

# How The Jews Have Repaid America



**Part 3**

**By  
Willie Martin**

# Part 3

## How The Jews Have Repaid America 3

### By Willie Martin

## Chapter One

### America the Country the Jews have Betrayed

**A** M E R I C A   T H E   C O U N T R Y   T H E   J E W S   H A V E  
**BETRAYED!:** For more than a century, under various pretexts, a battle has been waged for power of this nation, indeed, over all the nations of the world. The exercise of power has become the supreme aim of many people. Bankers, politicians, clergymen, trade union leaders and Communist Party secretaries are all in the hunt for power.

The storm troops of the dictatorships are no longer shouting the old Socialist slogans. They declare openly and trumpet brutally "Power is what we want." and the so-called Democratic parties, though trying to keep it a secret, have also in their hearts actually adopted the dictatorial battle-cry, "Power is what we want." Power, like possession of the magician's wand has become their obsession in life and it does not matter how it is achieved, whether through Conservative or Liberal parties or through the Judeo-Christian Churches.

The structure of modern society with its overpopulation has as a consequence developed the idolatry of power. The golden calf has been taken off its pedestal and has by now become a secondary emblem only. The gold, the wealth and all parts of the symbolic sacred animal of Capitalism can be apportioned, distributed or sold by any one who has the power to do so, as if it were meat in a butcher's shop. The Church aims to attain power by controlling the human soul, the Marxist through the autocracy and omnipotence of material means, the banker by his gold or

by holding in his hand the control of the Press, the Bolshevist by the sheer brutality of the gun. But all parties, groups, sects, democracies, dictatorships and churches have one thing in common: they all want power. And this is quite understandable, as power often appears to be absolute, more even than all the gold in Fort Knox. For if that gold were evenly distributed among all the people on earth the share per head would be so small that it would hardly be worth anything.

But power over empires, states, societies and continents is infinite. It can be distributed like the five loaves and two fishes apportioned by Christ. It secures ministerial posts, episcopal positions and benefits, senior ranks in the police force, party secretaryships as well as other major offices.

But only for those who are the followers of power or who belong to the organization of those holding power. Included are those belonging to the herd following the party leader, trade unions, dictator or bankers; those who are members of some democratic union. Christian trade unions or, of course, any of the Masonic lodges. Behind these false facades is hidden the substance of the most Satanic dream of the Jews, to become the masters of the whole world. How can this ambitious goal, the dream and aim of Caesars, dictators, bankers ever since Nimrod and through Alexander the Great to Stalin, be achieved? Conventional armies have become obsolete for further this purpose.

The hydrogen bomb might wipe out both parties. Both parties can be attacked by rockets. Such a conquest is now impracticable, so the plan is to conquer the world by "peaceful" means, such as by the check book, by UNESCO, by re-education, by a new moral code and by peace-propaganda. From this idea Lenin developed and built up his diabolic strategic system to seize and expand power, and this system under the name of Bolshevism has proved until now to be irresistible everywhere where people were unaware of the details of this power-technique.

The supposedly cultured world failed to realize, however, that Lenin's Bolshevism was a component only, such as were also Marxism, Freemasonry and Capitalism itself. For there existed another more thorough, universal and gigantic scheme which had been working already

for over a century and a half, its aim by now very nearly accomplished. On the basis of ancient doctrines the latter scheme was not going to conquer global power for any of the "isms," parties, sects, churches, professional organizations or social classes, but exclusively: For One Nation Only!

The plans for Lenin's system were to some degree rough and superficial. Their greatest weakness was akin to that of a general who lets the enemy know in advance the point of attack and the strength of his forces and the tactics he intends to employ.

Whereas, the other, the great fundamental plan, proved much more effective because, similarly to historically successful military operations, it has carefully guarded its secrets from outsiders and indeed often from initiated persons also. Its greatest asset was that it appeared much more general than, for example, the schemes of the trade union leaders limited to the class struggle, or the tactic of the false church leaders so prevalent in the pulpits of America today. It was perfect and absolute Totalitarianism.

This planning, even today, does not attempt to capture global power by means of any particular movement or political system, but through the simultaneous use of all creeds, churches, materialism, political doctrines and patterns of power. It wishes to get built into all positions, movements, churches, Masonic lodges and trade unions.

It wants to take possession of all key positions in the most opposing movements, in the churches, parties and trade unions. It desires to hold in its hands both Bolshevism, Communism and Capitalism, materialism and idealism, to capture or hire spiritually all writers, artists, politicians and the mob. It aims at not being visible anywhere but at being present everywhere and at directing and controlling everything. To divide and rule! To march detached but at a given moment to assault united.

Our world with all its Continents, either openly or secretly, is already dominated by Jewish Nationalism. By using certain methods this fact can be demonstrated just as the presence of atomic radiation can be

demonstrated by the aid of a Geiger counter. For instance, should any nation, state, press or politician, parliament or any other person commit any act not forbidden by law or by the moral code against another state, class or person, then in this sublime age of democracy everything is free and permitted without risk.

But should anyone commit the same act against Jewry or even against one Jew, the Jews will wipe off from the face of the earth this offending entity, whether it be an individual or a nation. This will be effected, if necessary, by the atomic bomb or by the victorious Red Army or by the aid of any of the "democratic" constitutions, perhaps by the use of terror prisons of the check book or guns.

Among many other things this invisible seizure of power owes its success to misapprehension and oversight on the part of anti-Jewish people during the last century. They regarded the Jew as an internationalist, which is not the real reason for opposing him.

On the other hand, one could not justify his behaviour in destroying his fellow-men any the easier because his motives were based on race, creed, or birth, which, in fact, is what does motivate them. So we are convinced that it is our God-given right and human duty to fight against the reign of terror exercised on a supernational level by a small fanatical nationalist minority which has all but subjugated the world and driven mankind far along the road to total extinction.

The Betrayal of America: In betraying America World Jewry has finally removed its mask of deception. Yet American Christians remain as blind as they have ever been. This is because Almighty God, knowing that America is the New Jerusalem and New Zion as spoken of in the Scriptures, has said that His Israel People are blind and cannot see, are deaf and cannot understand.

Thus they remain blind, yet, He has a small remnant that He has allowed to see and understand the situation that is taking place in America and the Christian Western Nations. Thus He has caused me to make this study and send out to His people, so those He has chosen can see how and who

has deceived them. The Jews may feel they have a grievance against every country on earth; but the United States, however, gave everything to the Jews a country can offer: Money, Business, Wealth, Security, a Peaceful Life, an Unrestricted Liberty which was turned into unbridled wantonness and political influence. America went into battle twice, sacrificing her best for the sake of the business interests, the profiteering, and the political influence of Jewish nationalism; for these were the forces that pushed her into both world wars.

"Thanks to the terrible power of our International Banks, we have forced the Christians into wars without number. Wars have a special value for Jews, since Christians massacre each other and make more room for us Jews. Wars are the Jews' Harvest: The Jew banks grow fat on Christian wars. Over 100-million Christians have been swept off the face of the earth by wars, and the end is not yet." (1)

America defeated Hitler and also gave eleven billion dollars to the Soviet Union to help liberate the Jewish inmates of the concentration camps; even though the Soviet Union has been under the total control of the Jews since the Bolshevik (Jewish) Revolution in 1917.

It has taken the Jews barely seventy years to acquire and control the major part of American business and financial life. The destitute little Jew who had fled from Europe basked in the sunny side of life in the U.S., enjoying civic rights and many privileges. During Roosevelt's (2)

Presidency, he occupied key positions in political life. He became the owner of an earthly paradise; of much of the riches and wealth of Miami, Florida and California. For him the Promised Land was not Palestine but the United States of America. Palestine, or Israel as it is called today, was re-established for the sole purpose of receiving those undesirable types of destitute and cadging Jews who scare New York millionaires, because they carry with them everywhere the germs of "Anti-Semitism." It was also during Roosevelt's Administration(3) America became a land of the Jews. Thus one would expect the "Chosen Ones" to remain faithful to America of all countries, and not to turn against the United States when the time came for her to fight against Communism. But the Jews have shown

in the case of America also that they feel themselves safe only as long as they are rulers; and they will be faithful to a only as long as the interests of that country are completely identical with their own.

In the absence of the knowledge of the Jewish subversion and treason, American might, indeed, have had the right to expect that world Jewry would take her side in the cold war which followed after the Second World War. But exactly the opposite happened. Somewhere behind the veiled privacy of B'nai B'rith lodges the fate of the United States was decided by World Jewry.

Surely America's Israelite politicians, though in Jewish hands, did not want to win the Second World War for Jewry alone, to the exclusion of everybody else. Perhaps, with the exception of Roosevelt, they never believed in the possibility of a lasting agreement with the Soviet Union. Jewish Senator Truman, who succeeded Roosevelt, said on June 21, 1941, the day of the outbreak of the war between German and Soviet Russia: "If we see that Germany is going to win we will help Soviet Russia, but if it is the other way round, we will have to help Germany. Let's leave them alone so that they will weaken each other as much as possible."

Friendship with the Soviet was not in the interests of the U.S. any more than was the cold war after 1945. Both of these policies served the interests of World Jewry alone and no one else. World Jewry's interests played a decisive part in the unleashing of both world wars. But a third world war to defeat and liquidate the Soviets and to liberate the enslaved nations was not in the interests of the Jews. On the contrary, at Yalta and Potsdam world power was parcelled out between them. As the Protocols tell us: "It is from us that the all-engulfing terror proceeds."

According to the well-known catch-phrase America is the "land of freedom," and in the estuary of the River Hudson the Statue of Liberty holds high the symbolic torch. But it is merely a statute of Jewish treason. In reality an "all-engulfing terror" has reigned beyond Ellis Island since the time of Roosevelt's accession to the Presidency. Felix Frankfurter, one of the judges of the Supreme Court of the U.S., said that "the real rulers in Washington are invisible and exercise power from behind the scenes."

The American citizen has the right to vote either for the Republican or Democratic Party, but those behind the scenes know very well that real power remains in their hands whichever party wins the election. Anyone daring to rebel, to protest, or to utter a single enlightening word concerning this "hidden hand" will be either killed, gagged, driven into suicide, or publicly "smeared."

Gerald L.K. Smith, the courageous leader of the American Christian Crusade, gives in his book an appalling account of the terror provoked by this "hidden hand" as well as of those patriotic Americans who fell victims to the "Chosen Ones" all-engulfing terror. We here give an abridged account taken from his book "Suicide" of how the powers behind the scenes exterminated those Americans who stood in the way of Bolshevism and of a second world war, and consequently opposed the aspirations of the "Chosen Ones."

The reputation of James Forrestal, Secretary of War in Truman's administration, was ruined by Drew Pearson and Walter Winchell (Lipsitz), the two radio commentators, exponents of the Jewish "M.V.D." and of the Anti-Defamation League. They made Forrestal's position impossible by stamping him as an "anti-Semite" for opposing U.S. foreign policy in the question of Palestine.

Forrestal, after having publicly announced on one occasion that "the Jews will ruin America," was detained in a hospital, and later mysteriously met his death one morning by "falling" from a sixteenth floor window. Joseph Kennedy, the U.S. Ambassador to Great Britain, had to endure being silenced coupled with a kind of local internment in Florida, because on his return home to America before the outbreak of the Second World War he declared: "Only over my dead body will this country go to war." (4) Kennedy's successor, John Winant, after becoming familiar with the circumstances which falsely served to embroil America in the Second World War, was left with the choice of ignominious silence or suicide. This honest American diplomat chose suicide.

Henry Wallace, who was a member of an odd Oriental sect and believed that with the help of some wildcat serum he would live 150 years, became

Roosevelt's Vice-President. But when he turned out to be difficult and not amenable to the powers behind the scenes, he died quite suddenly and quite young.

General Patrick J. Harley said in 1947 that "there are still several thousand Communists sitting in the State Department." For this, he was silenced by the mysterious Black Hand of Washington which drove him into exile: disgraced. The wife of Generalissimo Chiang Kai-Shek, the daughter of Sun-Yat-Sen, lived in mortal fear whenever she visited America during the Second World War, because she knew that secret agents of the Jews "Gestapo" were planning her assassination.

It came to light that the speech delivered by Stettinius, Secretary of State, at the opening of the United Nations, was actually written by a Hollywood Communist film star called Dalton Trumbo, Morton Kent killed himself by cutting open a vein. He knew who stole very secret files for the Soviet Union. He knew well that it would make little difference whether he spoke or remained silent; he would be murdered in any case.

Whittaker Chambers, Louis Budenz, and Tyler Kent lived several years, but were in some danger of their lives. Budenz, who previously was one of the leading members of the Communist Party and who exposed several Jewish Communists, sought refuge in the Catholic University of Fordham. Had he stepped out of the protecting walls of the university buildings he would not have lived twenty-four hours. The same can be said of the other non-Jewish and ex-Communist leader, Chambers, who had exposed Alger Hiss. It was Tyler Kent, who had decoded the secret telegrams exchanged between Roosevelt and Churchill (5); some sent before Churchill was Prime Minister, and thus became one of the most important witnesses of how the world had been driven into war, was jailed for five years in Great Britain, on the Isle of Wight.

General Vaughan, had been kept busy removing Jews and Communists from the State Department in considerable numbers. He took a firm stand against David K. Niles, who was the "eminence gripe" of the White House during the administrations of Roosevelt and Truman. In his younger days Niles had been convicted and sentenced in Boston to several years'

imprisonment for sexual offenses. Later he wrote speeches for American Presidents. General Vaughan had strong objections to letting such a Lombroso-type of character live in the entourage of the President of the United States. However, he was silenced, removed from office, and socially ruined under the pretext of "anti-Semitism."

General Frederick Morgan, U.N.R.R.A., chief in the American Zone of occupied Germany, was an Englishman by birth, who took the liberty to state in writing that the Jews living in Germany were well provided with both money and food, and thus suffered no need whatever. On the demand of Herbert H. Lehman, Senator for New York and head of U.N.R.R.A., at that time, General F. Morgan was removed from his post, despite the fact that at first the British Government did not wish to give way to the pressure of American Jewry.

Mr. Earle, U.S. Ambassador to Bulgaria, was similarly silenced. He had been handed a secret memorandum by Von Papen in Sofia, in which Hitler's Government made an offer to keep the United States out of the war. After passing on this memorandum to Roosevelt, Earle was recalled to Washington, then called up for military service and sent to a Pacific island for the rest of the war. The American people were under no circumstances to be allowed to learn that the hated "Nazis" wished for peace with the United States.

The murder of Huey P. Long, Senator of Louisiana, was a most mysterious case. Long was one of Roosevelt's rivals with the best possible chances of being elected as President of the U.S. He alleged in the course of a speech to the Senate on August 9th, 1935, that the American "Black Hand," led by Jews, had ordered his assassination during a meeting in a New Orleans hotel. The Senate laughed off the old fighter at the time. But a month later he was shot to death by a Jew named Karl Weiss.

According to Gerald L.K. Smith's book, a good many of Roosevelt's opponents perished under similarly mysterious circumstances. Among these were Senator Cutting of New Mexico, Senator Shawl of Minnesota, and Mr. John Simpson, president of the Farmers' Union of Oklahoma. Keep silent or die! This was likewise the order to Admiral Kimmel, who

knew the real story of Pearl Harbor. And the Admiral remained silent, unwilling to risk his life.

Dr. William Wirt, a university professor of Gary, Indiana, apparently as the result of a mistake, received an invitation to a very exclusive social gathering where he heard explained plans and preparations of the Jews and Communists to take over power in the United States.

He reported what he had heard to the Press and was consequently summoned to one of the "anti-American activities committees" of the Senate, presided over by Senator O'Connor. The committee stamped Wirt as a liar. Wirt died not long after those hearings under suspicious circumstances. But on the first anniversary of Wirt's death, Senator O'Connor visited the grave of the victim and prayed for forgiveness.

Gerald L.K. Smith, the leader of the American anti-Jewish movement, was once poisoned with arsenic, the doctors only saved his life with difficulty. This same Gerald L.K. Smith wrote that Gerhard Eisler, a Communist German Jew who emigrated to America and then, having been branded an important Stalin agent, escaped from the American authorities to the Soviet Zone of Germany, ordered the assassination of several thousand American citizens. Westbrook Pegler, one of the best known, at the time, American publicists, lived in permanent fear for his life. Those papers in which Pegler's articles were published exposing the subversive activities of the Communist (Jews) were consistently threatened by Jewry with boycott and terror.

The story of Charles Lindberg, the valiant pilot who flew the Atlantic, is well known. Lindberg, opposed the war, and in the course of one of his speeches delivered in Des Moines, Iowa, he pronounced the word "Jew" in a not very flattering tone of voice.

Such an intense campaign immediately started for his moral destruction that even today in circles of the Anti-Defamation League, the mention of "Lindbergh-treatment" conveys the assassination of a person's character, career and social position. These circles knew very well that the elimination of Lindberg from public life was the work of Jewry. Martin

Dies was silenced also by methods similar to the Lindberg-treatment, because he was the first to try to drag Communists before the Senate and so into the spotlight of publicity. There were attempts to kidnap his son and his wife was constantly threatened.

Eleanor Roosevelt and her friends attended the sittings of the Senate Committee to poke fun at its chairman. The Press received confidential instructions to boycott the work of the committee. Yet, though Dies was silenced, his committee's work was carried on by Senator Joseph McCarthy on whom world Jewry's anathema was declared and who was also murdered by them.(6) This was also the fate of the Canadian M.P., Norman Jacques, one of the most popular Members of the Canadian Parliament. Before his death, he wrote to sever of his acquaintances that: "In my next speech in parliament I am going to open the eyes of my audience and I intend to expose the whole Jewish nationalist conspiracy." But before he could deliver his speech he died from "heart failure."

Gerald L.K. Smith points out that the death of Franklin D. Roosevelt is itself a great mystery. It appears almost certain that Roosevelt did not die a natural death. According to some versions he suffered from having a guilty conscience because of Pearl Harbor, or because of that far-fetched Soviet friendship which resulted in a promise to hand over to the Soviet five million people consisting of Germans, Italians, Hungarians, Rumanians and Bulgarians. According to another theory, he wished to be proclaimed President of the World Republic, simultaneously with the establishment of U.N.O., but was forced to realize that the state of his health made him unfit for this office. Only a single photograph remains to posterity showing the President of the U.S. Republic in his coffin. In this photograph a white flower can be seen covering a wound on Roosevelt's head. And when his son Jimmy Roosevelt arrived for the funeral, his mother and family did not dare to open up the coffin so that he might see his father for the last time.

All these facts and events clearly prove that Felix Frankfurter was right. Actual power in America does not rest with the visible Government but is in the possession of individuals behind the scenes. They are the people representing supranational "Nazism," whose interests were so well served

by the Second World War, but whose interests would not be served by a third world war in which the sword of America would rip open the Iron Curtain.

It has been said that the Soviet Union is dead, but ask yourself the following questions: What would happen if the Soviet prisons were opened, or if American soldiers saw the same things in the liberated territories that were seen after 1941 in Soviet Russia by the warriors of Europe? What would happen if those same soldiers saw the records of thousands of American soldiers who were sent to die in the Siberian Prison Camps by the Jews, at the end of World War II? What would happen when the political prisoners were freed together with the slaves of the internment and forced labor camps? Would they not all tell the world who the real jailers, torturers, executioners and usurers of the Soviet regime were? Has not Lenin himself said that "'anti-Semitism' is the means of the counter-revolution?" With the fall of Bolshevism a great awakening of the Israel nations would follow. The secret "real" archives would be opened. Woe betide the Jews then!

"Our power in Russia is unlimited. In the towns, the Commissariats and Commissions of Food, House Commissions, etc., are dominated by our people. But do not let victory intoxicate you. Be careful, cautious, because no one except yourselves will protect us! Remember we cannot rely on the Red Army, which one day may turn its warfare on ourselves..."(7); "Many Jewish leaders of the early days of the revolution have been done to death during the Trotsky trials, others are in prison. Trotsky-Bronstein is in exile. Jankel Gamarnik, the Jewish head of the political section of the army administration, is dead. Another ferocious Jew, Jagoda (Guerchol Yakouda), who was for a long time head of the G.P.U., is now in prison.

The Jewish general, Jakir, is dead, and along with him a number of others sacrificed by those of his race. And if we are to judge by the fragmentary and sometimes even contradictory lists which reach us from the Soviet Union, Russians have taken the places of certain Jews on the highest rungs of the Soviet official ladder. Can we draw from this the conclusion that Stalin's government has shaken itself free of Jewish control and has become a National Government? Certainly no opinion could be more erroneous or more dangerous than that...

The Jews are yielding ground at some points and are sacrificing certain lives, in the hope that by clever arrangements they may succeed in saving their threatened power. They still have in their hands the principal levers of control. The day they will be obliged to give them up the Marxist edifice will collapse like a house of cards.

To prove that, though Jewish domination is gravely compromised, the Jews are still in control, we have only to take the list of the highly placed officials of the Red State. The two brothers-in-law of Stalin, Lazarus and Moses Kaganovitch, are ministers of Transport and of Industry, respectively; Litvinoff (Wallach-Jeyer-Finkelstein) still directs the foreign policy of the Soviet Union...

The post of ambassador at Paris is entrusted to the Jew, Louritz, in place of the Russian, Potemkine, who has been recalled to Moscow. If the ambassador of the U.S.S.R. in London, the Jew Maiski, seems to have fallen into disgrace, it is his fellow-Jew, Samuel Kagan, who represents U.S.S.R. on the London Non-Intervention Committee.

A Jew named Yureneff (Gofmann) is the ambassador of the U.S.S.R. at Berlin...Since the beginning of the discontent in the Red Army the guard of the Kremlin and the responsibility for Stalin's personal safety is confided to the Jewish colonel, Jacob Rapaport.

All the internment camps, with their population of seven million Russians, are in charge of the Jew, Mendel Kermann, aided by the Jews, Lazarus Kagan and Semen Firkin. All the prisons of the country, filled with working men and peasants, are governed by the Jew, Kairn Apeter. The News-Agency and the whole Press of the country are controlled by the Jews...The clever system of double control, organized by the late Jankel Gamarnik, head of the political staff of the army, is still functioning, so far as we can discover.

I have before me the list of these highly placed Jews, more powerful than the Bluchers and the Egonoffs, to whom the European Press so often alludes. Thus the Jew, Aronchtam, whose name is never mentioned, is the Political Commissar of the Army in the Far East: the Jew Rabinovitch is

the Political Commissar of the Baltic Fleet, etc. All this goes to prove that Stalin's government, in spite of all its attempts at camouflage, has never been, and will never be, a national government. The Jews will always be the controlling power and driving force behind it. Those who do not see that the Soviet Union is not Russian must be blind."(8)

World Jewry changed its tactics after 1945, because it saw clearly that a showdown between the United States and the Soviet must be avoided at all costs. The policy now is so to debilitate America that she will have no strength left to defend herself in the end.

And so Jewish nationalism, having established unparalleled financial and political power, through armament races, inflation, unemployment, world wars and revolutions, now turns around suddenly and becomes "peace-loving." It started the greatest political campaign of its history with the help of U.N.O., U.N.E.S.C.O., the European Council of Strasbourg and various parliaments which are under its influence.

With the World Press in its hands, it works with the sole aim of making America weak and isolated, of leaving her without allies on the day when the clock strikes and she has to face a showdown with the Soviets (and China as the Scriptures attest). The aim is to make the policy of the United States so unpopular with other people, at the time when she should be rallying to her side the Israel Christian Nations and other non-Christian people such as Mohammedans.

Jewry's interests lie in Jewish World Government on the concepts of Einstein, and the totalitarian Jewish State. And this nationalism, after having carried out the most bloodthirsty war propaganda campaign against Hitler, suddenly made an about face. Now, the "Hidden Hand," acting quietly behind the scenes, too steps to force America to her knees by augmenting as much as possible the strength of the Soviet Union and at the same time by crippling those forces which consider war against the Soviet Union and China inevitable.

These tactics have had a certain "merit" in the past. But in Europe they were used only at the end of lost wars. They are known as sabotage and

as whispering campaigns that poison the mind. "Do not fight any longer, you people! The Russians are also humane!" And, besides the Soviet Union is Dead!

Morgenthau's policy plans to crush the might of America was almost identical: "After all," say the triumphant Jews to each other, the Jews who beat Hitler, "just read the Book of the Prophet Isaiah and you will see that not only the Protocols promised us power over the world, but the Prophet himself too: "Therefore thy gates shall be open continually; they shall not be shut day nor night; that men may bring unto thee the forces of the Gentiles, and that their kings may be brought."(9) The Jews know this to be a lie, because they know they are not Israelites. For the Scriptures show clearly: "And the nations of them which are saved shall walk in the light of it: and the kings of the earth do bring their glory and honour into it. And the gates of it shall not be shut at all by day: for there shall be no night there.

And they shall bring the glory and honour of the nations into it. And there shall in no wise enter into it any thing that defileth, neither whatsoever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie: but they which are written in the Lamb's book of life."(10); "Blessed are they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city. For without are dogs, and sorcerers, and whoremongers, and murderers, and idolaters, and whosoever loveth and maketh a lie."(11)

And since the Jews are dogs, and sorcerers, and whoremongers, and murderers, and idolaters, and whosoever loveth and maketh a lie, they cannot enter into the City of God.

The Jews further state when no Christians are around: "Over there, on the other side of the Iron Curtain, more than 40,000 tanks, 15,000 aircraft and 175 divisions of the Soviet Army are waiting in readiness to overrun Europe and accomplish the fulfilment of our World Power. Churchill said in 1949 that the atomic bomb alone was protecting America and Europe from a Soviet attack. But the atomic bomb, as you know is ours! Those who carry the secret of the atomic bomb to the Soviet Union are all our blood-brothers. It is just as if Einstein had selected them personally and

sent them to carry out their great missions. Such are Klaus Fuchs, whose treason speeds up the production of the Soviet atomic bomb by two years, and Bruno Pontecorvo, the Italian Jew, who carried atomic secrets to the Soviet in eleven large trucks. There are also many others such as Harry Gold, David Greenglass, the Openheimer brothers, Julius Rosenberg, Emmanuel Bloch, William Perl, Professor of the University of Columbia, Abraham Brothmann, Mirijam Moskovich, Simson the plutonium thief Jew, Janosi the Hungarian Jew who would protect Lazar Khaganovich's empire with a cosmic ray curtain, John Vag-Weiszfeld, who was Harry Gold's accomplice. David Boehm and Edwin David, all of them members of our race.

Why is there not a single Christian among them? Because they are not reliable! The secret is ours; we do not deny it. Julius Rosenberg admitted frankly to the New York Court that 'Soviet Russia is our ally and I considered her, therefore, entitled to receive such information from us!...' "

America possess hidden weapons which could have made her the leading anti-Bolshevik (Jewish) world power. They were the greatest military secrets of history, with the help of which she could have delivered the world from the menace of servitude. These secrets had to be stolen and sold, so that as a substitute for the powers known as America and Russia, a single power should remain in the world; the world power of Jewish nationalism which keeps at bay both America and the Soviet Union. If it does nothing else, the betrayal of America will prove better than anything that there is a grandiose Jewish plan in existence to divide the world into eastern and western hemispheres, and consequently to rule both of them, and that this plan has so far been executed with the most ruthless efficiency.

Radar and the Inter-continental rocket were also among the military secrets in the hands of the United States, and these could have offered her the greatest security, even after the loss of the atomic bomb secret. But today it is established by the FBI that Julius Rosenberg was also the head of the radar spying. After investigations, held in the military research institute at Monmouth, it came to light that the culprits who betrayed the radar secrets to the Soviets were almost exclusively all Jews. Professor H.

Coleman and Morton Sobell, a spy sentenced to thirty years' imprisonment, Hyman Gerber Yavis, Carl Greenbaum and Miss Glassman can be mentioned among others in this connection.

The handing over the China to the Reds is one of the most horrible chapters in the betrayal of America. China was one of America's best markets. She had to be forced into the red hemisphere at any price. Otherwise, in the case of a showdown, the 1-billion Chinese, among whom Jewish subversive ambitions were never able to find a favourable soil, could have become a formidable ally on the side of the United States.

It is generally known that Owen Lattimore, an American professor of dubious origin, Roosevelt's chief adviser on Chinese questions, was working against the U.S., in the service of Soviet military espionage. For seven years he was editor of "Pacific Affairs," a paper issued by the Institute of Pacific Relations, from which the Soviet Union received first-hand information regarding China. The investigating officers of the FBI found 1,700 very confidential files in the offices of Amerasia.

The fact that the persons detained in connection with this case were all Jews is important. John Stewart Serive, Larsen Mano, Andrew Roth, John Abt, Nathan Witt, Lee Pressmann, Philipp Jaffe, an ex-ambassador, and Maria Bachrach, had all betrayed America, the country which gave them a home, to serve their Jewish nationalism.

"The problem was how to bring about the downfall of China so that it would not appear to be caused by the U.S.," wrote Owen Lattimore, "Due to Amerasia and to the extension of the policy of the fellow-traveler, 665 million souls vanished behind the Iron Curtain!" some American papers stated later. America lost her greatest export market and one of her best trading partners.

And the whole position in the Far East was shaken to its foundation. Those who divided the world into eastern and western hemispheres cannot deny the object of their action: Divide et ampere! Divide your opponents and so rule them. Rule over America as well as over the Soviet Union. In furthering this aim, the "Hidden Hand" had deployed its own men

everywhere, upon whom it relied not to serve America but only the interests and power aspirations of Jewry. Before the outbreak of the Korean War a certain Lyman L. Lemnitzer, wearing the uniform of a major-general of the U.S. Army, was the military leader in South Korea, and on him falls the responsibility for neglecting its defences, as was declared in Congress. Later, the half-Jewish general Mark Clark, son of Rebecca Ezekiel, became commander-in-chief in Korea.

This man, while commanding the American occupation forces in Austria, handed over refugees and displaced persons by the thousand to the Soviet. It is no coincidence either that during the Korean war a certain Colonel A. C. Katzin was chief U.N. delegate over General MacArthur, while another Jew called George Movahon directed the Korean section of the U.N. Information Centre. During the time of the Persian oil crisis, a certain Michael J. Lee was head of the Far Eastern Division of the State Department, and it came to light that he emigrated from Soviet Russia into the United States in 1932 and that his original name was Efraim Zinov Liebermann.

As in the Far East, so in Europe too, the exponents of world Jewry have done everything possible to ruin America's prestige and good name, and to eradicate from the hearts of the people of Europe those ideals which picture her as the land of freedom. We have already referred to the fact that the Morgenthau Plan was actually conceived in Moscow.

Harry Dexter White, a Russian Jew, was Under-Secretary of the Treasury Department as well as Morgenthau's deputy in Roosevelt's administration. He was one of the most sinister figures of modern times, being at the same time leader of the Communist cells and spy ring carrying on their activities inside the U.S. Treasury Department.

He stole and handed over the Soviet Union the stereo plates, paper material and printing secrets of the so-called "Allied Marks," the banknotes designed for the allied occupation of Germany, and thus caused a financial loss of about 225 million dollars to the American taxpayer. But besides the original stereo blocks of the occupation banknotes and printing formulas, there were other most confidential documents received by the Soviets from Harry Dexter White.

U.S. Congressional Record Monday, May 29, 1933 the Hon. Louis T. McFadden of Pennsylvania: "In The United States today, the gentiles have slips of paper while the Jews have the gold and lawful money"

The People Have the Paper and The Jews Have The Gold: Mr. McFadden. "Mr. Chairman, this repudiation bill is in direct opposition to the Democratic Party platform of 1932 upon which Franklin D. Roosevelt was elected President of the United States. It constitutes a violation of every campaign pledge he made before the people while he was barnstorming the country asking for votes. It is a repudiation of the faith of Jefferson. In a particular sense, I represent the members of the Democratic Party in my district since I was nominated by them as their candidate at the last Congressional election. I was also nominated by the Prohibition Party in my district. And I was nominated by the Republicans. I represent all the people of my district by virtue of my office and I represent all of the political parties of my district by reason of the fact that I was nominated by every one of them in turn.

This gives me the right to protest in the name of the Democratic Party in my district against this repudiation bill. Mr. Chairman, the Democrats in my district are not welchers. They do not profess one thing and do another. They do not go to the country on one platform and in office act upon another and an entirely different one. They do not set up a party platform and, after winning a popular vote on the strength of it, cynically cast it aside and laugh the people and the people's government to scorn. So far as the people of my district are concerned, the Democratic Members of the House do not need to haul down the Stars and Stripes from the roof of the Capitol today.

They do not need to vote under the Union Jack at the dictation of Franklin D. Roosevelt. His political credit is gone. His star has begun to wane. No Democrat needs to follow him into political oblivion.

Mr. Chairman, a law was passed here on May 12, 1933. On May 26, 1933, this bill was introduced asking us to amend the law passed 13 days earlier. Mr. Chairman, this is making a mock of government. The stuff that has been sent to this House during this special session to be obediently and

subserviently passed into law, and the manner in which it has been sent and the nonsense that has accompanied it, has been enough to sicken the strongest stomach. It has been said in connection with this repudiation bill, this latest outburst of delirium, that President Roosevelt wishes to have it as a legal record of what has already been done.

Mr. Chairman, what has been done was done illegally, and I do not doubt that those who have broken their oaths of office are anxious now to have Congress furnish them with a clean bill of health, but I think it would have been better if Congress had been permitted to function properly from the beginning. It is the custom, I believe, among certain malefactors to break the law and pay the fine afterward; to break the law and then say, 'What are you going to do about it?' The crime of kidnapping proceeds that way. The kidnaper says, 'Punish me or try to take me and I'll kill the child.' So with these wreckers and violators of law and order in office. Mr. Chairman, I think it would be more orderly and less expensive and that it would tax the patience of the country less if Roosevelt sent his backers home to their chosen lairs in Wall Street and himself attended to his own executive business. If he has a yearning to be a legislator, let him resign his Executive office and get himself elected to Congress. He will be welcome here if the people send him to Congress, but he has no business to interfere with the deliberations of this House while he is acting as President of the United States.

Mr. Chairman, this repudiation bill was framed and brought here in the interest of the foreign debtors of the United States. Its aim is a cancellation of war debts by fraud and treachery toward the American people. It gives the foreign nations a way of making entirely fictitious payments on the war debts. It permits them to use the Federal Reserve currency and the United States Government credit which was unlawfully taken by the Federal Reserve Board and its agents and exported abroad.

The United States Treasury has been drawn upon by the Federal Reserve Board for paper money and for credit exchangeable for money and these, unlawfully taken, have been sent to the debtor nations. Now comes Roosevelt asking us to pass a law so that the debtor nations may pay their debts to us in that stolen Federal Reserve currency and credit. This

repudiation bill also gives them the right to pay us in debased coinage. It repeals the Gold Standard Act of 1900 - the sheet anchor of this country's national currency - and it decrees that hereafter the United States shall issue no more national currency for itself at all but shall have nothing more than a debased subsidiary coinage and Federal Reserve currency in the form of Federal Reserve notes and Federal Reserve bank notes, issued at the will of the Roosevelt money changers who now control the Nation.

Mr. Chairman, the people of the United States are not coolies. They will not transact their business and make their interchanges of goods and services with the debased tokens of a subsidiary coinage and slips of paper. The Democratic administration, in violation of its campaign pledges and its party platform, is now endeavouring to foist upon this country currency of no value. It has given the gold and lawful money of the country to the international money Jews of whom Franklin D. Roosevelt is the familiar, and it has sent this bold and dishonest bill here so that it may have the pleasure of seeing Congress jump through another paper hoop and turn another somersault under the whip of the ringmaster.

Mr. Chairman, there is not a man within the sound of my voice who does not know that this country has fallen into the hands of the international money changers, and there are few members here who do not regret it. Why should the fact be hidden? Is it not because those who have betrayed the United States are afraid to face the consequences of their misdeeds? Is it not because every man who hears me knows that there are few things more terrible than citizens armed in a righteous cause defending themselves and their children, their homes and their firesides from the invader? Do you not think at times, Mr. Chairman, of that old wooden bridge built by the colonists at Concord? Do you not think at times, Mr. Chairman, of what happened there? 'By the rude bridge that spanned the flood, Their flag to April breeze unfurled; Here once the embattled farmers stood, And fired the shot heard round the world.'

Mr. Chairman, we are on Concord Bridge today. Our enemy; the same treacherous enemy, is advancing upon us. Mr. Chairman, I will die in my tracks before I yield him a square inch of American soil or so much as a one dollar rebate on his war debt to us.

Mr. Chairman, the Constitution of the United States has served us well. I am in favour of defending it against all comers. In the Constitution of the United States, it is written that the United States shall guarantee a republican form of Government to every State in the Union. This guaranty has been broken by Franklin D. Roosevelt in his unlawful assumption of dictatorial powers. It is also written that no State shall make anything but gold or silver coin a tender in payment of debts.

This repudiation bill and its predecessors nullify this provision of the Constitution. It is also written in an amendment to the Constitution, 'The validity of the public debt of the United States, authorized by law, including debts incurred for payment of pensions and bounties in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned.' Mr. Chairman, this repudiation bill questions the validity of the public debt and repudiates it. It repudiates the Liberty bonds; it repudiates the veterans' adjusted-service certificates; it cancels the war debts due to the United States from foreign governments.

Now, Mr. Chairman, we have come to the place where we must decide whether we shall serve God or Mammon. Shall we nullify the Constitution at the behest of the money changers who have unlawfully taken all our gold and lawful money into their own possession or shall we take a stand here in defense of the faith of our fathers? Mr. Chairman, my mind is made up. I will stand by the Constitution. If I should fail to do so, I should expect to be met at the train when I go home to my district by a delegation of honest Pennsylvania citizens with 50 or 100 feet of rope. I should expect to be escorted to the nearest tree to be taught what it means to vote for a nullification of the Constitution in the House of Representatives.

Mr. Chairman, the provisions of this repudiation bill were foretold by a writer in the Dearborn Independent some years ago. There is, therefore, nothing novel or original about them. The writer of the article in the Dearborn Independent made the following quotation prophesying some of the measures which have been introduced here by the President of the United States:—

**(1) Confiscation of money in order to regulate its circulation.**

(2) We must introduce a unit of exchange based on the value of labor units, regardless of whether paper or wood are used as the medium. We will issue money to meet the normal demands of every subject, adding a total sum for every birth and decreasing the total amount for every death.

(3) Commercial paper will be bought by the Government, which...will grant loans on a business basis. A measure of this character will prevent the stagnation of money, parasitism, and laziness, qualities which were useful to us as long as the gentiles maintained their independence, but which are not desirable to us when our kingdom comes.

(4) We will replace stock exchanges by great Government credit institutions, whose functions will be to tax paper according to Government regulations. These institutions will be in such a position that they may market or buy as many as half a billion industrial shares a day. Thus all industrial undertakings will become dependent on us.

You may well imagine what power that will give us. 'Remember that when next you hear the Jewish plan that 'Gentiles' shall do business with their own bits of paper, while Jews keep the gold reserve safely in their own hands. If crash comes, 'Gentiles' have the paper and the Jews have the gold.' Says Protocol XXII: 'We hold in our hands the greatest modern power - gold; in 2 days we could free it from our treasuries in any desired quantities.'

The Jews are economists, esoteric and exoteric: They have one system to tangle up the "Gentile," another which they hope to install when "Gentile" stupidity has bankrupted the world. The Jews are economists. Note the number of them who teach economics in the State universities. Says Protocol VIII: 'We will surround our Government with a whole world of economists. It is for this reason that the science of economics is the chief subject of instruction taught by the Jews.'

Mr. Chairman, have not most of these predictions come to pass? Is it not true that, in the United States today, the 'gentiles' have the slips of paper while the Jews have the gold and lawful money? And is not this repudiation bill a bill specifically designed and written by the Jewish

international money changers themselves in order to perpetuate their power? What else do you make of it, Mr. Chairman? Does it not cancel the war debts? Does it not defraud the holders of Liberty bonds and every other obligation calling for the payment of money? Does it not defraud the veterans of the World War and take the value out of their adjusted-compensation certificates?

Mr. Chairman, I demand that the gold stock of the United States be taken from the Federal Reserve banks and placed in the United States Treasury. I demand an audit of United States Government financial affairs from the top to the bottom. I demand a resumption of specie payment based on full gold and silver values. I demand the currency of the Constitution. I demand the rights of the people guaranteed to them by the Constitution, and that means that I demand a vote which will defeat this repudiation bill. No man can serve two masters. A vote for this bill is a vote for the money changers. A vote for an audit and an investigation of the Government's financial affairs is a vote for the people.

Mr. Chairman, all I ask of this House, and I ask it in the name of all the people, Jews and Gentiles, citizens and resident aliens alike, is that it, the people's own representative legislative assembly, shall stay close to the people and vote in their interest. Do not cancel the war debts and bind down upon our suffering veterans and our men of toil and our brokenhearted mothers and our starving children, the endless slavery of paying tribute to the "united front" of the war debtors.

Do not force Americans to pay tribute to foreign rulers and potentates. Take back this country or perish in the attempt. Let this be our own country again. Let us rebuild it for our own. Let us keep the Stars and Stripes floating over the roof of the Capitol. Let us cling to the Constitution of the United States. This is the way to freedom and prosperity. The way of repudiation is madness. Remember, Mr. Chairman, that the Ship of State has women and children aboard. Do not, therefore, guide it into uncharted waters. Do not allow the great Democratic Party to steer it onto the rocks while the world waits for it to founder and go down so that the international salvage crews may set to work on the wreck of it." (12) [Applause.]

In the Communist cell under his authority names were found such as Frank Cohen, Harold Glasser, Victor Perle, Irving Kaplan, Solomon Adler, Abraham George, Silverman and Ludwig Ullmann, etc., President Truman appointed White to the chairmanship of the International Monetary Fund, while Harold Glasser became financial director of U.N.R.R.A. It is to be ascribed to the activities of this Jewish spy ring that the gold reserves and foreign exchange bills of the Hungarian National Bank, estimated at 42 million dollars, were also handed over to the Soviet Union.

It is impossible to name here all the hundreds and thousands of Jews who were active in the most important key positions of the occupation zones of Germany, secretly furthering the Soviet cause and doing their best to Bolshevize Germany, as propagandists, C.I.C., or O.S.S. agents, press and theatrical officers, city commandants, financial experts, etc. The files of McCarthy's Investigation Committee tell us more about these things than the best detective thriller and are a startling historical documentation.

And as if all this were not enough, Jewish nationalism produced from its ranks the chief traitor Alger Hiss, who handed over 110 million non-Jews to the Khaganovich gang at Yalta. And the witness for the defence, who came forward and tried to save him, was nobody other than Felix Frankfurter, Judge of the Supreme Court of the United States. And the protector of Alger Hiss after his conviction was nobody else but the great senator, the uncrowned king of New York, Herbert H. Lehmann, father-in-law of Buttenweiser, who tried to hide the chief traitor of America in his flat.



## **Chapter Two**

### **Treason Committed Against America By The Jews**

But the most characteristic feature of the treason committed against America does not concern the atomic bomb, the radar spy ring or the other spy scandals, but the active role of American Jews in the Communist

movements. Here again the old saying is vindicated that "perhaps all Jews are not Bolsheviks but without Jews there would be no Bolshevism!"

The majority of America's Jewish population came from countries behind the Iron Curtain. America is not ruled by the Czars but by a so-called democratic regime. She gave the Jews everything, including even the privilege of taking part in the administration of the country. Yet despite all this generosity, the Jews have been and are today actively at the head of all anti-American and Communist subversive movements.

The Communist Party was planted in America and it has been led by the same type of people who were the leaders of the Russian Bolshevik Revolution. The member of the American Politburo, popularly referred to as the "Great Eleven" were, not taking Negroes into account, almost exclusively Jews: Eugene Dennies, Henry Winston, John Hates, Irving Pothias, Gilbert Green, Carl Winter and Guss Hall.(13)"If a spy or a Communist leader is detained anywhere," boasts the Jews, "he always comes from our ranks. Judith Coplon, the Jewish girl from Brooklyn, sold the most confidential files of the State Department to Gubichev, a Soviet agent. And we saw it that she will not come to any serious harm."

Again we perceive the flashing coil of the symbolic serpent in America, the emblem of Jewish Nationalism, the network of which covers and reaches everything. It controls the banks, State, Press, Society and the Unions. When the Californian Communist conspiracy was detected, the same well-known characters were dragged out of their underground hiding places by the FBI.

The identity of these traitors is stamped on their features, Robert H. Williams' book, Know Your Enemy, shows a series of photographs of the American traitors. Under characteristic faces appear characteristic names. Alexander Bittleman appears in this picture gallery as one of the founder members of the American Communist Party, and the other leaders with him were: Gerhard Eisler, Jack Stachel, Leon Josephson, Alex Trachtenberg and J. Peters (Goldberger). The participants in the recent Hollywood plot were: Dr. Sidney Weinbaum, Dr. Jacob Dubnov, Philip Bart, director of the Daily Worker, Alex Trachtenberg, V.J. Jerome, leader

of the Bolshevik Cultural Committee (whose real name is Isaac Romaine), Simon, Gerson, Elisabeth G. Flynn, Alex Bittelman, Betty Gannet, Isadore Begun, Jacob Minden, Claudia Jones (negress), Israel Amter, W. Weinstone, George Charney, Fred Fine, Sid Steinberger, Louis Weinstock and Js. Jackson.

According to a Reader's Digest article, of that time, of the eleven top leaders of the American Communist Party, six are Jews, two are Negroes, and only three are American-born citizens. According to the same magazine, the most important Bolshevik leaders were: Jacob Stachel, John Gates (Israel Regenstreif, Gill Green (Gilbert Greenburg), Gus Hall (Arvo Mike Hallberg of Lithuanian Jewish parentage), Irving Potiash, a Soviet Russian Jew, and Carl Winter (Philip Carl Weinberg).

Can all this be explained away as accidental? Is it merely a strange coincidence that the names of those directing the subversive activities in America are the same as those turning up on the list of leader of the Soviet Bolsheviks and of Hungarian and Romanian Communists?

Is it another coincidence that the first five men to be removed from the U.S. Army for Communist activities, namely Harry Specor, Phil Weiss, Irving Specor, Abraham Kotlechuk and Rheabel Mendelsohn, were Jews also? Could it be really just chance that ninety-five percent of those summoned before the McCarthy Investigation Committee for Anti-American activities and found guilty, were Jews as well? And may it not be a sense of guilt that, according to the Congressional Record of May 17th, 1946, all Jewish members of Congress voted for the discontinuation of the hearings of the Dies committee investigating anti-American activities?

Fr. Coughlin "The Great Betrayal": [originally broadcast in 1931] "Just yesterday, Saturday, March 21st, our daily press carried in front page headlines the news item that birth control has been officially adopted by The Federal Council of Churches of Christ (later named the National Council of Churches, when their Communist Teachings became too widely known) in America. One local paper has gone on record as stating that these signers of this report speak for twenty-three million people. These

figures are grossly gratuitous and erroneous. But the fact of the betrayal cannot be disputed if yesterday's news item is correct. The great betrayal of handing over the fundamentals of the natural law to the ideals of paganism; the great betrayal which coincides with the fact that once more the people of this country whom The Federal Council of Churches is supposed to represent have been traded and bartered to the god of political economy.

One is not surprised that such a thing at last has eventuated. Those of us who are acquainted with the activities of communistic doctrines in this country have long since breathed rather nervously at the activities of certain officials in The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America in abetting the program of Lenin and of advocating the ideals of bolshevism.

I am not so imprudent as to stand before this microphone and make such generic charges as cannot be proven not from the mouths of Catholics or of Jews or of Lutherans but from the pens of eminent Presbyterians and Methodists and from the records which are possessed in the files of our country.

Although I had planned to address this audience on a subject altogether different, I prefer to risk the niceties of rhetoric and speak almost extemporaneously upon this great betrayal. Be it noted at the outset that there is a total lack of unanimity in this so-called endorsement of birth control of The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America. Although such influential laymen as George W. Wickersham and Mrs. John D. Rockefeller, Jr., have committed themselves favourably for it, nevertheless to his lasting credit the Reverend Dr. Howard Chandler Robbins, the committee's chairman and former dean of the Cathedral of St. John the Divine, has gone on record as opposed to this revival of paganism.

The name of Mrs. Robert Speer, president of the national board of the Young Women's Christian Association, and the name of Mrs. Orin R. Judd, president of the Council of Women for Home Missions, are likewise honourably associated with Dr. Robbins. Moreover, the name of Reverend

F. H. Knubel, president of the United Lutheran Church in America, is signed to a statement which openly opposes this surrender of the so-called Federal Council of Churches in America. Birth control! A subject that should never be mentioned in decent society but which this very day has been made a by-word in the mouths of inquiring children, thanks to this conference and to the front page publicity given to it by the press of our nation.

What other path is left for us to pursue who blush at the insinuations which surround this phrase, 'birth control'? Silence were cowardly. Thus, under the Divine command to go forth and preach the Gospel to every creature, there is nothing that obstructs the path of the Catholic priest when there arises a question of faith and morals. Thanks be to God, it is not a question of debate between Catholic and Protestant. It has resolved itself into a discussion between the Christian and the pagan.

As far as the Catholic Church is concerned, for I dare not speak for the Lutheran the ten thousand Protestant ministers who are opposed to this compromise with decency - as far as the Catholic Church is concerned, there is no surrender.

This question of birth control is nothing new in the annals of sociology or of civilization. It is hundreds of years older than The Federal Council of Churches in America. It was born not because of a great moral issue but because of a matter of dollars and cents. Originally it was an economic issue. Its whole history has been tied up with the so-called science of political economy. And today it is still an economic issue for those who advocate it.

It is true that the endorsers of this revived paganism comment on the fact that 'under certain physical conditions of the mother, pregnancy is hazardous both to mother and child.' But it is likewise true that the chief reason which they advance for lending their imprimatur and sanction to birth control is because of economic considerations. They say: 'Very large families tend to produce poverty.' Moreover, they rescue from the discarded sophistries of the past the punctured theory that the problems of over-population are also involved in the consideration of birth control.

And finally to clinch their specious arguments - I am quoting from yesterday's news item which the 'Detroit Free Press' blazoned upon its front page - they say that the question of birth control 'is recognized by all churches and physicians.' Gratuitous statements and Corinthian arguments! The Catholic Church, for one, recognizes it as a revival of paganism.

Let us approach this subject along the highway of history. In the Jewish dispensation we find no mention of contraception. It remained for the nations of luxury, of wealth, of social prestige to bring into the world the theories of birth control. Even the sinful Canaanites are without indictment on this crime that is contrary to the nature of matrimony. But with Grecian culture and Roman civilization the theory of race limitation arrives. Plato and Aristotle for the Greeks urged the control of population in their communistic states. They urged it by controlling birth and regulating marriage, lest the means of support from the soil be insufficient for all citizens.

Here then is the birth of a theory. Here is the origin of perhaps the most heinous and vicious of sins. Control birth lest the nation starve! An appealing argument to the selfish, luxury loving people of all time; a people who advocated and practiced slavery; a people who prated of liberty and had none of it; a people who knew not the meaning of a just and living wage.

Greece under the sting of its own decadence fell before the eagle of Imperial Rome. But eventually the Roman conquerors adopted the vices of the conquered. Soon the Greek theory of economic pressure was borrowed to cover vice. In the higher strata of society at Rome birth control was openly practiced. From Macaulay we remember the lines condemning Rhea: 'The children to the Tiber, The Mother to the Tomb.'

The poet, Ovid, writing of the Roman upper classes comments on the practice of birth control. Hippocrates, the father of medicine, recognized the evil - the physical evil of this same birth control. His voice alone in ancient Greece remains to remind us that always the leaders in medicine

protest the violation of nature's laws. For Hippocrates bound by oath the physicians 'not to give woman drinks fatal to the unborn child.'

But Aspasia, the most wicked of the women of antiquity, was daily teaching contraception. So historically, my friends, we see the origin of this so-called new theory just adopted into the 'Apostles' Creed' of The Federal Council of Churches. It had its historical origin among the well-to-do. Birth control was advocated by the cultured lest the nation perish. It was practiced by the selfish and the luxurious rich. It was woven around an economic argument. And its disciples throughout the centuries have canonized Aspasia who preached to the women of her day the art of child prevention.

For then as now men and women desired all the freedom of the marital union without the consequences. For then as now passions dictated to reason. For then as now the selfish and the slave owners desired their pleasures and their possessions uninterrupted either by self-sacrifice on their own part or starvation on the part of the labourers. For then as now the economic theory was brought into play - the theory that some day the race would be in danger from overpopulation; the pagan theory of Aristotle and Plato whose little city states were hemmed in by unproductive mountains. And above all for then as now the cowardly leaders, instead of attempting to remedy the conditions of slavery in which the working people found themselves, prostituted their intelligences and their combined activities of leadership to the lords of wealth and the masters of commerce. They endeavoured to curtail the birth of children instead of endeavouring to raise their voices in protest against the overlords of tyranny.

But at length the wheel of civilization turned. New manhood and the eternal labour of the races of Western Europe left little to be felt through the ravages of birth control. Population was limited as Almighty God saw fit to limit it - by war and pestilence and disease and famine and the marriage customs and the fecundity of His peoples.

In the ages that followed the two great pagan empires of antiquity the voice of the early Church put an end to birth control. Where the Church entered, contraception ended. No sooner had Christianity appeared than

conditions changed. Constantine, the first Christian emperor, enacted two great laws which reflected the influence of Christian teaching on the subject. By the first, funds were supplied to families who were overburdened economically with children. This emperor was Christian enough to scuttle the economic argument. Has this piece of history escaped the Federal Council of Churches, or, knowing it, have they refrained from raising their voices to go to the root of the matter instead of harmlessly hacking at the dead branches of its effects? Instead of that, it appears that they have surrendered, to repeat, to the overlords of industry.

Greece and Rome, China and Japan and India, and now America all admit the right to prevent the conception of the unwelcome babe. First birth control was advocated in these other countries. Then slowly but surely infanticide and abortion and murder became legalized upon their statute books. Does it mean that America must follow suit?

Wherever the pagan spirit of Greece and Rome, China, Japan and India exists today there is found in counterpart the theory that economic pressure however distant bears the right to kill the unborn child or to prevent its being conceived. In modern times the issue has been reborn. Population is once more the basis for an argument. In modern times the issue has found exposure upon the front pages of our daily journals to prevent conception because the father of a family is no longer able to support his offspring from the unjust and nonliving wages which have followed in the wake of mass productionism.

The greatest of all English economists, Adam Smith, recognized a scarcity of subsistence was a thing of past ages. This was in 1776. A few years later it was Ortes, a Venetian Friar, who first taught that population was increasing in geometric ratio 1-2-4-8-16- and that sustenance was increasing in arithmetical ration only 1-2-3-4-5.

Here my friends, is another way of stating that as far back as these days it was being recognized that a just and living wage was not being accorded to the population of Europe. But the discovery of the Venetian Friar led to the conclusion which he observed must be corrected by sexual restraint and by a re-adjustment of the laws of labour. And then came Malthus -

the greatest theorist and the most active student on the question of over-population and birth control. Writing in 1798 a reply to William Godwin, he attempted to answer the argument that poverty was largely a result of the improper distribution of wealth. For Godwin advanced the theory that there was wealth enough in the world for us all; the theory that nature was bountiful with her gifts and that man was at fault in their proper distribution.

Malthus wrote for the classes. He wrote to shift the responsibility of poverty on the child bearing, child rearing poor of his day. His thesis was that the fecundity of man out-ran the fertility of the soil. His principle was that man reproduced in geometric ratio; that land increased its productivity in arithmetic ratio. He recognized the acts of Providence - war, pestilence, famine, disease, etc. But in a deep pessimism he predicted the day when the nations would starve unless birth control stepped in to save the situation.

Malthus was writing in a peculiar day. Men who read him today forget the temper and nature of his time. Forget that he wrote just after the French Revolution when the downfall of the old social system left no improvement in the conditions of the French nation. We forget Malthus was writing as an Englishman of 1798, after a series of successively bad harvests.

But we do not forget that the temporizers and lineal descendants of Malthus of our own day have likewise given voice to their theories of contraception and birth control on the heels of another depression. Let us not forget that in the days of Malthus the national credit was low; that imports were few; that population was increasing due to the freedom of life in the new cities.

Let us not forget that the Poor Laws gave paupers doles and increased tremendously the number of improvident marriages and illegitimate births and unemployment. Then it was that Malthus wrote his thesis and put in the minds of the cultured of this world an idea. That idea was that population should be checked for the well being of man. That idea was that the short-comings of this new capitalism which was born in that day

should be perpetuated and that the poverty which followed in its train should be wiped out by the prevention of children to the poorer classes. What matters if Malthus was wrong? What matter if he had no facts - facts such as would show that the human race always fought against economic conditions on their own battle ground and refused to surrender to the circumstances behind the smoke screen of child prevention? Had not slavery been abolished after the preaching of Peter and Paul? Had not the Magna Carta been signed and wrung from the unwilling hands of a despot king? In his own England, had not the beginnings of the Reform Bills of 1832 and 1864 pointed to liberty and to a just livelihood? These facts of course he did not remember, and could not have known.

What matters if none of his arguments are applicable today? We have now the science of agriculture, when then there was only the art. Today we have transportation facilities which make the goods of one clime available to the people of another almost immediately. Today our savings deposit vaults in this country are loaded with twenty-seven billion dollars of deposits; our granaries are choked with one hundred twenty-five million bushels of stored wheat; our great mid-western Mississippi empire is capable of accommodating more than one hundred twenty million more Americans. Our schools are more numerous in proportion to population than at any other period in the history of civilization. The only argument advanced by Malthus and which can hold water today is the argument for the classes and not for the masses.

Today famines have become artificial famines; man made famines; disciplinary famines. The shortage of money is one that has been negotiated by filibustering the Seventh Commandment of Almighty God. Both the shortage of money and the shortage of food are due solely to the criminal perverseness that refuses a sound plan of distribution for the world's goods. And the shortage of labour and the Low wage rate are likewise due to the perverseness of legislators who refuse to harness the Frankenstein of mass production.

Malthus wrote buncombe to the wealthy. And the wealthy from that day to this have listened and liked it. And I fear that The Federal Council of Churches of Christ are again writing buncombe to the wealthy and have

not taken up the problems of the poor. Instead of preaching the practical brotherhood of man; instead of insisting on a just and living wage so that a father can provide for his offspring they are tossing a sensual sop of perverse sexuality in their doctrine of birth control and contraception. 'Enjoy sexual intercourse but limit your children to the measurement of the pocketbook which is under-filled and incompetent to take care of a normal family.'

In 1798 Malthus had no remedy, no cure for the disease of population; no cure for the disease of growing mass production. Be it said of this Anglican clergyman that in 1803 he brought out a new edition in which he applied the remedy. It is all summed up in the one word 'self-restraint.' But the thousands who had read his thesis were little interested in his remedy. They had the idea. It was an idea precious to the luxury loving, self centred classes who now had a 'spiritual reason' to do what they desired. They had found in Malthus the classic alibi of the ages; an alibi as old as Aristotle; an alibi dear to the profligate heart of Aspasia.

Here was the answer to control the labouring population. Here was the answer whereby the labouring class could continue eking out an existence with an under-filled pocketbook through a religious sanction of birth control. If the surplus of children is an evil insofar that a father of a family can no longer take care of his offspring, here is the solution of that evil. If murmurings of dissatisfaction and rumblings of revolt bestir the labouring class in their thickly populated tenement districts, let us drown out those cries of dissatisfaction by preaching to them the sanctity of the doctrine of birth control. And at the same time let us keep fat our ecclesiastical revenues by bending the pregnant knee of un-Christliness before the overlords of wealth.

There are, my friends, four reasons today advocated for birth control. There is first over-population. Those who use this argument had better study the geography of the United States of America or let them go back to England whence they came. The second is that income will not permit of child bearing and child rearing. The answer to that is to correct the evils of capitalism and mass productionism. The third is the mother's health. Granted immediately that many mothers suffer an impairment of health

through bearing children. But did not St. Paul once say that 'a woman shall be saved by bearing children?' I wonder what argument would be employed to the five hundred thousand Christians who crimsoned the sands of the Flavian Amphitheatre with their martyred blood? I can almost hear the advocates of birth control telling them: 'Renounce your faith for it is bad for your health.'

And the fourth argument is human welfare and human happiness - these demand the privileges of birth control, if they shall exist. Reach out your hand and let it rest upon the golden crown of your baby boy or darling girl. All the gold and wealth of America could not purchase this child from your possession. All the tinsel joys of life could not repay you in happiness for the loss of your child. Supposing that you had practiced contraception and birth control when in the Providence of God a pure soul had come to bless your marital love, today there would be no child, and there would be no happiness. Your stretched out hand would grasp the empty air!

In this endeavour to give publicity to the birth control endorsement of The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, I have attempted to do but two things. The first was to show that the idea is by no means new. It was conceived and cradled and practiced by pagans. It was assailed by Christians from the time of Constantine down to this present day of Pope Pius XI. And secondly, it was always connected with the betrayal of the population of nations; always identified with an economic question of starvation; always advocated by the so-called cultured and wealthy. It is nothing new.

As far as the Catholic Church is concerned, we adhere to the principle that the sacrament of matrimony was not instituted by man but by God; that the laws made to strengthen and to confirm and to elevate it are not of man's devisal; and that the nature of matrimony is entirely independent of the free will of man as much as is the law of sunrise and of sunset. It is our belief that matrimony was instituted by God for the procreation and education of children and not merely for the pleasures of sexual intercourse enjoyed independently of the object of matrimony. On this question we may not be silent. For, in the words of Pope Pius XI: 'If any pastor of

souls, which may God forbid, lead the faithful entrusted to him into the errors of birth control or should at least confirm them by approval or by guilty silence, let him be mindful of the fact that he must render a strict account to God, the Supreme Judge, for the betrayal of His sacred trust, and let him take to himself the words of Christ: 'They are blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both fall into the pit.'

Upon what texts of Scripture do The Federal Council of Churches in America rest their argument for birth control? The Bible is their sole rule of faith. They have read it and so have I. They have scrutinized it and so have I. But in all my readings, I have never found a passage to contradict the pristine command of Almighty God: 'Increase and multiply.' I have never discovered a contradiction to the inspired words penned by the mighty Paul that: 'A woman shall be saved by bearing children.' I have read that Christ once said: 'What God hath joined together let no man put asunder.' I have been impressed by the sheen of the golden thread which runs through both the Old and the New Testament as it emphasizes that children are to be considered a blessing from Almighty God. And I have been taught from childhood that a father and mother are nothing more than the co-operators with Almighty God in peopling the courts of heaven.

Thus, when we measure life not by months and years; not by pain and sorrow; not by the yardstick of gain and loss, but by the faith of God which lifts aside the cloak of time and permits us to glimpse beyond the gates of eternity, I am impressed with the thought that every child born into this world and baptized in the Name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Ghost is but another jewel rescued from the dark depths of this earth to adorn the crown of Christ above. Christ came into the world to save souls, not to limit them.

Had I time at my disposal, I could show that many of the geniuses of civilization have been the offspring of large families. But I had rather emphasize what the Head of the Catholic Church, Pope Pius XI, has said regarding this thing of birth control. Here is the Catholic remedy for poverty. The Federal Council of Churches cry 'Birth Control.' We say in the words of Pius XI: 'Such social and economic measures must be set up as will enable every head of the family to earn as much as, according to

his station in life, is necessary for himself, his wife, and for rearing his children. For the labourer is worthy of his hire.' This is the Catholic solution of our economic question; a solution founded in accordance with the Scriptures and not against them.

The thought occurs to me that if we Catholics and Protestants will open the pages of the Bible at the thirty-eighth chapter of Genesis, verse the fourth, we will read of the birth of a man called Onan. Further in the same chapter at the eighth verse we read where this same Onan, who was the Biblical founder of birth control and the lineal progenitor of his modern brethren - we read that it says: 'Go into thy brother's wife and marry her, that thou mayest raise seed to thy brother. He knowing that the children should not be his, when he went into his brother's wife, spilled his seed upon the ground lest children should be born in his brother's name. And therefore, the Lord slew him because he did a detestable thing.'

I am glad that I remembered this text from the Scriptures upon which The Federal Council of Churches base all their faith; for to them the Bible is the sole rule of faith. And I am somewhat grieved when in this so-called day of perfect Christianity we must dig out of the far distant Book of Genesis the condemnation of Almighty God Who calls the founder of birth control a murderer, and Who brands his sin 'a detestable thing.'

Ladies and gentlemen, there is no one who sympathizes more with the labouring class of this generation than does the Catholic Church. More than forty years ago Leo XIII of immortal memory came to their defence. And today Pius XI has likewise raised his voice amidst the protestations of capitalists and tyrants. Both of them place their finger in blaming the unjust economic system under which the labouring class is forced to live as a partial cause of the growth of birth control.

In conclusion may I point a lesson to all those who seek the truth. Out of France comes the story of a maid, a great anti-birth controlist. 'O my god,' prayed Zelig Guerin after ill health had prevented her from entering the cloister. 'Since I am unworthy to be Thy Spouse, I shall enter the married state to fulfil Thy Holy Will and I beseech Thee to make me the mother of many children and to grant that all of them may be dedicated to Thee.'

And Zelig's husband, Louis Martin, had in the same manner sought in vain to enter the religious order. The ninth child born to this marriage was Ste. Therese, the 'Little Flower' of the Child Jesus.

How empty are the arguments of over-population, a mother's health, economic pressure and human happiness when we consider the argument without answer - the life of a ninth child - Ste. Therese, the 'Little Flower' of the Child Jesus.

For in her case there was the mutual love and respect and affection of parents united in true marital life; unconscious of remote and artificial problems of over-population; unassailed by suggestions of selfishness predicated upon a mother's health; welcoming the burden of economic pressure; secure, only through the bearing and rearing of children of a true and substantial happiness. The ninth child of that marriage was a saint.

We are indeed thankful that this is not an argument between Protestant and Catholic. It is a discussion between Christian and pagan. Now that some members of The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America have chosen to seek a remedy for our social conditions in the cesspool of Grecian vice; now that they have surrendered their leadership and have preferred to follow the doctrines of Aspasia, let them realize that they have sounded the death-knell of The Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America."

Moreover, Senator McCarthy informed Bernard Baruch himself that as all the television networks in the United States were in the hands of the Jews, he would not be given the chance to expose the American traitors by means of the television service. Another decisive proof of the existence of Jewish "Nazism" is the fact that those participating in American Communist movements never come from the "proletariat," i.e., from the working class or from destitute people, but from Jews occupying the highest strata of American society. The publication "Red Stars Over Hollywood" drew attention to the fact that out of those Hollywood film stars whose earnings amount to millions of dollars, one hundred are Jews. In the following speech, Congressman McFadden traced the hidden motive for the negative propaganda campaign directed against both Czarist Russia and Germany in World War One: Jewish financial power.

1. Rabbi Reichorn, speaking at the funeral of Grand Rabbi Simeon Ben-Iudah, 1869, Henry Ford also noted that: 'It was a Jew who said, 'Wars are the Jews' harvest'; but no harvest is so rich as civil wars.' The International Jew: The World's Foremost Problem, Vol. III, p. 180.

2. Roosevelt's Jewish Ancestry. Even a hasty perusal of the genealogy chart as produced by the Carnegie Institution of Washington, D.C., under direction of Dr. H.H. Laughlin, per asso. press Dispatch March 7, 1934, in the Daily Citizen of Tucson, Arizona, a similar chart was published by the Washington, D.C., Star, of February 29, 1936. Even a hasty perusal of the document convinces one as to President Roosevelt's Jewish ancestry. From the viewpoint of eugenics, it explains his natural bent toward radicalism. It shows why he has given hundreds of so-called Liberals, Socialists and Communists powerful positions in the national government. It reveals the origin of the sinister spirit which today animates the White House. It proves unmistakably, that the Roosevelt Administration offers a biological, as well as a political problem.

The New York Times of March 14, 1935, quotes the President as saying: "In the distant past my ancestors may have been Jews. All I know about the origin of the Roosevelt family is that they are apparently descended from Claes Martenszen van Roosevelt, who came from Holland." Additional information regarding the nationality of the Roosevelt family, was given by Chase S. Osborn, early in 1934, at St. Petersburg, Florida. Mr. Osborn was formerly Governor of Michigan.

The leading newspaper of the city (The Times) carried the following report after the interview: "Although a Republican, the former Governor has a sincere regard for President Roosevelt and his policies. He referred to the 'Jewish ancestry' of the President, explaining how he is a descendant of the Rossocampo family expelled from Spain in 1620. Seeking safety in Germany, Holland and other countries, members of the family, he said, changed their name to Rosenberg, Rosenbaum, Rosenblum, Rosenvelt

and Rosenthal. The Roosevelts in North Holland finally became Roosevelt, soon becoming apostates with the first generation and others following suit until, in the fourth generation, a little storekeeper by the name of Jacobus Roosevelt was the only one who remained true to His Jewish Faith. It is because of this Jewish ancestry, former Governor Osborn said, that President Roosevelt has the trend of economic safety (?) in his veins."

In the 1938 "World Almanac" under the heading "Biographies of U.S. Presidents and Their Wives," page 237, appears: "Franklin Delano Roosevelt was the son of James Roosevelt, a direct descendant of Claes Martenzen van Rosenvelt, who arrived in New Amsterdam in 1649 and married Jannetje Samuels."

The following is from "The House of Roosevelt" by Paul Haber, 1936 edition "Claes Rosenvelt entered the cloth business in New York, and was married in 1682. He accumulated a fortune. He then changed his name to Nicholas Rosenvelt. Of his four sons, Isaac died young, Nicholas married Sarah Solomons. Jacobus married Catherina Hardenburg. The Roosevelts were not a fighting but a peace-loving people, devoted to trade. Isaac became a capitalist. He founded the Bank of New York in 1790."

The American Freedom Magazine of Los Angeles, California, April, 1938, issue, stated: "In an address to the National Convention of the D.A.R., President F.D. Roosevelt said that he too was of revolutionary ancestry. But not a Roosevelt was in the American Army. They were Tories, busy entertaining British Officers. The first Roosevelt came to America in 1649. His name was Claes Rosenfelt. He was a Jew. Nicholas the son of Claes, was the ancestor of both Franklin and Theodore. He married a Jewish Girl named Kunst in 1682. Nicholas had a son named Jacobus Rosenfelt. In the family tree there are 351 persons bearing biblical names of the Tribe of Israel. From the Corvallis Gazette-Times, of Corvallis, Oregon."

New York Jews having designed and struck and struck off a medal with the head of Roosevelt on one side and the six-point Solomon Star, synagogue symbol of possession and world power, on the other, with a mystical so-called "Good Luck" idiom in the centre of the star, the

undersigned and his associates investigated to ascertain the significance, if any, and report these implications: "Good Luck and Wisdom to Franklin D. Roosevelt, our Modern Moses, Leading Jewry in 'The Promised Land' (America) under the 'Seal of Solomon.'"

The following New York item was published from Coast to Coast under an Associated Press date line of May 8, 1937: "President Roosevelt will receive the tenth award of the Gottheil Medal for 'Distinguished Services to Jewry.'" Another such Jewish medal was given him December 22, 1938, according to the N.Y. Herald-Tribune of December 23, 1938. What "distinguished services" did Roosevelt render to Jewry???

Professor H.J. Laski, Jewish Socialist of England, was quoted in the London "Fascist" of January, 1935, thus: "If the experiment for which Roosevelt is responsible, should in any serious degree break down, as a result of the association with it of a number of eminent Jews, there would be an out-break of Anti-Semitism in the U.S. more profound than anything Anglo-Saxon Civilization has so far known."

The Delanos Jewish Also?: Writing in the "Hakenkreuzbanner" of May 14, 1939, Professor Dr. Johann von Leers of Berlin-Dahlem, Germany, publishes an exhaustive analysis of Roosevelt's ancestry. While it is apparent that the authorities quoted are not convinced regarding the authenticity of the Holland Rossocampo-Rosenvelt Jewish genealogy, a new discovery is quoted to show that President Delano Roosevelt's mother, Sarah Delano, is from a Jewish-blood family. "At once the veil fails" comments Dr. von Leers. "The President's father married Sarah Delano; and it becomes clear. Schmalix (genealogist) writes: 'In the seventh generation we see the mother of Franklin Delano Roosevelt as being of Jewish descent. The Delanos are descendants of an Italian or Spanish Jewish family; Dilano, Dilan, Dillano.

The Jew Delano drafted an agreement with the West Indian Co., in 1657 regarding the colonization of the island of Curacao. About this the directors of the West Indies Co, had correspondence with the Governor of New Holland. In 1624 numerous Jews had settled in North Brazil, which was under Dutch Dominion. The old German traveler Uienhoff, who was

in Brazil between 1640 and 1649, reports: 'Among the Jew settlers the greatest number had emigrated from Holland.' The reputation of the Jews was so bad that the Dutch Governor Stuyvesant (1655) demanded that their immigration be prohibited in the newly founded colony of New Amsterdam (the New York of today). It would be interesting to investigate whether the Family Delano belonged to these Jews whom the Dutch Governor did not want. It is known that the Sephardic Jewish families which came from Spain and Portugal always inter-married; and the assumption exists that the Family Delano, despite Christian-confession, remained purely Jewish."

"What results? The mother of President Roosevelt was a Delano. According to Jewish Law (Schulchan Aruk, Ebenaezer IV) the woman is the bearer of the heredity. That means; children of a full-blooded Jewess and a Christian are, according to Jewish Law, Jews. It is probable that the Family Delano kept the Jewish blood clean, and that President Roosevelt, according to Jewish Law, is a blooded-Jew even if one assumes that the father of the President was Aryan. "We can understand why Jewish associations call him the 'New Moses;' why he gets Jewish medals - highest orders of the Jewish people.

For every Jew who is acquainted with the law, he is evidently one of them. The secret surrounding President Roosevelt solves itself through the assiduous investigations of Schmalix."

In this connection it is noteworthy that Who's Who in American Jewry lists the New York Brentanos as Jewish - Sephardics from Italy or Spain. Delano and Brentano end with "o". Jews expelled from Brazil settled in the U.S. Genealogist Schmalix is quoted in the article mentioned as saying: "When the Delanos changed to the Christian religion can only be ascertained by further investigations in America."

With this alleged Jewish Delano descent added to the other records herein the fact that New York Jews on the East Side constantly refer to the President proudly as "Rosenvelt," it would seem that Dr. von Leers, in his letter of May 14, 1939, to the undersigned, transmitting his latest discoveries, is justified in exclaiming: "It being true that the Delanos are

well-known Jews from the Netherlands, President Roosevelt is, from the standpoint of Jewish Heredity Law, As Good A Jew As Bernard M. Baruch." Baruch is a Sephardic Jew, whose family is reported to have come from Europe via Brazil to North America.

**3. Roosevelt Expected & Wanted the Japanese Attack on Pearl Harbor:** The Pearl Harbor attack was instigated by President Franklin Delano Roosevelt and Winston Churchill. The attack was forced into existence by the United States, Great Britain and the Dutch. This was accomplished by an embargo, which cut off all shipping into and out of Japan, thus threatening Japan with starvation.

Nine months before the Pearl Harbor Attack, one Clifford M. Andrew was sent to Pearl Harbor and put in charge of a critical portion of both Army and Navy Intelligence Communications, so that when the time of the attack came, Andrew would be in a position to run interference by preventing the commanding officers at Hawaii, Admiral Kimmel and General Short, from receiving any warning of an impending attack.

Approximately one week prior to the Pearl Harbour attack, General George C. Marshall and Admiral Stark discontinued informing President Roosevelt of incoming Japanese intelligence information. Also one week before the attack, Rear Admiral Paulus Prince Powell (Roosevelt's Senior White House Naval Aide prior to 1934) was ordered to the Naval Intelligence Department, Washington, D.C., to be briefed as first naval attache' to Portugal (which was neutral).

On Thursday, December 4th, 1941, at 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon (Hawaiian time), a message was received in the Hawaiian Army Intelligence Department from Melbourne, Australia that the United States agreement with Great Britain and the Dutch (the ABCD agreement) had been activated; that we were automatically at war with Japan. Furthermore, the Hawaiian military intelligence department had received messages from U.S. picket ships and submarines to the effect that a Japanese fleet with aircraft carriers fully loaded was approaching Hawaii and would be within striking distance by Sunday morning, December 7th.

Gerald Mason Van Dyke, was an Army Intelligence Officer in Hawaii at the time of the attack. He knew of the coming attack. His first report on the subject was made November 29th, 1941. He sent his warning message to Washington, D.C., Thursday afternoon, December 4th, 1941, at 2:00 p.m. His message was received and intercepted by Rear Admiral Paulus Prince Powell in Washington, D.C., at about 7:00 p.m. (due to Global Time Difference), Powell notified Secretary of the Navy Frank Knox who in turn immediately contacted Secretary of War Henry Stimson. Stimson called Roosevelt, and Roosevelt came to the Naval Intelligence Department. Secretary of Navy Knox said that they should get the Navy out and set up a defense perimeter for the Islands. Under-secretary of Navy James Vincent Forrestal became very concerned too.

Roosevelt blocked their attempt to warn Pearl Harbor by putting Powell, Knox and Forrestal under armed guard until after the Pearl Harbor Attack. Roosevelt sent the following message to Clifford M. Andrew: "The Japanese will attack, do not prepare defences, we need the full support of the American Nation in a war-time effort by an unprovoked attack upon the Nation." The message sent to Admiral Kimmel and General Short by Roosevelt read "Expect Japanese Sabotage." Preparation for Sabotage is exactly the opposite of the preparation for an aerial attack.

On Friday evening at about 5:00 p.m., December 5th, 1941, Mason warned Lyle Hartford Van Dyke, Sr., of Portland, Oregon, that the Japanese would attack soon, most probably Sunday. He was told that the intelligence department in Washington, D.C., had been warned. So he put up a stock of canned goods on Saturday, December 6, 1941, in preparation for the attack.

The attack occurred Sunday morning, December 7th, with a death toll of 4,000 men. The Arizona went down in ninety feet of water with its hold only thirty feet below the surface. Roosevelt forbade any rescues from being made, and men buried alive in the Arizona banged on the bulkheads, screaming for help and kept diaries in the dark for two weeks after the attack until they finally died. The Supreme Court and the Legislature covered Roosevelt's Treasonous Mass Murder by scapegoating Admiral Kimmel and General Short. Had Roosevelt lived past the end of the Second

World War, the investigation of his actions would have resulted in a complete exposure of the One World Government - New World Order - Conspiracy now coming down upon us.

Roosevelt was killed when his face was blown off by a Soviet portrait artist. When James Vincent Forrestall's knowledge became a threat to those in power in 1949, he was pitched out of about the seventh floor of the hospital in Bethesda, Maryland. On May 15, 1966, Clifford M. Andrew was murdered in his home in Tigard, Oregon by a bullet in the back of his head. The FBI took charge of the matter.

On Thursday, May 10, 1973, an attempt was made by twenty police officers, Federal, State (Oregon) and County (Clackamas) to steal the manuscript of Hartford Van Dyke, then about two months into the writing. However, he was tipped off four hours before the raid and was able to secure the work. Three weeks later a second attempt was made to get his manuscript, and so he went into hiding until his book, "The Skeleton In Uncle Sam's Closet," was completed and published.

4. It was not over his dead body, but several years later, the Jews repaid him by murdering two of his sons.

5. In 1938-1940, When Franklin Delano Roosevelt was collaborating with Churchill, he said to the Mothers of America: "I promise you, again and again and again, that your boys will not be sent into a foreign war." At this very time when he was seeking your votes under this promise, Mr. Roosevelt was telling Ambassadors Bullitt, Biddle and Kennedy to tell Britain and France that if they were attacked they could anticipate our help.

Quoting the words of Ambassador Bullitt to the Ambassador of Poland, M. Jules Lukesiewies and reported to the Minister of Foreign Affairs in Warsaw, February 1939, "Should a war break out, we shall certainly not participate at the beginning, but we shall finish it." He further told the Ambassador that, "The President had most certainly said that he was

sending airplanes to France, for the French Army was the first defence line of the United States." (Page 25, Polish Document No. 3).

January 16, 1939, Ambassador Jerzy Potocki, reports to the Minister of Foreign Affairs in Warsaw as follows: "The day before yesterday I had a lengthy conversation with Ambassador Bullitt in the Embassy, where he called on me. He is travelling to Paris on the 21st inst. with trunks full of instructions and reports of conversations from President Roosevelt and the Department of State and from the Senators belonging to the Committee on Foreign Affairs. The content of these directions I was informed were:

- 1). A new impulse in foreign policy inspired by President Roosevelt who sharply and emphatically condemns the totalitarian states.
- 2). The war preparations of the United States on sea, land and in the air, which are being executed at an increasing speed at the colossal expense of \$1,250,000,000.
- 3). A moral assurance that the United States are abandoning their policy of isolation and in case of war are ready to grant active support to Britain and France, America being prepared to take her whole financial and material resources at their disposal."

In the report to the Polish Minister for Foreign Affairs, November 21, 1948 Count Jerzy Potocki, Polish Ambassador in Washington, in conversation with Mr. Bullitt in his office, then spoke about Britain's complete un-preparedness for war, and about the impossibility of adapting British industry to massed production, especially in regard to the manufacture of airplane. He spoke with unusual enthusiasm about the French army, but confirmed my opinion that the French air force was antiquated.

According to what military experts told Mr. Bullitt during the crisis in the autumn of 1938, a future war would last for at least six years and would, in their opinion, end in the total destruction of Europe and the

establishment of Communism in every state. Without doubt Soviet Russia would stand to gain most when the war was over. He further said, he, Bullitt believed that the war was inevitable and that, at its close, power alone could put an end to Germany's mad aspirations to expand. He said, in response to Potocki's question of "What the war would be like," that the United States, France and Britain must rearm on a gigantic scale if they were to be able to confront Germany. "Asked further if the United States would participate in a war of this kind," he replied, "Without the slightest doubt, but only if Britain and France make the first move."

The report on the international situation from Portugal to Warsaw tells us that Lt. Col. Chamberlain of the British Military, that he and the other younger members of the Staff agree that Germany and Italy are bluffing and that it is for us to begin the war and the sooner the better. They felt that right now they could count on the help of the United States. American attache' who told me that "Our ideals are wholly in sympathy with those of the democracies! At the moment the United States are studying possibilities of speedy assistance for Britain and France.

We have come to the conclusion that our assistance must not only, as in the Great War, begin with the active-cooperation of American troops after one year of the war has elapsed, but that 1000 airplane must be dispatched within a week or ten days after war has broken out." Commander Gade is a man of great integrity and a personal friend of President Roosevelt's. Some will recall the late Honourable Senator Ernest Lundeen's words to the women who had assembled in Washington to appeal to the Congress against the Conscription Bill. He told us that, "1400 planes had already been sent to France. This was in 1940 when we were hearing again and again that our boys would not be sent to fight on foreign soil. That the Conscription Bill was merely a training bill." Training for what?

This will be difficult for many to believe, one can hear them say, what:, England do that to this country? Why they are our greatest ally, we could not do without them. Let us look at the record and see just how much love there is for us in this pretended friendship. To begin with, when we were at war with Spain, this great Churchill, "Churchill entered British Army 1895, serving with the Spanish forces and fired on the American forces.

It was a little embarrassing when the Honourable William Langer of North Dakota made this announcement on the floor of the United States Senate. Mr. Churchill was in this country, because you know, we never hit a man behind his back, he was going to speak in Boston, a little more of the Churchillian propaganda. Learning that this statement had been made by the patriotic Senator Langer, Mr. Churchill was a little upset, he sent a telegram to the Honourable Tom Connally denying the fact. Good Friend, as he was, Senator Connally rose to his feet and read the telegram to the Senate.

Little did they realize that the records were available, that already these facts had been read to the Senate and were in the Congressional Record. Once again Senator Langer read the record to the Senate. Mr. Churchill is a brilliant man, but how stupid to try to deny what he has sanctioned in his biography, for you will find the record in World's Who's Who and a very good account in International Who's Who. The preface to these books states "This volume contains an authentic record of notable men and women throughout the world, page 114, 1940 edition: 'Winston Churchill—He entered the British Army 1895, serving with the Spanish forces against the American forces in Cuba.'"

International Who's Who, page 158, published by Europe Publications Ltd. London, 39 Belford Square, W.C. 1 the 13th edition, states: "Churchill entered the army in 1895, served in Cuba with Spanish forces against the Americans. The preface in this book states, 'We wish to express our thanks to all those who, by completing questionnaires or by correcting proofs sent to them have enabled us to bring information up to date and to remind others that unless proofs are returned, no responsibility can be accepted for the accuracy of their biographical records.'"

In 1946, the Biographical Encyclopedia of the World repeats: "Churchill entered the British Army 1895, serving with the Spanish forces in Cuba." Webster's Biographical Dictionary, 1st edition by G. & C Merriam, publishers, Springfield, Mass., also states that "Churchill served in Cuba with the Spanish forces." Current Biography, 1940 edition, published by H.W. Wilson Co., 950 University Avenue, New York City also states: "Churchill: He was with the Spanish forces in Cuba." It was repeated in

1942. It is here that we find Churchill quoted: "He had always thought it a pity that it all had to be so make believe, and that the age of wars between civilized nations had come to an end forever, now he, at least had an opportunity to join civilized Spain in a war against uncivilized Cuba."

Robert's account of Winston Churchill, 1928, Robert H. McBride, publishers, page 32, through Sir Henry Drummond, ambassador at Madrid, (Spain) Churchill: He joined the Spanish forces in Cuba in 1895. Spain conferred the 'Order of Military Merit, 1st class.'"

While the New York Times, which prints "all the news that is fit to print," in their issue of September 19, 1945 states: "Churchill fought with Spain in Cuba." (And Men Wept, by Catherine Palfrey Baldwin, pp. 82-83).

"World War II was a war to renew Jewish domination of Germany and Central Europe and for the maintenance of the power and glory of the British/Jewish Empire. The conspirators in America, England and France are responsible for the greatest tragedy the world has ever known and their names will be dishonoured and execrated in history.

It never would have started had not Roosevelt and the half Jew Bullitt guaranteed to Britain and France all of America's resources, which meant, first, repeal of our neutrality act and supplying them with munitions and bombers without stint; second, in time the extension of unlimited credit; third the use of our fleet in the Pacific to protect British, French and Dutch interests; if these did not suffice for victory, then our young men as air pilots and our fleet to be sent to Europe; and lastly, if World Jewry and the British/Jewish Empire could not win without them, millions of our lads to die in Europe's babbles. The premeditated killing of human beings by another, save in self defence, is murder, a crime against Christianity, morality, humanity, and civilization, and this applies with greatest guilt to the wholesale slaughter by one nation of the people of another who have not attacked or harmed them.

After this illegal, secret plot was negotiated, Roosevelt, the Jews and the war-mongers of this country, of England and France sought to overthrow the Chamberlain government and to replace it with the Jews Churchill,

Eden, Hore-Belisha and Duff Cooper. They plotted to get Bonnet out of the French Cabinet and to substitute the Jews Reynaud, Blum and Mandel. The ardent but unsuccessful courtship of Stalin and Soviet Russia was insisted upon by Roosevelt, World Jewry and war-mongers of America, England and France. One of the reasons for the Roosevelt/Eden plot to overthrow the Chamberlain government and remove Bonnet from the French Cabinet was because they would not agree to pay the price Stalin demanded to encircle and defeat Germany. At Roosevelt's and the Jews' insistence, England and France guaranteed the boundaries of Poland in order to encircle Germany and renew Jewish control. This guarantee of the boundaries of Poland was the direct cause of World War II, it knowingly necessitated it." (War! War! War!, by Cincinnatus, pp. 188-189)

6. After the publication of Gerald K. Smith's book, Senator McCarthy died under mysterious and suspicious circumstances. Several American papers, at the time, hinted openly that he was murdered.

7. The Central Committee of the Petersburg Branch of the Israelite International League.

8. Contre-Revolution, Edited at Geneva by Leon de Poncins, September, 1911; The Rulers of Russia, Denis Fahey, pp. 40-42.

9. Isaiah lx.11.

10. Revelation 21:24-27.

11. Revelation 22:14-15.

12. U.S. Congressional Record Monday, May 29, 1933 the Hon. Louis T. McFadden of Pennsylvania: "In The United States today, the gentiles have slips of paper while the Jews have the gold and lawful money"

13. "The Christians are always singing about the blood. Let us give them enough of it! Let us cut their throats and drag them over the altar! And let them drown in their own blood! I dream of the day when the last priest is

strangled on the guts of the last preacher." (Jewish Chairman of the American Communist Party, Gus Hall).

**End of Part 3**



## **Steven Books**

**League Enterprises  
Suite 3, 3<sup>rd</sup>. Floor  
148 Cambridge Heath Road  
London  
E1 5QJ**

For books by identity authors –  
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter  
and Richard Porter plus many  
other subjects and difficult to  
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

# **Contact us for details of audio tapes and articles by:-**

**Dr. Wesley A. Swift**

**Rev. Dr. Bertrand Comparet**

**Rev. William Gale**

**Captain K. R. McKilliam**

**Pastor Don Campbell**



**THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE  
CHURCH**

**CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN**

**At last the bible makes sense!**

**At last we know its meaning.**

**Its the book of the RACE**

