

The New Ensign

No. 175 March 2024

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,



[Click to Play](#)

The Enemy Is in Turmoil as Another Rothschild Falls off His Perch

The Rothschild family announced on 26th Feb 2024, that Jacob Rothschild had passed away, however, no mention of the actual date he died was given. At about the same time an unusual parade of 3 horses, one white and two black, were seen riding down the Mall,

It would seem this event was associated with the death of Lord Rothschild. There were 2 black horses and their riders in black uniform with one carrying a furred standard in black while the rider

on the white horse was wearing a red uniform holding a dipped black flag in his right hand.

The white horse would represent the monarch, while the red coat, Esau/Edom. The dipped black flag in honour of Jacob Rothschild who was the real head of the British/City of London worldwide Empire, whose family having taken full control of the British Monarch's assets, when Britain went bankrupt in the early 1800's. Collectively, the 3 horses represent the 3 world control centres - London, Rome and Washington DC.

The black and white horses represent the *“Tree of The Knowledge of Good and Evil,”* symbolically planted in the 64 acres of the financial centre of the City,

Its difficult to know what's really going on behind the scenes at the top while being fed a daily dose pantomime as EU and USA officials panic over the deteriorating situations in the Ukraine and the Middle East.

While events are not going to plan as the Elite would like, the enemy is becoming more and more dangerous. We must therefore keep focused on Yahweh, not on the beast system's pronouncements, as many of our people did when they became involved in its medical intervention and are now paying the price!

Editors (editor@newensign.com)

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine.

Contents

	Page
Yahweh Is The Holy One of Israel (Part 3) -- Pastor Eli James	3
OT “Word for Word” Exodus Part 26 Pastor Steven H. Anderson	8
Harold Stough Notes - The Bryn Celli Du Burial Chamber. Wales	11
Great Tartaria Its History and Destruction (Part 3) - James W Lee	12
Methylene Blue: A Molecule Made for This Time? - Dr Tess Lawrie	16
Suspended Animation - Arthur Firstenburg	17
Letters And Views	22
The Misleading Term Zog - Karl Rad	30
Christian Orthodox Twist On Tucker-Putin Interview	36
Putin Makes New Tartaria Archive Public - Medeea Greere	37

**Yahweh Is the Holy One of Israel (Part 3)
Or
Apologia in Defence of the Sacred Names: Yahweh
and Yahshua
Or
Yahweh Versus Chutzpah By Pastor Eli James
(eurofolkradio.com, anglo-saxonisrael.com)**



Below is an enlarged version of the welcome page to “I Saw The Light Ministries! Website”

This is not a denomination or religion! This is an independent ministry doing the end-time work of God! This ministry is not affiliated with any of man’s religions.

We do not claim to be the only true church (body of Christ with many members). This ministry seeks to do the will of The Almighty Alpha & Omega (God) and to perform the specific tasks that God has called us to do.

What do you believe and why? Most people believe what they do because of where they live and what their families believe. Most people born in India are Hindu. Most people born in an Arab country are Muslim. Most people born in China are Buddhist. Many people born in Korea are either atheist or Buddhist.

Most people born in the northern parts of the U.S. are Catholic. Most people born in the south are Baptist. Most people born in the Appalachian Mountains are Pentecostal or Baptist. Most people born in Utah are Mormon. A great number of people believe

it doesn’t matter what they believe, as long as you believe in a God. But the scriptures tell us that the devils also believe but tremble. God tells us to prove all things. He doesn’t tell us to just make up anything or just go along with what others think or what we have been taught.

I fully encourage you to take the time to pray and fast concerning the articles on this website. Our goal is 100% total truth according to holy scripture. No guessing and no just going along for the sake of religious political correctness. Let’s be pleasers of God, not of man.

Please read the articles with your bible. If you disagree with an article, please fast and pray. Now, let’s study!

ON THE BOTTOM LINE, WITH HIS NAME, IS THIS STATEMENT: “Click here to learn why I am called an “Apostle.” This is followed by this astounding claim: “It has nothing to do with me exalting myself. It’s only the position that the Almighty has appointed for me. That was His choice, not mine.”

So! We must assume that the “Holy Spirit” has exalted him. Since he identifies himself as “Apostle Zimmerman,” I will often use the abbreviation, AZ. We will see if the Almighty has appointed him or whether this is self-exaltation! Perhaps he is an apostle in the vein of the “Apostle” Judas.

Let us begin this critique of “Apostle Zimmerman” and his claims about the Sacred Names! We may have to borrow Sherlock Holmes’ magnifying glass, because we cannot

gloss over any of his statements. Says Zimmerman:

What is the Name of The Almighty Creator?



Jehovah, Yahovah, Yah, Yeh Yahweh, YHWH, Yahshua, Yeshua or Jesus or What?

- Step 1 Pray now!
- Step 2 Open your bible now
- Step 3 Read article with your bible
- Step 4 Fast & pray more!

[This is good advice at any time! We may have to pray even harder to decipher his arguments! Let us take the author’s advice at this point. - Eli]

This article is important to everyone! Pentecostals, Protestants, King James Only advocates, Catholics, Hebrew Roots followers, sacred names believers, Torah followers, Jewish, Jehovah Witnesses & even Muslims. If you use any of the following words, this article will be very important to you: "Jesus, Hallelujah, Jehovah, Yehovah, Yah, Yahweh, Yeshua, Yahshua or YHWH".

What is the name of the creator? Jehovah Witnesses say his name is Jehovah. Hebrew roots movement groups proclaim the Yah names. They claim that there was no letter J in Hebrew. Most English speaking people proclaim Jesus name.

[**Comment:** Right off the bat, the author poo-poo's the well-known fact that the letter J was not in existence in the Hebrew language; but this is one of most egregious errors that AZ makes.

And I will provide much more documentation than Zimmerman does. It is true that most English speakers proclaim the Jesus name. But English speakers are about the only ones who do!

This fact alone is an argument against his thesis, for if it is true that the Hebrew **YHWH** should

be pronounced “Jesus,” why is it that only English has the hard J sound? In addition, those languages that have the letter J in their alphabets do not pronounce it like a J but as a Y.

Only the French comes close with a zh sound, as in “du jure.” Did all of the other languages lose this sound – or did English adopt it?



For proof of what I have just stated, here is an analysis by Graham Howe (above):

Which languages don't have any letter for the sound of J, just like the Spanish speak H where they find J?

There is no language which has the letter J which does not have a sound for it. It’s simply that in many languages - indeed, **in the vast majority of them - the letter J represents a different sound to that which it usually has in English.**

In German, Dutch, the Scandinavian languages and most of the Slavic languages, as well as Albanian; J is pronounced like the English letter Y. The sound of English J does not exist in native words in German, Dutch, and Scandinavian languages.

Some of the Slavic languages do have a sound similar to English J, but it is spelt differently: in Polish, it’s spelt dź or dż (actually these two combinations of letters are pronounced slightly differently, but to the English-speaking ear they both sound similar to the English J sound: the English word “jam” (as in marmalade) has been borrowed by Polish, but is spelt dżem. In Croatian, it’s spelt dž - and “jam” is “džem”.

In Turkish, Portuguese, Catalan and French, “j” represents a sound similar to the sound of “s” in “pleasure” or “measure. Turkish has a sound like English J, but it is spelt C: so the Turkish word “cami” = “mosque” - is pronounced similarly to the English word “jammy”. The other languages mentioned here do not have the sound of English J.

Italian does not have the letter J at all; it does have a sound like English J, but it is spelt with a G followed by i or e: (English also has this convention in many words: the Italian word for “general” is “generale”; the word “junta” is spelt “giunta” in Italian.

Welsh has the letter J, pronounced as in English, but it does not occur in any native Welsh words; this is a curious anomaly, since one of the most common Welsh names is “Jones”. Scottish and Irish Gaelic have no letter J.

Many languages, such as Greek, Russian and most of the languages of Asia, India and the far east, do not have the letter J, simply because they use a different alphabet or script to other Western European languages. [Source](#).



called “Gian Giorgio Trissino” (above) in the year 1524. It was used in Roman Numerals, but back then, it was an alternative way of writing I, not its own letter. Before the letter J, Jesus’ name was pronounced “Yeh-Soos”.



AZ actually tries to argue that the Letter J did exist in BC times, but he is the only person in the world, to my knowledge, who makes this claim. AZ is definitely out on a limb. We will find out later on whether the promoter of “ISawtheLight” is able to sustain this claim. I will present a thorough refutation of his main arguments later on.

Further Comment. Our divinely appointed apostle has a real problem as to how the letter J and the J sound have miraculously disappeared from all of these languages, including Greek, Latin, Hebrew and Aramaic.

For starters, if you type into your browser the question, “Did the letter J exist in biblical times,” here is the answer you get:

No

Polish does have a J sound, but it is spelled dz. Here is another argument against his proclamation: (When Was The Letter “J” Invented? And Was It Before Jesus?) Writing & Speaking Tips / By Martin Lassen

AZ is the only person to make this claim, although it appears that he has hired other people to repeat his claims in order to make it appear as though he has support.

Some of you may be aware that the letter J is one of the more recent additions to our alphabet. But when was it invented? And what was language like before it came into existence?

Back to our intrepid author. – Eli]


When Was The Letter “J” Invented? The Letter J was invented for the Italian language by a man

What is the real truth? - Are we damned if we use our modern native language instead of the ancient Hebrew language? - Is a person damned if they do not accept the Hebrew names? - Are the Yah names truly Hebrew? - What is the total truth about the "sacred names" issue? - Must we

do away with the name "Jesus"? - Must we stop using the titles "God" & "Lord"? - What does Hallelujah & Jehovah mean? - What name did Moses write?

[**Comment:** There are radical Yahwists who say that those who do not use the Sacred Names, Yahweh and Yahshua, are lost and must be rebuked.

These people are a fringe group, who insist that using the names 'Jesus' and 'God' is heresy. I am not one of them. I have personally borne the brunt of their radical scorn. I am a moderate on this issue, as I understand that when unfamiliar terminology is used before the uninitiated, confusion results.



Abraham Isaac and Judah - Michelangelo

It is always best to repeat that "Jesus = Yahshua" and vice versa. My basic position is this: Since we Anglo-Saxons, Caucasians, Celts and Brits are the true descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, we should embrace our Adamic, Shemitic, Hebrew heritage and not regard it as "Jewish," or, just as importantly, not disregard it as unchristian!

Most Christians have zero knowledge of the etymological history of biblical terms, and this is a most unfortunate fact. I leave it up to the Christian Israelite's own discretion as to how to proceed with such knowledge. Honey is sweeter than vinegar.

Life is hard enough without having to be condemned by heavy-handed critics because you may not accept their terminology. On the other hand, the world is full of fatherly or motherly "advisors," who, with reassuring words, tell big, fat lies. – Eli.] Back to AZ: If you have been dealing with these issues, you owe it to The Almighty Creator & to yourself to read

this entire page & to do much fasting & much prayer! This will be one of the most important decisions in your life!

[Indeed, it will! But such language makes me suspicious. The author is trying to convince you of his sincerity and acumen with such appeals. It is an **Emotional** appeal. Yahshua (Jesus) never made such appeals.

He was always straightforward and to the point. We will see if the warnings and appeals made in this essay are warranted. Let's just analyse his arguments without a lot of *Sturm und Drang*. – Eli]

To keep things simple & easy to understand, I highly recommend that you first read through the entire article slowly without clicking on any of the links. Then go back & read it again, clicking on the links that you are most interested in, which document & proves what has been presented in this article. Many of the underlined words are also links to provide documentation & proof what each statement.

Everything is documented & proven. Only facts are presented. [This remains *to be seen!* – Eli]

If you want to get deeper into the study, you can go back & click on every link to see every bit of the evidence. I urge you to not discount & reject this article without first examining all of the evidence that I present in the entirety of the article. [To be sure, this will be done! – Eli]

But to first understand what is being said, it's best to first just read the article & then later go back & examine the source links.

What is Traditionally Taught

Most people are taught that in the original Old Testament, the name of the creator appeared as "YHWH" or perhaps YHVH, and then people add vowels between each letter, in order to translate it into either Yahweh, Jehovah or some other variation of the Y names such as Yehovah, Yahshua, Yeshua, etc.

All of these names & hundreds of variations are based upon the letters of YHWH or JHWH. And this is why many people believe that "Jesus" should be written as "Yeshua" or some other Y name variant.

[This statement is essentially correct, but the idea that there are “hundreds” of variations is an exaggeration. There are only a few.

Ironically AZ is trying to provide one of those variations by claiming that the Hebrew YHWH should be spelled and pronounced as “Jesus”!!!! – Eli]

The very first thing we need to understand is that when you go to school in Israel [“Apostle” Zimmerman is speaking of that rat hole in the Middle East! The Abomination of Desolation and the Empire of Deceit! – Eli], they teach you that the language of the Jews is not the original Hebrew language. The style of writing letters is also not original Hebrew.

As historical records show, and as they teach you in school in Israel, the style of writing & the alphabet is called "the Assyrian Script". [So, if an idea is taught in the Israeli State, it **Must** be true! Right? **Believe the rabbis!** LOL! – Eli]



Paleo-Hebrew

This is because when Israel was taken captive by Babylon, thousands of years ago, in the B.C. years, the original, true Hebrew language, called "Paleo-Hebrew" was banned by Babylon & so was the original, true name of the creator. The Israelites were forced to learn, speak & write the Assyrian language & Assyrian Script which both the Assyrian Empire & the Babylonian Empire used.

[COMMENT: Here, I must insert a detailed criticism. Unfortunately for the “Apostle” Zimmerman, he makes several false/misleading statements.

1) Since the Jewish people ARE NOT the Israel of Yahweh, what is taught in the Abomination of Desolation is irrelevant. Also, the modern “block Hebrew” script is a rabbinical invention, which cannot be applied to paleo-Hebrew. Linguistic trickery is a favourite sport of the rabbis.



Chabad Lubavitchers

2) The Assyrian language was never spoken or written in Babylon. No Judahites were ever forced to speak that language. This assertion by AZ is one of the grossest errors he makes, because he gets this nonsense from a Hasidic source, supposing that Hassidic Jews are the descendants of the Judahites!!! **Wow!!!! Double Wow!**

3) a.) Furthermore, AZ is relying on **Talmudic** pronouncements when he makes this claim. Such claims, as proven in the Introduction above, have no bearing on the Hebrew Bible, as Talmudism is the denial of biblical Mosaism. Rabbinism is the **Pretence** of biblicism.

Therefore, it is wholly unproductive and fruitless to base any historical argument on the opinions of the rabbis, especially Chabad Lubavitchers, who are the worst of the lot. Consider the source! AZ is asserting as historical fact a very broad, erroneous and misleading rabbinical commentary. From the website that AZ cites here, we read:


4) It was taught: Rabbi said: “Torah was originally given to Israel in Ashurit script. When they sinned, it was changed to roetz (Ivri script). When they repented, Ashurit script was reintroduced . . .”

This is rabbinical nonsense. I will have more to say about Assyrian script later on. Hence, AZ is making a statement about Scripture which is nothing but rabbinical rubbish. I would have to think that AZ is of the assumption that what the rabbis teach is also what Moses taught?

Yahshua told the Pharisees, “Had you believed Moses, you would believe Me.” Most Christians do not realize that rabbinism is the very

“traditions of the elders that both Yahshua and Paul condemned in the New Testament.

Here is another rabbinical statement which suggests that what the “good Rebbe” said is not to be taken literally:



In the Cambridge History of Judaism, “The term Assyrian refers to the Aramaic script and may be reminiscent of the fact that it was during the period of Assyrian domination that Aramaic script and language received official status; the use of ‘Assyria Grammata’ by Greek writers has a similar origin.

So, we can see that AZ has made a **Major Mistake** about the language of the Hebrews and of the Bible being written in ever take a rabbinical pronouncement at face value. Furthermore, the correct historical situation, as the Cambridge statement implies, is that the Assyrians adopted Aramaic, not vice versa. So, AZ has the situation backwards!

4) The Judahites in Babylon were, out of necessity, forced to adopt Aramaic, but they were not forced to give up Hebrew. They simply picked up Aramaic as a second language because they had to have social intercourse with the Babylonians. After 70 years of captivity, the common people of Judah forgot Hebrew, but the Judahite leadership, such as Ezra and Nehemiah, were still fluent in Hebrew.

Here Is Another Account

The Jews {sic, Judahites, Eli} sent into exile, remained as a single group, which helped them preserve their cultural identity by living in their community in Babylon, until being finally allowed to return home in 538/539 BC, at the time, when the Persians overthrew the Chaldeans. The exile period had a profound and long-lasting influence on the Jews’ {sic} development outside their homeland. Ancient sources confirm that some of the Jewish {sic} population adopted the Chaldean religion by giving names to their offspring after Chaldean deities.

Generally, however, the community remained united in its common faith in Yahweh. Source: **Why Were The Jews Exiled To Babylon? - Ancient Pages**

These facts totally destroy AZ’s above assertion that: “The Israelites were forced to learn, speak & write the Assyrian language & Assyrian Script which both the Assyrian Empire & the Babylonian Empire used.” Unfortunately, AZ’s arguments don’t get any better! – Eli]

To be continued OS23496

Old Testament “Word for Word” Exodus Part 26 Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 32

1. When the people saw that Mosheh delayed 954 to come down from the mountain, the people gathered themselves together unto Aharon and said to him, "Up, make us an elohiym 430, which shall go before us; for this Mosheh, the man that brought us up out of the land of Mitsrayim, we do not know what has become of him."

2. And said Aharon to them, "Break off the golden earrings, which are in the ears of your wives, of your sons, and of your daughters, and bring them to me."

3. And all the people broke off the golden earrings which were in their ears, and brought them to Aharon.

4. And he received them from their hands, and after he had made a molten calf 4541 he fashioned 5695, he fashioned 6696 it with an engraving tool 2747: and they said "This is eloheycha 430 O Yisrael, which brought you up out of the land of Mitsrayim."



5. And when Aharon saw it, he built an altar before it; and proclaimed Aharon, saying, "A feast to Yahweh tomorrow!"

6. And they rose up early in the morning, and offered up burnt offerings, and brought offerings of well being; and the people sat down to eat and drink, and rose up to play 6711.

7. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Go! Get you down! For deal corruptly 7843 your people, which brought you from the land of Mitsrayim.**

8. **They have turned aside 5493 quickly 4118 from the way which I commanded them: they have made them a molten calf, and have bowed down to it, and have slaughtered to it, and said, 'This is eloheycha, O Yisrael, which has brought you up from the land of Mitsrayim!'**"

9. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**I have seen this people, and, behold, it is a stiff-necked 7186/6203 people:**

10. Now therefore, let Me alone, so that my anger 639 may burn 2734 against them, and that I may consume them 3615: and I will make of you a great nation."

11. And Mosheh made supplication 2470 before Yahweh Elohayv 430, and said, "Yahweh, why does Your anger burn against Your people, which You have brought forth from the land of Mitsrayim with great power 3581 and with a mighty 2389 hand?

12. And why should the Mitsrayim speak, and say, 'For evil 7451 He brought them out, to slay them in the mountains, and to consume them from the face of the land?' Turn from Your fierce wrath, and repent 5162 from the harm against Your people.

13. Remember Abraham, Yitschaq, and Yisrael, Your servants, to whom You swore by Your own Self, and said to them, '**I will multiply your seedline as the stars of the sky, and all of this land that I have spoken of I will give to your seedline, and they shall inherit it forever.'**"



14. And repented Yahweh from the harm which He thought to do to His people.


15. And Mosheh turned, and went down the mountain, and the two tablets of the Testimony were in his hand: the tablets were written on both their sides; on the one side and on the other side were they written.

16. And the tablets were the work of Elohiym, and the writing was the writing of Elohiym, engraved upon the tablets.

17. And when Yahshua heard the noise of the people as they shouted, said he to Mosheh, "There is a noise of war in the camp!"

18. And he replied, "It is not the voice of them that shout for mastery 1369, neither is it the voice of them that cry for being overcome 2476: but the noise of those that sing 6031 do I hear!"

19. And it came to be, as soon as he came near to the camp, that he saw the calf, and the dancing; and was kindled the anger of Mosheh, and cast he the tablets from his hand, and broke them beneath the mountain.



20. And took away he the calf which they had made, and burnt it in the fire, and ground 2912 it to powder 1854, and strewed 2219 it on the water, and made the children of Yisrael drink of it.

21. And said Mosheh to Aharon, "What did this people do to you, that you have brought so great a sin upon them?"

22. And said Aharon, "Do not let your anger be kindled: you know the people, that they *are set on* evil.

23. Said they to me, 'Make us elohiym, which shall go before us: as for this Mosheh, the man that brought us up from the land of Mitsrayim, we do not know what has become of him.'

24. And said I to them, 'Whosoever has any gold, let them break it off.' So they gave it to me, and I cast it in the fire, and there came out this calf!"

25. And when saw Mosheh that the people were naked; for Aharon had made them naked for shame 8103 among their enemies 6965:

26. then stood Mosheh in the gate of the camp, and said, "Who is on the side of Yahweh? Let him come to me." And gathered together unto him all the sons of Lewiy.

27. And said he to them, "Thus says Yahweh Elohey Yisrael, '**Every man put his sword by his side, and go in and out from gate to gate throughout the camp, and every man slay his brother 251, and every man his friend 7453, and every man his kindred 7138.**'"

28. And did the children of Lewiy according to the word of Mosheh: and there fell of the people about three thousand men that day.

29. Because Mosheh had said, "Consecrate yourselves today to Yahweh, even every man upon his son, and upon his brother, so that He may bestow a blessing 1293 upon you this day."

30. And it came to be the next day, that said Mosheh to the people, "You have sinned 2398 a great sin 2401: and now I will go up to Yahweh; perhaps 194 I shall make propitiation 3722 for your sin 2403."

31. And returned Mosheh to Yahweh, and said, "Oh, this people have sinned a great sin, and have made them elohey of gold.

32. Yet now, if You will forgive 5375 their sin; and if not, I ask You to wipe 4229 me out of Your book which You have written."

33. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Whoever has sinned against Me, I will wipe him out of My book.**

34. **Therefore go now, and lead 5148 the people to the place of which I have spoken to you: behold, My messenger shall go before you: nevertheless in the day when I visit 6485 I will visit their sin upon them.**"

35. And smote 5062 Yahweh the people, because they made the calf which made Aharon.

Chapter 32 Notes

The people became impatient because Mosheh took so long to come down from the mountain. The word "delayed" 954 can also be translated "ashamed", and this connotation is the reason for the peoples' anxiety. They pressured Aharon,

who became afraid of them, into making a cast idol. He then tells him to give up all their gold jewelry, and he melted it down and cast a calf of gold. It was in raw form, so in verse 4 he took an engraving tool, and finished it up. Then he proclaims a feast to Yahweh the next day, inferring that the man-made idol was a symbol of Yahweh. To top off his folly, he then makes an altar for it, so they can slaughter sacrifices to it. The next day, they sacrificed to the calf, and then had a feast, and "rose up to play", with sexual implications to "play".

Yahweh sees all of these abominations, and tells Mosheh to go back down the mountain, because the people have become corrupted in their worship. Yahweh is exceedingly angry, and wishes to destroy the idolaters, but Mosheh intercedes for them, reciting the history of the ancestors of the people. Yahweh "repents", which means "changes His mind", and does not destroy them on the spot. When Mosheh gets back down, he is horrified by what is going on. He seizes and destroys the gold calf, grinds it up, throws the residue in the water, and makes them drink it.

They were dancing naked, as seen in verse 25, and as "play" had sexual connotations, this is backed up by this verse. They were having a pagan orgy. Mosheh calls to the faithful, and the Lewwiw (Levites) rally to his call, and he sends them out to punish and slay the idolaters. He had cast down the precious tablets in anger, and

they were broken. He would have to replace them in 34:28. This is the second set that was put into the Ark of the Testimony. The originals were destroyed. In verse 24 Aharon lies to avoid responsibility for the calf. Aharon would die before Yisrael entered the promised land as a result of the actions here. Mosheh had to go back up the mountain to try to make propitiation, which means "a covering over", for Yisraels sins. Yahweh tells him only the ones that worshipped the idol will be wiped out of the Book of Life. Mosheh goes back down to the camp, and then a plague hits the people as retribution.

Verse 1 – Acts 7:40;

Verse 4 – 1 Kings 12:28; Acts 7:41;

Verse 6 – 1 Cor. 10:7;

Verses 11-14 -Num. 14:13-19;

Verse 13 – Gen. 17:8, 22:16,17

Verse 15 - Ex. 20:8-11; 23:12; 34:21; 35:2; Lev. 23:3; Deut. 5:12-14;

Verse 17 – Ex. 20:11

Verse 32 – Psalm 69:28; Revelation 3:5

To be Continued



Harold Stough Notes Ceasare Borgia Is The Image You See As Jesus Christ

BETWEEN 1502 AND 1503, CESARE BORGIA HIRED LEONARDO DA VINCI to be an architect and engineer, in which him and Leonardo da Vinci also became intimate lovers in a homosexual relationship, they were lovers.

Expressing his love for Cesare, Leonardo painted many pictures of him. Cesare's Father Rodrigo Borgia, who later became Pope Alexander VI, under the authority of the Catholic Church Elite, had his son's picture put up as Jesus Christ in the Western World, and ordered any pictures of

any other Jesus destroyed. Cesare had sex with his own sister Lucrezia, and he killed His brother Giovanni in 1497.



Wisdom of Solomon 14 15: For a father afflicted with untimely mourning, when he hath made an image of his child soon taken away, now honoured him as a god, which was then a dead man, and delivered to those that were under him ceremonies and sacrifices.

FYI: A father afflicted with untimely mourning. That's Rodrigo Borgia, when he made an image of his child, that's in reference to his son Cesare

Borgia, image put up to be Christ, which is how they honoured him as a God though he was a dead man in Rome. They used this false image of Cesare Borgia as Christ and they brought forth a false teaching and ceremonies and sacrifices and holidays all along with this image, to deceive the people to believe these things were of God.

The End OS23517

Great Tartaria (Part 3) Its History and Recent Destruction of the Most Advanced Civilisation Ever By James W Lee

Chapter 1 Who Were the Tartarians?



countries. Sometime in the 18th century Tartary Muscovite was the biggest country in the world covering over 3,050,000 square miles!

Tartary appears to have been one county, then split up into areas like "Petite Tartary", "Eastern Tartary", "Russian Tarary / Muscovite Tartary", "Chinese Tartary", "Mongol Tartary".

According to the 1979 Webster's Dictionary, there's no such place as Tartary or Tartaria, unless we accept the word Tartarus, which according to Webster is described as being a place in Hades reserved for the worst of offenders.

Webster had to admit that tartar sauce was a thing, and also defines the word tartar as 'a native or inhabitant of Tatary but then adds, 'a person of ill or violent temper', and one that proves to be unexpectedly formidable as alternative definitions.

Once it gets to tartar on teeth causing decay, it becomes clear that there was deliberate intent to remove Tartary from all his-story.

THE OFFICIAL HISTORY IS HIDING A MAJOR WORLD POWER WHICH EXISTED AS LATE AS THE 19TH CENTURY. Tartary was a country with its own flag, its own government and its own place on the map.

In Greek mythology, Tartarus is the deep abyss that is used as a dungeon of torment and suffering for the wicked and as the prison for the Titans. There were well established Giants throughout the Tartary territory.

Its territory covered most of the modern world we know today, yet somehow it was ever so quietly incorporated into Russia, and some other

The Tartarians are thought to have been "Breatharians," a being who does not rely on the digestion and burning of calories from food/water, but instead rather receives energy

straight from the Aether, much how plants get food for energy. The Aether is thought to be the very fabric of the space time continuum that some would associate with electrons, the wind, the holy spirit, the atmosphere, and the gasses in the atmosphere such as oxygen, nitrogen, and hydrogen.

Since Tartarians quite possibly had a completely altered digestion system than the one we now have, they had no need for toilets in their bathrooms. Additionally, there were no sewer systems or waste treatment plants found. Were they able to make their bodies so efficient, there was no waste created?



The Tartarians were masters of masonry, brickwork, steam punk style technology, universally free energy, and grand architecture. Their Roman & Gothic style architecture can still be found in the current guise as water ducts, city halls, banks, water stations, cathedrals, churches, hospitals and similar public and city works type buildings.

Their buildings were structurally sound and many are still in excellent condition today. And where did they grow their food not found with no gardens present in many of the castles with little access to farmland?



The One World Tartarians

Tartaria (originally pronounced “Tataria” without the first “r”) is the name of the pre-Mongolian empire that originated in northern Asia before spanning the entire northern hemisphere. Great Tartaria was the largest empire during its time and would have still been the largest empire today.

The denotation of lost souls spending eternity in Tartarus the underworld is due to the Tartarian Empire having been buried and wiped out during the liquefaction mud flood events, earthquakes, volcanoes and weather manipulation using directed energy devices (DEW) to bury her-story along with eliminating the Moon calendar and replacing it with the Roman Catholic Sun Gregorian calendar in **1582**.

(Ed. Note: This vast Hebrew Nordic empire would have used the biblical Solar Calendar - The collapse could have been Yahweh’s Judgement for using the satanic calendar!)

The world of the Tartarians is literally the world under our world. The Tartarians (or Tartars)

were the indigenous people (quite possibly founded by Noah’s sons) making up the world renowned Tartarian empire. Amongst the Tartarians were tall people, *averaging some eight to twelve feet in height*. They would have been considered giants to our current average height of only around six feet; however, back then the height of ten feet was the average.

Like the civilizations before them whose heights averaged twelve feet, fifty feet, two+ miles, etc...each preceding civilization had an average taller height than the civilizations coming after them and each succeeding civilization had an average shorter height than the civilizations predating them. Statures are diminishing after each deluge and with each new astrological age we enter.

Moscow Tartary was conquered by the Romanovs in the middle of the 19th century. Samarkand, the capital of the Independent Tartary, was seized by the Romanovs army in **1868**. According to the maps of the 18th century, the border of Moscow Tartary was very close to Moscow. Such a dangerous proximity greatly

concerned the Romanovs. It is possible that it was the reason for Peter the Great to make the decision to relocate the capital further away in St. Petersburg to the swampy coasts of the Gulf of Finland.



St. Petersburg

Here the new capital of the New World Order was built – St. Petersburg. This location was convenient for the Romanovs. The capital was far away from the Hordian Tartary known as the ‘Great Horde’—and the subsequent term “hoarding” meaning to take more than you need, which was completely against the Tartary mantra of “just give back more than you take”.

In the case of an invasion from the Siberian-American Horde, it would be easier to flee to the West from St. Petersburg than from Moscow.

Only having defeated ‘Pugachev’, the Romanovs got an opportunity to exile the convicts further – to cold Siberia. And even further – to the Far East, to the coast of the Pacific Ocean, to Sakhalin island. Moscow Tartary spanned the Urals, Siberia, Central Asia, The Far East, **Alaska and North America.**



Note how many Cities were called Moscow in the USA in the early 1800's

The conflict between Moscow Tartary and Romanov Russia (originally small in size) ended

in the second half of the 18th century with the famous, allegedly ‘peasant’, war against ‘Pugachev’s’. The Romanovs succeeded in agreeing peace separately with Turkey and defeating the Great Tartary.

Only after this the European emigrants who had settled on the Atlantic seaboard of North America, ventured West, inland over the continent. For decades they seized the North American territories of Moscow Tartary left without any governmental authority.

Today this has been beautifully, but incorrectly narrated on in the Hollywood movies about ‘the very noble’ white frontiersmen and the ‘very bad’ Indians. In fact, the Native Americans were Mongolian descendants of Tartary!



The Pugachyov's Judgement by Vasily Perov, 1878. State Historic Museum, Moscow, Russia.

From Wiki: *Pugachev’s Rebellion; also called the Peasants’ War 1773–75 or Cossack Rebellion) of 1773-75 was the principal revolt in a series of popular rebellions that took place in the Russian Empire after Catherine II seized power in 1762.*

The history of war against ‘Pugachev’ as it is known today is a pure invention of the victors – the Romanovs. ‘Pugachev’s rebellion’ was a brutal war between the Romanov Russia and the Siberian-American Russian State. This Kingdom retained the old Russian-Horde customs and had its own czar with his capital in Tobolsk.


The Siberian czar was hostile towards the Romanovs, considering them illegitimate rulers of the Western part of Russia. The Romanovs strived to possess Siberian Muscovy at all costs. They understood very well that the Russian people on the whole didn’t support them and many would rather prefer the regime of Tobolsk to the Romanovs’ St. Petersburg. That is why

the Romanovs turned the very existence of their Siberian neighbour into a national secret. To preserve this secret, the infamous Secret Police was created, where the executioners tortured and hanged those who ‘knew too much’.



As a result, in 1776 (straight after the defeat of ‘Pugachev’ - above) the United States of America emerged on May 1st, 1776 where Freemason Adam Weishaupt established their territorial claims. The Romanovs went about voraciously rewriting her-story and slicing up the vast territories of Moscow Tартary including Russia, Ural, Siberia, the Far East. In America – Alaska, Washington and Oregon were ceded to the Romanovs in 1819 and the rest of North America – to the USA. Up until now the native Russian population of America is being persistently forced to forget their language and their past.

Reclaiming Their Past



On August 30, 1990, Tatarstan announced its sovereignty with the Declaration on the State Sovereignty of the Tatar Soviet Socialist Republic and in 1992 Tatarstan held a referendum on the new constitution, 24 and 62 percent of those who took part voted in favour of the constitution.

In the 1992 Tatarstan Constitution, Tatarstan is defined as a Sovereign State. Tatar became a

name for populations of the former Golden Horde in Europe, such as those of the former Kazan, Crimean, Astrakhan, Qasim, and Siberian Khanates.

The form Tartar has its origins in either Latin or French, coming to Western European languages from Turkish and the Persian language (tātār, “mounted messenger”). From the beginning, the extra “r” was present in the Western forms, and according to the Oxford English Dictionary this was most likely due to an association with Tartarus.

The Persian word is first recorded in the 13th century in reference to the hordes of Genghis Khan and is of unknown origin, said to be ultimately from tata, a name of the Mongols for themselves.



Tatars in the Vanguard of the Ottoman Army

The Arabic word for Tatars is تاتار. Tatars themselves wrote their name as تاتاراتار or تاطاراتار. The Chinese term for Tatars was; Dádá, especially after the end of the Yuan period (14th century), but also recorded as a term for Mongolian-speaking peoples of the northern steppes during the Tang period (8th century). The name Tatars was used as an alternative term for the Shiwei, a nomadic confederation to which these Tatar people belonged.

All Turkic peoples living within the Russian Empire were named Tatar (as a Russian exonym). Some of these populations still use Tatar as a self-designation, others do not.

To be Continued



Methylene Blue: A Molecule Made for This Time?

Dr Tess Lawrie, MBBCh, PhD



Methylene Blue

You may have come across Methylene Blue (MB) before; it's that deep-blue dye used to stain cells and tissues for viewing under a microscope, or to treat aquarium fish for parasites. But what does it have to do with my interest in health?

Previously, I had no idea that this compound – developed as an industrial dye in 1876 – was the first synthetic drug used in medicine. But recently I came across an editorial in the Orthomolecular Medicine News Service by Dr Thomas Levy, which alerted me to the healing potential of this compound, and now I'm fascinated!

Some of Methylene Blue's Super-Powers

Dr Levy writes of MB that “its documented beneficial health effects rival that of any other known substance, whether normally found in nature or coming out of a laboratory.”

MB was used as a highly effective anti-malarial agent in the 1890's. It lost out to quinine at the time, but now it's coming into its own as a treatment for drug-resistant malaria.

MB has a special affinity for the nervous system. From the late 19th century, for about 50 years it was used as an anti psychotic medication; it has also been used to treat depression, memory problems, and other neurological conditions.

With rates of dementia increasing around the world, and pharmaceutical solutions remaining elusive, MB could offer hope to the millions

affected by neuro-degenerative conditions like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's.

Amazingly, MB is an antidote to cyanide poisoning. Recently it has also been shown to protect against brain damage following carbon monoxide poisoning.

It treats a wide range of infections, including serious ones like septic shock. It is active against many pathogens and was effective in treating acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and abnormally low blood oxygen levels caused by Covid-19.

And MB is a first-line therapy for methemoglobinemia, a condition in which the oxygen-carrying capacity of the blood is critically depleted.



How Does MB Treat Such Diverse Conditions?

We've probably all heard the term 'oxidative stress' and know that for some reason it's not a good thing. Essentially, the body experiences oxidative stress when there is an imbalance between free radicals and antioxidants. This can cause damage to cells, resulting in inflammation, ageing, and disease.

Like vitamin C, MB is a powerful antioxidant that is able to both receive and donate electrons. It has the unique ability to enable the mitochondria (the cells' energy factories) to produce energy much more efficiently than normal, while producing less oxidative stress in the process.

MB can thus enhance metabolism at the same time as enabling healing to proceed. And because MB is a small molecule, it can easily reach all parts of the body, allowing these benefits to be felt wherever they are needed. After more than a century of clinical use, it's also been shown to have an excellent safety profile.

There Is Hope!

With many of us feeling under siege from countless threats to our health, isn't it wonderful to come across a molecule, manufactured so many years ago, that feels like it was designed for our time!

By dampening down oxidative stress, and enhancing energy generation, experts like Dr Levy show that Methylene Blue can support the miraculous ability of our bodies to heal when given the chance.

I hope you're now as curious as I am to find out more! We shall come back to this topic, but in the meantime, please dive into Dr Levy's informative article. And enjoy the video of Dr Joseph Mercola's interview with MB expert Dr Francisco Gonzalez-Lima [HERE](#).

Notes

[1] Free radicals are atoms (often oxygen) that have an unpaired electron, which makes them unstable. They are able to react with other atoms or molecules; this process is called oxidation.

[2] Antioxidants are molecules that can donate an electron to a free radical without themselves becoming unstable. This stabilises the free radical and makes it less reactive.

The End OS23518

The Invisible Rainbow Suspended Animation By Arthur Firstenburg



a shrew or a mouse, it will use up its quota of heartbeats in a much shorter time than if its metabolic personality is a more temperate one. **Donald R. Griffin** - *Listening in the Dark*

In 1880, **George Miller Beard** wrote his classic medical book on neurasthenia, titled *A Practical Treatise on Nervous Exhaustion*. He made an intriguing observation:

WE admonish mankind to observe and distinguish between what conduces to health, and what to a long life; for some things, though they exhilarate the spirits, strengthen the faculties, and prevent diseases, are yet destructive to life, and, without sickness, bring on a wasting old age; while there are others which prolong life and prevent decay, though not to be used without danger to health. **Sir Francis Bacon**

Every animal has allotted to it a constant number of heartbeats per lifetime. If it lives fast and furiously like

“Although these difficulties are not directly fatal, and so do not appear in the mortality tables; although, on the contrary, they may tend to prolong life and to protect the system against febrile and inflammatory disease, yet the amount of suffering that they cause is enormous.”

In *American Nervousness: Its Causes and Consequences*, written a year later for the general public, he reiterated the paradox: “Side by side with this increase of nervousness, and partly as a result of it, longevity has increased.” Along with migraine headaches, ringing in the ears, mental irritability, insomnia, fatigue, digestive disorders, dehydration, muscle and joint pains,

heart palpitations, allergies, itching, intolerance of foods and medications—in addition to this general degradation in the public health, the world was witnessing an increase in the human life span.

Those who were suffering the most tended to look young for their age and to live longer than average.

At the end of *American Nervousness* appears a map showing the approximate geographic reach of neurasthenia. It was the same as the reach of railroads and telegraphs, being most prevalent in the northeast where the electric tangle was densest. “The telegraph is a cause of nervousness the potency of which is little understood,” wrote Beard Below:



“Within but thirty years the telegraphs of the world have grown to half a million miles of line, and over a million miles of wire—or more than forty times the circuit of the globe.” Beard also noticed that a rare disease called diabetes was much more common among neurasthenes than in the general population.

What Beard—an electrotherapist and a friend of Thomas Edison, who was shortly to be diagnosed with diabetes—did not figure out was that the growing cloud of electromagnetic energy, which permeated air, water, and soil wherever telegraph lines advanced, had something to do with the growing numbers of neurasthenes and diabetics that sought his ministrations.

He was astute enough, however, to make the connection between longevity and disease, and to understand that the modern expansion of life span did not necessarily mean better health or a more excellent life. The mysterious extension of years among individuals who were the sickest

was in fact a warning that something was terribly wrong.



Fasting and an austere diet have been recommended since antiquity for the rejuvenation of the body. The prolongation of life, said Francis Bacon (above), should be one of the purposes of medicine, along with the preservation of health and the cure of diseases. Sometimes, he added, one must make a choice:

“The same things which conduce to health do not always conduce to longevity.” But he laid down one sure rule, for those who wished to follow it, that furthered all three goals of the physician:

“A sparse and almost Pythagorean diet, such as is prescribed by the stricter orders of monastic life or the institution of hermits, which regard want and penury as their rule, produces longevity.”

Three hundred years later Bacon’s third arm of medicine was still sorely neglected. “What must one do, or rather what must one not do to attain the extreme limits of age?” asked Jean Finot in 1906. “What, after all, are the boundaries of life?”

These two series of questions together constitute a special science, gero-comy. It exists in name only.” Observing the animal world, Finot saw that the length of adolescence had something to do with the length of life. A guinea pig’s period of growth endured seven months; that of a rabbit, one year; of a lion, four years, of a camel, eight

years, of a man, twenty years. Human initiative was misguided, said Finot. What conduces to health and vigor does not necessarily prolong life.

“The education and instruction given to children,” he wrote, “are in flagrant contradiction to this law of geroconomy. All of our efforts tend towards the rapid advancement of physical and intellectual maturity.” To prolong life, it would be necessary to do just the opposite. And one method, he suggested, was to restrict one’s diet.



In the early years of the twentieth century, Russell Chittendon (above) at Yale University, who is often called the father of American biochemistry, experimented on himself and on volunteers at Yale.

Over the course of two months he gradually eliminated breakfast, settling into a pattern that consisted of a substantial midday meal and a light supper at night. Although he was eating less than 40 grams of protein daily, one-third the amount then recommended by nutritionists, and only 2,000 calories, he not only suffered no ill effects but the rheumatism in his knee disappeared, as did his migraine headaches and attacks of indigestion.

Rowing a boat left him with much less fatigue and muscle soreness than before. His weight dropped to 125 pounds and remained there. After one year on this diet, with funding from the Carnegie Institution and the National Academy of Sciences, he formally experimented on volunteers.

They were: five professors and instructors at Yale; thirteen volunteers from the Hospital Corps of the Army; and eight students, “all thoroughly trained athletes, some with

exceptional records in athletic events.” He restricted them to about 2,000 calories and no more than fifty grams of protein per day.

Without exception his subjects’ health was as good as before or better at the end of half a year, with gains in strength, endurance, and well-being. While Chittendon proved nothing about life span, the ancient recommendations have since been thoroughly subjected to the scientific method and, in all species of animals from one-celled organisms on up to primates, proven accurate.

Provided an animal receives the minimal nutrients necessary to maintain health, a severe reduction in calories will prolong life. And there is no other method known that will reliably do so.



These Rats Are Both 964 Days Old

From: C. M. McKay et al., “Retarded growth, life span, ultimate body size and age changes in the albino rat after feeding diets restricted in calories.” *Journal of Nutrition* 18: 1-13 (1939).

A severe restriction in calories will increase the life span of rodents by 60 percent, routinely producing four and five year old mice and rats. Calorie restricted rats are not senile. Quite the opposite: they look younger and are more vigorous than other animals their age.

If they are female they reach sexual maturity very late and produce litters at impossibly old ages. The annual fish *Cynolebias adloffii* lived three times as long when restricted in food.

A wild population of brook trout doubled their life span, some trout living twenty-four years when food was scarce.

Spiders fed three flies a week instead of eight lived an average 139 days instead of 30.5 Underfed water fleas lived 60 days instead of 46.6 Nematodes, a type of worm, more than

doubled their life span. The mollusc *Patella vulgata* lives two and a half years when food is abundant, and up to sixteen years when it is not.



Cows given half the normal amount of feed each winter lived twenty months longer. Their breathing rate was also one-third lower, and their heart rate ten beats per minute less.

During a twenty-five-year-long study at the Wisconsin National Primate Research Center, the death rate of fully-fed adult rhesus monkeys from age related causes was three times the death rate of calorie-restricted animals.

When the study ended in 2013, twice as many diet-restricted monkeys as fully fed monkeys were still alive.

Calorie restriction works whether it is lifelong or only during a portion of life, and whether it is begun early, during adulthood, or relatively late in life. The longer the period of restriction, the longer the prolongation of life.

Calorie restriction prevents age-related diseases. It delays or prevents heart disease and kidney disease, and drastically decreases the cancer rate: in one study, rats that were fed one-fifth as much food had only seven percent as many tumours.

In rhesus monkeys it reduces the cancer rate by half, heart disease by half, prevents diabetes, prevents atrophy of the brain, and reduces the incidence of endometriosis, fibrosis, amyloidosis, ulcers, cataracts, and kidney failure.

Older diet-restricted monkeys have less wrinkled skin and fewer age spots, and their hair is less grey.

A natural human experiment exists. In 1977, there lived 888 people over one hundred years old in Japan, the greatest concentration of whom


lived on the southwestern coast and a few islands. The percentage of centenarians on Okinawa was the highest in Japan, forty times higher than in the northeastern prefectures.



Yasuo Kagawa, professor of biochemistry at Jichi Medical School (above), explained: "People in areas of longevity have a lower caloric intake and smaller physique than those in the rest of Japan."

The daily diet of school boys and girls in Okinawa was about 60 percent of recommended caloric intake. The reason calorie restriction works is controversial, but the simplest explanation is that it slows metabolism.

While the aging process is not fully understood, anything that slows the metabolism of cells must slow the aging process. The idea that we are each allotted a fixed number of heartbeats is ancient.



In modern times, Max Rubner (left) at the University of Berlin, in 1908, proposed a variation on this idea: instead of a fixed number of heartbeats, our cells are allotted a fixed amount of energy. The slower an animal's metabolism, the longer it will live.

Most mammals, Rubner calculated, use about 200 kilo calories per gram of body weight during their lifetime. For humans, assuming a life span of ninety years, the value is about 800. If an individual is able to delay the use of that amount of energy, his or her life will be correspondingly longer. Raymond Pearl, at The Johns Hopkins

University, published a book along these lines in 1928 titled *The Rate of Living*. During 1916 and 1917 Jacques Loeb and John Northrop, at The Rockefeller Institute, experimented on fruit flies. Since flies are cold-blooded, their metabolism can be slowed merely by lowering the ambient temperature.

The average duration of life, from egg to death, was 21 days at a temperature of 30° C; 39 days at 25° C; 54 days at 20° C; 124 days at 15° C; and 178 days at 10° c.

The rule that low temperatures prolong life applies to all cold-blood animals. Another common way animals reduce their metabolism is by hibernating.



Hibernating species of bats, for example, live on average six years longer than species that don't. And bats live far longer than other animals their size because, in effect, they hibernate on a daily basis. Bats are active, on the wing hunting for dinner, for only a few hours each night. They sleep the rest of the time, and sleeping bats are not warm-blooded.

“It is sometimes possible in the laboratory to keep a rectal thermocouple in place while a bat settles down for a nap,” wrote bat expert Donald Griffin, “and in one such case the body temperature fell in an hour from 40° when the bat was active to 1°, which was almost exactly the temperature of the air in which it was resting.”¹³ This explains why bats weighing only a quarter of an ounce can live more than thirty years, while no laboratory mouse has ever lived more than five.

Calorie restriction, the only method of prolonging life that works for all animals—warm-blooded, cold-blooded, hibernators, and non- hibernators— obviously slows metabolism, as measured by how much oxygen an animal consumes. Food-restricted animals always use less oxygen. A controversy arose among

gerontologists because food-restricted animals also lose weight, and oxygen use per unit weight does not necessarily decline. But it declines where it counts. In humans, the internal organs, despite comprising less than 10 percent of our weight, are responsible for about 70 percent of our resting energy use.

And it is our internal organs, not our fat or muscle tissues, that determine how long we will live. As researchers into the aging process have emphasized, the engine of our lives is the electron transport system in the mitochondria of our cells. It is there that the oxygen we breathe and the food we eat combine, at a speed that determines our rate of living and our life span. That speed is in turn determined by our body temperature, and by the amount of food we digest.

To Be Continued

Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ


For books by identity authors –
 Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
 and Richard Porter plus many
 other subjects and difficult to
 obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

NATURE'S
10 MOST POWERFUL
ANTIBIOTICS

YOUR FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE AGAINST ANY ILLNESS OR INFECTION IS YOUR OWN IMMUNE SYSTEM! THESE FOODS WILL SUPER-BOOST YOUR BODY'S ABILITY TO FIGHT ANY NASTY BUGS THAT COME YOUR WAY AND WILL HELP KEEP YOU ON TOP OF YOUR GAME ALL YEAR LONG.

- APPLE CIDER VINEGAR
- GARLIC
- GINGER
- HORSERADISH ROOT
- ONION
- HABANERO PEPPERS
- OREGANO OIL
- TURMERIC
- RAW HONEY
- COLLOIDAL SILVER



Letters And Views



Interesting Facts Re Donald Trump’s Son-in-Law’s Business Address (The Real Oval Office)

Sir ____, I recently came across the following interesting info Trump’s son-in-laws business address:



660 Fifth Avenue (formerly 666 Fifth Avenue and the Tishman Building) is a 41-story office building on the west side of Fifth Avenue between 52nd and 53rd Streets in the Midtown Manhattan neighbourhood of New York City. The office tower was designed by Carson & Lundin and built for its developer Tishman Realty and Construction from 1955 to 1957. (Wikipedia).

Tishman Realty & Construction Co., Inc. is an American corporation founded in 1898 that owns and develops real estate. The company is known for being the contractor that built the original World Trade Center in New York City. (Wikipedia).

The building was designed with a prominent 666 address emblazoned on the top. It had a facade of embossed aluminum panels which were originally lit by the “Tower of Light” designed by Abe Feder. (Wikipedia).

The light fixtures turned 666 Fifth Avenue into what architect Robert A. M. Stern characterized as “a landmark that received wide comment”. The system was capable of 9.7 million candlepower. It was so bright that, from September to November 1959, the system was completely darkened to prevent interference with bird migration. (Wikipedia).

Kushner Name Meaning

Jewish (eastern Ashkenazic): occupational name from Belorussian kushner ‘furrier’ a word of German origin. For much of his career, Kushner worked as a real-estate investor in New York City, especially through the family business Kushner Companies.

He took over the company after his father Charles Kushner was convicted for 18 criminal charges, including illegal campaign contributions, tax evasion, and witness tampering in 2005, although Charles was controversially pardoned by Trump in 2020.

Jared met Ivanka Trump around 2005, and the couple married in 2009. He also became involved in the newspaper industry after purchasing The New York Observer in 2006. He was registered as a Democrat and donated to Democratic politicians for much of his life, but registered as Independent in 2009 and eventually as Republican in 2018.

He played a significant role in the Donald Trump 2016 presidential campaign, and was at one point seen as its de facto campaign manager. Around Trump’s election, Kushner divested from his family business, but was frequently accused of conflicts of interest when he profited from policy proposals for which he personally advocated within the Trump administration. (Wikipedia).

Yours truly, Gordon, UK,

REPLY

Many thanks Gordon, for digging up all that interesting info on Jared Kushner which confirms Trump’s Presidential Bandwagon’s link to that tribe that has taken over the levers of power in the west. Of particular note is that the name means ‘furrier’ in Belorussian which has been a Jewish trade, it was for this reason that

one of William the Conqueror's titles given by his subjects was 'William the Bastard' because he was a consequence of his father's liaison with a furrier's daughter in Rouen!

Interesting also that Kushner's father was indicted on 18 criminal charges which again is the '666' stamp (18 = 3 x 6). **Yours truly, CBP, UK. Tap Wire News: [Source](#)**

The oldest existing record of the Lodge, called "**The Mutual Agreement**" of 24 December, 1658, records that James was entered Freemason and Fellowcraft of the Lodge of Scoon on 15 April, 1601. **Yours truly, KVH, West Midlands, UK.**

James VI of Scotland A Freemason



Meme

"It is not because the truth is too difficult to see that we make mistakes. It may even lie on the surface; but we make mistakes because the easiest and most comfortable course for us is to seek insight where it accords with our emotions - especially selfish ones." - "**Peace and Violence**" (1973) by Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn
Posted By KVH, West Midlands, UK,

Sir _____, Below is some little known facts about King James VI of Scotland:

June 19, 1566 - March 27, 1625 King of Scotland (1567-1625), and the first Stuart King of England (1603-25), English historians have tended to portray him as a coward and a fussy and foolish pedant 'The wisest fool in Christendom'.

In fact he was reasonably successful in his main goals, increasing national prosperity, maintaining peace with Europe and setting the church.

Today, he is remembered for commissioning the so-called King James' Bible, or Authorized Version. On the west wall of the lodge hall used by Lodge Scoon and Perth No. 3 in Perth, Scotland can be found a mural depicting James VI kneeling at their altar at his initiation.

War Crimes

The World is Falling Apart: From the War Criminals to the CoVax Pushers



The People called me "crazy" when I demanded accountability for the war criminals in the Bush regime, and "racist" when I demanded the same for the Obama posers. Never stopping, we protested wars through the entire Trump

business and tried to hold him accountable for his campaign promises to end the wars.



President Joe Biden

Genocide Joe has escalated crimes against humanity and crimes against peace to an unprecedented new height. Why does Genocide Joe feel confident in his crimes? Because no member of the “ruling-class” has been held accountable for a very long time.

It is hard to ignore the current state of the world, from the scandemic to the ongoing wars and humanitarian crises. What is even more concerning is the failure to hold war criminals accountable, and the pressure to take a vaccine that has caused more harm than good. As peace activists, it is our responsibility to demand justice. In this ‘Stack, we will explore the current state of the world and why we must stand up against those who push the Covid vaccine and those who commit war crimes.

Firstly, let's talk about the failure to hold war criminals accountable. It is no secret that atrocities continue to occur in conflict zones. Not even looking to the recent, or distant past, in the ongoing Israeli genocide against Palestinians to the proxy-war in Ukraine, innocent civilians are targeted, and war crimes go unpunished. The lack of accountability undermines the rule of law and perpetuates cycles of violence.

International institutions like the International Criminal Court (ICC) have been established to hold individuals accountable for crimes against humanity, yet political interference and lack of cooperation from governments have hindered their effectiveness. We must demand that those

who commit war crimes be held accountable, regardless of their status and political power.

Secondly, let's address the Covid vaccine pushers. While vaccines have had some limited usefulness in the past, the Covid vaccine has raised valid concerns. Reports of severe side effects, including death, have been reported worldwide, yet some individuals and organizations continue to push the vaccine on others.



The mainstream media have been complicit in propagating pro-vaccination propaganda, while anyone who questions its safety is labelled as an “anti-vaxxer” (as if that’s a bad thing). The reality is that taking the Covid vaccine is a personal choice, and individuals should have the right to make informed decisions about their health without coercion or pressure.

Furthermore, the mandate to take the vaccine has led to more division within society. Those who choose not to take the vaccine are often ostracized and shamed, leading to further polarization.

It is essential to recognize that everyone's body is different, and medical decisions should be informed based on individual circumstances, not blanket mandates imposed by the government.

In conclusion, it is clear that the world is on the brink of a systemic breakdown in health. From war crimes to vaccine pushers, we must stand up for justice and truth. As peace activists, we must continue to amplify marginalized voices and demand accountability for those who perpetrate violence. The failure to do so is a disservice to humanity and undermines the global efforts towards peace and justice. **Yours truly, Cindy Sheehan, cindysheehan@substack.com**

CHARLEMAGNE & THE JEWS

“Charlemagne fostered a system where the Christian majority could procure credit through Jewish constituents. Christians were forbidden to loan money at an interest rate, a restriction not shared by the Jews. As a result, Jews were enabled to dominate the money-lending/banking business, thrusting many into prominence & wealth throughout Medieval Europe.”

http://www.worldology.com/Europe/early_dark_ages_3.htm

Jewish control of Usury from Medieval Europe still continues until this day.



psychotic and when the Saxon tribes killed twenty significant members of the Carolingian nobility (after the latter had invaded Saxon territory to rape, murder and pillage) he launched a fully fledged campaign of extermination against the Saxons in October 782 A.D.

This culminated in some 4,500 Saxons – often assumed to be nobles and leading figures – gathering at Verdun on the Aller to meet with Charlemagne for peace talks after their leader Widukind had fled to Denmark. Charlemagne’s response is almost universally regarded by historians as the greatest of all stains on his reputation.

Sir ____, Below is an important bit of information I came across in the Tap News Wire Blog:

There are few figures more famous in Western history than the Emperor Charles the Great – better known as Charlemagne – of the Carolingian dynasty of the Franks. Charlemagne was the grandson of the equally famous Frankish ruler Charles Martel and like him has the reputation of being a fervent foe of the enemies of the West.



Historian Alessandro Barbero

Unlike his illustrious grandfather however Charlemagne is a character who is increasingly difficult to see as a ‘defender of the West’ the more you know about him. Yes he fought the forces of Islam to a point, but his main energies were directed not towards the enemies of the West, but rather toward the forcible Christianisation of the Germanic and Slavic peoples in Central and Eastern Europe.

Succinctly put Charlemagne beheaded all 4,500 Saxons – who had publicly put down their arms as a sign of their peaceful intentions – in a one day orgy of bloodshed that Barbero chalks up to Charlemagne’s looking to the Old Testament for precedents on how to deal with his ‘heathen’ enemies. This act of butchery absolutely horrified both Charlemagne’s contemporaries and Christian commentators for several centuries afterwards.

Unless one views ‘defending the West’ in the context of a Christian triumphalist world view (which I don’t) then it is difficult to see why a ‘defender of the West’ would spend the majority of his adult life and energies waging total war against fellow Germanics (as well as Slavs) and not the forces that threatened all the nations of the West (of whatever religious denomination) like those of Islam.

For some historical perspective we should note that several years after the massacre at Verdun the Byzantine Empire lost a full scale battle in its quest to regain control of the Italian peninsula. The Byzantines lost some 3,000 dead and buried in the process, which was considered a hideously high loss of life at the time.

It is also notable that while Charlemagne is often conceived as a fervent Christian; he did not obey the Church, but rather believed the Church should obey him. We can see this in the fact that he view the Church as a tool of governance and not an ally against a common enemy.

It is then easy to see how horrific Charlemagne’s massacre at Verdun would have seem to his contemporaries as it was another fifty percent again on top of the comparative losses suffered by the Byzantine Empire a few years later.

Charlemagne’s memory has long been hotly disputed territory in large part, because he engaged in behaviour that was borderline

Charlemagne’s actions have also long been regarded as so absolutely horrific by eighteenth, nineteenth and twentieth century historians that

many of them have tried to excuse them or claim they did not in fact happen. These claims have long been debunked however with the most persistent of them being the idea that the scribe meant to write 'decolare' (to deport) and not 'decollare' (to behead).

The current favourite is to claim that the 4,500 figures is an 'exaggeration', but as Becher points out whatever the number (and – as Barbero notes – we have no actual reason to disbelieve the 4,500 assertion) slaughtered by Charlemagne at Verdun: it was a horrific and deliberate act of mass murder.



Charles Martel

There is precedent for it in Charlemagne's family however since his uncle, who later retired to a life of prayer and contemplation at a monastery Carloman (the son of Charles Martel) had what can only be described as a psychotic episode and murdered hundreds – maybe even thousands – of unarmed Alamanni (i.e., those who would be Bavarian and Swiss people today) at Cannstadt in 747 A.D.

This was after promising them safe conduct so they could negotiate with him and has become known as the Massacre of Cannstadt or alternatively the Bloody Court of Cannstadt.

Charlemagne not only followed his uncle Carloman's example, but added to it by unleashing his army in the winter of 733-734 A.D. on the Saxons to rampage 'through the country, killing, burning and tearing down pagan shrines.'

Accompanying this came the imposition of one of the most brutal legal codes in history – the *Capitulatio de partibus Saxoniae* – which

proclaimed that essentially the only punishment for any crime was death and many of said 'crimes' were purely religious in nature. For example if you ate meat in Lent for whatever reason you would be immediately put to death.



The destruction of Irminsul by Charlemagne" by Heinrich Leutemann, 1882

This is especially awful since it was the Franks who provoked the Saxon wars when they deliberately cut down Irminsul in 772 A.D., which led to Saxon revenge attacks on churches in Upper Hesse and Frankish counter-reprisals.

That Charlemagne was doing this to fellow Westerners and would be allies in the struggle against the forces of Islam informs us that he was no 'defender of the West' per se and also suggests that a-la Shakespeare 'something was rotten in the Frankish court'.

That something is quite clear when we look at how Charlemagne treated other unbelievers and note that he wasn't quite the fanatical Christian that you might think. The most obvious presence of unbelievers in the Frankish kingdom were the Jews who owned the trade in luxury items from the East via Muslim Spain and lived in the cities along the river Rhone. Indeed Barbero summarizes the scale of Jewish influence at Charlemagne's court as follows:

‘Jewish merchants prospered under Charlemagne and even more under Louis the Pious, by supplying the court with wine, spices, and textiles, and they enjoyed wide-ranging privileges. These included the right to be tried only in accordance with their own law, to have Christian employees, and to practice their religion even within the Imperial palace.’




In other words Charlemagne and his son Louis the Pious (above) allowed themselves to be bribed by Jewish merchants with luxury goods such as wine, spices and textiles in order to grant the later special rights and privileges (just as had occurred in the Roman Empire of Julius Caesar and Augustus).

These included effective legal immunity from prosecution (as they would be tried based on halakhah [Jewish religious law] which takes an extremely dim view of gentiles [for example non-Jewish witnesses don't count for much in it]) not by secular or Church law as well as endangering the faith of the Christian flock by putting Jews in positions of direct authority over them where they could force/lure them into Judaizing (an old and persistent worry of the Christian clergy).

This was in addition to allowing the practice of a religion that regards Jesus Christ as... well... whore-scum in his own palace.

Not only did Charlemagne allow the Jews free reign over his kingdom and people, but he

actively worked to increase Jewish influence and wealth. Barbero describes Charlemagne's behaviour in this regard as follows:



‘The protection of subjects involved in international trade was one of the sovereign specific duties. In negotiations with King Offa of Mercia (above), Charlemagne requested favourable conditions for “our merchants” when operating in England. Later Louis the Pious granted the merchants who supplied the palace exemption from all taxes collected within the empire, with the exception of customs duties in Quentovic and other parts of the border.’



A Jewish Merchant of Those Days

In other words Charlemagne requested that King Offa of Mercia allow his merchants favourable trading conditions and we have already seen that a significant amount of said merchants were Jews

(who were also directly associated as being jewish by the English).

Louis the Pious made this even worse by allowing the merchants who supplied the Imperial palace – who we have already seen were primarily jewish – to be free of all but a tiny amount of taxation (i.e., giving significant trading advantages to primarily jewish merchants, which directly disadvantaged most Frankish merchants).



These privileges for jews moreover were granted despite the open protest of the Frankish church, {left} which had long been attempting to circumscribe jewish power.

This power included jewish merchants interfering in the politics of the Frankish church less than two centuries before Charlemagne in order to get the candidate they wished elected using their money to bribe the dissenting clergy in a case of blatant and open simony.

In Charlemagne's time the anti-jewish crusade was lead by the Bishop of Lyon: Agobard.

He was not an ignorant man either: he was a man of letters and a well-regarded theologian. (24) He was also ironically a young protégé of Charlemagne, but one who his master evidently chose to ignore on the subject of the chosen people. Since Agobard could do little other than to desperately try to enlighten his sovereign's mind on the subject of the jewish assault on both Charlemagne's people and the Frankish church itself.

Agobard was no coward and wrote at least three fervent anti-jewish missives that we know of (two of which I have translated into English and will translate the third soon) as well as openly standing up to Charlemagne's son and successor Louis the Pious on the subject of his assuming the throne. One thing that is suggestive is that Agobard demanded that Charlemagne do something about was the fact that the jewish

merchants had forced the Christians of Lyons to change the day on which they held their market from a Saturday (i.e., the jewish Sabbath) to another day. (28)

That these jewish merchants were able and empowered by Charlemagne enough to force through such a change in spite of local custom and the explicit wishes of the Frankish church necessarily suggests that they wielded a significantly greater amount of influence over the Emperor than the Christian church did.

Therefore it is little wonder that by 806 A.D. it had reached Charlemagne's ears that jewish merchants were boasting that they could buy anything they wanted in his kingdom. (29)

What did Charlemagne do about these erstwhile 'enemies of the faith' openly flaunting their power over him?

He warned his bishops and abbots to keep a close eye on the valuables of the Church lest they be purloined by the roving jewish merchants that he had raised above the law of the land and who had now apparently declared open season on the inhabitants of Charlemagne's empire.

Evidently Charlemagne didn't care about what the jews did to his people as long as he got to murder more unarmed pagan Saxons (below)



Some Christian fanatic Charlemagne turns out to be in that he conducts systematic mass murder against the pagan Saxons who fought him fair and square, but then allows the explicit enemies of his faith (remember the Gospel of John) to rampage through his dominions abusing his subjects without fear or hindrance, while ignoring the outrage of the very church he allegedly so fervently believed in. The only question really is how much did the jews

influence Charlemagne’s decisions to conduct mass murder against the pagan Saxons given that what the jews would have advised the Emperor – had he asked – is that ‘if someone intends to kill you, get in first and kill him’ (Babylonian Talmud, Sanhedrin 72a) as ‘self-defence’ is not ‘murder’ in Judaism.

In other words the jews might well have told Charlemagne; since the Saxon idolaters attacked you after your people cut down Irminsul in 772 A.D. They are intending to kill you so the law of God dictates that you exterminate them before they can kill you.

There is therefore little doubt that Charlemagne wasn’t a defender of the West, but more likely was a servant of the jews. (By Karl Radl) Posted by Ian, UK.

Comment

Good information there Ian. Charlemagne, was probably nobbled by the “merchants” who were Edomites controllers of the silk route they gave commission to kings and emperors, the country was the franchise area. The smallest camel train numbered 40,000 – even both sides in a crusade battle had to stop while the train went through.

Charlemagne, was expanding the power of the antichrist Roman Catholic church – He was carrying on the work Constantine: Like always the official history is very different to what we have been led to believe. Constantine is another instance of that!

One reason for the untimely deaths of those revisionist historians posted, by you recently, was they discovered the real history of these isles.



Statue of Constantine The Great - City of York

Actually, Constantine ruled most of the time from the City of York, England. Where there is a prominent statute of him. His mother Helena,

a whore from Eastern Europe who married Constantine a Roman Soldier, and had three children, one called Essus (Jesus) who became Constantine the Great. It has been hidden that Constantine was married to his mother.

He was instrumental in having his name retranslated to Jesus (real name “I AM” Yashua – God Saves). All because he wanted to be worshiped as a God Zeus.

His father originated from Constantinople which is in Wales now removed from the map, but still can be seen on old versions.

He did not have any authority over the Culdee Church established in the British Isles in AD 36, so decided to build His own, which eventually became the Roman Catholic Church or antichrist in the sense of a building alongside along another Ante-christ. **Your’s Truly, CBP, UK.**

Queen Elizabeth’s Most Startling Sentence!



Sir __, According to The Spectator, at drinks, before dinner, a small group were chatting with Queen Elizabeth a few weeks before passed.

The monarch explained that Prince Harry meeting Meghan Markle had become—“A Complete Catastrophe” — & — described Meghan as—“Evil”—. Everybody’s eyebrows hit the ceiling.

A startling statement by the most forgiving woman on Earth.

What did The Queen know when she had Megain's possessions packed up and had Sophie escort her to the airport with a one way ticket out of the UK?

The story, as I have heard it, was that The Queen informed Meghan of an official engagement she

was required to carry out. Meghan refused to go, saying she had something else planned. This was the final straw and proved that Meghan had no intention of pulling her weight or doing any of tasks allocated to her, so she was thrown out.
Yours truly, David, CBP, UK.

The End

The Misleading Term 'ZOG' By Karl Rad




of who 'the enemy' - if you want to put it that way - was and is, but the picture of the enemy that Thomson evokes - as he describes it in his article - is ludicrous and quite simply anti-government. It is not in any rational way anti-jewish. If anything it is arguably pro-jewish, and presents us with the dichotomy of Thomson's profession of being a 'simple Nazi'.

Since in order to be a National Socialist Thomson would have to be an uncompromising supporter of order and not disorder as well as the looser term: justice. Therefore to be a National Socialist Thomson would have to support the government on principle, but be opposed to the current powerful lobbying interests of the jews in the government, which is not the stance he ostensibly takes in his written work.

ZOG OR 'ZIONIST OCCUPIED GOVERNMENT' was coined in 1976 by Eric Thomson and has been a widely used among those who adhere to a racist, anti-jewish or pseudo-racist ideology.

Thomson writing in a 1999 repeat of his original 1976 terming of 'ZOG' - 'Welcome to ZOG-World' - tells us that: 'Some claimed that The Enemy was the CFR, the Bilderbergers, the Trilateralists, the dreaded, but conveniently anonymous "Insiders". As a simple Nazi, I realized that what was lacking was a Feindbild or picture of the enemy.



Eric Thompson

If one did not know who the enemy was, then one could never hope to combat him. Later, I learned that 'our side' had no definition of who or what it was, either. Without a Feindbild (bogeyman), the situation is serious, but not hopeless. Without a Selbstbild (self perception), a concept of who we are, then the situation is hopeless, but not serious.

Thomson does not seem to support either, order and justice, and in most of his published work spends most of his time attacking the 'jew-dominated government' rather than the jews, when he should - if he was a good National Socialist - pinpoint his specific criticism and lampoons onto the actual jews in power, rather than the broader term suggesting that government in general is wholly rotten.

The rightwingers seem set in their ways, choosing to blunder and stumble along, on their treadmill to oblivion, because they choose not to identify themselves any more than they choose to identify their enemies. I agree with Thomson here that there was - and is - a lack of knowledge

Not only is this a simplistic understanding of politics in general - and more specially lobby

politics - it is also contrary to the organic world view, which is the hallmark of the National Socialist weltanschauung (world view).

Since if Thomson was abiding by this organic view he would, and indeed should, note that there are substantial anti-jewish forces in play in governments and that what we see are the results of the war between these two general forces who can be broken down into smaller camps but who have one of those two general allegiances.



This explains - for example - the contrasting and varied political situation surrounding the jews and why for example they fail to implement things they wish, even when they are generally united. It also reconciles with the National Socialist view of the eternal eugenic struggle upwards to constantly evolve to build a better future for the folk.

Thomson's lack of clear thought and his insistence at playing the revolutionary are demonstrated admirably in his definition of 'ZOG'.

Thomson Defines This as Follows

'ZIONIST: a jew-supremacist or Israel-firster.

Most Zionists are not jews, but jew-stooges who are bribed and/or bamboozled into serving their jewish masters. The previously used term for such a critter was "useful idiot" or race-traitor. **OCCUPATION:** the status of foreign troops or agents who rule a subject people against its own

interests, on behalf of the alien occupiers. **GOVERNMENT:** a minority of individuals who have the authority to shoot the majority and/or to levy taxes upon them.'

Firstly: this definition of the jewish problem targets 'Zionists' very particularly and does not note that the problem that is central to National Socialist ideology is the jew in all his or her manifestations.

It is not as simple as saying 'Zionists' are the problem, because they are a ruling camp within the jewish community at present and were more so at the time of Thomson's first writing in 1976. There were - and are - considerable amounts of jews, of varying political stripes from the haredi, or ultra-orthodox, community and the 'jewish radical' traditions, who are - and have been - quite publicly opposed to Zionism.

Not only this, but Thomson does not recognise that jews have nearly always split into opposing camps publicly over various issues and even if one issue seems to predominate it can often fade into obscurity just as quickly as it arose.



An excellent example of this in history is the Messianic movement of Shabbatai Tzevi (more popularly known as Sabbatai Zvi - above) when his proclamations that he was the long awaited jewish Messiah drew tens if not hundreds of thousands of jews from all over Europe, the Near East and North Africa to his standard directly.

Even when Shabbatai Tzevi was assiduously led into a trap by the Ottoman Sultan - advised by a jewish convert to Islam no less who was according to some scholars working at the behest of the rabbinical authorities - and promptly

converted to Islam to avoid being decapitated and having to rise from the dead to prove his divine origin.



This promptly caused the general collapse of the Messianic movement around Shabbatai Tzevi. Although it as one might anticipate did not collapse completely with some Ashkenazi jews (above) and their tzadiks as well as the remnants of his followers in Turkey, the Donmeh, kept alive his memory and constructed a new theology surrounding Shabbatai Tzevi.

Nevertheless the movement - in spite of the ancestors of the Donmeh - around Shabbatai Tzevi collapsed and he was consigned to history as a 'false messiah'.

However: not all jews followed Shabbatai even at the height of his influence and if we are to believe Thomson's thinking we should have called the jewish problem of the 16th century the 'Shabbataian Occupied Government'.

The problem was of different aspect yes, but the issues at hand were very much the same with the proliferation of the hofjude - or court jews - as well as the mercantile, [small and large scale] money-lending, tax farming and the international activities of the jews in this period.

So rather than sending their capital to and working on behalf of the Israeli government they at that time would have been working on behalf of Shabbatai Tzevi. But in the present - like Shabbatai Tzevi's time - there are a substantial number of jews who have held back from the all too alluring chalice that is the ideology of Zionism.

This can be compared to the many rabbis who condemned Shabbatai Tzevi as a false Messiah - among other things - and successfully removed him as a significant threat to their power and goals. Will these jewish 'anti-Zionists' destroy Zionism or Israel as a country? It is too early to tell, but we have to when dealing with jews think ahead and describe the problem as it actually is and not as it is at the moment.

Shabbatai Tzevi's fall from grace in the world of Jewry provides us with an example whereby if we were to judge and label 'the enemy' - as Thomson refers to it - as 'Zionists', but the problem is not in fact 'Zionists' - or Shabbatians to use my example of a previous fashionable jewish intellectual movement - rather jews then we cause a problem for ourselves by not underlining one of the root causes to the problems that face Europe, North America and Oceania today.

The jew does not champion a single cause such as Zionism - as I noted above - but rather he has many causes which he advocates. Sometimes the fashion is communism, sometimes the fashion is Zionism, sometimes the fashion is Hellenism and other times the fashion is to turn from.



One cannot just ascribe to him the appellation, 'Zionist' and expect that to stick, because there are 'anti-Zionist' jews running around in significant numbers, which someone who sees 'Zionists' as his enemy will logically presume to get quite alright because they are not 'Zionists'.

Even these 'anti-Zionist' jews vary in their ideas from a joint Israeli-Palestinian state to the idea that Israel should not exist, because the Messiah has not come yet and therefore the jews by taking up residence in the land are violating Hashem's covenant with the jewish people. 'Zionist' does not mean 'jew' and the problem is not simply Israel but rather it is jews writ large. By

using 'Zionist' Thomson is completely confusing the problem at hand, and allowing jews to escape the scorching spotlight of anti-Semitic critique (much as I suspect to his chagrin this can be compared to the baptismal font in that it allows the jew opposed to Zionism to escape much as conversion ostensibly formerly did in Europe), and not realising that by using it he is adversely affecting the cause he ostensibly advocates.

Secondly: Thomson as many have done, ignores a quintessential fact of jewish discourse that the senior power base of what one can term international jewry is not in fact located in Israel, but rather in North America with New York City being the unstated capital of this worldwide fraternity.

Since the international jewish community is made up essentially of two geographic elements: those in Israel and those not in Israel. Most - and moreover the more politically and financially powerful jews are outside of Israel and although most of these powerful jews support Israel that support is not unconditional.



The Israeli government has to placate the jewish Diaspora on a regular basis, because to paraphrase one recent article in an Israeli newspaper: 'the American jewish Diaspora does things for Israel and expects Israel to be very grateful to it'.

This is why you find that nearly every Israeli Prime Minister and President at some point in their term in office has talked of 'changing Israel's relationship with its

Diaspora', because Israel is the junior not the senior partner in the relationship and is dependent on the Diaspora to rescue it from itself.

As I noted about the jewish Diaspora is not essentially Zionist either. Although the jewish Diaspora has historically been supportive since Zionism came into jewish intellectual fashion in and around the turn of the twentieth century. A lot of Israeli government money is in fact

expended in convincing the jews of the Diaspora - especially those of New York City - that Israel is a cause worth supporting and putting their money towards.

It is worth noting that jews have a very considerable tradition for philanthropy among their own kind and Israeli jews do have to compete for these considerable monies alongside other jewish philanthropic / educational organisations, which work on a more national basis.

Of course Israeli organisations within the Diaspora have been very successful in fundraising and garnering support.



Primarily by hysteric predictions of 'another Holocaust' and of atrocity propaganda relating to the rockets launched by the Hezbollah from southern Lebanon and by Hamas near the Gaza border (against Sderot for one prominent example).

However the important issue here is who is the target for this propaganda for since Israel spends so much time and money on garnering support using this type of propaganda it is of importance to understand the target audience, which is in fact jews and not as is commonly presumed gentiles.

The average jew in the ghettos of Brooklyn, for example, is highly susceptible to jewish atrocity propaganda. Since he or she does not know better than to question what Israel says and if their Rabbi and community endorses it all the better.

Since then the community pressure, that is a negative sanction in most groups, is brought to bear on jews to make them observant to Israel. This is addition to the fact that other openly jewish sources of information are often very

pro-Zionist and your average jew in the ghettos of Brooklyn doesn't trust nor want to listen to the non-jewish news for it has no real relevance for him or her.

In all essentials then this Israeli propaganda in the mainstream jewish organisations and media gives your average jew the impression that Israel is in the right and that any criticism from non-jewish (and occasionally jewish) sources of media that are anti-Israel are anti-Semitic or in the case of jewish criticism: 'self-hating'.



Convincing the Diaspora jews of the necessity of supporting Israel financially, morally, physically and intellectually no matter what the cost has been part of Israeli strategy since Israel's foundation, which was made possible by the Diaspora's actions within government circles, fighting with the emergent IDF, smuggling arms and people to Israel and the financing of the war as well as the purchase of land.

This reliance on the Diaspora is still as strong as it was in 1948. Although Israel can stand on her own: Israel is never-the-less is a state, which heavily relies upon its jewish origins to garner the necessary support in all spheres - both jewish and Judeo-Christian to make its very controversial actions possible.



This is the ideology behind the Zionist rhetoric about requiring a self-sustaining jewish state,

because the Zionists around the world - and especially within Israel itself - realise that Israel is not currently a self-sustaining state and in order to survive it needs help from the outside.

This has been the function of Israeli propaganda within the jewish community and is often mistaken by opponents of jews and/or Israel - as Thomson has likely mistaken it - to be simply aimed at gentiles around the world.

When in fact this propaganda's primary function is to garner jewish support for Israel and the secondary function there-of is to create 'righteous gentiles' - to use the Noahide conception - who support the jewish people and specifically the efforts of Zionism to establish then support, sustain and advance the jewish state.



Thirdly: Thomson is probably correct to state that most Zionists are not jews since the majority of 'Zionists' would appear to be the so-called 'Christian-Zionists'.

Whom follow a theology where-by it becomes necessary for the jews to return to Palestine so that Jesus Christ can come again and the tribulation can begin.

However much of 'Christian-Zionist' movement is supported and aided by (usually secular) jewish Zionists who blend 'Christian-Zionist' political, economic and social weight to their own on questions relating to Israel, United States policy towards the Middle East and jews in general.

Are the people who have been lead into believing such millennial ideas 'race traitors' (to the pseudo-racialist/racist cause) and 'useful idiots' (to the jewish cause)? I certainly don't think so. The idea that such people are 'race traitors', because they serve the Zionist cause is only applicable to those who knowingly promote jews despite knowledge that the cause of

Zionism is not in the best interests of their folk, let alone their religion, or can be proved to have taken money to preach the cause of Zionism. ‘



Race traitor' is a term, which should be specifically applied to particular political criminals. Rather than one that can be applied to entire segments of the folk who have simply been misled by the people whom they have trusted to be honest with them and look to their best interests.

The same implies to 'useful idiots' in that the folk are not idiots and nor are they inherently idiotic as this characterisation of them implies. Rather they are people who are simple in their beliefs and do not have time to deeply research into things pertaining to politics or their situation.

The folk often have more important things to do such as earning the bread for the family table and keeping the family home clean and tidy as well spending time with their families. These are far more important things than concerning themselves with the machinations behind the scenes in politics, diplomacy, academe and business.

The folk trust the representatives of power to tell them the truth and when they do not do so it is up to the National Socialist to inform of this fact gently and without malice. This stems from the fact that the National Socialist loves the folk unconditionally and with all their heart and does not belittle or look down on them with scorn, because they may not follow the National Socialist weltanschauung at the current time.

If you treat the folk as Thomson treats them in his work, as ignorant cattle, then you are simply treating them as a communist would do in the Marxian [and general jewish] presumption that

there are 'opiates of the masses' so to speak. Nobody but a masochistic pervert responds well to condescension and one must treat the folk as part of your extended family: care for, help and love them as if they were your father or mother, brother or sister, son or daughter, grandson or to assume that the folk are such creatures - as

Thomson does - degrades them and puts the writer on an unearned pedestal as the paradigm of virtue, because he or she 'knows the truth', which is an excuse for feeling intellectually superior when there is absolutely no grounds for doing so.

How does Thomson, putting himself on the unearned pedestal, know that he knows 'the truth' of the matter? When he is ostensibly dealing with a sub-rosa ethnocentric network of individuals, informal groups and formal organisations: who do not share the same overt goals, such as Zionism or Communism, but share the same underlying one: what is good for jews.

Perceptions of what is in fact good for jews differ, which is why you get jews who argue for secular integration, others who argue for religious Zionism, others who argue for secular Zionism, others who argue for a return to the Diaspora without Israel etc.



The same fundamental theme, even in the case of 'anti-Semitic' jews, is one of what is good for the jewish people. Jews cannot be viewed as a monolithic entity taking 'orders from the top', but must be view rather as a series of interconnecting ethnocentric individuals, informal groups and formal organisations who have a shifting pattern of alliances and output based on their own interpretation of what is best for jewry and what is best for their particular theory as to what is best for jewry at that given time.

Unless the writer acknowledges that what he or she is writing is a theory based on the presented facts of the matter. Then he or she is simply setting themselves up as easy prey to jewish intellectuals who can point out contrary examples and show with some ease that not all jewry is united.

Therefore they can seem to prove to the folk that what 'anti-Semites' say is paranoid hogwash and that 'anti-Semites' really are the irrational beings they portray them as.

What Thomson fails to recognise is that there are few absolutes when it comes to jews and one

must understand that the idea of Zionism being the root cause of the problem jewry poses in the present age is fallacious and more generally distorts the problem at hand and substitutes caring for the folk for looking down on them as intellectual inferiors.

'The enemy' is not the 'Zionists': it is the jews. Thank you for reading Semitic Controversies. This post is public so feel free to share it.

The End



Christian Orthodox Twist On Tucker-Putin Interview By Nathanael Kapner



When Tucker asked Putin about his personal embrace of Orthodox Christianity Putin instantly lifted it into a national level.

And rightly so since the Orthodox Church is always conceptually in its scope a "national" embrace of its cultural power.

Tucker: "You've described Russia as Orthodox. That's central to your understanding of Russia. You've said you're Orthodox. What does that mean for you? You are a Christian leader by your own description. So what effect does that have on you?"


Putin: "Eastern Christianity is deeply rooted in the consciousness of the Russian people. Those who profess other religions in Russia share the same traditional values. We are one family."

PUTIN FROM THE OUTSET showed that he's in full charge of his Orthodox Christian self.

He's also in charge of Russian history of which he expressed its Orthodox Christian roots.

Putin brought it into the contemporary setting of the bombing of Serbia by the Jew, Madeleine Albright, in 1999:

"As soon as developments in Serbia started Yeltsen raised his voice in support of the Serbs. We couldn't help but raise our voices because the Serbs are close to us as a nation with its Orthodox Christian culture."



The motherland and the family are connected with each other since it's impossible to ensure a normal future for our children and our families

unless we ensure a normal, sustainable future for the motherland. That is why patriotic sentiment is so strong in Russia.”



In Tucker’s Preceding Interview with Viktor Orbán, the Hungarian leader said the same but with a different Christian twist.

Tucker: “Why are you so unpopular among leadership in the United States and the West while Hungary as a nation seems to be thriving?”

Orbán: It’s more about our civilization. I mean, Western Christian civilization. The division line, you see, is not according to ideologies. It’s deeper. It has an anthropological character.

On one side US and Europe says “ego,” that is, “me,” is the centre of the world. On the other

side Hungary and Russia says “family, nation, God,” is the centre of the world.

Our Christianity can be felt on our streets. We might be ‘out of fashion,’ so to speak, but we are united as a country, as a people, and we are thriving.”

Let me chime in here.

Tucker asked why Orbán and Hungary are so unpopular among US leadership and the West. It’s because the Jew rules America and the West. And the Jew hates historical Christianity because it unites peoples of bounded borders into one family, one society, one nation.

Historically in all Orthodox Christian nations the Jew sinks to the level of a second class citizen and rightly so.

Let the Jew enjoy the prosperity of Christian national life but let him have no influence in it or he will kill it.

The Jew has killed off America but though he try he can’t kill off Russia and Hungary. Let the Jew gnash his teeth.

Outer darkness is the place where the devil can give him full citizenship.

The End OS23526

Russian President Vladimir Putin Makes New Tartaria Archive Public By Medeea Greere



the shadowy history of the once colossal empire, Tartaria. A ghost from the past re-emerges, beckoning us to re-examine our understanding of world history.

The cold January day of 15th, was forever etched into the annals of history. Russian President Vladimir Putin, an enigma in his own right, inaugurated a new archive, a treasure trove revealing a hidden realm of history.

A silent guardian of the nation’s rich past, this repository would make Tartaria maps public. The question teetering on the edge of everyone’s lips: Why is this significant?

IN AN EPOCH ——— MAKING REVELATION, Russian President Vladimir Putin takes the world by storm, inaugurating a new archive and casting light on

Well, my dear readers, the implications are momentous, indeed. This move signalled a profound paradigm shift. Russia, a nation of layered history and deep-rooted traditions, resolved to decant its true, non-counterfeit historical narratives, breaking free from the fetters of orthodox historiography.

Why? Because the power of a nation lies in its history. It is the compass that directs the future, the root that nourishes the national identity. A nation without its history is like a ship adrift in the stormy sea, aimlessly wandering with no harbour in sight.

The conventional historical narrative in Russia, akin to a tale weaved into the fabric of academic curricula, has origins dating back to the 18th century. It is tailored in accordance with the biblical Jewish concept of history, a legacy of the Romanovs, Russian rulers of yesteryears. However, this narrative truncated more than five and a half thousand years of the realm's rich tapestry, a consequence of the transition from the original calendar to the Julian calendar.

The Russian Federation now stands at the precipice of a paradigm shift. It is crafting a brand-new concept of education, a radical overhaul of history books that were churned out over the past two decades under the aegis of Western educational institutions and non-profit organizations. The journey to reclaim its history, its true identity, has begun in earnest.

The focal point of this journey is Tartaria, a mysterious empire relegated to the sidelines of global history. To many, this name might strike a discordant note, a hazy remnant of forgotten lore. While tales of Egypt's pyramids, the Persian empire's grandeur, the Ottoman's resilience, and the Roman's conquests populate our textbooks, the saga of Tartaria remains curiously absent. Despite dwarfing these empires in terms of its vast territorial expanse, Tartaria has been conspicuously missing from the historical discourse. Until now.

Over the years, this vast empire went by various monikers – Rassénia, Skátia, and finally, Tartaria. Historians like Jelena Ljubimová and Dmitry Mylnikov, among others, have started filling the void, shedding light on this massive state entity. Spread across several continents, Tartaria was home to millions who lived in harmony, fostering a self-sustained culture rooted in spiritual and moral virtues. They had

a profound reverence for ancestors, justice, familial bonds, and their homeland.

The Tartarians, as the inhabitants were known, were an impressive race. Tall, red-haired, white-skinned, and blessed with captivating blue, green, or grey eyes, these Slavs-Aryans and Russians possessed an intriguing juxtaposition of characteristics.

They were friendly and warm in times of peace, yet brave and ruthless in battles. In times of victory, they were just and compassionate; during adversities, they remained steadfast. Their indomitable spirit stemmed from their unwavering resolve to protect their Rod, their homeland, and uphold the moral purity and faith of their ancestors, rendering them nigh invincible.



Tartarian Women

The first edition of Encyclopedia Britannica, published in 1771, provides a fascinating insight into this enigmatic empire. Spanning from the Urals to Alaska and from New Earth to Tibet, Tartaria was a formidable entity in northern Asia, flanked by Siberia in the north and west.

It was a complex mosaic of diverse tribes and people such as Astrachans, Cherkez, Dagestanians, Kalmy Tartars, Uzbek Tartarians, Mogulas, and Tibetan Tartarians, each with their unique culture and heritage. Tartaria was a testament to the harmony that could exist amidst diversity.

The mysterious disappearance of Tartaria from maps, books, and collective memory is baffling. Further editions of Encyclopedia Britannica bear no trace of its existence. A significant chunk of human history, spanning millennia, seems to have been swallowed up by the sands of time.

[Source](#)

The End

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Paul English

PAULENGLISHLIVE.COM


m US eastern · gab.com/paulenglish · t.me/paulenglish · ru

League  Sentinel

A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



**In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte**



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe
By
Pastor Eli James**

[CLICK HERE](#)



www.theflameuk.com

Contact


Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail [HERE](#)

This website is dedicated to those who have an open mind and question the official Government narratives about COVID19 and the lockdown measures.

<https://judgeforyourself.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

**Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew**