


I ACCUSE

By

H. MARGARET CRAWFORD

HIGH TREASON

**AGAINST THE QUEEN AND
BRITISH NATION**



The Rhodesian Imperial Flag

Contact us for details of audio tapes and articles by:-

Dr. Wesley A. Swift

Rev. Dr. Bertrand Comparet, A. B., J. D.

Rev. William Gale

CAPTAIN K. R. McKilliam

Pastor Don Campbell



HIGH TREASON AGAINST THE QUEEN AND BRITISH NATION

I ACCUSE
By
H. MARGARET CRAWFORD

THE FOLLOWING ACCUSATION OF HIGH TREASON against Lord Carrington, Lord Soames, Sir Ian Gilmour, Sir Anthony Duff and other members at the Foreign Office in their recent betrayal of Rhodesia has been sent by the writer to the Chief Inspector of her county's Special Branch. While holding no faith in the reliability of Mrs. Thatcher, we publish it without hesitation in admiration of Miss Crawford's brave action and because the media will do their utmost to prevent her accusation becoming public knowledge.

I wish to bring this accusation against the above named for the following reasons:

(1) According to the Treason Acts, a major definition of High Treason is "giving the King's (Sovereign's) enemies . . . aid and comfort in the Realm or elsewhere".

The development of the Anthony Blunt affair established that treason is still considered to be a crime, and that assistance to Soviet military aspirations is considered to be Treason. It also established that actions taken to assist the Soviets or other foreign powers, institutions or individ-

uals that are detrimental to the interests of this country are to be regarded as criminal if they involve matters of substance.

Nkomo and Mugabe actually declared war on the British territory of Rhodesia and implemented that threat; making a *de jure* and *de facto* declaration of war. These two men were backed respectively by the countries of Zambia and Mozambique, which in turn are supported and directed by the USSR which is officially recognised as a potential enemy of this country.

Eventually, the British territory of Rhodesia was handed over to Mugabe and Nkomo, thanks to the activities of Lord Carrington and his associates.

(2) Lord Carrington achieved this treasonable act by over-riding Mrs. Thatcher's clearly indicated intention to recognise Zimbabwe-Rhodesia and lift Sanctions, and by insisting on further elections wherein terrorism and intimidation were allowed full rein in order to ensure the accession to power of the Marxist Mugabe.

It is clear that Carrington had decided six months before the elections that Mugabe should be elected; because on 3rd October 1979 at the Lancaster House Conference, reproduced the preliminary version of the Zimbabwe Constitution for the first time and demanded an answer by 4th October. On 4th October Mr. John Giles, the Rhodesian delegation's Constitutional lawyer, was killed under circumstances that have, not been satisfactorily explained – there is strong circumstantial evidence which suggests he was murdered. The Zimbabwe Constitution is Marxist in every detail. Its worst feature is that it overrides the Law, and it has no blocking mechanisms to prevent the Executive from exercising unlimited powers. All democratic Constitutions contain such blocking mechanisms, as did the former Rhodesia Constitution and the Zimbabwe-Rhodesian Constitution.

(3) The objectives of Lord Carrington and his fellow traitors were two-fold: to ensure that Rhodesia should be available as a spring-board for a military attack against South Africa; and secondly, to get possession of Rhodesia's mineral resources.

President Machel of Mozambique has stated openly that South Africa is the ultimate target of the Marxist forces in Africa. This is because South Africa is one of the richest mineral-bearing areas in the world; and secondly, because control of the Cape shipping route is vital to this country and to the West.

It is Soviet policy to establish a global network of Marxist dictatorships. To do this they need to control the world sources of energy, food and raw materials; also the means of transporting these things to consumer countries. One of the means set up for this purpose is the Trilateral Commission, one of whose major objectives is the transfer of the world's minerals, fuels, and industries into the hands of super-national corporations such as the Company network controlled the Directors of the, Rothschild company "IMETAL". "IMETAL" already has almost total control of the world's supplies of uranium

Lord Carrington was a member of the Trilateral Commission from the early 1974, only resigning when he became Foreign Secretary. The political group of which the Trilateral Commission is a component organisation has reached an understanding with the Soviets whereby the former is to control the mineral wealth of conquered territories, and the latter the political situation.

Rhodesia was the main supplier of the highest grade chrome in the world. These supplies, vital to us not only for military hardware but also for the revival of British industry have been handed over by Lord Carrington to a Marxist regime. Another extremely valuable Rhodesian mineral is tantalite, used in the nose-cones of missiles.

(4) Methods used by Lord Carrington and other members of the Foreign Office to betray Rhodesia were: psychological warfare: the deliberate abandoning of a situation in Africa favourable to the West; and a continuation of consultations with the USSR concerning Rhodesia.

Outright invasion of Rhodesia by British or Communist troops would have aroused British public opinion, so the truth about Rhodesia has been suppressed by successive British Governments, and the British public has

been deliberately given a false picture. Lord Carrington has clearly been a party to this deception, since the Foreign Office has frequently exercised control over information given to Mrs. Thatcher about Rhodesia. Bishop Muzorewa, the Rhodesian delegation at Lancaster House, Dr. Kitty Little, and even Mugabe himself have all supplied evidence that Mrs. Thatcher was either denied information or prevented from having free discussion with Rhodesian representatives.

In May 1979, when the Conservatives won the British election, the West's battle in Southern Africa was nearly won. The Rhodesian Security Forces had captured or destroyed important arms depots: destroyed centres of military concentration; and captured intact all the documents in the ZIPRA (Nkomo) Intelligence H.Q. This information and the documents had been sent to the Foreign Office by June 1979, showing that the President of Zambia (Kenneth Kaunda) was personally involved in the Soviet terrorist plans; and that as a result of the Conservative election victory and Mrs. Thatcher's statements, the Soviet and terrorist forces were on the defensive.

One of the reasons given by Lord Carrington for not allowing Mrs. Thatcher to recognise Zimbabwe-Rhodesia immediately was that no other country would agree and that we should be on our own. This was a deliberate lie because, as he was fully aware, the French had already completed their statement which was timed to follow immediately Mrs. Thatcher's expected statement as soon as the Conservative Government took office. The Americans were also ready, and so were most other countries.

Lord Carrington continued the process of consultation with the USSR over British foreign policy which had been inaugurated on 17th February, 1975, by the then Prime Minister, Harold Wilson.

On 21st April 1980, Mr. Patrick Wall, MP, asked the Lord Privy Seal (Sir Ian Gilmour) "on what occasions the affairs of Zimbabwe-Rhodesia have been discussed at the regular meetings between members of his department and the Soviet Foreign Ministry that are held in accordance with the Agreement of 17th February, 1975, signed by the Rt. Hon. Member for

Huyton (Sir Harold Wilson) and Mr. Brezhnev, and what decisions were taken at these meetings?" On behalf of Sir Ian, Mr. Blaker replied: "My Rt. Hon. and Noble Friend discussed Rhodesia with the Soviet Foreign Minister at their meeting in New York in September, 1979. Discussions between senior officials have been held from time to time." It was added that no decisions were taken (but actions speak louder than words.)

Answering Mr. Wall's further question, Mr. Blaker admitted that the British High Commissioner in Lusaka had met the Soviet Ambassador, Mr. Solodovnikov, on a number of occasions. (Mr. Solodovnikov is a senior member of the KGB.)

(5) The holding of the Commonwealth Conference at Lusaka was against strong Special Branch advice. A senior Superintendent of the special Branch had visited Lusaka and returned with an unequivocal recommendation that the Conference should not be held in Lusaka, among other things, that a large contingent of KGB had been brought in, and all rooms where members of the Conference were to stay, meet, talk, or visit were to be bugged. The immediate reaction to this was a firm decision that the Conference should be held in Lusaka.

One document sent to the President of Zambia, a copy of which went to the Foreign Office, was an assessment of methods to be used to regain Soviet/terrorist influence. Among other things discussed was the use of physical violence to "force Mrs. Thatcher to agree not to lift sanctions against Rhodesia and to demand another election there". A later report was that the KGB were considering the use of drugs to help Mrs. Thatcher change her mind.

At Lusaka, and to assist in pressurising Mrs. Thatcher to agree to hold the Lancaster House Conference, Mr. Solodovnikov gave orders that the greatest possible deference should be shown to H. M. the Queen, so that she might be led to believe that giving way to the terrorists under his control would contribute to Commonwealth unity. At the same time he gave orders that Mrs. Thatcher should be treated with the greatest possible insolence to suggest that her honourable intentions would lead to a

possible disruption of the Commonwealth. Once Mrs. Thatcher capitulated, these orders were withdrawn.

(6) At the Lancaster House Conference the expenses of the Patriotic Front delegations were paid by the British public - that is to say, the expenses of men who were making war on a British territory. This was pure Treason on the part of Lord Carrington.

Each faction of the Rhodesian delegation was encouraged to expect success, Mugabe being plainly assured that he would not be disqualified whatever the level of intimidation. Mugabe, alone, was told the truth; but the worst deception was practiced on the Whites. The only reason they supported the Muzorawa Government in agreeing to hold fresh elections was that Lord Carrington guaranteed that an official document, signed by Mrs. Thatcher, would give the Rhodesian Commander-in-Chief (Gen. Walls) and the Rhodesian Security Forces full control of the election operation, to make quite sure that Mugabe's terrorist tactics were not successful. When this document was not forthcoming, ostensibly because Mrs. Thatcher had refused to sign it, the Whites were assured that Lord Soames would be fully briefed to act on the lines indicated [see Postscript on Rhodesia by Robert Blake in January's Candour].

Members of the Foreign Office team at the Lancaster House Conference were: Lord Carrington, Sir Tan Gilmour, Sir M. Havers, Lord Harlech, R. Luce, Sir M. Palliser, Sir A. Duff, D. M. Day, R.A.C.Byatt, W. Renwick, P. R. N. Pifoot, M. M. Fenn, G.G.H.Walden, C D. Powell, P. J. Barlow, R. D. Wilkinson, A. M. Layden, R. M. J. Lyne, M. J. Richardson, C R.L. de Chassiron, Mrs. A. J. Phillips, M. C. Wood, Sir J. Graham. S. J. Gomersall, Gen. M. Farndale, R. Jackling, B. Watkins Co., A. Gurdon, Col. C. Dumphie.

(7) The election itself was clearly stage-managed. Instead of being in control, the Rhodesian Forces were confined to barracks and Lord Soames took over from the legally elected Prime Minister, Bishop Muzorewa. Evidence of massive intimidation accumulated on every side, with armed terrorists roaming at will from the inadequately supervised Assembly Points. Election supervisors and British police provided evidence that

Mugabe's ZANLA guerrillas were waging terror campaigns and violating the cease-fire. Soames was informed that Communist cells had been set up on every farm and in every industry, and he received 15,000 signed affidavits reporting major acts of intimidation from one district alone (Umtali).

Some three weeks before the election. when evidence of massive intimidation was overwhelming, the Assistant Governor (Sir Anthony Duff) returned to London for consultations with Lord Carrington. On his return to Rhodesia, British and Rhodesian election officials were given orders to ignore AM affidavits regarding intimidation.

When Mugabe was proclaimed the winner indignant Rhodesian officials questioned Lord Soames about the briefing said to have been given him by Lord Carrington. Soames denied any knowledge of such a briefing. Later, he admitted that he had said privately to Mugabe that he never intended to use his emergency powers.

Presumably to make quite sure that Mugabe was adjudged the winner, the man who had been closely associated with the United Nations attack on Katanga in 1961 (Mr. Rajeahwar Dayal) was appointed as Head of the Commonwealth Observer Group which gave its verdict that the elections had been "free and fair". The result of Mr. Dayal's activities in 1961 was that the pro-West Katanga, with its valuable minerals, passed into Communist hands. Mr. Dayal's "verdict" ensured that the same fate befell Zimbabwe-Rhodesia.

An anti-Communist revolt was in progress in Mozambique at the time of the Rhodesian elections which, with help, might have overthrown the pro- Soviet Machel regime, but Lord Soames and his team not only helped the Marxists in Mozambique to quell the revolt, but he himself actually visited Mozambique after the Rhodesian elections to thank Machel for his help in bringing Rhodesia under Marxist control. The ultimate result of these arrangements is that a British territory has been handed over to the enemies of the Realm.

(8) I am informed that from 1945 to 25th April, 1980, the Special Branch were forbidden to investigate major criminal offences committed by senior members of the Establishment without permission "from above" - in this instance the permission would have had to come from Lord Carrington, and not only would his permission be required before the Special Branch could investigate his colleagues or members of the Foreign Office staff, but Lord Carrington's permission was required before the Police could investigate Lord Carrington's own criminal offences. But Mr. Leon Brittain's answer to Mr. Patrick Wall's question on 25th April now removes that barrier to Police investigation:

Mr. Patrick Wall: To ask the Secretary of State for the Home Department, whether the special branches of the police forces in England and Wales may investigate all suspected crimes without being required to obtain permission to do so from any Minister or official.

Mr. Leon Brittan: Yes.

There have been two further problems with regard to Police investigation of Treason and related offences. One has been that the Special Branch was not allowed to initiate an investigation --- that had to be started by M15 and then handed over to the Police. This placed undue power in the hands of the Director-General of MI5, as was illustrated by the incident when Harold Wilson resigned and his successor, Mr. Callaghan, promptly sacked the members of MI5 who had been investigating Wilson's treasonable activities, and replaced the Director General with one of his own friends.

The second problem is that wherever provincial Police forces can use their own initiative, the Metropolitan Police in whose territory crimes committed by Ministers and senior Civil Servants usually take place - are subject to the orders of the Home Office and Home Secretary. When, as in the present instance, the Home Secretary is, along with Lord Carrington and Sir Ian Gilmour, a member of a Poland Street Pressure Group (the international pressure group/terrorist network to which ZANU, SWAPO and ANL also belong) his divided loyalty could lead to difficul-

ties. but the wording of Mr. Wall's question is such that these two further difficulties are over-ruled.

Therefore, in consideration of all the above information, bearing in mind the present predicament of British citizens in Zimbabwe; and bearing in mind the essential importance of the Republic of South Africa in its relationship with Great Britain as an opponent of Communism; and especially bearing in mind that there is apparently a time limit within which to bring forward an accusation, I ACCUSE Lord Carrington, Lord Soames, Sir Ian Gilmour, Sir Anthony Duff and other members of the Foreign Office, not all of whom have as yet been identified of HIGH TREASON in that they have "given the Sovereign's enemies aid and comfort" in Zimbabwe. Zambia and Mozambique.

IMPORT - EXPORT

White Rhodesians who have managed to retain their sanity are now flocking to get out of the country. Australia is, quite naturally, one place to which many of them wish to migrate. If we had our way, the whole 200,000 would be here tomorrow at the expense of all the Turks, Mediterraneans and assorted Asiatics now being foisted on us. But the Government does not see eye to eye with us on this score, or any other. Mortified at the thought that we might receive a goodly influx of British Rhodesian stock, the Federal Government is to post an immigration officer in Salisbury to solicit as many Blacks as possible. Presently, prospective migrants must visit the Australian Embassy in Pretoria. South Africa - something few Blacks are inclined or equipped to do. A strange world. is it not when we export educated Whites to darkest Africa and at the same time busily import Blacks one step removed from tribalism?

THIS IS AN ACCUSATION OF HIGH TREASON AGAINST CARRINGTON AND SOAMES AND OTHER MEMBERS OF THE FOREIGN OFFICE SENT BY MISS H. MARGARET CRAWFORD TO THE CHIEF INSPECTOR OF THE SPECIAL BRANCH ON FEBRUARY THE 4th 1981. THE SITUATION IN BRITAIN TODAY IS THAT A POOR EX-SERVICEMEN WHO REFUSES TO SELL HIS HOME TO A BLACK IS SENT TO PRISON BUT THOSE WHO WOULD

SELL THE WHOLE NATION TO INTERNATIONAL COMMUNISM AND INTERNATIONAL FINANCE CAN GET AWAY WITH IT. DURING THE LAST WAR WE SHOT TRAITORS. DURING THIS SILENT WAR AGAINST OUR ENEMIES TRAITORS THRIVE BECAUSE THE ADMINISTRATION IS ROTTEN WITH THEM AND THEY HOLD THE SEATS OF POWER.

CARRINGTON ACHIEVED HIS TREASONABLE ACT BY OVER-RIDING MRS. THATCHER'S CLEARLY INDICATED INTENTION TO RECOGNIZE ZIMBABWE-RHODESIA AND LIFT SANCTIONS. BY DOING THIS HE ALLOWED TERROR AND INTIMIDATION TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT A U.S.S.R. SUPPORTED MARXIST MUGABE GAINED POWER. THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS WISH HIM TO GET COMPLETE CONTROL OF THE MINERAL WEALTH OF THIS COUNTRY AS WELL AS SOUTH AFRICA. CARRINGTON IS A BANKER.

THE INTERNATIONAL BANKERS CONTROL THE U.S.S.R. AND FINANCIALLY SUPPORT IT. IT IS THEIR IDEA OF A SLAVE SYSTEM WHICH WILL GIVE THEM COMPLETE CONTROL OVER MANKIND. NOTICE ALL THE MILLIONAIRES AND WEALTHY MEN IN THE LABOUR PARTY AND SOCIALIST MOVEMENT WHO SUPPORT COMMUNISM AND SOCIALISM. YOU WILL NOTICE THAT CARRINGTON, WHITELAW AND IAN GILMOUR ARE MEMBERS OF THE 9 POLAND STREET PRESSURE GROUPTERRORIST NETWORK TO WHICH ZANU., SWAPO AND THE ANTI-NAZI LEAGUE BELONG. YOU WILL NOTICE THE AMOUNT OF MONEY BEING GIVEN BY THE WORLD COUNCIL OF CHURCHES AND THE BRITISH COUNCIL OF CHURCHES TO TERRORIST ORGANIZATION'S DESTROYING CHRISTIAN CIVILIZATION IN AFRICA.

**from Frontline, Box 2696X, Melbourne.
Victoria 3001. Australia.
Previously Published by CANDOUR
Forest House,
Liss Forest**

A Warning for Americans: A Message from a South African

Author Unknown

People used to say that South Africa was 20 years behind the rest of the Western world. Television, for example, came late to South Africa (but so did pornography and the gay rights movement).

Today, however, South Africa may be the grim model of the future Western world, for events in America reveals trends chillingly similar to those that destroyed our country.

America's structures are of "Western Culture". Your Congress, your lobbying groups, your free speech, and the way ordinary Americans either get involved or ignore politics are peculiarly your "Western Culture", not the way most of the world operates. But the fact that only about a third of Americans deem it important to vote is horrifying in light of how close you are to losing your Western character.

Writing letters to the press, manning stands at county fairs, hosting fund-raising dinners, attending rallies, setting up conferences, writing your Congressman -- that is what you know, and what you are comfortable with. Those are the political methods you've created for yourselves to keep your country on track and to ensure political accountability, with freedom and justice for all.

But woe to you if -- or more likely, when -- the rules change. Americans may soon find themselves unable or unwilling to stand up to challenge the new political methods that will be the inevitable result of the ethnic metamorphosis now taking place in America. Unable to cope with the new rules of the game -- violence, mob riots, intimidation through accusations of racism, demands for proportionality based on racial numbers, and all the other social and political weapons used by the have-nots to bludgeon treasure and power from the haves -- Americans, like others before them, will no doubt cave in. They will compromise away their independence and ultimately their way of life.

That is exactly what happened in South Africa. I know, because I was there and I saw it happen.

Faced with revolution in the streets, strikes, civil unrest and the sheer terror and murder practiced by Nelson Mandela's African National Congress (ANC), the white government simply capitulated in order to achieve "peace." "Westerners need peace. They need order and stability. They are builders and planners. But what we got was peace of the grave for our society.

The Third World is different -- different peoples with different pasts and different cultures. Yet Westerners continue to mistake the psychology of the Third World and its peoples. Sierra Leone and Zimbabwe are perfect examples of those mistakes. Sierra Leone is in perpetual civil war, and Zimbabwe -- once thriving, stable Rhodesia -- is looting the very people who feed the country. Yet Westerners do not admit that the same kind of savagery could come to America when enough immigrants of the right type assert themselves.

The fact is, Americans are sitting ducks for Third World exploitation of the Western conscience of compassion.

Those in the West who forced South Africa to surrender to the ANC and its leaders did not consider Africa to be the dangerous, corrupt, and savage place it is now in Zimbabwe and South Africa. Those Western politicians now have a similar problem on their own doorsteps: the demand for power and treasure from the non-Western peoples inside the realm.

It is already too late for South Africa, but not for America if enough people strengthen their spine and take on the race terrorists, the armies of the "politically correct" and, most dangerous of all, the craven politicians who believe "compassionate conservatism" will buy them a few more votes, a few more days of peace.

South Africans, you should remember, have been in that part of Africa for the same amount of time whites have inhabited North America; yet ultimately South Africans voted for their own suicide. We are not so very different from you.

We lost our country through skilful propaganda, pressure from abroad, Great Britain the UN (not least from the U.S.A.), with unrelenting charges of "oppression" and "racism," and the shrewd assessment by African tyrants that the white man has many Achilles' heels, the most significant of which are his compassion, his belief in the "equality of man," and his "love your neighbor" philosophy -- none of which are part of the Third

World's history. The mainline churches played a big role in the demise of Western influence throughout Africa, too; especially in South Africa. Today's tyrants were yesterday's mission-school proteges. Many dictators in Africa were men of the cloth. They knew their clerical collars would deflect criticism and obfuscate their real aims, which had nothing whatever to do with the "brotherhood of man."

Other tyrants, like the infamous Idi Amin, were trained and schooled by the whites themselves, at Oxford, Cambridge, and Harvard. After receiving the best from the West, they unleashed a resentful bloodlust against their benefactors.

From what I have seen and read thus far, I fear Americans will capitulate just as we did. Americans are, generally, a "compassionate" lot. They don't want to quarrel or obstruct the claims of those who believe they were wronged. They like peace and quiet, and they want to compromise and be nice.

A television program aired in South Africa showed a town meeting in Southern California where people met to complain about falling standards in the schools. Many who politely spoke at the meeting clearly resented the influx of Mexican immigrants into their community. When a handful of Chicanos at the back of the hall shouted and waved their hands at them, the "compassionate" simply shrunk back into their seats rather than tell the noisemakers to shut up. They didn't want to quarrel. In America, the courts are still the final arbiters of society's laws. But what will happen when your future majority refuses to abide by court rulings -- as in Zimbabwe? What will happen when the courts are filled with their people, or their sympathizers? In California, Proposition 187 has already been overturned.

What will you do when the future nonwhite majority decides to change the names of streets and cities? What will you do when they no longer want to use money that carries the portraits of old, dead white "racists" and slave owners? Will you cave in, like you did on flying the Confederate flag?

What about the national anthem? Your official language? Don't laugh. When the "majority" took over in South Africa, the first targets were our national symbols.

In another generation, America may well face what Africa is now experiencing -- invasions of private land by the "have-nots;" the decline in health care quality; roads and buildings in disrepair; the banishment of your history from the education of the young; the revolutionization of your justice system.

In South Africa today, only 9 percent of murders end up in jail. Court dockets are regularly purchased and simply disappear. Magistrates can be bribed as can the prison authorities, making escapes commonplace. Vehicle and airplane licenses are regularly purchased, and forged school and university certificates are routine.

What would you think of the ritual slaughter of animals in your neighbour's backyard? How do you clean up the blood and entrails that litter your suburban streets? How do you feel about the practice of witchcraft, in which the parts of young girls and boys are needed for "medicinal" purposes? How do you react to the burning of witches?

Don't laugh. All that is quite common in South Africa today.

Don't imagine that government officials caught with their fingers in the till will be punished. Excuses -- like the need to overcome generations of white racism -- will be found to exonerate the guilty.

In fact, known criminals will be voted into office because of a racial solidarity among the majority that doesn't exist among the whites. When Ian Smith of the old Rhodesia tried to stand up to the world, white South African politicians were among the Westerners pressuring him to surrender.

When Robert Mugabe of Zimbabwe murders his political opponents, ignores unfavourable court decisions, terrorizes the population and siphons off millions from the state treasury for himself and his friend,

South Africa's new President Thabo Mbeki holds his hand and declares his support. That just happened a few weeks ago.

Your tax dollars will go to those who don't earn and don't pay. In South Africa, organizations that used to have access to state funds such as old age homes, the arts, and veterans' services, are simply abandoned.

What will happen is that Western structures in America will be either destroyed from without, or transformed from within, used to suit the goals of the new rulers. And they will reign either through terror, as in Zimbabwe today, or exert other corrupt pressures to obtain, or buy votes. Once power is in the hands of aliens, don't expect loyalty or devotion to principle from those whose jobs are at stake. One of the most surprising and tragic components of the disaster in South Africa is how many previously anti-ANC whites simply moved to the other side.

Once you lose social, cultural, and political dominance, there is no getting it back again.

Unfortunately, your habits and values work against you. You cannot fight terror and street mobs with letters to your Congressmen. You cannot fight accusations of racism with prayer meetings. You cannot appeal to the goodness of your fellow man when the fellow man despises you for your weakness and hacks off the arms and legs of his political opponents.

To survive, Americans must never lose the power they now enjoy to people from alien cultures. Above all, don't put yourselves to the test of fighting only when your backs are against the wall. You will probably fail.

Millions around the world want your good life. But make no mistake: They care not for the high-minded ideals of Jefferson and Washington, and your Constitution or Bill of Rights. What they want are your possessions, your power, and your status.

And they already know that their allies among you, the "human rights activists," the skilful lawyers and the left-wing politicians will fight for

them, and not for you. They will exploit your compassion and your Christian charity, and your good will.

They have studied you, Mr. and Mrs. America, and they know your weaknesses well. They know what to do. Do you?





Rhodesia and Capital Salisbury

(Now Zimbabwe)

Thanks to the treachery of traitors within, the country of Rhodesia and its capital Salisbury no longer exists - that fine country is but a fond memory.

THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE CHURCH

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE



**"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the
Word of the Lord from Jerusalem"
(Isaiah 2:3)."**