the concubine of Jupiter Judah (see Icelandic history...), could be no other than the Biblical Tamar. From Electra, who later married Cambon, came a line of rulers who were later accounted gods or divine heroes. The list carries us down to the coming of Aeneas of Troy All these royal lines were related to the family of... Judah. -- Compendium of World History, Vol. II, pp. 137-138.


- 1). Jupiter (Judah)
- 2). Roma (Tamar)
- 3). Romanessus
- 4). Picus I
- 5). Faunus
- 6). Annus
- 7). Vulcan
- 8). Marte (Mars)
- 9). Saturn
- 10). Picus Ii
- 11). Latinus

The 35th year of Latinus' reign was 1181-1180 -- the year of Aeneas of Troy's arrival in Italy. (See Dionysius of Halicarnassus, I, 44). In his 38th year Latinus died and Aeneas succeeded him, thus ensuring the line from Judah would continue.

Latinus, the king of Latium who preceded the Trojans, died in 1178 -- three years after the fall of Troy in 1181. Aeneas the Trojan, son-in-law of Latinus, succeeded him and reigned for three

by his son ASCANIUS -- who reigned for 38 years (1175-1137).

Brutus Driven From Italy



The Annals of the Romans relate that after Aeneas founded Alba, he married a woman who bore him a son named Silvius. Silvius, in turn, married; and when his new wife became pregnant, Aeneas sent word to him that he was sending a wizard to examine the wife and try and determine whether the baby was male

or female. After examining Silvius' wife, the wizard returned to his home, but was killed by Ascanius because of his prophecy foretelling that the woman had a male in her womb who would be the child of death -- for, as the story goes, the male-child would eventually kill his father and mother and be a scourge to all mankind.

During the birth of the child, Silvius' wife died, and the boy was reared by the father and named Britto (Brutus). Many years later, fulfilling the wizard's prophecy, the young man Britto killed his father by accident while practicing archery with some friends.


Because of this terrible accident, Brutus was driven from Italy and came to the islands of the Tyrrhene Sea. . According to Herman L. Hoeh:

A son, Brutus, expelled from Italy returned to the Aegean area and organized the enslaved Trojans, Lydians and Maeonians. The Greeks were defeated and Troy was recaptured . With the recapture of Troy in 1149 the list of Sea Powers of the Aegean and eastern Mediterranean began. According to the terms of the treaty with the Greeks Brutus migrated, with all who wished to follow him, via The Mediterranean into Britain. . -- Compendium of World History. Vol. I, p. 454.

The tradition of Brutus' migration to Britain was never questioned until the last century, when German scholars and rationalists decided that the story related in Homer's *Iliad* of the siege and destruction of Troy by the Greeks, and the own imagination, but this is a statement or subsequent dispersion of the Trojan princes, was nothing but a "Poet's dream" and a "mythological myth." The coming of Brutus to Britain was therefore pronounced to be "fabulous" -- a legend that had no foundation in fact.

The following quotation from **Drych** y Prifoesedd ("The Mirror of the Principal Ages"), by Theophilus Efans of Llangammarch, Wales, sheds light on the origin of the discredit thrown upon the historical value of Geoffrey of Monmouth's writings about Brutus. There might be reason for uncertainty if the statements of Geoffrey of Monmouth stood alone, but when we find them constantly corroborated in the old manuscripts as well as by Welsh writers of repute, there is absolutely no reason to dismiss them as "Monkish fables"!

Notice -



The first reason for denying the coming of Brutus into this island of Britain was this. When Jeffrey ap Arthur, Lord Bishop of Llandaff (Geoffrey of Monmouth), died, an Englishman of the name of Gwilym Bach (little William or William the Less) arrived... who

desired Dafydd ab Owen, Prince of Gwynedd, to make him bishop in Jeffrey's place about the year 1169 A.D. But when it was not to the mind of Dafydd ab Owen to grant him his request the man went home full of hatred and commenced to exercise his mind how best to despise and malign not only the memory of the bishop, who was lying in his grave, but also the whole of the Welsh nation. This Gwilym Bach, out of malice because he was refused the bishopric of Llandaff, was the first to deny the coming of Brutus here His whole book is nothing else than a tissue of barefaced lies against the Welsh.

Gwilym Bach says without shame, that no one had ever mentioned the coming of Brutus and his men from Caerdroia to this island until Jeffrey ap Arthur fabricated the tale out of his

charge too naked and flimsy without any foundation and against all authority.

Because Jeffrey ap Arthur did nothing but translate the Welsh Chronicles into Latin, so that the educated of the country might read them. And long, long before the time of Jeffrey one of the poems (penhillion) of Taliesin makes clear the consensus of opinion of his fellowcountrymen in regard to the matter, and he wrote about the year 566 A.D. -- Quoted in Prehistoric London, by E. O. Gordon. Artisan Sales, Thousand Oaks, CA 1985, p. 9

After leaving the Aegean area Brutus "migrated to Malta, and there was advised to reestablish his people in 'the Great White Island' (an early name for Britain due to its chalk cliffs). This advice is recorded in an archaic Greek form on the Temple of Diana in Caer Troia (New Troy)." (Jacob's Pillar, p. 26).

Where **Brutus** and his people travelled to next is preserved by the British historian Nennius, who states that "Aeneas... arrived in Gaul [modern France], where he founded the city of Tours, which is called Turnis..." (Nennius: British History and the Welsh Annals, translated by John Morris. Phillimore, London and Chichester. 1980. P. 19).

Trojans Invade Britain

Nennius then says that "later he came to this island, which is named Britannia from his name, and filled it with his race, and dwelt there" (*ibid*., p. 19).

The arrival of the Trojans in Britain is traced by E. Raymond Capt:

The descendants of Darda (Dardannes or Danaans) ruled ancient Troy for several hundred years, until the city was destroyed in the famous "Siege of Troy." Aeneas, the last of the Royal Blood, (Zarah-Judah) collected the remnants of his nation and traveled with them to Italy. There he married the daughter of Latinus, king of the Latins, and subsequently Founded the great Roman Empire.

Aeneas' Grandson, Brutus with a large part of archaeological remains found there, not only of the Trojans migrated to "the Great White Island" (an early name for Britain due to its chalk cliffs). Tradition says that on the way to the "White Island" Brutus came across four other Trojan colonies upon the coast of Spain and persuaded them to join him.



At **Totnes on** the **River Dart** [in England], twelve miles inland from Torbay (the oldest seaport in South Devon) is an historical stone commemorates that the coming of Brutus to Britain. (Cir., 1103 B.C.) The stone is known as the "Brutus

Stone, (above)" the tradition being that the Trojan Prince set foot upon it when he first landed. The Welsh Records state that three tribes of his countrymen received Brutus and his company as brethren and proclaimed Brutus King at a national convention of the whole island. His Three sons, born after his arrival in Britain were named after the three tribes --Locrinus, Camber, and Alban. Brutus' name Heads the role in all the genealogies of the British kings, preserved as faithfully as were those of the kings of Israel and Judah. -- Missing Links Discovered in Assyrian Tablets, p. 65-66.

E. Raymond Capt continues by saying:

Brutus founded the city of "Caer Troia," or "New Troy" The Romans later called it "Londinum" now known as London. The actual date of the founding of the city is suggested in the Welsh bardic literature: "And when Brutus had finished the building of the city, and had strengthened it with walls and castles, he consecrated them and made inflexible laws for the governance of such as should dwell there peacefully, and he put protection on the city and granted privilege to it. At this time, Beli the priest ruled in Judea, and the Ark of the Covenant was in captivity to the Philistines." (The Welsh Bruts).

The reference, in the quotation above, to **Beli the** priest, is obviously of Eli of the First Book of Samuel. Such remote prehistoric antiquity of the site of London is confirmed by the numerous

the Stone Age and Early Bronze Ages, but even of the Old Stone Age. This indicates that it was already a settlement at the time when Brutus selected it for the site of his new capital of "New Troy."

Within the last century or so an entirely new light has been cast upon the prehistoric history of London and its mounds, by Schliemann's discoveries at Hissarlik -- the ancient Troy in the north-west of Asia Minor. States author E. O. Gordon: "No longer need the story be regarded as fabulous, that Brutus the Trojan, the grandson of Aeneas (the hero of Virgil's great epic), gave the name of Caer Troia, Troynovant or New Troy, to London. In site and surroundings... there seems to have been considerable resemblance between the historic Troy on the Scamander and New Troy on the Thames. On the plains of Troy to-day may be seen numerous conical mounds rising from out of the lagoons and swamps that environed the citadel hill of Hissarlik, akin to those that dominated the marshes, round about the Caer and Porth of London, in prehistoric times" (Prehistoric London, p. 83).

The Bible Research Handbook verifies the authenticity of the legends of Brutus:

Various details of circumstantial evidence appear to lend their support to the legend of the Trojan settlement of Britain. Ancient Irish accounts relate that a Parttholanus, whose life was in important respects similar to that of **Brutus**, reached over our islands at a very early date. Caesar's "Commentaries," which tell of a people called Trinobantes, who lived in the vicinity of what is now Middlesex and Hertfordshire, seem clearly to bear out the story of the Trojans having founded Troja Nova, later called Trinovantum, and eventually London. -- Covenant Publishing Co., London.

The Link, a magazine of the Christian Israel confirming Foundation, mentions other historians:

According to firm ancient legends, transmitted both by British and by Continental writers, a in 1184 B.C., and established the line of early British kings from which the famous Caractacus and Boadicea were in due course descended.

Brutus (or Brut) of Troy, grandson of Aeneas, left Troy, after the defeat of his countrymen by the Greeks, and with a band of followers journeyed to Britain by way of Italy, where he founded London,, calling it New Troy. These traditions are chronicled by Geoffrey of Monmouth, Wace, Layamon and other early historians. There is support also from the writings of Matthew of Paris.



Although Geoffrey of Monmouth's evidence in particular is discounted in certain quarters, as pointed out by the Elizabethan antiquary Stow, **the Brutus story was current long before Geoffrey's time,** so that whatever may nave been added by him in the way of imaginative detail, at least he did **not** invent the basic tradition.

The evidence was certainly sufficient to convince the famous Lord Chief Justice Coke of the 17th century, for he wrote: "The original laws of this land were composed of such elements as **Brutus (the Trojan) first selected from the ancient Trojan and Greek institutions**." In support of him, Lord Chancellor Fortescue, in his work on the Laws of England, states: "the **kingdom of Britain had its original institutions from Brutus of the Trojans.**"

Bearing in mind the belief that the Lacedemonians or Spartans, were Israelites, this account of the westward journeying of Brutus and his supporters links yet another contingent of the early colonizers of Britain with their Israel beginnings -- Nov/Dec. 1984, p. 67.

David Williamson, in his book *Kings and Queens of Britain*, comments on the authenticity of Geoffrey of Monmouth's writings and equates their veracity to the books of the Old Testament:

Geoffrey of Monmouth, writing in the first half of the twelfth century, sought to tell the story of Britain from its **foundation by Brutus The**

Trojan until the coming of the Saxons. Geoffrey claimed that his History of the Kings of Britain was translated from 'a certain very ancient book written in the British language' which had been given to him by Walter, Archdeacon of Oxford. It was dedicated to two of the Leading noblemen of the day, Robert, Earl of Gloucester (d. 1147) [a]...son of King Henry I, and Waleran, Count of Mellent (d. 1166). In it he tells of the wanderings of Brutus, the great-grandson of Aeneas, forced to leave Italy after accidentally killing his father and eventually, after many adventures, coming to Albion, which he renamed Britain from his own name, after driving out the aboriginal giants. The story continues with the... deeds of Brutus' descendants and successors from about 1100 B.C. until the coming of the Romans-- Lewis Thorp's introduction to his translation of Geoffrey's History points out that it might be said to bear the same relationship to the story of the early British inhabitants of our own island as do the seventeen historical books in the Old Testament, from Genesis to Esther, to the early history of the Israelites in Palestine.' -- Dorset Press, N.Y. 1992, p. 8.

In the manuscript section of the British Library lies an old document -- MS43968 -- that used to be kept in Windsor Castle. This particular chart gives the descent of the British Royal Family from Adam through Brutus. Also, charts published by the Covenant Publishing Co., Ltd., by W. M. H. Milner entitled *The Royal House* of Britain and by M. H. Gayer entitled *The Heritage of the Anglo-Saxon Race* both trace the ancestry of the Royal House through several lines of descent from the patriarch Judah -including Brutus who is shown as a descendant of Judah's son Zarah.


To be continued OS

More Books have been added to the New Ensign Website

Read or Download Free

http://newensign.christsassem bly.com/

Gigantic Fossilised Irish Giant Gary Biltcliffe



GIGANTIC EARLY IRISHMAN was recorded in the pages of the Strand Magazine in December 1895. The giant was of roughly the same dimensions as the creature disinterred by St Patrick, but his posthumous effect upon the world was of a decidedly more spectacular nature. The article is well worth quoting at length. Pre-eminent among the most extraordinary articles ever held by a railway company is the fossilised Irish giant, which is at this moment lying at the London and N.W. Railway Company's Broad Street goods depot, and a photograph of which is reproduced here. This monstrous figure is reputed to have been dug up by a Mr Dyer whilst prospecting for iron ore in Co. Antrim. The principal measurements are:- entire length, 12ft. 2 inches; girth of chest 6ft. 6 and a half inches; and length of arms, 4ft. 6 inches. There are 6 toes on the right foot. The gross weight is 2 tons 15 cwt; so that it took half a dozen men and a powerful crane to place this article of lost property in position for the Strand Magazine artist. Dyer, after showing the giant in Dublin, came to England with his queer find and exhibited it in Liverpool and Manchester at sixpence a head, attracting scientific men as well as gaping sightseers. Business increased and the showman induced a man named Kershaw to purchase a share in the concern. In 1876, Dyer sent this giant from Manchester to London by rail; the sum of £4.2.6d. being charged for carriage by the company, but never paid. Evidently Kershaw

knew nothing of the removal of the 'show,' for when he discovered it he followed in hot haste, and, through a firm of London solicitors, moved the Court of Chancery to issue an order restraining the company from parting with the giant until the action between Dyer and himself to determine the ownership was disposed of. The action was never brought to an issue.

The photograph of this fossilised (and therefore incredibly ancient) titan is extraordinary, for it shows a well-proportioned human being with arms folded across his chest and the head lying sideways as if asleep. The body resides in a huge crate that is leaning against (and towering above) a normal goods truck in a railway siding. The lineaments of this antediluvian giant are beautifully symmetrical, and its tremendous height gives it an aura of weird power. As will be shown, these finds do not exist in isolation; they are the physical corroboration emphasising the underlying strata of all giant mythology.

The memories of giants are strong in Ireland, where a whole prehistoric race, the Fomoiri, are remembered as being among the island's first gigantic inhabitants. They came as seafarers out of the depths of the western Atlantic and were supposed to have entered Ireland just after the ubiquitous Flood that finally wrecked the antediluvian world. The word 'Fomor' has been translated as meaning 'giant' and the Fomorians are also sometimes designated as gods, masters of the fertilising powers of Nature, wielders of thunder and lightning, sowers of mists and rain. They are in fact thinly disguised guardians of the earth's natural energy systems often interpreted in a metaphysical form. As we have seen, this is far from uncommon a occurrence m mythological history.

In the English archives there is an important parallel to St Patrick's Irish giant and it is found buried in the pages of an old book, History and Antiquities of Allerdale. This work is a compendium of folk-tales, legends and factual historical data relating to Cumberland and certain localities centring around the Lake District. The entry pertaining to the giant differs from the usual treatment of this theme, for it is not a story but is rather a sober description of the uncovering of a giant's body sometime in the Middle Ages. The entry is headed, 'A true Report of Hugh Hodson of Thorneway,' and reads as follows: The said gyant was buried four yards deep in the ground, which is now a corn field, his grave being marked by an old, upright stone. He was four yards and a half long, and was in complete armour: his sword and battle-axe lying by him. His sword was two spans broad, and more than two yards long. The head of his battle-axe a yard long, and the shaft of it all of iron, as thick as a man's thigh, and more than two yards long. His teeth were six inches long, and two inches broad; his forehead was more than two spans and a half broad. His chin bone could contain three pecks of oatmeal's. His armour sword and battle-axe are at Mr. Sand's of

Redington, and Mr. Wyber's at St Bees in Cumbria.

Text and picture abridged by Gary Biltcliffe from Sowers Of Thunder; Anthony Roberts 1978 Rider and Co London.

Ed. Note: The Powers that be endeavour to hide the fact that Giants once walked on this Earth as it confirms the Scriptures. Many such skeletons found in Ireland and elsewhere in the 16th and 17th centuries were destroyed and have lately put many obviously photoshoped giants on the Internet to discredit the real finds such as the one detailed here/

The End OS22287

The Spanish Influenza Epidemic Of 1918 Was Caused By Vaccinations Gordon



AS has been stated before, all medical non-medical authorities and on vaccination agree that vaccines are designed to cause a mild case of the diseases they are supposed to prevent. But they also know and admit that there is no way whatsoever to predict whether the case will be mild or severe – even deadly. With this much uncertainty in dealing with the very lives of people, it is very unscientific and extremely dangerous to use such a questionable procedure as vaccination.

Many vaccines also cause other diseases besides the one for which they are given. For instance, smallpox vaccine often causes syphilis, paralysis, leprosy, and cancer. Polio shots, diphtheria toxinantitoxin, typhoid vaccine, as well as measles, tetanus and all other shots often cause various other stages of disease such post-vaccinal encephalitis as (inflammation of the brain,) paralysis, spinal meningitis, blindness, cancer (sometimes within two years,) tuberculosis, (two to twenty years after the shot,) arthritis, kidney disease, heart disease (heart failure sometimes within minutes after the shot and sometimes several hours later.) Nerve damage and many other serious conditions also follow the injections.

When several shots are given (different vaccines) within a few days or a few weeks apart, they often trigger intensified cases of all the diseases at once, because the body cannot handle such a large amount of deadly poison being injected directly into the bloodstream. The doctors call it a new disease and proceed to suppress the symptoms.

When poison is taken by the mouth, the internal defence system has a chance to quickly eject some of it by vomiting, but when the poisons are shot directly into the it was from doctor-made vaccine diseases, bypassing the body. all safeguards, these dangerous poisons like that. Many of the returned soldiers circulate immediately throughout the were disabled for life by these drugentire body in a matter of seconds and induced diseases. Many were insane from keep on circulating until all the cells are post vaccinal encephalitis, but the doctors poisoned.



I heard that seven men dropped dead in being verification. U.S. Secretary War. Henry

Stimson. The report not only verified the diphtheria report of the seven who dropped dead congestion, chills and fever, swollen, sore from the vaccines, but it stated that there throat clogged with the false membrane, had been 63 deaths and 28,585 cases of and the choking suffocation because of hepatitis as a direct result of the yellow difficulty in breathing followed by fever vaccine during only 6 months of the gasping and death, after which the body war. That was only one of the 14 to 25 turned black from stagnant blood that had shots given the soldiers. We can imagine been the damage that all these shots did to the suffocation stages. In early days they (See the chapter on men. Vaccinations Did to Our Soldiers.)

The first World War was of a short duration, so the vaccine makers were unable to use up all their vaccines. As symptoms of the typhoid with a stronger they were (and still are) in business for vaccine, it caused a worse form of typhoid profit, they decided to sell it to the rest of which they named paratyphoid. But when the population. So they drummed up the they concocted a stronger and more largest vaccination campaign in U.S. dangerous vaccine to suppress that one, history. There were no epidemics to they created an even worse disease which justify it so they used other tricks. Their they didn't have a name for. What should propaganda claimed the soldiers were they call it? They didn't want to tell the coming home from foreign countries with people what it really was — their own all kinds of diseases and that everyone Frankenstein monster which they had must have all the shots on the market.

The people believed them because, first of all, they wanted to believe their doctors, and second, the returning soldiers certainly had been sick. They didn't know

natural as the army doctors don't tell them things called it *shell shock*, even though many had never left American soil.

The *conglomerate* disease brought on by a doctor's office after the many poison vaccines baffled the *vaccinated.* doctors, as they never had a vaccination This was in an army spree before which used so many camp, so I wrote to different vaccines. The new disease they the Government for had created had symptoms of all the They diseases they had injected into the man. sent me the report of There was the high fever, extreme of weakness, abdominal rash and intestinal L. disturbance characteristic of typhoid. The vaccine caused lung deprived of oxygen in the What called it *Black Death*. The other vaccines cause their own reactions — paralysis, brain damage, lockjaw, etc.

> When doctors had tried to suppress the with their created vaccines and suppressive medicines. They wanted to direct the blame away from themselves, so they called it Spanish Influenza. It was certainly not of Spanish origin, and the Spanish people resented the implication


that the world-wide scourge of that day — learn the truth — reverse the decisions should be blamed on them. But the name and make the vaccine makers return the stuck and American medical doctors and money taken from the taxpayers under vaccine makers were not suspected of the crime of this widespread devastation the 1918 Flu Epidemic. It is only in recent years that researchers have been digging up the facts and laying the blame where it belongs.

Some of the soldiers may have been in 48 hours. There is confusion and Spain before coming home, but their diseases originated in their own homebased U.S. Army Camps. Our medical men still use that same dodge. When their own vaccines (required for travel) cause vaccine diseases abroad they use this as grounds for a scare campaign to stampede people into the vaccination centres. Do you remember the Hong Kong Flu and the Asian Flu and the London Flu scares? These were all medically-made epidemics mixed with the usual common colds which people have every year.

Now (1976) we are being worked on again by the vaccine -epidemic makers in their effort to force another multi million samples from the 1918 flu epidemic to dollar vaccine sale caper. Their con men prove it. That was 58 years ago, and the have already talked President Ford into doctors were just as confused and handing over \$135 million dollars to start inefficient then as now. However, one their vaccine racket. Even the insurance thing is certain — the 1918 Spanish companies refused to become involved Influenza was a vaccine-induced disease with such an obviously dangerous and caused by extreme body poisoning from crooked scheme. So, again the medical the conglomeration of many different and drug con men induced the appropriate vaccines. The soldiers at Fort Dix who government officials to insurance against the, possible billions of injected with a large variety of vaccines dollars in law suits which could be like the vaccines which caused the 1918 brought against the vaccine promoters if flu epidemic. The flu epidemic at Fort Dix the vaccine campaign is carried out as was in no way related to swine. There planned. It's a good thing Ford was voted were no swine at camp (unless we want out of office. It's too bad he wasn't to sarcastically call the vaccine promoters "dumped" before he paid the poison squad the MONEY' to poison the whole population. However, we don't yet know if President Carter will be any better. Will he be held in the grip of the medical and drug dictatorship? Or will he investigate

false pretences?

The statement of the swine flu vaccine promoters to the effect that the vaccine is harmless, is false, and the statement that it will protect against flu is false. Fifty-six people died after the shots, some within disagreement among the doctors about all aspects of the vaccine, from the safety and effectiveness to the necessity for it, who should have it and who should be warned against it.



Their scare-head campaign cry is that the swine flu is like the 1918 flu which killed 20,000,000 people. They don't have any usable and provable blood

guarantee were said to have had Swine Flu had been who caused the diseases -"swine.")

> To add to the confusion, the doctors tell the people that there are a lot of various kinds of flu; the one which the soldiers at Fort Dix had was A Victoria flu, there are

other strains of flu virus, and also, that the organisms which are unstable and swine flu vaccine which so many people unpredictable. One dictionary definition have taken already will not protect them of virus is "a morbid poison." The against the many other types of flu. This vaccines injected into the body are poison will be used as an "out" in case of law and cause the typical poison reactions. suits later on when more casualties begin Virus (poison) does not fly around and to show up. The doctors will say that the attack people. vaccine failed because it was the wrong kind of flu for the vaccine. Of course, no one can prove it one way or the other because viruses are illusive, invisible

The End OS22295

Scam Of Cancer Industry Blown Wide Open: \$100 **Billion A Year Spent On Toxic Chemotherapy For Many FAKE Diagnoses** Mike Adams - Natural News



ITH \$100 BILLION a year now being spent on toxic chemotherapy treatments that damage patients and cause "chemo brain" side effects, a panel of cancer experts commissioned by the National Cancer Institute publicly admitted two years ago that tens of millions of "cancer cases" aren't cancer at all.

Tens of millions of people who have been diagnosed with "cancer" by crooked oncologists -- and scared into medically unjustified but extremely profitable chemotherapy treatments -- never had any sort of life-threatening condition to begin with, scientists have confirmed.

"The word 'cancer' often invokes the spectre of an inexorably lethal process; however, cancers are heterogeneous and can follow multiple paths, not all of which progress to metastases and death, and include indolent disease that causes no harm during the patient's lifetime," says the abstract of the published study entitled Overdiagnosis and Overtreatment in Cancer An Opportunity for

Improvement (JAMA. 2013;310(8):797-798. doi:10.1001/jama.2013.108415)

The article goes on to explain that many tissue lesions in human biology are being diagnosed with the scary-sounding term "cancer" even though they would not lead to any real harm in the body even if left untreated.

\$100 billion a year generated by the cancer industry to treat many patients who never had "real" cancer in the first place

Oncologists and the breast cancer industry prey on systematic over-diagnosis of cancer in order to scare patients into unnecessary treatments. These treatments are helping generate \$100 billion a year in chemotherapy revenues, often for so-called "cancers" that shouldn't even count as cancer in the first place.

"Physicians, patients and the general public must recognize that over-diagnosis is common and occurs more frequently with cancer screening," warns the NCI-commissioned science article in JAMA.

A 2002 editorial in the Annals of Internal Medicine maintained that the efficacy of mammograms for younger women is an open question. "The debate is worth following closely," concluded the editor of the journal, "because women are deciding about breast cancer screening, and it's our role to keep them

informed as best we can." Yet it is worth or produce symptoms detectable to the patient remembering that "mammography screening" may lead to an over diagnosis of breast cancer, that is, the detection of a tumour that would not have become clinically detectable in the patient's lifetime." - from the book "What If Medicine Disappeared" by Gerald E Markle.

Cancer industry runs on scientific quackery and sleazy fear-based sales tactics to recruit patients

This all confirms what I've said over and over again as a consumer health advocate: The cancer industry uses scare tactics that smack of "medical terrorism" to recruit frightened women and men into unnecessary -- but highly profitable -- cancer "treatments" that benefit no one other than the cancer industry quacks (oncologists).

The report drops a few major bombshells on the way that many cancers are diagnosed. Breast cancer, for instance, is sometimes not breast cancer at all but rather a benign condition such as ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS). However, untold millions of women with DCIS have been misdiagnosed as having breast cancer, and subsequently treated for a condition that likely never would have caused them any health problems. And similarly in men, high-grade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia (HGPIN), a type of premalignant precursor to cancer, is commonly mistreated as if it was actual cancer.

"The practice of oncology in the United States is in need of a host of reforms and initiatives to mitigate the problem of over diagnosis and over treatment of cancer, according to a working group sanctioned by the National Cancer Institute," explains Medscape.com about the study. "Perhaps most dramatically, the group says that a number of premalignant conditions, including ductal carcinoma in situ and highgrade prostatic intraepithelial neoplasia, should no longer be called 'cancer.'"



Bill Sardi (left), author of "You Don't Have to Be Afraid of Cancer Anymore" also adds this:

Over diagnosis refers to the detection by screening (mammograms, PSA tests) of cancers that would not have become apparent to a doctor

before an individual died of other causes. Cancer is prevalent, far beyond the number diagnosed in human populations, and most adults will die with, but not of, their cancer. Treatment and invasive or toxic screening methods (radiation from x-rays, mammograms, needle biopsies) for tumours that may never progress to cause symptoms or death in an individual's lifetime would represent over diagnosis and needless treatment.

The truth about cancer is slowly emerging as cancer industry quackery gets exposed

The good news in all this is that if you've just been diagnosed with cancer, you may be a victim of medical quackery and not really have life-threatening cancer at all.

The first and most important step in any cancer diagnosis is to be sceptical of your oncologist and get informed rather than frightened. Don't get suckered into a toxic chemotherapy treatment by the cancer quacks who hilariously call themselves doctors. Instead, get educated, get a second opinion and get yourself a holistic or naturopathic physician to learn about a vast array of treatment options, lifestyle changes and other interventions that can prevent, treat or even reverse cancer.

You can start by taking this cancer risk quiz which helps you determine whether you might be at risk for cancer right now.

You'll also want to watch this "Truth About Cancer" documentary trailer and register for the free upcoming summit that features the most advanced medicine pioneers from around the world revealing little-known secrets about cancer prevention, cancer diagnosis and cancer treatment options.

Think about it: Even the National Cancer Institute's own scientists publicly admit that many cancer diagnoses are FAKE and shouldn't be called "cancer" at all. But the quack cancer doctors keep calling them cancer because that's what earns them more patients and revenues. They aren't interested in a truthful diagnosis; they're interested in scaring you into unnecessary chemotherapy!

That's how the cancer industry has exploded to \$100 billion a year in treatment revenues. As also published on Natural News:

In America today, more money is spent to treat her skin if just a tiny drip came into contact with cancer than to treat any other disease by far. In fact, according to NBC News, 100 BILLION dollars was spent on cancer drugs just last year outside, what is it doing to me on the inside?" alone...

If you are diagnosed with cancer in America today and you choose to trust the medical system with your treatment, you can say goodbye to your financial future. Even if you have health insurance, you will probably end up flat broke one way or the other. Either you will survive and be flat broke, or you will die flat broke.

The following is how one woman described her experience with chemo...

This highly toxic fluid was being injected into my veins. The nurse administering it was wearing protective gloves because it would burn

it. I couldn't help asking myself "If such precautions are needed to be taken on the From 7 pm that evening, I vomited solidly for two and a half days. During my treatment, I lost my hair by the handful, I lost my appetite, my skin colour, my zest for life. I was death on legs.

Don't become a victim of the FAKE cancer industry

Don't let yourself be victimized by a fake medical diagnosis from a cancer industry doctors. Learn the truth about cancer and take back your health from the cancer quacks (oncologists) who profit from ignorance and fear.

The End OS22291

Report - Destruction Coca-Cola Unleashes On Internal Organs Mike Adams (Natural News)



COCA-COLA LOGO HE is commonplace and well-established; the slanted white lettering on a red background is recognized by virtually everyone around the globe. However, a Nicaraguan graphic designer by the name of Fabio Pantoja is changing things up, and not in ways that would please the soda giant.

In an effort to visually convey the health harms that Coca-Cola unleashes on the body, he created an unexpected twist on the otherwise simple, light hearted logo.

His shocking infographic incorporates the various body parts that the soda destroys right in with the lettering; for example, there is an eyeball dangling from either end of the "C" and a heart makes up the second "A."

Take a look at his dark, yet truthful, demonstration of how drinking Coca-Cola is anything but healthy.

"Uncover the Truth" by Fabio Pantoja.Soda is "poison"

Spot on, isn't' it? He gave the happy-go-lucky brand a well-deserved slap in the face by conveying that it isn't all fun and games (oh, and how can we forget, profit). There are several serious health problems caused by drinking soda; even Pantoja, who considered himself an avid fan of the drink, now says he's seen the light.

Behance.net, a site where creative On professionals showcase their online portfolio as Pantoja did in this particular instance - he wrote, "More than a critic[ism] to CocaCola, it's a critic[ism] to myself, to see if I can finally stop drinking this poison once and for all!"

How drinking soda destroys your body

Heart problems

According to a study published in Circulation, the journal of the American Heart Association, it's been found that drinking soda is associated with an increased risk of developing heart produces discomfort typically known as acid disease. The more you drink, the more you increase your odds of cardiovascular problems down the road. And diet sodas are just as harmful; everything from stroke and fatal heart attacks are linked to excessive consumption of diet sodas.

Vision issues

It's been noted that, in patients with Multiple Sclerosis, when they stopped drinking diet soda, problems with their vision and even their hearing improved. The artificial sweeteners which are used in these zero- or low-calorie drinks offer no nutritional value and have been linked to everything from altering eye health to causing memory loss.

Bad for bones

Research has shown that drinking both regular soda and diet soda increases the chances of developing osteoporosis. Soda consumption has been found to diminish women's bone mineral density, especially in their hips.

Destroys your stomach

Drinking soda can irritate your stomach to the point of creating or worsening ulcers. It can also lead to esophageal problems in which phosphoric acid rises in the stomach and

reflux or heartburn. All of the wear and tear to your stomach lining and esophagus is damaging and can put you that much closer to developing related cancers.

Destroys skin

Too much soda is known to prevent skin from maintaining its supple texture. It dries it out, clogs pores and alters skin's natural moisturizing oils so that they don't work properly. Rather than having soft, healthy skin, skin takes on an aged, unhealthy appearance.

Leads to obesity, diabetes

Soda – both diet and regular – are known to lead to obesity, which of course is one factor behind developing diabetes. Regular sodas are brimming with sugar, while diet sodas contain artificial sweeteners which have been shown to increase appetites, rather than keep them at bay, and lead to overeating.(8,9)

We applaud Pantoja's eye-opening design. His design tells it like it is: Drinking soda eats at your insides, destroys your body and unleashes chaos on your organs. Avoid soda at all costs!

The End OS22294

World Leaders are Trained to Manipulate Their Audiences with Body Language Leif Davenport



SYCHOLOGY research has shown the power of body language to influence the mind. It can be used to convey messages which seriously impact a person's perception, ideas and beliefs. Is it surprising then, that world leaders have been briefed to use this knowledge to promote their agendas and manipulate public responses to their public appearances?

While some cultural differences can be observed, many types of body language and hand gestures are universal. For example, crossing your arms creates the impression that you are "closed off," or defensive, while opening your arms up shows a willingness to listen with a positive attitude.

Body language naturally occurs without thinking about it, and there are thousands of tiny cues which can convey a broad range of emotions. For any knowing spectator watching these cues, they help to build a picture of the speaker's intent. Are they trustworthy or hiding something? What are they thinking and what do they want from me? Etc. Most of this occurs in a person's sub-conscious mind at a level where



they have no conscious awareness of it, and yet a person's body language and outward gestures can have an enormous impact on how they are perceived.

Con artists, for example, learn to use body language to make people trust them. They manufacture all of the physical cues they know will present an image of honesty, and even authority. This is where knowledge of body language can be used to defraud people, using a person's sense of trust against them. Worse still, is the implication that world leaders armed with this knowledge deliberately alter their body language to manipulate public opinion.

Talk to the Hand

Take a look at the three photos above, as they have a couple of things in common. The first is that they are, or were, world leaders (US presidents to be precise), some of the most powerful individuals in the world. The second similarity is that they are all deliberately using the same hand signal when speaking – a closed fist with the thumb pointing outwards, which is an emphatic gesture that helps to assert a point without being over-dominating.

A coincidence? No, the reason that many politicians use the same hand gestures is because they have been briefed by advisors on how to manipulate an audience with body language, as have many leading politicians throughout the world. Pointing a finger at someone and punctuating each assertion with vigorous hand movements is naturally received as а confrontational overture. World leaders wish to appear reasonable, even when they may not be, and therefore practice the appropriate accompanying hand gesture while rehearsing speeches and public appearances. Any signals that can make the audience feel threatened or defensive are avoided unless the situation demands such an authoritative presence.

What about just keeping your hands by your side while giving a speech? No, that won't work either. People do not talk with their hands by their sides, and this would seem "off" and most unusual. With this closed fist and pointed thumb, however, a leader can appear authoritative without being confrontational, all the while manipulating viewers into feeling they are dealing with a reasonable person, when in fact they may be dealing with a sociopath.

In the following examples, open-handed gestures are aimed at crafting the perception that the public figure is welcoming, positive, and trustworthy.

This is merely the tip of the iceberg, and in addition to hand gestures, all manner of subtle body cues are used to assert psychological control over unwitting observers. As reported in Forbes, today's leaders are being coached to ensure that their body language matches, or is "congruent" with, what they are saying. These gestures are most potent when delivered by the hands.

For example, when a speaker positions their hands palms up, they appear to be pleading and benign. When their palms are face down they are perceived as being more aggressive or authoritative. By simply alternating between these two gestures at key moments during speeches and interviews, a leader can affect the sub-conscious impression viewers have, dramatically affecting support for their cause or position.

These principals are described in greater detail by Psychology Professor Allan Pease, in the video click HERE.

Coaching Leaders to Control Hearts & Minds

An entire industry has developed around teaching powerful individuals how to control opinion via body language and hand gesturing,



and it isn't just those who teach the effectiveness of body language who are reaping the rewards. The Pentagon has spent \$300,000 every year since 2009 analysing the body language of various world leaders, including Russian president Vladimir Putin. This, in itself, is an acknowledgement of how powerful body language is, and how important it can be in conveying messages. The military is using these observations to "get inside the mind" of various leaders, especially those they cannot have direct diplomatic ties with.

More than this, body language is being used as a technique to shape opinions across the globe. Regardless of your political persuasion, being aware of how our leaders use psychology against us is key to understanding the true intent of their message.

About the Author

Leif Davenport is an activist and writer from Scotland, as well as a staff writer for Waking Times.

References - Click on items below:

Link 1

Link 2

Link 3

Link 4

Like Waking Times on Facebook. Follow Waking Times on Twitter.

This article (Presidents are Trained to Manipulate Their Audiences with Body Language) was originally created and published by Waking Times and is published here under a Creative Commons license with attribution to Leif Davenport and WakingTimes.com. It may be re-posted freely with proper attribution, author bio, and this copyright statement.

Click here for Source. The End OS22297

Old Irish Blessing God's Might to uphold you, God's Wisdom to guide you, God's Eye to look before you, God's Ear to hear you, God's Word to speak for you, God's Hand to guard you.

WHO WILL SPEAK FOR EUROPE MIKE WALSH

No one speaks for Europe We are a special breed, So many stoop to denigrate Pour scorn upon our seed, But who will stand and speak for us, Before we're trampled in the dust Oh such a tragic fate.

There's just one sin upon the earth, Now others hold the sway To stand and say the White man Is yet to have his day, Offend religion, slur belief, In Europe you will come to grief,

If you but speak the truth.

Michael Walsh is also the author of many excellent history books which can be purchased from Amazon.

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

http://www.untoldtales.net

Eurofolk Radio




Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!! TUNE IN NOW!

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/ge neral/



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site: http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm



WOW! IT'S

EUROFOLK

RADIO!



FOR THE REAL NEWS

http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol



Pastor Eli James Sundays 16.00 hrs

(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/

Announcements



From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

Pastor Eli James




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps


5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps P.O. Box 274, Hemel Hempstead, Herts HP3 9EQ Tel: 07984 775937

<u>www.theflameuk.com</u> Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia A wonderful web site For british nationalists

http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk





Die Banker Satans Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensier von Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew