

The New Ensign

No. 4 Oct - November 2009

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling True Israel

Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader

For Yahweh has put it into their hearts to fulfil His will, and to agree, and give their kingdom to the beast until the words of Yahweh shall be fulfilled. Rev 17:17

Surely, this is the time-frame in which we are now living. The unlawful ratification of the infamous Lisbon Treaty cedes British sovereignty to an alien power. Unlawful, because our peoples in France & Holland had already rejected the treaty. Consequently all EU political leaders are complicit in refusing referendums to our people by which their treason would have been overturned.

Prophecy is history foretold. Our heavenly Father Yahweh illustrates time and again in His Word that disobedience results in slavery in one form or another. The Lisbon Treaty cobbles together a country called Europe, the iron and the clay. For anyone with eyes to see and hears to ear, we have entered a new Dark Age where civil liberties are fast disappearing and those which remain are under threat.

How else could we be facing here in the UK a Police system run by the 'terrorism and allied matters' committee of the Association of Chief Police Officers [Acpo] which now includes units to monitor 'domestic extremism', a term which has no legal basis and includes surveillance of peaceful, law abiding, activists who attend political meetings and rallies?

Another sinister curb upon our individual freedom of speech was highlighted recently when a critic of the Home Secretary's policy found himself interviewed by his own doctor to establish his state of mind. Apparently, this was at the request of the Home Secretary who had asked the FTAC [Fixated Threat Assessment Centre] to intervene. It would appear that if we strongly dissent to the draconian policies imposed by our treasonous government, we are now deemed guilty of thought crimes.

At the international level, the immediate thrusts against us, apart from the ongoing Banksters bailouts, are in environment and healthcare. The man-made global

warming lie has been fabricated in the face of solid scientific evidence, in spite of more than 31,000 scientist signatories to a letter exposing the bogus science upon which this scam is based. Again the object of the operation is to control, tax and transfer wealth from us.

The Swine Flu hoax continues to be orchestrated globally although the virus is proving weaker than the seasonal flu. As we predicted, any real danger would come from the vaccines. Already 5 people have died in Sweden as a result of taking the swine flu jab and many more have experienced serious side-effects world-wide. Don't expect to read details of that in the national press.

So what is the biblical response to these ever increasing onslaughts? Our historic enemies, the predators of this planet, would not have any real power over us if we refused to play host to them. Surely we should separate ourselves from them, reject the daily psychodramas played out in the controlled media, and refuse to buy into their products and services which are antithetical to Christian Society. It is our duty always, to favour our own people, no matter what the personal cost.

Daniel 12 informs us that in the last days knowledge will increase. We can use it for good or evil. This planet is replete with Yahweh's abundant provision: in natural resources, natural medicines and what we term alternative technologies. We have the ingenuity and the authority to take hold of the good and resist what the Adversary has to offer. It's part of our fight. Just say No! Our heavenly Father Yahweh will honour those decisions.

Editor

This publication is for private distribution only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity. If you have any queries or comments concerning the content, then please contact us at editor@newensign.christogenea.org as in the near future, we hope to include a letters page.

Contents	Page
Christianity and Pharmaceuticals - Part 2 -William Finck	3
A History of British Passports - Sandie Seward	3
Harold Stough Notes - A Multi-Racial Society	4
Microwave Cooking is Killing You! - Stephanie Relf	5
For Whom Did Christ Die (Part 2) - Nord Davis	9
Don't Be Confused By The Canaanite Woman - William Finck	12
Correspondence	16
Translating James 1:23 - William Finck	18
Stop Press	19

Christianity and Pharmaceuticals - Part 2

By
William Finck

A few days ago I put out an article of this title, and many people have made further inquiry, or were even upset, because they have already been captivated by the pharmaceutical industry by one means or another. Yet I shall stand by my article, even if it does not address the immediate needs of people in general, as being wholly Scriptural. However here I will attempt to put some things into perspective: for those who are currently victims of the medical/pharmaceutical complex need not do anything rash.

Paul told the Corinthians: “A bondman, you have been called? It must not be a concern to you, but then if you have the ability to become free, rather you use it. 22 For he who is called a bondman in the Prince is a freedman of the Prince; likewise he who is called free is a bondman of Christ.” (I Corinthians 7:21-22, *CNT*)

In a paper I wrote several years ago, Sin and the First Epistle of John, I said this, commenting upon 1 John 3:4-12: “All men sin, as we have seen John himself state above at 1:10, as Paul also did at Rom. 3:23, and so John can’t possibly be stating that all men are of the False Accuser. The children of Israel, who are the children of Yahweh, have an Advocate in Yahshua Christ even if they do sin, as John has already told us in his epistle at 2:1-2 and 12. Yet here John uses a phrase which he did not use in chapter 2 in respect to sin. Where earlier only the verb *hamartanō* is used to describe the act of sinning, here the verb *poieō* is used in conjunction with the noun *hamartia* to describe the *practice*, or even the *creation of sin*, at 3:4, 8 and 9, and so John’s intent here must be distinguished from, and understood within the context of, his earlier remarks concerning Israel and sin. It should be obvious here that John makes reference not to the occasional sinner, but to the authors of sin. **John must mean** not the fool who lusts and buys a pornographic video or magazine, but the pornographer himself; **not the weak or sickly man who turns to drugs, but the drug manufacturer**; not the desperate man who takes out a usurious loan, but the usurer himself. All men have weaknesses which they are entrapped by at one time or another

(note Paul’s warning at Gal. 6:1), yet one who is tempted by such weakness is certainly not “of the False Accuser”, and no Israelite is “of the False Accuser”! The authors or creators of sin are of the False Accuser, and history reveals who they are. The panderers are the evil ones, not those who are weak!” (Emphasis added.)

Understanding all of this in the context of Scripture, I would not advise anyone to act rashly. I would not want you to run and flush your insulin down the toilet. Of course, neither can I give medical advice. I can only present the Scriptures as they were given, in the context of the culture and history of the times. Paul is cited above as having said “A bondman, you have been called? It must not be a concern to you...”, and so you should not be distressed if you are currently held captive. Yet Paul’s admonition to those who are held captive is that “if you have the ability to become free, rather you use it.” For many ailments there are natural remedies, and one should seek those if one can, and in conjunction with a pure heart and honest prayer, seek also the mercy of our Father, that you may be freed from your captivity. And if one is not in a position to do those things, then it would be best simply to realize and admit that one is a captive, but do not trouble yourself over it, and likewise with a pure heart and honest prayer, also seek the mercy of our Father.

A History Of British Passports By Sandie Seward

THE British passport has had a long and proud history and a good many revamps - but there has been one constant. For 600 years it has been inextricably linked to our monarch.

Introduced in 1414, and mentioned by Henry V in his speech on the eve of Agincourt (according to Shakespeare), a passport or 'Safe Conduct'

started out as just a note issued to someone travelling on the king's business.

Written in Latin or English and personally signed by the monarch, it asked that the holder be allowed to travel freely, and specified the destination, time and purpose of his journey.

There were, of course, earlier passports. The first known reference is found in the Bible's Book of Nehemiah, when an official serving a Persian king asks to travel to Judah. The king agrees and gives him a letter demanding he is granted safe passage.



The term passport probably originates from medieval documents which were sometimes required to pass through the gates - 'porte' in Latin - of ancient walled cities. In medieval times, such documents could be issued by local authorities.

The oldest surviving British passport – signed by King Charles I - was issued on June 18, 1641. The latest record of a monarch signing was in 1778, by George III for Sir John Stepney who from 1775 to 1782 was on a diplomatic mission to Dresden.

Like all British passports issued between 1772 and 1858, it was written in French - the official language of diplomacy. From 1794 the system changed so that passports were granted by the Secretary of State and centrally registered.

The First World War was the catalyst for a shake-up. Nation states issued passports to distinguish their own citizens from foreign nationals. At the outbreak of war, they were printed on paper, with a glued on photo of the holder and cost 6d but they changed again when the British Nationality and Status of Aliens Act 1914 came into force in 1915.

This determined in law that all persons born in the United Kingdom and Crown's dominions would hold the status of British subject and was the first modern British passport - a one-page

document folded into eight, with a cardboard cover and a detailed personal description.

Again the design was short-lived. A League of Nations conference in 1920 agreed to a book-format passport for member states, each to be issued in two languages - their own and French. Thus 'Old Blue', the passport sporting the Royal Coat of Arms, traditional wording and issued at the discretion of the government under the Royal Prerogative, was born. Over the next 68 years, other than the removal of the name of the Secretary of State from the text of the first page in 1954, and small security-related changes, very little changed. But in 1988 Old Blue was replaced by today's shrunken burgundy booklet with European Union on the cover.

Initially it was desperately unpopular but, despite cosmetic changes, its essential Britishness - the Royal Coat of Arms in gold on the front and the traditional wording on the first page - remained. It was still a UK passport, not an EU one - a fact very dear to our hearts.

Which is why the Royal Coat of Arms came under threat!

With Acknowledgement to:

www.tpuc.org

Harold Stough Notes



A Multi-Racial Society

In fact the greatest breach of all democratic principles perpetrated in this country this century was the series of covert decisions, all taken against the popular will, which resulted in this country becoming a multi-racial society. - Peregrine Worsthorne, the eminent British editor, in the *Sunday Telegraph*, Jan 3, 1988.

Microwave Cooking Is Killing You!

By Stephanie Relfe B.Sc. (Sydney)

IF YOU OWN AN ELECTRIC OVEN "GIVE IT AWAY" IF YOU OWN A MICROWAVE OVEN "THROW IT AWAY."



[Some material from this article has been redacted for the sake of brevity. The complete article may be viewed at:

<http://www.relfe.com/microwave.html>]

Microwave cooking is one of the most important causes of ill health. It is certainly one of the most ignored.

There was a lawsuit in 1991 in Oklahoma. A woman named Norma Levitt had hip surgery, but was **killed by a simple blood transfusion** when a nurse "warmed the blood for the transfusion in a microwave oven!"

Logic suggests that if heating is all there is to microwave cooking, then it doesn't matter how something is heated. Blood for transfusions is routinely warmed, but not in microwave ovens. Does it not therefore follow that micro-waving cooking does something quite different?

A little evidence of the harm caused by micro-waving cooking was given by the University of Minnesota in a radio announcement:

"Microwaves ... are not recommended for heating a baby's bottle. The bottle may seem cool to the touch, but the liquid inside may become extremely hot and could burn the baby's mouth and throat... Heating the bottle in a microwave can cause slight changes in the milk. In infant formulas, there may be a loss of some vitamins. In expressed breast milk, some protective properties may be destroyed.... Warming a bottle by holding it under tap water or by setting it in a bowl of warm water, then testing it on your

wrist before feeding, may take a few minutes longer, but it is much safer".

There have been very few scientific studies done on the effect of eating micro-waved food. This is rather surprising when you think about the fact that microwaves have been with us for only a few decades - and that in that time the incidence of many diseases has continued to increase.

Two researchers, Blanc and Hertel, confirmed that microwave cooking *significantly* changes food nutrients. Hertel previously worked as a food scientist for several years with one of the major Swiss food companies. He was fired from his job for questioning procedures in processing food because they denatured it. He got together with Blanc of the Swiss Federal Institute of Biochemistry and the University Institute for Biochemistry.

They studied the effect that micro-waved food had on eight individuals, by taking blood samples immediately after eating. They found that **after eating micro-waved food, haemoglobin levels decreased.** "These results show anaemic tendencies. The situation became even more pronounced during the second month of the study".

Who knows what results they would have found if they had studied people who ate micro-waved food for a year or more?

The violent change that micro-waving causes to the food molecules forms new life forms called radiolytic compounds. These are mutations that are unknown in the natural world. Ordinary cooking also causes the formation of some radiolytic compounds (which is no doubt one reason why it is better to eat plenty of *raw* food), but micro-waving cooking causes a much greater number. This then causes deterioration in your blood and immune system.

In addition, they found that the number of leucocytes increases after eating micro-waved food - **something which haematologists take very seriously, because this is often a sign of highly harmful effects, such as poisoning.**

Also, **after eating micro-waved food, cholesterol levels increased.** Hertel said "Common scientific belief states that cholesterol values usually alter slowly over longer periods of time.

In this study, the markers increased rapidly after the consumption of the micro-waved vegetables." He believes his study tends to confirm new scientific data that suggest cholesterol may rapidly increase in the blood secondary to acute stress. "Also," he added, "blood cholesterol levels are less influenced by cholesterol content of food than by stress factors. Such stress-causing factors can apparently consist of foods which **contain virtually no cholesterol - the micro-waved vegetables.**"



The results were published in "Search for Health" in the Spring of 1992. How was this research greeted? A powerful trade organisation, the Swiss Association of Dealers for Electro apparatuses

for Households and Industry somehow made the President of the Court of Seftigen issue a 'gag order'. Hertel and Blanc were told that if they published their findings they would face hefty fines or up to one year in prison. In response to this, Blanc recanted his findings. Hertel, on the other hand, went on a lecture tour and demanded a jury trial.

FINALLY, in 1998 the Court 'Gag Order' was removed. In a judgment delivered at Strasbourg on 25 August 1998 in the case of Hertel v. Switzerland, the European Court of Human Rights held that there had been a violation of Hertel's rights in the 1993 decision. The Court decided that the 'gag order' prohibiting him from declaring that micro-waved food is dangerous to health was contrary to the right to freedom of expression. In addition, Switzerland was sentenced to pay compensation of F40,000.

In Summary: Blanc and Hertel found that eating micro-waved food:

- Increases cholesterol
- Increases white blood cell numbers
- Decreases red blood cell numbers
- Causes production of radiolytic compounds (compounds unknown in nature)

RUSSIANS BAN MICROWAVE OVENS

After the World War II, the Russians also experimented with microwave ovens. From 1957 up to recently, their research has been carried out mainly at the Institute of Radio Technology at Klinsk, Byelorussia. According to US research-

er William Kopp, who gathered much of the results of Russian and German research - and was apparently prosecuted for doing so (J. Nat. Sci, 1998; 1:42-3) - the following effects were observed by Russian forensic teams:

1. Heating prepared meats in a microwave sufficiently for human consumption created:

* d-Nitrosodiethanolamine (a well-known cancer-causing agent)

* Destabilization of active protein biomolecular compounds

* Creation of a binding effect to radioactivity in the atmosphere

* Creation of cancer-causing agents within protein-hydrosylate compounds in milk and cereal grains;

2. Microwave emissions also caused alteration in the catabolic (breakdown) behaviour of glucose - and galactoside - elements within frozen fruits when thawed in this way;

3. Microwaves altered catabolic behaviour of plant-alkaloids when raw, cooked or frozen vegetables were exposed for even very short periods;

4. Cancer-causing free radicals were formed within certain trace-mineral molecular formations in plant substances, especially in raw root vegetables;

5. Ingestion of micro-waved foods caused a higher percentage of cancerous cells in blood;

6. Due to chemical alterations within food substances, malfunctions occurred in the lymphatic system, causing degeneration of the immune systems capacity to protect itself against cancerous growth;

7. The unstable catabolism of micro-waved foods altered their elemental food substances, leading to disorders in the digestive system;

8. Those ingesting micro-waved foods showed a statistically higher incidence of stomach and intestinal cancers, plus a general degeneration of peripheral cellular tissues with a gradual breakdown of digestive and excretory system function;

9. Microwave exposure caused significant decreases in the nutritional value of all foods studied, particularly:

- * A decrease in the bioavailability of B-complex vitamins, vitamin C, vitamin E, essential minerals and lipotrophics

- * Destruction of the nutritional value of nucleoproteins in meats

- * Lowering of the metabolic activity of alkaloids, glucosides, galactosides and nitrilosides (all basic plant substances in fruits and vegetables)

- * Marked acceleration of structural disintegration in all foods.

As a result microwave ovens were banned in Russia in 1976; the ban was lifted after Perestroika.

Standing in front of a microwave is also highly damaging to your health. Perhaps you have already felt this intuitively? We know that cells explode in the microwave - just fry an egg in your microwave. We are made up of trillions of cells. So work out how many are getting damaged if you stand in front of your microwave for 5-10 minutes.

In the past I had been told that it was important for people to stop eating micro-waved food, but I did not pay too much attention to this because I had been microwave cooking for years. I never thought much about it but I suppose that I figured that if something was so bad for us, then there wouldn't be so many people using it. Little did I know.

When I first began seeing clients for sessions of kinesiology, I did not worry too much about telling them to give up eating micro-waved food. However, I kept a record of all of the corrections that were needed for each client when they came in. Now, once a correction is made, it is to be hoped that the correction will stay in place for a long time to come, hopefully months if not years. People often ask me "How long will it last?" My answer to them is "That depends on your lifestyle".

Most of my clients came back to see me after about two weeks. In the early days I found that many who came back were not much better. I found that they were again 'out of balance'. That is, their electrical circuits were not working correctly (which is common for many people). It was therefore not surprising that they were not much better, because the body does not begin to

fully fix itself until the electrical circuits are in balance.

The question was, why did their electrical circuits go out of balance? The answer had to be something that was *highly* stressful, to affect the body in such a short space of time. Once that answer was remedied, the client would begin to get better. Using muscle testing, I went through the process of testing if the cause was electrical, chemical/nutritional, emotional or structural. Again and again the same answer would come up - electrical. When I then went through a range of possible electrical causes, the same answer again came up again and again - the person had eaten micro-waved food! Incidentally, this answer never came up when a person had NOT eaten micro-waved food.

I began to tell all of my clients on the first visit that under NO circumstances were they ever to do micro-waved cooking again. I gave this a higher priority than any of things that are normally considered as health risks, such as cigarettes or alcohol. Immediately I began to get a marked improvement in the results I was getting. Long-term problems such as headaches, backaches and emotional instability went away within a few weeks.

Other kinesiologists can confirm these results. David Bridgman, who has years of experience as a kinesiologist, said "Of all the people I test for allergies, **99.9% so far show severe sensitivity to any micro-waved food**".



I experienced the effect of eating micro-waved food for myself one time. I had been doing quite a lot of kinesiology and feeling on top of the world when for no apparent reason I began to feel rather 'gray' and rather low. I realised that I needed a balance from a kinesiologist. Sure enough, I was out of balance. When the kinesiologist used muscle testing to see why my body had gone out of balance, the answer came up ... Micro-waved food! The trouble was, I couldn't remember eating any. Until I remembered a particular vegetarian restaurant I had been to. When I went back to the restaurant and asked them if they micro-waved their food, they told me that they did.

So be warned! Many restaurants use micro-waved cooking, even 'health' restaurants. Ask if the "steamed vegetables" are in fact steamed - or are they micro-waved? I have sent meals back when they have not been what they were described on the menu, much to the surprise of the restaurant owners.

The alternative to heating up or defrosting food is to place it in a saucepan with a little water and lid. Or use a convection oven.

MICRO-WAVED PLASTIC WRAP HAS 10,000,000 TIMES FDA LIMITS OF CARCINOGENS!

Sent to me by a reader:

Hello Folks. Hopefully all of you are already well enough informed so as to avoid the use of a microwave oven due to the many detrimental effects consuming micro-waved food has upon our health, but "just in case", here is some interesting info sent to me by Ron Scanlon -- many thanks, Ron! Cheers, Doug

*University of California, Davis Medical Center
2315 Stockton Boulevard, Sacramento, California 95817*

As a seventh grade student, Claire Nelson learned that di(ethylhexyl)adepate (DEHA), considered a carcinogen, is found in plastic wrap. She also learned that the FDA had never studied the effect of microwave cooking on plastic-wrapped food. Three years later, with encouragement from her high school science teacher, Claire set out to test what the FDA had not.



Although she had an idea for studying the effect of microwave radiation on plastic wrapped food, she did not have the equipment. Eventually, Dr. Jon Wilkes at the National Centre for Toxicological Research agreed to help her. The research centre, which is affiliated with the FDA, let her

use its facilities to perform her experiments, which involved micro-waving plastic wrap in virgin olive oil.



Claire tested four different plastic wraps and "found not just the carcinogens but also xenoestrogen was migrating [into the oil]...." Xenoestrogens are linked to low sperm counts in men and to breast cancer in women. Throughout her junior and senior years, Claire made a couple of trips each week to the research centre, which was 25 miles from her home, to work on her experiment. An article in Options reported "her analysis found that DEHA was migrating into the oil at between 200 parts and 500 parts per million. The FDA standard is 0.05 parts per billion."

Her summarized results have been published in science journals. Claire Nelson received the American Chemical Society's top science prize for students during her junior year and fourth place at the International Science and Engineering Fair (Fort Worth, Texas) as a senior. **"Carcinogens – At 10,000,000 Times FDA Limits"** Options, May 2000. Published by People Against Cancer, 515-972-4444

So, it's up to you. One point to bear in mind is that our society runs pretty much on money. The multinational companies who make microwave ovens make a lot from the sale of them. There is no money in telling people to stop microwave cooking. There is, however, the satisfaction of knowing that you are saving people's lives and future happiness by spreading the word to stop eating micro-waved food.

ALTERNATIVES

You can heat food quickly in a convection oven. It's just an ordinary oven with a fan.

You can also easily and quickly heat up food, even frozen pasta, by using a saucepan with a lid and a little water, to moisten it from the steam.

If someone is coming home late, and you want to give them warm food when they arrive, put a saucepan lid over the food while it is on a plate. Put the plate of food on a simmering saucepan of water. It will stay warm without drying up.

If you want to cook food, do it the old fashioned ways - it tastes much better that way!

Much of the above information is from an article in the 1994 edition of Acres Magazine, USA, by Tom Valentine.

Irradiation of Food: (Note: Irradiation is not the same as micro-waving, but they are similar in that both use unnatural frequencies to alter food).

The Cornell University in 1977 irradiated some sugar and fed it to rats. **The type of cell damage shown on post mortem was the same as if the rats themselves had been irradiated!**

Irradiation of Food: Public Citizen has released the English translation of a recent German study revealing that a chemical formed in irradiated food can damage DNA.

The study confirms what safe-food advocates have known for more than thirty years: that exposing food to ionising radiation can lead to the formation of bizarre new chemicals called "unique radiolytic products" that can cause serious health problems.

One such chemical, known as 2-DCB, caused "significant DNA damage" in the colons of rats that ate the substance. The chemical - which, ironically is a well-known "marker" for deter-

mining whether food has been irradiated" - has never been found naturally in any food on earth.

The study was conducted in 1998 under the auspices of two prominent pro-irradiation organizations. IT was performed at one of the most prestigious food irradiation labs in the world: the Federal Research Centre for Nutrition in Karlsruhe, Germany.

Public Citizen released an English translation of the study at a meeting on 13 February at the US Food and Drug Administration in Washington DC.

Disclaimer: This information is not medical advice. Because every person's situation is different, the author of this article will not be held responsible for any negative results, which come from reading or acting upon the information in this article. Use at your own risk.

Copyright ©: Stephanie Relfe
1998 - 2010

*acknowledgement to the
author and to www.relfe.com*

For Whom Did Christ Die? Star Wars Lesson 2 - Part 2 By Nord Davis

Christian Humanists, of the Scofield Cult, can neither understand or accept such teachings. The Potter, they suppose, has no Rights over the clay which He created, and has no business forming of one lump a vessel for honour and another a vessel for dishonour. [Romans 9:21] So, in keeping with the universalism of the projected world government schemes, and to prevent Christian objections to interracial fornication, the clear thrust of the Bible was changed and the major false teaching introduced into the church that God loves **All Men** and that Christ would have **Every Man** saved. Christ, who knows The Beginning from The End, had to have been thinking of C.I. Scofield when He rebuked Esau's sons with these fitting words:

"Woe unto you, Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him two fold more the child of hell than yourselves." - St. Matthew 23:15



How do we unravel these Bible "contradictions?" The enemies of God's Word, along with some honest agnostics and ignorant atheists, are quick to point to the Scriptures and in two or three minutes come up with what seems to the unlearned, along with most fundamental Christians, a host of what appears to be a list of contradictions in the Bible. I contend

that there are no such contradictions, and insist that God is the same yesterday, today and forever. He is not the Author of confusion, and where contradictions at first glance seem to appear, we are commanded to study to show ourselves approved of God, a workman that need not be ashamed.

As I mentioned previously, I receive all sorts of letters which demand that I respond to some contrary point of doctrine from what I have written. If I answered each letter in the detail that their questions properly demanded, I would get nothing else accomplished. Where I have done so, they quickly realize that they have not



studied to show themselves approved of God, and my letter makes them ashamed. That is not my purpose in life, but rather to be a Teacher, one of the lawful Christian callings. After the first editions of **Star Wars**

were printed, a number of people from under the preaching of the Scofield Cult, would write me stating part of I Timothy 2:3-4 as "But Pastor Davis... God would have all men to be saved." Is that really true? Who is the Word referring to when it says "**all men**" in so many places? Can you resolve this list of 42 "contradictions" of the Bible?

Luke 2:10- "Behold I bring you good tidings of great joy, that shall be to **ALL PEOPLE**."

Matthew 1:21- "And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shall call his name Jesus, for he shall save HIS PEOPLE (not all people) from their sins:"

I Timothy 4:10- "We trust in the living God, who is the Saviour of **ALL MEN**, especially those that (now) believe."

Matthew 1: 1-18- "The book of the generation (race or kindred) of Jesus Christ... Abraham; Isaac, Jacob, Joseph, Jesus..."

I Cor. 15:22- "For as in Adam **ALL** die, even so in Christ shall **ALL** be made alive; but every man in his own order..."

Matthew 1:23- "Behold, a virgin shall be with child, and shall bring forth a son, and they shall call his name Emmanuel, which being interpreted is. God with US." (The peoples of the generations of Jesus Christ through David and Jacob-Israeli)

I Timothy 2:3-4- "For this is good and acceptable in the sight of God **OUR** Saviour, who would have **ALL MEN** to be saved, and come into the knowledge of the truth."

Matthew 2:6- "And thou Bethlehem, in the land of Judea, art not the least among the princes of Judah, for out of thee shall come a Governor, that shall rule MY PEOPLE Israel."

Romans 5:18- "...judgment came upon **ALL** Men to condemnation; even so by the righteousness of one, the free gift came upon **ALL MEN** unto justification of life."

Luke 1:33- "And he shall reign over the House of Jacob forever; and of his kingdom there shall be no end."

Titus 2:11- "The grace of God that bringeth salvation hath appeared to **ALL MEN**."

Luke 1:68- "Blessed be the Lord God of Israel; for he hath visited and redeemed HIS PEOPLE."

John 12:32- "And I, if I be lifted up from earth, will draw **ALL MEN** unto me."

Luke 1:69- "And hath raised up a horn of salvation for US in the house of his servant David."

II Corinthians 5:15- "He died for **ALL**."

Luke 1:76, 77- "And thou, child (John the Baptist) ...to give knowledge of salvation unto HIS PEOPLE, by the remission of THEIR SINS:"

I Timothy 2:6- "Christ Jesus, who gave himself a ransom for **ALL**, to be testified to in due time."

Matthew 3:7- "But when he (John the Baptist) saw many of the Pharisees and Sadducees had come to his baptism, he said unto them ...who warned you of the wrath to come?"

II Peter 3:9- "The Lord is not slack concerning his promise (to Israel)...not willing that **ANY** should perish, but that **ALL** should come to repentance."

Matthew 10:5-6- "And these twelve Jesus sent forth, and commanded them saying, Go NOT into the way of the nations, and into ANY city of the Samaritans ENTER YE NOT, but rather to the lost sheep of THE HOUSE OF ISRAEL."

Romans 11:32- "God hath concluded them ALL in unbelief, that he might have mercy upon All."

Luke 19:27- "But those mine enemies, which would not that I should rule over them, bring hither and slay them before me."

John 3:16- "For God so loved the world that he gave his only begotten Son, that WHOSOEVER believeth in him should not perish, but have everlasting life."

John 8:43,44- "Why do ye not understand my speech? Even because YE CANNOT HEAR MY WORD. Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was the murderer from the beginning and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him:"

Hebrews 2:9- "But we see Jesus, who ...by the Grace of God should taste death for EVERY MAN."

Matthew 26:28- "For this is my blood of the new testament, which is shed for MANY (not ALL) for the remission of sins."

John 1:9- "That was the true Light, which lighteth EVERY MAN that cometh into the world."

Mark 4:11,12- "And he said unto them, Unto YOU is given to know the mystery of the Kingdom of God: but unto them that are without, all these things are done in parables: that seeing they see and not perceive; and hearing they may hear and may not understand; lest at any time they should be converted and their sins should be forgiven them."

I John 2:22- "He is the propitiation for OUR sins, and not for ours only, but also for the sins of the WHOLE WORLD."

Matthew 15:22,23- "And behold, a WOMAN OF CANAAN came out of the same coasts, and cried unto him saying, Have mercy on me, O Lord, thou son of David; my daughter is grievously vexed with a devil. BUT HE ANSWERED HER NOT A WORD...."

John 8:12- "I am the light of THE WORLD."

Matthew 15:23,24- "And his disciples came and besought him, saying, SEND HER AWAY; for she crieth after us. But he answered and said, I am NOT sent but unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel."

John 4:42- "We know this is indeed the Christ, the Saviour of THE WORLD."

Matthew 15:25- "Then came she and worshipped him, saying, Lord help me. But he answered and said, It is NOT meet (lawful or proper) to take from the children's bread and cast it to dogs (non-Israelites)."

Mark 16:15- "Go ye into ALL THE WORLD and preach the Gospel to EVERY CREATURE."

Matthew 15:27,28- "And she said, TRUTH LORD: yet the dogs eat the crumbs from the master's table. Then Jesus answered her and said unto her, Oh woman, great is thy faith: be it unto thee even as thou wilt. And her daughter was made whole from that very hour." (It does not say that she and her daughter were saved from that very hour as some writers suggest...)

Joel 2:28- "I will pour out my Spirit upon ALL FLESH."

Amos 3:2- "YOU ONLY have I known (Heb. yada = acknowledged, respected recognized) of all the families of the earth."

John 1:29- "Behold the Lamb of God, which taketh away the sin of the WORLD."

John 6:37- "ALL THAT THE FATHER GIVETH ME shall come to me; and him that cometh to me I will in no wise cast out..."

Isaiah 45:22- "Look to me, and be ye saved, ALL THE ENDS OF THE EARTH."

I Peter 2:9- "But ye are a chosen generation (genos meaning offspring, kind, kindred, stock, race), royal priesthood, an holy nation (ethnos meaning race, tribe, and from where every word translated "gentile" comes from), a peculiar (peripoiesis, meaning purchased, acquired, bought, possessed and/or owned, specifically guarded and hedged about and bestowed upon) people; THAT YE shall show forth the praises of him who hath called YOU out of darkness into his marvellous light."

Every one of the lightly printed verses were sent to me in letters trying to prove that Christ died for every man or all the world, meaning peoples of the earth. I could go on with this set of apparently contradictory statements from the inerrant Word of God for another ten pages. These are 42 verses, with 21 of them seeming to suggest an intent on the part of Almighty God and our Saviour Jesus Christ to save all mankind. Every Creature, All Men, All Flesh, and all the families of the earth, seems plain and clear enough. Then there are 21 verses from the same Bible authors, and often from Christ's own lips,

that The Plan of Salvation was and is highly restricted and specifically limited to only certain people. For whom then, did Christ intend to die? Whose sins did Christ actually pay for? What peculiar people are bought with a price? Who are the guarded, the hedged about, and the bestowed upon? People write me stating that they read the Bible every day. Wonderful! Then who among them can solve the riddle of the apparent contradictions in just these 42 verses?



Most of my fundamentalist friends, who say that they believe the Bible "from cover to cover including the cover," are still content to ignore these alleged contradictions and urge their minister to preach to the hundreds in his congregation on the theme, "Christ died for YOU." While he may think that he is "winning souls," the fact of the matter is that this may not be a truthful statement at all. Who are the chosen kindred, off-spring and stock that make up the holy nation to be reconciled to God? For whom was Christ The Substitute? Did He go to the Cross for the betrayers Esau or Judas the Canaanite? Deeper still, did Christ accomplish His Objective or did He fall far short, since obviously the vast majority of mankind never has been saved.

The answer to these questions is part of the solution to that riddle.

Without apology, I must insist that Christ accomplished exactly, and completely, what He intended! Most ministers today insult the Gospel of Christ by teaching that there is a distinction and a difference between what Christ did in dying for **all** mankind, and what he accomplished with such a tiny minority actually being saved through His Sacrifice. They are preaching, and I do not know how to state it more delicately, that percentage wise, Christ's Death was an abysmal failure! He never got what He wanted accomplished: Brother and Sister, that is pure nonsense!

I shudder when I happen to hear this preaching over the radio or on television which teaches, in effect, that Christ not only willingly shed His Blood, but He inadvertently spilled most of it. They preach that he intended to save all mankind, but 99% were never saved by Him. So, our inept Saviour shed *1%* of His Blood for the saved, and spilled 99% of it for the unsaved. What kind of an anti-Christ "gospel" is that?

Almost every church in America today, if you will examine its doctrine carefully, preaches this major error. Such teaching cannot be found in the words of such great evangelists of the past such as George Whitfield and Charles H. Spurgeon. Never once did they preach or write the phrase, "Christ died for **you**." It is simply not Scriptural, for in the case of the vast majority of mankind, and a hefty minority of Americans, it is not true. If Christ's Sacrifice actually took away the penalty of **Every Man's** sins, then **Every Man** is saved. Such a conclusion is obviously not true.

Don't Be Confused About The Canaanite Woman

By: William Finck

It seems that there has long been some degree of confusion in regard to the healing of a Canaanite woman's daughter by Yahshua Christ, an event described at Matt. 15:21 -28 and Mk. 7:24-30, and especially among Israel Identity adherents. While the descriptions of the event are often abused by the promoters of universalism, they actually refute universalism. Yet those who understand the Old Testament and the curses against the Canaanites are left to wonder just how and why Yahshua Christ had shown mercy toward this particular woman, and

this issue has been the cause for much debate. This short essay shall endeavour to clear up any confusion surrounding this event.

First, it must be noted that the accounts of this event provided by Matthew and Mark differ significantly. It must be understood that no gospel account can be regarded as a full and complete record of any particular event. Rather, each writer witnessed, or recorded from witnesses, all or parts of an event seen from a certain perspective, writing down those por-

tions of the event which were notable, as they were remembered. Therefore, piecing the accounts together we can create a more complete picture of the event as a whole.



The Canaanite woman is identified as a “Greek, a Syro-Phoenician by nation” in Mark’s gospel, in the A.V. Translation. The word rendered “nation” is *genos* (Strong’s #1085), and is more properly rendered “race”. (The 9th edition of the Liddell & Scott *Greek-English Lexicon* defines *genos* primarily as “race, stock, kin” and then “generally, race, of beings”. Secondly, W.E. Vine in his *An Expository of New Testament Words*, [although not entirely perfect] on the word “kind” [*genos*] agrees generally with Liddell & Scott.) Newer translations render the term “birth”, however I must interpret *genos* as “race” here since Mark could hardly have known where the woman was born, and because “Syro-Phoenicia” was never a nation at any time, for the term is a geographical description, even though it is not found in secular Greek writings until Lucian wrote circa 160 A.D. Strabo, in his description of Syria, notes that “Some writers divide Syria as a whole into Coelo-Syrians and Syrians and Phoenicians, and say that four tribes are mixed up with these, namely Judeans, Idumaeans, Gazaans and Azotians, and that they are partly farmers, as the Syrians and CoeloSyrians, and partly merchants, as the Phoenicians” (*Geography*, 16.2.2), and it can be shown that in Strabo’s time (ca. 64 B.C. to 25 A.D.) some of these terms had a quite different meaning than they had in more ancient times. Mark, possibly being a Greek himself, or at least a somewhat Hellenized Judean (Markos is a Greek, not a Hebrew name), and writing in Greek for a Hellenized audience, identifies the woman by Greek standards: as a Greek by language and custom (as opposed to the Judeans and Edomites of whom many resisted Greek customs, as did other peoples of the Near East), and a Syro-Phoenician by race. Here Mark’s intent seems to be that the woman belonged to one of those tribes native to Syrian Phoenicia, rather than being a Greek or Roman inhabitant of Phoenicia: for there were many Greek and Roman colonists in the Near

East at this time. Ancient Palestine was just as confused concerning race and nationality as New York and many other major cities are today. Mark was doing the best he could to describe this woman with the terms used by the Greeks of his time. He would have identified the woman as a Greek, Roman, Aramaean, or Judaeon by race, if such had been the case, hence Mark’s distinction.

The word “Greek” is actually *Hellene*, and its use here by Mark is understood in its historical context. *Hellene* was never used to define any specific tribe, nation, or kingdom. Rather, the term came to be used among the tribes of the region and islands about the Aegean who came to use a similar language and customs, namely the Ionians, Danaans, Pelasgians and Dorians. Later there were sub-divisions of these, such as Boeotians, Macedonians, Argives, etc. Those of other tribes, such as the Phoenician colonists of Caria (Miletus) and Thessaly, adopting the language, were also later subsumed into the Hellenic culture, becoming known as “Greeks”. Those peoples of other tongues outside of the culture, whether or not they were just as civilized, were labeled “Barbarians”. It must be remembered, however, that at this early time all of these peoples were of White Adamic stock. Even later, with the rise of the Hellenistic period – after Alexander the Macedonian had conquered most of the Adamic world (or *oikoumene*) – people from many other tribes having adopted the same language and customs readily became known as “Greek”, much as happens in any empire, and such as the term “American” is so loosely used today.

On the other hand Matthew, a tax collector who may have been a Levite, seemingly much more aware of the woman’s race from a Hebrew perspective, properly identifies the woman as a Canaanite, by the actual tribe of her lineage. While Matthew was also writing in Greek, he must have used this ancient term with purpose, for the name “Canaanite” is virtually unknown to the secular Greek writers, and would probably have faded into oblivion if not for the Scriptures (aside from modern archaeology). The Greeks were much more apt to label people by Greek geographical names rather than by tribal names, as we even find occurring in the Old Testament, and in secular writings the peoples of the Levant are named in the manner seen in the citation from Strabo provided above. Surely the woman of the event discussed here was indeed a Canaanite.

In Matthew’s account of the incident, the Canaanite woman accosted Yahshua, and He ignored her. His

disciples, evidently having failed to discourage the woman, became annoyed with her, and asked Yahshua to send her away, yet they were not admonished for such behaviour. Now this is hardly any way to treat a prospective “Christian”, one may think, and a situation only understood once one realizes that such a prospect simply did not exist. This must be compared to the reception which certain others, being Israelites, had received, such as those found at John 1:47, Luke 13:16 and 19:9. And it is not merely because the Canaanite woman was not a Judean Israelite that she received such treatment. Contrast the reception which she received to that of the Roman centurion as described at Matthew 8:5-7. While the same event is described somewhat differently at Luke 7:1-10, nevertheless the effect is the same. The Romans were, in fact, “lost” Israelites, having descended from a portion of Judah which emigrated to Europe at a very early time. Paul knew this, and it is evident throughout the epistle addressed to them.

At Matthew 15:24 Yahshua Christ repeats His very commission in response to the Canaanite woman’s plea. This commission is repeated throughout the New Testament, i.e. Matt. 1:21, 2:6; 10:6; 18:11; Mark 12:29; Luke 1:16, 54, 67-80; 2:25-34; 19:10; 24:21; John 1:31, 49; 12:13; Acts 1:6; 28:20 et al. Now it is clear both in the Old Testament prophets and throughout the New Testament, that Christ came only for the “lost sheep of the house of Israel”, those ancient Old Testament Israelites who from the days of the Judges unto the Assyrian and Babylonian deportations had been emigrating into Europe, and who eventually formed the Christian Nations of the Medieval period: the White races of today. Yet, as Paul explains to the Ephesians, “lost” Israel having been alienated from Yahshua until His redemptive sacrifice on the cross, His earthly ministry remained among the Judean Israelites, those who retained their relationship with Him through the Old Covenant.

So while Yahshua informs the Canaanite woman that He was sent only for the “sheep”, the children of Israel (cf. Ezekiel chapter 34), He then informs her that it is not proper to take the bread of the children (which is His favour) and throw it to the dogs, which is effectively calling the Canaanite woman a dog. While the term “dog” is often used derisively of people in Scripture, one example where it stands out is where it is used in the 22nd Psalm, a messianic prophecy foreseeing the crucifixion of Christ: **“For dogs have compassed me: the assembly of the wicked have enclosed me: they pierced**

my hands and my feet ... Deliver my soul from the sword; my darling from the power of the dog.” (Psa. 22: 16, 20). Knowing that it is the Canaanite/Edomite leaders of Judea who were primarily responsible for the crucifixion, those who claim to be Judeans, but are not (Rev. 2:9; 3:9), the **dog-people** are brought to light in this statement by Yahshua to the Canaanite woman. Paul later warns about the **dog-people** (Ph’p. 3:2), as Yahshua also had previously (Matt. 7:6). The woman was certainly not a dog merely because she was sinful, for Christ often professed that He had come for sinners (i.e. Matt. 9:9-13). Surely she **WAS** a Canaanite, bearing the curses of both Canaan (son of Ham) and Cain!



When in ancient times the children of Israel had left Egypt, and were presented with the land of Canaan, they were warned that if they did not drive out or destroy all of the Canaanites, then the Canaanites would

become a source of great trouble to them (i.e. Num. 33:55; Josh. 23:13). Of course, the children of Israel did fail to drive out all of the Canaanites (i.e. Jdgs. 3:1-6). All of this must have been foreseen by Yahweh, of course, yet – as Paul explains in 2 Thess. chapter 2 (and see Rom. 16:25-27), the mystery of iniquity had not been fully revealed in the Old Testament scriptures – many of which are also parables difficult to understand – yet it is revealed in the Gospel. On which account we are provided with such parables as that of the wheat and the tares, and the warning that both must grow together until the time of the end, which is the harvest (Matt. 13:24-30, 36-43). When the children of Israel failed to destroy the Canaanites from among them, they lost their commission to do so, and were therefore left to suffer from them. Neither was it the purpose of Christ in His earthly ministry to destroy them; for there are many other Old Testament prophecies concerning the ultimate destruction of all of the enemies of Yahweh at the end of this age (i.e. Obad. 8-9, 18; Mal. 1:1-5; Zech. 14:21; Matt. 13:30, 41-42; 25:31-46; Rev. 20:13-15).

Compassion for one’s enemies is a noble trait, and a sign of humility which any good king, general, or righteous nation should have. (Of course, examining history, neither the Canaanite-Jews nor their

Canaanite Islamic Arab cousins have ever had compassion for their enemies.) There was a custom in the ancient world, that a defeated enemy, or an accused wrongdoer, or anyone else who may have fallen into disfavour, if he should prostrate himself before a general or ruler, and grasping the cloak of such a one admit his fault and then beg for mercy or forgiveness, arousing the compassion of his master he would receive as much, or at least be granted a lesser punishment than what was expected. In the same manner, a peasant or other common citizen would do likewise, seeking relief from some trouble, or to be granted some other favour by a ruler. The ancient histories are replete with examples of such incidents, and this account of the Canaanite woman's actions falls into the same pattern. When the Canaanite woman admitted to Yahshua Christ that she was indeed a dog, while professing that He could indeed heal her daughter, she both recognized Him as having been sent by Yahweh God, and surrendered to the truth of the Word. Having such a surrendered enemy making supplication before Him, while at the same time admitting the truth of the Word, Yahshua had no choice but to show mercy to her, since by His own Word the destruction of His enemies was still afar off, and since she volunteered such submission in supplication as her statement demonstrates: "... yet the dogs eat of the crumbs which fall from their masters' table." By this act of mercy, Yahshua also fulfilled the truth of the Scripture, i.e. Proverbs 16:7: "When a man's ways please Yahweh, He maketh even his enemies to be at peace with him." Yahshua had no choice but to grant this act of mercy to the Canaanite woman, as an example of His own teaching!

Here it must be noted, that Yahshua Christ had healed the daughter of the Canaanite woman in body only. For she requested "that He would cast forth the devil out of her daughter" (Mk. 7:26), and He granted her request: "the devil is gone out of thy daughter" (7:29) she having received nothing more than what she had desired (cf. Matt. 15:28, "be it unto thee even as thou wilt"). When a veterinarian heals a dog, it is restored into a whole dog, not into a sheep! The woman's daughter was likewise healed bodily, but she was still a Canaanite. She is not an Adamite, having the Adamic Spirit! For one to be granted eternal life, one must first have that Spirit which Adam had (cf. Gen. 2:7; 3:22; 1 Cor. 15:35-38). The Spirit which Adam had is handed down, like his image, as part of the genetic code, as Paul explains in 1 Cor. 15:44: "It is sown a natural body; it is raised a spiritual body". Producing offspring of mixed races, one is hewing out "broken cisterns, that can hold no

water" (Jer. 2:13). For this reason the apostle Jude refers to those who "have gone in the way of Cain, and ran greedily after the error of Balaam" (the fornicating race-mixers, i.e. 1 Cor. 10:8; Rev. 2:14; Micah 6:5) as "clouds without water, trees ... without fruit, twice dead" (Jude 11-12), and likewise Peter calls these same people "wells without water" (2 Pet. 2:17). They are "without water" because they are devoid of the Spirit of Yahweh. They are "twice dead" because once they die bodily, they are also as good as dead spiritually!



Balaam

The Canaanites, products of the race-mixing fornication (Jude 7) called by Jude the "error of Balaam", can never be anything but what they already are, having descended partly from Cain, partly from the

Rephaim and partly from non-Adamic races (i.e. Gen. 15:19- 21), and therefore not having the Spirit, can never enter into the Kingdom or Covenants of Yahweh, which the Scriptures expressly reserve for Israelites only!

Therefore, that Yahshua Christ had in this one instance granted mercy to an enemy – which Scripture shows that the Canaanites are – does not give Israelite Christians an excuse to embrace the other races into fellowship. The woman was told to "go thy way". She wasn't even told to "repent" or to "sin no more", she was still a dog – as was her daughter – and they could not possibly be made into "sheep". Neither she nor her daughter were granted eternal life, and she could not have been expected to somehow have become a Christian. What the woman did receive was a crumb: it cost Yahshua nothing to grant the woman's request. It was more expedient to grant the woman her wish, tossing the **dog** a bone as a reward for her supplication and honesty – for the woman certainly realized that she was not one of the children. Imagining that Yahshua Christ intended to bring an alien into the New Covenant, which He made exclusively with the house of Israel and the house of Judah (not "spiritual" Israel nor "spiritual" Judah; cf. Jer 31:31 ff.) is to imagine that He would commit an act of fraud. Paul knew as much, and so at Gal. 3:15 he explained that even a covenant between mere men, once confirmed, no one could change or add to. And so here Paul explains that the New covenant is made only for the Anointed Seed

(where the A.V. has “which is Christ”, rather than “which are the Anointed”), for the Israelites, and not with the other lines descended from Abraham, such as Edomites and Ishmaelites. The word at Matt. 15:28 which is rendered “faith” by the A.V., *pistis* (Strong’s #4102), is simply and literally “trust”, “faith” or “belief”, here used (and this is important) **without** the Greek Article. This should be contrasted to the use of *pistis* **with** the Greek Article when it is used to denote **“The Faith”**. When an Article appears with a Greek noun, it references a particular object, and not just any one of that type of object. Often this is distinguished in English with capital letters. In the N.T. with *pistis* it specifies **“The Faith”**, and not just any faith, or belief. The Canaanite woman had faith, but she certainly could not be a partner in **“The Faith”**, which is the Israelite acceptance of their redemption by Yahshua Christ, culminating in the New Covenant. Simply believing does not earn those of other races “salvation” (Matt. 7:21 -23; 22:1-14; cf. Amos 3:2), an impossible thing to begin with! For **“thou believest that there is one God; thou doest well: the devils also believe, and tremble.”** (James 2:19).

In the days of the Old Testament, Israel was instructed to sanctify themselves by the sword, and they failed. The day is coming, however, when all Israel shall indeed be sanctified by Yahweh their God, i.e. Ezek. 37:21 -28; Rev. 19:6-10; 21:10-27. Yet in this day, Israelite Christians are admonished to sanctify themselves by the Word of Yahweh (i.e. Eph. 5:26; John 15:3; 17:17; 1 Pet. 2:9-10). **“For the word of Yahweh is living and powerful, and sharper than any two-edged sword, piercing even to the division of soul and spirit, and of joints and marrow, and is a discernor of the thoughts and intents of the heart.”** (Heb. 4:12). Therefore, Yahshua’s healing of the Canaanite woman’s daughter does not mean that true Israelite Christians must accept the so-called “Jews for Jesus”, universalism, multiculturalism, or any of the other false doctrines of the humanists. The Word of Yahweh insists that Israelite Christians seek to uphold the laws of Yahweh, which are written in their hearts (i.e. Jer. 31:33; Rom. 2:15; Heb. 8:10), and to oppose evil (i.e. Rom. 12:9, 21; Ph’p. 3:2; Eph. 6:13; 1 Thes. 5:22; 3 John 11; James 4:7; Rev. 2:2 et al.). Yahweh God had separated the nations which descended from Adam (i.e. Deut. 32:8; Acts 17:26), and therefore universalism and racial “diversity” are contrary to the laws of Yahweh! The mixing of the races is fornication (i.e. 1 Cor. 10:8; Jude 7). Hence a true adherence to the Word of Yahweh results in the sanctification of the obedient Israelite, since the Word insists that the

Israelite separate himself from the other races – as Paul explains at 2 Cor. 6:11-18, for example, although this passage, like many others in Paul’s writings, suffers from corrupted translations. For instance, “thing” in the text of 2 Cor. 6:17 was added by the translators of the A.V. Rather, “the unclean” are the non-Israelite peoples, who were **NEVER** cleansed by the blood of Christ! They are the “them” in the admonition to “come out from among them” earlier in the same verse! The cleansing of Israel – and **ONLY** Israel – by the sacrifice of Yahshua Christ was a matter of prophecy, i.e. Jer. 31:33; 33:8; Ezek. 36:25, 27, 33; 37:23, and it is now a matter of fact. The other races were never cleansed by Yahweh, and therefore they are “the unclean”. Therefore neither do today’s so-called “churches”, the organized religious cults, along with all of the “liberal” western governments, have license to act as they have been: taking the plates and loaves of the children, dumping them onto the floor as if they were crumbs, and letting all of the dogs eat! This is what they do today with unbridled “immigration”, foreign missions, foreign aid, “free” trade, and especially the billions of dollars which each year we both send to, and expend in defence of, that Canaanite-Edomite state in Palestine which so deceptively calls itself by the name “Israel”.

Correspondence

Dear Mister Finck

Thank you for sending me a copy of your essay on the subject- 'Christianity and Pharmaceuticals'.

While I admire the meticulous nature of your scholarship. I feel you are painting with too broad a brush.

1. I believe you should have brought your energy to bear exclusively against psychotropics. Rather than against every single medication under the sun. For all of your effort you seem to have missed the point that the jew game plan is to keep all of us Christians in a state of total stupor.

The reason for this made perfectly clear by the Jewish parable about Judah.

Judah, you will recall was persuaded by his jew neighbors that cheese is delicious and that he should eat cheese as often he was able. As soon

as Judah fell into the trap of eating cheese the jews who sold it to him began to flavor the cheese with heavy applications of table salt. Now Judah is over weight and thirsty! So the next step of the cunning Jew is to offer Judah wine with his cheese. And then, as soon as Judah falls into the trap of of drinking to the point of becoming unconscious. They murder him and steal the money from his purse.

That's the kind of health care strategy you should be holding up to the light and chastising. Didn't Marilyn Monroe and Anna Nicole Smith, among others, fall prey to the jew parable about Judah when they each died of an overdose? How many school age children are under doctors (Jew doctors) orders to take soporifics in remedy for make believe conditions like ADD and ADHD?

2. My virus free pdf attachment documents a relationship between a Christian Man of Science, the Nobel Laureate Sir John Eccles. And a scheming Jew pundit, the role model for Mike Wallace and Noel Ignatiev, Karl Popper. Read this carefully, then consider my words of analysis.

When Sir John Eccles first thought to construct models in his study of neurophysiology. His mind was drawn to mechanical analogies with radios: vacuum tubes, wire antenna arrays, capacitors, inductors, resistors and the like. Karl Popper heard about this and soon brought this worthy enterprise to a halt. In no uncertain terms Popper told Eccles that he could only describe nerves by analogy with glands and that he must substitute mysterious hormones for gradients and fields.

Popper's motive was quite simply to legalize every addictive substance know to man. So that the Jews could profit from a useless industry which rendered their enemy, Christians and Heterosexuals, completely harmless. If you doubt this, think about the way the Jew always crows when he admits that Sigmund Freud was addicted to cocaine. But never acknowledges the fact that Freud lived with his mother until he was 41 years old. And, that Freud probably had sex with his own daughter.

You, Mister Finck, need a telescopic sight. A shotgun is simply not the right tool for what you are trying to achieve. I certainly hope my anecdotes

will help you focus. Please feel free to re-write your tome with my remarks worked into the body of the text. Some medicines work wonderfully well, some are useless, and some are harmful But the real issue is that whatever is useless and harmful spills out of the soul of the Jew.

William Finck's Reply

Dear Mr. Mayer,

You know, I really don't care about titles. "Sir", "Doctor", "Nobel Laureate", they are all given out by their respective issuers to all sorts of sexual deviants, beasts, and quacks. I am not impressed with any of them. The jews use titles as a pretense of authority. Christians have only One Teacher and One Master, and they themselves are all brethren – if indeed they are true Christians and not alien infiltrators.

You feel that I am "painting with too broad a brush". In the Voice of Christian Israel forum last week you told me that concerning vaccines, one had to assess the risks in relation to the benefits. But I looked all throughout the Laws of Yahweh God and I cannot find anything concerning risk/benefit assessments! In fact, the Laws of Yahweh my God are not subjective at all. They are black and white: you can choose good, or choose evil. There is no third alternative.

In your reply to my paper you addressed not one of my arguments. Rather, you attempt to skirt the entire issue I presented by distracting me with the works of a Jew so-called "philosopher", and a neurophysiologist whose career I won't even take the time to evaluate, since it has nothing at all to do with the matters which I have presented.

I do not need telescopic sight, Mr. Mayer, and neither have I used a shotgun. The pharmaceutical industry has been owned and controlled by the Jewish banking houses perhaps since the late 19th century. If you are a Christian, the Word in this matter is clear. Show me from Scripture that I am wrong, or if you cannot, and you continue to promote the use of vaccines, and all of the other vile things which your profession pushes on the unsuspecting public, then simply admit that you are not a Christian,

but are rather a proponent of the works of the anti-Christ.

Sure, Mr. Mayer, psychotropic drugs are evil, but so are the “medicinal” use of metals such as mercury, substances derived from unclean animals such as squalene, and many other things in your “medications” which Christians have been commanded not to ingest. The laboratory synthesis of naturally-occurring molecules patented for medicinal purposes (Jews deceitfully profit-

ing from nature) is also evil. You show me a medication that is free of all of such evil things as these, and you will very likely have a natural remedy that one might be able to consider “good”.

Since you broadened the audience for this correspondence with people from your own mailing list, I must send everyone a copy of my original article, and also an addition I made this morning.

William Finck

Translating James 1:23


By

William Finck

The King James Version: *For if any be a hearer of the word, and not a doer, he is like unto a man beholding his natural face in a glass...*

The Christogenea Version: *Because if one is a hearer of the Word and not a doer, he is like a man observing the appearance of his race in a mirror...*

The Greek to this passage when translated into English characters and words reads, *to* [the] *prosopon* [appearance] *tes geneseos* [of the race] *autou* [of him]. The words *to prosopon* are a noun with the definitive Article and are in the Accusative case and therefore are the object of the verb translated 'observing'. The words *tes geneseos autou* are respectively a noun with the definite Article and a pronoun, and are both in the Genitive case.



I have recently had a quite succinct email message from a reader who stated “At verse 23: change race to face”. It is true that errors in typing where one word is substituted for another similar and properly spelled word,

and therefore not detected by a software spelling checker, are very common. And while I do imagine that people will find errors in my translations – for no man is perfect – this however, is not one of them. Still, I would encourage those who feel that they have found such errors to notify me, and I shall be more than happy to reply, and to make any necessary corrections. Here my translation of the phrase in question is purposely “the appearance of his race”, and I shall explain why.

The word *prosopon* is defined by Liddell & Scott: ‘... *the face, visage, countenance ... one's look ... outward appearance, beauty ...*’ The word *geneseos* is the genitive form of *genesis* [from which we have the English word *genesis*] and is defined ‘... *an origin, source, productive cause, ... a beginning ...manner of birth ... race, descent ...*’ In the context here, speaking of the sight of a person in a mirror, I have translated the word *race*, and would assert that *descent, origin* or *source* would bear the same meaning in this case and would only be masking the intent of the statement if employed in the place of *race*.

All of this is supported by a comment found at the word *face* here in the Bible Works program in the edition of the New American Standard Bible, where it is stated: “Lit., *the face of his birth; or, nature*”, yet I would assert that neither do they understand the literal and true meaning of the phrase. Phrases such as “the face of his birth” or “the appearance of his birth” make no sense. I have translated them “the appearance of his race” because I believe that such is exactly what James meant: that although we may be children of Adam and of

Israel, born in the image and likeness of Yahweh our God, this is not enough by itself: for unless we are also doers of His Word then we are certainly not doing well, and not performing to the intent of our Creator.

Editors Note: *In a future edition of this magazine we hope to include an article explaining the true biblical meaning of the words adultery and fornication in the light of both the Old and New Testaments and showing the subtle differences between the two.*

Stop Press



The German Identity Conference - October 2009 held at Haus Sonnenblick, Bad Teinach in the beautiful Black Forest (photo of location above), was well attended with many being there for the first time and new to the identity message.

A full report will appear in the New Year edition of this magazine.

The Lawful Rebellion Conference - Friends Meeting House, London. 31st October, 2009. There was a very good turnout for the 3rd such conference in the UK,

There were a number of excellent speakers on various aspects of the Government's treasonous and treacherous dealing with its people not only here in the UK, but with the same applying to governments throughout Christendom.

There was a presentation by Andrew Johnston on the Swine Flu hype, pointing out that the most dangerous aspect was the vaccine! Not the flu!

Swine Flu Update

As we go to press it is being reported that large quantities of swine flu vaccine are being moved round Europe to various centres under military protection. Such procedures are normally only used for bio-weapons. This is therefore an indication of the true nature of the so called flu vaccine that they wish to inoculate everyone with!



In Sweden it has been recently reported that 5 people dropped dead immediately upon receiving the swine flu vaccine.

83% of the population in Germany are against taking the swine flu shot, as are their military.

The Ukraine - was heavily sprayed with chem-trails just before this "Outbreak" began!!! See map below. 135 deaths reported and over 39,000 hospitalised and as usual not a word about this from the controlled media!

A warning that the new swine flu jab is linked to a deadly nerve disease has been sent by the Government to senior neurologists in a confidential letter.



The letter from the Health Protection Agency, the official body that oversees public health, has been leaked to The Mail on Sunday, leading to demands to know why the information has not been given to the public before the vaccination of millions of people, including children, begins.

The letter, sent to about 600 neurologists on July 29, is the first sign that there is concern at the highest levels that the vaccine itself could cause serious complications.

Announcements



The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

**By
Pastor Eli James**

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.

Internet Christian Identity Radio Broadcasting

Fridays

Christogenos, with Greek Expert,
William Finck, 8pm EST
www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258

Saturdays and Sundays

Yahweh's Covenant People 8pm
EST www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924

Voice of Christian Israel, 12 Noon
EST

www.talkshoe.com/tc/22187

Unfortunately space precludes other worthy broadcasts from being advertised.

The UK Column

For the real uncensored news from Great Britain. Find out what your politicians are really up to!

County House, 12/13
Sussex Street, Plymouth PL1 2HR
email: editor@ukcolumn.org
Tel: +44 (0) 1752 312743

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

Steven Books

League Enterprises (SB)
27 Old Gloucester Street
London WC1N 3XX

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

www.stevenbooks.co.uk/religion.htm

Archived Christian Identity

**resources are
available at:**

<http://Christogenea.org/>