

# The New Ensign

No. 39 November 2012

This publication is for private circulation only



# Calling The True Israel Peoples

# Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader,

## The Heresy Of “Adoption,”

**T**HE word “adoption” as used in the scriptures is an incorrect translation of the Greek word, “*uihothesia*.” In Greek, *uihothesia* does NOT mean “adoption” but “placing as a son” according to the law of the family as practiced by the patriarchs and prophets. “Placing as a son” has NOTHING to do with taking people who are not descendants of Israel and “grafting them into Israel.” The “placing as a son” has the specific connotation of conferring upon an Israelite son his birthright as a son, giving him his position of sonship in the power structure of the family.

The grafting in is merely taking those Israelites who were cast out of the covenant and grafting them back into Christ. That is Christ redeeming Israel. He is redeeming what once was his, taking it back to himself. That is the meaning of “redemption.” It is presenting a bill to get your goods back. They key is the goods were already yours to begin with. This grafting in never had anything to do with taking foreign races of people and joining them to the vine. There is nothing in scripture to support that false claim of inter-racial adoption and plenty to refute it.

Without any authority from the scripture, false teachers have taken this word, “*uihothesia*” and translated it as “adoption” and then further stipulated, without a single scriptural authority,

that this “redefining” of the word means that any one of any race can be “adopted” into the covenant. Blarney! The Greek language cannot be changed to suit the fancy of translators with an agenda. **Either words have a specific meaning or they don’t.** If they don’t, then anything goes. If words don’t have a specific meaning, then my bagel is actually a toaster. This is what the translators are doing with their use of the word, “adoption.” **They are reversing the meaning of a word to its opposite.** Placing of an Israelite as a son and heir is changed to adoption of a foreigner as an Israelite. “Translators are traitors” is an appropriate old saw in view of this.

This all is proven and cannot be refuted as we see in Romans 9:4-10

**Rom 9:4** *Who are Israelites; to whom pertaineth the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service of God, and the promises;*

Notice Paul says only Israelites pertain to the “adoption” which should read, “*to whom pertaineth the placing as sons of the glory and covenants and the giving of the law....*”

Notice, this “adoption” applies only to those who are literal Israelites, or descendants of Jacob Israel.

**Rom 9:5** *Whose are the fathers, and of whom as concerning the flesh Christ came, who is over all, God blessed for ever. Amen.*

## Contents

Page

<b>What Follows The Gospel - Arnold Kennedy</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>The Exclusive Covenants of Covenant - Pastor Eli James</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Harold Stough Notes - Who Was Shakespeare (Part 4)</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>Walt Disney’s Secret</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Events Surrounding The declaration of Papal Infallibility</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Brought to Our Knees - John Trotter</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>The Two Seeds of Genesis 3:15 (Part 3)- Lee Mange</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Thomas Edison and The Jews</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>Letters and Views</b>	<b>37</b>

**Rom 9:6** *Not as though the word of God hath taken none effect. For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel:*

**Rom 9:7** *Neither, because they are the seed of Abraham, are they all children: but, In Isaac shall thy seed be called.*

Paul further clarifies that not even all the seed of Abraham can enter the covenant and be placed as sons: only those who are descended from Isaac:

**Rom 9:8** *That is, They which are the children of the flesh, these are not the children of God: but the children of the promise are counted for the seed.*

Just because someone is a descendant of Abraham does not make him the seed; but only the children of the promise (made to Isaac) can be counted for the seed.

Notice there is nothing here about a false doctrine of “spiritual Israel” wherein anyone of any race can “become” of Israel. Rather, Paul clarifies that one must be a descendant of Isaac, born through his bloodline, to inherit sonship, further explained in verses 9 and 10 below:

**Rom 9:9** *For this is the word of promise, At this time will I come, and Sara shall have a son.*

**Rom 9:10** *And not only this; but when Rebecca also had conceived by one, even by our father Isaac;*

The false doctrine that anyone who professes belief in Christ “becomes” the seed of Israel is absolutely refuted by Paul in these passages. The word “seed” means “offspring.” Again, false teachers try to change the meaning of a word to the OPPOSITE of its definition to justify their false teaching. **They teach that seed IS NOT seed.** Talk about doublethink! **To say that someone who is not the seed of Isaac is the “spiritual seed” of Isaac is simply to redefine the phrase “seed of Isaac” or “seed of Abraham” to mean, “NOT the seed of Isaac” or “NOT the seed of Abraham.”**

Furthermore, Romans 9:7 states, “.....In Isaac shall thy seed be called.” There is only one group of people on the entire earth who have EVER been called by the name of Isaac, and that is the Anglo-Saxons. The term “Saxons” is from “Isaacssons.” This is an irrefutable historical proof and no other people have ever borne the name of Isaac.

The Anglo-Saxons, and no other people, are the children of the promise. They are the seed of Abraham who will be placed as sons, those who believe.

Men cling tenaciously to their false beliefs because of pride and the love of their personal delusions. They will cling in spite of the fact that their beliefs run counter to scripture and common sense. The covenant Yahweh made with Israel is a familial covenant, one of Marriage and of Father to son, not Father to foreigner. **To say otherwise is to deny the entire Old Testament and to call all the prophets and patriarchs liars.** None of them ever envisioned bringing Negroes, Chinese, Indians, or Eskimos into the covenant, because the covenant was never made with Negroes, Chinese, Indians, or Eskimos.



The covenant was made with Isaac and Jacob Israel, both of whom were the seed of Abraham. It is a promise for their children, not a promise for someone else's children to take the place of theirs.

Even though Esau was an Israelite he and his children after him were removed forever from the covenant and the promises, thus Yahweh said, “I will have mercy on whom I will have mercy, and I will have compassion on whom I will have compassion.” [Romans 9:15] Only a select group of Israelites will be placed as sons. Just being an Israelite by blood is not enough; yet one **MUST** be an Israelite by blood to enter the covenant.

If men would search the scriptures they would not be led astray into blaspheming the covenant and trying to confer it upon every foreign “professor of faith” who have no lawful claim to it.

**Editor**

[thenewsign@gmail.com](mailto:thenewsign@gmail.com)

**This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.**

# What Follows The Gospel? (Part 2)

Arnold Kennedy



## SO, WHAT ABOUT THE “ENTERING IN”?

**Heb.4:5** *“And in this place again, If they shall enter into my rest. Seeing therefore it remaineth that some must enter therein, and they to*

*whom it was first preached entered not in because of unbelief” - “Let us labour therefore to enter into that rest, lest any man fall after the same example of unbelief”.*

**F**ALL? Yes! What was required in the wilderness was to follow the cloud when it moved...it led them on a journey to a time called “this day”, as mentioned earlier. There came a later time when there was no cloud to follow. From then it was, “If ye will obey” - what were they to obey? It was the mishpat, the mitzvah and the choq. (We will look at the different components of the Law in due course).

So who only can “enter in”? It can only be those who came out. The whole Book of Hebrews is in the context of only this one people. The identity of the wife cannot change from that given through Scripture. It is still the Israelite people. The Law was given only to the Israelites as a covenant. It was Israelites who came out from Egypt. See these New Testament confirmations of who these people still are.

**Acts 10:36** *The word which God sent unto the children of Israel, preaching peace by Jesus Christ:*

**Acts 13:32-33** *And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again.*

The “us their children” is the genetic/racial line, and “The children of Israel” does not include foreigners. Israelites alone have the opportunity to “enter in”. So in today’s world, just as there

was when the Israelites were in the wilderness, there was a racially mixed multitude. There is the same in the churches today. None of the foreigners could attend the tabernacle in the wilderness, nor could they enter into the Promised Land. So should they enter our assemblies now? The promises made to the Israelites simply were not made to foreigners, and so cannot be fulfilled in them. Judge for yourselves whether or not foreigners should be meeting with Israelites.

## CULTURE

The popular church has developed some weird phrases, such as “cultural accommodation”, and “cultural ancestor worship by many races, praying to the dead and singing, “How Great Thou Art”, almost in the same breath.

awareness” that parallel the secular “cultural sensitivity”. Some things are acceptable today church-wise, and some more gross things may be acceptable tomorrow by “Christians”, some of whom are still in their wildernesses, with more having never come through the “door”.

- 1. Gaelic French who are frog and snail eaters, worshipping Mary.**
- 2. Samoans where incest is part of their culture, even in churches.**
- 3. Red Indians dancing around totem poles, then singing hymns.**
- 4. Koreans eating dogs, and then praying all night.**
- 5. Cambodians with cruel superstitious medical practises.**
- 6. Chinese serving aborted babies as a dish in restaurants.**
- 7. Caucasian people eating swine’s flesh, even when in the “church”.**

What is so wrong with those things?

**Deut. 23:18** *Thou shalt not bring the hire of a whore, or the price of a dog, into the house of the LORD thy God for any vow: for even both these are abomination unto the LORD thy God.*

**Is 66.17** *They that sanctify themselves, and purify themselves in the gardens behind one tree*

*in the midst, eating swine's flesh, and the abomination, and the mouse, shall be consumed together, saith the LORD.*

“Shall be” means “shall be consumed” without exceptions. To try to eliminate the prohibition about eating swine's flesh by saying, “We are not under the Law” would mean the Book of Isaiah would also have to be eliminated. This is not Isaiah's only reference. Mixing cultures is like trying to worship God and Baal at the same time. The Roman Catholic Church specialises in this.

Yes, amongst church members are Israelites brought out by God in love and mercy indeed, but progressing no further, never entering in. It is one thing to “come out”, but it is another thing to “enter in”. Non-Israelites can never “enter in”, even if they travel with Israelites.

#### **HOW DOES THE MARRIAGE ANALOGY HELP UNDERSTAND OF NEW TESTAMENT DOCTRINE?**



If we were to draw an imaginary vertical line between the single and married positions, we can more easily see how some passages fit the single side, and some fit the married side of the line. Consider the following passage

that is one that confuses many people.

**Acts 15:24** *Forasmuch as we have heard, that certain which went out from us have troubled you with words, subverting your souls, saying, Ye must be circumcised, and keep the law: to whom we gave no such commandment.*

Here we find Paul and Barnabas going out on an evangelical trip to Antioch and Syria and Cilicia. Were they going to the single side of the line, or to the married side? Being an evangelistic mission to the single side, there is no suggestion about first imposing Law keeping. Those who said (and say) there was such necessity are rightly said to be subverting people's souls. The only restrictions asked for

were not to do certain things that might offend the religious beliefs of the hearers. Thus condemning those who were saying, “Ye must be circumcised, and keep the law: to whom we gave no such commandment” is absolutely correct on the “single” side of the line. But this in no way suggests there is no place for the Law of God as being applicable to the “married” side of the line.

We find the same position in the 21st chapter of Acts. Here in the 21st verse we can see the signals being wrongly read as is done today, in the words, “And they are informed of thee”, where “informed” means that they thought that Paul was falsely “charming” (GR) the hearers to “forsake Moses”. The Judaisers considered acts such as being circumcised or being baptized were the entry points, rather than faith in Jesus' sacrifice. The heart of man is purified by faith, not by law-keeping or a Law Covenant.

Acts 15:8, “And God, which knoweth the hearts, bare them witness, giving them the Holy Ghost, even as he did unto us; And put no difference between us and them, purifying their hearts by faith”.

Obedience to Law came later. It then gives the following “right”.

**Rev. 22:14** *Blessed are they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life,*

And so all the Scriptures which are used to suggest God's Law is invalidated are resolved in a similar way by investigation whether they apply to evangelisation (the “single” side of the line) or to the “married” position.

#### **WHAT IS THE EFFECT OF NOT UNDERSTANDING WHERE GOD'S LAW APPLIES?**

Non-Israelites tend towards ethnic churches. God's Law prohibits foreigners ruling over Israelites. Is that not what Korean, Chinese, Negro and other foreign pastors seek to do in our country? So what do their churches have to confine their teaching to? It is about life in the wilderness. They have to avoid the Law of God, because they could not become “married” even if they wanted to. They cannot accept “married” responsibility, and so they make up a doctrine

about God's Law being done away with. That also is what most denominations have to do too, because they are racially mixed. The non-Israelite cannot possibly comprehend anything further about the Kingdom of Heaven (over Israelites –Acts 1:6). Why not? Because when speaking to His Israelite disciples, Jesus gave us the reason, "Because it is given unto you to know the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given". It simply is not given to foreigners to know these mysteries, even if they may benefit by submitting to the moral Law of God.

Maybe some might now get a glimpse of what the present world-wide campaign against racism is about. Only Caucasian people can be attacked as being "racist"! What race is the anointed race that has the bands and cords of the Law of God?

Psalm 2:2, "Why do the heathen rage, and the people imagine a vain thing? The kings of the earth set themselves, and the rulers take counsel together, against the **LORD**, and against his anointed, saying, Let us break their bands asunder, and cast away their cords from us".

Chiefly racist charges are made against people of Caucasian stock; although other white people suffer such attacks. In the United Kingdom, people are encouraged to say they are "British" as a national term, but it is said to be illegal to claim they are "English", as a racial term. The "Kings of the earth" are the authorities of World Government under their Noahite Laws, and their version of "Human Rights".

### **BACK TO "GRACE AND LAW"**

It is popular to hear said that when "saved" we are no longer under the "works of the Law". But the subject here is justification in every one of the verses where this phrase is found-(Rom. 9:32, Gal. 2:14-16, 3:2-10). The record ("handwriting") of past sins (breaking the "ordinances") that was held against us is actively removed. When forgiven we are no longer subject to the wrath that the Law works for having previously transgressed that Law. This in no way hints that God's Law is somehow done away with from that point on in regard to future moral actions.

Differing gospel understandings engage what happens after we are justified purely through

the grace and forgiveness of God. As sin is defined as "the transgression of the Law", then after justification, in Paul's words, "Can we continue in sin that grace may abound?" That is the question. Do we have to repent or not? Does not the word "repent" signify a change of heart from being a law-breaker to become a law-keeper? Do we have to agree with Jesus in, "Nay: but, except ye repent, ye shall all likewise perish"? That is, there must be a complete change of mind.



Most denominations say in effect that we can continue to transgress the Law after being justified, and Paul says with emphasis that we cannot, "continue in sin that grace may abound". That matter of law transgression is the essence of the difference in what people believe about what follows the "Gospel".

### **BACK TO THE "MARRIAGE" ANALOGY**

Those who know that a wedded woman has promised to obey, what to obey is where people have differing understandings. Here we are all learners, or should be. As long as we hold a wrong stance about loving to obey the One who loves us and died for us, we will never have any understanding. The answer is in the subject and heart attitude as seen through Psalm 119. True, no one can keep the Law perfectly, because we do not know it perfectly. That is why we still need God's mercy, but what happens when we sin wilfully about what we do know?

Heb. 10:26. For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, But a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries. He that despised Moses' law died without mercy under two or three witnesses: Of how much sorer punishment, suppose ye, shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the Spirit of grace? For we know him that hath said, Vengeance belongeth unto me, I will recompense, saith the

Lord. And again, The Lord shall judge his people. It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.

Has anyone heard this at a church lately - or ever?

What happened to the Israelite people”? Have a good think about Heb. 10:26, and then consider the continuation of the Matthew 5:17 passage above, where Jesus goes on to say:

“Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments, and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven”.



Those who are meeting with people or churches who “teach men so”, need to review their association with those people or churches, even if their teaching of the first part about the grace of God may be right for the “unmarried” person.

The churches today are almost totally lawless, and it must be questioned if they understand the “marriage” commitment implicit in, “Jesus is Lord”. Love and faith, love and obedience are always joined together in Scripture. If we separate these, we “miss the bus”. As 1 John 2:4 says, “He that saith, I know him, and keepeth not his commandments, is a liar, and the truth is not in him”. And all such liars will burn, even if they have attended church every Sunday for years!

What God requires is an attitude of living willingness to learn. But it is an individual thing because each person is at a different stage. In this we must avoid group conformity and group control. To learn we need to all have an attitude, and a crying out, as that below from Psalm 119:

Teach me thy statutes. Make me to understand the way of thy precepts: so shall I talk of thy wondrous works. Teach me, O LORD, the way

of thy statutes; and I shall keep it unto the end. “Statutes” are about legal things. Why want to understand? It is so we can please the One who gave Himself for us, that we might walk in newness of His Life.

Churches talk about a strange “Kingdom” where the laws of that Kingdom have no place. The Jehovah Witnesses busy themselves with the reverse – Kingdom Law without Love. Seventh-day Adventists think keeping one day and their own version of dietary laws are more important than all else.

### SO WHAT ABOUT ATTITUDES?

Note the references to, “law”, “commandments” and “testimony” in the verses below. Note again what the emphasised “and” joins together.

Open thou mine eyes, that I may behold wondrous things out of thy law. I have declared my ways, and thou heardest me:

Make me to go in the path of thy commandments; for therein do I delight. Incline my heart unto thy testimonies, and not to covetousness.

And he that keepeth his commandments dwelleth in him, and he in him. And hereby we know that he abideth in us, by the Spirit which he hath given us.

And the dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, AND have the testimony of Jesus Christ.

Here is the patience of the saints: here are they that keep the commandments of God, AND the faith of Jesus. Blessed are they that do his commandments, that they may have right to the tree of life, and may enter in through the gates into the city.

The churches in general teach something different. Look through the book of Malachi.... it has much about “but ye say”. Nothing changes here overall in the way ‘Christians’ live, does it? Churches now are mostly “but ye say” institutions, with no understanding of the wedlock concept and what God says about it. That is one reason why nothing “happens”.

Yes, there are all sorts of seeming word problems. People bandy words about without knowing what they mean. Can they tell the difference between, “sin”, “iniquity” and “transgression”? Do they understand that there is a difference between “salvation” and “redemption”, and what applies to whom? Do they have any idea there are differences between, “commandments”, “my charges”, “laws”, “judgments”, “ordinances”, “precepts”, “testimonies”, and “statutes”? These are not synonyms (except where translators have mixed them up). In recording how the Israelites were “saved” when God brought them out from Egypt, gives understanding how the word “saved” and “salvation” should be properly applied. Being “saved” did not mean that Israel had “entered in”, did it?

There are probably hundreds of books that have been written on this subject of the Law and Grace, but each of us has to give his own account to God in the end. All of us can only each do what God has shown us individually as we are seeking, and that is what is required of us as learners (disciples). As Isaiah says, “Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read: no one of these shall fail”. There is no “finding” without seeking in a very active way.

But there is a serious consequence if we do not “seek”:

**Amos 5:4** *Seek the LORD, and ye shall live; lest he break out like fire in the house of Joseph, and devour it, and there be none to quench it.*

**Zeph 2:3** *Seek ye the LORD, all ye meek of the earth, which have wrought his judgment; seek righteousness, seek meekness: it may be ye shall be hid in the day of the LORD’S anger.*

**Matthew 6:33**, “*But seek ye first the kingdom of God, and his righteousness; and all these things shall be added unto you*”, is considered by churches to be an optional matter that is about obtaining “blessings” or “rewards”, rather than one of escaping judgment if they do not do this.

Now we can look at more technical aspects of the “way”. In this we will depart from the KJV in places, using more accurate word meanings and translations.

## WHAT DOES “**RIGHTEOUSNESS EXCEEDING THAT OF THE PHARISEES**” MEAN? (**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO R.K. PHILLIPS OF SYDNEY, AUSTRALIA HERE**).



Jesus said that unless our righteousness (that is, our keeping of the Law) exceeds that of the scribes and the Pharisees, we shall in no wise enter into the Kingdom of The Heavens.

This word righteousness was originally spelt rightwiseness, or, as we might put it today, right-mindedness. It includes keeping God’s Laws, but it is unattainable just by obedience to laws, or by works, or by any other condition than that of complete faith and belief in Jesus Anointed. It can be attained only by that conscious act of both mind and spirit – which is called faith and by submitting oneself to its control.

### **Keeping the Law**


In Rom 6:6, Paul speaks of sin as being organised force acting through the members of our body. The seat of sin is in the Will, for which our fleshly body is the organ used for committing it. But the origin of sin is doubt and disbelief of God. Doubt or disbelief comes the Will to disobey, and then the action through the members of our body. Faith and belief is the ‘seed of God’s Spirit’, which is also located in our Will, and acts through the members of our body. And God has given us freedom of Will to choose which one we will follow – as Paul states in Rom 6:16-23.

But when confronted with these facts, Christians usually say, “Oh, we are not under the Law, we are under Grace.” Well then, if Christians are free from keeping the Law, does that mean they are at liberty to violate the Law? And to go unpunished when they do? Because this is what Christians are saying and also doing. For the alternative to Law is not Grace, but lawlessness.

If Christians are free to violate the Law because they are under Grace, then this gift of Grace

becomes license to sin, for sin is simply violation of the Laws of God – any one of them.

Nowhere in Scripture is it stated that a Christian is free from the need to obey the Law. Now while Paul does state that Christians are not under bondage to the Law (Rom 6:14) we should note that the Law about which Paul is talking is that Levitical Law which was rendered obsolete, by the death of Jesus, along with the Aaronic priesthood that administered it. Paul was not talking about the Law of Deuteronomy, because, in His Sermon on the Mount (Matt 5, 6 & 7) Jesus states, that “Till Heaven and Earth pass away, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the Law till all be fulfilled” (Matt 5:18).



Therefore, the Law of Deuteronomy must continue on right through the next (Kingdom) Age. For Jesus states (in this Sermon) that those Laws will be the Laws of the Kingdom of God on the earth; and that the position of Christians in that Kingdom will be determined by their attitude to those Laws during this present Age (Matt 5:17-20).

What then does this exceeding righteousness mean? Perhaps a modern illustration will clarify this point. Let us suppose that some authority had the power to grant exemption from the financial penalties of the traffic laws to the best one thousand motorists because of their exemplary driving, road courtesy and strict observance of the traffic code. Those one thousand drivers would, in effect, have been given exemption from being fined for breaches of the traffic laws. Having gained this honour, would those drivers then consider themselves free to violate every traffic law in existence and expect to continue to do so with impunity? They most certainly would not; rather would they strive to observe the rules of the road even more

carefully lest they lose their honoured position and someone else take their place.

However, should a Christian falter and violate a law, then a physical penalty has to be paid just as any one of those exempted motorists would have to pay in physical suffering, or for the cost of car repairs, if he was involved in any accident which he caused by failure to observe a traffic law. There are no exemptions from the physical consequences of breaking the Law, for either Christian or motorist. But Jesus has offered to act as an Advocate with the Father on behalf of the Christian, to save him from the spiritual consequences of disobedience (eternal death) – provided that Christian is penitent, and strives to go on keeping the Law.

How low has the modern Christian fallen when he claims he is not under bondage to any Law? And also that he is free to violate all laws – health, military, marriage and economic – to his heart’s content. He even claims, in spite of the evidence to the contrary all around him, that he is also free from the consequences of such disobedience. Not only that, but he quotes, or rather misquotes, every passage of Scripture which seems to support his claims to sin with impunity.

If we do not have to obey the Law, why is it that God states in Jer 31:33 and Hebrews 8:10,11 that He will make a New Covenant and write His Laws in our hearts? What is the point if we do not have to obey them?

Paul states, in Romans 6:14,15 – Sin shall not have dominion over you for ye are not under the law (in bondage) but under Grace (free from the Spiritual penalties). What then? Shall we sin (violate the law) because we are not under the law but under Grace? God forbid.

In Galatians 2:19 Paul said that he was dead to the Law, and in Galatians 3:19 he said, “why serve the Law that was added?” What Law was he talking about? It can’t be those that are to be written in our hearts for evermore. The Laws that Paul said he was dead to, and not to serve, were the Levitical Laws which were added at Sinai because of the transgressions of those Commandments, Statutes and laws which Gen 26:5 states that Abraham kept (and would teach his descendants).

**To be continued**

# The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant

## Documenting The Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation

### By Pastor Eli James



**“Behold it [Israel] is a people that shall DWELL ALONE.” - Numbers 23:9**

*“For thou art an holy people unto Yahweh, your*

*Elohim: Yahweh your Elohim hath chosen thee to be a special people upon Himself, above all the people that are upon the face of the earth.”* – Deut. 7:6.

*Hear, ye deaf; and look, ye blind, that ye may see. Who is blind, but my servant? or deaf, as my messenger that I sent? who is blind as he that is perfect (Heb. shalam, safe, comfortable, at peace), and blind as the LORD's servant? Seeing many things, but thou observest not; opening the ears, but he heareth not. – Isa. 42:18-20.*

*For there are many unruly and vain talkers and deceivers, especially they of the circumcision: Whose mouths must be stopped, who subvert whole houses, teaching things which they ought not for filthy lucre's sake...wherefore rebuke them sharply...not giving heed to Jewish fables and commandments of men, that turn from the truth. - Titus 1: 10-14.*

## INTRODUCTION

**T**HE two leading Bible-based theologies in the world today are Judaism and Judeo-Christianity. The systemic errors of these two theologies must be exposed before the Truth can be understood. Even though both of these theologies claim to adhere to the teachings of the Bible, this is not the case. A brief historical survey of how these two theologies came about will first be presented in order to provide an idea of the vastness of the deception that has occurred. Once the true Christian appreciates how these two priesthoods have distorted the Holy Scriptures for filthy

lucre's sake, only then can the unlearning of false ideas begin.

## JUDAISM

Judaism is based on two gigantic lies: 1.) that Judaism is the religion of the Old Testament, and 2.) that the Jewish people are the Israelites of the Bible. Contrary to Judaism's public claims about its own origins, the fact is that many rabbis, Jewish historians and encyclopedias admit that the true basis of Judaism is not the Bible at all. The true source of Jewish theology is the Talmud.

The Talmud is a mere collection of commentaries on the Bible and other subjects. The rabbis claim that the Talmud is the “oral tradition” of Moses, which was supposedly passed down by “Jewish scribes.” Who these people were, the rabbis cannot say. It is a myth. There was no such tradition. The idea is nothing but rabbinical invention, for the purpose of elevating themselves above the very Scriptures they pretend to uphold. The “oral tradition” is the best explanation the rabbis have for this false tradition. Deut. 4:2 explicitly states that no person has the authority to contradict the written Law of Moses. Hence, any commentaries, which claim to have authority over the written laws of the Bible, are fraudulent, by the Bible's own standards. The fact is that the Talmud contradicts virtually every law that Moses recorded, so the Talmud is nothing but a mountain of lies heaped up against Scripture. Despite public pronouncements declaring Judaism's faithfulness to the Torah, in the eyes of the Jewish rabbi, the Talmud supercedes the Torah. This is why Yahshua condemns rabbinism as “the tradition of the elders” which makes “the law of none effect.” (Matthew 15:6 & all of chapter 23.)

The ethnicity of the Jewish people is also a matter of deception. The Bible is clearly written to a specific group of people, whom Yahweh refers to as His “chosen.” The Chosen People are clearly identified in Scripture by their appearance, characteristics, historical exploits and prophetic achievements. The fact is that the

Jewish people fit absolutely none of these identifying marks.


The Israelites were repeatedly told to remain separate and aloof from all other races and cultures. Contrary to this dictum, the Jews have always insinuated themselves into other peoples lands, having never built a city or country of their own. Like the cuckoo bird, the Jews nest in other people's nests. The Israelites were warned repeatedly that, should they fraternize with other races and cultures, they would lose the very "chosenness" by which He had jealously guarded them. "Learn not the way of the heathen" is the Biblical command. The Israelites were forbidden to circumcise non-Israelites. This was true from Jacob down to the Maccabees, who refused to circumcise non-Israelites. Contrary to the law of separation, the Jews have always taken converts of all races. This is why the Jews are the most miscegenated people on the face of the earth. As you will see from the evidence presented herein, this fact automatically disqualifies them as Yahweh's chosen.

All Jewish scholars admit that up to 95% of modern Jews are a non-Shemitic people, namely the Ashkenazi branch of Jewry, which is descended from the Khazar nation, which **CONVERTED** to Judaism around 740 AD. These Khazars have never had a single Hebrew, Israelite or Shemitic ancestor. Hence, this branch of Jewry has no right to claim Israelite ancestry, much less the land of Palestine.

The other five percent of modern Jews are of Sephardic ancestry. These people are also non-Israelites, but they are the originators of the religion called Judaism. It was the scribes and Pharisees who originated Talmudic doctrine just before the days of Jesus Christ. The New Testament provides ample proof that their doctrine and ethnicity are suspect. The four Gospels condemn their religion on every page, but few Christians are aware of this, because the theology of Judeo-Christianity has withheld this information from them and because most Christians don't read their Bibles, except for the verses selected for them by their Judaized priesthood.

Although the Pharisees began as a Judahite cult during the Maccabean era, the tides of war began to influence their loyalty to Israel. Successive invasions of the land of Judah, which was a

racially homogenous nation, divided the nation into sects of dubious loyalty. The Maccabees were the most loyal to the Hebrew traditions, and they maintained their ethnic and theological purity until King John Hyrcanus violated the Mosaic Law by circumcising the males of Idumea. This event occurred in the year 121 BC. These Idumeans were the racially mixed descendants of Esau, the perpetual enemy of Judah and Israel. By circumcising these Edomites and incorporating them into the nation of Judah, Hyrcanus opened the floodgates to subversion by these Edomites.



This subversion began with Antipater (left), the "advisor" of John Hyrcanus. As part of his subversive activities, Antipater also financed the Roman occupation of Judea, having bribed Julius Caesar for the favor of installing his son,

Herod, as the governor of Galilee. The Judahite historian, Josephus, records all of this treachery in his books, *The Antiquities of Judah* and *The Wars of Judah*. Scholars have been duped into believing that the works of Josephus are the works of the "Jews." But Josephus clearly distinguishes between the true Judahites versus the invading Edomites. Once the reader is aware that an impersonation is going on, the text becomes immediately clear. Josephus has documented all of the treachery of the Edomites against True Judah. This is the main theme of the "Antiquities," especially regarding the activities of Antipater, Herod, and the brief Herodian dynasty which lasted until 70 AD. It was the Edomite Herodians, in partnership with the largely Edomite sect of the Pharisees, who executed Christ.

As Josephus records, after Herod assassinated the last true Judahite King of Judah, Hyrcanus II, he assassinated the entire Sanhedrin and replaced them with his Edomite cronies. This "reconstituted" Sanhedrin, which contained a smattering of Judahites, such as Nicodemus and Joseph of Arimathea, was, in fact, an alien outfit, created by Herod to impose Herod's pagan religion upon the people of Judah. These historical facts provide an entirely different perspective on the events of the four Gospels. This explains why Herod and the Pharisees were so hostile to Jesus. They were NOT His

kinsmen. “Ye are not My sheep,” Jesus told them. They were usurpers who fully understood that Yahshua was the true claimant to the throne of Judah. Yahshua exposed their treachery at every turn, but modern Judeo-Christian scholarship simply ignores the historical record in favor of the “gospel of personal salvation,” or “the gospel of prosperity,” while eschewing the historical significance of the four Gospels.

Once all of these facts are known, it becomes abundantly clear that the religion of Judaism is an Edomite religion masquerading as Israelite religion. And the Jewish people are non-Israelites masquerading as Israel. The Bible itself predicted that all of this would happen. For proof of this, please see my essay, “The Marks of Israel,” which documents the prophesied and fulfilled exploits of the children of Israel, who are today’s Caucasian Race.

The Edomites of the Bible are the Jews of today. By their own admission: “Edom is in modern Jewry.” – Jewish Encyclopedia, 1905 edition.

## JUDEO-CHRISTIANITY



“An appalling and horrible thing has happened in the land: the prophets prophesy falsely, and the priests rule at their discretion; MY PEOPLE LOVE TO HAVE IT SO. But what will ye do when the end comes?” – Jer. 5:30-31.

The Apostle Paul, at II Thes. 2, declared that, just before the Second Coming, Christian Israel would abandon the true Faith. The KJV describes it as a “falling away.” Astute theologians call it the Great Apostasy. Because Judeo-Christianity ignores its historical and racial roots, modern “Christianity” has abandoned the precious chosenness of True Israel. Hence, like Judaism, Judeo-Christianity is also based on two enormous lies: 1.) that the Covenants made exclusively with Israel were transmitted to “believers” or to some “church” organization, and 2.) the promises made to True Israel can be extrapolated to all non-Israelite peoples. This miracle is somehow accomplished by simply “accepting the Lord into your heart.” The fact is that the Bible denies both of these

propositions, as this essay will prove with abundant evidence.

The universalization of the Bible began with the Catholic Church. The word ‘catholic’ means “universal.” But the Bible nowhere authorizes such universalization. The reformers of the Protestant Reformation realized that the Catholic Church was riddled with false doctrine. That is why Luther and others referred to Catholicism as “Popery” and condemned the Catholic Church as the “anti-Christ” of their time. Unfortunately, the Reformation has been likewise subverted by universalistic doctrine, to such an alarming extent that Protestantism is today more catholic than the Pope. This is the Great Apostasy foreseen by Paul. (II Thes. 2.) It is also the “false prophet” of Revelation.

Completely lost, whether ignored or deliberately obfuscated, in modern “Judeo-Christianity” is the eternal Covenant relationship that Yahweh God has established with True Israel. The Bible is absolutely clear about this Covenant relationship. It will exist forever and it cannot be revoked. Paul affirms this at Gal. 3:17. “And this I say, that the COVENANT, that was confirmed before God in Christ, the law, which was four hundred and thirty years after, cannot disannul, that it should make the promise of none effect.” Paul is simply stating that the Mosaic Law had nothing to do with the unbreakable Covenant relationship that Yahweh had established exclusively with the descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. Law or no Law, the promises and covenants cannot be annulled. Paul is asserting the exact opposite of what the Judeo-Christians have falsely assumed, namely that Paul is supposedly asserting that the law has been “done away with.” A full analysis of what Paul is really saying in Chapter 3 of the book of Galatians will be presented later.

Before that can be done, the reader must fully understand the eternal and exclusive nature of the Covenants, promises and inheritance of the Old Testament, none of which can be transferred to any other people, and none of which have ever been abolished. The fact is that Paul confirms the racial covenants. He never denies them.

### Part 1: The Exclusive, Racial Covenants of the Old Testament

The numerous distortions of biblical passages will now be revealed as being a combination of

Jewish perversions of the Torah, plus Judeo-Christian distortions of the Gospel. Jesus said, "All things will be revealed." Yahweh said "I will choose your delusions." He has allowed True Israel to fall into a state of amnesia, precisely because we have refused to obey His laws. Hence, we have fallen into a stupor of denial, just as a drunkard collapses into the gutter, so drunk that he no longer knows who he is. Yahweh does not intend to allow True Israel to be kept in the dark forever. The Scriptures clearly state that Israel will awaken out of his delusion just before the Second Coming, so that we will realize the magnitude of our sin and repent before the return of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ.



The very first thing that must be realized is that the Hebrew word, AWDAMM, means "to show blood in the face." This literally means "to be able to blush." Only the White Adamic race has ever had this ability. Hence, Gen. 1:26-27 is declaring that only the White Race was made in the image of Elohim. The other races were already created at Gen. 1:24-25, as the "beast of the earth." This includes the Orientals, the Blacks, the Amerindians and all non-Whites. As will be shown, the Covenants were continuously narrowed down to an ever smaller group of people, from the Adamites down to Noah's eight souls. From Noah, the Covenants were transmitted, exclusively, through Abraham, Isaac and Jacob (Israel). Only the direct descendants of this genealogy were ever made a party to these promises and covenants. Note that a Covenant is a legal contract, which cannot be disannulled, just as Paul says. Yahweh has sworn by His own Name that these Covenants will never be altered or abolished.

Jonah 3:8 describes the fact that Nineveh had both "beasts" (non-Whites) and "men" (White Adamites), both of whom were dressed in sackcloth and repented of their sins. There is no such thing as a four-legged "beast" capable of repenting. Only two-legged non-Whites can perform what is required here.

Gen. 1:28-30 declares the Dominion Mandate, which is given exclusively to the Adamic Race and to no one else. History proves that the White Race has always been the Dominion Race, exactly as Yahweh had intended. Likewise, our current decline was prophesied. Fortunately, our eventual re-emergence as God's Chosen People was also prophesied; and nothing can stop this prophecy from being fulfilled.

It must be understood that Adam and Eve were NOT the first members of the White Race. Gen. 1:26-27 is declaring the CREATION OF THE RACE: "Male and female He created them." First the race was created. This is confirmed by Jesus Himself at Matt. 19:4. ("Male and female FROM THE BEGINNING.") Then, AFTER THE DAY OF REST, in Gen. 2, Yahweh selected two individuals of the White Race and breathed the breath of life into them. All of the races were created in Gen. 1, "kind after kind," bearing their own DNA, each kind carrying its own type of seed within itself (Gen. 1:11-12). As all scientists know, each species has its own seed, which is designed to replicate itself. This is the way Yahweh designed it; and that's the way it has always been. Nor will this ever change. The science of genetics utterly refutes the fable of evolutionism, which is a totally unscientific speculation about the origin of species.

Genesis 2 introduces the single man, Adam, and the individual woman, Eve. It is upon these two individual specimens of the already existing Adamic Race upon whom Yahweh graciously bestows potential physical immortality, so long as they obey His commandments. He specifically tells them that they are NOT to even touch the "trees" of the other races. Throughout Scripture, trees are metaphors for peoples and races. Therefore, the "tree of the knowledge of good and evil" is that particular group, living in the Garden of Eden, in which both good and evil exist. This cannot possibly be a literal tree, for no wooden trees have knowledge of good or evil. The plant species do not have such capacity. Only humanoids have such knowledge, so this commandment is a prohibition to Adam and Eve against mixing with any species that has knowledge of good and evil. This includes the already existing Adamic race, which was and is still mortal. Adam and Eve were specially selected to be immortal; but sin and immortality do not mix. This is why Yahweh told Adam and

Eve, “Do not touch the ‘tree’ that knows good and evil.” Their offspring were not supposed to know evil.

Satan perfectly understood that the creation of an immortal seedline would overthrow his rebellion (Rev. 12). Hence, he understood that the only way he could thwart Yahweh’s Plan to overthrow this rebellion was to adulterate Eve’s offspring, by seducing her. That is exactly what Gen. 3 is about. All of the punishments that were inflicted upon Adam and Eve in that chapter are sexual in nature, from covering their sexual organs with loincloths to Eve’s conception being cursed with exceptional pain. The resultant offspring of this seduction was Cain, while Abel was actually the son of Adam. Eve had a dual pregnancy (superfecundation) by two different males. Although superfecundation was rare among the Israelites, it is very common today, as more and more women have become promiscuous, sharing their beds with different men on different nights, and sometimes with men of different races. In this way, a woman can be pregnant by two different men at the same time.



Gen. 4:1 records Eve’s surprise at Cain’s appearance, where she says, “I have gotten a man (IYSH, not AWDAM) from Yahweh.” The meaning of the Hebrew word IYSH is “a male of any species.” Moreover, the root word from which IYSH derives is ENOSH, meaning “mortal.”

Eve was twice surprised: by Cain’s appearance and by the fact that she perceived he was a mortal. Given Yahweh’s promise that Adam and Eve would be immortal if they refrained from sin, Eve was very surprised that she would have produced a non-Adamic, mortal offspring, such as Cain.

The fact that Cain killed Abel is proof that there was something seriously wrong with Cain. We two-seedliners understand why: Adam was not Cain’s father. Nachash, the “serpent,” was Cain’s father. The “Serpent” having thus polluted Eve’s womb, Abel was also born a mortal; and Eve could no longer produce immortal offspring. She never got the chance, because Nachash impregnated her about the same time that Adam did. This is the true meaning of the FALL of Adam and Eve. Rather than ask Yahweh for a replacement, Adam chose to do what Eve did. He fraternized with the other races and apparently bore hybrid offspring as well. He should have asked Yahweh for a replacement for Eve; but this idea never occurred to him. Either that, or he loved Eve so much that he could not bear to lose her. Another possibility is that neither one of them were able to comprehend the consequences of their sin.

Gen. 5:1 records Adam’s satisfaction with Seth, Abel’s replacement, because Seth was born in Adam’s own image, just as the Adamic race was conceived in the image of Elohim. Since Cain was not Adam’s son, Cain was never even considered as part of Adam’s genealogy. Even the New Testament genealogy leaves Cain out. Had Cain been the elder son of Adam, he would have had the rights of the elder son over Seth. But since he was not Adam’s son, Cain had no such claim. (This theme will be repeated later, when Esau, the elder, despises his birthright, transferring it to Jacob-Israel, the younger.)

Although our species lost its potential immortality and fell into sinfulness, we did not lose our race-specific DNA. The law of kind after kind still applies, even though we fell back into mortality. We should not compound our sin by adulterating our seed ever again. And the Bible repeatedly commands us to avoid this sin, even though the Jews, in their subversiveness, and the Judeos, in their apostasy, constantly advise us to do the opposite.

Given this understanding of what really happened in the Garden, we can now proceed to relate the story of Yahweh’s Chosen People, Adamic Israel, and how we are commanded to remain separate and distinct from all other peoples. (NOTE: Paul’s admonition to avoid controversies about genealogies does not apply to biblical genealogies, as he was criticizing the Adamic Greeks (who were dispersed Israelites)

about THEIR false genealogies, which asserted descent from fictitious gods. The Israelites of the dispersion had become so paganized and Hellenized that they no longer practiced circumcision and they had adopted many Greek customs, such as claiming descent from the gods and goddesses of the Greek pantheon. Those genealogies have nothing to do with OUR genealogy. This will be proven later in our discussion of the pertinent New Testament pericopes.) The fact the New Testament opens

with the very detailed genealogy of Jesus Christ cannot be downplayed or ignored. His genetics cannot be ignored or criticized. Without these genetics, Yahshua could not have fulfilled His role as our Kinsman Redeemer. Also, without these genetics, we Israelites cannot achieve our destiny, which is to have restored to us the dominion and immortality which was lost by the sins of Adam and Eve.

**To be continued**



## Harold Stough Notes



### Who Was Shakespeare? (Part 4)

#### Bacon, the Concealed Poet

**T**HE Stratfordians and Oxfordians concede that Bacon fits all of the required criteria for the Shakespeare authorship. They have only one argument against Bacon. Actually it's not so much an argument as it is another erroneous assumption. They like to say that Bacon's writing style was too "stiff" or "stilted" to be consistent with the "Shakespearean style." But they are conveniently ignoring the fact that the "writing style" of Shakespeare is a deliberate mixture of styles which evolved over a span of nearly five decades. Trying to match the style of A Mid Summer Night's Dream with the style of Macbeth, or the style of Love's Labors Lost with the style of The Tempest is a matter of comparing apples to oranges. Bacon didn't adhere to just one standard writing style.

What makes Shakespeare SHAKESPEARE has less to do with an individual writing style and more to do with overall composition. The one constant that runs through all of the plays, in their various stages of experimentation, is the methodology with which they are carefully and coherently arranged. It is clear that the same mind that crafted Measure for Measure is the same mind that molded Timon of Athens. It's the ineffable guiding force of the "master's touch" that is at work in all of the Shakespearean plays.

All of the plays, from the earliest to the last, draw on consistent philosophical themes intended to

instruct the reader in lessons about nature both on the cosmic and human levels. Bacon designed the work more to be read than performed.

What Stratfordians and Oxfordians fail to acknowledge was that Bacon was able to shift his writing style from the left analytical side of his brain to the right creative side without breaking stride—thus, being capable of expressing the same thought in two distinctly different ways. The author Edwin A. Abbott wrote "His [Bacon's] style varied almost as much as his handwriting; but it was influenced more by the subject-matter than by youth or old age. Few men have shown equal versatility in adapting their language to the slightest change of circumstance and purpose. His style depended upon whether he was addressing a king, or a great nobleman, or a philosopher, or a friend; whether he was composing a state paper, magnifying the prerogative, extolling truth, discussing studies, exhorting a judge, sending a New Year's present, or sounding a trumpet to prepare the way for the kingdom of man over nature."\* It should also be noted that Bacon often wrote letters and speeches for others (especially Essex) perfectly mimicking both their writing style and handwriting.

Naturally, writing a scientific work such as the Novum Oranum required Bacon to resort to the more formal tone his detractors allude to. But they ignore the fact that Bacon's philosophical prose works received much praise from many

later poets who recognized the Shakespearean elements in his style. For example, the poet Gerald Massey noted “The philosophical writings of Bacon are suffused and saturated with Shakespeare’s thought.” The poet and essayist Alexander Smith wrote “He [Bacon] seems to have written his Essays with the pen of Shakespeare”—while the essayist and historian Thomas Carlyle proclaimed “There is an understanding manifested in the construction of Shakespeare’s plays equal to that in Bacon’s *Novum Organum*.”\* The true essence of Bacon’s Shakespearean style was the unique structure of the underlying thought and natural philosophy upon which it rested.



Beyond his Shakespeare circle, Bacon took great care to conceal the fact that he was a poet. In a letter to one of his good pens, John Davies of Hereford, Bacon writes “So desiring you to be good to concealed poets,

I continue, your very assured, FR. Bacon.” In the same vein, Bacon’s secretary Tobie Matthew wrote his master a letter in which he states (about Bacon) “The most prodigious wit that ever I knew though he be known by another”. Years later, John Aubrey described Bacon as “a good poet, but concealed.”

Great poets always recognize the genius of other great poets, even when they are concealed. With regard to Bacon the concealed poet, Percy Bysshe Shelley may have said it best: “Lord Bacon was a poet. His language has a sweet and majestic rhythm which satisfies the sense, no less than the almost super human wisdom of his philosophy satisfies the intellect. It is a strain which distends and then bursts the circumference of the reader’s mind, and pours itself forth with it into the universal element with which it has perpetual sympathy.”\*

For the hard evidence of Bacon’s authorship of the Shakespearean works see:

**Bacon’s Smoking Gun’s: The Hard Evidence** from Richard Allan Wagner’s book *The LOST SECRET of William Shakespeare*.

### Notes and Bibliography

Alfred Dodd: *The Secret History of Francis Bacon* p. 252

Ross Jackson: Companion to *SHAKER OF THE SPEAR* pp. 78-79

Mark Twain: *Is Shakespeare Dead?* From My Autobiography p. 23

Mark Anderson: *SHAKESPEARE BY ANOTHER NAME* p.76

Bethell: *The Case for Oxford*

Edwin Abbott: *Francis Bacon an Account of HIS LIFE AND WORKS*

Jackson (quoting Gerald Massey) pp. 31-32

Peter Dawkins: *The Shakespeare Enigma (quoting Percy Bysshe Shelley)* p. 173

### Other Writings by Richard Allan Wagner:

*The Lost Secret of William Shakespeare* The writing of this book started in 1999 when I was beginning my research on the late heiress Sarah Winchester. At that time I had no idea where the research would lead. I had no preconceived notions about Mrs. Winchester nor did I have the slightest idea that she would lead me on an extraordinary journey of discovery that has transformed my life.

*The Truth About Shakespeare:* For centuries we have all been told and taught that the author of the Shakespearean works was William Shakespeare from the English villiage of Stratford. Substantial evidence has come to light which proves, beyond a reasonable doubt, that the Stratford man was not the author. This website is dedicated to telling you the truth about who the real author was. But first, let us establish who the author was not.

*The Truth About The Winchester House:* The highly sensationalized FOLK TALE that is fed to the public, portraying the extravagantly wealthy Mrs. Winchester as a superstitious widow who believed that evil spirits were out to get her, thus prompting her to build such a remarkably STRANGE mansion is PURE FICTION and has absolutely NO FACTUAL BASIS!

*The Movie Anonymous:* The one premise that rings true in the movie Anonymous is that the man we all thought to be the true author of the Shakespearean works was NOT who we thought he was—on that issue the movie is correct, beyond that, everything else is pure fiction.

**The End OS21099**

# Walt Disney's Secret

## From Global Watch Weekly Report




**T**HE WALT DISNEY COMPANY, commonly referred to as Disney, is an American multinational diversified mass media company headquartered in Walt Disney Studios, Burbank, California, United States. It is the largest media conglomerate in the world in terms of revenue.

Founded on October 16, 1923, by Walt and Roy Disney as the Disney Brothers Cartoon Studio, Walt Disney Productions established itself as a leader in the American animation industry before diversifying into live-action film production, television, and travel. Taking on its current name in 1986, The Walt Disney Company expanded its existing operations and also started divisions focused upon theatre, radio, music, publishing, and online media. In addition, it has created new divisions of the company in order to market more mature content than it typically associates with its flagship family-oriented brands.

The company is best known for the products of its film studio, the Walt Disney Studios, and today one of the largest and best-known studios in Hollywood. Disney also owns and operates the ABC broadcast television network; cable television networks such as Disney Channel, ESPN, A+E Networks, and ABC Family; publishing, merchandising, and theatre divisions; and owns and licenses 14 theme parks around the world. It also has a successful music division. The company has been a component of the Dow Jones Industrial Average since May 6, 1991. An early and well-known cartoon creation of the company, Mickey Mouse, is the official mascot of The Walt Disney Company.

Despite the success of Disney over the decades there is a rather unsavoury side which has unfortunately blighted its role as a provider of entertainment for children. In this week's edition we take a look at the more sinister side of the Walt Disney agenda and then we prove it by taking a recent Walt Disney production and showing you iron clad proof that the revelations surely cannot be put down to coincidence.

To be able to subliminally influence the masses on a continuous basis, one of the tools that the power elite use is the movie industry; and one of their most powerful tools is Hollywood.



### Note the hidden 666 in the Disney Logo

The most important part of the movie industry is in the hands of the Illuminati, and their interest is in being able to reach a mass audience. They also produce movies that are filled with the Monarch mind control propaganda. In most movies and popular television soaps, Monarch slaves play the lead roles.

Hollywood is a gigantic center for mind control. Lieutenant-Colonel Dave Grossman is a specialist in the field of programming. He trains soldiers to kill people on command. In his book "On Killing", Grossman writes that the world of entertainment uses the same tools the army uses to train soldiers in killing people. According to Grossman, there is, however, a difference:

"Soldiers are trained to kill on command, but kids are trained to kill whenever they feel like it!"

Grossman writes that the training to kill starts with cartoons:

“It starts with innocent cartoons and, as they grow older, goes on with very violent actions on the screen. At that point, parents start to forbid their children to watch movies with age restrictions (violence, bad language, etc.). These movies show stabbings, spraying blood, gunfights, bodies being riddled with bullets and other atrocities.”



Walt Disney was the man that gave us cartoons, theme parks and amazing movies for all ages. Disney has become the largest corporation in the area of entertainment. Most people are convinced that Disney films are both harmless and pleasant.

Walt Disney is one of the Illuminati's best deceptions! The treacherous image of “the wholesome Disney movie” is a major triumph of the Illuminati. Behind Disney entertainment dark things lurk: the so-called snuff movies (in which people are actually being killed on-camera) and hard and violent porn. Joe Roth, director of Walt Disney Studios, also heads daughter companies like Touchstone, Miramax and Hollywood Pictures. These companies are front companies for pornographic movies. However, the main public will never see the pornography that has been produced for years by the Illuminati.


Walt Disney was, in reality, a sadistic porn king-pin who enjoyed making pornographic movies. Behind the scenes at Disney, we also find white slavery, mind control of Monarch slaves and the temptation of several generations to witchcraft. Nobody in the world has managed to sell witchcraft as effectively as the Disney brothers.

The well-known movie “Return from Witch Mountain” was one of the most powerful encouragements for witchcraft in its day. Mind control and Monarch slaves were paraded on-screen deliberately.

One of the biggest temptations to witchcraft and Satanism is the world-famous production of the Star Wars movies. Everything in this trilogy has to do with Satanism and witchcraft, which became prolonged and even more pronounced with each subsequent episode. Like many other Hollywood productions, Star Wars is used to program people into becoming Monarch slaves. And all that under the cover of innocent entertainment.

The film company ABC produced the Disney vehicle Wild Palms, a movie that clearly showed how mind-control works and how the Illuminati live. It is about the way children are kidnapped and babies are switched at birth. It even showed how cartoons are used to teach children to kill. Wild Palms also showed the interconnection of the bloodlines through deliberate marriages amongst the Illuminati.

Parents and adults will be shocked to learn what lies hidden in Disney's cartoons. The master plan of the Illuminati involves disturbing the family life by setting children against parents and encouraging violence. Ultimately, the Illuminati are working towards establishing a violent society. To achieve their objective, there has to be a lot of violence in movies, on TV and in cartoons. Parents do not realize that their children are continuously being exposed to a cocktail of occultism, witchcraft and subliminal pornography.



As an example, the animated movie The Little Mermaid contains various pornographic images, incorporating male genitalia on the cover of the video.

The name “Illuminati”, however, is not mentioned anywhere in the movie, but the similarities between “The Father” and the

leadership of the Illuminati are quite obvious. In the movie the slaves addressed the programmers as “Father” and “Mother”, with one of the main characters stating:

“There will be a day when we wake up and realize that this country isn’t ours, and nobody will give a damn.”

The movie showed that nothing happens by accident. The movie’s producer, Bruce Wagner, clearly showed his knowledge of mind control. The fact that the movie was produced and released is testament to the arrogance of the Illuminati, who target and program thousands of people for criminal intentions. The masses do not realize that there is no difference between the fictional violence in these movies and the violence that we see in the real world! It would appear that the Illuminati assume that, should they be recognized, “nobody will give a damn.”


The occult world of black magic also supports Disney’s movie industry and, as early as the 1920s and 1930s, knew what had to be done in the name of the master.

1. A 1920 Disney movie shows children skipping class successfully, shoplifting and playing a cheerful game of hockey. A veritable encouragement of bad behaviour.

2. The 1925 movie “Alice Stage Struck” shows how little Alice is bound and gagged, and dragged to a lumber saw. The Illuminati cleverly address the curiosity of the “child” inside each adult person. The Illuminati want to show that occult witchcraft is a common phenomenon.

3. In the early 1940’s, Disney experienced financial difficulties. Nelson Rockefeller helped out by paying for the production of cartoons about South America. The Three Caballeros shows an illustrious Donald Duck chasing after South American women.

4. In 1934, Walt Disney made a cartoon about the goddess of secret religions called Persephone. In this movie, entitled “The Goddess of Spring”, Satan abducts Persephone to take her as his bride and bring her to the underworld. After six months she is allowed to return to earth. It is remarkable that the rituals surrounding Persephone are the same as the rituals that have their origins within the Illuminati



5. The 1951 Donald Duck cartoon “Corn Chips” shows how Donald hits his nephews Huey and Louis over the head. Huey and Louis later return with a bag of stolen popcorn, which they

empty over Donald’s front yard. The “eye for an eye” principle is, dialectically speaking, completely justified in Disney movies.

6. In the movie “Alice in Wonderland” Disney shows how Alice walks away from her responsibilities and partakes in wonderful and carefree adventures.

7. In the 1951 cartoon “Get Rich Quick”, Goofy wins a huge amount of money at a poker game. His wife, who first was against him playing poker, immediately forgives him when she sees how much he has won. Quite a reprehensible form of dialectics.

8. Disney’s “Gargoyle” cartoons were made for television and are clear examples of Satan’s demonic teachings. They tell the story of a race of demons that protects the city of New York. One of the gargoyles is even called “Demonia”.

In another cartoon, Mickey Mouse is shown sniffing cocaine!

Whoever thinks that Disney only creates fairy tales is very much mistaken. In these fairy tales, facts are replaced with fiction to facilitate mind control. Both “Snow White” and “Pinocchio” contain occult principles like death and resurrection. Snow White and the Seven Dwarves contains very important occult theme’s that were used to program Monarch children.

9. In 1940, Disney produced the two animated movies “Pinocchio” and “Fantasia”, which were used by the Illuminati to program children. Although Fantasia was a flop, it was a perfect mind control tool.

10. In the 1950s, the Illuminati used Disney's animated movies Alice in Wonderland and The Wizard of Oz as a basis for programming Monarch slaves.



11. On October 27, 1954 Walt Disney's Wonderful World of Colour, a celebration of Disney's movies, was broadcast on television. The words "wonderful", "world" and "colour" were chosen deliberately by an Illuminati mind programmer. Specific colours and colour combinations were chosen for the Disney cartoons and theme parks, all as part of mind control.

12. In the "Magician's Apprentice" (a cartoon about the ancient theme of total control over the earth), we see Mickey Mouse getting involved in a love-hate relationship. Such a love-hate relationship is used to traumatize and program slaves.

13. In America, the television program, "The Wonderland Show" was shown in several states. This show was designed to program the thoughts of The appearance of a fictional reality is what makes these movies so dangerous. Behind this facade hides the ideology of occultism, which is passed down from generation to generation and

is one of the most far reaching aspects of occultism in the world.

Walt Disney worked hard on creating a seemingly benign and innocent image and was not afraid to squash property owners, steal ideas and leave a trail of victims. For decades, Walt Disney was a heavy drinker and alcoholic. However, with all the power of the media behind him he had nothing to worry about, because nothing ever reached the light of day. These kinds of facades of moral purity and sobriety usually hide extremely sinister and Satanic practices. Adolf Hitler, for example, was someone who washed his hands several times a day. Walt Disney washed his hands several times an hour!

It is important to know in this respect that an alter ego that is forced to take on a different personality or to carry out an Illuminati command compulsively washes his hands in pretence of innocence. Walt Disney was a Freemason in the thirty-second degree and a dedicated supporter of occultism and born in Spain, out of wedlock. It is suspected that Isabelle Zamora Ascendo was his mother. Upon investigating his history, it was discovered that this Hollywood mogul was related to the Bush family, porn king Hugh Hefner, Princess Diana and Hollywood star Clint Eastwood.

Many researchers have studied Walt Disney's legacy, and those who found out his well hidden secrets and published them faced terrible hardships.

**The End OS21092**

## **Events Surrounding The Declaration Of Papal Infallibility**

**Extracted From "The Pope, The Kings And The People" By The Rev. W. Arthur M.A.**

**T**HE YEAR 1860 was to the papacy one of sore trouble and dismay. It lost a considerable part of its remaining territories, and had the mortification of seeing a free constitutional kingdom established in Italy. It was the central year of Garibaldi's romantic and remarkable exploits on behalf of his cherished ideal of Italian unity. The Pope, inspired with distrust of the French garrison who were upholding him in Rome, organised a

mongrel army of his own, consisting of French, Belgian, Austrian, and Irish volunteers.

After liberating Sicily and Naples, and uniting them to the Italian kingdom of Victor Emanuel, Garibaldi retired from the scene, and the Italian Army crossed the frontier of the states of the church, overran Umbria and the Marches routed and crashed the Papal forces, and obliged them and General Lamoricière to capitulate in the

fortress of Ancona. The Pope, as usual, cursed his foes, but could not conquer them, and his dominion in Italy was henceforth limited to Rome itself.

In 1866 the Romish Empire of Austria was worsted by Protestant Prussia at the memorable battle of Sadowa, a battle the results of which were as decisive as those of Waterloo. Austria received a shock from which it has never recovered, and was obliged to cede Venetia, which was annexed to the Kingdom of Italy, while Prussia became one of the greatest powers in Europe. In 1868 the Spanish revolution took place; Queen Isabella fled, and Spain was plunged into years of cruel strife, in the course of which the Jesuits were banished, their monasteries and churches confiscated, and sold or pulled down, and the bones of the martyrs brought to light at the Quemadero.



This same year Pius IX (above) sent out his famous encyclical letter, summoning the Oecumenical Council for 1870. Six Archbishop Princes, 49 cardinals, 11 patriarchs, 680 archbishops and bishops, 28 abbots, 29 generals of orders, 803 spiritual rulers, representing the church of Rome throughout the world, and bade a summons to attend this Vatican Council, which solemnly decreed the dogma that the occupants of the Papal chair is, in all his decisions with regard to faith and morals, infallible. Arrangements had been made to reflect a glory around the person of the Pope by means of

mirrors at noon, when the decree was made (July 18, 1870); but the sun shone not that day. A violent storm broke over Rome, the sky was darkened by Tempest, and the voices of the Council were lost in the rolling of the thunder. (See The Pope, Kings, and the People, By Rev. W. Arthur, M.A.)



On the very day following the culmination of Papal arrogance and self-exaltation was declared that terrible Franco German war, in which the French Empire of Louis Napoleon – by the soldiers of which the Pope was maintained on his tottering throne – fell. The temporal sovereignty of the papacy fell with it. No sooner had the French troops been withdrawn from Rome, and the French Empire collapsed, then the Italian government announced its intention of entering the Roman states and did so. On September 20, 1870, Rome was declared the capital of the Kingdom of Italy, and became the residence and seat of the government of Victor Emanuel.

## Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)  
27. Old Gloucester Street  
London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –  
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter  
and Richard Porter plus many  
other subjects and difficult to  
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

# Brought To Our Knees

## Isaiah 1:9;2:12-17; Micah 2:12-13; Romans 11:5. John Trotter (Winmalee - Australia)



**T**HE comments mentioned here refer specifically to the Western Nations, wherein we find, we believe the vast majority of Abraham's descendants. Even though we are technically multicultural in some respects, it is my view that the many nations and races that are represented will also soon become aware of God's power, majesty and His Covenant relationship with those of Abraham's seed. The stranger who came out with Israel from Egypt also saw this power but the outcome is going to be very different.

I can remember as a teenager a Pacific Island lady who attended our meetings in Sydney. She clearly understood the National Message for Israel and the meaning of the Covenants with Israel. Her simple faith in Jesus Christ as her Saviour allowed her to be a faithful member of the Federation. She looked upon God and the Bible as not only guides in life but realised God was a Covenant keeping God.

There is no doubt in my mind that the Western Nations have to be brought to their knees. The circumstances of world affairs have to be similar to the time when Gideon was chosen with his 300 to confront the Midianites who were in the valley like grasshoppers in great numbers. They came to destroy Israel (Judges 6:5). We have to be brought to a situation where we cannot vaunt ourselves and say our might and strength saved us.

In short the Western Nations have been living under the curses of Leviticus 26:14-39. Verses 19 and 20 say: "And I will break the pride of your power and I will make your heaven as iron and your earth as brass; And your strength shall

be spent in vain: for your land shall not yield her increase, neither shall the trees of the land yield their fruits". Yet from verse 40 we have a way out of our future predicament. If we confess our iniquity (verse 40), then God will remember the Covenant with Jacob, Isaac and Abraham (Verse 42). We are to humble ourselves with a circumcised heart (verse 41). If we follow God's desire, He will not utterly destroy us (verse 44).

The Bible is very clear in its message as regards the need to obey the Laws that relate to the economy and finance. The Ten Commandments are what could be described as the Constitution of the Laws. All these Laws are Eternal and have not been annulled even by the death of Christ. Only the Laws concerning the sacrifice of animals have been done away because Christ became the ultimate sacrifice for His people and mankind. Matthew 5:18 is very clear as regards the Eternal aspect of the Laws when it says: "For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no way pass from the Law till all be fulfilled". Verse 19 provides a very worrying warning to those who believe that the Laws are no longer relevant and have been done away with at the Cross. It is not just the Laws that we must obey but also we are to recognise who Jesus Christ is, His association with Israel and what He has done for us as individuals and as a nation. No matter who we are, these are still necessary requirements before we can enter into the Kingdom.

It is my opinion that God has already predestined a remnant who are not only the Watchmen in Israel (Ezekiel 3:17 ; Micah 7:4) but they will provide the warning sign that will herald an awakening to the future crisis. God will put into their mouths the words of hope for those who have a genuine desire to understand the times. It must be clearly understood that this predestined remnant will not impact upon the masses until all parts of the puzzle fall into the time frame of God's plan for the Ages. Just as someone had to carry out the role of betraying Christ, to help fulfil prophecy, so God has prepared a remnant within Israel, to preserve the **Unconditional Covenants** which He made with our Fathers.

The history of Israel in the Old Testament is a sad reflection of disobedience. Less than a handful of Kings were obedient to the Laws. It is the same today. Our leaders have willingly walked in the way of Cain, the error of Balaam and the rebellion of Core (Jude 1:11). At this point it is worth saying that there is no better evidence of our Israel heritage, as seen in the fact that as a Nation we are committing the same examples of disobedience. Can anyone tell me, what other race has done the same thing?: there are none.



Satan's ministers of righteousness (2 Corinthians 11: 15) will be allowed by God to play their part in all areas of society. This includes the Government, big cartels and even in the churches. In Psalm 55 we are

given an account by David of a very perplexing situation. He had been betrayed by someone he trusted for they even walked into the House of God together. Great men like Bishop J.C. Ryle and C.H. Spurgeon would be horrified if they could see the condition of the churches. Apostasy is one word that comes to mind.

Our leaders have lost the vision that is necessary to equitably spread the wealth of God's creation. If we obeyed all the Laws there would be no poor in the land (Deuteronomy 15:4). The "love of money which is the root of all evil" (I Timothy 6:10) rules the minds of men. Everything is looked at in terms of monetary value, rather than seeing what man produces as the wealth of God, which He is offering to us through our God given ingenuity and intelligence (Ecclesiastes 5:18-20). Private enterprise is discouraged at every level. Our whole economy is based upon greed and the mortgaging (death grip) of the land. We have become so mesmerised by our own scientific knowledge that we say in our heart, "And thou say in thine heart, My power and the might of mine hand hath gotten me this wealth" (Deuteronomy 8:17). In short science has become our saviour. Because of the meaning of science (knowledge) it has become the religion of the modern day Gnostics. One of the outcomes of this false belief is that we are

attempting to dethrone God and replace Him with our own power and authority. Karl Marx made it quite clear that his main aim was to dethrone God and destroy capitalism.

I came to the conclusion many years ago that the rulers of this world, do not intend to solve the problems of humanity. To counteract this continual planned chaos, they inflict society with more laws, to not only empower themselves, but to enslave the masses into a form of hypnosis. Trivial pursuits and entertainment softens the blows of the day to day routine. During election times the masses are offered a few tantalising carrots to satisfy the desires of the taunted masses. It is Pavlov's dogs all over again.

The general feeling is that God should have no part to play in the affairs of State. This again is the result of an education system that has been in the hands of the humanists for many decades. It is interesting that the word "education" means "to draw out". In fact the reverse is occurring, for through a process of propaganda that has more often than not begun with the United Nations, the youth of today are seen as the new frontier of a new society based upon Orwellian designs where all private property will be abolished. The above might appear to be farfetched but we have been assured by those in the know that "power tends to corrupt, and absolute power corrupts absolutely". Kissinger once said that power is a drug that hallucinates the mind. Because the world's leaders are playing out this power struggle they have convinced themselves that it is only they who know what is good for us. The important question is this: How long will God allow these leaders to play this game? The Western Nations have become arrogant (Isaiah 13:11) and they are aptly described in Psalm 52:7 where it says "**Lo, this is The Man that made not God his strength; but trusted in the abundance of his own riches, and strengthened himself in his wickedness**". We are yet to realise that God not only remembers our wickedness (Hosea 7:2) but also we are like the "morning cloud and early dew and there is no Saviour but God" (Hosea 13:3-4).

Whilst we have become more sophisticated in our methods of covering our sinful actions we are in effect living in a hedonistic society. The level of pleasure and entertainment is at such a level that it distorts the meaning of life. We are

born, then consume and then we die. Happiness is the goal of life. But there is coming a moment in history when “our ends will be God’s beginnings”.

Isaiah 1:9 says: “Except the Lord of host had left unto us a very small remnant, we should have been as Sodom, and we should have been like unto Gomorrah”. The duty of the remnant will soon help to show the way as a prerequisite for the return of Christ to establish His Kingdom upon this earth. He will also sit upon the throne of David as promised in Luke 1:32. This remnant has been chosen for a very special role, namely ruling with Christ. It is my opinion that during the early period of the Millennium the Kingdom will be cleansed of the tares that have taken on the appearance of the wheat. But the harvest time is coming. Many outside the Kingdom will be brought in to take their part and many inside the Kingdom will be cast out . There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth. The scales of Israel’s blindness will be lifted and their heritage and inheritance will be heralded throughout the Kingdom.

World events will cause the Western Nations to reconsider their intentions. The hearts and minds of many will begin to waiver. It will become obvious that the outcome of this age is not dependent upon the desires of man but rather upon powers that are outside the realm of man’s


control. The belief that man can guide his own destiny is to be rocked off its foundations, but unfortunately it is going to take an event or events far more shocking than the Twin Towers collapse. In short God is preparing the Western Nations for a knee knocking experience that will usher in the New Heaven and New Earth.

The following verses from Jeremiah 23:3 - 6 are not to be spiritualised away by some post-millennial interpretation of Scripture. The promises uttered here are not going to take place in heaven but here on earth in the near future. Let’s rejoice in the fact that this world order will pass away and the words of the verses to follow will become a reality: “And I will gather the remnant of my flock out of all countries whither I have driven them, and will bring them again to their folds; and they shall be fruitful and multiply. And I will set up shepherds over them which shall feed them; and they shall fear no more, nor be dismayed, neither shall they be lacking, saith the Lord. Behold, the days come, that I will raise unto David a righteous Branch and a King shall reign and prosper and shall execute judgment and justice in the earth. In his days Judah shall be saved, and Israel shall dwell safely: and this is his name whereby he shall be called, **The Lord Our Righteousness.**

**The End OS21096**

## The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (3)

### By Charles Lee Mange




of **Adam**, particularly of the **Seed of Isaac** (Genesis 21:12) let us this day appropriate the precious Blood of Jesus Christ, the perfect sacrifice for SIN, both Original Sin and our actual Sin. Adam's Race was barred from the **Tree of Life** until **Christ** came and opened the way back. Jesus Christ got the victory over death and the grave and the sentence of death levied against all **Adam kind** is now lifted if we repent and appropriate **His Blood**. The Death Angel will see the Blood and **Passover!** In **Jesus Christ**, the Serpent has been crushed and very shortly the **Head** of that Serpent will be bruised under the heel of Everlasting Judgment. You as a **white Christian**, of the Seed of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, Redeemed by the Firstborn from the dead, **Jesus Christ**, now understand the meaning of **Genesis 3:15**. You by the **grace of God** now have the key to unlock the **Bible**...you have the understanding as to the meaning of Genesis 3:15. May You use this **knowledge** to the **Glory of Jesus Christ** and His Kingdom...or God will curse you in your Sin!

### THE TWO SEEDS OF GENESIS 3:15

“And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.” Gen. 3:15

The Two Seeds of Genesis 3:15. No subject in Divine Scripture carries more weight and deserves our attention with greater emphasis than does the two seeds spoken of in Genesis 3:15. If you seek to be a follower of Jesus Christ, if you are concerned with understanding the Holy Bible, if you are ever to proceed from the milk to the meat state of understanding Holy Scripture, then you cannot fail to do business with Genesis 3:15. It is the seed plot of all scripture. The proper understanding of this scripture is vital to the total pattern of discerning all scripture. The importance of this subject reaches across the ages to the very hour that we are living in. You cannot comprehend National and International news events without an understanding of Genesis 3:15. Your foundation of Kingdom Identity Bible Truth will stand or fall in relationship to what you do with Genesis 3:15. Failure to understand and divide this subject could ultimately exclude you from membership in the ecclesia of Jesus Christ. Let us proceed to discuss Genesis 3:15 in the light of Bible and Historical Scholarship. What are

the implications of this tremendous verse of scripture? With the unfailing help and guidance of Jesus Christ and the Holy Spirit you will see...if you were born with “eyes” to see and “ears” to hear and discern spiritual truths.



First, we must understand that Genesis 3:15 cannot be studied or understood out of the context or canon of scripture. This verse of scripture is the judgment handed down by a Sovereign God upon the woman and the serpent and the verse is specifically directed to the serpent. To understand what happened in the Garden of Eden we must distinguish between “sin” and “original sin.” In Genesis chapter three the Word of God is concerned with what was Original Sin. Genesis 3:15 is the judgment meted out to the serpent and secondly to the woman's seed. To understand the implications of this verse of scripture we must analyse four basic words all of which are basic to the discernment of this Bible Truth. These four words are enmity, serpent, woman and seed.

Before our examination of these four words let it be clearly understood that the Bible Doctrine of the Two Seedlines forms a central part of the theological foundations upon which the Kingdom Identity Truth is built. Without the proper understanding and placement of this truth into the total Canon of Divine truth there will be very little, if any, progress made in lifting the veil of darkness from our people now in bondage in the churches now making up the Protestant and Catholic church world. The understanding of Genesis 3:15 is the one absolute theological foundation which must be in place before the remainder of the Bible and History of this earth can ever be understood. If you allow any minister of Jesus Christ and the Christian Faith to spiritualise Genesis 3:15 and ignore, neutralize, or do away with the literal truth of these two

seeds in Genesis 3:15 you can never advance to a mature understanding of Scripture or the World Situation. Again remember that Genesis 3:15 is the Seed Plot of the Bible and you may be certain that Satan and his minions will launch a full scale warfare to remove the truth of this teaching from your mind. Without this truth you stand disarmed, naked, and unprepared for the warfare that now reaches its climax between the Seed of the Woman and the seed of the serpent.


One other fact that I wish to bring to your attention is that modern theology on occasion will attempt to say that the woman does not have any seeds and that it would be biologically impossible for the woman in Genesis 3:15 to have any seed. It is true scientific fact that a woman does not have seeds. This is not the point in our discussion of Genesis 3:15 and has not bearing on the subject at hand because the use of the word seed as used in this scripture has reference only to that seed which the woman has been impregnated with. When Almighty God was speaking to the serpent in Genesis 3:15 the reference only to that seed was that seed which had been planted in the woman Eve by both the serpent and the man Adam. You merely have to read the very next verse, Genesis 3:16, to find the discussion of multiplying sorrow in conception and sorrow in child birth to know that we are correct in our evaluation of the physical seed implanted in the woman Eve.

It is very necessary to remember that if you deny the physical seed of the serpent, you eventually end up identifying them as the Children of God and this is precisely what the nominal Church has done. If the serpent seed had been properly identified the modern church would not be labelling them as the children of God. Because the serpent seed was not articulated, not identified, they have usurped the place of true Israel, the seed of the woman. The identity of White Christian Israel has been lost and the seed of the serpent has not been articulated. The failure of theologians to Identify the serpent seed also resulted in their failure to identify the seed of the woman. Today the entire religious world worships the International Jew as the Chosen people of God because that wicked seed was not articulated and identified. There is a reason why practically every Christian Minister in the western world eulogizes and exonerates the Jew as the Chosen of God...that blasphemous lie has

come about because the seed of the serpent was not identified.

If one of these two seed lines is spiritualised, they both are, and that is where the nominal church world is today. Nearly every denomination in America has spiritualised one or both of the two seeds of Genesis 3:15. When you deny the existence of one you must deny the existence of the other. Jesus Christ clearly divided these two seeds in scripture and we must do likewise. In speaking to the seed of the serpent, i.e., the Jews, Jesus Christ told them that they were not His sheep (John 10:26). In this same Chapter, Jesus Christ said: "My sheep hear my voice, and I know them, and they follow me." What nations have heard His voice and become Christians? What people to this day have utterly rejected Jesus Christ and the Christian faith? These two seeds of Genesis 3:15 are the seed plot of all theology and must be labelled, and Identified. This is exposition is doing just that.

#### FOUR KEY WORDS!



The word enmity is a key word and is translated from the Hebrew *eybah*. In Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible, the Hebrew word *eybah* from which our English word enmity is translated, means to hate, be hostile, be an enemy, hostility, enmity, hatred. See #342 and 340 in the Hebrew Chaldee Dictionary of Strong's Exhaustive Concordance. The word enmity means hatred, hostility, and enmity or warfare between the seed of the woman and the seed of the serpent. It is imperative to remember that this word enmity implies hatred, variance, hostility and warfare between these two seeds and this hatred was placed there as a judgment from Almighty God.

The word serpent is a very important word. First, the serpent of Genesis Chapter three is identified as Satan. The Word of God is very clear as to

who the serpent is. Revelation 12:7, Revelation 20:2, which is the Revelation of Jesus Christ (Rev.1:1) identifies the serpent as being Satan. The use of the word old in these scriptures is very significant. The implication being that Satan, the serpent, has been around for a long time. At one time the serpent occupied a position as a very exalted supernatural being in the order of God. Isaiah 14:12-21 describes how the serpent, then known as Lucifer, son of the morning, defied God and lost his exalted position is God's plan. Ezekiel 28:11-19 describes the exalted position that Satan or the serpent once held as the anointed cherub of God. This serpent or Satan had been in the Garden of Eden according to Ezekiel 28:13. St. Paul tells us in II Corinthians 11:13-15 that Satan is transformed into an angel of light. Revelation 12:7-9 describes the Great War that once raged between the old serpent, Michael, and the angels. In Luke 10:18 Jesus Christ stated that He beheld Satan as lightning fall from Heaven.



It is imperative that every Bible student know that the serpent in Gen. 3:15 was Satan and he had appeared as an angel of light to the woman Eve. The serpent had carried on dialogue,

had quoted and misquoted scripture and employed all the characteristics of a very intelligent being. The word serpent as used in Genesis 3:15 is from a Hebrew word translated *nachash* and means hiss, whisper a (magic spell) divine, enchanter, use enchantment, learn by experience, a snake, a serpent. See #5175 in the Hebrew Chaldee Dictionary of the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. The word serpent as used in the New Testament is such key passages as II Cor. 11:3, Matt. 23:33, and Rev. 12:9 is translated from a Greek root word Ophis and means sharpness of vision, from #3700 to gaze with open eyes, as at something remarkable, a snake, fig. (as a type of sly cunning) an artful malicious person, especially. Satan: Serpent. See the Greek Dictionary of the New Testament in Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible.

The conclusions to be drawn thus far are indeed far-reaching. In Genesis 3:15 Almighty God is talking to the serpent, this satanic angel who appeared to Eve as an angel of light. Almighty

God is thus telling this satanic being, that there will be enmity, hatred, or warfare between his offspring and the offspring of the woman Eve. For a very thorough discussion of the serpent and the broad ramifications of factual information surrounding this intelligent being please do not fail to carefully study Appendix #19 of the Companion Bible, Samuel Bagster and Sons Limited, 4 New Bridge Street, London EC4, England. Please keep in mind that in Genesis chapter 3 we have neither allegory, myth, legend, nor fable, but literal historical facts set forth, and emphasized by the use of certain Figures of speech. A Figure of speech is never used except for the purpose of calling attention to, emphasizing, and intensifying, the reality of the literal sense. Remember this in reading Genesis Chapter three.

The next word which we need to examine is the word woman. The woman of Genesis 3:15 can be unidentified only as Eve, one of the principal subjects of the entire chapter of Genesis three. It cannot be suppressed nor can Eve's connection with the serpent, or Satan, be evaded. In Gen. 3:15 Almighty God in speaking to the serpent declared that the woman was to have seed and that her seed would be at enmity with the seed of the serpent. Genesis 3:16 is extremely important in conjunction with our evaluation of Genesis 3:15. There you will take careful note that the woman's sorrow in conception, bearing children, was to be increased as a result of the sin, original sin, that she participated in during the unfolding of events in Genesis Chapter Three. You will also take careful note to acknowledge that Almighty God told Eve that her desire would be to her husband, and he would henceforth rule over her. Read Genesis 3:6 as to the use of the word desire. Who had Eve's desire been directed to in Genesis 3:6? In the divine punishment inflicted upon the woman Eve in Genesis 3:16 why did Almighty God employ pain in childbirth? What is the purpose of the use of the word conception? How about the use of the word desire? The truth is: God made the punishment to fit the crime. Those who have spiritual discernment can both see and hear what the truth of Genesis Three is all about.

The next word we wish to examine from Genesis 3:15 is the word seed. The word seed is one of the most important words used in the Bible. This word is used about 275 times in the Bible. The proper understanding of the word seed as it is

used in both Old and New Testament is absolutely imperative. The singular word seed used in Genesis 3:15 is from the Hebrew word translated *zera* and means seed, fruit, plant sowing time, posterity, from #2232 *zara* – bear, conceive seed...disseminate. (Emphasis Ours). Note the use of the word fruit, posterity, conceive seed, all of which are extremely relevant to an understanding of Genesis Three. For confirmation of the forgoing Hebrew analysis of the word seed see Strong's Hebrew & Chaldee Dictionary in his Exhaustive Concordance.

The word seed as used in the New Testament is from a Greek Word translated Sperma. See Strong's Greek Dictionary of the New Testament in Strong's Exhaustive Concordance under #4690. *Sperma*, from #4687 something sown, i.e. seed (include. The male sperm – by implication. Offspring: issue, seed.) IN W.E. Vine's Expository Dictionary of New Testament Words, Pg. 338 under the word Seed we quote as follows: 1. **Sperma**, akin to Spiro, to sow...3. **Spora**, akin to No.1 and like No.s2, a sowing, seedtime, denotes seed son, I Pet. 1:23, of human offspring, posterity; also a remnant, a few survivors, like seed kept over from a former year...3. A sowing, a begetting of children; the seed sown...(Emphasis Ours). Another very interesting analysis of the word Seed is found in Gesenius' Hebrew and Chaldee **Lexicon** Pages 254 and 255. (3) semen virile, Lev. 15:16, seq.; 18:21; 19:20...(a) offspring, progeny, descendants, Gen. 3:15; 13:16; 15:5, 13:17:7, 10:21:13, etc.; (Emphasis Ours).

Thus far it has been fully demonstrated that the word seed as used in either Old Testament scriptures such as Genesis 3:15 or in New Testament scriptures such as Revelation 12:17 or Matthew 13:38 has reference to seed, fruit, posterity, male sperm, offspring, issue, children, progeny, or descendants, when going back to both the original Hebrew and Greek root meanings. In Genesis 3;15 the word seed is referring to progeny, offspring, children, seed, issue, or descendants of both (1) the serpent or Satan and (2) woman. Please take note that the word seed in Genesis 3:15 is translated posterity in the Smith & Goodspeed Translation and in the Lamsa Translation of the Holy Bible. The Amplified Translation, the new Berkeley Version, and the Jerusalem Bible all translate the word seed as offspring. The word seed as used

in the NKJV of the Bible is an appropriate word for it means fruit, posterity or to conceive seed.

It is now a crucial point to note that in Genesis 3:15 both the serpent and the woman were to have seed. No scripture of the Bible has been treated with more sensitive caution than Genesis 3:15. The modern church world has spiritualized this scripture, as they have all scripture, to their own utter confusion. Nearly all modern theology declares that the seed of the woman was Jesus Christ and that the serpent did not have any literal progeny. Some Bible Scholars will admit that the seed of the woman must include the Seed Line through which Jesus Christ was incarnated into a flesh body, but they still deny that Satan or the serpent could have issue or seed.



The ministers of the National and World Council of Churches, and most non-member churches all are pastored by men who acknowledge that woman of Genesis 3:15 to have an issue but deny that the serpent had an issue. They are only consistent in their inconsistency. The same word translated seed was used for both the serpent and the woman. If one had issue the other must of necessity, also have issue or seed. Any student of the Bible who denies that the use of the word seed in Genesis 3:15 does not refer to offspring or children must also deny the Biblical usage of the word seed in more than one hundred other scriptures of the Holy Bible.

We have now established by authority of both the original Hebrew and Greek, that the word seed in Genesis 3;15 refers to posterity, progeny, issue, seed, children, or descendants. It will now be our goal to trace both the seed of the Woman and the seed of the Serpent through the Bible. The understanding of these two seed lines is truly one of the most critical theological questions of our time. To deny the seed lines of the Bible is to deny the testimony of the Prophets, Apostles, and the very words of Jesus Christ himself.

Indeed the two seed lines established in Genesis 3:15 is the central issue at stake in the Gospel of the Kingdom. When you spiritualize Genesis 3:15 you have surrendered, compromised, and watered down the basic theme of the entire Bible and of History for the past six thousand years. Our purpose will now be to very briefly trace in outline form both the seed of the woman and the seed of the serpent.

## THE SEED OF THE WOMAN



All Bible Scholars will agree that the seed of the woman prophesied of in Genesis 3:15 was Jesus Christ, but well trained Bible Scholars know that Jesus Christ was incarnated into this earth in a flesh body from the seed line that was established with Seth, who was the seed of Adam and Eve. Even more important Jesus Christ according to the flesh, was born into this earth through the Royal Seed of David, of the Tribe of Judah, of the House of Israel, back through Abraham, Shem, through Seth and back to Adam. The seed of the woman in Genesis 3:15 was preserved upon this earth for about four thousand years before Jesus Christ was incarnated into a flesh body.

The seed of the woman then constituted a seed line through which Jesus Christ would ultimately come. Genesis 3:15 was a Prophetic Judgment from God that there would be enmity or hatred between the seed of the serpent and the seed of the woman and this enmity first surfaced when Cain, the seed of the serpent, rose up to kill Abel. The blood flowed between these two seed lines for four thousand years unto the shedding of the blood of Jesus Christ at the hands of the wicked seed line of the Jews upon the cross of Calvary. This same enmity or hatred has continued unabated during the past 1,978 years unto the very hour that you and I live in.

The singular use of the word seed in Genesis 3:15 has caused many Bible Students to believe

that it was referring to Jesus Christ in the singular. They usually quote Galatians 3:16 and 3:29 in support of this argument. It should be remembered however that the word seed when referring to offspring, progeny, or seed-issues is only used in the singular and not the plural. Galatians 3:16 and 3:29 in support of this argument.

“Now to Abraham and his seed were the promises made. He saith not, And to seeds, as of many; but as of one, And to thy seed, which is Christ.” What does this verse mean? First note that in Hebrews 2:16 Jesus Christ “took not on him the nature of angels; but he took on him the seed of Abraham.” Jesus Christ took upon himself the seed of Abraham. This seed of Abraham was the seed of the woman through which the messiah, Jesus Christ was incarnated into this earth. II Timothy 2:8, John 7:42, and Romans 1:3 all confirm that Jesus Christ was made of the seed of David and Romans 9:4-5 declares that the Israelites (from which the tribe of Judah sprang) were the people who according to the flesh, Christ came. Therefore the singular use of the word seed in Genesis 3:15 refers to the seed line of Isaac coming down through the Abrahamic Seed Line, of which Jesus Christ was the Seed Royal.

The Seed of the Woman was the seed of promise, the children of promise in contrast to the children of the bondwoman. See Romans 9:6-14 and Galatians 4:21-31. The seed of promise was the royal seed of Genesis CH. 5 (Note that Cain is never listed as Adam’s son in any of the chronology Tables. Cain was not listed in any Adamic Chronology Table because he was not the son of Adam.) The second ten generations of the Seed of the Woman are found in Genesis 11:10-26. The Chronology Tables of the Bible are very clear in establishing the seed line of the woman, the holy seed, the promised seedline through which Jesus Christ, the Redeemer of Israel would come. Genesis 3:15 established a seed line of woman in this earth by authority of God’s Word. In order for the enmity of Genesis 3:15 to be fulfilled that seed of the woman would always exist in the earth.

The first twenty generations of this promised covenant seed of the woman are carefully preserved for us in Genesis 5, Genesis 11, in the Book of 1st Chronicles, in St. Matthew 1 and St. Luke 3. The specific names of the first twenty


generations of the seed of the woman of Genesis 3:15 are as follows: Adam, Seth, Enos, Kenah, Mahalaleel, Jared, Enoch, Methuselah, Lamech, Noah, Shem, Arphaxed, Salah, Eber, Peleg, Reu, Serug, Nahor, Terah, and Abraham. This was the seed of promise, the seedline, the racial stock, through which Jesus Christ would come as the Kinsman Redeemer. By the terms of the Law of Redemption, Jesus Christ could only qualify as Israel's Kinsman Redeemer by being born through the holy seed of the woman. See the Law of Redemption in Lev. 25:24-26-48-49.

Please take note that the seed line of the woman was carried on after Noah through Shem and not Ham or Japheth. It is also important to remember that after Terah the seed line of the woman was carried on by Abraham and not Nahor nor Haran. Nahor did however provide a holy seed line through which many wives could later be secured by descendants of Abraham. (See Genesis 22:20-24). The Holy Seed Line was carried on through Abraham and here we must take very careful note to remember that Abraham fathered many children through three different women. But the Holy Seed of the Woman of Genesis 3:15 was carried on through Isaac. Here you should carefully read Romans 9:1-33 with particular emphasis upon verses 4-13. It was only the children of the promise, the Holy Seed of Isaac, that was counted for the seed. (Note the singular use of the word seed in Romans 9:8.) Remember it is also singular in Genesis 3:15 and Galatians 3:16. All of the other children of Abraham, the children born through Hagar and Keturah were called children of the flesh, but Isaac was the seed of promise. (Romans 9:8-9)

The seed of the woman was carried on from Isaac through Jacob whose name was later changed to Israel. Esau was cast off for he had not only sold his birthright but he had also married into the serpent seedline. Esau was rejected of God from the womb (Genesis 25:21-23). According to the election of God Jacob was chosen over Esau. (See Romans 9:10-13). Jacob fathered twelve sons, all of whom were counted as the seed of the woman, the seed of the promise, in fulfillment of Genesis 3:15. The names of Jacob's twelve sons born to him through Leah, Rachel, Bilah, and Zilpah are as follows: Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Judah, Issachar, Zebulun, Dan, Naphtali, Gad, Asher, Joseph and Benjamin. These twelve sons all produced families that greatly enlarged the seed of the

woman and in time they were all taken with the exception of Joseph who was already in Egypt...down into the land of Egypt as God had promised Abraham in Genesis 15:13-16.

Genesis chapter 46 gives the chronology of the seed of the woman, the seed of Isaac through Jacob/Israel that went down into Egypt. There was a total of 70 souls living in Egypt in B.C. 1706 that were counted as the seed of promise, the seed of Isaac, or the seed of the woman of Genesis 3:15. It must never, I repeat never be forgotten that Isaac himself was the child of the promise, born through a miraculous process, that foreshadowed the virgin-birth of Jesus Christ. Isaac was the man-child of promise through whom the holy seed line was to be preserved upon this earth. Genesis 12:1-3, Genesis 15:1-21, Genesis 17:1-8, and Genesis 21:12 are all very important in establishing Isaac as the seed line of promise, the seed of the woman, as prophesied by God in Genesis 3:15. Read Romans chapter 9, 10 & 11 with the above quoted scriptures.



After Israel's long sojourn in Egypt they were organized into a Kingdom of Priests under the leadership of Moses and for the next forty years were confined to an area known as the Sinai Wilderness.

After taking the Promised Land under Joshua they were ruled over by a long series of Judges until about B.C. 1095 when Saul became their king. After a forty year long reign by Saul, David, and then Solomon, the Kingdom of Israel, then representing the seed of the woman on this earth, was divided into two separate kingdoms or nations, in about B.C. 975 with death of Solomon. After B.C. 975 the Kingdom of Israel, the seed of the woman, became permanently separated into two separate peoples, thereafter to be known as the House of Israel and the House of Judah. In all the Pauline Epistles in the New Testament the word Gentiles should read Israel or Israelites and the word Jew in most cases should read Judah. St. Paul was speaking and


addressing all of his Epistles to the peoples of Israel...primarily to those Israel Tribes who had been divorced and henceforth known as the Divorced Wife or the Wild Olive Tree.

The Northern Kingdom of Israel began its separate existence after the death of Solomon in B.C. 975, with its capitol in Samaria, and was ruled over by nineteen different kings, beginning with Jeroboam and ending with Hoshea. These nineteen different kings were from nine different dynasties. The Kingdom of Israel under evil Kings moved toward a rapid state of moral degeneracy and the Assyrians after several invasions into the Kingdom of Israel managed to totally deport all the Israelites in about B.C. 721 and moved them en masse into the Assyrian wilderness. The Northern Kingdom comprised of ten tribed Israel, plus a portion of Levi, held their Kingdom only for a period of about 254 years. Following their departure into the Assyrian Wilderness they later appeared upon the stage of history under new names.

As this White Christian/Israel seed of the woman made their way westward across north-western Europe and to the British Isles they were called by many different names. The Persians called them Sakae. The Greeks called them Scythians. The Romans called them Dacians. These white Christian/Israel people were gradually over the centuries, making their way across all the countries of Western Europe and to the British Isles. As they settled for a time both North and South of the Black Sea in their movement across Europe, especially in the region of Asia Minor, we find them mentioned frequently in the New Testament Scriptures. St. Paul addressed all of his Epistles to the saints who were the holy seed of "the Woman" because St. Paul was chosen of God to be the Apostle to the "Gentiles," more correctly translated White Christian "Nations." The church at Corinth, Galatia, Ephesus, Philippi, Rome, Colossia, Thessalonia, and elsewhere were all assemblies or churches (Greek ekklesia) of the seed of the woman of Genesis 3:15, identified as the seed of Isaac or White Christian Israel people of the Divorced Wife, Wild Olive Tree, Ten Tribed Israel Kingdom that had been carried away into captivity by the Assyrians.

Later on in history this particular branch of the Holy Seed of the Woman moved westward into Europe and northward into Scandinavia and the

British Isles. They appeared under the names of the Franks, Angles, Goths, Saxons, Celts, Visgoths, Ostrogoths, Lombards, Belgae, Norsemen, North men, Vikings, Danes, Varangians, Germani, Alemani, Teutons, and others, but they were still the seed of the foregoing tribal units of Israel people emerged as political states under the name Germany, Denmark, France, England, Scotland, Holland, Finland, Sweden, Norway, and other countries of northwestern and eastern Europe. In time the descendants of these same people migrated into America, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, South Africa, and elsewhere to bring about the fulfilment of the promises made to Abraham, that his seed would bless all the nations or families of the earth.



The Southern Kingdom of Judah, made up of the tribe of Judah, Benjamin, and a portion of Levi, located their capitol in Jerusalem and existed as a nation until about B.C. 588. This portion of the Seed of the Woman existed

for about 370 years under nineteen kings and one queen, all of the lineage or Dynasty of David. The first King was Rehoboam, followed by Abijah, Asa, Jehosaphat, Jehoram, Ahaziah, Athaliah (Queen), Jehoash, Amaziah, Uzziah, Jotham, Ahaz, Hezekiah, Manasseh, Amon, Josiah, Jehoahaz, Jehoiakim, Jehoiachin, and Zedekiah. Every one of these kings, and the one queen, were all of the royal seed of David, of the tribe of Judah, of the House of Israel, of the seed line of Isaac, in fulfillment of the seed that the woman in Genesis 3:15 was to bring forth upon this earth.

When Jesus Christ was born into this earth he was of the same royal lineage of David of the Tribe of Judah, of the Seed of Isaac, or the seed of the woman. Following the foreign invasion of this Southern Kingdom by the Babylonian or Chaldean Kings in 606 to 585 B.C. the throne and sceptre of the Royal House of David was

carried by Jeremiah the Prophet to Ireland. The throne of David has suffered three overturns, from Jerusalem to Ireland, Ireland to Scotland, and Scotland to England, and will be no more overturned until HE comes (Jesus Christ) whose right it is to rule and reign. Read Ezekiel Chapter 12 and 21.



It should be noted that before the B a b y l o n i a n Invasion of the Southern Kingdom by Nebuchadnezzar beginning in B.C. 606 and reaching its completion in the Seventy year B a b y l o n i a n Captivity beginning in 585 B.C., there had been an Assyrian Invasion into the Southern Kingdom of Judah by Sennacherib in B.C. 713. About 200,000 of the best of the Stock of Judah were carried away into the Assyrian Wilderness to be joined with their Israel Brothers who were on their way toward the appointed places in Asia Minor, Europe, and elsewhere. Also remember that there is strong evidence of the best part of Benjamin had left Jerusalem and joined themselves unto Israel. (See Jeremiah 6:1). Yahweh only left enough of the seed of Judah and Benjamin in Jerusalem and in the Babylonian Captivity to carry forth His purpose of bringing forth the Birth of Christ and the Ministry of Jesus Christ into this earth. See the Assyrian invasion of Judah in II Kings 118:13.

In conclusion you will note that the Seed of the Woman of Genesis 3:15 is the major theme of the entire Bible. Nearly all of the Old Testament from Genesis 12:1 to the last Chapter of Malachi involve Israel, the seed of Isaac, or the seed of the woman. An exception would be Esther. All the books of the Law, History, Prophecy, Poetry, and Literature are centred and woven around the history of the Seed of the Woman. The New Testament is no different. All of the Pauline Epistles are written directly to the Seed of the Woman or the Seed of Isaac. James and Peter both address their epistles to the Tribes of Israel. One Book in the New Testament is entitled the book of Hebrews. Remember the Hebrews were the seed of the woman or Israel coming through

Isaac. Remember also that Jesus Christ came but into the lost sheep of the House of Israel, (Matthew 15:24) and he sent his twelve apostles only unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel. Furthermore Jesus Christ came only to Redeem Israel, the Seed of the Woman through Isaac, Luke 24:21, John 1:31, Luke 1:54-68, and John 10:1-42. Jesus Christ came into the earth as the Kinsman Redeemer of the Seed of Isaac and that seed was first planted in this earth by Adam and Eve. The good seed of the Parable of the Tares and the Wheat in Matthew Chapter 13 was the good seed of the Woman. The wicked seed of the Parable is identified as the children of the wicked one...

Now, in summary form, we have traced the Seed of the Woman through the Bible and have identified the Seed of the Woman on this earth today as being the White Christian Nations of the Western World. The true Israel of God can today be identified in the White Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, Germanic nations of America, Canada, England, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, North-western Europe and Scandinavians. The White Western World is now fighting for its very life! All the nations of the world, headed up by Soviet Russia, are federated against the **SEED OF THE WOMAN**. (Read Psalm 83). The white seed of the Woman (National Israel) is locked in a death-struggle with the anti-Christ Jew and the international combine of communist and third world nations who are determined to wipe out, to utterly destroy from this earth, the seed of Isaac, the Seed of the Woman. In order to understand the Battle Lines that are being drawn in the earth today, we must again retrace our steps to Genesis 3:15 and unveil the Identity of the Seed of the Serpent. The unveiling of the Identity of the Seed of the Woman as White Christian Israel and the Seed of the Serpent as International Jewry is the seed plot of the entire Bible and of understanding the front page of every newspaper being printed in the western world today. Without identifying the Seed of the Woman and the seed of the serpent there can be no Kingdom Identity Truth. There is no Gospel of the Kingdom where this message of Genesis 3:15 is deleted, compromised, spiritualised, or ignored. The very heart and soul of the Kingdom/Identity truth is the exposition, identification and the labelling of these two seeds in Genesis 3:15. Jesus Christ labelled, exposed, and identified these two seeds during His earthly Ministry and we can do no less! The

identification of the Seed of the Woman and the Serpent may not be a popular doctrine among those who cater to please the creeds of the National and World Council of Churches, but I believe that it is time for every Minister and every Church to get either hot or cold about this Gospel of the Kingdom. I am resolved that true Kingdom/Identity people will be "hot" about the

business of identifying the Seed of the Woman and the Seed of the Serpent and in so doing, they will enlighten the eyes of our people and open their ears to the truth of Jesus Christ, the Bible, and History.

**To be continued**

## **Thomas Edison And The Jews (Edomite Canaanites from Idumea) - Part 2 From The CDL Report 1999**



Walt and his entourage left for South America on August 17th, and on the 9th of September, Roy Disney met with James F. Dewy and agreed to "arbitration" to settle the strike.

When Walt received news of the sell out by Roy, he vowed to close down the studio forever rather than have the red dominated Cartoonists Guild run his studio.

It seems that Roosevelt and the Jews had made plans well in advance to shut down Disney studios. On December 8th, 1941 the Army seized Disney studios claiming that they had to use it as a "defense station" to protect Lockheed which was nearby. Disney's studio was the only one seized by the government.

His studio and production facilities sequestered by the government, Disney was now without a job. The next step in the plot against Disney was when the Naval Bureau of Aeronautics contacted him and offered him \$80,000 to make twenty animated training films. The anti-Disney move was evidently orchestrated by Secretary of the Treasury Henry Morgenthau.

According to Disney he had been forced to "accept that Jew" Morgenthau, and was being forced by circumstances to be used by Morgenthau "...to deliver political propaganda films that cashed in on the popularity of that all American mouse Mickey..." Disney referred to his cartoon characters as captives who were forced to perform for the Stromboli-like Morgenthau."

The "crowning achievement" of the eternal Jew, Morgenthau was to have Disney produce a cartoon featuring Donald Duck which openly mocked Hitler, with the title *Der Fuhrer's Face*. According to Eliot, this move by Morgenthau produced "more animosity" between Disney and Morgenthau, as Disney made the movie under protest. However, Morgenthau made it look like Disney approved of the project and had him given awards by Hollywood and moved more business his way. The studio was returned to Disney eight months after it was closed.

Trying to add insult to injury, the National Council of Christians and Jews contacted Disney in an effort to get him to make a cartoon to promote "unity" in America. They told him that the move would "depict human prejudices."

Disney rejected the proposal outright and said it was a disguised attempt by the National Council of Christians and Jews to promote communism in America.

This attempt to recruit him by the "Brotherhood" gang confirmed Disney's view that Hollywood was being consumed by communism. In response he set out to make "battle plans" to counter their moves and to recruit "soldiers" for the fight against the reds in Hollywood.

One of the first moves he made was to help found the Motion Picture Alliance for the Preservation of American Ideals in 1944. He was a co-chairman of the organization and among its members were: Robert Taylor, John Wayne, Gary Cooper, Ward Bond, Charles Coburn, Adolphe Menjou, Hedda Hopper and sixty five other key Hollywood personalities.

As vice-president of the MPA, Disney wrote to Senator Robert R. Reynolds and called on the

House Committee on Un-American Activities to investigate red influence in Hollywood. In his open letter of March 7, 1944 Disney said that Hollywood had been "coddling Communists and those with un-American beliefs."



Having been denied access to the power and profits of Hollywood by the Jews and Communists, Disney felt it was time to strike back. Both Disney and the Jew Samuel Goldwyn had been cut off from full market access by the "big five" who controlled most of the theatres in America: Paramount, Loews, Warners, Twentieth Century Fox and RKO.

When the Fox owned T & D theatres insulted Goldwyn when he wanted to exhibit his movie *Up In Arms*, Disney joined with Goldwyn in trying to break the hold of the "big five" over film distribution. They rented the El Patio nightclub to review the movie and then all hell broke loose.

The power of the "big five" was evident when the Reno county commissioners issued multiple violations for the El Patio and the fire department said it would prevent the showing. To appease the "big five" the proceeds of the showing had to be contributed to the Red Cross.

Legal action was taken to break up the "big five" monopoly by SIMPP and in the case of the *United States of America vs. Paramount* the supreme court ruled that the "big five" were prohibited from expanding their holdings and control over the production, distribution and exhibition of movies. A small victory for Disney, but a victory, nonetheless.

The actions of Disney, SIMPP and the handful of patriots in Hollywood led to the House Committee on Un-American Activities investigating hundreds of reds in Hollywood, most of them being Jews. A number of the most notorious reds were blacklisted by Hollywood

movie makers because of fear that the HUAC would also investigate them. The ban on outright reds in Hollywood lasted until around 1965. After that many of those "blacklisted" by the HUAC were back in Hollywood making movies "exposing" their "persecution."

One of the first efforts by the reds to show their rehabilitation and power over Hollywood was the movie *The Front*, by Woody Allen. From screenwriters to producers to actors those involved in the movie *The Front*, were Hollywood reds who had been investigated by the HUAC and had been fired from their jobs by Jewish studio owners and producers who were afraid not to fire them. The Jewish owners of the major studios knew that to allow those named as Communists by the HUAC to keep working would provoke the HUAC to investigate them as well. To circumvent this, the Jewish studio owners put a number of them on the "blacklist" which kept them from working. However, as depicted in *The Front*, most of them kept working by hiring front men to use their names on their manuscripts.

It seems that Americans have short memories and by the time Walt Disney died in 1966, the Jewish reds were back in Hollywood thumbing their noses at those who had fought so hard to bring Hollywood back under American control.

Always short of funds, Disney found a temporary ally in billionaire Howard Hughes. Hughes had purchased RKO studios and distribution and was also wary of the Jewish control of not only Hollywood but America. Hughes loaned Disney millions of dollars---interest free, which Disney paid back in full.

While a good businessman, Hughes was a poor movie studio manager. Perhaps, when he took RKO over from the Jews, the Jews who stayed on found it in their interest to break Hughes by producing flop after flop for him, as they have recently done to Columbia Pictures.

In any case, Disney wanted his own means of distribution and founded Buena Vista. Hughes offered to give Disney RKO for free and also give him a \$10 million credit line with no interest rate. However, Disney's advisors soured him on the deal and he turned it down. Again, was it Rosenberg of the Bank of America who advised him to turn down the deal, fearing that in charge of RKO he would become a major challenge to the Jewish domination of Hollywood?

After fighting the Jewish powers that control Hollywood, Disney became fascinated by the idea of forming theme parks. He eventually turned over control of Disney studios to his brother Roy and devoted full time to fulfilling his theme park dream.



Disneyland opened in 1955 and Walt Disney died December 5, 1966 Walt Disney, after a long bout with cancer.

Then the battle for the "Magic Kingdom" began.

One of the major drawbacks to Disney was the fact that his older brother Roy, was an accountant who turned manager. Roy had no vision and no courage to stand up for his convictions. When things got tough, Roy would always cave in to the opposition and even go against Walt's wishes, as happened during WW II when he moved to settle the red strike against the Disney studios when Walt was out of the country.

Walt's venture into the "new" TV phenomena in the 1950's with the "Mousketeers" was successful beyond his dreams. However, Walt spared nothing in developing, directing and producing the "Mousketeers" and after the expense of production, the show lost money for Disney. He kept it going because it promoted Disney products, brought the Disney concept to national TV and he felt it would be a key vehicle for promoting his new Disneyland concept. Walt's first choice for Disneylandia, as he first called it, was Burbank. However, the attempt to build it there ran into constant trouble from the city council, which Walt felt was being influenced against him by Universal Studios. He then built Disneyland in Anaheim.

With the Mickey Mouse Club, ABC and the Jew Leonard Goldenson made their mark as a

competing network. The Disney shows on ABC made it into a real competitor with the CBS and NBC.

Around 1960 Disney complained to Goldenson that there were too many commercials on the shows. As other Jews had done to Disney, Goldenson cancelled the two Disney shows. ABC had now made so much money off Disney that they could produce their own shows and, again Disney was cut out of his fair share of Hollywood profits by the Jewish "Brotherhood." To keep his shows on national TV Disney approached NBC. However, Goldenson refused to let Disney out of his contract and Disney took ABC to court. In the end ABC turned over all rights to Disney productions and products to Walt and cut all ties with him.

As Disney became more absorbed in his theme park he started shifting more responsibility to his son in law Ron Miller. This caused animosity from his brother Roy who felt that his son Roy E. Disney should be the future "King" of the Disney Empire. The problem was that neither Ron, Roy or Roy E. were really able to handle the Disney conglomerate and future events would prove this out.

When Walt died the bulk of his estate went to his wife and the female members of the family. His brothers Roy and Raymond were left out of the will as Walt believed that men should make their own livings and didn't need his help.

In 1967 Ron Miller and Roy E. Disney were elected to the studio's board of directors. Since both were antagonistic to each other, two "committees" were formed to run the Disney Empire.

Roy senior died in 1971, opening Disney up to challenges from all sides.

Some Walt Disney loyalists were appointed to key positions in the studio and this didn't fit well with Roy E. Loyalist Card Walker became the president of Walt Disney Productions and Roy E. resigned from the board. However, the stock his father had given him made him the largest single stockholder.

Ron Miller and his associates at Disney then proceeded to make a series of movie "flops" and this prompted Roy E. to make moves he believed

would keep the studio from going under. Advising Roy E. in his moves was attorney Stanley Gold, another Hollywood insider.



Gold urged Roy E. to hire his former law partner Frank Wells. Wells had been a vice-president at Warner Brothers. Roy felt he was in "good hands" with what studio employees

called the "Troika."

As soon as he was in place, the Jew Frank Wells recommended that the studio hire Michael Eisner to replace Ron Miller. Eisner had been the president of Paramount Pictures, and had been recommended for the job by another Jew, Barry Diller who was chairman of Warner Brothers.

Seeing discontent at Disney, the Jew Saul Steinberg felt that Disney was ripe for a corporate takeover.

Using rumors of discontent, the Jews drove Disney stock down almost 50% causing a near panic at the studio. Roy E's stock fell from \$80 million to \$50.

Roy was advised that a corporate takeover could be fought and that junk bond king Michael Milken was the man to "save" Disney. He was hired by Roy E., Gold and Wells without the knowledge of the other board members.

Roy E. had wanted to purchase back most of the Disney stock to stop the proposed junk bond takeover. However, the Jews at the Bank of America advised him that Steinberg could raise so much funny money that Disney would not be able to do so. At this point corporate raider Ivan Boesky entered the fray and made millions on the stock based on inside information he had received from Jewish insiders at Disney.

The Jews were now in a position to completely take over Disney. The Jews at Disney convinced the board to pay what is called "greemail" to Steinberg and he was given a \$31 million dollar pay off to call off his fight to take over Disney. Roy E's Jewish advisors came out on top taking control of Disney while Roy E. was "paid off"

by being made Chairman of Walt Disney Feature Animation at a yearly salary of \$850,000.

Gold convinced Roy E. that Ron Miller was responsible for the decline at Disney and that he should be replaced. Miller was asked by the board to step down and on August 17, 1984 the board asked Miller for his resignation. He resigned and his seat was taken over by the Jew Stanley Gold.

The Jews had effectively eliminated Disney heir apparent Ron Miller and now they started moving more Jews into Disney.

On September 22, 1984 the board of directors appointed Michael Eisner and Frank Wells to head Disney Productions.

Eisner then brought another a Jew Jeffrey Katzenberg over to Disney from Paramount. Katzenberg's job was to "revamp" Disney studios. Old Disney loyalists were considered by the self "chosen" to be "dead weight" and over 400 were fired and replaced by a hand picked team of Jews from Paramount.

Former Morris Agency employee David Hoberman was put in charge of Disney subsidiary Touchstone Pictures. Richard Frank was recruited from Paramount to "revitalize" Disney's television interests.

Jews had now taken over control of Disney. They used the Touchstone and Miramax to make racy and anti-Christian movies that Walt Disney would never have allowed at his studio. Although gays were prohibited from any activities at Disneyland while Walt was alive, after the Jewish takeover, Eisner openly invited and courted homosexual groups to hold "dances" and events at the theme parks.

As we go to press a number of magazines have carried news articles gloating over how "Disney would be turning in his grave" if he knew what had now happened to his theme parks and movie productions.

From the very beginning Disney was considered a "goy" outsider by the Jews who stole from Thomas Edison. They had defied the "goy" Edison and by their tenacity had beaten him. They blocked out Disney because he wasn't one of the self "chosen" and Disney had to fight his

whole life to break into the closed Jewish society called Hollywood.

Disney didn't like Jews and used every means at his disposal to counter them. According to Eliot, Disney at an early age had been informed by his father about the self "chosen" and how they operated.

For awhile Disney was able through the HUAC to root out those Jews and non-Jews in Hollywood who had supported Communist causes. At least for fifteen or twenty years, he had his revenge.

While Disney was alive the "Magic Kingdom" remained in good hands in spite of his spineless brother Roy. His self chosen heir, Ron Miller

proved to be no match for Ray and the ruthless Jews who became his close advisors.

Disney who was the descendant of Crusaders who left their village of Isgny in 1066 to fight the good fight, fought the good fight all his life. While alive he manned the ramparts and like his Crusader ancestors fought off the anti-Christ Jews. However, despite his efforts he could not defeat the Jewish forces who made up the anti-Christ kingdom called Hollywood.

The Jews may gloat while they savour their victory in storming and taking the last Christian stronghold in Hollywood. However, he who laughs last, laughs best, and the time will come when Walt Disney will have his victory over the self "chosen."

## Letters And Views



**Sir,**\_\_ Referring to Mike Stukey's letter. It's not down to the stupidity and ignorance of the UK voter Mike, the UK voter has been out bred and therefore out voted by the new head-butting breed of British (sic) citizen.

We are all more than aware that a vote on an EU Referendum would be rigged, with so many former Third World citizens now resident in our country, we are rapidly approaching Third World status where corruption is the name of the game of which vote rigging, is but one of the joys.

Exposing the Queen as a traitor is definitely a non-starter if we wish to get the majority of patriots on side but, attacking treasonous politicians and scaring them shitless, (not by flooding their inbox's of course), is a great idea. How do you propose that we go about it? I'm coming up to 77 years of age but I'm still willing

to fight for what I believe to be right and I do remember what **ACTION** really means. Do you? We had arms in those days Mike and used to shoot back at our now fellow citizens who haven't changed from the savages that they will always be. Pea-shooters don't have the same effect so constructive ideas please.

We are in the **REAL** world Mike and do appreciate what needs to be done and, I doubt that there is one person reading this letter who would not give his right arm for the joy of stringing up the treasonous cretins in parliament who have put us in this position. Should Heaven forbid the EU be recognised by the traitors that rule as being lawful, there will indeed be a clamp down on dissidents/rebels such as ourselves and useful guidance and leadership before that fateful day would be really appreciated. **Pete Lucas.**

### Literary Analysis

Sir,\_\_ We all analyse literature, we all read between the lines. During WWII my mother was getting many letters from family and friends and she said many things she had deduced, reading between the lines. I do likewise because my mother taught me. Of course real experts read the **wyrds** between the **words**. The wyrd is the blank tile in the Runic alphabet where this is given a formal designation than the indeterminate word space which can mean many things.

The **wyrd** is analogous to the number **zero** in mathematics. I became an officer at sea in 1956 and on ocean passages we use *Nories Nautical Tables*. I noticed that there were whole columns of zeros followed by the essential information. Zero is the hardest working number of all and some years later I was amused to read that a mathematician had got a great credit in the world of mathematics by recording the fact. I thought everybody knew that.



Agent Zig-Zag - *The true story of Eddie Chapman, Lover, Traitor, Hero Spy*, by Ben McIntyre. Bloomsbury 2007. This book is still in print. The subtitle does not make it clear that Chapman was a criminal with brains, with a few sexual ventures.

It is a splendid boys own popular adventure story.

Chapman had committed a crime in 1939 and the police were searching for him. So he fled to the channel islands where he was arrested and sent to prison there. This, by avoiding repatriation would save public funds. It is pleasant to see government officials acting in an economical manner, which I believe is rather uncommon today.

The Germans occupied the Channel Islands and when the date came for Chapman to be released. He wanted to get back to England so he volunteered to spy for Germany. A British spy was considered by Germany to be most important and he met von Gröning, a German aristocrat. With Chapman's importance von Gröning became his controller, their relationship was excellent and they became personal friends for life.

After training, Chapman returned to England by parachute and immediately reported to the authorities after some hesitation, British Intelligence employed him as a double agent. Chapman passed any amount of disinformation to the Germans. The most important I think was that he confirmed that the Normandy landings at 6am, 6.6.44 was a hoax, the main landing was to be at the Pas de Calais. This most reliable agent convinced Germany and Adolph Hitler held troops back so that we secured our bridgehead at Normandy.

At the same time Germany was desperate to find out how our anti-submarine work was so successful, so their "very reliable agent" was supplying them with ample disinformation.

But as is the way in this wicked world it all ended in tears. For some reason British Intelligence gave him a new controller who was disgusted at Chapman's dishonesty. He remarked to this "intelligent" that when von Gröning and he planned another operation he would estimate the costs and von Gröning would double it on the chit for the accountants which Chapman would sign. (How much do accountants really see?) It was not mentioned in the book, but we can be sure that as von Gröning was a gentleman, he would share the loot with Chapman. The controller then contrived to get him sacked, "the Navy then reluctantly agreed." Such is MI5 intelligence.

There are many pleasant incidents in the book – von Gröning and Chapman laughing heartily together years later, but I will not say any more and spoil the book for those who wish to read it. Recommended reading. Now a word from our amateur historian.

#### Note About The Above

I am a very fortunate man, my job gave me ample time to read and one of my greatest favourites is history. One finds a crucial fact in a book and one might have to wait 50 years to find the other which completes the story.

The above book has a crucial fact in it. In 1939 Germany had no spies in Britain. This is proof positive that Adolph Hitler had intention of making war with Great Britain and the Empire too. In fact he admired the Empire despite its many faults.

Germany wished to have free access to East Prussia, free of Polish customs and emigration controls. They asked Poland if they could build an autobahn across Poland as the freeway. When Poland refused they offered to build an underground railway which was refused again. Poland then was not a good neighbour - the Poles detested the Germans calling them dogs - probably religious, as the Poles are Roman Catholics. [ Editor: During that time Poland was seething with Edomite Jews and they were in fact they were dogs as per scripture]

#### From An Ancient Mariner

## Christian Identity Radio Broadcasts

# Yahweh's Covenant People

"In Isaac shall thy seed be called" (Gen. 21:12)

## The Regathering of True Israel

In The New JerUSAlem (Not to be confused with "Jews.")

"For my sword shall be bathed in heaven: behold, it shall come down upon Idumea, and upon the people of my curse, to judgment." Isaiah 34:5



Saturday nights, 8 ET (Sunday 1am BST)

[www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924](http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924)

# The Voice of Christian Israel

Pastor Eli James

## The Regathering of true Israel

The New JerUSAlem

"My eyes have seen your salvation... the glory of your people, ISRAEL."  
(Not to be confused with "Jews").

[www.anglo-saxonisrael.com](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com)




The Voice of Christian Israel, Sundays, Noon ET (5 pm BST)



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



THE A journal for moral thinking people

# FLAME

ISSUE 32  
50p (non-UK 55p)  
ISSN: 1060-1184

1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,  
Hemel Hempstead,  
Herts HP3 9EQ  
Tel: 07984 775937  
[www.theflameuk.com](http://www.theflameuk.com)  
Tel. No. 07984 775937



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10am (est) 3pm (gmt)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCas t.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

# Announcements

## The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church  
PO Box 25  
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.  
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

## The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By  
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

[www.anglo-saxonisrael.com](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com)

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


## The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

[thenewensign@gmail.com](mailto:thenewensign@gmail.com)

Previous Issues are archived at

[newensign.christsassembly.com](http://newensign.christsassembly.com)


## GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



## CONTACT

[pia-6@t-online.de](mailto:pia-6@t-online.de)

Für unsere deutschen Leser



Weitere Informationen aus den Autor: [thesynagogueofsatan@hotmail.com](mailto:thesynagogueofsatan@hotmail.com)