

The New Ensign

No. 135 November 2020

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Elizabeth II Dethroned?



The Queen opened Parliament twice in 2019, but on the last occasion, she was dressed down and not wearing the crown arriving in a car instead of the State horse drawn carriage. Other clues are that Buckingham Palace's windows have been boarded up and guarded by Gurkhas, instead of the usual Royal Guards, albeit we are told that there is a major renovation of the Palace being undertaken which will last 10 years!

The Royal coat of arms has been removed from the Palace gates under the pretext that it was damaged by a lorry. This may well be true, but it could have been deliberate, evidently there has been no rush to repair it!

The Queen not having been seen in public of late is put down to her age and having caught Covid! However plausible the reasons given, we do have the evidence and confirmation in the 21st of January, 2020 EU Withdrawal Act which states that nothing will impinge on the Sovereignty of Parliament. There can be only one Sovereign. So we see here that the UK Parliament - The

Commons has aggregated all power unto itself, having removed the Monarch and having emasculated the House of Lords by removing their last vestige of power as the highest court in the land which now resides in the Ministry of (in)Justice.

We are seeing a repeat, albeit under the guise of covid, of what happened in the interregnum between the English revolution and the restoration of the Monarchy. The House of Commons had the then King Charles I murdered, abolished the House of Lords and expelled from their own House those whose views were contrary to the Cabal's plans for arbitrary power.

They were known as the Rump Parliament, a derogatory term meaning a rotten piece of left over meat! The similarity does not stop there. There was an Admiral Trump in the United States (the Low Countries then) who Parliament did battle with and was their undoing.

Could it be that Yahweh is using the enemy to clean house ready for the imminent return of His Son, The King of Kings, to sit on David's throne in the midst of His People (the real Jerusalem). Praise Yahweh!!

Thanks to the hard work of one of the NE subscribers, the affidavit template can be found on page 31.

Editor

editor@newensign.com

Contents		Page
Arsenal of Words - (Part 20) Pastor Eli James		3
OT "Word for Word" Deuteronomy Part 13 - Pastor Steven H. Anderson		7
Christian Jews - Willie Martin		9
Effects of Masking on The Brain - Dr. Margarite Griesz-Brisson		13
Harold Stough Notes - Knowlton Church		15
E-Mail 200 Years Ago		17
Letters and Views		20
The Fall of Rome and The Slave Trade - Tom Holland		26
Medical ignorance and Mass Murder of Corona Virus Patients		27
Affidavit Template and How to Use It		31
Prehistoric London and Its Mounds (Part 19) E. O. Gordon		36

Arsenal Of Words (Part 20)

A Demonstration of The Deceptive Use of Words

By The Rabbis of Judaism

By Pastor Eli James



The Tree of Life

HE IS THE TREE. WE ARE THE BRANCHES. Because this is a Family Tree, only a certain type of DNA can partake of this Tree. Only literal, flesh and blood Israel shares both His Spirit and His Blood (DNA). If our Blood is defiled, we cannot share in the Corporate Body of Christ! Now, that's SPIRITUALITY, folks!

{If anything other than "olives" are spliced into our family tree, surely those alien branches could never produce olives, under any amount of pruning or coaxing! Pure Adamic DNA contains the instructions necessary to create our Spirit as the body is created, i.e., I Cor. 15:44: "The life is in the blood."}

Now, you, dear Israelite, can either accept this gift that has been offered to you or you can throw it away! Don't let the deceptive propaganda of the anti-Christ tug on your heartstrings, causing you to inordinately sympathize with Non-Whites while your own race is being destroyed from within! Satan is using White Guilt to deprive you of your Inheritance.

You should know that the other races do not waste a moment worrying about whether Whites are being treated fairly. Your concern for them is **Not Reciprocated**. The history of the Welfare State has demonstrated their total ingratitude, in spite of the billions of dollars that the White Middle Class has paid in taxes to promote "equality." While brainwashed Whites are

obsessing about the ultimate fate of non-Whites, these same non-Whites are calling you a "White devil." This is the ultimate fruit of White Guilt.

"Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, neither cast ye your pearls before swine, lest they trample them under their feet, and turn again and rend you." (Matt. 7:6.)

There is NO SUCH THING as the "equality of the races." This is a scientific and Biblical fact. Not only are you wasting your time and effort trying to convert non-Whites, you are actually promoting a false theology, invented by Jews who hate you. Furthermore, these same anti-Christ Jews have deceitfully orchestrated and implemented this theology in order to destroy your Race.

White Christians, who have swallowed their lies, have been brainwashed into promoting their own destruction. This is the reality of the situation. It is not your concern whether Yahweh has made this offer of the Kingdom to people of another race. It is NONE OF THEIR BUSINESS. They have their own guiding Spirit; and their species has a different function in the Universal Body. Don't worry about the other Trees in the Garden, especially while your own Race is in the midst of a world-wide genocidal attack against you and your children by the perfidious Jew.

Your ONLY CONCERN should be, "What does my Father want me to do with my life?" As in the earlier cited parable of the Householder (Matt. 20:1-16), Yahweh makes different offers to different people. The parable ends with this statement: "Many be called, but few are chosen." Are you ready to accept His offer? All I can say to you is that YOU will be much rewarded and the WORLD will be a better place, if you are willing and obedient to Yah and His Laws! Another way of putting it is this: If you do your job correctly, everyone benefits, including the other races. The whole world will benefit from our NATIONAL EXAMPLE. Trying to convert them to our religion has been a monumental waste of time and a theological disaster. As we

can see from the experience of those European nations that have imported Muslim "refugees," those Muslims bring their own religion, culture, and racial characteristics **with Them**, and they segregate themselves within our midst, creating islands of violence, crime and revolution; while the Jews and their political hacks have promised us that "the world will be a better place" if we submit to this intrusion. They are liars!



We in America are having the same experience with both legal and illegal non-Whites. Like the missionaries who became missionary stew, the Judeo-Christian melting pot is killing your Race. Is this finally starting to make sense?

Where is the willingness of the **OUTSIDER** to **INTEGRATE** with us? IT IS NON-EXISTENT! The proof that integration **DOESN'T WORK** is everywhere! Open your eyes! Don't let the White Guilt trip distract you from your responsibility to your own Racial Family.

New Paradigm or Old Paradigm?

For two millennia, the catchphrase of Christianity, with all of its imperfections, has been the "Imitation of Christ." Not so with modern Churchianity! From "What can you do for Christ?," we have devolved/regressed into "What can God do for ME?" The same religion that has always counselled against selfishness has suddenly become fixated on self-improvement as an end in itself! What a reversal of philosophy!

Although this religion still retains the name, Christianity, it is not the same religion of 50 years ago. It has been modernized into a mere caricature of its old self! Nor is it **THE FAITH** of Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob. The God of the Bible **NEVER** promises to be of assistance in achieving any of our personal goals, except on the condition that we obey His Law(s). Even

more importantly, we should demonstrate an appreciation for the fact that we owe our very **EXISTENCE** to Him.

"For none of us liveth to himself, and no man dieth to himself. For whether we live, we live unto the Lord; and whether we die, we die unto the Lord: whether we live therefore, or die, we are the Lord's." (Rom. 14:7-8.)

Therefore, owing our Being to Him, we should show our gratitude and appreciation by following His Grand Design. Father knows best! He rewards us as He sees fit, according to our faithfulness to Him and His Plan for re-establishing His Kingdom right here on this Earth. If we cannot accept Life and Existence on the Creator's terms, then, as Daniel said, we will reap "shame and everlasting contempt."

Yahweh does intervene on our behalf, but only if our actions are in harmony with the laws of His Creation. Otherwise, we become part of the problem. Faith is having the confidence that Yahweh will reward us for obeying His Laws and "sacrificing" ourselves for the Kingdom, just as Yahshua sacrificed Himself for us.

We must understand that the Old Paradigm was the sacrificial law. It was put in place for our discipline, and to establish justice, righteousness, and fairness. But obedience to the Law is not enough. It just establishes the foundation of our Kingdom citizenship.

Love, compassion, and forgiveness are qualities that cannot be extracted from the Written Law. The Law itself does not contain these things. They must be provided by **YOU**.

Those strict disciplinarians, who try to teach the Law with a bullwhip, or who hit you over the head with their thickest Bibles, are still living in the Old Paradigm. Appreciation of the Law cannot be taught by mere discipline. Love and compassion must be added to the equation. This is the New Paradigm, which the Law of Moses could only imply, but not provide.

Much of the criticism of Paul's **ALLEGED** denial of the Law comes from those who are still trapped in the Old Paradigm. They are the strict disciplinarians who find it difficult to **LOVE**. The Macho Man sees Love as a kind of weakness, because it isn't **tough** or **steadfast**.

On the contrary, Tough Love is a blend of Righteousness and Compassion.

Even the pop psychologist knows that the "Do as I say, or else" approach doesn't work, because it fails to address the individuality of each child. It is often the Bible-thumping, obedience demanding preacher, whose daughter winds up being the town slut, because she is rebelling against what she perceives as an unloving father, who cares more about the Law than he does about her. **You Can't Beat the Law into People.** It must be done with LOVE and by example. This is the message that Paul is trying to get across. There is more to the Kingdom than just the Law.

Consider Christ's sacrifice for us. There is no law which says that the Kinsman Redeemer MUST redeem His kinsmen! – although it is considered a disgrace if he fails to do so (Ruth 4; Deut. 25:7-10.) He did it out of LOVE for us. It goes without saying that we did not EARN our redemption by practicing the Law, for we, as a people, have failed miserably at practicing His Law!!!!

Thus, we must return the favour by showing love and charity to our neighbours. Many of our own people are just too selfish and self-absorbed to realize this. They believe that they qualify for the Kingdom based merely on their personal accomplishments, or by their own, inflated assessment of their own law keeping, or on the mere fact that they have White skin. But, this is shortsighted, to say the least.

There is more to the Kingdom than keeping the letter of the Law:


If you lack the motivation of wanting to make the lives of those around you BETTER, then you are still only focused on personal accomplishment and personal rewards. Or, if you are keeping the law merely to be admired of men, then you are no better than a Pharisee. Those who are focused merely on keeping the letter of the Law are unable to spiritually connect with the rest of their Family, because their egos are still in their own way. Deep inside they know this, but it so difficult to drop the rigorous mentality of the strict disciplinarian, the whip cracker, which has become a defence mechanism for too many of our men, and some of our women as well. It is understandable that

many of our people have had hard lives and, as a result, have stiff necks and thick skin. Lacking the ability to express Love and compassion, they hide behind the Law. Discipline and Love are two entirely different concepts. They fail to understand that the Kingdom is premised on our willingness to sacrifice, to give of ourselves, in addition to keeping the Law.

Or, if they understand this, they often fail to do it.

That's why "It is more blessed to give than to receive." (Acts 20:35) It is only by giving of yourself, for the benefit of the Kingdom, that you will receive the greater blessing. And this giving cannot proceed from a grudging attitude. It must be genuine!

You have to drop the facade of the authoritarian and humble yourself before your Creator. "Verily, I say unto you, Except ye be converted and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the Kingdom of heaven. Whosoever therefore shall humble himself as this little child, **the Same Is Greatest in the Kingdom of Heaven.**" (Matt. 18:3-4.)



Yes, keeping the Law is an absolute requirement for entry into the Kingdom, but it must be kept in the right Spirit, with Love and Compassion for your children, family, friends, and neighbours. And this can only be accomplished by genuinely humbling yourself before your God, Yahweh. Stop pretending that you know everything, and start being a loving Israelite, a loving father, mother, brother, sister, aunt, uncle, carpenter, electrician.... This is the ticket to the Kingdom. The rest is illusion.

"Remember Lot's wife. Whosoever shall seek to save his life shall lose it; and whosoever shall lose his life shall preserve it."(Luke 17:32-33.)

The Book of Matthew is a little clearer on this subject:-

"And he that taketh not his cross and followeth after Me is not worthy of Me. He that findeth his life shall lose it: and he that loseth his life for My sake shall find it."
(Matt. 10:38-39.)

Are you living life, or keeping the Law, for your sake or for His sake?

Even those of us in Christian Identity can get tripped up by mistaking self-righteousness for true righteousness.

Getting back to our situation in the current world order: If our Consciousness is placed in and devoted to this system called Mammon, then we will lose what life we have. It will be buried in crass materialism. If, on the other hand, we immerse ourselves in the Kingdom (by preparing for its coming), then we will have life, and that more abundantly.

A simple way of putting this message into proper perspective is this: If you are satisfied with worldly pleasures, then you will not achieve any higher goals. If you accept this arrangement with death, then death is what you will get. If you cannot see beyond the reality of this world, then you will perish with it, because you are still contained in it, encapsulated in its physical, mental, and spiritual box/matrix.


The Law teaches us discipline, justice and fairness. These things are admirable, necessary and of infinite importance. But only Love can shake us out of our complacency. The Universe, Matter and Energy, are structured by physical Law. Society must be structured by the Moral Law. But the Motivation behind the Creation is Love; and our lives must reflect that motivation as well.

Our goal, our ultimate destination, as Israelites, is the Kingdom. "Seek first the Kingdom of God." (Matt. 6:33; Luke 12:31.) That's where real life is. The Kingdom Age is the one that follows this Age of Delusion. What we have on this planet is not life. It seems like life to us, but even that is an illusion. It is only a glimmering shadow of ETERNAL LIFE, of what is yet to come! It is inherent in our blood to build for the future, for what is getting ready to happen to us.

The Second Coming is just around the corner. Remember Lot's wife. She looked back at Sodom and Gomorrah, representing earth's worldly pleasures. If she was at all spiritual, she probably had a pastor named Joel Osteen! We are all getting ready to face a similar decision, at the Judgment Day. **DON'T LOOK BACK...** to Sodom and Gomorrah! At the same time, our racial heritage, our DNA, is something we cannot ignore or forget, for that is the biological source of our being. This DNA was designed by Yahweh Himself, to form a people after His own image. It is our heritage. Our flesh is real. It is the biological apparatus that supports our minds and souls.

"Hearken to me, ye that follow after righteousness, ye that seek Yahweh: look unto the rock whence ye are hewn, and to the hole of the pit whence ye are digged. Look unto Abraham your father, and unto Sarah that bare you: for I called him alone, and blessed him and increased him." (Isa. 51:1-2.)

—-and, of course, that blessing pertains to his **Seed** and his **Seed's Seed**. If you are a Caucasian, you are of that **Seed** and a product of that blessing and increase. You are an example of that increase, through Isaac, for Isaac was Sarah's only son. Only the Adamic descendants of Abraham and Sarah can be the people of that blessing. It is we who have been predestined to be a blessing to the world by bringing in the Kingdom of God, right here on earth.



The Apocryphal book, II Esdras, has a very interesting commentary concerning the coming end of this age: "What will mark the parting of the times? Or when will the end of the first or the beginning of the second be?... **Esau Is the End of This Age, and Jacob Is the Beginning of the One That Follows.** (II Esdras 6:7-10).

Now that you know that the Jews are of the Esau/Edom bloodline, which is the mixed seed

of the Canaanites and the fallen angels, read what the Apostle, Peter has to say:

"For if God spared not the angels that sinned, but cast them down to hell, and delivered them into chains of darkness, to be reserved unto judgment." (II Peter 2:4.)

St. Paul concurs: "What if God, willing to show His wrath, and to make His power known, endured with much longsuffering the vessels of wrath fitted to destruction: And that He might make known the riches of His glory on the vessels of mercy, which He had afore prepared unto glory, even us, whom He hath called not of Judah only, but also of the Dispersed?" (Rom. 9:22-24, My translation.)

Since we have abundantly proven that the Jews are of the Kenite/Cananite/Edomite seedline, Paul is agreeing with Peter and Ezra (Esdras) that the Edomite/fallen angel SEED have already been condemned. This seed cannot possibly repent, for it has been reserved for destruction! (II Peter 2:4.) The only possible reason why Yahweh is waiting so long to carry out His death sentence ("wrath") is because He is infinitely merciful, using these fallen ones to instruct us of their irredeemable nature.

After 2,000 years of intractable rejection of the Gospel by the Jews, how much more proof do we need that it is a waste of time and effort? (There are many Arabs who undoubtedly have this DNA flowing in their veins as well, although

they do not practice usury or other Talmudic abominations. Perhaps Yahweh will have some form of mercy in store for them?)

In direct contrast to OUR [True Israel] commission, the Jews (the people descending from Esau) have a completely carnal view of their kingdom. Theirs is a religion of money, sex, and earthly power. This is why Jews succeed in this world, making money as individuals and as an elite fraternity, revelling in worldly success, where most of our people inevitably fail. This world is their "turf"! (Luke 4:5, I Cor. 2:6-8.) It is also their last domain.

Our people do not handle personal riches very well and are not as genetically driven or motivated to amass personal riches as the Jews are. WE are not consumed by such passions. When we achieve such wealth and status, we find it unsatisfying and unfulfilling. We find that it is a burden to keep it secure and prevent others from taking it. It gives us as much stress and anxiety as pleasure. Jews and other people do not seem to have this problem. They love to waddle in the luxuries and perks of Mammon, taking carnal pleasure in earthly riches. If we envy the Jews for their monetary success, then we have bought into THEIR corporate consciousness, which is exemplified by ruthless, cutthroat competition against non-Jews. As always, they provide us with a counterfeit version of what we are supposed to be doing.

To be continued OS23003

Old Testament

"Word for Word" Deuteronomy Part 13

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 18

1. It shall not be for the priests of the Lewiyyim, and all the tribe 7626 of Lewiy to have a portion or inheritance with Yisrael: the

offerings made by fire 801 to Yahweh is their inheritance, and what they shall eat.

2. An inheritance they shall not have among their kinsmen: Yahweh is their inheritance, as He has spoken to them.

3. This shall be the just portion of the priests from the people: of the slaughterings 2076 of sacrifice 2077, whether ox, or sheep; they shall give the priest the shoulder 2220, and the two cheeks 3895, and the stomach 6896.

4. The firstfruits 7225 of your grain 1715, of

your new wine 8492, and of your fresh oil 3323, and the first of the fleece 1488 of your flocks, you shall give them.



5. Because has chosen them Yahweh Eloheycha from all your tribes, to stand and minister in The Name of Yahweh, they, and their sons for all the days.

6. Because if comes a Lewiy from one of your gates from all Yisrael, that he sojourned there, and comes with all the desire 185 of his being 5315 to the place that has chosen Yahweh;

7. then he shall minister in The Name of Yahweh Elohayv, as all his kindred the Lewiyyim, which stand there before Yahweh.

8. Like portions 2506/2506 they shall eat, besides what comes from the sale 4465 of [patrimonies 1].

9. When you have come into the land which Yahweh Eloheycha gives you, you shall not learn 3925 to do the abominations 8441 of those nations.

10. There shall not be found among you any that pass through 5674 his son or daughter the fire 784, or that practice 7080 divination 7081, or a soothsayer 6049, or an enchanter 5172, or a sorcerer 3784,

11. or a charmer 2266 of spells 2267, or a consulter 7592 by necromancy 178 of a familiar spirit 3049, one who inquires 1875 of the dead 4191.

12. For an abomination to Yahweh are all that do these things, and because of these abominations Yahweh Eloheycha drives them out from before you.

13. You shall be blameless 8549 with Yahweh Eloheycha.

14. Because these nations, which you shall dispossess 3423, listened to soothsayers, and practiced divination: but as for you, Yahweh Eloheycha has not allowed 5414 you so.

15. A prophet 5030 from the midst of you, of your racial kindred 251, like unto me 3644 will raise up 6965 to you Yahweh Eloheycha. To him you shall listen;

16. according to all you shall ask 7592 from Yahweh Eloheycha in Choreb 2722 in the day of the assembly 6951, saying, "Let me not again hear the voice of Yahweh Elohayv 430, neither let me see the great fire anymore, that I not die."

17. And said Yahweh to me, "**They have done well 3190 by that they have spoken.**

18. I will raise them up a prophet from among their racial kinsmen, like to you, and I will put My Words in his mouth; and he shall speak to them all that I command him.

19. And it shall be, whoever will not listen to My Words, which he shall 1349 speak in My Name, I will exact it 1875 from him.

20. But a prophet, who presumes 2102 to speak a word in My Name, which I have not commanded him to speak, or that shall speak in the name of other 312 elohiym, that prophet shall die.

21. And if you say in your mind, 'How shall we know the word which Yahweh has not spoken?'

22. When a prophet speaks in The Name of Yahweh, if the thing does not come to be, that is the thing that Yahweh has not spoken, and the prophet has spoken it presumptuously 2087: you shall not fear 1481 him."

CHAPTER 18 NOTES

This chapter is straightforward as to what is due the priests, and to what pagan practices are forbidden. Verses 10 and 11 are a mess in the

KJV, so I have listed all the transliterated words in the margin notes with Strong's Numbers. In verses 15-22 it foretells of a coming prophet like Mosheh. Yahshua son of Nun will be chosen by Yahweh to lead Yisrael into the promised land. In verses 20-22, it clearly points out what occurs with a false prophet. This includes today's deceivers, who will be cast into the lake of fire for their second death.

Verse 8 – I aboth, meaning unclear;

Verse 10 – a) Lev. 18:21; 19:26; b) Ex. 22:18;

Verse 13 – Matt: 5:48;

Verse 15 – Acts 3:22; 7:37;

Verse 19 – Acts 3:23; 1875 carries the concept of “avenger”.

References Chapter 18

To be continued

Verse 2 – Num. 18:20;

Christian Jews?

By
Willie Martin



Christian Israelites; and therefore they will understand the truth as they see it and not believe all the lies and Jewish fables. In an article in *The Sunday School Times* (March 14, 1954) poses the question in its title: "Are the Anglo-Saxons the Ten Lost Tribes of Israel?"

The writer was a Dr. Jacob Gartenhaus and the information given in the accompanying editorial note was the he was a Christian Jew who was president of the International Board of Jewish Missions.

FROM TIME TO TIME IT IS INFORMATIVE TO LOOK AT WRITINGS OF PEOPLE SEVERAL YEARS IN THE PAST. Such is this one by a so-called (sic) Christian Jew; there is no such thing, for either one is a Jew or he is a Christian, they cannot be both at the same time.

For Yahshua said that we could not serve two masters. "No man can serve two masters: for either he will hate the one, and love the other; or else he will hold to the one, and despise the other. Ye cannot serve God and mammon." (Matthew 6:24; Luke 16:13)

Our Israel people have been so thoroughly deceived by the Judeo-Christian clergy, trained by Jews in the cemeteries - I mean seminaries that we must repeat the truth so many times in so many different ways that it will finally catch on and become infused in the minds of our

The Sunday School Times is obviously capitalizing upon the fact that Dr. Gartenhaus was a Jew (howbeit a Christian), trusting that this in itself would carry sufficient weight to substantiate his assertions. Yet even the editorial staff of The Sunday School Times would not at all agree with the position taken by most Jewish scholars, regardless of their knowledge of the Old Testament Scriptures, as to the identification of the Messiah, whom they still reject. Might not a Jew be equally mistaken in other Scriptural matters?

Just as Jewry rejected the King of the Kingdom over two thousand years ago, so today the Christian Church is rejecting the actuality of a literal Kingdom over which the King of king is to reign. It is apparent that Dr. Gartenhaus, upon his conversion to Christianity, has also been indoctrinated with this unscriptural conception of the Kingdom of God. Thus, by repudiating the identity of the people of the Kingdom, he

follows a line of false teachings based upon a system of interpretation of the Bible that incorporates the extreme error of "spiritualising" away the truth of its literal statements.

The article by Dr. Gartenhaus begins with the statement that the position we hold "is a strange and fanciful theory that the English-speaking peoples are the descendants of the ten tribes of Israel (actually the English speaking peoples, French, German, and etc., are the descendants of all thirteen tribes of Israel).

It is claimed that the British people and their colonial off-shoots, including the United States of America, are the descendants of the so-called lost ten tribes of Israel, which were carried away into Assyria and in the course of time migrated to Europe and settled in England..."

These remarks are succeeded by a sketchy list of facts taken from our tenants of belief, compiled with scant regard for accuracy (typical Jewish). Then Dr. Gartenhaus went on to state: "From this folk tale, which has not the slightest historical background, we are asked to accept that the British people (actually all of the Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Celtic peoples) are the descendants of the ten lost tribes." This is followed by an expression which is always a "give-away" concerning the lack of careful examination and study of our position on the part of those who undertake to condemn it.

"Briefly," he says, "we are asked to believe that a great mass of Israelites poured into the British Isles and immediately took on a new physiognomy, customs, names, which have no affinity with the ancient Israelites; all this without a single Scriptural or historical proof. If it can be proved that all of this is contrary to history and the clear teachings of God's Word, then the whole theory of Israelism collapses. This I shall attempt to do in this 'brief' article.

In the first place, the many years of painstaking research in historical records and the Bible by men whose only objective was to learn the truth of God's Word and gain an understanding of His

purposes cannot be reduced to brief and careless restatement by those who thereby admit they have given only superficial attention to the subject. Nor can the weight of factual evidence now available be lightly swept aside by a loosely-composed "brief" article which is the product of shallow reasoning. Dr. Gartenhaus finds it very easy to express his opinion that the fact of the identity of the Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Celtic peoples with the House of Israel "has not the slightest historical background" and is "without a single Scriptural or historical proof."



However, his article reveals at once that he has examined neither the Scriptural nor the historical evidence which is at hand and which proves beyond successful refutation that the Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Celtic peoples are indeed the Israel of God in the world today. In other words, like almost all Jews he was a liar.


For example, the assertion that there is no biblical or historical evidence corroborating Jeremiah's flight from Palestine by way of Egypt to the Isles in the

West is amply dealt with in the study I sent out on Tea Tephi and Ireland. No opponent of the identity has ever attempted to answer the Scriptural and historical evidence presented.

They are quick to deny the validity of the facts presented, but they bring forth no facts which refute the testimony given. They only mouth things that have no bearing on the subject at all. No accredited researcher who has patiently delved into the records of history to trace the westward trek of the House of Israel through central and southern Europe to the Scandinavian countries and the British Isles ever made the statement that "a great mass of the Israelites 'poured' into the British Isles and 'immediately took on a new physiognomy, customs, names...'"

On the contrary, the trek of the Israel tribes occupied from twelve to fourteen centuries. During this period they dwelt from time to time

in various lands, breaking up into smaller segments which took other names and changed their customs to suit new environments. Eventually, as the narrative of any history book dealing with the period will show, they began to arrive in the British Isles, known only by the new names with which modern history students are familiar; Angles, Jutes, Frisians, Celts, Picts, Scots, Gaels, Saxons and others.



Many books have been written on this phase of the subject alone and the most recent findings of research are presented in the first ten chapters of the book entitled *Chemivision* by Dr. William J. Hale. Also, the end leaf map in the rear of the book, *Primogeneis*, is a clear depiction of the trek of

the Israel tribes.

The pulse-stirring saga of the travels of the House of Israel after they left their Assyrian captivity and trekked throughout Asia Minor, Europe and finally to the "isles of the sea," where they were regathered and became again one people to fulfil the purpose of God, is summed up in the graphic statement of the Lord, given through Amos: "For, lo, I will command, and I will sift the house of Israel among all nations, like as corn is sifted in a sieve, yet shall not the least grain fall upon the earth." (**Amos 9:9**)

Racial Characteristics

Dr. Gartenhaus raises the issue of the physiognomy of the people of the House of Israel by his statement quoted above. We assume the Doctor's intimation is that all Israelites should look like Jews. But the people of the House of Israel **Were Not Jews** as we know Jews to be today; therefore, the absurd conclusion he reaches and ridicules; that the Israelites "immediately took on a new physiognomy" is his own, not ours.

The characteristics of the racial type we recognize as that of the Jews today were the result of intermarriages in the days of Ezra and Nehemiah. At that time a mutation of the blood

stream occurred when many men of the Southern Kingdom, or House of Judah, upon their return to Palestine from Babylon, took wives from among the Hittites and other Canaanitish people in the land for themselves and their sons, while their daughters also married Hittite men. This defection from God's will was strongly condemned and the result of it was the fulfilment of Isaiah's prophecy: "The shew of their countenance doth witness against them."`

Dr. Gartenhaus makes a point of the fact that their new names "have no affinity with the ancient Israelites" and others have also raised the objection that the modern House of Israel does not speak the language of their forefathers. However, Isaiah declared: "For with stammering lips and another tongue will he speak to this people." (**Isaiah 28:11**)

It is shown in Strong's Concordance that the expression "stammering lips" can refer to "foreign lips;" that is, speaking in another language. Therefore, to discover modern Israel using a different language than that of their forefathers is actually a proof of identity; not evidence against it. Many books have been written which prove that the English language had its origin in the ancient Hebrew.

One such book is *The Word The Dictionary That Reveals The Hebrew Source of English*, by Isaac E. Mozeson. Stressing the fact that if the Anglo-Saxons are modern Israel, they do not demonstrate it by following the customs of their forefathers, the Doctor again asserts that this disproves the claimed identity.

Yet this complete forgetfulness of former customs is exactly what Jeremiah predicted would take place when he addressed a message toward the north, declaring that backsliding Israel had justified herself more than treacherous Judah (note, incidentally, the very definite difference between Israel and Judah). The prophet was told: "Go and proclaim these words toward the north, and say, Return, thou backsliding Israel, saith the Lord; and I will not cause mine anger to fall upon you for I am merciful, saith the Lord, and I will not keep anger for ever." (Jeremiah 3:12)

Note carefully that the context clearly shows that this message is addressed to the House of

Israel and not to the House of Judah. Jeremiah thus makes a definite distinction between the House of Israel and the House of Judah, Dr. Gartenhaus and the editors of The Sunday School times to the contrary notwithstanding.

The objection most often raised is also put forward by Dr. Gartenhaus that, because of the Anglo-Saxons do not practice the ancient custom of circumcision of the flesh, this excludes them from a racial share in the natural promises to Abraham. The answer to this objection was fully set forth in the article, "What Saith the Scriptures," previously referred to, from which the following is quoted:

"Let us pause here a moment and consider what the opponents of the identity of the Anglo-Saxon, Germanic, Celtic peoples with the House of Israel consider to be a major objection. The issue is raised that, because the Anglo-Saxon peoples do not carry out the ritual of circumcision, they cannot be Israel. These critics are overlooking the facts of history in the records of Scripture that, while in exile, the rite of circumcision was not always practiced by God's people.

This was the case with Israel during the years they wandered in the wilderness, following their exodus from Egypt. The account states that those who came out of Egypt were circumcised, and goes on to explain: "But all the people that were born in the wilderness by the way as they came forth out of Egypt, them they had no circumcised. (Joshua 5:5)"

Although the children of Israel were uncircumcised as they journeyed through the forty years in the wilderness, they were still God's people. But even more important is the fact that Moses gave instructions as to the type of circumcision Israel was to practice in the latter days after the Lord God turned back their captivity.

He said at that time: **'the Lord Thy God Will Circumcise Thine Heart, and the Heart of Thy Seed, to Love the Lord Thy God with All Thine Heart,** and with all thy soul, that thou mayest live.' (Deuteronomy 30:6)

"Paul designated this type of circumcision as the circumcision of those who were followers of Jesus Christ, stating: " Circumcision is that

of the heart, in the spirit, and not in the letter; whose praise is not of men, but of God.' (Romans 2:29)

"He further confirmed this in his Epistle to the Philippians when he said: " For we are the circumcision, which worship God in the spirit, and rejoice in Christ Jesus, and have no confidence in the flesh.' (Philippians 3:3) "The interesting fact here is that Moses declared that Christian circumcision would be in evidence among the seed of Abraham after the Lord turned back their captivity.

Thus, the argument of the opponents that the failure on the part of the Anglo-Saxon peoples to practice circumcision in the flesh is conclusive evidence that they are not the lineal descendants of Abraham and Sarah is not a valid reason for denying that they are the House of Israel in the world today.



According to Moses himself they were to be circumcised of heart in the latter days." Following the usual practice of those who attack the great truths of the identity, Dr. Gartenhaus parrots what many before him have said, that Richard Brothers, of limited mental capacity, was the first modern apostle of this truth. It has been repeatedly shown the fallacy of this assertion, but it seems to be too much to ask these opponents to act in a spirit of fair play to the extent of taking into consideration the facts which have been printed concerning this matter.

The error of this statement was clearly shown in "How Old Is This Anglo-Saxon Truth?," published in *Destiny* for March 1939, September 1941 and November 1949, and other publications of even older dates, the latter under the title, "Strange Error of the Scholars." Not once have the opponents undertaken to answer the evidence presented in these articles. It is nothing short of intellectual dishonesty to repeat charges already answered a hundred or more time while ignoring the answers.

Again referring to the above-mentioned material, it was pointed out in *Destiny* for February 1954, page 49: "Dating from the year 665 A.D., to the year 1634 A.D., over one hundred and fifty references to the identity of the Anglo-Saxon people with ancient Israel have been discovered." Richard Brothers was active at the end of the eighteenth centuries, so he could not have originated a truth that had already been known long before he was born. The article remarks: "All of these testimonies antedate the period of poor Richard Brothers. It is not surprising that he, earnest student of the Scriptures that he was, saw the truth also; the

mystery is that students more sane than he can miss it."

Prejudice is a powerful influence in preventing the truth from being accepted by those under its sway. Prejudice and the desire not to be upset in their beliefs, not the lack of factual evidence and testimony, motivated the Jews of our Lord's day, leading them to reject Him as their Messiah, and these two influences may be the greater part of the reason why Judeo-Christian leaders in Christendom today oppose the Gospel of the Kingdom that proclaims the identity of the people of the Kingdom as the House of Israel today.

Much is continually made of the idea that the acceptance of the identity fosters an inordinate "pride of race." But we wonder whether it is that they fear this, or that they, subconsciously at least, dare not acknowledge their origin because they must then accept their "responsibility" as God's Chosen Servants and witnesses, with all that this involves.

To be continued OS14801

Effects of 'Masking' on Our Brain + Effects of Silence on Our Lives

Dr. Margarite Griesz-Brisson MD, PhD



DR. MARGARITE GRIESZ-BRIS-
SON MD, PHD (above) is a Consult-
ant Neurologist and Neurophysiologist
with a PhD in Pharmacology, with special
interest in neurotoxicology, environmental
medicine, neuroregeneration and neuroplastic-
ity. This is what she has to say about masks and
their effects on our brains: "The re-breathing

Effects of 'masking' on our brain + Effects of
silence on our lives.:

"The re-breathing of our exhaled air will without
a doubt create oxygen deficiency and a flooding
of carbon dioxide. We know the human brain is
very sensitive to oxygen deprivation. There are
nerve cells for example in the hippocampus, that
can't be longer than 3 minutes without oxygen
– they cannot survive.

The acute warning symptoms are headaches,
drowsiness, dizziness, issues in concentration,
slowing down of the reaction time – reactions of
the cognitive system.

However, when you have chronic oxygen depri-
vation, all of those symptoms disappear, because
you get used to it. But your efficiency will
remain impaired and the under supply of oxygen
in your brain continues to progress. We know
that neuro-degenerative diseases take years to

decades to develop. If today you forget your phone number, the breakdown in your brain would have already started 20 or 30 years ago.

While you're thinking you've gotten used to wearing your mask and re-breathing your own exhaled air, the degenerative processes in your brain are getting amplified as your oxygen deprivation continues.

The second problem is that nerve cells in your brain are unable to divide themselves normally. So in case our governments will generously allow us to get rid of the masks and go back to breathing oxygen freely again in a few months, the lost nerve cells will no longer be regenerated. What is gone is gone.

— — — I do not wear a mask, I need my brain to think. I want to have a clear head when I deal with my patients, and not be in a carbon dioxide induced anaesthesia.



— — — There is no unfounded medical exemption from face masks because oxygen deprivation is dangerous for every single brain. It must be the free decision of every human being whether they want to wear a mask that is absolutely ineffective to protect themselves from a virus.

For children and adolescents, masks are an absolute no-no. Children and adolescents have an extremely active and adaptive immune system and they need a constant interaction with the microbiome of the Earth. Their brain is also incredibly active, as it is has so much to learn. The child's brain, or the youth's brain is thirsting for oxygen. The more metabolically active the organ is, the more oxygen it requires. In children and adolescents every organ is metabolically active.

To deprive a child's or an adolescent's brain from oxygen, or to restrict it in any way, is not only dangerous to their health, it is absolutely

criminal. Oxygen deficiency inhibits the development of the brain, and the damage that has taken place as a result CANNOT be reversed.

The child needs the brain to learn, and the brain needs oxygen to function. We don't need a clinical study for that. This is simple, indisputable physiology. Conscious and purposely induced oxygen deficiency is an absolutely deliberate health hazard, and an absolute medical contraindication.

An absolute medical contraindication in medicine means that this drug, this therapy, this method or measure should not be used – is not allowed to be used. To coerce an entire population to use an absolute medical contraindication by force, there must be definite and serious reasons for this, and the reasons must be presented to competent interdisciplinary and independent bodies to be verified and authorised.

When in ten years, dementia is going to increase exponentially, and the younger generations couldn't reach their god-given potential, it won't help to say "we didn't need the masks".

— — — How can a veterinarian, a software distributor, a business man, an electrical car manufacturer and a physicist decided on matters regarding the health of the entire population? Please dear colleagues, we all have to wake up.

I know how damaging oxygen deprivation is for the brain, cardiologist knows it for the heart, the pulmonologist knows it for the lungs. Oxygen deprivation damages every single organ.

Where are our health departments, our health insurance, our medical associations? It would have been their duty to be vehemently against the lock down and to stop it and stop it from the very beginning.

Why do the medical boards give punishments to doctors who give people exemptions? Does the person or the doctor seriously have to prove that oxygen deprivation harms people? What kind of medicine are our doctors and medical associations representing?

Who is responsible for this crime? The ones who want to enforce it? The ones who let it happen and play along, or the ones who don't prevent it?

— — — It's not about masks, it's not about viruses, it's certainly not about your health. It is about much much more. I am not participating. I am not afraid.

— — — You can notice, they are already taking our air to breathe. The imperative of the hour is personal responsibility. We are responsible for what we think, not the media. We are responsible for what we do, not our superiors. We are responsible for our health, not the World Health Organisation. And we are responsible for what happens in our country, not the government."

Halting Our Descent into Tyranny

Given the categorical science that this virus does not exist, it is clear that the restrictions already imposed, and those that will be imposed, supposedly to tackle this non-existent infection labelled Covid-19, make history's worst despots – as well as those depicted in dystopian novels and films – look benevolent by comparison.

This is because the intended and ongoing consequences of these restrictions, if they are all successfully implemented, will be catastrophic: those human beings still alive will be reduced to digitized identities controlled by forces literally beyond their perception and one (presumably) unintended consequence of this coup will be the extinction of our species explained [here](#).

Tell Family and Friends You Have Good News!

Compliance with COVID19 measures is an individual choice however what the majority choose impacts all, so please reach out to family, friends, neighbours, etc. with good news. Give them a call or ask to visit. Tell them you have good news about COVID19.

Inform them about the lawsuits, and proof there is no deadly [COVID19 to fear](#), and [Here](#)

Obtain the death count where you live, and show it is comparable to a regular flu season when people with preexisting health conditions, especially the elderly, succumb.

In Canada, less than 1/4 of 1% died, comparable to a regular [flu season](#). Explain the number of 'cases' is misinterpreted because the RT-PCR test was not meant to detect infectious diseases, so the numbers are exaggerated. People are being mis-labelled as infected when they are not infected, which is coming to [light now](#). And [Here. Source](#).

The End OS23141



Harold Stough Notes Knowlton Church Built Within A Henge Dorset

KNOWLTON'S **R U I N E D** **N O R M A N** **C H U R C H** ruins are in the parish of Woodlands, Dorset, England which is about 6 miles north of Wimborne Minster, and about 1 mile south of the village of Wimborne St Giles. The church being its most recognizable feature, are a built within a neolithic henge monument.



churches from this period to survive in a ruined state, after abandonment in the late 18th century following a roof collapse, and it is made more unusual, perhaps unique, for its position within the circular embankment from a

Neolithic henge.

The church at Knowlton, close to the east edge of Cranborne Chase, is one of the very few

This part of east Dorset was in ancient times the site of extensive habitation, as shown by many other earthworks including henges, ditches, banks and burial mounds - over 50 have been

identified within a few miles, though most are now only barely visible because of ploughing.



The henge and adjacent earthworks date from around 2500 BC and were presumably deserted for many centuries before the settlement at Knowlton was established, prior to the Norman conquest. The church was built in the 12th century to serve the village - which at this time was situated a few hundred yards northwest, extending nearly half a mile along the banks of the River Allen - and it was modified on two main occasions, by addition of a tower in the 15th century, and of the north aisle at the start of the 18th century. Sometime in the Middle Ages the original settlement was abandoned, perhaps due to the Black Death, and relocated to the southeast; it now consists of little more than one farm, also situated within the banks of a henge.

Church Henge (also known as the Central Circle, is the best preserved of the three henges at Knowlton. It is an oval enclosure surrounded by a ditch and earthwork bank. The enclosure is orientated roughly northeast to southwest and measures 106 metres by 94 metres. The enclosing ditch is 10 metres wide and up to 1 metre deep.

The outer banks are 10 metres in width and stand up to 1.75 metres high. They consist of a series of straight lengths linked by rounded corners. Three gaps are present in the bank, to the southwest, east and northeast. It is unclear which of the gaps are original, although the east entrance is likely to be original, whereas the west

entrance was altered at a later date, possibly after the construction of the church.

Early Christian activity at Knowlton is indicated by a mid-to-late Anglo-Saxon inhumation cemetery which was discovered to the east of Church Henge in 1958. Excavations located sixteen burials within chalk-cut graves, some aligned east-west.

Knowlton is recorded in the Domesday Book of 1086–87 as Chenoltone. Winfrith Newburgh, East or West Lulworth, "Wintreborne" and Knowlton were held by King William; they were previously held by King Edward.

The Domesday Book also records two hides of the land of the Count of Mortain in Knowlton, named as Chenoltune in the book, held by Ansgar, which was held by Æthelmær in the time of King Edward. This land paid geld, was enough for one plough with one slave and one boarder, a mill paying 12s 6d, and was worth 25s.

The End OS23144



**Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Email 200 Years Ago: Europeans Could Send Messages at the Speed of 1,380 Kilometres an Hour From Our USA Correspondent



LOOK AT WHAT OUR PEOPLE WERE CAPABLE OF 200 YEARS AGO! I've known about this for quite some time because this plays a role in military campaigns. It is quite fun to see also how whites and ships worked back then. You'd be amazed how fast messages could move in those days. Our people, especially in Europe are way ahead of everyone else... leaving them in the dust! Take note this happened in the time of Napoleon.

More than 200 years ago it was already possible to send messages throughout Europe and America at the speed of an aeroplane – wireless and without need for electricity.

Email leaves all other communication systems far behind in terms of speed. But the principle of the technology; forwarding coded messages over long distances – is nothing new. It has its origins in the use of plumes of smoke, fire signals and drums, thousands of years before the start of our era. Coded long distance communication also formed the basis of a remarkable but largely forgotten communications network that prepared the arrival of the interest: the optical telegraph.

Every tower had a telegrapher, looking through the telescope at the previous tower in the chain. Throughout history, long distance communication was a matter of patience – lots of patience. Postmen have existed longer than

humans can write, but the physical transport of spoken or written messages was always limited by the speed of the messenger. Humans or horses can maintain a speed of 5 or 6 kilometres an hour for long distances. If they walk 10 hours a day, the transmission of a message from Paris to Antwerp would take about a week.

Postal services

Already in antiquity, post systems were designed that made use of the changing of postmen. In these stations, the message was transferred to another runner or rider, or the horseman could change his horse. These organised systems greatly increased the speed of the postal services. The average speed of a galloping horse is 21 kilometres an hour, which means that the distance in time between Paris and Antwerp could be shortened to a few days. A carrier pigeon was twice as fast, but less reliable. Intercontinental communication was limited to the speed of shipping.



French Communications Network

Centuries of slow long-distance communications came to an end with the arrival of the telegraph. Most history books start this chapter with the

appearance of the electrical telegraph, midway the nineteenth century. However, they skip an important intermediate step. Fifty years earlier (in 1791) the Frenchman Claude Chappe developed the optical telegraph. Thanks to this technology, messages could be transferred very quickly over long distances, without the need for postmen, horses, wires or electricity.

A Chain of Towers



The optical telegraph network consisted of a chain of towers, each placed 5 to 20 kilometres apart from each other. On each of these towers a wooden semaphore and two telescopes were mounted (the telescope was invented in 1600). The semaphore had two signalling arms which each could be placed in seven positions. The wooden post itself could also be turned in 4 positions, so that 196 different positions were possible. Every one of these arrangements corresponded with a code for a letter, a number, a word or (a part of) a sentence.

1,380 Kilometres an Hour

Every tower had a telegrapher, looking through the telescope at the previous tower in the chain. If the semaphore on that tower was put into a certain position, the telegrapher copied that symbol on his own tower. Next he used the telescope to look at the succeeding tower in the chain, to control if the next telegrapher had copied the symbol correctly. In this way, messages were signed through symbol by symbol from tower to tower. The semaphore was operated by two levers. A telegrapher could reach a speed of 1 to 3 symbols per minute.

The technology today may sound a bit absurd, but in those times the optical telegraph was a genuine revolution. In a few decades, continental networks were built both in Europe and the United States. The first line was built between Paris and Lille during the French revolution, close to the frontline. It was 230 kilometres long and consisted of 15 semaphores. The very first message – a military victory over the Austrians – was transmitted in less than half an hour. The transmission of 1 symbol from Paris to Lille could happen in ten minutes,


which comes down to a speed of 1,380 kilometres an hour. Faster than a modern passenger plane – this was invented only one and a half centuries later.

From Amsterdam to Venice

The technology expanded very fast. In less than 50 years time the French built a national infrastructure with more than 530 towers and a total length of almost 5,000 kilometres. Paris was connected to Strasbourg, Amsterdam, Toulon, Perpignan, Lyon, Turin, Milan and Venice. At the beginning of the 19th century, it was possible to wirelessly transmit a short message from Amsterdam to Venice in one hour's time. A few years before, a messenger on a horse would have



needed at least a month's time to do the same. The system was copied on a large scale in other countries. Sweden developed a country-wide network, followed by parts of England and North America. A bit later also Spain, Germany and Russia constructed a large optical telegraph infrastructure. Most of these countries devised their own variations on the optical telegraph, using shutters instead of arms for example. Sweden developed a system that was twice as fast, Spain built a telegraph that was windproof. Later the optical telegraph was also put into action in shipping and rail traffic.



A real European network never really existed. The connection between Amsterdam and Venice existed for only a short period. When Napoleon was chased out of the Netherlands, his telegraph network was dismantled. The Spanish, on the other hand, started too late. Their nationwide network was only finished when the technology started to fall into disuse in other countries.

The optical telegraph network was solely used for military and national communications, individuals did not have access to it – although it was used for transmitting winning lottery numbers and stock market data.

The optical telegraph disappeared as fast as it came. This happened with the arrival of the electrical telegraph, fifty years later. The last optical line in France was stopped in 1853, in Sweden the technology was used up to 1880. The electrical telegraph was not hindered by mist, wind, heavy rainfall or low hanging clouds, and it could also be used at night.

Moreover, the electrical telegraph was cheaper than the mechanical variant. Another advantage was that it was much harder to intercept a

message – whoever knew the code of the optical telegraph, could decipher the message. The electrical telegraph also made intercontinental communication possible, which was impossible with the optical telegraph (unless you made a large detour via Asia).

The electrical telegraph was the main means of communication for transmitting text messages over long distances for more than 100 years. At first, electrical wires were used; later on radio waves were used to communicate. The first line was built in 1844, the first transatlantic connection was put into use in 1865. The telegraph made use of Morse code, where dots and dashes symbolize letters and numbers.

Not the telephone, nor the railroads, nor radio or television made the telegraph obsolete. The technology only died with the arrival of the fax and the computer networks in the second half of the 20th century. Also in rail-traffic and shipping optical telegraphy was replaced by electronic variants, but in shipping the technology is still used in emergency situations (by means of flags or lamps).

Keyboard

The electrical telegraph is the immediate predecessor of e-mail and Internet. Since the thirties, it was even possible to transmit images. A variant equipped with a keyboard was also developed, so that the technology could be used by people without any knowledge of Morse code. The optical as well as the electrical telegraph are both in essence the same technology as the Internet and e-mail.

All these means of communication make use of code language and intermediate stations to transmit information across large distances; the optical telegraph uses visual signs, the electrical telegraph dots and dashes, the Internet ones and zeroes. Plumes of smoke and fire signals are also telegraphic systems – in combination with a telescope they would be as efficient as an optical telegraph.

Low-tech Internet

Of course, e-mail is much more efficient than the optical telegraph. But that does not alter the fact that the low-tech predecessor of electronic mail more or less obtained the same result

without wires or energy, while the Internet consists of a cluster of cables and is devouring our energy resources at an ever faster pace.

Source

The End OS23146

Letters and Views



Use of the Armed Forces to police Covid

Sir __. Enclosed is a copy of a letter I sent to the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police, on September 23, 2020:-

You will be aware that the Prime Minister Boris Johnson intends to use the Military to supplement the police in policing the Covid regulations. The first point I would make is that this is a civil matter.

The police are here to uphold the criminal law, not civil law as you well know, as your officers regularly tell Her Majesty's subjects this is a civil matter not a criminal matter, so see a solicitor. This is an improper use of the police who are already under pressure dealing with real crime a large and increasing amount of which is violent crime.

It is under English law, illegal to use the Armed Forces to police Her Majesty's subjects. When they are used to combat natural disasters that is a proper use of the Armed Forces to assist the civil authority during severe flooding or other disasters of a similar kind. However since the formation of a paid police force in 1829, the enforcement of law became the sole province of the police. Following the Bristol riots of 1831-2 *III. State Trials*, these trials confirmed the established law in the case of riot, felonious or tumultuous assembly and it confirmed the

conditions under which soldiers can be used to support the civil authority - and the fact that when the Military are used to restore the rule of law, they do so not as soldiers but as ordinary subjects of the Crown with a clear duty to assist the Crown to restore the rule of law.

You will see from the attached paper on the Bristol riots that the armed forces can be used only in the event of a complete breakdown of the rule of law as occurs for example, in a riot. I would draw to your attention that during the Brixton, St Paul's, Tottenham and the poll tax riots the civil authority did not consider it necessary to enlist the aid of the military. Our normal civilian police were able to cope and restore the rule of law.

Breaches of the covid regulations are a civil matter not a matter for the police, even allowing that the Government's figures are correct and there is a considerable amount of conflicting evidence around the world from highly competent medical professionals which strongly disputes government figures and the action required.

Breaches of the covid regulations do not amount to a violent breakdown of law; the civilian.
Yours truly, Albert Burgess.

Grays Court York




Sir ____, Grays Court, York, England, is the oldest inhabited building in York. The house is

within the city walls near York Minster. Dating back in part to 1080 and commissioned by the first Norman Archbishop of York to provide the official residence for the Treasurers of York Minster.



Grays Court has been privately owned since 2005, and is now a hotel. Your's truly, AA. NZ.



Complaint of BBC Committing Criminal Offence



The house was surrendered to the Crown on 26 May 1547 and William Cliffe, the last of the medieval Treasurers, was made dean of Chester. The first post-Reformation owner was Edward Seymour, Duke of Somerset. He was given the house in 1547 by Edward VI, the son of Henry VIII.

The Sterne Room was built in mid 18th century for Jaques Sterne, Precentor and Canon Residentiary of the Minster and uncle of Laurence Sterne. The marble plaque on the fireplace is of Augusta, wife of Frederick, Prince of Wales, and mother of George III.

The house became "Gray's Court" when William and Faith Gray moved into the house in Minster Yard in 1788. Life at the house was recorded by Faith Gray who was a keen diarist but she also worked, with Catharine Cappe et al, to establish a school for poor girls. She helped transform the Grey (now Blue) Coat School and she started a Friendly Society. Faith died at Gray's Court on Boxing Day in 1826.

The 300m stretch of the city walls which bounds Grays Court was donated to the City in 1878 by Edwin Gray the Lord Mayor of York. His wife, Almyra Gray wrote a history of her family in this building Papers and diaries of a York family 1764-1839. The book includes details of the family. Almyra died here in 1939.

Sir ____, I have made the following complaint to the BBC.

Please consider circulating the copied email below and asking others to take similar action.

My lone voice seems to be crying in the wilderness. The CEO's address is [HERE](#)

If you sign it as I have done it is as good as recorded delivery

To the Director General of the BBC

Notice to principal is notice to agent. Notice to agent is notice to principal.

NOTICE

I am john timbrell. I have, in this notice, copied for your information and attention a computerised receipt for a complaint that I sent in to the BBC. To remain in honour I serve this notice on yourself so that you are aware personally that you and your employees are committing criminal offences known colloquially as the aiding and abetting the commission of a crime. My complaint is detailed

in the acknowledgement of my complaint copied here.

Complaint of BBC Committing Criminal Offence.

The BBC is promoting government guidelines as if they are law. This means that their employees are personally committing criminal offences when they broadcast BBC policy which is to support government guidelines by stating that offending government guidelines are criminal offences. The Supreme court ruled on 12th February 2020 that requiring someone to stay in their own home was (and is) a form of imprisonment which is against UK law and EU Human rights law.


The case analysed the relationship between a man's common law right to conduct his affairs unhindered by the state and the concept of imprisonment at common law to be aligned with Convention rights concept of deprivation of liberty— Human Rights Act 1998 (c 42), s 1, Sch 1, Pt I, part 5.

It was held that any instruction restricting a man's freedom by requiring him to remain in his home during fixed times amounted to false imprisonment.

Supreme Court Regina (Jalloh (formerly Jollah)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department - [See Here](#).

Signed and served electronically according to the Civil Procedure Rules. john timbrell.

Matt Hancock
(Secretary of State for Health UK)



Sir____, The worst excesses of a police state are now with us. The vicious attack on the elderly lady with a stick is outrageous.

I have just written to my MP with the following and demanding an explanation:

Dear MP., As you will know government in the UK is 'by consent' which includes all other institutions, legislation via parliament and that of the forces of law and order.

In recent weeks it is clear that from police action against legitimate and peaceful assemblies and with particular reference to the 30,000 attendance at Trafalgar Square yesterday, that the role of police has changed from protectors of the public to a politicised paramilitary force which has no reservations about attacking British people with violence. Such disgraceful attacks which took place yesterday are fully documented with full recordings on UTube, Twitter and Facebook in the public domain.

One particularly violent officer was clearly seen attacking an elderly lady with a walking stick who was herself entirely passive and in the midst of a crowd. He was seen to punch her in the stomach and she fell to the ground in obvious pain. This is outrageous and the officer concerned must be traced and charged with common assault.

Furthermore a police presence was only required to prevent any breach of the peace. But the whole demonstration was entirely peaceful until police thugs began to wield batons with extreme violence with consequent injury to innocent members of the public. Equally serious, in what was clearly a politicised move, the Metropolitan Police intervened to prevent German medical doctor Dr. Heiko Schoning from his legitimate right to speak.

It is clear that the Met. Police are now completely out of control and unaccountable to the British public. Policing 'by consent' has come to an end. And their actions also contravene the legitimate and historic rights of freedom of speech and freedom of assembly under English Common Law. It is also a commonly accepted axiom of British law that government and all its agents are always (to be) accountable to the British public who vote them into office and who pay their salaries.

In this context therefore, it must now be the clear and urgent responsibility of parliament and MPs

to justify this change of course in policing on two specific counts:

1. Why was Dr. Schoning prevented from his right of freedom of speech at the rally?
And,
2. Why did the police use violence to break up the legitimate and peaceful rally on Saturday?

The Met Police issued a statement subsequently by which it is assumed they sought to justify their actions: " Crowds in Trafalgar Square have not complied with the conditions of their risk assessment and are putting people in danger of transmitting the virus. This has voided their risk assessment and we have informed the event organisers they are no longer exempt from the regulations."

But as many have observed, this is plainly absurd as the crowds in Trafalgar Square on two occasions gathered for several hours (as also the recent Black Lives Matter protest) without any action by the Met Police to implement a policy of prevention in co-operation with the organisers on each occasion or with any public (You might consider also writing to your MP in similar fashion, please? information as to a supposed "risk assessment" which could have prevented such meetings taking place at all).

Finally, I do not wish this enquiry to be forwarded to the Home Office with a snail mail reply. Please respond by e-mail to me with an explanation of the two specific questions I raise above. With thanks Yours sincerely **Mr G W, UK.**



Sir___, Did YOU know there are over 260 castles in Aberdeenshire, Scotland, alone?!
Yours truly Andrew. New Zealand.

The Lithuanians Have Figured it Out!



In case you missed it, check out the right side of the image, with the 'usual suspect' being shown in silhouette. **Yours truly, Mikael Lion of the North, Sweden.**



Copy of Letter to Cressida Dick Tactical gloves

Cressida, On the 6th April 2019 I wrote to you concerning the use by officers, of tactical gloves. Copy attached. The reply I received was that tactical gloves were not issued.

On the 19th September 2020 a peaceful demonstration took place in Trafalgar Square to protest the draconian lock-down by Government over Covid 19. The meeting started off with a prayer where they included the police in their prayer.

The meeting had approximately 30,000 people. It was well behaved with everyone listening to the speakers until officers from the TSG entered the square. A 77 year old woman stood on a chair holding her camera high to film the event, had her chair dragged out from under her by a TSG officer and luckily she landed on her feet. But was then promptly knocked to the ground. Standing on a chair with her back to the TSG she presented no threat whatsoever to your officers

or anyone else. This was a disgraceful piece of hooliganism by your officers which is totally unacceptable on the streets of England. I suspect there is not a snowballs chance in Hell that you will look for and find this officer - who is not fit to wear the uniform. Nevertheless I am asking that you find him and remove him from the Police Service, never to return.

I am attaching a picture of an officer clearly identifiable from his shoulder number. You will see he is wearing tactical gloves, gloves you assured me were not issued to your officers.

These gloves are a 'made offensive weapon' being capable of inflicting injury similar to being hit by knuckle dusters. If you look at his stance and his face you will find it reminiscent of a drunken paddy in a bar fight. I would remind you until the arrival of TSG officers, this was an entirely peaceful demonstration.

Once again I doubt there is a snowballs chance in Hell that you will look for and deal with this clearly illegally equipped and out of control officer. But I nevertheless am asking he be found and removed from the Police Service as he is clearly, as was the officer who threw the old lady to the ground, a failure of the police vetting system.

The senior officer who ordered the TSG into what had until then been a peaceful demonstration of mainly white British was, I believe, a Black Chief Superintendent. I ask why he gave that order when the demonstration was by Her Majesty's mainly white subjects? No such orders were given by him for the BLM disorder and criminal damage.

It is becoming increasingly clear that the Force you control has forgotten its roll to police without favour and fear of being called names. This shows in the fact that minority groups are seemingly allowed a free hand to do as they like whereas the majority indigenous white population are apparently seen as the enemy of society. I suspect, if you check the crime stats, you will find the majority of crime is committed by minority groups. Certainly this is true of violent and lethal crime, drug dealing and pimping for prostitution. Can I make so bold as to suggest that instead of using the TSG to beat the Hell out of the peace-loving indigenous population, they should be used to deal with

minority ethnic crime so that London can once again become the pleasant and peaceful place to live in that it once was - where the chance of being hit by a stray bullet was non-existent.
Respectfully submitted, Albert Burgess.

Kilchurn Castle - More on Our Hebrew Heritage



Kilchurn Castle was built in the mid-1400's by Sir Colin Campbell, 1st Lord of Glenorchy.

The castle is closed but you can still visit the exterior of the site.

In 1432, Colin, second son of Duncan Campbell (later 1st Lord Campbell), was granted Glenorchy, at the north end of Loch Awe. This grant was designed to ensure Colin's loyalty following the sudden death of his elder brother, Gillespie.

Colin's descendants, the Campbells of Glenorchy (later earls of Breadalbane), were the most powerful of the numerous cadets of Clan Campbell. Indeed, there were times when this branch almost rivaled the clan chiefs, the earls of Argyll, for supremacy.

Kilchurn remained their power base for 150 years. It was not abandoned until the 1700's.

The charter of 1449

Sir Colin Campbell built the castle on 'Elankylquhurne' (island of Kilchurn) before his

death in 1475. A charter dated March 1449 ‘apud Castrum de Glenurquhay’ (at the Castle of Glenurquhay) confirms its existence by that date.

John Campbell of Glenorchy, 1st Earl of Breadalbane, retired to his ancestral seat. He set about converting it into a garrison stronghold, a project which took the best part of a decade.



DUNCAN CAMPBELL
First Lord Campbell

The old tower house was converted into accommodation for the officers commanding a garrison of 200 men. For that garrison, a purpose-built barracks was erected along the north side of the courtyard. The barrack block still stands relatively complete, and is the oldest surviving barracks on the British mainland.

Little use was made of the garrison stronghold, other than as an outpost for government soldiers during the Jacobite rebellions of 1715 and 1745.

Highlights

The castle’s dramatic situation – at the head of Loch Awe, with the peak of Ben Cruachan visible; one of the most photographed castles in Scotland.

The inscription over the front door – acknowledging John Earl of Breadalbane (IEB) and his wife, Countess Mary Campbell (CMC) with the date 1693.

The view from the top – stand on the tower house’s battlements and gaze out over Loch Awe, the original power base of Clan Campbell.
Yours truly, AA, New Zealand.

The castle comprised a five-storey tower-house at one corner of an irregular-shaped courtyard. The tower house still stands substantially complete, overshadowing the rest of the complex. On the ground level of the tower were a cellar and prison. There was a hall on the first floor and private chambers above.

Colin’s son, Duncan, the 2nd Lord, added the laich (lower) hall in the courtyard before his death at the Battle of Flodden in 1513. The 3rd and 4th Lords both died at Kilchurn, in 1523 and 1536 respectively.

Colin, the 6th Lord, added the four fine angle-turrets on the top of the tower house. However, he was also responsible for relocating the Campbells of Glenorchy to their new home – Balloch (now Taymouth), in Perthshire – before his death in 1583. Thereafter Kilchurn played second fiddle to the family’s Perthshire residences.

Garrison Stronghold


During the troubled times of the late 1600’s, following the overthrow of the Stuart dynasty in 1689, Kilchurn found a new role. In that year Sir

Al Kumar’s Father Says The Virus is a Fake



Stanley Osman Shopping

Stanley Osman (changed name to Johnson) father of Al Kumar (Boris) wrote a book about virus’ and vaccines called The Virus, saying the plot will be activated when Al Kumar gets to be Prime Minister.



Interestingly the plot is revealed with the virus a fraud, in the book. And in real life. He doesn't wear a mask in grocery stores....

Let's give the Prole Goy an opportunity to review the Game plan before AI (Boris) Kumar becomes PM! Stanley Johnson's

warning to Britain.

"Let's keep everything within 'THE FAMILY', in keeping with unwritten 'Universal Law'. Let's give the Prole Goy an opportunity to review the Game plan before AI (Boris) Kumar becomes

PM! Assuming there's no push back, we'll have free rein to implement the agenda!" - **Stanley Johnson**. Posted by **Tapestry**.

And If You Don't Behave, the V Gee Transmitters in Our Street Lights Can Cook Us in Our Beds.

According to Mark, one milliwatt of microwave radiation which V gee uses, can cook you in six minutes. The street light transmitters can produce 4,000 times that. That over capacity is not a mistake folks. The street lamps are weapons for use against us. ([Click Here to See](#)) Posted by Ian.

The End

Fall of Rome and The Slave Trade

Tom Holland



Here, in the pile of rubble left where such a haughty villa once stood, was dramatic illustration of how profoundly Italy had slumped from her one-time greatness into impotence and poverty.

This was ninth-century Italy, a few centuries removed from the greatness of Empire. But it wasn't just impotence and poverty – if only it was merely these. Across vast swaths of Italian countryside, nothing of value remained – the bones picked almost clean, as Holland puts it. But Pope John VIII put it more directly at the time:

“Behold, the towns, castles, and estates perish – stripped of inhabitants.”

The Saracen slave trade was in full swing, operating on a “near-industrial scale.” Great flotillas of ships, tens-of-thousands of captives

loaded for transports to the markets of Africa. The word Saracen had become synonymous with Muslim during these centuries, more specifically describing Muslim Arabs.

Something about Pope John VIII: much of his papacy was devoted to halting and reversing Muslim gains in southern Italy. Unable to gain assistance from the Franks or Byzantines, he strengthened the defences of Rome.

He was also unable to generate meaningful support from Christians in southern Italy, these Christians having formed alliances with the Muslim invaders and slave traders. Things didn't end well for John:

John VIII was assassinated in 882 by his own clerics; he was first poisoned, and then clubbed to death. The motives may have been his exhaustion of the papal treasury, his lack of support among the Carolingians, his gestures towards the Byzantines, and his failure to stop the Saracen raids.

Returning to Holland: this slave trading was a real business, the division of labour being quite well developed; savagery, yes, but also a system: Some would guard the ships, others prepare the irons, others bringing in the captives. Some even

specialised in the rounding up of children. The natives too – those with the determination to profit from the slavers rather than to end up as their victims – had their roles to play.

Italian Christians were hunting down their fellow Christians. The city of Amalfi was particularly noted for its role in such actions, exchanging slaves for gold dinars.

Naples is also noted. Through this trade, these regions slowly pulled themselves out of the general poverty of the broader region – only at the cost of their souls.

Already in the ninth century, the markets of Naples had grown so bustling that visitors commented on how they appeared almost African in their prosperity.

Amalfi, perched on a cliff, would turn the city into a hub of international trade; merchants from this city could be found throughout the Mediterranean, flush with Saracen gold. As the trade developed, the slavers would eventually receive official backing from the rulers of Sicily. Some Christian leaders would come to believe that these depredations were driven by something more sinister than greed (and I have noted a similar possibility in our time):

Christendom, it appeared to them, was being systematically drained of her lifeblood: her reservoir of human souls. And as their numbers diminished, the numbers of the enemy would increase. Erchempert, a Benedictine monk of the Abbey of Monte Cassino in Italy, would comment:

“For it is the fate of prisoners of our own race, both male and female, to end up adding to the resources of the lands beyond the sea.”

Profit was certainly the immediate motive; but with official sanction from the rulers, the entire endeavour took on the air of religiosity. The Christian captives were being spiritually disciplined, a jihad – the eternal struggle to spread the faith.

The backwardness of the Christians in southern Italy only proved the idea that God had abandoned these “infidels.” It was the natural order of things that God would send the Muslims to correct the situation.

Many Christian slaves would convert – bringing the prospect of freedom and some measure of dignity; of course, many would not. In addition, free Christians under Muslim rule were forced to pay a tax, a dhimmi. And here lies a real paradox: it was the Muslim states with the largest number of Christians that could most readily afford jihad.

By this time, Muslims would rule over North Africa, southern Europe in both Italy and Spain, and to the borders of Constantinople – all regions that were recently Christian. With the Muslims to the south and southeast, Vikings to the north and west, and Hungarians to the East, this time, perhaps, was the darkest time for Christendom since the earliest Apostolic age.

Reprinted with permission from [*Bionic Mosquito*](#).

The End OS23139


Medical Ignorance and the Mass Murder of Corona Virus Patients

Commentary by W. Gifford-Jones, MD



IN TREATING THE SICK, HIPPOCRATES, the Father of Medicine counselled, "First, do no harm." Unfortunately, this cherished principle has not been followed in caring for patients with corona virus infection. Losing a loved one due to cancer and other diseases is always tragic. But losing one due to the corona virus pandemic when it could be prevented is an unforgivable act resulting in the mass murder of innocent lives. It has

happened due to ignorance about history, hypocrisy, a lack of training of doctors about alternative medicine, and closed minds about the life-saving medical benefits of high doses of intravenous vitamin c.



I would not have the knowledge to write this article if one event in my life had not happened. At 74 years of age I nearly died of a serious heart attack. Doctors said I'd be dead in a few years without the help of cholesterol-lowering drugs. Luckily, several years earlier I had interviewed Dr. Linus Pauling, two-time winner of the Nobel Prize.

He advised me of the important role of vitamin C in decreasing the risk of coronary disease. This is when I made one of the most vital decisions of my life. I decided to take 10,000 milligrams (mg) of vitamin C daily, rather than believe Big Pharma. But I also worried because Pauling, although a brilliant chemist, was not a doctor. Was he right about vitamin C? It was only years later when Dr. Sidney Bush, a English researcher proved that vitamin C could reverse atherosclerosis (hardening of arteries) that I knew I had made the right decision.

Now, 22 years later, the doctors who told me I'd be dead in a few years without cholesterol-lowering drugs are dead, and I'm in my 97th year, still alive. It's this experience with the cardiovascular effects of vitamin C that's triggered my interest in the anti-infective and other medical benefits of vitamin C, especially how it can decrease deaths from viral and bacterial diseases.

Klenner went on to show that large doses of vitamin C could also cure other viral diseases such as meningitis, hepatitis, measles, mumps, pneumonia, shingles and even the poisonous bite of a rattlesnake. [2-4] Since that time other researchers have reported that there is no viral disease that high-dose IVC cannot successfully treat.

But Klenner did not win a popularity contest with his colleagues. He wrote in frustration that

"Some physicians would stand by and see their patient die rather than use ascorbic acid because in their finite minds it exists only as a vitamin." Since that time closed medical minds have resulted in thousands of deaths from corona virus and other diseases in Canada and worldwide. Because of a misconception that vitamin C is just another vitamin. But there is proof that vitamin C is a potent anti-infective nutrient that attacks both viral and bacterial diseases.

Infection triggers a severe inflammatory cellular reaction in the body which results in a decrease in vitamin C. It's like being caught in a snow storm on a lonely road and running out of gas. But in this case white blood cells need C to fight the infection. And if you have not been taking C on a regular basis, your white blood cells without C are like a gun without bullets.

Many people do not realize that nearly all animals make their own vitamin C. Humans lost this ability eons ago due to a genetic mutation. For instance, dogs produce 5,000 milligrams (mgs) daily. Health Canada maintains humans need only 90 mgs. But if a dog gets an infection, it will automatically produce up to 20,000 mgs daily!

During the corona virus pandemic I've listened to Medical Officers of Health, TV anchors, politicians and medical experts all discuss the importance of distancing and frequent hand washing. But I have only heard one discuss the advantages of vitamin C and D in building up the body's immunity. [5] And I have not heard any explain how the use of high doses of IVC could save lives.



Dr. Lendon H. Smith outlined the clinical experiences of Frederick Klenner in "Clinical Guide to the Use of Vitamin C". It contains a wealth of information on how vitamin C treats many diverse diseases. And how prescribing insufficient amounts of vitamin C can lead to failure in

therapy. This medical information is available for all to read. [6]

I've have written before that if a family member died due to corona virus infection and doctors and hospital refused to use IVC, I would contest this situation in a court of law. I believe I would win because historical evidence is so overwhelming that large doses of C save lives.



The hypocrisy surrounding vitamin C is mind boggling. Dr. Linus Pauling (above) complained, "The medical community requires rigorous evidence supporting vitamin C, but accepts flimsy evidence against it." Little has changed since I interviewed Pauling. This deficit is evidently caused by the minds of medical professionals refusing to accept scientific fact. And we will never know the number of needless deaths this has caused during the pandemic.

A year ago, as a journalist, I was invited to be a member of the Orthomolecular Medical News Service. It's international editorial board is composed of distinguished physicians, professors, and researchers. Several months ago I asked all members how they would treat several viral infections. The overwhelming response was "high dose intravenous vitamin C." OMNS has published twenty-two physician case reports of success with IVC. [7]

Since February, researchers in China have been conducting double-blind studies on IVC. This means one group will receive IVC and a control group will get a placebo. Some studies have already been completed, and the results show that IVC saves more lives than placebo. [8]

"Dr. Enqiang Mao, chief of emergency medicine at Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai, stated that his group treated ~50 moderate to severe cases of COVID-19 infection with high dose intravenous vitamin C.

"The IVC dosing was for 7-10 days, with 10,000 mg for moderate cases and 20,000 mg for more severe cases.

"All patients who received intravenous vitamin C improved, and there was no mortality."

"There were no side effects reported from any of the cases treated with high dose IVC."

(Richard Cheng, MD, PhD, reporting from Shanghai) [\[HERE\]](#)

But even in one of these recent laudable studies, there was a flaw. According to the report, all patients received a certain dose for moderate infection while others a higher dose for a more severe one. But patients who died had not received the higher dose. [9]

This reflects everything that Dr. Linus Pauling and Dr. Frederick Klenner stressed. As Pauling told his critics, "It's the dosage!" Or, as Klenner claimed, "Some infections require a much larger dose." As we all know, half an aspirin will not cure a migraine headache.

But there is more disturbing news. Chinese researchers are experiencing trouble getting their findings published. Contacts also tell me that doctors who use IVC in North America are being harassed by authorities. In some case, being told that if they persist they will lose their license to practice medicine.

To get an update on what was happening in some parts of Canada and the U.S I contacted several infectious disease specialists, Medical Officers of Health (MOH), and university hospitals, asking a simple question. "Do you prescribe intravenous vitamin C or know of anyone who does to treat corona virus infection? And if terminal patients are not receiving IVC, why is this the case"?

It proved to be a time-consuming assignment. Many replied they would get back to me but

failed to do so. I could only conclude they were not using IVC and did not want anyone to know about it.

Or they responded, "We have checked with our infectious disease specialist and confirm that high dose C is not being used to treat corona virus infection."

What was shocking is that not a single Medical Officer of Health replied that IVC was being prescribed to those dying from corona virus infection.



Another surprise was the reaction of Johns Hopkins one of the great hospitals of the world. Its distinguished professors were the first to introduce the value of bedside teaching for students. During this pandemic they were considered the authority in reporting the number of corona virus deaths. So, I was shocked to receive this response,

"We are not conducting clinical trials or administering C as a treatment for COVID 19."

And even Harvard Medical School where I spent so many years as a student and later training as a surgeon, never replied to me.

What is going to happen? It's that the Chinese study will likely fail to end the controversy and patients will continue to die needlessly of this virus. I was hoping that one infectious expert, or Medical Officer of Health, would possess the intellectual curiosity to ask, "I wonder if high dose IVC could save lives?"

It's tragic this has not occurred. Some would label this as medical ignorance, others as malpractice, or if a loved one has died as murder, and finally a court of law looking all the facts decide it's been mass murder.

So, we have a unique situation. It's been said that war is far too important to be left to generals. Due to the economic chaos caused by corona virus this disaster may be much too important to be left to medical experts when so many North Americans have suffered.

It's time for the government to demand that our medical schools conduct a study of IVC. There is no shortage of patients. We have the scientific talent in our universities. Vitamin C is inexpensive and will virtually never cause complications. Vitamin C has never killed anyone. Besides, this study could be done in a short time and not require thousands of patients.

Who will grasp the moment and save countless lives?

(Syndicated columnist W. Gifford-Jones, MD, (also known as Kenneth Walker, MD) graduated from Harvard Medical School in 1950. He did surgical residencies at McGill University, the University of Rochester, and Harvard Medical School. Still an activist, his website is [HERE](#).

To learn more: Several dozen articles related to vitamin therapy for COVID are posted for free access [HERE](#).


Many are available in French, Spanish, German, Arabic, Italian, Korean, Chinese, and Norwegian. Japanese translations may be found [HERE](#).

This article has been reprinted from the Orthomolecular Medicine News Service.

Click [HERE](#) for OMNS free subscription link.

The OMNS archive link can be accessed [HERE](#).

The End



Affidavit Template And How to Use It

Use The Affidavit To Slay The Dragon With Truth!



Slaying The Dragon With Truth!

Author's Foreword

I AM A GREAT SUPPORTER OF THE CHRISTIAN RELIGION FOR TWO REASONS. One is that I like the moral code as expressed in the teachings of Jesus. It does not wish to cause harm to believers of other religions as the Jewish and Moslem religion does. The second reason, I hold very dear. Our law is based on the Christian religion and a large proportion of the maxims in law quote the bible as a justification, if not the originator, of the proof of legal maxims.

You will see later how I use and quote these bible references in a pro-forma of an affidavit that I have tried to get others to use against the state if they target individuals unlawfully. I cite the lock-down regulations as laws that are against our common law and Bill of Rights Act 1689. The Bill of Rights Act effectively collated in one document our common laws which because they developed over time were recorded in many documents.

I, and A. N. Other, have successfully used the common law and legal maxims to push back the evil done to us personally by the courts. Whilst so far I have not found a way to remove convictions logged against our names the courts do not try to enforce their monetary penalties. I have tried to get others to use the unlawful lock-down so called laws To that end the editor of New Ensign has kindly offered to circulate my proposals to those I judge as informed readers to challenge the state if they try to

enforce their laws. To that end I have circulated what I now send to you. I have had very little favourable response to my suggestion elsewhere and see that the gradual enslavement of the English people gets worse, or more efficient if looked at from the state's perspective.

However, I do assume that because you are reader of New Ensign that you may be more receptive to my suggestion and that if you are aware of the procedure you can advise anyone you know of who is being targeted by the state.


Please dear reader; do not look upon this as some academic document which is of sole interest to such as you. The mind programmed general public look upon the state as a protector of their best interests, whereas you know, that their real motives are to exert control of the population. Those who are targeted by the state for breaking the lock-down laws probably feel aggrieved. They might be more receptive to a tool that they can use to obtain justice for themselves. That tool is the affidavit described below.

Affidavit Procedure

Those wishing to download and use a copy of the affidavit pro-forma (page 5) in the event of being given a fixed penalty, summonsed or charged with offending the lock-down regulations, should peruse the following information.

You should be aware that a sworn affidavit, if served on those who seek to make a charge against you, becomes unchallengeable law if those that seek to charge you do not rebut your affidavit within a certain set time limit. It is the opinion of the writers of the pro-forma that the law stated is unchallengeable and that any charge against you is likely be dropped without the necessity for you to attend a court. (see below the instructions to be followed if they still decide to take the matter to a court)

After you have written or printed out the affidavit of sufficient number to serve on the Police, The CPS and the Courts, take them to a commissioner of oaths, (usually a solicitor). He



will get you to swear on the bible, or swear and affirm, that it is true. The standard charge is £5. Some charge a lot more. That is the time to sign it in front of him.

Serve one original signed and sworn affidavit on the police in the case of a fixed penalty or the Clerk to the court if you are sent a summons, by recorded delivery. You will get a tracking number on your till receipt. Check on the Internet when and what time it was delivered and who signed for it. Record those facts for future use.

You should also serve copies on the police, the court. and Crown Prosecution service should they respond to your sworn affidavit. These can be by email. On the email add these words before your signature, "signed and served electronically according to the civil procedure rules." Sign your name all in lower case letters without using any capital letters. i.e. john doe.

The next step depends on any response that you have. My experience is that, if, at the earliest opportunity on receipt of the fixed penalty or summons you present to those that try to prosecute you, that if you serve on them by a sworn affidavit containing the evidence that there is no offence. This is why you should reply promptly to a summons or fixed penalty because it gives the court or the police time to seek legal advice as to how they should respond. You may not here anything further as they do not like admitting defeat.



If they persist in taking it to court, send a lawful/legal notice by email, attaching a copy of your affidavit stating where and when the original was sent and point out the following three points.

1. This is my affidavit of truth, sworn and witnessed in the presence of an authorised public official as required by law.
2. I will remove anything that the Police, or Crown Prosecution Service can prove is wrong by an equal sworn affidavit.
3. If the Police and/or said Crown Prosecution Service does not make a full rebuttal of all of my claims, by the use of a counter-affidavit point-for-point under penalty of perjury, then this my affidavit, my truth, becomes law.

If you do not get a response. (just ignore any demand for payment of a fixed penalty. If you ignore them, they will have to place the matter before a court to enforce payment which they are unlikely to do), take the affidavit to the court on the date that you are summonsed and when you are asked to plead: state, "this is my truth", and hold your affidavit aloft. Do not argue or engage in conversation with the clerk or judges. This is a trap to try and get you to contract with them.

Your "This is my truth statement", may be rejected twice, but just repeat it after each challenge or attempt to engage you in conversation. For some reason, three times is important. Perhaps the fact that ST. Peter denied three times his relationship with Jesus has relevance here. The third time they should ask for the affidavit and if things go according to plan the case will be dropped. Should they try and continue with the case against you, record in writing what is said, and wait for any written response that you may receive from the court.

Should you receive a summons to attend court it would be advisable (unless you are fully confident) to have sought advice on how to conduct yourself in their court. Just one example:



If asked for your name, most people would respond by giving it. It is hugely important that if you do decide to give your name that you know the difference between simply stating your name as normal or stating it as, " i am the living man, master john of the family doe".

If they give you notice of a fine please seek advice from such as the [writers of this piece](#). If you make a direct copy of the pro-forma and therefore need to delete certain words then initial by hand any deletion.



AFFIDAVIT TEMPLATE

AFFIDAVIT

NAME i jane doe Deponent.

OF ADDRESS,

123 ABC Lane
Somewhere Over-a-Rainbow
Exshire.

Set out in numbered paragraphs, the facts deposed to, AND.

Make oath and say as follows:-

Describe event that the police/state authorities tried to engage you into a contract accepting their unlawful laws.

Example:- 1 At time and date I was driving my car reg. No. abc 123 in location when I was stopped by the police who accused me of violating - quote regulation. They did not accept that I was using my inalienable right to travel as guaranteed by our constitution and common law.

2 The police issued me with a fixed penalty notice or I have now received a summons to attend at location magistrates/crown court on date to answer this charge. Quote charge. (delete as appropriate)

3 I have a complete answer to the charge and submit this sworn affidavit as my truth and support my truth by the lawful and legal maxims and stated cases numbered below.

4 These Maxims of the Common Law which have the force of Acts of Parliament and are acknowledged in the Coronation Oath Act 1688 apply:

4a) “An un-rebutted affidavit stands as the truth in Commerce”

4b) “He who leaves the fields of battle first loses by default”.

4c) “A lien or claim can be satisfied only through rebuttal by Counter-affidavit point-for-point, resolution by jury, or payment”.

4d) All parties in a case must be of equal status. Stated formally as, “equity delights in equality” (**["The maxims of equity" \(PDF\)](#)**.)

Stated Cases

5 My right at common law to go unhindered by the state or other authority was confirmed by the UK supreme court as recently as 12th February 2020. The case analysed the relationship between a man’s common law right to conduct his affairs unhindered by the state and the concept of imprisonment at common law to be aligned with Convention rights concept of deprivation of liberty—Human Rights Act 1998 (c 42), s 1, Sch 1, Pt 1, part 5. It was held that any instruction restricting a man’s freedom by requiring him to remain in his home during fixed times amounted to false imprisonment.

Supreme Court Regina (Jalloh (formerly Jollah)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department [2020] UKSC 4B 2019 Nov 12; 2020 Feb 12.

The act, regulation, (delete as appropriate) of which I am charged by way of charge. summons fixed penalty (delete as appropriate) is therefore ultra vires.

6 Statute law if contrary to common law is null and void. The charge that the Exshire Police. Crown Prosecution Service.(delete as appropriate) are attempting to get me to acquiesce to by presenting to me their charge, is not enforceable by judges in administrative courts as these numbered stated cases show.

6a) In 1604, Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke (“Cook”) ruled:“When an act of Parliament is against common right and reason, or repugnant, or impossible to be performed, the Common Law will control it, and adjudge such act to be void.”

6b) In 1610, Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke ruled in similar terms to those he used in 1604....“The Common Law will control Acts of Parliament and sometimes adjudge them to be utterly void; for, when an act of parliament is against common right or reason, or repugnant, or impossible to be performed, the common law will control it and adjudge such Act to be void.

6c) It is the affiant's assertion that the case quoted above viz. Supreme Court Regina (Jalloh (formerly Jollah)) v Secretary of State for the Home Department (**[\[2020\] UKSC 4B 2019 Nov 12; 2020 Feb 12](#)**) relied on Coke's and others restatement of our common law to rule that the Human Rights act was overruled in the particular circumstances appertaining to that case under consideration. I contend that the regulation, act (delete as appropriate) that required me to remain in my own home was a form of imprisonment which was unlawful.

Explanation of my, the affiant’s, state of mind.

7) By way of explanation, the deponent or affiant, accept that there may be circumstances where a living man or woman may consent to giving away certain of his common law rights when it is to the benefit of his fellow men and women. In this case the common law stated as, that one should not cause harm or loss to another, was complied with by myself. There was no loss or harm caused to another man or woman.

7b) The charge relates to an Act, Statute, Regulation. (delete as appropriate) created by Parliament which is a corporation or dead thing. The charge, fixed penalty (delete as appropriate) which has been presented to me requires my consent, as a living man, to reduce my status to that of a legal fiction to comply with the maxim that all parties in a case must be of equal status. i do not consent.

Time limit for respondent to reply.

i the affiant and deponent am suffering distress due to this charge being placed against me. i give the respondent until 11.59 pm. On theday of 20.....which is three weeks from the date i posted this affidavit by recorded delivery.

Sworn at:.....

In the County of.....

Thisday of..... 20.....

Witnessed by and qualifications of commissioner for oaths.

.....

This.....day of20

EDITOR'S NOTE. The writer has suggested that you make contact with a previous user of affidavits if you have to go into their courts. I suggest that you do so before you swear the affidavit so that the wording is correct. Such requests can forwarded to the author of this article - Click [HERE](#).

REMEMBER: You are not just protecting yourself from the State's abuse of our freedoms. Your stance by refusing to comply with their unlawful so called laws will demonstrate to others how to fight back.



Prehistoric London Its Mounds And Circles By E. O. Gordon (Published 1946) Part 20



A part of Icknield Street in Sutton Park

THE SARN IKIN (ICKNEILD STREET) LED FROM LONDINIUM NORTHWARDS, through the Eastern districts and Sarn Achmaen, from Londinium to Menevia (St. David's). Sarn Achmaen, as it led to St. David's, probably derives its name, meaning a "rocking stone," from the great stone of Ceti in Gower, mentioned in the Triads as one of the "three mighty achievements of the island of Britain."

But it is as a lawgiver that Molmutius is best known. We have it on the authority of the great legal writers, Fortescue and Coke[4], that the Molmutine Laws have been always regarded as the foundation and bulwark of British liberties, and have remained from his time the common, unwritten or native laws of the Island, as distinguished from the Roman, the canon and other codes of foreign introduction.

A glance at the selection we append will show how many of these still remain in force. The Druidic Civil Laws, now for the first time systematized and reduced to a written code, are eminently distinguished for their clearness, brevity, justice and humanity.

One of their strongest recommendations is that they are so simple as to be intelligible to all degrees of men and minds. King Alfred, it is recorded, employed his scribe Asser, a learned Welsh monk from Menevia (St. David's) (whom he afterwards made Abbot of Amesbury and Bishop of Sherborne), to translate the Molmutine Laws from the Keltic tongue into Latin, in order

that he might incorporate them into his own Anglo-Saxon Code.

"There are three tests of Civil Liberty: equality of rights—equality of taxation—freedom to come and go.

"There are three causes which ruin a State: inordinate privileges—corruption of justice—national apathy.

"There are three things which cannot be considered solid longer than their foundations are solid: peace, property, and law.

"Three things are indispensable to a true union of nations: sameness of laws, rights and language.

"There are three things free to all Britons,—the forest, the unworked mine, the right of hunting wild creatures.

"There are three things that require the unanimous vote of the nation to effect: deposition of the sovereign—introduction of novelties in religion—suspension of law.

"There are three civil birthrights of every Briton: the right to go wherever he pleases—the right, wherever he is, to protection from his land and sovereign—the right of equal privileges and equal restrictions.

"There are three property birthrights of every Briton: five (British) acres of land for a home—the right of armorial bearings, the right of suffrage in the enacting of the laws, the male at twenty-one, the female on her marriage.

"There are three guarantees of society: security for life and limb—

security for property—security of the rights of nature.

“There are three things the safety of which depends on that of the others: the sovereignty—national courage—just administration of the laws.

“There are three things which every Briton may legally be compelled to attend: the worship of God—military service— and the courts of law.

“There are three things free to every man, Briton or foreigner, the refusal of which no law will justify: water from spring, river, or well—firing from a decayed tree—a block of stone not in use.

“There are three orders who are exempt from bearing arms: the bard—the judge—the graduate in law or religion. These represent God and His peace, and no weapon must ever be found in their hand.

“There are three whose power is kingly in law: the sovereign paramount of Britain over all Britain and its isles—the princes palatine in their principdoms—the heads of the clans in their clans.

“There are three sacred things by which the conscience binds itself to truth: the name of God—the rod of him who offers up prayers to God—the joined right hand.

“There are three persons who have a right to public maintenance: the old—the babe—the foreigner who cannot speak the British tongue.”

These laws of Molmutius are briefly summarized by Spenser:—

**“Then made he lawes; which some men say
Were unto him revealed in vision,
By which he freed the Traveller’s highway,
The Churches’ part, the Ploughman’s
portion;
Restraining stealth, and strong extortion,
The gracious Nurna of great Britanny,
For till his dayes, the chief dominion**

**By strength was wielded without policy.
Therefore he first wore crown of gold for
dignity.”**

After a memorable reign of forty years Molmutius died, and was interred on the White Mound in Caer Troia. His eldest son, Belinus, succeeded him, and completed the roads his father began. A law was made throwing open these roads to all nations and foreigners, and placing them on the same footing of security as the river and the sanctuaries.

“There are three things free to a country and its borders—the rivers, the roads, and the place of worship. These are under the protection of God and His peace. Whoever on or within them draws weapons against any one is a criminal.” In this law originated the term “the King’s Highway.”



Within the precincts of the Bryn Gwyn, Belinus erected a royal residence or castle—with “many towers, one of wondrous height.” He caused a stupendous embankment on the Thames to be constructed, and a quay for the ships of the “Forth.” Belinus died at the age of eighty. His body was burnt, and the ashes deposited in a golden urn on the top of the highest tower of his palace. The tradition survives in the familiar name of “Billingsgate,” “Belin’s Gate.”

In the Welsh Mabinogion, “Bendigeid Vran, the son of Llyr,” is referred to as “a crowned king of this island, exalted from the crown of London.” Wounded in the foot by a poisoned arrow fighting against the Irish, Bendigeid commanded his followers to cut off his head and bear it even unto the White Mount in London and bury it there with its face towards France.—”

“And they buried the head in the White Mount,

Belin Mawr in 100 B.C., the descendant and successor of Belin I, is the next British king whose name we find associated with the traditions of the Bryn Gwyn. Belin Mawr is said to have resided here, presumably in the castle of “many towers,” built by his ancestor within the precincts of the royal demesne. No single instance can be found of a Keltic king erecting any kind of building upon the site of a sacred mound.

At Windsor, Caerleon, and Old Sarum, the castle, and royal residences stand round about the foot of the Mound. At Camulodunum the remains of King Coel’s Castle are to be seen at Lexden, now a suburb of Colchester, a mile or so from the grand old Gorsedd upon which the Emperor Claudius erected the present (so-called) King Coel’s Castle as a sign of “Eternal Dominion.” It was the seizure of the Druidical emoluments for the worship of the gods and the deified Claudius upon this sacred mound, according to Tacitus, that led to the national rising under Boadicea.

The only time London has been rifled and destroyed has not been by a foreign enemy but by a British queen and a British army visiting it with condign punishment for its collusion with a foreign invader.

It is generally thought the Britons of this period were savages, clothed in skins. Quite the contrary was the case, as we learn from Strabo, a contemporary of King Cunobeline, who has left us a most vivid description of the dress of the Britons at this period, which removes the false impression that the inhabitants of our island were a race of semi-civilized barbarians as regards their personal appearance and weapons. The Greek geographer writes:—

“He came, not clad in skins like a Scythian, but with a bow in his hand, a quiver hanging on his shoulders, a plaid wrapped about his body, a gilded belt encircling his loins, and trousers reaching from the waist down to the soles of his feet. He was easy in his address; agreeable in his conversation; active in his despatch, and secret in his management of great affairs; quick in judging of present accuracies; and ready to take his part in any sudden emergency: provident withal in guarding against futurity: diligent in the quest of wisdom: fond of friendship:

trusting very little to fortune, yet having the entire confidence of others, and trusted with everything for his prudence. He spoke Greek with a fluency, that you would have thought he had been bred up in the Lyceum, and conversed all his life with the Academy of Athens.”

Heli or Beli II reigned forty years and had three sons, Llud, Caswallon (Cassivellaunus) and Nennius. Lludd succeeded him. From the testimony of many old writers, whose accounts of him all tally in a most remarkable way, Lludd appears to have inherited a love of building from his ancestor, Belin I, and a similar desire to hand down his name to posterity as a benefactor of Caer Troia. He is best known as the founder of Ludgate, the western gate of the city (66 BC), and was buried in a vault under this gate. Holinshed states:—



“Lludd began to reign in 72 BC., seventeen years before the Romans came. He made a strong wall of lime and stone and fortified it with divers fair towers, and in the west part of the same wall he erected a strong gate, which he commanded to be called after his name ‘Ludgate,’ and so unto this day, it is called Ludgate— He caused buildings to be made between London stone and Ludgate and builded for himself not far from the said gate a fair palace which is the Bishop of London’s palace, beside Paules at this day as some think; yet Harrison supposes it to have been Baynard’s Castle, where the Black Friars now standeth.”

Lludd’s attempt to beautify the city is thus described in the ancient Welsh MSS. of the Mabinogion preserved in Jesus College, Oxford, translated by Lady Charlotte Guest.

To be continued

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



This long sort after book is now available!


Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



www.theflameuk.com

Contact

Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail [HERE](#)

Christian Order of The British Isles


Website



E-Mail

**A fraternal Identity Christian organi-
sation for men and women of Europe-
an descent.**

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew