

The New Ensign

No. 145 September 2021

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Resistance Grows to The Vaccine Passport Drive



While many governments are pushing for a greater uptake of the Covid-19 mass vaccination programme, resistance is growing fast, particularly in European countries. France is leading the way, where a number of hospitals have been closed because medical staff refuse to have the jab or administer the poison. Restaurants have lost customers because of the passport requirement and instead people are bringing their own food and sitting on the street to eat.

Meanwhile resistance is high also in Italy, Spain, Germany and Denmark. In Alberta, the Irish Republic and Germany, following freedom of information requests, neither of these countries could provide evidence that the virus had been

isolated, In the case of Alberta, following a court challenge, all restrictions had to be lifted. Court challenges as to the lawfulness of the restrictions are or have been filed in many other countries including the UK.

Many who have had the jab are developing vaccine remorse, as they see people becoming seriously ill or dying. Independent doctors have come up with a means to flush out vaccine poisonous toxins from the body, one of which was published in last month's edition of this magazine.

Evidence is coming to light that plagues and pandemics in the past have been used by the enemy as a cover for mass poisoning. The current pandemic is no exception! The name of the non-existent gives the game away - **Certificate Of Vaccination ID!**

The enemy knows it has to rush its agenda through as quickly as possible for its days are numbered. For the scriptures state "And except those days should be shortened, there should no flesh be saved: but for the elect's sake those days shall be shortened" (Matthew 24:22). Praise Yahweh, the day of reckoning will soon be here!!

Editor (editornewensign.com)

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine.

Contents

| | Page |
|--|------|
| The Exclusive Covenants (Part 6) Pastor Eli James | 3 |
| OT "Word for Word" Deuteronomy Part 23 - Pastor Steven H. Anderson | 7 |
| The Fall and Rise of Abraham's descendants (Part 6) - Adam De Witt | 10 |
| Harold Stough Notes - Marlborough Public School Hides Merlin's Prison | 14 |
| Were The British Tongues Related to Hebrews | 17 |
| The Political influence of British Israel in The 19th Century (8) - R. Simpson | 19 |
| Letters and Views | 20 |
| Mystery on The Isle Wight - Arthur Firstenberg | 26 |
| How To Verify The Vaxxed - Lee Austin | 29 |
| Is Australia Turning Authoritative? | 31 |
| The Bryn Gwyn - White Tower, Tower of London | 32 |
| Egyptian Blue The Oldest Known Pigment - Dhwtly | 33 |
| The Eclipse AD 664 - Duncan Steel | 35 |
| The Hoskins Report - Priests | 36 |

The Exclusive Covenants (Part 6)

Of Covenant Theology: Documenting the Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation

By

Pastor Eli James



“One Blood”

ACTS 17:26 is another verse that is commonly cited by those who opt for the unity of the races doctrine.

This verse says: "He has made from one blood every nation of men to dwell on the face of the earth." This verse does not mean that all races have the same blood genetically, anymore than the statement, "there is one flesh of men" (1 Cor. 15:39), means all races have the same skin. Daily observation of the different skin pigmentations tell us this is not the correct interpretation of 1 Cor. 15:39.

Also, medical science has well established that the various races do not have the same genetic makeup of blood (see, *Races and People*, by Dr. William Boyd, 1955, p. 145). Furthermore, the word 'blood' is not in the original Greek text. It was added by the translators. Most Bible commentaries on this verse state that, "The best texts omit the word 'blood'."

More Tampering with Text by the Translators!

Even if the word was originally there, it does not change the meaning of the Greek word **Anthropoi** ("men"), which was only applied to White men by the Greeks. Therefore, the true meaning and intent of Acts 17:26 is this:

"He has made from one every nation of Adamkind to dwell upon the face of the earth."

Paul is actually referring to Noah, the one man from whom all of the White nations of the world have descended. His audience understood what he was saying. They were NOT multiculturalists!

The passage at Acts 17:18-32 is addressed to certain Epicureans and Stoics of the Dispersion.

This group would have included both White Japhethites as well as Shemites, going all the way back to the table of nations in Genesis 10, all of which were White Adamites. Verses 28 and 29: "For in Him we live and move and have our being; as certain also of your [Epicurean and Stoic] own poets [philosophers] have said, Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God [through Adam and Noah], we ought not to think that the Godhead is like to gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device [as the heathen nations do!]."

Paul is telling these Adamites that they should not imitate the heathen nations. This is Old Testament theology, folks.

Arnold Kennedy has done the most thorough research on this passage. His document, entitled, "'Of One Blood' – Acts 17:26," goes into the subject of the word 'haimatos:'

"However, the word 'blood,' Greek 'haimatos,' as in the Stephens' text and the King James Version, is omitted by practically all authorities. Bullinger states, 'The texts omit 'blood,' and Scofield agrees with, 'Blood is not in the best manuscripts.' Four of six editors of the Stephens' text (A.V.) omit the word. It is also omitted by the following: Wescott and Hort, Panin, the Concordant Version, the Diaglott, Douay Version, the vulgate, Revised Version, the Nestle text & Revised Standard Version, and by Ferrar Fenton. Moffat has 'from a common origin.'"

"No Respector of Persons" Has Nothing To Do With Race

It is true that Paul spoke these words in Acts 10:34, but Judeo theology is completely in error when suggesting that these words mean that we should "respect" the people of other races.

The expression, "no respector of persons," has nothing to do with the subject of race. That is a modern misrepresentation of biblical reality. The phrase is also taken out of context. The phrase always refers to rich versus poor, strong versus weak, within Israel. Since we are not to

associate with non-Israelites, except on a national basis, with our leaders negotiating with their leaders, we are not expected to defer the those of another race in personal matters that should not even occur, were we obeying Yahweh's Law of Segregation..

The fact is that Yahweh does not have equal regard for all races. Israel is the apple of His Eye. We are His Chosen People, and no other people has He regarded as He regards us. It might be said that we are His "special project," the posterity that is to redeem this planet against the anti-Christ and his fallen angels.

The first occurrence of the phrase in Scripture is at Lev. 19:15, where only Israel is being addressed, since Moses is giving us the Law: "Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment: thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honour the person of the mighty but in righteousness: but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbour."

In other words, we are not to show favouritism to an Israelite person because of wealth, status, rank, position, within our own society.

Since Israel is to dwell alone and separated from the other races, the question of deferring to non-Israelites never comes up. Obviously, we are not to mistreat strangers, but the strangers of other races are not even supposed to be among us in order to disrespect them.

In Gal. 3, Paul's soliloquy about neither male nor female, Judean or Hellene is also strictly within the nation of Israel, because both the Greeks and Romans had numerous Israelites living within those territories, as Josephus clearly attests. Josephus was one of them! Paul is simply telling the Israelites that they all have been forgiven, regardless of rank, status, sex, or circumcision.

The Law Was Given Only to Israel

Deut. 27: 9-10: Moses and the priests spoke to all Israel, saying, "Take heed, and hearken, O Israel; this day you are become the people of Yahweh Elohim. You shall therefore obey the voice of Yahweh your Elohim and do His commandments and His statutes, which I command you this day."

Psalm 103:7: "Yahweh made known His ways to Moses, His acts to the children of Israel."

Deut. 4:7-8: "For what [other] nation is there so great, who has El so near to them, as Yahweh Elohim is in all things that we call upon Him? And what nation is there so great, that has statutes and judgments so righteous as all this law, which I set before you this day?" All scholars agree that the Mosaic Law was delivered to Israel and only Israel.


Psalm 147:19-20: "He showeth His word unto Jacob, his statutes and His judgments unto Israel. He hath **Not Dealt so with Any Other Nation.**"

Ezra agrees: "For I have seen how thou [Yahweh] sufferest them sinning, and hast spared wicked-doers: and hast destroyed [the evildoers of] thy people, and hast preserved thy enemies...I do not remember how this way may be left: are they then of Babylon better than they of Zion [Israel]? Or is there any other people that knoweth Thee besides Israel?" – II Esdras, 3:30-32.

See also Romans 9:4-5.

Clearly and unambiguously, the Bible recognizes only True Israel as His People. No other people qualifies; and only Israel was given the Mosaic Law.

Anthropos (Man)



The Greek word 'anthropos' is the common word for a male person of the Greco-Roman world, just as the Greek word 'gyne' is the common Greek word for a woman. This fact is proven by the way in which 'anthropos' is used in the Septuagint. For example:

Deuteronomy 17:5. "Then you shall bring out that anthropos or that woman, and you shall stone them with stones"

Deuteronomy 22:24. "They shall be stoned with stones, and they shall die, the young woman, because she did not cry out in the city, and the anthropos, because he violated his neighbour's wife."

Isaiah 4:1. "Seven women shall take hold of one anthropos, saying, we will eat our own bread,

and wear our own raiment; only let your name be called upon us, and take away our reproach."

Jeremiah 51:7: "to cut off anthropos and woman of you, infant and suckling from the midst of Judah..."

Now, for the New Testament

Matthew 19:5 "Therefore shall an anthropos leave his father and mother, and hold fast to his wife." (also in Ephesians 5:31)

Matthew 19:10 "If such is the case of an anthropos with his wife, it is better not to marry."

I Corinthians 7:1 "It is good for an anthropos not to touch a woman."

Since the law was given exclusively to Israel, these statements, using the word anthropos, cannot apply to any other group. Furthermore, neither the Greeks, many of whom were Japhethites, nor the Israelites of the Greco-Roman world, considered non-Whites to be anthropos of their own race.

The Greeks and Romans never considered the other races to be part of this **Cosmos** (world-order), which was run exclusively by **Anthropos** (White, Adamic Man). Non-whites, whether of Oriental or Black origin, were never accorded citizenship status until much later in Greek and Roman history.

In both cases, the half-breed offspring of Free Whites and non-White slaves were not given citizenship status until these cultures were in the throes of fatal decline. From this history, which includes myriads of statues and artwork of Whites only, we know that the Greco-Roman world was an exclusively White anthropos world. This reality is assumed by and reflected in the language of the New Testament.

There is simply no doubt that the Greco-Roman world was both Hebrew and Indo-Aryan:

It is common to speak of "civilizational ideas," but do they exist? For example, are the doshas of Ayurveda peculiarly Indian since they are a tripartite classification that is basic to the Vedic system of knowledge? Plato introduced a similar system based on three humours into Greek medicine, with a central role to the idea of breath

(*pneuma* in Greek). But this centrality of breath (*prana* in Sanskrit) is already a feature of the much older Vedic thought.



So do we agree with Jean Filiozat (1970) that Plato borrowed the elements of the wind, the gall, and the phlegm, from the earlier tridosha theory, and that the transmission occurred via the Persian empire?

Others claim that any similarities between the Indian and the Greek medical systems must be a result of the shared Indo-European heritage and what may appear to be Indian is actually Indo-European. Dumezil's demonstration that tripartite categories operated elsewhere in the Indo-European world supports this latter view. Dumezil argued that all Indo-European religions have three hierarchical functions: sacred sovereignty, force, and fecundity, represented by the categories of brahman, rajan (or kshatra), and Vish.

Religious and political sovereignty is conceived as a dual category: the magician-king and the jurist-priest. In India, this duality is in the roles of the rajan and brahman; in Rome, of rex and flamen. Even the names are similar!

In his *Mitra-Varuna*, Dumezil (1948) shows that the magician-king (Varuna in India or Romulus in Rome) initiates in violence the social order that the jurist-priest (Mitra in India or Numa in Rome) develops in peace.

Magical sovereignty operates by means of bonds and debts, whereas juridical sovereignty employs pacts and faith. This pattern is repeated in time: in the cult of Christ as the "son" he is the "intercessor" and saviour juxtaposed to the avenging, punishing "father"!

There is similarity between the Indian and the Greek religions as also in the society sketched in the *Mahabharata* and Homeric poems. Metempsychosis [reincarnation] is known in both places. The imagery of the "world-egg," so central to Vedic thought, is described in the later Orphic legends. According to Rawlinson (1975),

"the resemblance between the two legends is too close to be accidental" – Indic Ideas in the Graeco-Roman World, by Subhash Kak, PhD.

All of the nations named in Genesis 10 were exclusively White. The ruler-ship of these nations remained exclusively White, even after non-White slaves were brought in, after military subjugation. In no way did any of these Adamic civilizations treat race-mixing as an acceptable practice.

Indeed, the Hindu caste system is a holdover from Aryan dominionism in India. Racial exclusivity was, quite simply, the norm, not the exception, in the White, Greco-Roman world. No anthropologist, except for Jewish deceivers, has ever stated otherwise.

By the time of Christ, most of the Greeks of the Greco-Roman world were actually Japhethites and Israelites of the Dispersion, and most of the Romans were pre-Dispersion Israelites of the House of Zarah-Judah, as Rome, Troy, Spain and Britain were settled by Zarah-Judah and Dan even before the Exodus; and it was only the Dispersed Israelites of the Greco-Roman world who had any interest in going to Jerusalem for any Israelitish feasts.

Nor would any non-Israelites be allowed to attend these Feasts. This is just as true of the Magi, who were of the Parthian (Pharez-Judah) nation, as it was of the various "nations" represented at Pentecost in the Book of Acts. The Parthians were a blend of Persian (Aramaic Shemite) Whites and Saxon Whites. They were ruled by kings descended from Pharez and by priests of the house of Levi.



The Scythians were a blend of Japhethites and Israelites who were not ruled by Pharez-Judah. Their territory was north of Parthia, well into

Russia, and extended far into the East, even into China (the Tocharians) and was well established in the eastern and northern parts of Europe (as the Veneti, Frisians, etc.). The Veneti were Japhethites (Slavs), who were the traders that delivered goods into Venice from the rivers of eastern Europe and Russia.

All of these nations were White, from top to bottom; and they all considered non-Whites to be inferior races. When these White tribes confronted Mongolians or Africans, there was war, not integration. This is the racial COSMOS that the Israelites were brought up in; and the Apostles display this racial reality when they are confronted by non-Israelites.

At Acts 2:22, Peter addresses the assembly with the words, "Ye men of Israel." These men were White, Adamic Israelites who had been scattered by the various dispersions and had settled in the various parts of the Greco-Roman world.

Only such persons would even be allowed into the congregation of Israel. Indeed, the death penalty was enforced for any non-Israelite who tried to enter the Temple.

The plaques stating this death penalty warning still exist on the Temple walls. In Apion 2.8; 103-109, Josephus describes the Temple grounds. The Temple had four courts, each with restrictions on who could enter.

The outer court was open to all, including non-Israelites, except menstruating women. The second court permitted only Israelite men and non-menstruating Israelite women. The third court permitted only Israelite men.

Finally the fourth court was restricted to priests who were properly attired for the performance of their priestly duties. Only the High Priest dressed in his regalia could enter the inner sanctuary (*adytum*), the holy of holies.

The question for the Jews and Judeos is this: At what point were all of these segregationist rules suspended? And by whom?

Nothing like this was ever done by either Jesus or Paul. Rather, the integrationist philosophy of the Jews and Judeos is a figment of their hyperactive imaginations and their anti-White, anti-Christ agenda.

At Acts 1:6, the Israelites assembled at Jerusalem realized that they are being confronted by the risen Christ. They ask Him, “Will You at this time restore again the kingdom to Israel?”

Notice, they do **not** ask, “Will you restore the kingdom to all races of the world?” Since this is the **NEW TESTAMENT**, and it was **AFTER**

The Resurrection, there is no question that the Apostles entertained any notion that the covenants were to be promised to anyone other than Israel. That notion is a purely modern, that is, apostate, notion. It is only because of the dilution and perversion of the original Greek and Hebrew text that the modern apostasy of universalism and miscegenation is sellable to a gullible Caucasian Christian.

You will note that Paul only speaks to the Romans as being capable of being “grafted in” precisely because they were Israelites, not “Gentiles,” in the Jewish sense.

They were still children of the Covenants even though they had left the main body of Israel **before** the Exodus but **after** the Covenants were made with Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

Only because they were part of Caucasian Israel could they be “grafted” back into the fold. This is also the true meaning of the vision Peter had concerning clean and unclean “food.” Acts 10:28 clearly states that the meaning of this vision is about **MEN** (anthropos), not food; and these men are Israelites of the Dispersion being equated with the Judahites of Judea. Jer:31 is again verified.

Rev. 21 is about the Bride of Christ and the coming of the New Jerusalem. This New Jerusalem is the Lamb’s wife. The Lamb’s wife is described as being the “twelve tribes of the children of Israel.” (Rev. 21:12.)

No other nations are considered as being part of the Bride of Christ. There is simply no way that the word ‘Israel’ can be redefined to mean “all races of the world.” Yet, this is what the false priests of the modern apostasy all teach. Rev. 21 and 22 are simply stating the fact that Dominion Mandate of Gen. 1 will be established upon the Second Coming of Yahshua Messiah, concomitant with the Restoration of True Israel..

The End OS23166

Old Testament

“Word for Word” Deuteronomy Part 23

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 30

1. It shall be, when come upon you all these things, the blessing and the curse that I have set before you, and you shall call them to mind in all the nations, where has banished 5080 you Yahweh Eloheycha there,

2. and you shall return to Yahweh Eloheycha, and shall listen to His voice as to all that I command you today, you, and your children,

with all your heart, and all your inner being;

3. then Yahweh Eloheycha shall return you from your captivity 7622, and have compassion 7355, and return and gather 6908 you from all the peoples where scattered 6327 you Yahweh Eloheycha there.

4. If you have been driven out 5080 to outermost 7097 parts of the sky, from there shall gather you Yahweh Eloheycha, and from there He will fetch 3947 you:

5. and will bring you Yahweh Eloheycha to the land that possessed your forefathers, and you shall possess it; and He will do you good 3190, and multiply 7235 you more than your forefathers.

6. And will circumcise 4135 Yahweh Eloheycha your heart 3824, and the hearts of

your seedline 2233, to love 157 Yahweh Eloheycha with all your heart, and all your inner being, so that you may live 2416.

7. And will put Yahweh Eloheycha all these curses upon your hated enemies, and upon those that hate you 8130, and that persecuted 7291 you.

8. And you shall return, and listen to the voice of Yahweh, and do all His commandments that I command you today.

9. And will make you plenteous 3498 Yahweh Eloheycha in every work 4639 of your hands, in the fruit of your womb 990, and in the fruit of your beasts 929, and in the fruit of you soil 127, for good 2896: for shall return Yahweh Eloheycha to rejoice 7797 over you for good, as He rejoiced over your forefathers:

10. for you shall listen to the voice of Yahweh Eloheycha, to keep His commandments, and His statutes written in this scroll of the Torah, for you shall return to Yahweh Eloheycha with all your mind, and all your inner being 5315.

11. because this commandment that I command you today, is not too difficult 6381 for you, neither is it far off 7350.

12. It is not in the sky, so you say, "Who shall go up for us to the sky, and take it for us so we may hear, and do it? "

13. Neither is it beyond 5676 the sea, so you say, "Who shall pass over to beyond the sea for us, and take it for us, that we may hear it, and do it? "

14. But very near to you is the Word, in your mouth, and in your mind, to do it.

15. See, I have set before you today life 2416 and goodness 2896, or death 4194 and evil 7451;

16. in that I command you today to love 157 Yahweh Eloheycha, and to walk 1980 in His Way 1870, and to keep His commandments, and statutes, and judgements, so you may live 2421 and multiply 7235: and shall bless you Yahweh Eloheycha in the land that you go there to possess.

17. But if turns away your heart, and you do not

listen, and are thrust away 5080, and prostrate to other elohiym, and serve them;

18. I declare to you today, that you shall surely perish 6/6, and shall not lengthen 748 your days upon the soil, that you pass over the Yarden to go there to possess.

19. I call to witness 5749 against you today the sky and the land, that I life and death I have set before you, blessings and curses: so choose 977 life, so that may live you and your seedline:


20. and love Yahweh Eloheycha, and listen to His voice, and cleave 1692 to Him, for He is your life, and the length 753 of your days: that you may dwell upon the soil that swore Yahweh to your forefathers, to Ab'raham, to Yits'chaq, and to Yaaqob, to give to them.

References Chapter 30

Verses 12-14 – Rom. 10:6-8;

Verse 20 – a) Gen. 12:7; b) Gen. 12:7; 26:3; c) Gen. 26:3; 28:13; d) Gen. 28:13.

Chapter 31



1. And went Mosheh and spoke all these words to all Yis'rael;

2. and said to them, "I am a hundred and twenty years old today; and I am not able anymore to go forth and come in: and Yahweh has said to me that I may not pass over this Yarden River.

3. Yahweh Eloheycha will pass over before you

and He shall destroy these nations before you and dispossess them. Yahshua 3091, he shall pass over before you, as has spoken Yahweh.

4. And shall do Yahweh to them that which He did to Siychon and Og, kings of the Emoriy, and to their land that He destroyed.

5. And shall give them up Yahweh before you, to do to them all the commandments that I commanded you.

6. Be strong 2388 and courageous 553, and do not fear 3372, nor be afraid 6206 of their presence, for Yahweh Eloheycha, He walks with you; and will not abandon 7503 you, nor forsake 5800 you. "

7. And called Mosheh to Yahshua, and said to him in the sight of all Yis'rael, "Be strong and courageous, for you must go with these people to the land that swore Yahweh to our forefathers to give them, and you shall give it as an inheritance 5157 to them.

8. And Yahweh, He will walk before you, He will be with you, and will not abandon or forsake you, do not fear, nor be dismayed 2865."

9. And wrote Mosheh this Torah, and gave it to the priests of the sons of Lewiy, which carried the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh, and to all the elders of Yis'rael.

10. And commanded Mosheh to them, saying, "At the end 7093 of seven years, in the appointed 4150 year 8141 of release 8059, at the Feast 2282 of Booths 5521,


11. when comes all Yis'rael to appear before Yahweh Eloheycha in the place that He shall choose, you shall read aloud 7121 this Torah in front of 5048 all Yis'rael in their hearing 241.

12. Assemble 6950 the people, the men, and the women, and the little ones, and the sojourner that is within your gates, so that they may learn 3925, and fear 3372 Yahweh Eloheychem, and observe and do all the words of this Torah;

13. and their children, that have not known, may hear, and learn to fear Yahweh Eloheychem, all the days that you live upon the soil that you pass over the Yar'den River there to possess.

14. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Behold, approaches your day to die: call Yahshua, and present 3320 yourselves at the Tent of Meeting, so I may command him.**" And went Mosheh and Yahshua, and presented themselves in the Tent of Meeting.

15. And appeared Yahweh in the Tent in a pillar 5982 of cloud 6051, and stood the pillar of cloud at the door of the Tent.



16. And said Yahweh to Mosheh, "**Behold, you shall lie down to sleep 7901 with your forefathers; and shall rise up this people, and go whoring 2181 after elohey 430 of racial aliens 5236 of the land where they go among them, and will forsake 5800 Me, and break 6565 My Covenant that I made with them.**

17. **And shall be kindled 2734 My anger 639 that day, and I will forsake them, and will hide 5641 My face from them, and they shall be devoured, and shall find them many evils and troubles 6869; and they shall say in that day, 'Is this not upon us because Elohay 430 is not among us, and have found us these evils?'**

18. **And I shall surely hide My face that day over all the evils that they have done, because they have turned 6437 to other elohiyim.**

19. **Now write you this song 7892, and teach it to the children of Yis'rael: and put 7760 it in their mouths, so that shall be this song a witness 5707 against the children of Yis'rael.**

20. **Because when I brought them into their land 127 that I swore to their forefathers, that flows 2100 with milk 2461 and honey 1706; and they have eaten, and are satisfied 7646, and grown fat 1878; they will turn to other elohiyim, and serve them, and reject 5006 Me, and break My Covenant.**

21. And it shall be, when find them many evils and troubles, shall answer them this song before them as a witness; for it shall not be forgotten 7911 from the mouths of their seedline: for I know their plans 3336 that they make, this day, before 2962 I bring them to the land that I swore."

22. So wrote Mosheh this song that day, and taught it to the children of Yisrael.

23. And He commanded Yahshua son of Nun, saying, "Be strong and courageous; because you shall bring the children of Yis'rael into the land that I swore to them: and I will be with you."

24. And it was when Mosheh made an end of writing the Words of this Torah upon a scroll, until they were completed 8552,

25. that commanded Mosheh the Lewiyyim, which carried the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh, saying,

26. "Take this scroll of the Torah, and put it in the side 6654 of the Ark of the Covenant of Yahweh Eloheychem, and it shall be there for a witness against you,

27. because I know your rebellion 4805, and your hard 7186 neck 6203: behold, while I am yet 5750 alive with you today, rebellious 4784

you have been against Yahweh; and how much more 637 after my death?

28. Assemble to me all the elders of your tribes, and your officers, so I may speak in their ears these words, and call as witness 5749 against them the sky and the land.

29. For I know after my death you will be utterly corrupt 7843/7843, and turn aside from the way that I commanded you; and will befall 7122 you evil in the latter 319 days, because you will do evil in the eyes of Yahweh, to provoke Him to anger 3707 through the works 4639 of your hands.

30. And spoke Mosheh in the ears of all the assembly of Yis'rael the words of this song, until it was completed 8552.

References

Verse 2 – Num. 20:12;

Verse 4 – Num. 21:21-35;

Verse 10 – a) Deut. 15:12; b) Deut. 16:13-15;

Verse 23 – Num. 27:23; Josh. 1:6

The End

The Fall and Rise of Abraham's House (Part 6)

By
Adam de Witt



The Wickedness of Gilgal

God says that all our wickedness stems from Gilgal.

Hosea 9:15.

VERY FEW PICK UP ON THIS VERSE. With good reason, it would be too upsetting. What happened at Gilgal? Well, Saul was crowned king at Gilgal, starting off the monarchical line that all men of Israel rejoiced in, 1 Samuel 1:15. But this was not a good thing. Because the folk wanted a man- king like the heathen. God did not say "Oh, how quaint." In 1 Samuel 8:7 we see what God thought of this democratic, citizen initiated referendum. Speaking to Samuel, "Hearken unto the voice of the people.—for they have not rejected thee, but they have REJECTED ME by choosing a king other than God is a rejection of God, it is thus a rejection of Jesus. This is because Jesus could do only what His Father did, as He was to become the head of the body of over-comer Israelites and the church (bride) Israelites under a new vow (More on that

later). Many Christians (and monarchists too) say, well, sure Jesus is King but He is not ruling yet. They can't see Him rule because they choose other kings.

It is not that Jesus doesn't rule, rather, His underlings are unruly. God did not offer a royal lineage to bless the folk. He gave the monarchal line as a curse. We are told not to learn from the heathen. Our forefathers looked (learned) at the heathen and said, "We want what they have." Having a man-king monarchy is in fact a heathen practise. Why? Because we copied the heathen. And, having a woman rule is most definitely a curse! (Isaiah 3:12)

Choosing a man-king is also the worst practise of all, It breaks the 1st Commandment not to have other gods (Elohyim, mighty men, kings) other than the Lord God. That is why Hosea states, and the matter is deadly serious (causing God to **HATE**, yes, **HATE US**). Hosea 9:15 "**ALL their wickedness IS IN Gilgal, for there I HATED THEM (our folk), for the wickedness of their doings (choosing a man-king) I will drive them out of mine house, I will LOVE THEM NO MORE: ALL their princes are revolters.**" So, no matter how good you think our kings are, God calls them revolters.

You may say, "Aha, princes, not kings." But wait, the word prince here means kings. It's from Strong's 8269, 'Sar-governor, Lord, ruler. It is the same suffix used in Caesar and Kaiser, and is the same as Zar or Tsar. This is also part of a latter day prophecy concerning Ephraim (English speakers), and only they are left with a monarchy worth speaking of. Although other European Israelite lands have monarchies, the kings are technically dethroned, kept in title only. Holland for instance is a monarchial-republic.

God's anger at Gilgal to cause Him to HATE us is summed up in 1 Samuel 8:11. "**This will be the manner of king that shall reign over you; he will take your sons and appoint them for himself, for his chariots and to be his horsemen, and some shall run before his chariots. And he will appoint him captains over thousands and captains over fifties and will set them to plough his ground (the central government system) and to reap his harvest and to make his instruments of wars—And he will take your daughters to be confectionaries**



and to be cooks and to be bakers (i.e. women go into the workforce). **And he will take your fields and your vineyards and your olive yards even the best of them and give them (theft) to his servants..**" (such as the foreclosure Laws enforced by Queen Elizabeth 1 (above) resulting in mass poverty, white slavery (the convict system). Even today the royalty live off of the fruits of this land grab.

Prince Charles is Britain's largest land lord. Properties that he rents out are filled by many Saxons whose forebears were disowned of their land to become generations of renters)— "**And he will take the tenth (meaning tithe or tax) of your seed and of your vineyards and give to his officers** (recent pay-rises for politicians), **and to his servants** (earls, dukes, the Canaanites and assorted ponces)..

And he will take your goodliest young men (the prime of our young men were always sent into battle to be slaughtered, and now women too!) **and your asses** (think of assets, no, not baby donkeys but our businesses fuel his system) **and put them to his work.** Monarchists say that the monarchy protects us, God says here in I Samuel the opposite. Taking the woman out of households for instance destroys the family not only by removing her, but also because she then believes her husband is no longer the head of the household, which then becomes true! That is to say, she does rule - she wears the pants.

The one who pays the woman becomes her head, in this case the king (his/her government-equal opportunity employer!) and his agents the peddler-merchants. Because of this the following curse from God kicks in, "**children are their (the men's) oppressors and WOMEN RULE OVER THEM.**" Isaiah 3:12.

The curse in Samuel also refers to the taking the men, this means that men become chattel, in frith-time (peace-time) they are tax-units, in

war-time they are fodder, they are no longer free men, no longer lords of their own estate, no longer kings and priests under Jesus Christ. Indeed, their estates have become the kings (or the ‘Sars’), because we see from the above, our lands have become taxable properties; they are ‘fee simple’. Councils, state and federal governments have become empowered by the king to levy fees such as the basic council rate, (land tax in the USA). Fees can only be levied on things not fully owned, as in rental or hired goods.



This system of rule is called the wickedness of Gilgal. Gilgal is where the man-king monarchy began. Micah 3:9 **“Hear this I pray you, ye heads of the house of Jacob, and princes (Sars) of the house of Israel, that hate judgement and pervert equity. They build up Zion with blood and Jerusalem with lawlessness. The heads thereof judge for reward and the priests thereof teach for hire—”** Here we see Israelite kings, priests and financiers building empires upon the blood of Israelites, not for God, but for their own ends.

Despite this, many Israelite Saxons stay entrenched in the British-Israelite and Armstrong cult doctrine, [as well as most mainline denominations] (which ultimately came from the kings themselves, that the kings have a divine right to rule). However, in 1 Samuel we see that their rule is a divine curse!

Often man-king monarchists would point out that King David pleased God. Because of this they will say that a man shall sit on David’s throne for ever. It all sounds cosy, but when likened to 1 Samuel, the tale is the opposite. Is God unstable in His attitude? No, not at all. The

issue is God gave us a monarchy to teach us a lesson. God used David, David knew it too, and thanked God. Unlike most Israelites, David had a heart for God and for that reason God promised him a great lineage. But that great lineage was not just to please David, the plan was already in place.

David proved himself worthy to slot into that plan. His descendants however did not. But that would not thwart God’s plan, rather, God ensures that all evil turns to good. Firstly, God used both Saul and David as types. Saul represented the church age, David the over-comer age. He used them as living allegories of history. This enabled God to justify giving in to the will of a rebellious folk. Not because God needs justifying but because God is the Word, He is the Law, He therefore does all things by the Law.

The man-king issue had to be put right as it was a curse. That is why God sent Jesus to undo that curse and turn it into a blessing by giving us the best monarchy, namely, God was to have Jesus on the throne to roll away the curse. It almost looks like a compromise deal to achieve a great thing. Jesus was/is from the line of David, who was a man-king, yet He was from God. He is Godly (Divine) yet He was a man. Sounds good.

It should be noted also that there is a difference between “throne of the Lord,” and “throne of David their father.” Only David, Solomon and Jesus are spoken of as “sitting on the throne of the Lord.” (Ben Williams tape W-005, from CIM)

Yet, the man-king monarchists say ‘No, Jesus is not on David’s throne’, thereby denying His first work and so the nation repeats the sin of Gilgal. So too do all those that seek democracy. So too do the republicans (Australian version). All say, “We want a man-king” of sorts. One will say a man-king by lineage, the other will say a man-king by election (i.e. PM or President). None will say, **“No King but King Jesus.”**

All say, well, if Jesus is king, then lets just wait for the second coming before we’ll obey Him, after all He’s not ruling yet, just look at the world, Jesus has yet to put it right They forgot the horses of Revelation 6 and the parables of the tares and the wheat, showing that he took the throne of David back then after the crucifixion. It is not that He doesn’t rule, it’s just that very few want to be ruled by Him, that’s why no one

sees His kingdom. They all have their own idea of what it should be, never once thinking how Jesus wants it to be. The things our folk gripe about are in fact brought upon us by a ruling Jesus. That will shock many to read this, but we will deal with that later.

In 1 Chronicles we read the promise of a man-king dynasty lasting forever. However, there is a 'BUT-clause'. The but clause is never read by those that say 'see a man-king will forever sit on David's throne'. 1 Chronicles 28:7

“Moreover I will establish his kingdom forever IF he be constant to do my commandments and my judgements as at this day.”

But the kings that followed after Solomon (including Solomon) brought the 'But-clause' into effect. The 'but-clause' did not allow for a restoration of the man-kings to have an everlasting dynasty with divine authority upon David's throne. Once the 'but-clause' was brought into effect, the right of divine rule was gone. Only Jesus was worthy of the right to Divine Rule. Only Jesus would rule over **all** Israel.

The English throne does not rule over all Israel. It does not rule over the U.S.A., Holland, Germany, Poland, Estonia, Latvia, Austria, France or Ireland although these lands do have man-kings of some form. The promise was that a man (not a woman) would come from the line of David to rule **ALL** Israel, and that it would kick in when the sacrificial offering system had lawfully ended. It ended with the crucifixion.

When one points out that there is a weakness in the theory of the divine right to rule of man-kings, one is at once accused of denying the Queen's lineage from David. That she may be of David is not the issue here. In fact, all Israelites are related to King David through their forefather Jacob. Let the Bible outline who is the everlasting monarch on David's throne. Isaiah 11:1-4 **“And there shall come forth out of the stem off Jesse (David), and a branch shall grow up out of his roots and the spirit (zeal, Ghost, fuel, mindset, gas, anointing oil) of the Lord shall rest upon Him—”** **“And in mercy shall His throne be established: and he (not she) shall (not maybe) sit upon it in truth”** (Obeying God's law; not one king after Solomon

ever obeyed fully) **“in the tabernacle of David...”** Isaiah 16:5. **“Behold the days come, saith the Lord, that I will perform that good thing which I promised unto the house of Israel and to the house of Judah.”** (ie all Israel, not just Britain and the Commonwealth. What promise? A righteous king upon David's throne), **“In these days and at that time”** (When? when the Levitical sacrifices end, read on) **“will I cause the branch of righteousness to grow unto David—In these days shall Judah be saved”** (only Jesus is called the Saviour for He covers our sins. Judah was saved, because Christ came from Judah, not to be confused with Jews.)—



“David shall never want a man (not woman) to sit upon the throne of the house of Israel” (now the timing of this event) **“Neither shall the priests, the Levites, want a man before me to offer burnt offerings and to kindle meat offerings and to do sacrifice continually.”** Jeremiah 33:14-18. So when Jesus took away the divine right of the Levitical lineage to make lawful coverings (atonement) for our sins, He also took away the man-kings divine right to rule over us.

Think about this. If a royal family has 10 sons, only one can be the king, that 'one' is the one that is the rightful heir to the throne. The key word here is 'rightful', so keep that in mind. Now when Jesus was born everyone knew a king was born. Why do you think Herod tried to kill Him?

What is the parable of the wicked husbandmen all about? In it Jesus is called the heir, to what? David's throne. At the time of Jesus' birth, there would have been distant nephews, nieces and the like from whence came the European/English kings and queens. Which of those living at the time would have the right to David's throne? Is

anyone seriously going to suggest that one of Jesus' far off relatives is more worthy than Jesus? All these are of the line of David but only one could have the throne. It's not that hard a dilemma. The Bible says Jesus is the heir.

Malek and tsedeg. Malek means, to take the throne, to reign, become royalty, to become king. Tsedeg means; to make right, or the rightful or lawful right. Jesus is called the Prince (or King) of Peace, Frith, Salem.

Seeing that He was resurrected, it means He lives forever, therefore He can sit on the throne forever--as a man. When did He take the throne? As stated before, when the Levites no longer lawfully offered sacrifices to cover our sins. Jesus took that job over; He also took over the role of crown wearing. He is both King and Priest, the hallmark of being of the order of Melchizedek (Hebrews 5:6,10, 6:20, 7:1,10,11,15,17,21.) Melchizedek is first mentioned in Genesis 14:18. Melchizedek was an order of kings and priests taking its name from the king called Melchizedek. Up until the Egyptian bondage, Abraham's kin lived under a Melchizedek order of rule, commonly we call it the patriarchal time, where basically every man was a king and priest under God, ie. a nation of royal (kingly) priests.

That very title is another way of saying Melchizedek of Salem. Jesus, according to Hebrews, is of the order of Melchizedek who was king of Salem. That translates to 'The lawful royal king reigning in Frith'(Peace). Why in Frith or Peace. Didn't Jesus always tell us to be at peace, at frith; at Salem? How can you be at Salem? Easy, be in agreement with Him. Those who are not in agreement with Him are at odds. Being at odds is a state of turmoil. Now, remember the meaning of rightful heir. Jesus thereby rolled away the wickedness of Gilgal (in earnest).

Melchizedek was the king of Salem. Salem, although a town, means Frith, or Peace (Shalom - S'al(e)m). Melchizedek is made of two words,

He was crucified at Golgotha, Golgotha has the same root meaning as Gilgal. Although the locations are different, they enclose a peculiar form of wickedness, like parentheses enclose part of a sentence. One place is the start of a matter, the other is the end of it.

To be Continued



Harold Stough Notes (A Paper From His Library) Marlborough Public School Hides Merlin's Prison

MERLIN'S MOUNT is in the grounds of Marlborough College, only a 100 yards off the A4, but partly concealed by the college chapel. It is freely accessible.



In the grounds of Marlborough College stands a rather bizarre conical mound of grassed earth with a spiralling path all the way round. It is known locally as 'Merlin's Mount' or 'Merlin's Grave' for here the great wizard is supposed to have been buried (or perhaps imprisoned by the Lady of the Lake). Perhaps he chose this spot as his last resting place because of its religious significance.

came from the Marlborough Downs. Whatever the circumstance, this famous burial mound or barrow later gave it's name to the town which grew up around it: 'Merlin's Barrow' becoming 'Marlborough'.

The sarsen stones of the largest circle at Stonehenge, which Merlin is said to have built,

The elaborate spiraling effect is part of a 17th century landscaping scheme, but in origin, the mound has always been known as the mote of a Norman Castle built in about 1110. It became a popular Royal stop-over point for hunting in Savernake Forest well into the reign of King Henry III. Yet, as early as 1215, a would-be Abbot of Cirencester recorded that the town was named after 'Merlin's Tumulus' and there has often been speculation that the mote replaced an earlier mound, perhaps a prehistoric religious spot like Silbury Hill.

This was reinforced by the discovery of a number of Roman coins there and recent investigations have now confirmed that the mound does indeed date from 2,400 BC.

It is the second largest prehistoric mound in Britain, perhaps Europe. Marlborough - or Merleberge in 1086 - is indeed accepted as meaning "Maerla's Hill"; but Maerla is almost certainly a shortened form of the name of a Saxon lord such as Maerheard, not a Celtic Druid.

Presumably it became connected with Merlin because of both the name and the ancient religious associations. The Merlin-form of the Arthurian magician's name is first known from Geoffrey of Monmouth's writings of the 1130's. Before this the character was known by the Welsh name of Myrddin.

Marlborough's Mound Is Now Proved Even Older And More Mysterious Than Merlin Himself



The huge mound that stands in the middle of Marlborough College is called by racier guidebooks Merlin's Mount and is said to be his burial mound.

More reliable sources thought the sixty-two foot (nineteen metre) high mound was constructed by those domineering incomers

from Normandy so they could build Marlborough's castle on top of it and so lord it over the townsfolk down below.

Now new scientific research has confirmed that the mound was built way before any recorded sighting of that elusive fellow Merlin. Carbon dating of pieces of charcoal found deep within the mound prove it was built three thousand and more years before the Normans rode into town.

Dated now to about 2400 BC, Marlborough's mound becomes the 'little sister' of the world famous Silbury Hill – which dominates the skyline just westwards along the A4.

Peter Carey of the Bath architects Donald Insall Associates who are overseeing the mound's extensive conservation, points out that this discovery makes Marlborough's mound the second largest man-made, prehistoric construction in Britain. And as Silbury is the largest such mound in all of Europe, Marlborough's mound may turn out to be the second largest in Europe.

Carey, who was at Marlborough College in the 1960's, admits to being "carried away" by the news about the dating of the mound. He thinks it is the most significant archaeological discovery in Britain so far this century.

How could the experts have been so wrong? A.R. Stedman in his scholarly book *Marlborough and the Upper Kennet Country* (published in 1960 in Marlborough) comes down, with some rather strange logic, on the side of the Norman theory:

"This sixty-foot mound is certainly artificial, but whether it was raised, as tradition asserts, in prehistoric times as a barrow—or whether, more probably, it was raised in Norman times so that the castle could dominate the town...is unknown. That the Mound was built for the castle is confirmed by the fact that the Norman rarely put his castle on a spot with religious associations"

So scholars can't be right all the time – especially when the science of carbon dating comes along to confound their theories.

Jim Leary, who led the recent English Heritage archaeological investigations on Silbury Hill, and took part in the investigations into the mound, says "This is an astonishing discovery. The Marlborough Mound has been one of the biggest mysteries in the Wessex landscape."

“For centuries people have wondered whether it is Silbury’s little sister; and now we have the answer.”

The mound has had three distinct ‘lives’: it was the base structure for a very important royal castle built by the Normans, used at times by Norman and Plantagenet kings and the scene for some historic events such as the general oath of allegiance to King John in 1209.

The castle then passed from the Kings of England to their queens and eventually fell into disuse, before coming into the hands of the Seymour family of Wolf Hall fame.

Another ‘life’ started in the eighteenth century, when a house on the site was home to the Marquis of Hertford and his family, and Lady Hertford created what Peter Carey describes as “a wonderful and extravagant garden” - with the mound as its centre piece.

Lady Hertford gave the mound an extraordinary spiral ramp right round the outside, shielded from the common gaze by a hawthorn hedge. And she adorned it with several notable features including a shell grotto, a belvedere or viewing point and a water feature at the summit.

That the Hertford’s home was later the Castle Inn and a meeting place for Tory politicians need not detain us. It has since become part of the College buildings.

Now we know the mound had a third, much earlier ‘life’ – a prehistoric ‘life’. And the reason it was built around 2400 BC will almost certainly remain as lost in time, as mysterious and as subject to speculation, as Silbury Hill itself.

In an expensive and delicate operation, probes were drilled into the middle of the mound and surrounding ditch areas, and the carbon dating of the samples was carried out with the help of English Heritage. Six cores were drilled and four samples taken from different levels within the mound itself provided shards of charcoal that could be carbon dated.

This work – and plenty of careful conservation still to come – was made possible by initial gifts and a £1,200,000 legacy from former college student, Eric Elstob. On his initiative, the Marlborough Mound Trust was set up in 2000 and the first investigative work begun.

For more about Eric Elstob and the work to conserve and renovate the mound, see our

companion story: “Marlborough Mound’s past is suddenly longer – will its future be longer too?”

And what about the Merlin connection? If Merlin was ever more than a brilliant invention of ancient story-tellers, he belongs in the so-called ‘dark ages’ rather than prehistoric times. Perhaps the slight similarity between the words Marlborough and Merlin was too much of a temptation for tourist guides of yore.

It is important to emphasise that as part of the College grounds, the Marlborough Mound is on private property and not open to the public. In fact at present there’s not a lot to see anyway – just a mound of trees.

The End OS22248

**Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ**


For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>



Were The Ancient British Tongues Related to Hebrew?

From Our Welsh Correspondent



At present, Welsh is spoken only in Wales, and Breton in Brittany, yet there was a time when it was spoken not only over the British Isles, but over parts of Continental Europe. For it has been maintained, falsely in my view, that the Celts, or ancient Gauls, were one and the same with the Britons, and making allowance for dialect, used the same language. Although most modern researchers deny this, the widespread knowledge of early Welsh must hold true.

Very many of the Celtic or Gallic words agree very well with the Welsh, both in sound and in sense. In addition, many of the names of cities, mountains, rivers etc. in France (anciently, Gaul), cannot be accounted for without a knowledge of Welsh. Here are a few examples. Arles (Latin: Arelatum) derived from *Ar* (upon) and *Llaith* (moist); because situated upon moist ground, Yscludun, Guienne (Latin: Uxellodunum) derived from *Uchel* (high), and *Din* (fortified mound).

The Cevennes comes from the root *Cefn* (back or ridge), and the Apennine mountains, *Pen* (head, top or chief, etc.). The river Arar, in French, *La Saonne*, from *Araf* (slow, soft), the Garumna, now called *La Garronne*, from *Garw* (rough, harsh)" (Thomas Richards' *Welsh & English Dictionary* (1839).

Was English related to Hebrew? The idiomatic likenesses between English and Hebrew were

noticed by Tyndale when he translated the scriptures. He said, "*The properties of the Hebrew tongue aggregeth a thousand times more with the English than with the Latin. The manner of speaking is in both one, so that, in a thousand places, there needest not but to translate the Hebrew word for word.*" Canon Samuel Lyson found 5000 Hebrew roots in the English language ("*Our British Ancestors*"); other authorities put the figure still higher.

The Welsh is so much like the Hebrew that the same syntax may be used for both. "Our (Welsh) translation of the Holy Scriptures seems to have one peculiar advantage of most modern versions, in that the Hebrew idioms, phraseology or forms of speaking, are retained, and that with great propriety too, in the Welsh language" (Thomas Richards' *Welsh & English Dictionary* (1839).

The Old Saxon language is said to be eighty percent Hebrew. The ancient poem in the Irish language known as the "Book of the Dun Cow" (1106 A.D.) are "not unlike the poetical passages in the Old Testament" (5:626, *Encyc. Brit. 11th edition, Early Irish Myths and Sagas*).

Isaiah 28:11 says, "*For with stammering lips and another tongue will he speak to this people*" (Ephraim — v.1). The Hebrew word for "stammering" here is "*Laeg*" reading right to left but English reads left to right so it would be pronounced "*Gael*" in English. Gaelic is not only a foundation of the English language, but is still spoken in its primitive simplicity in many places in Scotland and the north of Ireland. Judges 12:6 says the Ephraimites had trouble pronouncing the aspirate "h" as in "Shibboleth".

In Britain today, the linguistic trait remains, especially with Cockney. Speaking of the Kymric use of the Hebrew rule of "Aspiration" Dr. Meyer says, "*The assuming of the guttural aspiration on the part of the consonant under the influence of the preceding vowel is the kind of change regularly adopted in Irish, whereas in Welsh the vocalization of the mute is now the general rule. It is now unquestionable, however, from the gradual and even now only partial adoption of this rule in Welsh, that the Irish*

usage is the more ancient of the two, as is still further proved by its striking analogy with that of the *Daghesh Lene* in Hebrew.”

“Davidson’s *Hebrew Grammar*“ says, “The word *Daghesh* is from a root which possibly expressed the idea of hardness. The sign of *Daghesh* is a point in the bosom of a letter, and this point was used to indicate both a lighter and a heavier kind of hardness. When it indicates the lighter hardness it is called *D. lene*, when the stronger, it is called *D. Forte*.”

You can take any sentence in Hebrew and change it into Gaelic, word for word, without altering the order of a single word or letter, and you will have the correct Gaelic idiom in every case. You cannot do that with any other language in Europe. Hebrew has a rule which is known as “Aspiration.” which applies to certain consonants when they follow a vowel.

It means the same consonant has two different sounds, according to its position. Gaelic has the same rule and applies it in exactly the same way. Even words borrowed from other languages are at once modified in sound according to the Hebrew rule of Aspiration. Does any other language use this rule? I don’t know of any.


Ancient Media, where the ten tribes were taken captive, is where the language of Sanskrit was developed. Sanskrit has a more elaborate rule for modifying the consonants, called “Sandi” under which every consonant may have as many as four distinct sounds, according to its position. Dr. James Pritchard in his book “*The Eastern Origin of the Celtic Nations*” has shown that Welsh alone of all living languages, has preserved the rule of “Sandi” entire. He proves from this and many other similarities, including words in such common use as the whole paradigm of the verb “to be,” that the ancestors of the Welsh must have lived among the people who spoke Sanskrit. These people were in Media. He also shows that Gothic is the link between ancient Sanskrit and modern Teutonic.

The grammatical structure of Welsh and Hebrew is the same. The verb, for instance, occupies the same place in the sentence of both languages.

The roots of most Welsh words may be traced to Hebrew. Not only do Welsh words themselves indicate a similarity; their variations and inflexions afford a much stronger proof of affinity. In the Kymric, as well as the Hebrew, the cases and gender of nouns are distinguished by affixes and prefixes.

The plural number of nouns likewise is often formed in a similar manner in the Kymric by adding “in” to the singular. Welsh, like Hebrew, has no present tense. In the formation of sentences, and in the government of words, in the agreement of the adjective with the substantive, in the precedence of the latter, in the usual exceptions to this rule, and in verbs plural being governed by nominatives singular, the Welsh so exactly corresponds with the Hebrew that the same syntax might serve for both. Meric Casaubon has taken some pains to show that the Saxon language has great affinity with the Greek (*De Ling Sac. P 234-376 (1650)*).

All our modern unabridged dictionaries are inadequate with regard to the origin or etymology of old English words not derived from Greek or Latin. Very much of Greek and Latin and other European languages can be derived from Hebrew so the question becomes, “Did even those English words that are similar to Greek and Latin come from them, or their predecessor Hebrew? These European languages are quite young compared with the old Hebrew. (Pages 67 to 72 of “*God’s Covenant Man: British-Israel*” by Edward Odlum, gives many English roots from Hebrew).



The language of the learned bards, in which their poetry was composed, was Hebrew. Taliesin, a celebrated bard of the ancient Britons, who was subsequently converted to Christianity, distinctly says, when speaking of his own songs, “*My lore has been declared in Hebrew, in the Hebraic tongue have I sung.*” Dr. Thomas Stratton of Edinburgh said, “*It would be difficult to adduce a single article or form of construction in the Hebrew grammar, but the same is to be found in Welsh, and there were many whole sentences in both languages exactly the same in the very words.*”

The End OS23218



The Political Influence of the British-Israel Movement in the Nineteenth Century (Part 8)

Richard Simpson



Zionism

THE ADVENT OF THE ZIONIST

movement one would have thought would have been heartily welcomed by British-Israel, however this was not the case. British-Israel regarded the Zionist Congress at

Basle as an example of man's effort to bring about a fulfilment of prophecy whilst forgetful of all the prophecy implied. In this British-Israel considered Zionists had erred in their intention of the House of Judah to repossess the land by purchase holding it on suffrage for a nation of millions yet failing to take into account Ephraim-Israel.

Hertzl (Above) intended, with the aid of the Baron Hirsch charities, to send expeditions to Palestine to find desirable land, which could be purchased from the Turks. This plan not only attracted criticism from British-Israel but also from The Times, who found it difficult to believe that thousands of comfortable and respected Jews would abandon Europe for an experimental state. Hirsch was of the opinion that 'The only means of improving their conditions is to transfer them to other countries, where they may enjoy the same rights as the people among whom they live. They will then cease to be pariahs and become citizens. What is going on in Russia to-day may be the prelude to this beneficent transformation.

The Balfour Declaration was the finale of nearly a century of pro-active interaction between the Jewish community and British society and Kobler contends that the 'British Movement for the Restoration of Israel is in fact one of the rare instances of the continuous interest shown by one nation in the destiny of another people' with Polowetzky asserting that the Balfour Declaration 'represented the culmination of half a century of active preoccupation with Jewish

culture among British political and intellectual elites'[182].

At the beginning of 1917, *The Banner of Israel*, asserted that the Great war had evidenced Identity with offshoots of the empire no longer colonies in the old meaning but a 'company of nations' and would result in giving the Jews a foot in Palestine, in 1917, with an end to the Turkish blight[183].

On 8th November 1917, Lord Rothschild petitioned Balfour for the restoration of the old Jewish homeland in Palestine to which Balfour replied 'His Majesty's Government view with favour the establishment in Palestine of a national home for the Jewish people, and will use their best endeavours to facilitate the achievement of this object[184].' This produced a very unexpected reaction from British-Israel.

They maintained that wealthy Jews would remain in England and the Palestinian state would only appeal to poor downtrodden Jews[185]. Despite what the religious press might say, British-Israel maintained the homeland would not be the God appointed restoration of the Scriptures but a political one 'I shall make them one nation in the land upon the mountains of Israel, and one king shall be king to them all; and shall be no more two nations, neither shall they be divided into two kingdoms any more at all' (**Eze. XXXVII 16-28**)[186].



It supported this argument by objecting to **Israel Zangwill's (above)** concept of Zionism in that it only concerned the nation of the Jews not all Israel[187]. British-Israel maintained that despite all Jews being Zionists in their reverence for

Palestine, for over a hundred years, the political strivings of the Jews had been to complete civil and political assimilation in their nations. Re-nationalisation of Jews might have a negative effect of labelling the Jews as strangers in their native lands undermining their hard-won positions as citizens and nationals of those lands[188].

Weizmann, president of the English Zionist Federation, maintained this was the chief ground for creation of a Jewish homeland. He

maintained that the Jew was and always would be an alien in other countries his 'efforts to assimilate himself to his surroundings deceive nobody but himself'. Gaster agreed declaring no Jew could ever be an Englishman The claim to be Englishmen of the Jewish persuasion - that is, English by nationality and Jewish by faith - is an absolute self-delusion'[189].

Click [HERE](#) for footnote references and source.

The End

Letters And Views



Theodore Dalrymple On Communism



Sir_ "In my study of communist societies, I came to the conclusion that the purpose of communist propaganda was not to persuade or convince, not to inform, but to humiliate; and therefore, the less it corresponded to reality the better.

When people are forced to remain silent when they are being told the most obvious lies, or even worse when they are forced to repeat the lies themselves, they lose once and for all their sense of probity. To assent to obvious lies is in some small way to become evil oneself.

One's standing to resist anything is thus eroded, and even destroyed. A society of emasculated liars is easy to control. I think if you examine political correctness, it has the same effect and is intended to." **Yours truly, KH, West Midlands UK.**

Corporations

Sir_, All the Corporations in the World are owned by 2 Companies, Black Rock & Vanguard.

Both of them - which means all the others are owned by the Payseur family.

Which means Satan CON-trols them all!

Get all your Money OUT of the Stock & Bond Markets which also means all the Mutual Funds & ETF Funds & of course the Options Markets & Commodity Markets.

As a former Series 7 Stock Broker, a Series 65 Registered Investment Advisor & a Series 3 Commodity Broker, I know of what I speak.

They are 100% Gambling Games, no different then Casinos in Vegas, where I was a Dealer of all Games, a Pit Boss & Eye in the Sky Security, in my 8 years in the Gambling Business.

The Best Investment is Real Estate. As they say 90% of everybody who ever became a Millionaire, made it in Real Estate. So every other Business & Profession was in the other 10%.. The Best Buy on Earth, is Earth! Under ALL is the Land!

Gold & Silver Is Portable Land & the Only thing YHVH (God) says is Money! Yours truly, TWS, Falmouth, Cornwall. UK.

Cabbage to Flush Out Female Hormones



Sir—, King of the vegetable patch, cabbage is full of a chemical called indole-3-carbinol, which rids your blood of girly hormones. Healthy men took 500 mg daily for a week at Rockefeller University; their oestrogen levels halved, making testosterone more effective. It's time to become more of a man at meal times. Yours truly, MG, Ireland.

Pork vs Beef vs Chicken



WHY BEEF WINS BY A LANDSLIDE OVER CHICKEN AND PORK for Health Benefits and Environmental Benefits. I've been receiving a lot of questions lately about differences in nutrition between various meats such as beef, chicken, and pork, as well as questions about my assertions that vegetable oils and excess omega-6 fats in general (mostly from linoleic acid omega-6) are actually Worse than even sugar for us.

So in today's email, I'm just going to dive into the dramatic differences between the nutritional aspects of beef vs chicken vs pork, and also

which one is the best from an environmental perspective. I also plan to get a full article on the topic of the dangers of vegetable oils coming soon.

Ok, so first let's look quickly at both the nutritional and environmental differences between the 3 most popular meats that most people eat, which are chicken, pork, and beef.

I'm going to show you why **Beef** is actually significantly more nutritionally healthy for us (Its environmentally healthy and sustainable too) than any type of chicken or pork.

First of all, the vast majority of chicken and pork in the US are raised in indoor operations where the animals never see any sunlight or fresh air, and are fed a diet that is usually 100% grains and soy. Because of this 100% grain and soy diet that chickens and pigs are fed in the US, the omega-6 linoleic acid content of chicken and pork is extremely high at around 18-20% (Note that you **Don't** want more omega-6, as most Americans already get about 8-10 x too much, and excessive omega-6 is one of the main causes of most degenerative diseases like heart disease, diabetes, and is even a main contributor to the cancer process and Alzheimer's too).

Compare that omega-6 % in chicken and pork to even the worst feedlot finished beef, which is only about 2-3% omega-6 linoleic acid. This is a huge WIN for beef, and shows that chicken and pork contain about 7-10 x more inflammatory omega-6 than beef. So when it comes to fat profiles, beef wins big time! And it's not just solely about omega-6 being bad...

Beef fat is also one of the richest sources of a particular healthy saturated fat called stearic acid, which studies show reduces your appetite (provides a strong satiety signal so you automatically eat less calories), while also having a direct relationship to losing abdominal fat... In fact, one study on rats showed that the rats that ate more omega-6 fats **GAINED** body fat, whereas the rats that ate a lot of stearic acid (which is high in beef) actually **LOST** a significant amount of abdominal fat.

This doesn't surprise me at all, as I personally have noticed that during time periods when I eat a lot of beef consistently (1 to 2 lbs of beef per day), I get significantly leaner in the abdominal

area with a more visible six pack in the lower abs area.

Another win for beef is that it is estimated to have approximately 25-30% more nutrient density (vitamins and minerals) than chicken or pork, mostly due to the healthier feed that cattle get for most of their lives compared to the 100% grain and soy based diets that chickens and pigs get for most of their lives.

In addition, **All** cattle raised for meat live their entire lives **Outdoors** in fresh air and sunshine, and **All** cattle are grazed on pasture for about 80-85% of their lives, even if they're finished on an outdoor feedlot for the last few months of their lives.

Again, chickens and pigs generally spend their entire lives in US factory farms indoors, but this does **Not** happen in the cattle industry for beef production.

Check out the book *Defending Beef*, written by a vegetarian turned rancher if you want to truly understand the reality of the cattle industry and how superior it is both nutritionally and environmentally compared to the chicken and pork industry.

And while grass-finished beef is better in my opinion for both environmental reasons and slightly more nutrient density than feedlot finished beef, if you had to make the best decision at the grocery store, and can't afford grass-finished beef (or can't find it near you and don't want to order online), then any form of conventional beef is still superior to chicken and pork both nutritionally and environmentally.

Also, I think I need to clarify what happens with "feedlot finishing" for beef when you choose conventional beef vs grass-finished beef... For one, in conventional beef, the finishing feedlots are **Outdoors**, so cattle are getting fresh air and sunshine (unlike what happens in indoor factory farms with chickens and pork)—

Not only that, but while chickens and pigs are fed 100% grains and soy, even grain-finished cattle are only fed a ration of approximately 40-50% grains in most cases, while the rest of their feed in a feedlot may be materials such as hay, alfalfa, crop residues, and other inedible plant materials that humans can't eat, but cattle

miraculously turn into nutrient-dense calories for us through their fermentation process with their multiple chambered stomachs.

So although grain-finished feedlot beef is inferior both environmentally and nutritionally to grass-finished beef, it's still better than most chicken and pork.

Note that all of the benefits of beef that I've mentioned in this email also apply to any other "ruminant" red meat, such as bison, elk, yak, deer, lamb, etc. It should also be noted that most ruminant animals (red meat) are raised on land that cannot be used for crops anyway, because either the land is too steep, dry, rocky, etc. So cattle produce incredibly nutritious food for humans on mostly land that couldn't be used for crops anyway.

Also, from an environmental standpoint, rotational grazing of beef, bison, and lamb is **the** #1 most environmentally sustainable and beneficial form of farming there is... period! What most vegans don't understand is that most plant farming is actually quite destructive to soil, groundwater, surface water, and ecosystems.



A Soy Bean Field

Think about those thousands of acres of corn, soy and wheat fields (or any grains or bean fields for that matter)— all of that plant-based monocropping is essentially **Dead** eco-systems, where not only are birds, rodents, and other wildlife harmed or pushed out of the area, but even the soil bacteria and fungi are significantly harmed from ploughing, pesticides, herbicides, and other common aspects of plant-based farming.

And it's not just the growing of grains and beans that are destructive to the environment and ecosystems— Other examples of destructive plant-based farming would be tropical fruits

where in many cases rainforests were destroyed to grow those tropical fruits. Or how crops like almonds and rice are the biggest water hogs of any type of agriculture. Or how rice is one of the biggest producers of methane in all of agriculture. Or how avocado farms have destroyed eco-systems in Mexico.

The examples of destructive plant-based agriculture could go on and on, yet vegans conveniently forget about all of that, and try to blame all of the worlds problems on meat. And as you can see in this email (at least in the case of beef, bison, lamb, and other red meat), I contend that grass fed **Red** meat is actually the number 1 most environmentally friendly and sustainable type of agriculture there is, much more sustainable than almost any type of plant-based agriculture.

With the rotational grazing of cattle, bison, sheep and other ruminant animals, grassland eco-systems are preserved, soil is protected from erosion, groundwater and surface water is protected, and ecological diversity remains high in areas that are grazed by cattle and other ruminants. And proper rotational grazing also sequesters a massive amount of carbon from the atmosphere and stores it in the soil, making grass-fed **Red** meat one of the best solutions for climate change as we move forward.

In fact, grass-fed beef is generally carbon negative in most cases, even after factoring in the methane produced by cattle, making cattle, bison and sheep the #1 most important type of agriculture for reducing carbon in our atmosphere.

I know this isn't what you hear in the media and in vegan propaganda documentaries, but that's because vegan film makers and activists aren't soil scientists and generally have no environmental education whatsoever.


This is a nuanced science of why cattle and bison are so beneficial for carbon capture in the soil, and I may expand on the detailed science of this topic in future emails, but it basically has to do with the way that ruminant animals interact with grasses (improving the growth and decay cycles of grasslands), root shedding, and also bacteria and fungi in the soil creating stable soil carbon that was pulled from the atmosphere.

In fact, one glowing example of a nearly perfect farm is White Oak Pastures in Georgia on 3200 acres, which has proven through soil analysis to have increased soil carbon 10 x in the last 20 years (from 0.5% soil carbon 20 years ago, up to 5% soil carbon currently), all due to the magic of rotational grazing of animals on grasses.

There are other incredible farms like this too that are sequestering massive amounts of carbon from the atmosphere and putting that carbon into soil, all while protecting soil and water supplies, and providing healthier food— a couple other great examples are Polyface farms in Virginia, Belcampo farms in CA, Roam Ranch in TX, and lots more Another great source of grass-finished meats is US Wellness meats, and I personally order from them frequently and love their meats!

If you want to be **Truly** healthy, while also protecting our environment, grass-fed red meat from beef, bison, lamb, etc are **the** healthiest foods we can eat for both us and the planet! If you want to dig into this topic more, please grab a copy of my friend Diana Rodgers book Sacred Cow, which does an incredible job at explaining why well raised red meat can actually save your health and the planet.

Another incredible book that explains in detail why we **Need** well-raised grass-fed meat to save our environment and sequester carbon from the atmosphere into soil as a solution to climate change is called Cows Save the Planet by Judith D Schwartz.



In addition, I'd highly recommend my friend Paul Saladino's book, *The Carnivore Code*, which is fantastic, and explains all of profound health benefits and environmental benefits of eating a largely meat-based diet, and minimizing plant toxins that can be triggering auto-immunity, poor digestion, and many other health problems.


Although I described the differences between chicken, pork, and beef in this email, we also have an in-depth article on our blog here about chicken vs beef that you can read in more detail too.

Here are a few more articles on these related topics--

1. If you eat vegan, here's how you could be destroying your digestive health
2. Why Vegans actually harm the environment MORE than grass-fed meat eaters (most vegans don't understand this aspect)
3. Why vegetarians and vegans can Age faster (controversial, but true)
4. Fake meat vs Real meat (don't be scammed by all these fake meats that are just complete junk food)

Also, please FWD this email to any of your friends and family that would enjoy this little rant today, or gain benefit from it, and the articles I linked to as well. It very well could SAVE someone's health all while improving our environment! **Yours truly, Mike Geary.**

Interesting Snippets on Ireland



Sir ___, I came across these two scraps of info on ancient Ireland although incomplete are nevertheless important:--

1) I am from Cork city very close to Charles fort. And yes Ireland has been reduced to 2% of forest. With different trees provide different oxygens which affect us differently, it has a considerable effect on the country. Which is now essentially now a food processing factory, also a corporate tax haven. It was stripped bare along with places of worship, in 1903.

British Israelites destroyed the Boyne valley and ripped Tara to shreds looking for the ark of the covenant\ Irish oak was worshipped the most and decorates a lot of prominent buildings including the House of Lords.

A lot of other trees helped build the royal navy. The Loch in my city is manmade and goes back to antiquity and has perfect mathematics and connected to five rivers from underground chambers. What also is left are Stone henges, dolmens & ogham stones in farmers lands (although most were destroyed) most hidden and unaware even by locals.

I grew up in a house across the road from Red Abbey castle and when I was a baby my Dad was doing work in the house and found an underground tunnel connecting the Abbey to Elizabeth fort. The gate leading to a tunnel is still visible in Elizabeth fort but bricked up in the Abbey now.

These tunnels are all over the country in the 11th century Ogham the real Irish language was the most outlawed and punishable by death to speak it. Later in history they introduced the English version of the Irish language, changing the alphabet from 20 letters to 26.

2) "We believe that the Israelites, or Hyksos, were Scythians displaced from Ireland during the great Age of Catastrophe. We believe they were the "Shepherd Kings of foreign lands," that historians have been so hard pressed to identify.

We believe they had several communities in Egypt, and that they were originally, before their physical displacement, of Irish ancestry. **Yours Truly, Daniel Long.**

Agenda 21

Sir ___, Agenda 21 is the action plan, it's the blueprint, the comprehensive plan that was signed on to by 178 nations plus the Holy See in 1992. It's the United Nations plan. And it's a plan to inventory and control all land, water, plants, minerals, construction, means of production, food, energy, everything on the planet, law enforcement, education, and of course, information and human beings.

So this is, you know, a comprehensive plan of action and inventory and control plan. It's about data sharing, it's about money transferring from the developed nations to the lesser developed nations. And ultimately, it's about destroying your ability to have a voice, destroying your ability to have representative government, it's about changing your government to governance, and taking away your voice, entirely destroying your ability to be free, and to be independent.

And the goal, of course, is to transfer power from local individuals to a global governance system. So you can't do that all at once. And it's been a long process. And you see it as you know exactly what you're seeing right now. It's a plan to disrupt and destroy the existing system. It's a plan of transformation and control. And that's what we're living through right now.

Addendum

In other words, we are witnessing a world-wide Communist insurgency. And, we know that Communism is actually Judaism (Zionism) in disguise. These monsters are following their father (the Devil, per the words of Christ) who's instructions are contained in the Talmud (their holy book) and The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion, their blueprint for world domination.

"The goal for which we have striven so concertedly for three thousand years, is at last within our reach and because its fulfilment is so apparent, it behooves us to increase our efforts and our caution tenfold. I can safely promise you that before ten years have passed, our race will take its rightful place in the world, with every Jew a king and every Gentile a slave." (Applause from the gathering). - Rabbi Rabinovitch (centre) at a special meeting of the emergency council of European Rabbis in Budapest on January 12, 1952

Rabbi Ben Zion Bokser wrote, "This is not an uncommon impression and one finds it sometimes among Jews as well as Christians — that Judaism is the religion of the Hebrew Bible.

It is, of course, a fallacious impression—Judaism is not the religion of the Bible" (Judaism and the Christian Predicament, New York: Alfred A. Knopf, 1967, p. 59).

Rabbi Martin Siegel: "I am devoting my lecture in this seminar to a discussion of the possibility that we are now entering a Jewish century, a time when the spirit of the community, the non-ideological blend of the emotional and rational and the resistance to categories and forms will emerge through the forces of anti-nationalism to provide us with a new kind of society. I call this process the Judaization of Christianity because Christianity will be the vehicle through which

this society becomes Jewish" (New York Magazine, January 18, 1972, p. 32).

"We Jews regard our race as superior to all humanity, and look forward, not to its ultimate union with other races, but to its triumph over them." Goldwin Smith, Jewish Professor of Modern History at Oxford University, (October, 1981)
Yours truly, DJ in Tampa.



Government Health Advice

Avoid sunlight and fresh air as much as possible.

Trap bacteria and germs on your face for at least 8 hours a day.

Watch television news propaganda, daily.



Instil a sense of anxiety and fear in your children.

Get injected with untested vaccines.

The End

Mystery on The Isle of Wight

Arthur Firstenberg



IN 1904 THE BEES BEGAN TO DIE.

FROM THIS QUIET ISLAND, 23 miles long and 13 miles wide, lying off England's southern coast, one looks across the English Channel toward the distant shores of France. In the preceding decade two men, one on each side of the Channel, one a physician and physicist, the other an inventor and entrepreneur, had occupied their minds with a newly discovered form of electricity.

The work of each man had very different implications for the future of our world.

At the westernmost end of the Isle of Wight, near offshore chalk formations called The Needles, in 1897, a handsome young man named Guglielmo Marconi erected his own "needle," a tower as tall as a twelve-story building. It supported the antenna for what became the world's first permanent radio station.

Marconi was liberating electricity, vibrating at close to a million cycles per second, from its confining wires, and was broadcasting it freely through the air itself. He did not stop to ask if this was safe.


A few years earlier, in 1890, a well-known physician, director of the Laboratory of Biological Physics at the College de France in Paris, had already begun investigations bearing on the important question Marconi was not asking: how does electricity of high frequencies affect living organisms?

A distinguished presence in physics as well as medicine, Jacques Arsène d'Arsonval is

remembered today for his many contributions in both fields. He devised ultra-sensitive meters to measure magnetic fields, and equipment to measure heat production and respiration in animals; made improvements to the microphone and the telephone; and created a new medical specialty called darsonvalization, which is still practiced today in the nations of the former Soviet Bloc.

In the West it has evolved into diathermy, which is the therapeutic use of radio waves to produce heat within the body. But darsonvalization is the use of radio waves medicinally at low power, without generating heat, to produce the kinds of effects d'Arsonval discovered in the early 1890's. He had first observed that electrotherapy, as then practiced, was not producing uniform results, and he wondered if this was because of lack of precision in the form of the electricity being applied.

He therefore designed an induction machine capable of putting out perfectly smooth sine waves, "without jerks or teeth,"¹ that would not be injurious to the patient. When he tested this current on human subjects he found, as he had predicted, that at therapeutic doses it caused no pain, yet had potent physiological effects.



Jacques-Arsène d'Arsonval
(1851-1940)

"We have seen that with very steady sine waves, nerve and muscle are not stimulated," he wrote. "The passage of the current nevertheless is responsible for profound modification of metabolism as shown by the consumption of a

greater amount of oxygen and the production of considerably more carbon dioxide.

If the shape of the wave is changed, each electrical wave will produce a muscular contraction." D'Arsonval had already discovered the reason, 125 years ago, why today's digital technologies, whose waves have nothing but "jerks and teeth," are causing so much illness.

D'Arsonval next experimented with alternating currents of high frequency. Using a modification of the wireless apparatus devised a few years earlier by Heinrich Hertz, he exposed humans and animals to currents of 500,000 to 1,000,000 cycles per second, applied either by direct contact or indirectly by induction from a distance. They were close to the frequencies Marconi was soon going to broadcast from the Isle of Wight. In no case did the subject's body temperature increase. But in every case his subject's blood pressure fell significantly, without—in the case of human subjects at least—any conscious sensation.

D'Arsonval measured the same changes in oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production as with low frequency currents.

These facts proved, he wrote, "that the currents of high frequency penetrate deeply into the organism." These early results should have made anyone experimenting with radio waves think twice before exposing the whole world to them indiscriminately—should have at least made them cautious.


Marconi, however, was unfamiliar with d'Arsonval's work. Largely self-educated, the inventor had no inkling of radio's potential dangers and no fear of it. Therefore when he powered up his new transmitter on the island he had no suspicion that he might be doing himself or anyone else any harm.

If radio waves are dangerous, Marconi, of all people in the world, should have suffered from them. Let us see if he did. As early as 1896, after a year and a half of experimenting with radio equipment in his father's attic, the previously healthy 22-year-old youth began running high temperatures which he attributed to stress.

These fevers were to recur for the rest of his life. By 1900 his doctors were speculating that

perhaps he had unknowingly had rheumatic fever as a child. By 1904 his bouts of chills and fevers had become so severe that it was thought they were recurrences of malaria.

At that time he was occupied with building a permanent super-high-power radio link across the Atlantic Ocean between Cornwall, England and Cape Breton Island, Nova Scotia. Because he thought that longer distances required longer waves, he suspended tremendous wire net aerials, occupying acres of land, from multiple towers hundreds of feet tall on both sides of the ocean.



From: W. J. Baker, A History of the Marconi Company, St. Martin's Press, NY 1971

On March 16, 1905, Marconi married Beatrice O'Brien. In May, after their honeymoon, he took her to live in the station house at Port Morien on Cape Breton, surrounded by twenty eight huge radio towers in three concentric circles. Looming over the house, two hundred antenna wires stretched out from a centre pole like the spokes of a great umbrella more than one mile in circumference. As soon as Beatrice settled in, her ears began to ring.

After three months there she was ill with severe jaundice. When Marconi took her back to England it was to live underneath the other monster aerial, at Poldhu Bay in Cornwall.

She was pregnant all this time, and although she moved to London before giving birth, her child had spent most of its nine months of foetal life bombarded with powerful radio waves and lived only a few weeks, dying of "unknown causes."

At about the same time Marconi himself collapsed completely, spending much of

February through May of 1906 feverish and delirious.

Between 1918 and 1921, while engaged in designing short wave equipment, Marconi suffered from bouts of suicidal depression.

In 1927, during the honeymoon he took with his second wife Maria Cristina, he collapsed with chest pains and was diagnosed with a severe heart condition. Between 1934 and 1937, while helping to develop microwave technology, he suffered as many as nine heart attacks, the final one fatal at age 63.

Bystanders sometimes tried to warn him. Even at his first public demonstration on Salisbury Plain in 1896, there were spectators who later sent him letters describing various nerve sensations they had experienced. His daughter Degna, reading them much later while doing research for the biography of her father, was particular taken by one letter, from a woman “who wrote that his waves made her feet tickle.”

Degna wrote that her father received letters of this sort frequently. When, in 1899, he built the first French station in the coastal town of Wimereux, one man who lived close by “burst in with a revolver,” claiming that the waves were causing him sharp internal pains. Marconi dismissed all such reports as fantasy.

In what may have been an even more ominous warning, Queen Victoria of England, in residence at Osborne House, her estate at the north end of the Isle of Wight, suffered a cerebral haemorrhage and died on the evening of January 22, 1901, just as Marconi was firing up a new, more powerful transmitter twelve miles away. He was hoping to communicate with Poldhu the next day, 300 kilometres distant, twice as far as any previously recorded radio broadcast, and he did.

On January 23 he sent a telegram to his cousin Henry Jameson Davis, saying “Completely successful. Keep information private. Signed William.”

And Then There Were the Bees.

In 1901, there were already two Marconi stations on the Isle of Wight—Marconi’s original station, which had been moved to Niton at the south end

of the island next to St. Catherine’s Lighthouse, and the Culver Signal Station run by the Coast Guard at the east end on Culver Down. By 1904, two more had been added.

According to an article published in that year by Eugene P. Lyle in *World’s Work* magazine, four Marconi stations were now operating on the small island, communicating with a steadily growing number of naval and commercial ships of many nations, steaming through the Channel, that were equipped with similar apparatus. It was the greatest concentration of radio signals in the world at that time

In 1906, the Lloyd’s Signal Station, half a mile east of St. Catherine’s Lighthouse, also acquired wireless equipment. At this point the bee situation became so severe that the Board of Agriculture and Fisheries called in biologist Augustus Imms of Christ’s College, Cambridge, to investigate. Ninety percent of the honey bees had disappeared from the entire island for no apparent reason.



The hives all had plenty of honey. But the bees could not even fly. “They are often to be seen crawling up grass stems, or up the supports of the hive, where they remain until they fall back to the earth from sheer weakness, and soon afterwards die,” he wrote. Swarms of healthy bees were imported from the mainland, but it was of no use: within a week the fresh bees were dying off by the thousands.

In coming years “Isle of Wight disease” spread like a plague throughout Great Britain and into the rest of the world, severe losses of bees being reported in parts of Australia, Canada, the United States, and South Africa.

The disease was also reported in Italy, Brazil, France, Switzerland, and Germany. Although for

years one or another parasitic mite was blamed, British bee pathologist Leslie Bailey disproved those theories in the 1950's and came to regard the disease itself as a sort of myth. Obviously bees had died, he said, but not from anything contagious.

Over time, Isle of Wight disease took fewer and fewer bee lives as the insects seemed to adapt to whatever had changed in their environment. Places that had been attacked first recovered first.

Then, in 1917, just as the bees on the Isle of Wight itself appeared to be regaining their

former vitality, an event occurred that changed the electrical environment of the rest of the world. Millions of dollars of United States government money were suddenly mobilized in a crash program to equip the Army, Navy, and Air Force with the most modern communication capability Possible.

The entry of the United States into the Great War on April 6, 1917, stimulated an expansion of radio broadcasting that was as sudden and rapid as the 1889 expansion of electricity.

To be Continued

How To Verify The Vaxxed - Charlotte Black Light Street Lights

By
Lee Austin



CHARLOTTE TV STATION is reporting that thousands of defective purple street lights have been installed in the metro Charlotte area. First of all the so called purple street lights are in fact black lights. .

Now it's beginning to make sense. For the last few months I've been trying to figure out how the Luciferian elite will separate the compliant vaxxed sheep from non-compliant outcasts. Of course, the Luciferase contained within the injection, will confirm the individual has received the jab. So what is Luciferase?

Luciferase is an enzyme that produces a light, and is ironically named after The Light Bearer, Lucifer Morning Star. Luciferase is injected under the skin, but cannot be seen by the human eye. However, when scanned with a smart phone app Luciferase will give a digital code to confirm you've been vaccinated. Luciferase will hold your patent vaccination record and validates your

unique ID. At this point you become a patented product owned by the corporation that owns that patent.

I suspect in the next six to twelve months the mainstream media will announce the benefits of Luciferase and the need to do nothing at all, because it's already inside of you. Of course you'll still need your delta variant booster shot to stay once step ahead of the mutating covid.

A delta is a triangle. For example, the Nile Delta gets it's name from it's triangular shape. For Freemason's the triangle symbolizes the Greek capital A, also referred to as the "Shining Delta." The base of the triangle represents duration, and the two sides are depicted as equal qualities of male and female, light and darkness.

Within the mystery religion of Freemasonry the delta or triangle is the symbol of the Supreme Architect, who is Lucifer, The Light Bearer.

Both the square and the compass form a triangle. a symbol of stability and the spirit world. Thus the delta is hidden from our material world. Just as the supposed Delta Variant is an offshoot of the covid-19 virus which cannot be seen.

The inverted triangle or delta is the symbol of the first degree of witchcraft. The second deeper degree of witchcraft is represented by the upright triangle. Witches and wizards traditionally write

their names, followed by the number of delta's conferred their level in the craft.

The non-vaccine is indeed a witches brew delivered via hydro-gel, which is a permanent chip, like a soft contact lens which connects humans to the Internet "cloud." Hydrogel provides feedback to a database on changes in body chemistry and other biometrics including human emotions. Hydro-gel, a DARPA invention, is microscopic robot nano-technology, having the ability to disassemble or reassemble. In addition, hydro-gel has the ability to connect with Artificial Intelligence, the cloud and other smart devices. In addition to monitoring your emotions hydro-gel will know if you've taken an illegal drugs or are about to do something irrational.

After the hydro-gel, Luciferase and the synthetic RNA are injected simultaneously the body begins to transcribe the synthetic RNA, making more of the virus. The idea is the body will then begin to make anti-bodies, improving T-cell response.

The problem using this process is an effect known as Transfection. Transfection is how genetically modified organisms or GMO's are created. Or course GMO fruits and vegetables are not as healthy as organic.

The same holds true for GMO humans or human-hybrids. Transfection will change the human DNA and genome. Once the Transfection become stable it will replicate the genome. This is when the synthetic genome becomes a permanent part of the genome. The ensuing effects result in genetic mutation or what is known as "Gene Drive Technology" or "Gene Extinction Technology,," the ability to eliminate one species at a time. If it can be done to an insect, it can be done to a human.

Think of it this way. Nanobots inside the human body merging with the original human DNA creating and replicating it's own synthetic DNA, to merge with the human DNA, producing a unique hybrid DNA capable of interfacing with Artificial Intelligence.

The job of the nanobots contained within the Hydrogel is to cleave to your cells until the unsuspecting body assimilates it. At this point the human begins to become one with the nanobots. It's unknown the exact moment when

a human is no longer a human and becomes a hybrid abomination.



Once of the additional attributes of Hydrogel is it's ability to heals wounds instantly. Could Hydrogel play a part in the fulfilment of Revelation 13:3? "One of the heads of the beast seemed to have had a fatal wound, but the fatal wound had been healed. The whole world was filled with wonder and followed the beast."

In theory, part of the human to hybrid transition involves the nanobots forming a swarm as they migrate to the brain, ultimately taking up residence in every crack and crevice. Nanobots harvest moisture from the body and use it as an agent to grow. At this point the original biological part of what makes us human begins to wither and die. From human death will arise a hybrid birth.

Sterilization chemicals are also present in the injection. It's purpose is to sterilize every man woman and child. The end game for the Luciferian Technocratic Elite is to only produce babies in labs which will be augmented, enhanced and designed to become one with AI. These will be part of the serf class serving the elite from cradle to grave.

Before the advent of synthetic mRNA and nano-technology, vaccines were straight forward with their intent. Inject a weaken live or decayed form of the virus, hoping the body's natural immune system will produce anti-bodies to stave off a future viral attack.

The synthetic mRNA vaccine is radically different because it doesn't contain any form of the virus, but is actually a launching platform, housing a computer like code, designed to trick the body, bypass the immune systems safety net and synthetically produce the protein spikes prevalent in the covid-19 virus.

Simply stated, RNA is part of our genetic code. Synthetic mRNA creates new synthetic proteins in the nucleus of our cell and then spits them out of the cell. A process repeated billions of times, within billions of cells. The result is a patented synthetic DNA, owned by the corporation, who owns the patent. Ownership of the DNA is then transferred from the creator to the corporation.

The argument put forth by Big Pharma is the process is transient and will not effect the genome. This is a lie.

Crispr 9 technology allows a Cas9 enzyme to act as molecular scissors to cut the DNA at a location specified by the mRNA. Again, the synthetic mRNA molecule is the transporting vehicle, delivering the code to the organic DNA. mRNA has the ability to read the instructions of the human DNA and bind itself to the DNA it has cut. Similar to a branch being grafted into a tree. The Guide RNA (gRNA) binds to Cas 9 enzyme, which will then cut the DNA. In the case of Crispr technology, a synthetic branch of mRNA is unnaturally being grafted into a humans organic DNA. The subsequent reverse transcribed enzymes allow the mRNA to make a complimentary DNA to be taken up into the nucleus, and then transported into the genome.

Providing the energy for the mRNA to transport its payload and penetrate the nucleus of the cell are bio-sensors in the form of Hydrogel connecting a human to the cloud. Hydrogel will have the capacity to measure every biometric, unique

to each human. Every emotion and thought will be evaluated within an algorithm of the technocrats standards of conformity. With the mRNA vaccine the RNA will be delivered to the cell via hydro-gel

Contained within the hydro-gel are nano size magnetic particles which respond to magnetism, and the 5G magnetic signal, propelling the hydro-gel, that carries the RNA. Thus providing the propulsion necessary to penetrate the cell membrane and move thru the cytoplasm liquid contained within the cell. The RNA contained within the hydro-gel will then pierce the nucleus and begin to naturally replicate itself in a twisted strand of double helix DNA. But a DNA that is coated artificially. An RNA creation and rearrangement of nucleotides. Producing a DNA artificial sequence. All though the sequence is artificial, the coding is permanent.

The purpose of the injection is to cull a certain percentage the world's population, while sterilizing every man, woman, and child. In their dystopian vision, the technocratic Luciferian's imagine a world where babies are created in labs, augmented, enhanced and designed to become one with AI. An underbelly serf class serving the elite from cradle to grave.

Lee Austin is the author of "[Morning Star's Tale](#)"

The End OS23225

Is Australia Turning Authoritative? Martin Armstrong



The greed of governments in their pursuit of money is the single greatest threat to creating a Dark Age. With New Zealand imposing a \$5,000 fine for just landing there and you refuse to hand over your pen and passwords to your phone for them to search, now we have Australia going really nuts to the point that they risk tech companies simply banning the sale of their products in the country.

The Assistance and Access Bill 2018 in Australia will force Google, Apple, Facebook, and other technology groups to help Australian authorities decode certain forms of encrypted communications on their legislation will help protect against terrorism, fraud and child abuse crimes, claiming it aims to ensure criminals "have no place to hide."

The problem that arises that failure to pay taxes they also call criminal.

Hence, the hunt for money is greatly aided by this type of legislation far more than any other pretend criminal activity. While the government has stopped short of demanding backdoor access to tech companies' systems that would allow the government to tap into end-to-end encryption services such as Whats App, it does demand access to data at "points where it is not encrypted."

Apple, **for Instance**, would not be made to create a backdoor for their iMessage where every

user's encryption key is different. But the government could request access to the single encryption key for its iCloud services. When you send a message to a friend, it's encrypted as it travels between the two devices, and when it arrives, it's decrypted for your friend to read, which is when the government should get to read it.

The Australian government is cleverly demanding not a backdoor, but a "side door" to gain access to whatever people are sending. **Australia is Turning Really Authoritative?**

The End

The Bryn Gwyn The White Hill, Tower of London and Other Nearby Sacred sites From Our Kent Correspondent



THE BRYN GWYN, NOW THE SITE OF THE WHITE TOWER IN THE TOWER OF LONDON. This ancient and sacred site is said to have been the burial site of Bran's Head. As Bran was the crow god in Celtic mythology, the Raven's in the Tower are all that remains of the worship of the sacred head of Bran. It was thought that as long as Bran's Head was buried in the White Hill facing France, Britain would always be safe from invasion.

However, in the 6th century AD, the Celtic chieftain Arthur Pendragon disinterred it claiming only he would guarantee the safety this island. He removed Bran's Head, and as had been predicted by Merlin, Celtic rule started to collapse under Saxon invasion and was finally wiped out in Cornwall and Wales by the 16th century. (The White Goddess, Robert Graves).

The Llandin, Parliament Hill

From a Welsh name signifying a "High-place of worship". The ley line between here and the White Hill in the Tower of London, is the Midsummer's day azimuth - the line in which the Sun rise on Midsummer's day.

The Penton, Islington

On the present site of a water reservoir at the top of Pentonville, Kings Cross. This site is connected with both Merlin and the worship of the sacred head.

The Tothill, Westminster

On the ancient Isle of Thorns or Thorney Island. This island was created where the River Tyburn split (roughly on the site of the present Buckingham Place) to form an island, on which stands today the Houses of Parliament and Westminster Abbey.

This site is traditionally regarded as a Solar site, where in later times before the Saxon conquest of Kent and London in the 6th century AD, had been a place where the Druids made laws and had a Tree College. It is no coincidence that this site was of great significance to our Celtic forebears, and that today it is the seat of the British government. The Thorney Island was also a traditional, safe crossing point for horses

over the River Thames (hence "Horseferry" Road on the old island today). Penny Drayton writing in her article Toot Hills says:

Arguably the most auspicious toot hill is the one at Westminster, London. Westminster Abbey and the Houses of Parliament are the most-recent of a succession of palaces and churches which date back to the post-Roman period. Indeed, the first church here, dating to the seventh century, may have taken advantage of the copious remains of Roman buildings. The locality was known for many centuries as Thorney Island, being an area of relatively solid ground amid the marshes bordering the Thames. Additionally, there was an artificial mound, known as Tot Hill.

Tot Hill still stood in Queen Elizabeth I's time, as Nordon, the topographer of Westminster, wrote 'Tootehill Street, lying in the west part of the city, takes the name of a hill near it which is called Toot Hill, in the great field near the street.' Toot Hill is indeed shown on a 1746 map by Rocques by a bend in Horseferry Road roughly where Regency Palace now stands.

The name survived in Tothill Fields, the old tournament ground now part of the playing field for Westminster School in Vincent Square,

and Tothill Street, which aligns with the northern transept of Westminster Abbey. Alfred Watkins discovered and described a pair of leys, one running down the middle of Tothill Street, although his claims that the two alignments crossed at Tot Hill does not match Rocques' map, although there is no certainty that his cartography was reliable.

Jeff Saward has recorded that there was a maze on Tot Hill, which was recorded as restored in 1672 and traditionally a site for various games of skill and agility, and a so-called Troy Game (played every Sunday in Lent by knights on horseback) may be first recorded in the sixth century.

Sir Thomas Mallory, in the fifteenth century, has Queen Guenevere inviting the Knights of the Round Table to ride out early one morning in May into the woods and fields beside Westminster. Such speculation about earlier activities here was kept alive throughout the nineteenth and early twentieth century by persistent speculation of Tot Hill being a Druidic site, although the origins of this fable have been lost in the proverbial mists.

The End OS23227

Egyptian Blue – The Oldest Known Artificial Pigment

By
Dḥwty



EGYPTIAN BLUE, also known as calcium copper silicate, is one of the first artificial pigments known to have been used by man. The oldest known example of the exquisite pigment is said to be about 5000 years old, found in a tomb painting dated to the reign of Ka-Sen, the last pharaoh of the First Dynasty.

Others, however, state that the earliest evidence of the use of Egyptian blue is from the Fourth Dynasty and the Middle Kingdom, around 4,500

years ago. Nevertheless, by the New Kingdom, Egyptian Blue was used plentifully as a pigment in painting and can be found on statues, tomb paintings and sarcophagi. In addition, Egyptian blue was used to produce a ceramic glaze known as Egyptian faience.

Its characteristic blue colour, resulting from one of its main components — copper — ranges from a light to a dark hue, depending on differential processing and composition.

If the pigment is ground coarsely, it produces a rich, dark blue, while very finely-ground pigment produces a pale, ethereal blue. It is made by heating a mixture of a calcium compound (typically calcium carbonate), a copper-containing compound (metal filings or malachite), silica sand and soda or potash as a flux, to around 850-950 C.

In Egyptian belief, blue was considered as the colour of the heavens, and hence the universe. It was also associated with water and the Nile. Thus, blue was the colour of life, fertility and rebirth.



Egyptian faience hippopotamus.
Credit: British Museum

One of the naturally blue objects that the Egyptians had access to was lapis lazuli, a deep blue semi-precious stone which could be ground up into powder, although this was a luxury item and had to be imported from Afghanistan. Therefore, it is not too surprising that the Egyptians sought to produce a synthetic pigment to use as a substitute for the blue lapis lazuli.



Hunting in the marshes (fragment),
tomb chapel of Nebamun. Credit:
British Museum.

The manufacture of Egyptian Blue eventually spread beyond Egypt's borders, and can be found throughout the Mediterranean. Egyptian Blue has been found in numerous Greek and Roman

objects, including statues from the Parthenon in Athens and wall paintings in Pompeii. Despite its extensive application in art, Egyptian Blue ceased to be used, and its method of production was forgotten when the Roman era came to an end.

In the 19th century, Egyptian Blue was re-discovered. The excavations at Pompeii revealed that many wall paintings had Egyptian Blue on them, and this prompted scientists to investigate the exact composition of this pigment. Since then, researchers have gained a much deeper understanding of its unique properties. Experiments found that Egyptian Blue has the highly unusual quality of emitting infrared light when red light is shone onto it. This emission is extraordinarily powerful and long-lived, but cannot be seen by the naked eye, because human vision does not normally extend into the infrared range of the light spectrum. In addition, scientists unexpectedly discovered that Egyptian Blue will split into 'nanosheets' – a thousand times thinner than a human hair – if stirred in warm water for several days. Scientists now believe that its unique properties may make Egyptian Blue suitable for a variety of modern applications.

Egyptian Blue may one day be utilized for communication purposes, as its beams are similar to those used in remote controls and telecommunication devices. Moreover, Egyptian blue could be used in advanced biomedical imaging, as its near-infrared radiation is able to penetrate through tissue better than other wavelengths. As an ink solution, Egyptian blue opens up new ways for its incorporation into modern appliances, such as the development of new types of security ink and possibly as a dye in the biomedical field. While the use of Egyptian blue in modern high-tech applications is still in its infancy at this stage, it does seem that its future is a bright one.

References


- Boddy-Evans, A., 2014. Blue Colors in Ancient Egypt. [[Online](#)]
- Choi, C. Q., 2013. Ancient Egyptian Pigment's Future Now Even Brighter. [[Online](#)]

The End OS23229



The Eclipse of AD 664

By
Duncan Steel



ONE COULD GO BACK FURTHER, BUT AD 664 IS A GOOD PLACE TO HALT, BECAUSE IT WAS AN ECLIPSE WITH SIGNIFICANT IMPLICATIONS.

This was the first total solar eclipse to be observed and recorded in England, the path crossing the northern parts of Ireland and then a band reaching from the present Scottish border down to York. But there is something about it which has been puzzling scholars since 1590, and has yet to be resolved.

We know, from modern computations and records in monastic archives from Ireland and across continental Europe, that it happened on 1 May. The puzzle is that the English monasteries recorded it as occurring on 3 May, and we know this was no mere slip of the quill. The record was deliberately falsified, and this was not a trivial deceit.

What Happened?



The year 664 is notable for two other events: an outbreak of the bubonic plague, and the Synod of Whitby, where the decision was taken by King Oswy of Northumberland (above) to

desert the Celtic Church and take up instead with the Roman Church.

The latter had made incursions into the British Isles from 597, when Saint Augustine and his missionaries arrived and established the first cathedral at Canterbury. Upon this religious conversion hinged the future of the entire British Isles, for it led to the unification of England and the end of paganism.

The king's conversion stemmed directly from his being persuaded that the Roman Church's knowledge of the movement of the Sun and Moon, and hence the calculation of the date of Easter, was superior to that of the Celtic Church. It seems that those on the Roman side pointed to the eclipse as being a sign of divine wrath, further demonstrated by God then

inflicting a pestilence upon the land, which was interpreted as a condemnation of the false doctrine of the rival Celtic Church.

The problem with the date of the eclipse arose because the lunar tables calculated in Rome were wrong. They showed the new moon on slightly the wrong date, in part because of the inexactitude of the metonic cycle.

After the event, the Roman party covered this up, falsifying the record and bluffing at the synod a couple of months later that the eclipse had occurred on 3 May, although it had actually been 2 days earlier. **The future of Britain, and the invention of the Anno Domini dating system, both stem from this subterfuge, which is only now being understood, over 1300 years later.**

Eclipses, then, have had an importance for the development of civilization far beyond what one might imagine. It is not only the ancients of Babylon and Greece who were affected by them, but also the antecedents of the English-speaking peoples.

The End OS23230



The Hoskins Report

Chapter 299

Priests



MOSES SPOKE AGAINST THESE PRIESTS as we have seen; so did our Lord Jesus Christ:-

"Then spake Jesus--'The scribes and the Pharisees sit in Moses' seat:-

They bind heavy burdens and grievous to be borne, and lay them on men's shoulders; but they themselves will not move them with one of their fingers".

These scoundrels condemn our kinsmen whom they send off to fight, refusing to tell us that God says that we are not to raise our hand against our kinsmen: this is in the forbidden 70%[7].

"But all their works they do for to be seen of men: they- love the uppermost rooms at feasts, and the chief seats in the synagogues, And greetings in the markets, and to be called of men, Rabbi, Rabbi.

But be not ye called Rabbi: for one is your Master, even Christ; and all ye are brethren. And... Call no man father ...for one is your Father, which is in heaven—

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men: for ye neither go in yourselves, neither suffer ye them that are entering to go in.—"

Worthless 30% preachers teach us to pray

"Thy kingdom come, thy will be done on earth" and then censor the 70% of God's Commandments that would teach us how

it is to be done. "... ye devour widows' houses."

The biggest usury banks in the world are owned by Christian religious organizations. Corruption in one of the Pope's Catholic banks forced it to go bankrupt, and corruption in the Barings Bank owned by the Queen, head of the Protestant Episcopal Church, forced it to bankrupt.

Thirty-Percent Preachers can preach in a church directly across the street from a usury bank and not see it. Heaven forbid that they should warn their listeners about what usury can do to them. "and for a pretence make long prayer: therefore ye shall receive the greater damnation—

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves.... **Matt 23:15—**

To gain a stranger as an agent for the king, one whom they can frighten by denying them the "keys to heaven", these snakes will step over their own in their rush to gain strangers - violating God's Commandments in the process[8]. They then have the audacity to take a dozen scriptures out of context to justify their treason against their God and their nation.

When have you heard a 30% Priest tell you that strangers are forbidden to enter the sanctuary? This, too, must be part of the forbidden 70% of the Scriptures.

"Ye pay tithes of mint and anise and cummin, and have omitted the weightier matters of the law, judgment, mercy, and faith: these ought ye to have done, and not to leave the other undone....

Ye blind guides, which strain at a gnat, and swallow a camel.

Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye make clean the outside of the cup and of the platter, but within they are full of extortion and excess...."

Believe the Scriptures! Beyond appearances, there is little good that can be said in their behalf:

"Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! because ye build the tombs of the prophets, and garnish the sepulchres of the righteous. And say, if we had been in the days of our fathers, we would not have been partakers with them in the blood of the prophets. Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves, that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets. Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers."

Look at Arlington Cemetery - practically every tombstone you see there is the result of the silence of these "priests of God".

"Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?"

Remember, a serpent is a messenger, a messenger of the devil. The **WORD** made flesh called them serpents - not I:

"Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city":

Many times I have spoken to church conferences at family camp grounds where all of God's Commandments are being taught. More often than not while this is talking place these snakes are threatening the conference grounds owner if he fails to cancel future meetings. Those who "call themselves Jews but aren't" usually instigate these persecutions, but these preachers are eager to join in. "Can two walk together lest they be agreed?" They lie and none of their brothers will stand and refute them.

"That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth..."

Our blood-soaked history could never have happened without the collaboration of these 30% State Priests who pretend to serve our Lord while censoring His Commandments.

"Verily I say unto you ... Ye shall not see me henceforth (the **WORD** made flesh), till ye shall say, Blessed is he that

cometh in the name of the Lord". **Matt 23:1-39**

Who Betrayed Jesus?

God made us "Kings and priests". We admit that we have sinned grievously in allowing kings to set themselves over us in place of our own 10's and 100's. We have sinned further in allowing merchant strangers to enter our lands to buy and sell. But, our greatest sin is to blame wolves for doing what they do naturally and ignoring the treason and persecution by our own flesh and blood. The Scriptures say clearly who betrayed Jesus:

"The chief priests and elders of the people took counsel against Jesus to put him to death." **Matt. 27:1**

These priests who took council to put Jesus to death were the same ones who paid Judas 30 pieces of silver to betray Jesus - they were "The chief priests and elders of the people". We are told at every turn that these people were Edomite Talmudic Jews, but, were they? It's easy to blame the Edomite Jews because they are always so obviously guilty - they are always being caught with their hands in the cookie jar.



Some were, perhaps, Edomite Jews, just like there are Edomite Jews among Christian ministers today. And, definitely some of their arguments were Talmudic[10], just like today. But, the Scriptures say:

"He came unto his own, and his own received him not." **John 1:1**

Jesus called himself "Son of man", he called himself an "Israelite". If he came unto his own - he came to his own who were also "sons of man" and "Israelites". Therefore, the majority of the priests who took counsel against Jesus to put

him to death were his and our own Israelite kinsmen!

They were the priests that Moses warned against. They were the same kind of "Thirty Percenters" that we have today - the ones who curse God by censoring his **WORD** and suppressing 70% of God's Commandments in exchange for Roman accommodation.

If Jesus were to return in person today, these same chief priests and elders of the people would again plot to put him to death.

The Game Is Up!

The end of the king/priest game is in sight. Our Saxon brothers feel contaminated and betrayed by contact with and listening to the treasonous talk of these types and they are leaving the Baal temples in droves. They are setting up churches in their own homes and are becoming priests and kings of their own families.

Most important, they are beginning to call upon the **WORD**[11] which is God, instead of relying on the word of today's Judas-priests - the spiritual descendants of the Judas-priests who condemned Jesus Christ to death.

God's Condemnation

What condemnation lies in store for these "Thirty Percent Priests" who dare to censor God's Commandments and lead people down the wide road to destruction? God spells it out:

"Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell? Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city: That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth". **Matt 23: 33-35**

Notes

1. "If ye love me obey my commandments." **John 14:15**

2. **Government Regulations for Churches:** State Churches must: 1) be incorporated. 2) have a recognized creed and IRS approved form of worship. 3) a definite and distinct ecclesiasti-

cal government - an hierarchical government. 4) IRS approved formal code of doctrine and discipline. 5) a denomination with a distinct religious history. 6) ordained ministers. 7) ministers educated in state accredited colleges. 8) be neutral on political issues. 9) have tax exempt status issued by IRS. 10) pay a tax to the IRS for tax-exempt status. 11) be engaged in activities furthering exclusively public purposes. 12) Cannot bar its services to the public. 13) The pastor must answer to the IRS as to the daily activities of the church. 14) The IRS must be privy to all financial transactions including sources, donors, expenditures, and if cash is used the leadership will be a likely suspect of money laundering. 15) books and records available to the IRS at all times. 16) The Pastor must inform the IRS of the names of all donors. 17) Gifts to missionaries and evangelists over \$600 require a form 1099. 18) May use only IRS approved methods of fundraising. 19) The pastor will be called to account by the IRS over any stand taken against the tax system.(501 (c)3 requires the church support, which is why ministers always say to pay one's taxes. 20) Must submit names of all church workers; pastors, teachers, clerks, counsellors, educational directors, office help, associates, and maintenance personnel 21) Must give unlimited submission to civil magistrates pertaining to all laws - federal, state, and local - including public policy. 22) Must advocate and promote racial integration, and not oppose racial integration. 24) Must not publicly oppose licensing of church ministries. 23) May not engage in political activities opposing pornography. 24) May not support legislation saying that children belong to parents not the state. 25) May not form a Political Action Committee nor actively support legislation opposing lotteries and gambling activities. 26) May not advocate support of the US or state constitutions as the supreme law of the land. (Public policy takes precedence.) 28) May not actively participate in opposing the public school system. 29) May not publicly declare that the church is to obey God rather than the government." (Baptist Trumpet 2711 S. East Street, Indianapolis, Indiana, C7D, POB 244342, Anchorage, AK 99524, May 1996)

The End

The remaining notes can be seen at source [HERE](#)

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!


<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



This long sort after book is now available!


Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



www.theflameuk.com

Contact


Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail [HERE](#)

This website is dedicated to those who have an open mind and question the official Government narratives about COVID19 and the lockdown measures.

<https://judgeforyourself.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew