



CO-INCIDENCES?

POINTERS TO OUR HERITAGE

BRIGADIER G. WILSON

FOREWORD

As a Christian gentleman and a senior officer in the forces of the Crown Brigadier George N. Wilson follows in the ranks of many other believers in the destiny of the British, Celto Saxon people becoming the nucleus of the earthly kingdom of God and it was for the purpose of propagating this truth that the Brigadier compiled this book of 'Co-incidences'.

G.H. Wilson attended Woolwich Military College and was commissioned into the Royal Artillery in 1929. He served in India and actually led the last cavalry charge made by the British army, after the second world war there was service in Palestine until 1948 when the British army was forced to evacuate that country.

Retiring in 1961 to live in Keswick the old soldier became very interested in the Mountain Rescue Service.

It is with fond reflections of the Brigadier that I wish this little book every success.

Patience Strong



“CO-INCIDENCES”?

Linking Britain with Ancient Israel by G.W

INTRODUCTION

What is a "Co-incident"? How many 'co-incidences' have to occur before you can cease to write them off as such, and begin to realise that each of these so-called co-incidences is adding its weight to support a particular premise? We believe that the Anglo-Celtic-Saxon Peoples, and Britain in particular, are the literal present day descendants of God's Servant Nation Israel. We base this belief on the Word and Authority of Almighty God, given to us in the Bible. We believe that under Divine guidance our forefathers migrated— over a long period — from the Bible area of the Middle East to a place appointed for them by God in fulfilment of His Covenant with David: (H SAM.7:1 0) i.e. the British Isles and the North Sea fringe. We also believe that God has faithfully kept His solemn promise that David's throne (which IS the Throne of the Lord*) and his royal line should 'endure forever' and would have a descendant of David's line reigning on that Throne from generation to generation — holding that very Throne in trust until He comes whose right it is — Our Lord Jesus Christ, to take it for Himself and rule in glory, as promised in Luke I v32. We know that blindness in part was to come upon Israel, as part of their punishment, and that God's servant nation was destined to be totally ignorant of their origins, their name and their real identity: and this is so— absolutely today!

Nevertheless, if we look around us, we may perceive a considerable number of instances and indications in our national and religious life, in our history, our ceremonial, our national languages and especially in everything that concerns our Royal Throne; ALL linking our indigenous British People with their Biblical Israelite origins. Some of these items are listed here at random. Separately they may appear to be mere co-incidences and of little significance — but TOGETHER their combined weight MUST be considerable, and they all point in the same direction, confirming our belief.

We ask our critics to ponder — with an open mind — over WHY we do these things, and HOW they originated. WHY do so many of these so-called coincidences link Britain with God's chosen servant nation Israel of Old Testament days? WHY have the inhabitants of these Islands been so favoured and protected over the centuries? This favour and protection at times of extreme national peril (The Armada, Dunkirk, the Battle of Britain, etc.) can only be described as 'divine'.. WHY? It most certainly is NOT through our own merit!!

BRITAIN'S ROYAL THRONE

1 Our Coronation Service

Why does our coronation service bear such a remarkable similarity to that used when crowning the Kings of Israel and Judah? The crowning ceremony of no other nation follows this pattern; why should ours do so? For example:

a) The monarch is anointed with holy oil just as the kings of Israel were anointed. Even the wording used by the Archbishop stresses this when he says, “O Lord, Who by anointing with oil, didst of old make and consecrate kings, priests and prophets to teach and govern Thy people Israel”. The monarch is anointed three times, on the head with the words, "Be thy head anointed with holy oil, as kings, priests and prophets were anointed...", on the breast and then on the palms of both hands with the words, "Be thy hands anointed with holy oil, as Solomon was anointed by Zadoc the Priest and Nathan the Prophet, so be you anointed" ...WHY? Why drag Israel, Solomon, Zadoc and Nathan into it, UNLESS?

Is it also a co-incidence that the formula for making the Oil used in the Anointing service conforms to that ordered by God in Ex.30 v22-25,30.31?

b) Our Monarchs are crowned on or by the Sacred Coronation Stone, just as the kings of Israel were crowned. WHY? Co-incidence?

c) The Crown has twelve precious (or semi-precious) stones set around the base, these stones are identical to the types of stones representing the Twelve Tribes of Israel, they are arranged in the identical order specified by God — Ex.28 v15-21 and identical to those mounted in the High Priest's Breastplate in Israel (Zech.9:16). WHY? No other crown in the world has this remarkable symbolism. Why should ours have it?...Co-incidence?

d) Our Coronation Insignia is of entirely Israelite origin; bearing in mind that our Monarch is both head of State and head of the Church. Consider:

1] The Sceptre. “The Sceptre shall not depart from Judah, till Shiloh come”.Gen.49v10.

2] The Robe — was one of the special emblems of office put upon Aaron when he was anointed and consecrated High Priest.

3] The Rod. Other monarchs have sceptres, but none has The Rod, the special emblem of office of the High Priest of Israel. Why should our monarchs alone be invested with the regalia of Ancient Israel's High Priests? Co-incidence?

4] The Bracelets (Armillis), symbolic of the appointment of David as King of Israel upon the death of Saul (2 SAM.1:10) The Amalakite who brought the news of Saul's death to David, presented David with the crown and the bracelet saying, "I took the crown that was on his head, and the bracelet that was upon his arm, and have brought them unto My Lord." No other monarch is presented with bracelets — why should ours be? Co-incidence?

5] The Ring. At the same time as the Sovereign is invested with the sceptre and the rod — symbols of headship of both state and church, he/she is invested with the ring which is placed on the fourth finger of the right hand. The ring is referred to as "The wedding ring of England". It signifies the union of the Sovereign with his/her people and his/her marriage to the nation.

The Biblical symbolism of this solemn rite is remarkable when we recall the many references in God's Word affirming that He, The Lord God, was married to His servant nation Ephraim-Israel. For example, the Lord's exhortation to this ten-tribed northern kingdom — "Turn, O backsliding children, saith the Lord, for I am married unto you" J er.3 v14. Another reference is in Is.54 v5 again addressed specifically to Ephraim Israel (not to Judah) — declares "For Thy Maker is thy Husband: the Lord of Hosts is His Name". No other nation in the world has this marriage symbolism in the Coronation Ceremony. Why should WE have it? Or is it just another co-incidence?

e) The Anthem sung during the Anointing ceremony — the most solemn and sacred part of the whole ceremony, being the Moment when the Monarch becomes "The Lord's Anointed' is, by custom "Zadoc the Priest"...Coincidence?

f) The Presentation of The Bible to the Monarch, with the words "The most valuable thing that this world affords...This is the Royal Law; These are the lively Oracles of God". No other country does this!

g) The Monarch is finally conducted to the Throne with these significant words..."The Lord God Almighty...establish your throne in righteousness, that it may stand fast for evermore, like as the sun before Him, and as a faithful witness in Heaven". Now compare this with the Lord's covenant with David..."I have sworn by My Holiness that I will not lie unto David: his seed shall endure forever and his throne as the sun before Me, it shall be established for ever as the moon, and as a faithful witness in Heaven". Why this remarkable wording? Co-incidence?

h) In fact the whole Coronation Ceremony is based on that used in ancient Israel — right down to the finale..." And all the people stood and shouted 'God Save the King!' Why? Or is all this similarity just co-incidence?

2 The Throne and the Royal Line

a) The inheritance and succession to Britain's Royal Throne may be passed to and through the female heir, just as was laid down for inheritance in ancient Israel (NUM.27:8) so that a female heir may both hold the sceptre and/or pass the inheritance of succession to her heirs; as did King Zedekiah's daughter after his male heirs had been liquidated by Nebuchadnezzar.

b) Our Throne is still held by a descendant of David's Royal line — and of his forebear Judah, fulfilling the scripture 'The sceptre shall not depart from Judah...' Our Royal House trace their descent back to King David, and through Pharez to Judah (and also through Zarah, Pharez' twin brother).

Details of this succession through Scottish and Irish Kings were kept at Windsor Castle but are now held in the manuscript department in the British Library. (MS 43968)

c) The Royal Motto is "Dieu et Mon Droit" — God and my right — i.e. My Birthright. The Birthright was given to Joseph and then to Ephraim, the leading tribe of the Northern Kingdom of Israel. (I Chron.5:2)

d) The Royal Arms contain emblems showing their Israel origins:—

The Lion: Emblem of Judah, the Sceptre Line.

The Unicorn representing Ephraim, the Birthright Line.

The Harp of David: thus displaying the Emblems of Israel's Sceptre and Birthright Lines, and The House of David (from which the Irish Harp originated.) Many other heraldic links with Israel may be seen, e.g. the Red Hand of Ulster— this is the emblem of Zarah, Judah's other royal house depicting the hand round which the midwife tied the red cord in Gen.38 v28.

e) Finally we have the almost incredible or "uncanny" way in which this Throne of David (which IS the Throne of the Lord) has been occupied

continuously since the fall of Zedekiah by a descendant of David's line. The succession being transferred through Zedekiah's eldest daughter by her marriage into the Irish Royal line and thence into the Scottish Royal line.

Finally, when James VI of Scotland became James I of Great Britain, to our own Royal line — fulfilling the Davidic Covenant. Can all this be coincident? Only Britain has this throne. There is none anything like it, anywhere in all the world!

3 The Coronation Stone

a) It is well known that when seeing the Coronation Chair visitors to Westminster Abbey are shown the Coronation Stone — one of our most treasured national possessions; they are told that THIS is the stone which Jacob used as his 'pillow' at Bethel. In fact the guides refer to it AS 'Jacob's Pillow'. WHY? How did this so-called legend originate?

Why has this block of undecorated sandstone — apparently valueless — been SO venerated over so many centuries?

The history, since its arrival in Ireland about 583 B.C., is well known and documented. So too are the movements which culminated in its installation in Westminster Abbey by King Edward I in 1296 A.D.

b) The legend/tradition of the Stone's arrival in Ireland is recorded in various ancient Irish historical records. In about 583 BC a ship of the 'Iberian Danaan' (from Spain) landed at Carrickfergus bearing a venerable old man who was a Hebrew Prophet, a Hebrew or Egyptian princess of great beauty and the old man's secretary 'Brug' or 'Bruch' (Baruch?). They had with them a bound chest and a sacred stone which they guarded with great reverence. The princess also had a gold coloured banner with a lion emblazoned on it in red. (The banner or ensign of the tribe of Judah was a red lion.)

The princess married the Irish king and they were crowned on or beside the Stone. This custom continued in future generations just as it had existed for so long in Israel.

c) Now why was the Stone immediately recognised and ever afterwards regarded as a national treasure to be used as a symbol at coronation ceremonies by Irish and Scottish kings (who were themselves descendants of Zarah — Judah's other royal line)?

d)The Stone has two iron rings, which are well worn but show no sign of rust or corrosion, so that it may be carried slung on two poles. The Stone itself shows evidence of much travelling yet we know that since its arrival in Ireland it has travelled very little.

e) In 503 AD Fergus the Great established the Royal line and Throne in Scotland. He refused to be crowned without the 'Stone of Destiny' which after nearly 1000 years was still considered necessary for a coronation. The Stone was then brought by ship to Scotland. Later it was transferred to Scone where it remained until King Edward I of England seized it in 1296 and ordered it to be transported to Westminster by cart. There it remains except in recent times when it was stolen by Scots Nationalists and returned to Scotland for a short time.

These travels alone would not account for the worn rings and battered appearance of the stone. Clearly its history goes far back beyond its arrival in Ireland.

f) WHY did Fergus think it so important that he be crowned on or by the Stone? **WHY** did Edward I think it worth removing from Scone to Westminster. The Irish and Scottish kings, Fergus and Edward himself were all descendants of Judah: in fact it is said that Edward used to boast of his descent from the Trojans! (see (j) below).

g) Hosea prophesied (HOS.3:4) that Israel (i.e. the ten-tribed northern kingdom of Israel) "would abide many days without a king and without a prince... and without an IMAGE etc." The Hebrew word used here for 'image' is MATSTEBAH. This is the very same word used in Genesis 28 v18 for Jacob's Stone of Bethel, which he set up and anointed for a pillar of witness to God's covenant. In fact one modern translation has altered the word 'image' in Hosea to read 'sacred stone'! For once the modern version has improved the wording. This prophecy must be specific for the

Northern Kingdom as the Southern Kingdom of Judah had a king in Hosea's day.

h) Jacob's death bed blessing to Joseph, when bequeathing the Birthright. included the words "from thence is the shepherd the Stone of Israel". Now if this part of Jacob's prophetic blessing had been given to Judah to whom the sceptre had been given, then it would clearly refer to Christ — whose earthly descent was from Judah through David, Nathan and Mary. (e.g. 'and this stone was Christ'). BUT IT WAS NOT — it was given to Joseph with the Birthright. It is likely therefore that this refers to the Stone of Bethel which was Jacob's most treasured possession — his stone of witness to God's covenant with him; in fact the Stone was 'Israel's title deed' to the covenant. It is logical therefore that Jacob would make the holder of the Birthright responsible for this sacred treasured symbol, which we know the Children of Israel carried faithfully with them on all their journeys.

i) WHY should we — the British — now possess this Stone? WHY are our monarchs all crowned upon it? WHY has this Stone so miraculously and continuously followed this Royal Line and this Throne from Zedekiah right up to the present day? Is it all co-incidence or could it be that Jacob's Stone of Israel has — under divine contrivance — remained with "the Sceptre" that was not to "depart from Judah" — and with the Birthright that was Joseph's and Ephraim's?...Anyway, WHY has a piece of sandstone with two worn out ring which is of no intrinsic value been so venerated for so many centuries and generations? If all this is just legend and co-incidence and this stone has no connection with our origins. ..WHY?

j) King Edward 1 and the Coronation Stone.

It is not generally known that when Edward I seized the Coronation Stone from the Scots he also carried off their highly treasured symbols and regalia of kingship and national sovereignty, as well as all the documents that could be found relating to Scotland's freedom and independence

In response to the Scots earnest entreaties for their return there is reason to believe that Edward at least considered returning the 'archives' and

symbols of sovereignty, including their treasured 'White Wand or Rod' and their 'Rood Cross': but He flatly refused to return the Coronation Stone. Nothing was done about it until the young Edward III came to the throne. Younger and just beginning his reign he was more ready to compromise than his grandfather Edward I. He negotiated the Treaty of Northampton with The Bruce, and a marriage was arranged between Bruce's son and heir and Edward's sister. Edward III agreed to return the Archives' and all the other national symbols which are mentioned in the Treaty, but there is (conspicuously) no mention of returning the Stone — presumably because this was 'not negotiable'! It was reported that the citizens of London refused to allow him to consider parting with it!

Now WHY was the English King so determined to keep the Stone, and so adamant against its return; and Why were the Scots equally anxious to regain it? Both parties undoubtedly knew its history going back to its association with the Irish kings, but this has — ostensibly — little to do with England. The English kings must have known much more about the Stone to make its acquisition so very important.

We believe that both parties knew where the Stone came from, and that it was Jacob's Stone of Destiny... The Stone of Bethel.

k) Queen Mary 1 (Bloody Mary)

Yet another striking instance of the Lord's providence and protection of Israel-Britain is demonstrated by events in the Reign of Mary I. On the grounds that the ceremony of her brother's coronation (Edward VI) had been protestant she refused to be crowned on King Edward I's chair which holds the Stone of Destiny. She was crowned instead on a gilt chair that had

been blessed by the Pope and brought especially from Rome. Perhaps this is why there were satanically inspired attempts to bring Britain back under the domination of the papacy and to destroy her as a nation. The first move was Mary's marriage to King Phillip of Spain. Had there been a child of this marriage England would inevitably have fallen under Spanish and Papal domination with the papacy entrenched here forever. Mary became

pregnant and to the end it appeared to be a normal pregnancy. The fact that it was a false pregnancy and that she was barren, was nothing short of divine intervention. Her childlessness brought protestant Queen Elizabeth to the throne. Having thus failed, the Devil made another attempt to achieve England's conquest by Spain through the Spanish Armada! Thank God he failed again — as he will always fail. 'The Lord blew with His winds and they were scattered'! (more co-incidences?)

4 The Church of England Book of Common Prayer

The English Book of Common Prayer was first established in the reign of the boy King Edward VI (1549 and 1552). Originally it was largely the work of Archbishop Cranmer who is said to have included prayers that 'had been in use in the church in England for 1500 years'. It was re-established in the reign of Elizabeth I and again in 1662 after the restoration of Charles II. It bears the marks of the work of godly men, writing as the Holy Spirit directed them — and they knew what they were doing.

a) In the responses we have..."Endue Thy ministers with righteousness' to which we answer 'and make Thy chosen people joyful'. And again 'O Lord, save thy people' we say 'And bless Thine INHERITANCE'. When the Church — and most people — believe that the Jews are God's chosen people and His inheritance WHY should we pray to make the Jews joyful and blessed? Remember that in the 16th century the Jews were disliked, resented and persecuted so it is unlikely that we should officially be required to intercede for them! To those who believe that the Jews are God's chosen people these passages mean absolutely. nothing. But what would they mean if the congregation were THEMSELVES God's chosen people and HIS Inheritance? They would become highly relevant! Again to 'give peace in our time O Lord' we answer 'because there is none other that fighteth for us but Only Thou, O God'. The Bible says of Israel 'No weapon formed against thee shall prosper... the Lord your God, He it is that fighteth for you'. This passage

is addressed to the northern House of Israel, WHY do WE use it thus... Is it a co-incidence?

b) When Cruden's Concordance was first published in 1761

it contained a letter to the King dated June 11th 1761 containing these words: "May the great God be the guide of your life, and direct and prosper you so that it may be said in future ages that King George III hath been an Hezekiah to our British Israel"! Why to our 'British Israel' why not just to 'Britain'?

c) In the Prayer Books of Queen Victoria's reign (and also

George III and IV) the prayer to be used on the anniversary of the Sovereign's Accession ends with, "and that these blessings may be continued in after ages, let there never be one wanting in her house to succeed her in the government of this United Kingdom that posterity may see her children's children AND PEACE UPON ISRAEL, so that we may give thanks for ever..." Why 'peace upon Israel'? Why not 'peace upon Britain or the U.K.'? Also compare 'let there never be one wanting in her house .to succeed her' with Jer.33 v17 "Thus saith the Lord; David shall never want a man to sit upon the throne of the House of Israel". — Co-incidence? The prayer was discontinued later in Victoria's reign but how did it originate in the first place?

d) Now. WHY should OUR book of Common Prayer contain

so many references to Israel — in fact passages which equate us with God's Israel? We should bear in mind that the men who compiled it were directed by the Holy Spirit, and they knew very well what they were about e.g. it was not just by chance that they included 'The Benedictus' — which is specifically about Israel. Do re-read its opening verses "...to perform the mercy promised to our forefathers, and to remember His Holy covenant" etc. However, we should all be aware that satanically inspired efforts are being made (successfully too!) to remove ALL references to Israel from our worship as in the new 'Alternative Services' book. Passages in which hitherto we have sung or prayed as if we were God's people are altered or

omitted. (Everyone should read the excellent booklet '*Questions of Today*' by Mrs. Joyce Harper.)

Similarly, in present day Christian, Church and Evangelical teaching the Old Testament and the Prophets are given absolutely minimal attention. The gospel of the Kingdom— to which Christ gave such emphasis, is almost totally ignored and is seldom — if ever— preached from our pulpits! WHY is this?

For Our Lord and His contemporaries the Old Testament and the Prophets WERE 'The Scriptures' and WERE the inspired, authentic and unquestioned word of Almighty God. The Bible is God's own exposition of HIS PLAN to redeem sinful man and to establish His Kingdom on Earth by using His specially created instrument — His people Israel. It is one complete entity — the Old Testament leading up to the New. Neither is comprehensible without the other, the one provides the KEY to the other. Thus it is NO Co-incidence whatever that the Devil has been striving to impede God's people in using their vital key. No wonder he is conspiring to remove — by stealth — from the ken of the present day descendants of God's Israel such clues- as they have to their true identity and destiny as God's Servant Nation!

5 Language and Names

a) How did we — the British — get our name? What is the origin of our name and what does it mean? Our country is called Britain — is it not a strange coincidence that in the ancient Hebrew (the language of the Old Testament) BRITH means 'covenant' and ISH mean 'man', so BRIT-ISH means

'Covenant man'. AIN means 'land' so BRITH or BRIT-AIN means 'Land of the Covenant'!...Just another co-incidence of course!

b) There is a significant basic similarity between the old indigenous tongues of these Isles and ancient Hebrew (not Yiddish which is totally

different) e.g. between Welsh and Hebrew, between Gaelic and Hebrew and between Erse and Hebrew. Cornish is similar as also is Breton. How did these old celtic tongues of these Islands evolve? And why is there this basic similarity to Hebrew?

As mentioned above Yiddish— the Jews language— is dis-similar to Hebrew being a manufactured language of Eastern Europe with little or no Hebraic origin.

c) Is it a co-incident that in some ancient Welsh documents (written in Welsh) the writers describe themselves as 'BRYTH-Y-BRYTHAM' meaning 'Covenanters in the land of the Covenant'! Where did they get that from?

d) The migrating Northern Kingdom of Israel — Ephraim (The Ten Tribes) were known in various lands through which they passed by various names: e.g. Saac-ae or Sac-ae (of Isaac from which derives Saac-sons or SAXONS - sons of Isaac). They were also known as Beth-sac.

e)The BEHISTUN Rock in Persia has an inscription ordered by Darius the Great in three languages, describing (among other things) the captivity of the Israelites. The names by which they were referred to were KUMRI, GHIMRI and SAKAE. Compare KUMRI with the Welsh CWYMRU pronounced 'coomri'. Pliny, Ptolemy and other contemporary writers describe a people called SAKAE, BRETTI or MASSAGETAE as migrating westward. They are also referred to as the BETH-OMRI or BITUMRI, thought to mean 'the people of Omri who was King of the northern House of Israel when tribute was first paid to Assyria.

6 Waymarks of the Migrations - Northward and Westward.

Jeremiah 31 v21 reads "Set thee up waymarks, make thee high heaps; Set thine heart towards the high way, even the way which thou wentest, O virgin of Israel". As the descendants of Jacob-Israel — under divine guidance — made their way towards the 'Place' which God had covenanted that he would 'appoint' for them (2 SAM.7:10), they left a great deal of

evidence on the way of their passing. The migrations took place over centuries. About half of Judah's progeny had already migrated before the Exodus; in fact some of Zarah's family migrated before the children of Israel went into Egypt and some of Shelah's family joined with Phoenician traders and migrated to Ireland (Shelah was Judah's son by his Canaanite wife). The main body of the migrations of course was after the captivity of the Northern Kingdom in Assyria. Nor should we forget that 200,000 Judahites were taken captive to Assyria when the invading Assyrians captured 46 cities of the Southern Kingdom of Judah as recorded by the Assyrian King Sennacherib on his inscribed prism in the British Museum. These Judahites were NEVER Jews.

a) Very many names with Israelite family name derivation still remain along the path of the migrations: Dardanelles after Zarah's son Darda, Saragossa (Spain) originally Zaragassa meaning stronghold of Zarah etc.etc. The Tribe of Dan were particularly given to naming their resting places after their progenitor, of whom Jacob's death bed prophetic blessing (GEN.49:17) was "A serpent on the road is Dan" - he certainly left his trail! We have Danube, Danric Alps, D'n eister. D'n eiper, Danetz, Dan aster and soon, not forgetting DANMARK.

b) In the British Isles names with the prefix Dan, Don and Den are of Danite origin. Very early inhabitants of Ireland were the 'TUATHA de DANAAN' - The Tribe of Dan. From Spain came the sea-faring people the 'Iberian Danaan'. Ancient maps of Ireland show place names such as Dan-sower (Dan's resting place) and Dan-sobraise (Dan's habitation). Is this all coincidence?

c) The route from ancient Assyria to Ar-Sereth where there was a major staging area on the migrations, crosses the Caucasus mountains by a long and winding pass. This pass is known - to this day - by the locals, as the 'pass of Israel'. An interesting(true) story of this is that after World War I, a British army officer Colonel Pearse R.E. and his companion were making this journey. When they entered the pass they were surprised when their guide told them that the Children of Israel passed this very same way and that it was still called 'The Pass of Israel'. As a joke the Colonel asked the guide if he was an Israelite? To which he replied emphatically - "No,

BUT YOU ARE"! Although Colonel Pearse was at that time a practising Christian he was totally ignorant of any connection between the British and Bible Israel. Subsequently he became chairman of the Streatham branch of BIWF and a well-known lecturer on the subject!

d) A great number of archaeological 'waymarks', 'high heaps' and Israel burial sites have been discovered over the years - in compliance with Jeremiah's exhortation! Can all this be just co-incidence?

7 The Druids

Contrary to the propaganda assiduously perpetuated by the Church of Rome and others, there is very strong evidence that the Druids were highly cultured and were of Israelite origin. Their language had a basic similarity to Hebrew (syntax as well as vocabulary) and they NEVER opposed Christianity when it was brought to them. Caswell's History of England states "Druidical rites and ceremonies were almost identical with Mosaic ritual"...also "the Chief (Arch) Druid and the Israelite High Priest were similarly arrayed - even to the Breast Plate of Judgement with the twelve stones representing the Tribes of Israel and the Holy Name on the headgear". Charles Hulbert (AD 1825) in his 'Religions of Britain' writes - "so near is the resemblance between the Druidical religion in Britain and the Patriarchal religion of the Hebrews that we would hesitate NOT to pronounce their origin the SAME"(our emphasis). This confirms Caswell. Co-incidence?

8 The Apostolic Origin of the Church in Britain

It is well known and beyond dispute that Joseph of Arimathea regularly visited and traded in Britain. Especially he visited Cornwall for the tin trade and also South West England. Even until fairly recent times one of the tin mines bore the name 'Levant Mine' (The Levant was the area of PalastineLebanon). A very old traditional Cornish miners song went "Joseph was a tin man, we know for certain". We also know for certain that Joseph of Arimathea came to Britain with the Apostle Philip and built the original wattle church at Glastonbury - the oldest church in Britain and probably the first Christian church built above ground in the world.

The date is believed to be between AD 36 and AD 39 - before St.Peter ever set foot in Rome!

In 1927 archaeologists found Joseph's tomb stone with the inscription "I came to the Britons after I buried Christ I taught, I rest." Everyone knows the 'legend' of the Glastonbury Thorn, which blooms at Christmas time, and is believed to have grown from Joseph's Staff! There is, however, a strong belief that it was in fact Christ's staff which Joseph had kept and planted, and not his own. By Hebrew tradition a man's staff was a family heir-loom, to be passed on from father to Eldest Son; and Joseph's own son Joseph was with him, to whom he would have been honour bound to bequeath his own staff.

Who has not heard of The Holy Grail which Joseph brought with him? It was Joseph of Arimathea who provided the "upper room" of his town house for the Last Supper, and also the simple wooden cup for the wine, which he lovingly preserved and safe-guarded as his most hallowed possession.

After having to be taken from Glastonbury to Strata Florida in Wales to escape the depredations of Henry VIII, this Sacred Cup was entrusted to the Powells of Nanteos, who have safe-guarded it ever since. Traditions which have persisted and which have been as firmly believed as these, have seldom been found to be groundless!

There are authentic records that almost all (if not ALL) the Apostles visited and taught in Britain. Eusebius, regarded as the father of early church history states "The Apostles passed beyond the ocean to the Isles called the Britannic Isles" and Dorotheus, Bishop of Tyre wrote that Simon Zelotes and Aristobolus visited Britain and that Aristobolus (mentioned in Romans 16 v10) was chosen and consecrated by St. Paul as the first Bishop in Britain. There is a strong tradition that St. Paul came to Britain and actually preached on Ludgate Hill where St. Paul's cathedral stands today.

The Roman church's own eminent historian, Cardinal Baronius, Vatican librarian, discovered documents which he quotes in his 'Ecclesiastical

Annals', listing thirteen companions of Joseph of Arimathea, who accompanied him to Britain. This fascinating list includes the Bethany sisters and their maid Marcella and brother Lazarus.

Why should these disciples - and dearest friends of Jesus - have travelled all the way to Britain - of all places! Braving the seas as well? Is this just coincidence? Could it be that they were obeying Christ's pressing instructions to

them..."Go ye rather to the lost sheep of THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL"? They would know full well that a goodly proportion of lost' Israel were right here in these Isles of the North and West' - the place appointed by God where HE covenanted that HE would PLANT His People Israel (2 SAM.7:10)

A further question might be asked - WHY did the gospel come here to BRITAIN so very soon after the crucifixion...i.e. as soon as possibly three years and not more than six years after these momentous events?,

9 Jerusalem 1917

The year 1917 marks the termination of the punishment period of Seven Times of chastisement ordered by the Lord for their sins for Judah and Jerusalem. (LEV.26). Incredibly almost, Jerusalem WAS freed from gentile domination having been under the Ottoman Empire for centuries, in that very year- 1917. Is it co-incidence that 1917 in our Christian calendar IS 1335 in the Moslem calendar, and that Daniel 12 says "Blessed is he that waiteth and cometh to the thousand, three hundred and 'five and thirty days"! (1335). A prophetic 'day = a year. Is it a co-incidence that Jerusalem was taken without a single shot being fired by General Allenby's force of British, Australian and New Zealand units - i.e. forces of Britain and her daughter nations - an 'Israel' force! Is it coincidence that the Arabs called Allenby 'Allah-ennebi' which means Prophet of Allah/God?

Is it coincidence that the deciding factor which made the Turks abandon Jerusalem without a fight was the sight of the Royal Flying Corps flying over Jerusalem. Compare Is.31 v.5..."As birds flying, so will the Lord of

Hosts defend Jerusalem, defending He will deliver it, and passing over HE will preserve it". This was the Lord's promise and it was fulfilled to the letter. Is it co-incidence that this same squadron (14 SQN RFC) who flew over Jerusalem during those momentous days subsequently adopted as their motto "I Spread My Wings and Keep My Promise"?

10 Still More Co-incidences

a) Ancient Ireland. Abraham's chief forebear was Heber or Eber - Hebrew Y'B'R- from which the name HEBREW or HEBERITES is derived, thus Eberi or Iberi and ultimately Hebrides. In the ancient 'Leabhar Gadhala' or Book of Conquests it is recorded that 'IBERI' or Iberians were among the very early settlers in Ireland, and they named the country HIBERNIA. Thus Abraham's family HEBER - Y'B'R (there were no vowels in hebrew) spread it tentacles to 'The Isles'.

b) Ireland's connections with the Tribe of Dan are unmistakable. The TUATHA DE DANAAAN were also early settlers from their migrations and the ship which arrived in Carrickfergus carrying the ancient Hebrew prophet, the Hebrew princess and their sacred stone and chest was recorded in the Annals of Clonmacnoise as being a ship of the 'Iberian Danaan'.

c) This venerable Hebrew prophet was called by the Irish 'Ollam Fodhla' and a very strong and persistent tradition identifies him as Jeremiah. We know that Jeremiah had charge of Zedekiah's daughter and heir - the 'Princess'.

Royal' of Israel-Judah. To this day tourist guides point out Jeremiah's burial place on Devenish Island, Lough Erne near Inniskillen.

d) This Hebrew princess was the eldest daughter of Zedekiah, king of the two tribed southern kingdom of Judah and the last reigning king of David's royal line - until he was taken captive to Babylon after all his male heirs had been slaughtered (JER.39:6-7). Thus Zedekiah's eldest daughter would become the legal heir to David's throne and the succession of David's royal line became vested in her. Is it not a remarkable co-incidence that she was saved from the slaughter and taken, with the prophet

Jeremiah, first to Egypt and then to 'The Isles' - Ireland- the 'place appointed by God where she would be 'planted' to found a dynasty of David's royal line and perpetuate the royal throne?

e) Is it not a further remarkable co-incident that she should marry into the royal line of Ireland which traced its descent from Calcol, son of ZarahJudah - twin brother of Pharez-Judah from whom David was descended and thus Zedekiah and his daughter also? Consequently in this very important marriage the two lines of Judah, Pharez and Zarah, between whom there was a 'breach', were united, ensuring that David's throne and his royal line endure forever...just as promised by God in His Covenant (2 SAM.7:16; PS.89:3-4/27-37). This throne and royal line HAVE endured indeed right down to our own Queen Elizabeth II- and the 'Sceptre' has not departed from Judah.* (See Note below)

f) Is it co-incident also that under the guidance of 'Ollam Fodhla' or Jeremiah, Baal worship was eradicated from Ireland and a code of worship of One God instituted - almost identical to that of Israel? With this also came a code of laws almost identical with the laws and commandments ("The Isles shall wait for His Law" IS.42:4). This is historical fact, so we may wonder from where did they get this code of Israel worship and law if not direct from the source!

g) As previously mentioned Judah's younger son by his Canaanite wife was Shelah. He and his descendants migrated before the Exodus in company with Phoenician traders. They travelled to 'the Isles' (Ulster) and it is recorded that they settled in Ireland which they called 'Er's land after Shelah's eldest son 'Er'...Er'sland, or Erin - or Erie (Eire?) Is it coincidence too that the ancient language was Erse? Perhaps this is the origin of the common Irish name Sheilah or Sheilagh. I Chron.4 v21 records that Shelah's descendants as being workers in 'fine linen' - also pottery, plants and hedges! Ireland has always been noted for it's fine linen and what about Irish pottery?

Note

The account given here concerning Zedekiah's daughter is that which is generally accepted. However recent research suggests that the Princess

was not Tea or Tea Tephi as previously thought, but SCOTA, Zedekiah's eldest daughter. In accordance with royal Egypt custom this princess had, on coming to Egypt with Jeremiah, been adopted by Pharaoh Hofra as HIS daughter. This explains why she was thought to be an Egyptian princess when she arrived in Ireland. Research suggests that Eochaidh — Heremon of all Ireland, was Scota's son and not her husband, and that Eochaidh married Tea, daughter of Lughaidh. Lughaidh was grandson of Breogan who was Eochaidh's great grandfather also — thus they were all descended from Calcol, son of Zarah-Judah, and were all 'Judahites'.

The important point is that whatever the relationship of the Irish King to the Princess of David's Royal Line, whether son or husband, whether Scota or Tea, makes absolutely no difference to the succession and transference of the Davidic (Pharez-Judah) line to Eochaidh's dynasty. In either case this would be accomplished and the Royal Line and Royal Throne of David were planted in the appointed place.

It is also important to note that Num.27 requires a female heir to marry within her own Tribe in order to pass on her inheritance. This was done when the Princess, a descendant of Judah's son Pharez married into the Irish Royal dynasty which was descended from Judah's son Zarah. Both parties were Judahites — and the Sceptre did not depart from Judah!

11 Topographical Note

Have you ever thought about the astounding phenomenon of the 'Gulf Stream' which unseen and un-noticed warms and comforts us here in these Islands? Its physical benefits and natural consequences to us all are incalculable. Why should we have been blessed with it? Is this just co-incidence? Is it not because this miraculous provision of Nature was pre-ordained by Almighty God for the place he intended to 'appoint' for His special People Israel — the isles of the North and West: and because these islands are the 'place' and we are the people He 'planted'.

12 Why was Queen Elizabeth I known, as "The Light of Israel"?

In a letter, now in the British Museum, Sir Francis Drake, writing to John Fox besought the prayers of Fox that "God may be glorified, His church, our Queen and Country preserved; the enemies of truth vanquished, and that we may have continual peace in Israel"! Now why 'peace in Israel' — Why not 'peace in England'— What did Francis Drake know that made him pray thus? Similar evidence to the above can be read in the 'dedication' by the famous Elizabethan topographer John Norden in his classic geographical survey of England entitled "*Speculum Britanniae*" The dedication is to Queen Elizabeth and starts as usual with "To the High and Mighty Empress Elizabeth...etc." but here follows the remarkable part:— "Powerful protector of the undoubted faith of the Messiah, The Most Comfortable Nursing Mother of the Israel of God in the British Isles..." Why did Drake, Norden, Raleigh and many others equate Britain with God's Israel...as if this was common knowledge in those days? Or was it just co-incidence?

From Norden's reference to Queen Elizabeth being the 'powerful protector of the undoubted faith of the Messiah' it seems clear he was no ecumenist!!

13 The Pilgrim Fathers

The Pilgrim Fathers who sailed for the New World in their good ship 'Mayflower' kept careful records in which they referred to themselves as 'the seed of Abraham', 'God's servants', 'The Children of Jacob', 'His Chosen' and 'A vine out of Egypt into this wilderness'. This was rather strange phraseology don't you think? In addition they claimed 'the promise of God to Abraham' as justification for their expedition! What made them write like this? What did they know of the origin of their Race (dirty word — sorry!) that prompted them to make these claims? Or is it just co-incidence that they used this particular wording?

14 The Scottish Declaration of Independence

The Declaration of Arbroath AD 1320. This is a historic document of immense importance and of unimpeachable authenticity which can be seen by anyone at the Register House in Edinburgh. The Declaration was drawn up under King Robert the Bruce and was signed and attested by the Seals, not only of King Robert himself but by all the Scottish Chiefs and Nobles. It records the known origin and previous migrations of the Scots, declaring that they came from Greater Scythia, passing through the Pillars of Hercules, sojourned a while in Spain, and thence to settle in Scotland "One thousand two hundred years after the out-going of the People of Israel from Egypt"...The Declaration ends by stating "In their kingdom one hundred and thirteen kings of our own Royal stock — no stranger intervening — have reigned". We know that this was Israel stock of Zarah-Judah and after King Fergus the Great it was David's Royal Line also. Is it co-incidence that the Scots declare that they came from 'Greater Scythia' one of the main regions where the People of Israel spent a considerable time during the migrations after their captivity in Assyria?

15 Westminster Abbey

The Abbey holds a unique place in the spiritual, historical and ceremonial life of the British Nation. All our kings and queens are crowned here and it houses King Edward the First's Coronation Chair with the Coronation Stone of Destiny — 'Jacob's Pillow' — contained in the seat.

Westminster Abbey is unique in its ecclesiastical status. It is not a cathedral, and it has no bishop's throne, nor is it a parish church. It is a 'Royal Peculiar' —a distinction shared only with St.George's Chapel, Windsor. The Dean and Canons are subject only to the sovereign: neither the Archbishop of Canterbury nor the Bishop of London have any authority over it.

The Abbey abounds in symbolism which links Britain with her Biblical-Israel origins, particularly when we bear in mind that the Royal House of Britain and our Royal Throne trace their descent from the Royal House and Throne of David. A striking example is the magnificent great West

Window — called by those who know 'The Israel Window'. It contains twenty four panels, each depicting a particular subject and the choice of these subjects, together with their careful positioning is as remarkable as it is significant. At the top are three figures in line, the Patriarchs Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, left to right in that order with Isaac in the central position — being He in whom the Abrahamic covenant seed is called (GEN.21:12). Next below come the twelve sons of Jacob — the progenitors of the Twelve Tribes of Israel. These figures are arranged in two rows one above the other with the addition of Moses and Aaron representing the civic and religious Heads of the Israel Nation. There are thus seven figures in each row and the central positions are occupied by Judah (the Royal Line) and Joseph (The Birthright Line) respectively. The central positions in descending order are thus Isaac, Judah and Joseph and below Joseph in the bottom row is placed the Royal Arms of Britain with the Lion of Judah, the Unicorn representing Joseph and the significant motto 'Dieu et Mon Droit' — God and My (Birth)right. The window was dedicated in 1735.

We cannot but wonder what influenced the designers not only to choose those particular subjects but to position them in that especial way, producing eloquent testimony to identify those Israel Patriarchs with the Nation whose heraldic emblems are there emblazoned below them! Or is it all just another coincidence? Of course it can be said that the Abbey is a place of worship and therefore it is not surprising that the window should show Biblical subjects. Certainly! — but we must then ask WHY should Britain's Royal Arms be there included — and in that special position? We believe that window bears a divinely inspired message for our nation, pointing to our Identity and Biblical Israel origin; otherwise the inclusion of the Royal Arms is not only an irrelevance, but is totally out of place among the Israel Patriarchs.

CONCLUSIONS

The foregoing pages list a number of items which connect Britain and our indigenous British people (and people of British and Anglo-Saxon-Celtic stock) with the Northern House of Israel. There is very much more which could and should have been mentioned but space is limited.

As suggested in the introduction, IF there were only a few of these instances then they could be dismissed as co-incidences. BUT when there are so many, their combined 'chorus' just CAN NOT be stifled, neither can their combined message be 'brushed under the carpet'!! These links and similarities with ancient Israel MUST have come from our origins; the customs, ceremonies, traditions, names, etc. MUST have percolated through and persisted over the thousands of years, from generation to generation down to US... or alternatively, they must have been Divinely Inspired, and if so we must ask for what purpose?

Meanwhile, the Lord has been carrying out HIS plan to preserve His Servant Nation Israel (condemned to 'blindness' and to total ignorance of their identity) to form the nucleus of His KINGDOM to be set up here on Earth when 'That great Day of THE LORD' comes as it surely will: and also to 'hand over' the Royal Throne of David (which IS the Throne of the Lord — See Appendix I) to the Redeemer King of Kings whose right it is. It is for this specific purpose, and to fulfill His Promise in Luke 1 v32 that the Lord has so wonderfully preserved David's Royal Throne and David's Royal Line from Zedekiah right down to our own Queen today.

IF THIS IS NOT SO then WHAT has become of the 'lost Ten Tribes of Israel' — the people whom God swore to preserve, to protect and to PLANT in a 'PLACE OF THEIR OWN' that He had appointed for them? WHERE in the world is David's Royal Throne which God swore 'by His Holiness' should endure FOR EVER 'as the sun and the moon before Him'; and with a descendant of David's line reigning upon it perpetually? Or is Our God a liar and a breaker of His solemn and oft repeated Covenants?

No one can fail to see how the Lord has paved, protected and favoured Britain over the centuries — IN SPITE of our disgraceful behaviour. We have profaned His Holy Name, broken His Laws, and we continue to plumb the depths of perfidy and degradation as our iniquity abounds. We are a stiff-necked people—as were the Israelites!

When we were a God-fearing Christian Nation, and tried to obey His voice we prospered greatly; but when we forsook Him, nationally — as now,

He chastised us— as He said He would, just as He chastised Israel of old. (Another co-incidence?)

Is it a co-incidence that WE, the British, bear ALL the known Identification marks which the Bible gives, by which Ephraim-Israel may be identified? Again space does not allow us to enumerate them here, although we have covered some items in passing.

WHY has the Lord so loved and favoured us? He Himself tells us why in Ez.36 v22 et sq. "Thus Saith the Lord God: I do not this for your sakes, O House of Israel, but for My Holy Name's sake, which ye have profaned among the heathen whither ye went". This could be the ultimate and most telling 'coincidence! The Bible makes it quite clear that there is ONLY ONE PEOPLE to whom God has covenanted such great mercies — in spite of their great sin ONLY to 'backsliding Israel! The Lord's promises were made to NO ONE ELSE.

Surely NO people on this earth—other than the British, have been SO favoured and protected? Does this fact not indicate that WE, the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic people MUST be the present day descendants of God's Servant Nation of Israel? Listen to what God says to us in Is.43 v1 "Thus saith the Lord who created thee, O Jacob, and He that formed thee, O Israel: fear not, for I have redeemed thee; I have called thee by thy name; Thou art MINE"! Would Almighty God have been so loving, so long-suffering, so forgiving, so protective towards any people other than 'His CHOSEN', whom He describes as "His peculiar treasure, chosen to be a special people unto Himself, above all other people on the face of the earth"?

POST SCRIPT

Throughout these pages the question "WHY" has been asked many times. We venture to suggest that the ANSWER to each question, or the reason behind each co-incidence CAN ONLY BE that WE (The British with our Royal Throne and Line, and our English speaking sister nations, together with all Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples) REALLY ARE the present day descendants of God's ten tribed Northern House of Israel (the so-called LOST Tribes). We beg you not to confuse God's 'Israel' with the modern

state of Israel, or with the Jews! The authentic Jews are descendants of a remnant of the Southern Kingdom of Judah. The Northern Kingdom of Israel and the great majority of the Tribe of Judah ARE NOT and NEVER HAVE BEEN Jews. The Bible makes this absolutely clear.

Although we ourselves and the world in general are still blind to this fact, Almighty God has never for one instant lost sight of us. In spite of our sins — for which He had to put us away for a time, His loving care and concern for us has continued, un-interrupted and unchanging from the day he formed us as His people, throughout the centuries while He led us on our migrations to the Place He had appointed for us...right up to this moment! Nor has He deviated from His plan to use us (Israel) when He establishes His Kingdom on this earth. We are still His same People — stiff-necked, wayward, sinful, back-sliding Israel, though now in modern guise!

APPENDIX I

Explanation is needed of the various references which have been made to the Royal Throne of David as being 'The Throne of the Lord'.

David's Throne, which was (and still is) the Throne of God's servant Nation Israel, is referred to in God's own word as 'The Throne of the Lord' (see 1 CHRON.29:23) "And Solomon sat on the Throne of the Lord in place of his father David". Therefore it is vitally important to realise that Britain's Royal Throne which has descended from David's Throne IS STILL 'The Throne of the Lord' and also of God's people Israel!! Remember also the unconditional promises that God made concerning this Throne. He covenanted to establish it and preserve it forever. He promised in Luke 1 v32-33 and in Is. 9 v6-7 to give the Throne of his father David to Christ, our Redeemer King when HE comes in Glory to take it and to rule over the House of Jacob forever.

This is Why The Lord has ensured that this Royal Throne and the Royal Line did NOT die with the capture of Zedekiah and the death of his sons, but indeed has endured to this day — otherwise how can the Lord keep

His solemn promise to give Christ the Throne of His father David, if that Throne no longer exists, and there is no throne to give?

Referring right back to Para.1 describing our Coronation service, this is Why it is no co-incidence that when we crown the Sovereign who is destined to occupy this Throne, and when the great day comes to hand over this Throne of the Lord to Christ whose Right it is; such trouble is taken (unwittingly?) to conform to God's instructions for the crowning ceremony. This is why it is a deeply Religious Service, and NOT a Civic Ceremony.

This is Why The Lord has so faithfully and meticulously fulfilled His Promise that there would ALWAYS be a descendant of David's Line to sit on David's Throne — which is now here in Britain, with his descendant reigning upon it.

Without honouring this promise, the fulfilment of God's other unconditional Covenants would be jeopardised.

How many of the people involved in or watching the Coronation on T.V. from the Archbishops downwards have the remotest inkling of what they are really doing, and WHY the ceremony takes that unique form.

'God moves in a mysterious way His wonders to perform'.

A LAST THOUGHT!

Is it a Co-incidence that we have here in Britain, both The Coronation Stone Jacob's Stone of Bethel, symbol of The 'OLD Covenant' (Gen 28 v 13-18); AND The Cup of The Last Supper, symbol of The 'NEW Covenant' (Mark 14 v 22-24): which are the two surviving 'Eye Witnesses' to The Old and The New Covenants made by God with His People Israel! Is it by 'Co-incidence' that BOTH should have found their separate ways into the keeping of God's People in His 'Appointed Place'?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND AUTHOR'S NOTE

This collection of so-called 'co-incidences' and other snippets of information which connect the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic people of today with God's Servant Nation Israel was originally compiled by the Author for his own use. Subsequently it was suggested that it might be helpful to others in conjecturing what became of 'The Ten Lost Tribes of Israel'! We earnestly pray that this may be so.

The contents have been collected from many and varied sources and authorities. Some here have been gleaned from the multitude of booklets and other publications on this vital subject, some are from God's own Word and some are history and items of what is usually called 'common knowledge', all needing only their significance and relevance to be pointed out.

It is quite impossible to list comprehensively all to whom acknowledgement and thanks are due or to mention individually any particular source or authority. We sincerely hope, therefore, that this all-embracing acknowledgement and expression of grateful thanks will be accepted. **G.W.**

APPENDIX 2

Doubt has been cast on the statement in Section 1c that there are twelve precious and semi-precious stones set around the base of St. Edward's Crown used to crown our monarchs. This point was checked with the Curator of the Jewel House at the Tower of London (March 1985). The Curator, reading from the official book stated that "There are, around the base, twelve precious and semi-precious stones interspersed with diamond clusters".

In Section 2 it should be made clear that David is not mentioned in MS 43968 (now kept in the British Library, manuscript section). This particular chart gives the descent from Adam through Brutus. Charts

published by the Covenant Publishing Co. Ltd. by Rev. W.M.H. Milner entitled 'The Royal House of Britain' and by M.H. Gayer entitled 'The Heritage of the Anglo Saxon Race' both trace the ancestry of the Royal House through several lines of descent from the Patriarch Judah including Brutus who is shown as a descendant of Judah's son Zarah. Tradition places descendants of Zarah in many places including Troy, Spain and Ireland. The Royal Line from David and Solomon is detailed in The Bible as descended from Zarah's twin brother Pharez (Gen. 38). This line appeared to end with the death of the last king Zedekiah and his sons at the hands of the Babylonian King Nebuchadrezzar (Jer. 52). However there were princesses (Jer. 41, 10; 43, 6). See also the NOTE at the end of Section 10.

Copies can be obtained from any of the below named Trustees

Miss Margery. Dowling
25 Grange Drive
Euxton
Chorley
Lancs PR7 6JE

Mr G. Newsham
52 Meadow Rd
Galgate
Lancaster. LA2 0NJ



The New Ensign

MONTHLY MAGAZINE



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Issued monthly and downloadable free
from:

THE NEW ENSIGN