

The New Ensign

No. 6 January-February 2010

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Our Mission remains to awaken the Anglo-Saxon Celtic peoples to their true yet long forgotten identity as Yahweh's Chosen People through improved biblical exegesis and re-examination of ancient historical texts.

Already we find ourselves at the beginning of another new year. Time seems to be speeding up and the War drags on. Not the phoney War Against Terrorism. No, we are referring to the war against our own people, the hidden ones, the majority of whom neither know their own identity nor that of their adversary, nor even that they themselves are key players. When everything seems to be an illusion, the only firm ground is the true word of Yahweh.

To this end, we publish a short expose of The New Schofield Study Bible opposite in which some important terms need clarification for any reader new to the Identity message. The term 'anti-Semite' can never be applied to a Jew simply because they are not Semites. Hebrews are Semites. Jews are not Hebrews. Genesis 12:3 refers to Hebrews. Scripture when translated correctly does not use the term Jew in either the Old or New Testaments nor in the Septuagint. The word used in the O.T. wrongly translated Jew is the Hebrew word Yehudha/Yehudhi which can only mean Judah or Judahite and in the N.T. the Greek word used is Ioudaius which means Judean, a resident of Judea.

In contra distinction the word Jew applies to the bloodline of Esau [Edomites] which intermarried with non Semitic peoples such as the mixed Canaanites, Hittites and later Khazars. Such people masquerade as God's Chosen People but they are certainly not the Chosen of Yahweh so the citation of Genesis 12:3 does not apply. As to the term dispersion, the only time this applied to the Edomite Jews was in Jerusalem in 70 AD when they were

defeated and carried away as slaves by the Romans. The dispersion of true Israel occurred when they were taken captive to Assyria and later to Babylon. Only a small number of the House of Judah returned to Jerusalem, the rest of the twelve tribes were never to return. Instead, in due time, they migrated through the Caucasus and across Europe to the British Isles and beyond: north, south, east and west.

Now for an update on Equality legislation being formulated to target Christians in Europe & N America. The ideology behind this legislation should be recognised for what it is, Cultural Marxism, whose agenda is to undermine and eventually destroy all traditional Christian values [especially against the family], freedoms of expression and association. It is therefore with great thanks to Yahweh for answered prayer that we can report the defeat of the UK Government's Equality bill in the House of Lords recently. This particular bill sought to ensure non-discrimination against homosexuals and lesbians in employment. The Government had said there would be no exclusion for Christian employers and announced it was deeply disappointed at the outcome. Praise Yahweh!

Finally, when reading the New York speech of Geert Wilders on page 31, please remember he is first and foremost a politician and the editor's comments have been reserved for the end of the article.


Editor

editor@newsign.christogenea.org

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents	Page
Zionism's Unchristian Bible - Maidhc Ó Cathail	3
The Lie of Universalism - Clifton A. Emahiser	6
A Lesson From Haiti - Our South African Correspondent	12
Harold Stough Notes - Coronation Of Richard Of Bordeaux	14
To Discriminate Or Not To Discriminate - Our UK Correspondent	15
The Roman Road Revisited - Lesson 2 Part 4 - Nord Davis	16
Classical Records of The Dorian And Danaan Israelite Greeks - William Finck	22
Teflon Coated Frying Pans are a Health Hazard - Our UK Correspondent	25
The History of Biblical Distortion - Pastor Eli James	27
Wednesday Night Bible Study - Dr, Wesley Swift	28
A Speech By Geert Wilders	31
White Cliffs of Dover to be Sold to France	34
ClimateGate By our Northern England Correspondent	35
Obituaries - Eustace C. Mullins and Heinz Mohrlök	36
Disdaining the Culture of Fear - William Finck	37

Atchison resident as the "late **lawyer, politician and shyster** generally," the article went on to recount a few of Scofield's "many malicious acts." These included a series of forgeries in St. Louis, for which he was **sentenced to six months in jail**.



Being a "born again" preacher, however, did not preclude Scofield from becoming a member of an exclusive New York men's club in 1901. **In his devastating biography, *The Incredible Scofield and His Book*, Joseph M. Canfield comments,** "The ad-

mission of Scofield to the Lotus Club, which could not have been sought by Scofield, strengthens the suspicion that has cropped up before, that someone was directing the career of C. I. Scofield."

That someone, Canfield suspects, was associated with one of the club's committee members, the Wall Street lawyer Samuel Untermyer. As Canfield intimates, Scofield's theology was "most helpful in getting Fundamentalist Christians to back the international interest in one of Untermyer's pet projects – the Zionist Movement."

Others, however, have been more explicit about the nature of Scofield's service to the Zionist agenda. In "Unjust War Theory: Christian Zionism and the Road to Jerusalem," Prof. David W. Lutz claims, "**Untermyer used Scofield, a Kansas city lawyer with no formal training in theology, to inject Zionist ideas into American Protestantism. Untermyer and other wealthy and influential Zionists whom he introduced to Scofield promoted and funded the latter's career, including travel in Europe.**"

Absent such powerful connections, it is hard to imagine "**this peer among scalawags**" ever getting a contract with Oxford University Press to publish his bible. Nevertheless, it remains a mystery why OUP chose to endorse such a sectarian work.

Atonement

If there had been no Scofield Bible, American presidents influenced by Christian Zionism, such as Truman, Johnson, Reagan and George W. Bush, would most likely have been less sympathetic to Israeli demands, and consequently more attentive to U.S. interests. Moreover, the American people might have been spared the well-publicized pro-Israeli rants of John Hagee, Pat Robertson and the late Jerry Falwell, not to mention the lucrative End Times "prophecy" peddled by Hal Lindsey and Tim LaHaye.

But it is the people of the Middle East who have suffered most at the hands of an expansionist Israel, emboldened by the unswerving allegiance of America's Christian Zionists, **who were led to believe that Scofield's words were God's will.**

Although much needless suffering has already been caused by the Scofield Bible, perhaps it's not too late for Oxford University Press to publicly disavow its harmful book. Among its many victims are 3.5 million Palestinian refugees whose right to return is fervently opposed by Christian Zionists, who believe that the land belongs exclusively to "God's chosen people." At the very least, OUP could demonstrate remorse for its role in promoting ethnic cleansing by compensating those refugees with the considerable profits accrued over the past century from sales of its Zionist bible.


** Maidhc Ó Cathail is a freelance writer living in Japan. He has written for Antiwar.com, Dissident Voice, The Palestine Chronicle, OpEd News, Media Monitors Network and many other publications.*

And who was Cyrus Scofield?

As a young con-artist in Kansas after the Civil War, he met up with John J. Ingalls, an aging Jewish lawyer who had been sent to Atchison by the "Secret Six" some thirty years before to work the Abolitionist cause. Pulling strings both in Kansas and with his compatriots back east, Ingalls assisted Scofield in gaining admission to the Bar, and procured his appointment as Federal Attorney for Kansas. **Ingalls and Scofield became partners in a railroad scam which led to Cyrus serving time for criminal forgery.**

While he was in prison, Scofield began studying the philosophy of John Darby, pioneer of the Plymouth Brethren movement and the "any moment now" rapture doctrine.

Upon his release from prison, Scofield deserted his first wife, Leonteen Carry Scofield, and his two daughters Abigail and Helen, and he took as his mistress a young girl from the St. Louis Flower Mission. He later abandoned her for Helen van Ward, whom he eventually married. Following his Illuminati connections to New York, he settled in at the Lotus Club, which he listed as his residence for the next twenty years. It was here that he presented his ideas for a new Christian Bible concordance, and was taken under the wing of **Samuel Untermyer**, who later became chairman of the American Jewish Committee, president of the American League of Jewish Patriots, and chairman of the Non-sectarian Anti-Nazi League.



Untermyer introduced Scofield to numerous Zionist and socialist leaders, including Samuel Gompers, Fiorello LaGuardia, Abraham Straus, Bernard Baruch and **Jacob Schiff, who according to news reports of the time provided large sums to finance**

the Bolshevik takeover of Russia. These were the people who financed Scofield's research trips to Oxford and arranged the publication and distribution of his concordance.

[see *Why Judeo-Christians Support War* by C. E. Carlson]

It is no exaggeration to say that the 1967 Oxford 4th Edition deifies - makes a God of - the secular, anti-Christian state of Israel.

The Scofield Reference Bible and its several clones is all but worshiped in the ranks of celebrity Christians, beginning with the first media icon, evangelist Billy Graham. Of particular importance to the Zionist penetration of American Christian churches has been the fast growth of national bible study organizations, such as Bible Study Fellowship and Precept Ministries. These draw millions of students from not only evangelical fundamentalist churches, but also from Catholic and mainline Protestant churches and non-church contacts. These invariably teach forms of "dispensationalism," which draw their theory, to various degrees, from the notes in the Oxford Bible.

Thanks to the work of a few dedicated researchers, much of the questionable personal history of Cyrus I. Scofield is available. It reveals he was not a Bible scholar as one might expect, but a political animal with the charm and talent for self-promotion of a Bill Clinton. Scofield's background reveals a criminal history, a deserted wife, a wrecked family, and a penchant for self-serving lies. He was exactly the sort of man the World Zionists might hire to bend Christian thought--a controllable man and one capable of carrying the secret to his grave. (See *The Incredible Scofield and His Book* by Joseph M. Canfield).

Christian Zionism may be the most bloodthirsty apostasy in the entire history of Christianity or any other religion. Shame on its leaders: they have already brought the blood of untold numbers innocent people down upon the spires and prayer benches of America's churches.

It has made an enormous contribution to war, turning Christians into participants in genocide against Arabs in the latter half of the 20th century, a crusade that is destroying America financially and creating hordes of angry radical anti-American Moslems.

Some of the many additions and deletions that Oxford University Press has continued to make to Scofield Reference Bible since his death in 1921 have further radicalised the Scofield Bible into a manual for the Christian worship of the secular, anti-Christian state of Israel beyond what Scofield would have dreamed of. This un-Christian theology has permitted the theft of Palestine and 54 years of death and destruction against the Palestinians, with hardly a complaint from the Judeo-Christian mass media evangelists or most other American church leaders. We thank God for the exceptions.

Among more traditional churches that encourage, and in some cases recommend, the use of the Scofield Reference Bible is the huge Southern Baptist Convention of America, whose capture is World Zionism's crowning achievement.

It is impossible to overstate the influence of Cyrus Scofield on twentieth-century Christian beliefs. The Scofield Bible is the standard reference work in virtually all Christian ministries and divinity schools. It is singularly responsible for the Christian belief that the Hebrew Prophecies describe the kingdom of Jesus' Second Coming, and not the Zionist vision of a man-made New World Order.

The Lie Of Universalism

By

Clifton A. Emahiser

With this paper, we are going to scrutinize a spurious, non-Biblical doctrine called, "universalism." The idea of "universalism" actually started with the "Jews" and, therefore, falls under the category of "the leaven of the Pharisees." Later, this doctrine was adopted by the "universal" Catholic Church. Today, "universalism" is taught generally throughout all the mainstream churches of all denominations. One surely would think that such a doctrine would not be found in Israel Identity, for upon discovering our heritage as Israelites, we would understand we are the **only** people of the Book. Unfortunately, there are those who have dragged this "mainstream" Pharisaical doctrine into the Israel Identity Message. Foremost among these are Stephen E. Jones and Jory S. Brooks. Not surprisingly, those two are also against the teaching of the two seeds of Genesis 3:15, and fall into the category of antichrist, anti-seedliners. Ironically, the doctrines of "universalism" and "anti-seedline" are co-companion teachings, for when one is adopted, the other soon follows on its heels.



In a brochure entitled *The Hebrew Foundation of Christ's Church*, Jory S. Brooks attempts to bring non-Israelites into the Kingdom. In a

diagram in column 4, he tries to show there is a "physical" Israel and an "allegorical" Israel. Then under the subtitle "Israel's Relation to the Church", he says the following: **"The second illustration above demonstrates the true relationship between Israel and the church. The Bible shows clearly that Israelites were the first converts to the faith, came to knowledge of Christ in great numbers, and formed the core of the Church. Not all Israelites believed in Christ, but a large proportion of them did, and formed the foundation of the New Testament Church. These Israelites then went out and converted others, Hebrews and non-Hebrews; these latter becoming a form of allegorical Israel. In Old Testament times, non-Hebrews could join themselves to the Chosen Nation through faith in Israel's God. (Isaiah 56:3-8) Under the same principal in New Testament times, by faith in Israel's Saviour and God-In-Flesh, Jesus Christ, non-Israelites in a sense inherit some of the blessings given to Israel. We might therefore say that they are 'EXPERIENTIAL ISRAELITES', a term coined by Bible teacher and author, Dr. Stephen E. Jones, for those who, while not physically Israelites, come under some of the Israel covenantal blessings through faith in Christ. The combination of both groups, Christian physical Israelites and Christian 'Experiential Israelites', constitutes Christ's true Church. The body of Christ is therefore physically and allegorically Israelite throughout. This explains the otherwise inexplicable fact that the New Covenant was made only with Israel (Hebrews 8:8-9), a point which has caused untold confusion among those who teach that Christ's Church is non-Israelite."**

This statement is totally unscriptural and is a lie right out of the pits of hell, and "Dr." Stephen E. Jones holds a Master in subterfuge. Not only does Jones teach universalism, but he is a vicious antichrist, anti-seedliner (antichrist in-the-sense that he denies the Satanic seedline that was to bruise the Messiah, and if He was not bruised, then we have no Salvation). Universalism is also antichrist inasmuch as it nullifies both the Old and New Covenant which our

Kinsman Redeemer died for. If, as both Brooks and Jones imply, non-Israelites can come under those Covenants, then He is no longer a "Kinsman Redeemer." There is no such thing as "universal Redemption." Since Jory S. Brooks uses Isaiah 56:3-8 as justification for such a supposed interpretation, let's take a look at it:


"3 Neither let the son of the stranger, that hath joined himself to Yahweh, speak, saying, Yahweh hath utterly separated me from his people: neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I am a dry tree. 4 For thus saith Yahweh unto the eunuchs that keep my sabbaths, and choose *the things* that please me, and take hold of my covenant; 5 Even unto them will I give in mine house and within my walls a place and a name better than of sons and of daughters: I will give them an everlasting name, that shall not be cut off. 6 Also the sons of the stranger, that join themselves to Yahweh to serve him, and to love the name of Yahweh, to be his servants, every one that keepeth the sabbath from polluting it, and taketh hold of my covenant; 7 Even them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices *shall be* accepted upon mine altar; for mine house shall be called an house of prayer for all people. 8 Yahweh Elohim which gathereth the outcasts of Israel saith, Yet will I gather *others* to him, beside those that are gathered unto him."

Had Brooks and Jones ever read Hebrews 11:11-13, they would have known who the "strangers" of verses 3 and 6 of Isaiah 56 are: **'11 Through faith also Sarah herself received strength to conceive seed, and was delivered of a child when she was past age, because she judged him faithful who had promised. 12 Therefore sprang there even of one, and him as good as dead, *so many* as the stars of the sky in multitude, and as the sand which is by the sea shore innumerable. 13 These all died in faith, not having received the promises, but having seen them afar off, and were persuaded of *them*, and embraced *them*, and confessed that they were strangers and pilgrims on the earth.'** Had Brooks and Jones checked Genesis 23:4 they would have discovered that Abraham called himself a "stranger."

Had Brooks and Jones ever read 1st Chronicles 29:15, they would have discovered that David said: **"For we *are* strangers before thee, and sojourners, as *were* all our fathers: our days**

on the earth *are* as a shadow, and *there is none abiding.*" Additionally, had they ever investigated Psalm 39:12 they would have read: **"Hear my prayer, O Yahweh, and give ear unto my cry; hold not thy peace at my tears: for I *am* a stranger with thee, *and* a sojourner, as all my fathers *were.*"**

Once we understand that the northern Ten Tribes had been divorced by the Almighty along with most of Judah, they were cut-off from the Covenant and became **estranged** to Him. The tribes, being cut-off from the Covenant, became like a "eunuch" or a "dry tree." For that period, Israel's seed had been cut off, so figuratively, the simile of a "eunuch" is appropriate. Upon understanding that Israel was the "eunuch", there is no longer a conflict with Deuteronomy 23:1. This passage is not talking about bringing non-Israelites under the Covenant, but quite the opposite. Once Yahshua died for our Redemption, we were then brought back under the New Covenant, which **includes only** the House of Israel and the House of Judah, (Jeremiah 31:31; Hebrews 8:8).




Some may argue that the "stranger" in Isaiah 56:3 & 6 is #5236 instead of #1616. When Israel was divorced, they became equivalent to non-Israelites until Yahshua purchased them back, so #5236 is not out of order in this passage. Jamieson,

Fausset & Brown's *Commentary*, page 582, on this passage describes #5236 thus: **"the man — Hebrew, *enosh*, 'a man in humble life', in contradistinction to Hebrew, *ish*, 'one of high rank.'"** In this sense the meaning of *enosh* is very fitting, for Israel was humbled when she was punished, Deuteronomy 28:44. That verse reads: **"He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail."**

To show that the divorced Israelites were considered **"strangers"**, all one need do is read Ezekiel 14:5: **"That I may take the house of Israel in their own heart, because they are all es-**

tranged from me through their idols." Then in Ephesians 2:12 & 19: "That at that time ye were without Messiah, being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without Yahweh in the world Now therefore ye are no more strangers and foreigners, but fellow citizens with the saints, and of the household of Yahweh." Again in Colossians 1:21: "And you that were sometime alienated and enemies in *your* mind by wicked works, yet now hath he reconciled."

Also we must consider 1st Peter 2:11: "Dearly beloved, I beseech *you* as strangers and pilgrims, abstain from fleshly lusts, which war against the soul." Deuteronomy 28:43 proclaims that Israel would become lower than the *stranger* and humbled below the *enosh*.



Since only Israelites were given the Ten Commandments, Isaiah 56:3-8 could only be speaking of Israel or Judah. The Almighty never commanded any non-Israelites to keep His Sabbath, as keeping His Sabbath is a sign that we are under His Covenant. Verse 1 says: "...for my salvation is near to come, and my righteousness to be revealed." It would seem that this is referring to the First Advent. If so, this passage is speaking of the Gospel being presented to the true Israelites at their new home in Europe. Naturally, part of the Gospel would concern itself with keeping the Sabbath. Then verse three comes into play: "3 Neither let the son of the stranger, that hath joined himself to Yahweh, speak, saying, Yahweh hath utterly separated me from his people: neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I *am* a dry tree." When we understand that this verse is speaking of Israel, and Israel only, it is a very impressive verse. Here, "the son of the stranger" [Israel] is not to say: "Yahweh hath utterly separated

me from his [my] people, neither let the eunuch [Israel] say, Behold, I am a dry tree." Had our Kinsman Redeemer not paid the price for us, we were doomed to become a "dry tree." With the race-mixing that is going on at the present time, we are headed in that direction, for mamzers are the end of the line for our family tree. And, Brooks and Jones are not helping us any by preaching "universalism."

Verse 4 speaks of taking hold of the Covenant. As Yahweh's Covenant is a closed corporation, only Israel and Judah qualify as parties thereof. In fact, no others need apply! Then in verse 5, Yahweh gives us his promise: "Even to them [lost Israel] will I give in mine house and within my walls a place and a name better than of sons and of daughters: I will give them an everlasting name, that shall not be cut off." Here, we Israelites of Israel and Judah are the "sons" and "daughters." Then the promise is made to give us an "everlasting name" that shall never again "be cut off." Again, in verse 6, Israel is referred to as "the sons of the stranger." Then in verse 7, another promise is given to Israel: "Even them will I bring to my holy mountain, and make them joyful in my house of prayer: their burnt offerings and their sacrifices *shall be* accepted upon mine altar; for mine house shall be called an house of prayer for all people." Though now our burnt offerings and sacrifices are in a different form, all our efforts to advance the Kingdom are recognized by Him. The "holy mountain" can only be the Israel nations where He has planted us. Furthermore, the only way our nations can be holy (set apart) is to be racially pure; a holy people for a holy (set apart) nation. Then, and only then, can "mine house" be called "an house of prayer for all [Israelite] people." Then in verse 8 it says: "Yahweh Elohim which gathereth the outcasts of Israel saith, Yet will I gather *others* to him, besides those that are gathered unto him." As the prophet was prophesying concerning a small group that later remained at Jerusalem, the "others" would be those dispersed into Assyria, and then into Europe and the United States and other Israel countries. There is no place for non-Israelites in Isaiah 56:3-8!!! "Dr." Stephen E. Jones, HA!!!


In this same brochure by Jory S. Brooks, under the subtitle "Can God's Promise Be Broken?", he agrees with M. R. DeHaan, saying this: "Dispensationalist scholar, M. R. DeHaan, correctly pointed out the purpose of Israel being a chosen people: 'To lead all the rest of

the nations to Him.' God promised to Israel in Isaiah 49:6-8, 'I will also give thee for a light to the nations, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth.' This is a promise by God that Israel would be His worldwide agent in salvation. It is indisputable that salvation concerns faith in Jesus Christ ... In the Abrahamic Covenant, God promised in Genesis 22:16-18, "By myself have I sworn, saith the Lord, for because thou hast done this thing, and not withheld thy son, thine only son: That in blessing I will bless thee, and in multiplying I will multiply thy seed as the stars of the heaven ... And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed ...' But as we saw in Isaiah, Israel was indeed promised to be a Spiritual blessing to all nations on earth ..." It would appear that if a Scripture can be taken out of context, there are a lot of false teachers out there ready, willing and eager to do it!

As we observe this, we can know beyond all doubt this concept of "universalism" is coming from both Stephen E. Jones and Jory S. Brooks. Stephen E. Jones has several other disciples wind-sniffing his scent like the "swift dromedary" and "wild ass" of Jeremiah 2:21-25. As there are several false premises involved in Brooks' suppositions, they need to be addressed. As one of the Scriptures cited by Brooks out of context is Isaiah 49:6-8, let's read it: "**6 And he said, It is a light thing that thou shouldest be my servant to raise up the tribes of Jacob, and to restore the preserved of Israel: I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth. 7 Thus saith Yahweh, the Redeemer of Israel, and his Holy One, to him whom man despiseth, to him whom the nation abhorreth, to a servant of rulers, Kings shall see and arise, princes also shall worship, because of Yahweh that is faithful, and the Holy One of Israel, and he shall choose thee. 8 Thus saith Yahweh, In an acceptable time have I heard thee, and in a day of salvation have I helped thee; and I will preserve thee: and give thee for a covenant of the people, to establish the earth, to cause to inherit the desolate heritages."**

Right away, all the universalists jump on the sentence: "**I will also give thee for a light to the Gentiles, that thou mayest be my salvation unto the end of the earth**", and apply it to non-Israelites. Had Brooks and Jones continued to read verse 9, it would have been more appar-

ent who the "Gentiles" of verse 6 were: "**That thou mayest say to the prisoners, Go forth; to them that are in darkness, Shew yourselves. They shall feed in the ways, and their pastures shall be in all high places."**



To see what "Gentiles" Isaiah is talking about, we need to go to Romans 9:23-26: "**23 And that he might make known the riches of his glory on the vessels of mercy, which he had afore prepared unto glory, 24 Even us, whom he hath called, not of Judah only, but also of the Gentiles [Israel nations]? 25 As he saith also in Osee [Hosea], I will call them my people, which were not my people; and her beloved, which was not beloved. 26 And it shall come to pass, that in the place where it was said unto them, Ye are not my people; there shall they be called the children of the living Elohim."** If one will go to Hosea 1:10 and 2:23, one will discover that the "Gentiles" of both Romans 9:24 and Isaiah 49:6 are no other than the divorced and put away and punished lost sheep of the House of Israel. To claim these so-called "Gentiles", in these passages, are non-Israelite people is a lie of dastardly proportions, and there is no room for "universalism" here.

These are similar to the "Gentiles" spoken of in Matthew 4:14-16 which says: "**14 That it might be fulfilled which was spoken by Esaias [Isaiah] the prophet, saying, 15 The land of Zabulon and the land of Nephthalim, by the way of the sea, beyond Jordan, Galilee of the Gentiles; 16 The people which sat in darkness saw great light; and to them which sat in the region and shadow of death light is sprung up."** This area was occupied by Benjamites at the time of the Messiah, from whom He got all His disciples except one.

There is also Luke 2:25-32 which spells out that the "Gentiles" in that passage are identical to Israel: "**25 And behold, there was a man in**

Jerusalem, whose name *was* Simeon; and the same man *was* just and devout, waiting for the consolation of Israel: and the Holy Ghost *was* upon him. 26 And it was revealed unto him by the Holy Ghost, that he should not see death, before he had seen Yahweh's Messiah. 27 And he came by the Spirit into the temple: and when the parents brought in the child Yahshua, to do for him after the custom of the Law, 28 Then took he him up in his arms, and blessed Elohim, and said, 29 Master, now lettest thou thy servant depart in peace, according to thy word: 30 For mine eyes have seen thy salvation, 31 Which thou hast prepared before the face of all people; 32 A light to lighten the Gentiles [Israel Nations], and the glory of thy people Israel."



You will notice that Luke didn't record Simeon saying anything about waiting for the consolation of any non-Israelites in this passage. That excludes any other subject except "the consolation of Israel" to and including verse 32. Therefore when it says "all people" in verse 31, it is speaking of "all Israel people." Then in verse 32, it might appear to some that it is speaking of two subjects: (1) Gentiles, and (2) Israel. In the first place, it should have been rendered Nations, not the Latin term Gentiles. But one might argue the word "and" is used between two phrases making it two different subjects. The English term "and" is #2532, and is *kai* in the Greek, and is a copulative conjunction. Richard A. Young in his *Intermediate New Testament Greek*, page 62, shows the rule under the subtitle: "*The Article with Nouns Connected with kai [and in Greek]*". "When two nouns are separated

by *kai* and each noun has its own article, the author intends a distinction between them. When the two nouns are separated by a *kai* and only the first has an article, the author intends for the reader to group the two nouns together in some fashion ... [Granville Sharp] stated: "When the copulative *kai* connects two nouns of the same case, [viz. nouns (either substantive or adjective, or participle) of personal description respecting office, dignity, affinity, or connection, and attributes, properties, or qualities, good or ill,] if the article, or any of its cases, precedes the first of the said nouns or participles, and is not repeated before the second noun or participle, the latter always relates to the same person that is expressed or described by the first noun or participle: i.e. it denotes a further description of the first named person." As the Greek article does not appear before both nations and Israel, there **are not** two different subjects involved. In other words, nations [Gentiles] and Israel are identical. Thus, there is no Biblical support of "universalism" in Luke 2:25-32!!!

I am advised on the Greek as follows: For those skilled in Greek, a translation of Luke 2:32 might be stated thus: "A light for a revelation of the Nations and honour of your people Israel", (with "the" being added), which is a most literal translation, the word "revelation" being from a noun, *APOKALUPSIS* (apocalypse) and not a verb as the A.V. interpretation implies. It may also be translated "for a revealing of nations and ..." The only variations among the different manuscript editors here concern punctuation and that is always arbitrary. Looking at Berry, he has "a light for revelation of [the] Gentiles and glory of Thy people Israel", but he is lost inasmuch as he doesn't understand the Israel Message, but was honest about the Greek articles. To some this verse means that the Nations of Israel were to be revealed, and they certainly were by Paul. Those nations being only the nations promised to Abraham by Yahweh.

As I stated before, the word "and" in Luke 2:32 is a "copulative conjunction." The word "copulative" is akin to the word "copulation" as in human reproduction. That may be a homely way to illustrate a "copulative conjunction", but it implies "a direct connection." A "conjunction" is a word that joins other words together. The two words in our verse are "nations" and "Israel." In other words, "nations" [Gentiles] and "Israel" are identical!

In short, both Jones and Brooks evidently don't know (or don't care to know) the Greek language (and in particular the Greek article). And we should avoid, at all cost, looking to people like M. R. DeHaan for guidance on this subject as Jory S. Brooks recommended. By not checking the Greek, both Jones and Brooks have perpetrated violent injury to Yahweh's Word!

**Clifton A.
Emahiser's
Non-Universal Teaching
Ministries
1012 N. Vine Street ,
Fostoria , OH 44830
Ph. 419.435.2836;
Fax 419.435.7571; E-mail
caemahiser@sbcglobal.net**

Letters And Views

Sir,

Concerning The Whereabouts of The Ark of Covenant?

A lot is told about the Ark of the Covenant, they all know where he is and it is all nonsense. Read the scriptures and the Apocrypha books. Eli James and William Finck advised us to read the apocrypha for other reasons and this comes out of it. Near the mountain on which Moses stood turned out to be a very nice cave. And there Jeremia placed the Ark and the Tabernacle etc. And he closed it for ever, until the Lord himself will get him out again. And that is certainly NOT with our filthy peoples in today's world. Besides this cave is in Arab land and they will never led anyone search there.

Oh that Ron Wyatt story just forget it.

Read your BIBLE etc. there is the truth. HIS WORD above all, there is no other way. And that no man DECEIVES you!!!! Says our LORD , just believe HIM. Our real leader. He is our Teacher and Preacher.

2 Maccabees Chapter 2

[1] It is also found in the records, that Jeremy the prophet commanded them that were carried

away to take of the fire, as it hath been signified:

[2] And how that the prophet, having given them the law, charged them not to forget the commandments of the Lord, and that they should not err in their minds, when they see images of silver and gold, with their ornaments.

[3] And with other such speeches exhorted he them, that the law should not depart from their hearts.

[4] It was also contained in the same writing, that the prophet, being warned of God, commanded the tabernacle and the ark to go with him, as he went forth into the mountain, where Moses climbed up, and saw the heritage of God.

[5] And when Jeremy came thither, he found an hollow cave, wherein he laid the tabernacle, and the ark, and the altar of incense, and so stopped the door.

[6] And some of those that followed him came to mark the way, but they could not find it.

[7] Which when Jeremy perceived, he blamed them, saying, As for that place, it shall be unknown until the time that God gather his people again together, and receive them unto mercy.

[8] Then shall the Lord shew them these things, and the glory of the Lord shall appear, and the cloud also, as it was shewed under Moses, and as when Solomon desired that the place might be honourably sanctified.

..... Jeremy the prophet stored the Ark of the covenant in a cave near mount Zion. That is now in Arabia and nobody will find it until it is **HIS TIME** - that's not now! **End of Ron Wyatt.**

W. M. Steffelaar - Bavaria, Germany



A Lesson On Haiti

From our South African Correspondent

Nothing of the following is to take away from the unimaginable suffering after the earthquakes in Haiti. A horrendous tragedy!



However, since HAITI now again is in the spotlight, it is only prudent to refresh the history of this small black country with a 10 million population.

Did you know that the US (the "Great Satan") has rebuilt this country numerous times - only to be destroyed by their own people each time?

There are 2 stories in this email. It is a bit long, but if you care about the TRUTH, you will be rewarded with knowledge. HAITI is a pure black country, they hate the white man - yet we keep restoring their country. At one time in history they were one of the richest countries in the new world - until they slaughtered every white man, woman & child on the island.

Read, learn - and then form your own opinion based on the TRUTH, instead of listening to all the BS in the media and from the politicians!

Haiti - The First Black Republic

An Object Lesson for White South Africans
Want a sobering example of what happens to a richly productive agricultural economy when revolutionary Blacks slaughter or drive away White farmers and destroy the crops and livestock, so setting in process a national legacy of decay, destruction, carnage and chaos? No better prototype of this exists than that of the erstwhile French colony of Saint-Domingue, the

semi-island in the Caribbean better known today as the zombieland of Haiti. Few politico-economic orders in history have marked an equally dramatic rise and fall in national fortune, although South Africa, under the ANC/SACP, now threatens to emulate it. Haiti was discovered by Columbus in 1492. After the Spanish killed off all the native Americans (by 1512), they imported African slaves to work in the plantation economy. In 1697, Spain ceded what is now Haiti to France: an area of 10,748 sq. miles.

By the 1770, Haiti had eclipsed other French colonies of the Caribbean in wealth. Sugar exports were greater than those of any other territory in the world, so great that Haiti supplied France with all its needs. This gave France a huge surplus, which it sold at an enormous profit. Haiti's soils were fertile, extensive and well-irrigated, its plantations well-managed.

By 1789 Haiti was the glory of the French colonies, "the jewel of the Caribbean, the single richest colony in the world," as Bernard Dieckerich wrote. The prosperity of the colony was such that dollar-wise its imports and exports exceeded those of the entire United States where, in the same year, George Washington was inaugurated for his first term as president.



At its western extreme Cap Francois (now Cap Haitien), a city of 25,000 with fine public buildings and theatres of stone and brick, was known as "The Paris of the Antilles." By 1789, the colony had been under cultivation for 92 years. Seldon Rodman writes: "The rich alluvial *Plaine du Nord*... boasted a thousand plantation

houses behind monumental pillared gateways. It sparkled at night with the gay illumination of elaborate balls, lighted carriages and the glaring ovens and stacks of boiling-houses refining sugar cane around the clock."

Soon all that was to change.

In 1789, the French Revolution had overthrown the King and proclaimed the doctrine of "Liberty, Equality and Fraternity." Inspired by the events in France, a slave revolt was imminent. On the eve of that rampage, there were some 40,000 Whites in Saint-Domingue, 30,000 free Blacks and mulattos, and almost 500,000 slaves. At the best of times, French military resources in the colony were inadequate. These were far from the best of times.



On May 15, 1791, France's National Revolutionary Assembly voted full equality with Whites to all male mulattos born of two free parents. Though this affected a mere 400 men, it was to inspire the first violent and fiery insurrection of Blacks. The word "fiery" is not just figurative. A fire had been kindled among half a million Black slaves that would not be extinguished till the last of the colony's 40,000 Whites and the majority of free Blacks and mulattos had been killed or driven from the island.

In August, 1791, the lid blew off the colony. Rioting slaves became a great mob that ran amok, uprooting, torching and destroying. Before long Haiti was dominated by roving slave bands. Everywhere there was devastation. In Paris the Revolutionary Assembly had placed itself squarely on the side of the Blacks. It was hinted that the emancipation of the slaves was near at hand.

The Whites fully realized that they faced total extermination should the Blacks take control. The colonists now talked of secession from France .

All normal business in Haiti ceased. The Whites began arming themselves against the Black revolution they feared was about to engulf them. Orders came from Paris that the slaves should crush any outbreak of White resistance.

That was too much for most Whites, who gave up and left, often with nothing but the clothes they stood up in. They were the lucky ones. Soon great fires could be seen in the countryside. The Negroes were burning the cane fields and slaughtering all those Whites and free Blacks unable to flee in time.

Under-manned and under-equipped militia went into the interior on reconnaissance patrols. Few returned. The stories survivors brought back were chilling. The men were at once hacked to death, but the women were gang raped by their slaves before being tortured to death, along with their children. In some cases the women were thrown on top of the bodies of their husbands, fathers or brothers, then raped.

On February 3, 1794, the French revolutionary government officially abolished slavery and declared all the Negroes in Haiti as equal citizens of the state. By 1798 the revolution had succeeded both in establishing the freedom of the slaves and -- decisively for the development of modern Haiti -- in destroying the country's profitable agricultural base. By the end of 1803, France's richest colony laid destitute, a smoking wasteland.

The effects of these historical events have lasted to this day. Haiti is a puny nation, wretchedly poor, and though nominally Catholic, the barbaric rites of voodoo, a survival of the population's African heritage, still flourish. For 195 years the Black Republic has spawned nothing but horror, poverty, disease, sporadic massacre and brutal dictatorships. Today, under all-Black rule, all of its cities are dilapidated and dirty slums, and there are no successful commercial farms left to feed the nation's AID's-ravaged urban poor. The forests have been denuded and none replaced.

Indeed, only 2% of the land is still forested. The impoverished millions scratch a subsistence

from the low-yield soils of the denuded valleys, growing sorghum, rice, yams and pulses. The wealthier nurture a few pigs on tiny holdings. At the peak of its glory, Haiti carried 250,000 cattle.

Haiti got its new name on January 1, 1804, by proclamation of the ex-slave Jean Jacques Dessalines. His first act after having crowned himself Emperor, in imitation of Napoleon, was to seize the tricolour flag of France and tear out the white section. No sooner was Dessalines firmly established on his imperial throne than the order went forth for the total massacre of the White population. On April 25, 1805, he published the proclamation that officially established Haiti as a Black state and banned Whites from its shores.

By 1806 the entire White population had been butchered and the bloodstained island returned to the jungle.

Editor's Note: Our French cousins should have taken note of the pearls of wisdom contained in *The Apocrypha* - "*Wisdom of Jesus Son of Sirach*":

verse 4. *Give to the godly man and help not a sinner*

verse 5 *Do well to him that is lowly but give not to the ungodly: hold back thy bread, and give it not unto him, lest he overmaster thee thereby: for else thou shalt receive twice as much evil for all the good thou shalt have done unto him.*

Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

www.stevenbooks.co.uk/religion.htm

Harold Stough Notes



The Coronation Of Richard Of Bordeaux

Edward the Black Prince, the heir to the British Crown, had passed away, to the grief of the nation in June, the previous year (A.D.1376), and his son, Richard of Bordeaux, Prince of Wales, a stripling of 11 years of age, was introduced to the Parliament sitting in 1377 by the Bishop of St. David's.

In the quaint language of that day he thus addressed the House:-

"And so you may embrace your noble King with the arms of perfect love, since he has sent you him whom you longed for, and after your King embrace with love as perfect, my Lord the Prince, who is here present, (God save him) whom you so ardently desired, and at now seeing, whom, you may repeat your "*Nunc Dimittis*"- for there is through him that peace over Israel, which the Scriptures name-Israel being the heritage of God, and that heritage being also England. For in good truth I believe that God would never have honoured England with victories such as had given' honour to Israel, had he not intended it for his heritage also."

(*Nunc Dimittis* is a hymn sung in the churches, and called the Song of Simeon, which he said when Jesus was presented in the Temple. It begins:- "Lord now lettest Thou Thy servant depart in peace, for mine eyes have seen Thy salvation which thou hast prepared to be ...a glory to Thy people Israel."

From "*Lives of the Princes of Wales*," Dr.Doran, F.S.A., quoting from "Rolls of Parliament", vol.2, P.361.

(All of this quoted from Anglo-Saxons from Palestine by Mrs.Theodore Bent, published in 1908 by Sherratt & Hughes of Manchester.)

To Discriminate Or Not To Discriminate?

By Our S.E. England Correspondent

The “Government’s” mass media channels i.e. TV & the controlled press, are constantly proclaiming that it is wrong to discriminate. However, the scriptures teach otherwise!

Failure to discern between good and evil has been Adamkind’s undoing since the Garden of Eden, when Eve was beguiled / seduced into partaking of that which Yahweh had prohibited by the simple expedient of presenting a lie wrapped up in some truth. It should be noted although Eve did not sin deliberately, judgment fell on Adamkind as a consequence of Eve’s failure to discriminate between good and evil. Therefore, being deceived is no defence in Yahweh’s eyes as he has given us the law and an intellect to perceive the machinations of the adversary.



The TV or teLIEvision as some call it was developed from the outset covertly along two parallel but independent tracks, with the first focusing on blending ‘low light images’ into an existing film or commercial. This was fast (compared with subliminal inserts) and it was also a master stroke in its own right. The low light system was ready for trials in less than six months and the effects were startling.

Essentially while the conscious mind is viewing and inwardly digesting the main film or commercial, the subconscious mind is picking up the low light subliminal images which fall just below the threshold of normal vision. Where the older tachiscope was jerky and only partly efficient, the new ‘low light’ system has proved to

be a control revolution. The low light images are a complete ‘film within a film’ running at normal speed, and thus able to ‘properly educate’ the watching citizens entirely in accord with the Elites’ views.

It therefore behoves us to be very careful of the construct put on information received via the TV and controlled press. Everything must be judged in accordance with those standards laid down in Scripture, especially having regard to the advent of today’s many hi-tech deceptions. For in Hebrews 5:14 we read “*But strong meat belongeth to them that are of full age, even those who by reason of use have their senses exercised to discern both good and evil*”.

Book of Common Prayer

Collect for the Queen, and that of the Day, shall be used this Prayer for the Queen, as supreme Governor of this Church.

BLESSED Lord, who hast called Christian Princes to the defence of thy Faith, and hast made it their duty to promote the spiritual welfare, together with the temporal interest of their people; We acknowledge with humble and thankful hearts thy great goodness to us, in setting thy Servant our most gracious Queen over this Church and Nation; Give her, we beseech thee, all those heavenly graces that are requisite for so high a trust; Let the work of thee her God prosper in her hands; Let her eyes behold the success of her designs for the service of thy true Religion established amongst us; And make her a blessed instrument of protecting and advancing thy Truth, wherever it is persecuted and oppressed; Let Hypocrisy and Profaneness, Superstition and Idolatry, fly before her face; Let not Heresies and false Doctrines disturb the peace of the Church, nor Schisms and causeless Divisions weaken it; But grant us to be of one heart and one mind in serving thee our God, and obeying her according to thy Will; And that these blessings may be continued to after-ages, let there never be one wanting in her house to succeed her in the government of this United Kingdom, that our posterity may see her children's children, and peace upon Israel. So we that are thy people, and the sheep of thy pasture, shall give thee thanks for ever, and will always be showing forth thy praise from generation to generation.

Amen.

The Roman Road Revisited


Star Wars Lesson 2 - Part 4

By Nord Davis

"...There is none righteous

Romans 3 has to be one of the deepest and most difficult chapters in the Bible. The Scofield "Roman Road" picks two verses out of 31 to present a simple, but false gospel suggesting that no one on the whole earth is righteous, that is, no one obeys God's Laws, Statutes and Judgments. This Chapter 3 is a span of thought that includes two other chapters that sincere Bible scholars have wrestled with for centuries. We will not settle it now but you need something to think about that was taught by our Forefathers 200 years ago.

As we look at America and the world, it appears that "sin" abounds everywhere regardless of race, creed or colour. None seem to be "righteous." Let me ask you this question, however. Which race of people were in the valley when Moses came down from Mount Sinai and gave them The Law?



There is only one race of people ever given The Law and who also promised that they would obey it. Therefore, **and this is a key point**, all people other than Jacob-Israel are not unrighteous for their status is such that they were never obliged to keep the Laws of Jacob-Israel in the first place. Thus, the statement by St. Paul that there are none righteous can only

apply to the Jacob-Israel nation upon whom such righteousness is a requirement. For instance, did you obey the Law that requires **all men** to sign up for the draft? No, because that law specifies only to certain young men between 18 and 25. Since you are not under the jurisdiction of that statute, you are not a "sinner" and you are not unrighteous, either by omission or commission. St. Paul, in these Chapters, refers to the Gentiles as having the Law written in their hearts [Chapter 2:15] which happened at the instant Christ died. He states that the Judeans [not Jews, Chapter 2:17] boast of the Law. He does not apply the Law to anyone but the two Houses of Jacob-Israel. So, while the Negro and the Asian do those things that Jacob-Israel calls "sin," the fact of the matter is since they are not of the status to be subject to those Laws, they are not unlawful or unrighteous. Are you beginning to grasp this deeper understanding? Unless you and I are of Jacob-Israel, and descended from those forefathers who promised that they would obey the law, **in a strictly lawful sense**, we are not sinners! Death did not pass unto **all men** because of Adam, only the children of Adam.

Adam is from a Hebrew word, aw-dawm, which as Strong's word #120 states, is a man who has a ruddy complexion, and has the unique ability to show blood in the face or blush. Only Adam's children, not the other races of the earth, can blush and show red in the face, are those upon whom death passed because of disobedience to the Jacob-Israel Laws of God. All of God's creation upon whom He gave the "breath of life," [Strong's words #5397 & 7307] eventually die, losing the breath of life. In the Adam, Abraham, Jacob-Israel line there is the promise of life in the world to come. The wages of sin to this group is death just as it is with the rest of

creation. Scripturally, only a small remnant, in the proportion of Gideon's 32,000 to 300, one out of a hundred, will be found among the Elect of Jesus Christ with the assured promise of everlasting life.

What do you think the key word here is? I think most Christians wish that St. Paul had not put in this word, **yet**. Yet is Strong's: word #2089, and it means **still**. I asked my young Bible students if this meant that I was a sinner before Christ died for me? Does that mean that Almighty God unconditionally selected and foreordained me to be conformed to the image of His Son, Who died for my sins before I was even born? Yes, that is true and it is one of the most beautiful personal thoughts in the Bible. As St. Paul explains that great mystery in Romans, Chapter 9, Almighty God has the power of The Potter, to make of one lump of clay one vessel unto honour and another unto dishonour. If you are one of God's Elect, God has ordained it for His Purposes and you had nothing whatever to do with it. Further, you do not even have the ability to resist it. Contrary to the Scofield Cult, Grace, if extended to you, is irresistible. If you are of His Elect, the matter was settled while you were yet, still, a sinner. If it was so settled, and it is not of your doing and a matter you cannot resist, will your saved status ever change while you are living? No, for if you are His Elect, it is God's duty under contract, to preserve you and guard you and see that no one can snatch you out of His Hand. Christ stated, "Of them which Thou hast given me, I have not lost one." [St. John 18:9] As we have learned previously in this lesson, the text makes a deeper sense if it were to read, " ...Of them which Thou hast given me, I have put none away in punishment" St. Paul, in Romans 8:38-39, an effort to explain this mystery stated, "For I am persuaded that neither death, nor life, nor angels, nor principalities, nor things present, nor things to come, nor powers, nor height, nor depth, nor any other creature will be able to separate us from God's love..." There is absolutely nothing in this world; nothing in the past, present or future, not tribulation, anguish, persecu-

tion, famine nor sword, that can alter the assured fact that Almighty God called us from the foundation of the world. There is no way that we can get up out of the wrong side of the bed some morning and turn against God. The common preaching today, in effect, is that you can get saved one day, and then in some emotionally charged moment, take it all back. Well, if you are the co-originator of your salvation, that is, you chose Christ, then there would be nothing to prevent you from deciding one day that this was not the lifestyle, dropping out of Christianity whenever you want. Christian humanists, wanting always to have final and absolute control over their whole life, insist that if one does not have the choice to drop out, then that would make him some sort of "robot." Listen to Christians when they pray. When they are in trouble, or



some loved one is injured or sick, they pray as though Almighty God and His Son controlled every part of the outcome. Once everything is going fine for them, they go back to their

humanist ideas, and think that they are co-authors of their salvation. No, if you are one of the Elect, then even your faith is a gift of God, not as some teach, your faith is **your gift to God**. Everything on the earth is exactly as God wants it to be at any given moment. Yes, this includes our problems, heartaches and disappointments. All things work together for good. If this were not so, then Almighty God has no control of His Creation, and we do not need Him.

Notice: All of my students know this, but since many new ones will be reading Star Wars for the first time, it is important to emphasize one very serious point at this time.

I hold no hatred or dislike for the Negro, Indian or Asian races. Hundreds of these people are on our mailing list and they understand the truth of our teachings. Those people that God calls good in Genesis 1:31, I also say are good. Those whom Almighty God says are chosen above all other people of the earth, I call chosen above the other people of the earth. Those peoples and kindreds who God says He hates, as in Romans 9:13 and Malachi 1:3, I hold that same position, doing so regardless of recent regulations and enforcement programs to the contrary. Where



God says that interracial marriage is wicked, I also make that statement without apology to anyone who might be thereby offended. When Jesus Christ states under His Authority that certain people are of their father the Devil, I have no problem with making similar statements, adamantly holding to that Biblical position. Those who are offended by God's Word and our fearless proclamation of it, need to study the Word prayerfully seeking truth. Do not write letters to me arguing with how God's Word lines up with the Fatherhood of God, Brotherhood of man ideas that you may hold. We are called to teach and not debate how man's Secular Humanism might make more or less logical sense than the Bible does. Please also do not bring your arguments to me from the framework of such non-bibles as the so-called Living Bible and other deceptive popular mistranslations. Address any reasonable questions to me from the King James, (with many reservations), Webster or the Geneva texts. Ro-

man Catholic readers may ask questions from the Douay-Rheims translation, [with many] reservations, but certainly nothing else translated and published after about 1950.

"Because he loved thy fathers, therefore he chose their seed after them." -Deuteronomy 4:37'

The Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself." Deuteronomy 7:6 and 14:2

"And thy servant is in the midst of thy people *which* thou hast chosen." -I Kings 3:8

"And I will bring forth a **SEED** out of Jacob, and out of Judah, an inheritor of my mountains (nations); and mine elect shall inherit it and dwell therein." -Isaiah 65:9

"We are THE PEOPLE of his pasture ..." -Psalm 95:7

"And the SEED of Israel separated themselves from all strangers." Nehemiah 9:2

Solving The Theological Riddles

The riddle to be solved is this: Since the Pharisee Jews have been identified by Christ as being Satan's physical seedline and kindred, then the Gospel of Christ is, not for them. If their seedline was not a physical one, but *only* a "spiritual one," as some suggest, then there would be nothing to prevent Esau's children from getting their "spirit right with God" and be saved. Some of my good Christian friends, who hold that Satan does not have a literal seedling, are preaching, in effect; that **ALL MEN** can be saved, if they would only get, their "spiritual genes" altered-by getting "the spiritual seed of Satan" out and "spiritual woman's seed" *in*. That, they say, is but -a simple matter of their "free will to choose Christ and thus become a spiritual Israelite." They imply that by your will, you can change from a Tare to a Wheat.

If there is one thread of theology that is consistent all through the Bible, it is that people are chosen of God, or rejected of God, because of their literal physical genes, and not because of their will or

intentional spiritual attitude. In fact, as Biblical history shows us clearly, God's Chosen People had a terrible and wicked "spiritual attitude" for the majority of the time, but merely because of their kindred and race and some promises made to their fathers, God chose them and kept them as the apple of his eye, anyway.

One of the key postulates of the New Age Movement is that Satan does not exist except as a figment of our imagination, or as a mere "spiritual entity" that can be wished away at will. Thus, they teach, your mind is either God or Satan depending upon how you think. When pushed to the wall, Secular Humanists, who recognize neither God nor Satan, would also hold that this is true but use different terms for them, such as Light and Dark Forces. The key fable of Esau's people is that they are not fathered by Satan, and for that matter, Satan does not exist. Some hold the opposite position, that Satan is God, and that God does not exist, so that He could not have a Chosen Race. Thus, they say: All Men are really brothers. You will find this humanistic teaching coming from a host of different places, from so-called Bible scholars and intellectuals, to the dregs of the drug, occult and Eastern cultures. Recognize the concept when you hear it, regardless of its source.



So, if Esau-Edom-Moab and other interrelated Children of the Wicked One are to be burned as stubble, and the Negro and the Asian peoples were never, so far as we know, in Jerusalem in the thousands of years of their history, then who and what race are the Children of The Promise? Every race has been ruled out except one,

the Caucasian race. As far back as history records, Christianity has been the theology of the Caucasian peoples of the earth; regardless of the dozens of different ways they have preached or promoted it. ,

Let's put away the myth, this Jewish fable from the mind of Esau-Edom, that Jesus Christ died for **All Men**, and that the **Whole World**, meaning that every race is fertile ground into which the so-called "spiritual seed of Christ can be sown and reap fruit." The majority of the world are "thorn people:" they are "stony place people"; they are "street people" and so forth. They are not given to see or understand, and God does not want them to be converted. For hundreds of years, Christians have sent their missionaries into the Asian and Negro nations of the world, yet there has yet to rise anything like a Christian nation among them. Yes, they have been induced into making Christian professions, and to sing Christian hymns, but where is the national Christian fruit? Their society and their primary culture does not change. All things do not become new. They may even worship Christ, beg for His help, and seem to have "great faith," but once the Christian missionary leaves their land, as we have watched for the past 40 years, their theology and culture returns to what it has been for thousands of years. Face it. Brother and Sister, you know it is the truth.

A certain Judean lawyer once asked Christ a legal question regarding the meaning of the word "neighbour" whom Christ had commanded His followers to love. The answer that Jesus Christ gave to this Judean was racially motivated. This neighbour would be from among a people that the Judeans disliked and despised! These neighbours, in striking contrast to the Edomite Jews, would be identified as a people bearing fruit of the Kingdom. No, he would not be like either the Priest or the "Levite" of that day, but would be of a different kindred and race -- a Samaritan. Did it ever occur to you that at that time in history, almost all of the House of Judah and the House of Israel

had been taken into captivity in Assyria? See what you miss when you do not study your Bible? Jesus knew where His sheep were, although in contrast to the popular hymn, the 99 were "lost," and only "I" was not. A tiny remnant of Jacob's Seed remained in Jerusalem, just enough to accomplish God's Prophetic Appointments. During the longest period of Israel's history, it was Samaria, not Jerusalem, that was the capital city of the Ten Tribes of Israel. This is the kindred of all the sons of Jacob except Judah and Benjamin. Thus, the Good Samaritan was not of Judah, and of course not a "Jew." The ministers today know that the vast majority of Israelites are not of Judah and cannot be lawfully called "Jews." They will never tell you that the majority of historic Israelites escaped from Assyria over the Caucasian Mountains to the north and have been generally known as "Caucasians" ever since.



So, Christ told this lawyer, that the House of Judah's neighbours to be loved is Ten-Tribed Israel, those people who *generally* seem to do things, such as caring for the sick and unfortunate that Christians do. Incidentally, the particular word Christ used here proves the point. The Greek word translated "neighbour" is *adelphos*. It means literally, "united in the womb." Love those, Christ instructs, who are "united in the womb with you." Again, Christ flatly told this man a highly emotional racial truth. This is not a "spiritual unity in the womb." The Asians, the Negroes, and all the Houses of Cain, Esau and Moab are not united in

the womb with us of Caucasian Christian Israel stock and kindred. None of these peoples, including the Jews from one or more of these peoples, have produced fruits of the Kingdom during the past 2,000 years.

Interesting, isn't it? This Is a Bible truth that we are not supposed to mention in polite society. Jesus Christ repeated again and again that His coming was not but unto the put away in punishment sheep of the House of Israel. He came to redeem His Neighbours and His People who were united in the womb with Him. How does your minister make "ALL NATIONS" or "EVERY MAN" out of so clear a teaching? A Primitive Methodist Church I know in Georgia has over the pulpit the declared motto of the church:

"Go therefore and teach all nations." - St. Matthew 29:19

In English, we tend to use the definite article "the" everywhere, scattering it through our language and there is usually no emphasis on it. This is not so in the Koine Greek. In English I would write, "I read the book." In Greek, if I used the definite article, I must write, "I read **the** book." In the original Greek text the above words of Christ read, "...teach all the nations..." **The Nations** are those united in the womb for thousands of years. **The Nations** does not mean **All Nations**, for to do so would contradict hundreds of pages of your Bible. God is not the author of such confusion. Esau and his Christian deceivers are, and from such, turn away.

With all that we have written, with the great sweep and scope of Scripture, from the first chapters of Genesis to the last words of Revelation, it has been set in concrete that only the kindred of Israel, out of the generations of Adam, are the people of God. Christ reminded us once again, before completing the Word of God:

"I, Jesus, have sent mine angel to testify unto you these things in the churches. I am the root and off-spring of David, the bright and morning star. And the Spirit and the Bride (physical Israel) say, Come. And to

him that is athirst, Come. And whosoever will, let him take of the water of life freely." Revelation 22:16-17

Whosoever is not a universal invitation extended to All Peoples and All Men. The invitation from Jesus, the Spirit, and the Bride-nation is Come into your Father's House, His Kingdom designed and being built from the foundations of the earth.

My Analysis And Personal Opinion...An Editorial Comment

The major problem in America, as I see it, is not philosophical, political, social, racial or economic. The problem is theological. I use the term Thought-theology, because as a man thinketh, so is he. America was once a Christian land, and Almighty God blessed us above every nation on the earth, in lifestyle, material wealth, and military power to defend ourselves. We were once a nation that never lost a war, you could walk the streets without fear, and in which murderers were executed. We were a nation that understood the racial questions and taught that every race was to be after its own kind, and in general, the various races did get along well within their own social and ethnic structures.

H. Kissinger



er. Today, we have become an Esau-Zionist controlled nation where interracial marriages are not only permitted but encouraged. American soldiers are sent into heathen lands and encouraged to bring back heathen (as a Biblical term and sense) wives and their half-breed children

We did not permit the heathen, and people who refused to work, to vote themselves a welfare income from the common treasury, or put over us anti-christ Zionist leaders of the likes of Henry Kissinger.

to live amongst us, thus altering our national Thought-theology along the planned lines of the Brotherhood of Man theme. So-called Christian churches and organizations encourage the importation of these Asian-American children, mixed with either Caucasian or Negro blood. Then they are taught the Gospel, as if it were intended for All Men and Whosoever Will. But Almighty God, Who changes not, said in Deuteronomy 23:2;

"A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD, even unto his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the Lord."

The Hebrew word **bastard** does not mean an illegitimate child born out of wedlock, as it does in English, but is the Hebrew word **mamzer**, literally and positively meaning mongrel, i.e. a child of the union of an Israelite and any of the non-Israelites. Your Bible makes it plain that such mongrels are not to enter the Kingdom of Heaven prepared by Jesus Christ since the foundation of the world.

St. Paul amplifies this teaching in Hebrews 12:8 wherein he makes the point that God only corrects "His sons" and not All Men. St Paul draws a clear distinction between legitimate sons, and illegitimate sons, and the New Testament calls these people bastards. The other races are good, as God has created them for His purposes, each after his own kind. When this is not the case, and bastards are conceived, it is not good. Secular Humanist Christians, who are always questioning God's Rights and Authority, flatly state that He is not just and fair with this bastard child, since his wretched situation is not "his fault." Scofielditis, a common terminal infection of the Thought-theology, says that this teaching is terrible and that God is a God of Love and Compassion Who could not hold this "unjust attitude." There must be some mistake! What these Humanists do not know is that all races have a particular racial memory that God has built into them for their own good. In love for His People, and for the greater good of the other races He created, He does not want these racial memories mixed between the

ances, as happens when bastards are conceived. You can see the major problems that these bastards must cope with, having the blend of both racial memories and not understanding those conflicting forces going on in their minds.

Classical Records Of The Dorian & Danaan Israelite-Greeks

By: William Finck



The Corinthians were Dorian Greeks. The Dorians were a tribe said to have invaded Greece, by all ancient accounts, a short time after the Trojan wars. The Greeks who inhabited all of the Peloponnese before the Dorian invasion, as well as areas of the mainland, were called everywhere “Danaans” (Danai) and “Achaians” by Homer. Modern historians assert that the Dorians came “from the north”, and point to the Dorian Tetrapolis, four cities (Erineus, Boeum, Pindus and Cytinium, for which see Strabo 9.4.10) which lie west of Phocis and north of Delphi on the Greek mainland, as evidence of this. These historians also claim that all Aryans came “from the north” into the ancient world at one time or another, yet they are consistently in error. Homer is given much credit by Strabo for his knowledge and accuracy in describing the peoples of the οὐκὸς and the regions where they lived, and the poet is constantly cited by the geographer. Homer described all of the people of Greece, and the peoples and places known to the Greeks in the period which he wrote about. Yet Homer makes no mention of the cities of the Tetrapolis, of Dorians in Greece, or anywhere in the north. The Dorians, who invaded Greece by sea (hardly necessary if they came from the north) and pushed the Danaans out of the Peloponnese, and who also later founded their mainland cities, are only mentioned by Homer as being on Crete (in his *Odyssey*, Book 19).

It is my contention that the Dorians actually came from Dor in Palestine, a city on the coast of the land of Manasseh, and where many ancient “Greek” artifacts have been found by archaeologists, for which see *Biblical Archaeology Review*, July-August 2001, p. 17, and November-December, 2002, “Gorgon Excavated At Dor”, p. 50. These artefacts show a “Greek” presence at Dor as late as the seventh century B.C., and are certainly much earlier than the Hellenistic period. The seventh century B.C. is the time of the last recorded Assyrian activity in Israel (see Ezra 4:2, Esar-Haddon reigned from 681 B.C.), and the last deportations of Israelites which happened about 676 B.C. (see *The Assyrian Invasions And Deportations of Israel* by J. Llewellyn Thomas). For evidence that Israelite priests were indeed present at Dor see *Biblical Archaeology Review*, May-June 2001, p. 21 and the article there. If the Dorians migrated from Palestine, rather than from the north, Crete is a logical place to begin settling, enroute to the west. Further evidence that the Dorians were Israelites is found in Josephus, in his record of a letter written by a Spartan (or Lacedemonian, and they were also Dorian Greeks) king to Jerusalem about 160 B.C., which is found in *Antiquities* 12.4.10 (12:226-227):

Areus, King of the Lacedemonians, To Onias, Sendeth Greeting. We have met with a certain writing, whereby we have discovered that both the Judaeans and the Lacedemonians are of one stock, and are derived from the kindred of Abraham. It is but just, therefore, that you, who are our brethren, should send to us about any of your concern as you please. We will also do the same thing, and esteem your concerns as our own, and will look upon our concerns as in common with yours. Demotoles, who brings you this letter, will bring your answer back to us. This letter is foursquare; and the seal is an eagle, with a dragon in his claws.” That this account of the letter, and its contents, is factual is verified by the reply to it recorded by

Josephus at Antiq. 13.5.8 (13:163-1 70), by Jonathan the high priest.

The reply to this letter was long delayed, due to the Maccabean wars and problems amongst the Judaeans which are described by Josephus. Since it is also documented in 1st Maccabees chapter 12 in the Apocrypha, here the version from Brenton's *Septuagint* is supplied: "Jonathan the high priest, and the elders of the nation, and the priests, and the other people of the Judaeans, unto the Lacedemonians their brethren send greeting: There were letters sent in times past unto Onias the high priest from Darius, who reigned then among you, to signify that ye are our brethren, as the copy here underwritten doth specify. At which time Onias entreated the ambassador that was sent honourably, and received the letters, wherein declaration was made of the league and friendship. Therefore we also, albeit we need none of these things, for that we have the holy books of scripture in our hands to comfort us, have nevertheless attempted to send unto you for the renewing of brotherhood and friendship, lest we should become strangers unto you altogether: for there is a long time passed since ye sent unto us. We therefore at all times without ceasing, both in our feasts, and other convenient days, do remember you in the sacrifices which we offer, and in our prayers, as reason is, and as it becometh us to think upon our brethren: and we are right glad of your honour As for ourselves, we have had great troubles and wars on every side, forsomuch as the kings that are round about us have fought against us. Howbeit we would not be troublesome unto you, nor to others of our confederates and friends, in these wars: for we have help from heaven that succoureth us, so as we are delivered from our enemies, and our enemies are brought under foot. For this cause we chose Numenius *the son of Antiochus*, and Antipater *the son of Jason*, and sent them unto the Romans, to renew the amity that we had with them, and the former league. We commanded them also to go unto you, and to salute you, and to deliver you our letters concerning the renewing of our brotherhood. Wherefore now ye shall do well to give us an answer thereto. And this is the copy of the letters which Oniases sent. Areus king of the Lacedemonians to Onias the high priest, greeting: It is found in writing, that the Lacedemonians and Judaeans are brethren, and that they are of the stock of Abraham: now therefore, since this is come to our knowledge, ye shall do

well to write unto us of your prosperity. We do write back again to you, that your cattle and goods are ours, and ours are yours. We do command therefore *our ambassadors* to make report unto you on this wise." (1st Maccabees 12:6-23)



Corinth

Now many may object to identifying the later Corinthians of Paul's time as Dorians, because the city was destroyed and later rebuilt by the Romans. And this is true, for in 146

B.C. the Roman consul Leucius Mummius captured Corinth and razed it by fire, selling the surviving populace into slavery, as was customary for the Romans to do. Giving the account, Strabo tells us that afterwards "the Sicyonians obtained most of the Corinthian country" (8.6.23). That the Sicyonians, those of the neighboring district, were also Dorians is evident in many places besides Diodorus Siculus at 7.9.1 ("Fragments of Book VII" in the Loeb Library edition) where he states: "it remains for us to speak of Corinth and of Sicyon, and of the manner in which the territories of these cities were settled by the Dorians." Sicyon, a sort of sister city of Corinth, was its equal in the arts, where Strabo says of Corinth: "for both here and in Sicyon the arts of painting and modelling and all such arts of the craftsman flourished most" (8.6.23). So in this manner did the territory of Corinth retain a Dorian composition of its population, but that is not the entire story..



Strabo speaks of the rebuilding of Corinth as such was ordered by Caesar, which began about 44 B.C., and states that "it was restored again, because of its favorable position, by the deified Caesar, who colonised

it with people that belonged for the most part to the freedmen class" (8.6.23). Yet Diodorus Siculus (in "*Fragments of Book XXXII*" in the Loeb Library edition) is recorded as tell-

ing us further: “Gaius Iulius Caesar (who for his great deeds was entitled *divus*), when he inspected the site of Corinth, was so moved by compassion and the thirst for fame that he set about restoring it with great energy. It is therefore just that this man and his high standard of conduct should receive our full approval and that we should by our history accord him enduring praise for his generosity. For whereas his forefathers had harshly used the city, he by his clemency made amends for their unrelenting severity, preferring to forgive rather than to punish” (32.27.3).

Now the only way that Caesar’s deeds could justly be called a *restoring*, *clemency*, or *forgiveness*, as they are here, would be that the “freedmen” which he let repopulate the rebuilt Corinth were descendants of those Corinthians enslaved in its destruction 102 years earlier. This is in keeping with Roman custom, as is evident at Acts 6:9, where we see Judaeans “freedmen” living in the homeland of their ancestors, whom must have been taken captive in the Roman conquest of Judaea by Pompey some generations earlier. The settling of anyone but Dorians in a rebuilt Corinth could not have been termed *clemency* or *forgiveness*, but rather would have been seen as an insult to the Sicyonians, the Lacedaemonians, and the rest of the Dorians of the Peloponnese. Yet an examination of the Roman custom along with Diodorus’ words surely implies that when Strabo attests that the restored Corinthians were “for the most part” of the “freedmen class”, he surely meant those freedmen descended from the original Corinthian stock taken captive.

Furthermore, Paul at 1 Corinthians 10:1 tells the Corinthians “Now I do not wish you to be ignorant, brethren, that our fathers were all under the cloud, and all had passed through the sea”, therefore telling the Corinthians that their ancestors had been in the Israelite Exodus out of Egypt.

The Greeks of Thebes were identified as Phoenicians, and the Greek ‘gods’ Heracles (Hesiod’s *Theogony*, 530) and Dionysus (Diodorus Siculus, 1.23.2-8; 3.64.3 and 3.66.3) were both said to be born there. Cadmus the Phoenician was said to have founded Thebes, and also to have been the grandfather of Dionysus (Diodorus Siculus 4.2.1, 4.2.2-3 et al.), and to have come from the city of Thebes in Egypt (1.23.4). These Phoenicians of Thebes were often associated


with the Danaans. Euripides’ *Phoenician Women*, a 5th century play, was written about the women of Thebes and events said to have taken place long before the Trojan War, which Aeschylus also wrote about in *Seven Against Thebes*, the succession battle between the sons of Oedipus for the throne of Thebes, in which Polynices enlists the help of the Danaans against his brother Eteocles.

Diodorus Siculus, quoting from the earlier historian Hecataeus of Abdera, who gave a strange account of the Israelite Exodus from Egypt from an Egyptian viewpoint, says “the aliens were driven from the country, and the most outstanding and active among them banded together and, as some say, were cast ashore in Greece and certain other regions; their leaders were notable men, chief

among them being Danaus and Cadmus. But the greater number were driven into what is now called Judaea ... The colony was headed by a man called Moses, outstanding both for his wisdom and for his courage.”

Cadmus, called “the Phoenician” throughout Classical Greek literature, was the legendary founder of Thebes. Danaus, “the Egyptian” as he also is usually called, was the legendary leader of the Danaans (Danai) who came to Greece from Egypt, who could only have been a portion of the Israelite tribe of Dan (cf. Judges 5:17, Ezek. 27:19). This event was parodied in later Classical literature as the flight of the “daughters of Danaus” from the sons of Aegyptus”, an example being the play by Aeschylus, *Suppliant Maidens*. The point here is to show that the Danaans came to Greece directly from Egypt, and so were never “under the cloud” in the Exodus, having left Egypt in a different manner.

The Romans descended from the Trojans (for which see Strabo 5.3.2, 13.1.27 et al., Diodorus Siculus 7.4.1-4, 7.5, Virgil’s *Aeneid* and many other sources), and neither could their fathers have been “under the cloud” because Darda, the son of Mahol of the tribe of Judah-Zerah (1 Kings 4:31; 1 Chron. 2:6) by all accounts must have lived long before the Exodus. Darda was the legendary founder of Troy, and Homer consistently refers to the Trojans as



Dardans. Chalcol (1 Kings 4:31, or Calcol at 1 Chron. 2:6) must be the Calchas of Greek legend who founded Pamphylia (i.e. Herodotus 7.91, Strabo 14.4.3), called Chalcad in the Septuagint in Kings, but Kalchal in Chronicles. The names of these Greek legends being found in the Bible belonging to Israelites, compared to Solomon in wisdom and who therefore must have been great men, are surely beyond coincidence. Zerah went to Egypt without his famous sons, who are not mentioned elsewhere in the Biblical accounts (Gen. 46:12), and Troy was so called in Hittite records which existed two centuries before the Exodus. So then, the Zerah-Judah Trojans, ancestors of the Romans, must have parted from the Israelites long before that time, possibly even before Jacob went to Egypt. Later "Phoenician" colonists in the Mediterranean were the Israelites of the northern tribes who sailed from Tyre and Sidon.

These settled not in Greece nor in Italy, but in Cyprus, Cilicia, Miletus, Carthage, Iberia and other points further west. Among the other Greek tribes, the Pelasgians were in Greece

before the Danaans, the Aeolians are but a division of the Danaans, and the Ionians are descendants of Japheth. There are no other candidate tribes but the Dorians in Italy or Greece who could have been "under the cloud", as Paul states of the Corinthians' ancestors at 1 Cor. 10:1. Paul must have been addressing, at least for the most part, Dorian Greeks.

One outstanding feature of Paul's letters to the Corinthians are his frequent admonishments to them not to commit fornication (πορνεία, illicit sex including, but not limited to, prostitution and race-mixing), which are found at 1 Cor. 5, 6, 7, 10, and 2 Cor. 12. The Corinthians of old were famous for their fornicating, to the extent that a verb was coined, κορινθιάζομαι (*korinthiazomai*), which meant *to practise fornication*, as used by Aristophanes and others. The city was also famous for its temple of Aphrodite and the courtesans, slaves which worked as temple prostitutes (πόρνεία), which belonged to the temple.

Teflon Coated Frying Pans And Other Products Are A Health Hazard From Our UK Correspondent

In two to five minutes on a conventional stovetop, cookware coated with Teflon and other non-stick surfaces can exceed temperatures at which the coating breaks apart and emits toxic particles and gases linked to hundreds, perhaps thousands, of pet bird deaths and an unknown number of human illnesses each year, according to tests commissioned by Environmental Working Group (EWG).

In new tests conducted by a university food safety professor, a generic non-stick frying pan preheated on a conventional, electric stovetop burner reached 736°F in three minutes and 20 seconds, with temperatures still rising when the tests were terminated. A Teflon pan reached 721°F in just five minutes under the same test conditions (See Figure 1 next page), as measured by a commercially available infrared thermometer. DuPont studies show that the Teflon gases off toxic particulates at 446°F. At 680°F Teflon pans release at least six toxic gases, including two carcinogens, two global pollutants, and MFA, a chemical lethal to humans at

low doses. At temperatures that DuPont scientists claim are reached on stovetop drip pans (1000°F), non-stick coatings break down to a chemical warfare agent known as PFIB, and a chemical analogue of the WWII nerve gas phosgene.



For the past fifty years DuPont has claimed that their Teflon coatings do not emit hazardous chemicals through normal use. In a recent press release, DuPont wrote that "significant decomposition of the coating will occur only

The History of Bible Distortion

By Pastor Eli James

(Judgment Day Perspectives Newsletter 5th February 2010)

Christian Israel: The more I study and learn the Scriptures, the more I realize how much there is still to learn. After 30 years of Bible study, I can say that the technique that I have developed - learned from the likes of Howard B. Rand, Bertrand Comparet, Sheldon Emry and others - which I call **the Word Study Method**, is the best method there is for resolving translational problems. The nice thing about this method is that you don't need to know either Hebrew or Greek. All you need is a Concordance and a few reference books.

Essentially, it works like this: Whenever you feel that there is a problem with the translation, you must refer back to the original languages. This is what all real Bible scholarship should be based on. In fact, it is the exact meaning of the Westminster Confession, which states:

*The Old Testament in Hebrew, which was the native language of the people of God of old, and the New Testament in Greek, which at the time of the writing of it was most generally known to the nations, being immediately inspired by God and by His singular care and providence kept pure in all ages, **are therefore authentic**; so as, in all controversies of religion, **the Church is finally to appeal unto them.***

When these old-time scholars had a problem with the translation, this is what they would do. As I have said many times, **no translation can live up to the original text.** Anyone who believes that the KJV is inerrant is seriously deluded. The modern translations are full of anti-Hebrew and anti-Greek agendas. My scholarship has revealed hundreds of mistranslations and dozens of agendas. And the more expert I become at this technique, the more I disagree with the conventional wisdom of both Judaism and Judeo-Christianity. Both of these religions are abominations that have made wholesale changes to the meanings intended by the Hebrew and Greek prophets. All of my writing has been devoted to exposing these deliberately contrived translations and misrepresentations of the original Hebrew and Greek. I have given this group of blind guides the name, "Distortionists." It's high time that the methods

of these distortionists be exposed for all the world to see.

As an example of my work, I offer Arsenal of Words, which is a detailed study of how the original meanings of these words have been corrupted by the Jews and the Judeo-Christians, to the effect that they teach the grossest errors imaginable in the name of the Bible.

The prophet Paul foresaw all of this deception in II Thess. 2.



\$29.95

**Order now, using our
secure server,
get the PDF format
E-Book Or**

**Get the PDF Now for
\$20.00**

Wednesday Night Bible Study (Verbatim Transcription)

16th September 1964 - Dr. Wesley Swift



The late Dr. Wesley and Lorraine Swift

Editor's Note: When reading this Bible Study, please make allowance for the fact that this is a verbatim transcript taken from a tape, which its self is nearly ½ a century old, despite this we thought our readers would find it interesting.

Question: The Books of the Bible?

Answer: There were 163 books which should be classified as inspired books. Some of them were scrolls and might not be but two or three chapters as we would term them today. But remember that none of these scrolls that make up the books of the Bible were originally divided in chapters and verses. This was done back in the early period of printing. Many books and many volumes and anything pertaining to religion or theology were done in chapters and verses, so as to locate it again, it brings out any point they wished to discuss. So it became sort of a religious legal form, as they started putting everything in chapters and verses. We do have the book of Jude, a short book which is just one chapter. But there were 163 books or volumes and scrolls which could have made many chapters and books. All were inspired and all of them could have been used, by the early Patriarchs down to the writing of the New Testament. The sum total were more than that but 163 were known to be inspired. The council of Nicaea sometimes called Nicolea today by the contem-

porary commentators talking about the crisis in Cyprus, and so forth, but they were not Nicolaitanes, and they are not pronouncing this according to the old associations.

But they had well over 300 volumes to choose from and they whittled them down to only 66 books and only 64 of them belonged in the original of 163. Out of that 300 or more volumes of scrolls there were actually 163 good ones, and you will ask how do I find them? This is a little hard to do but you can find them. It is almost impossible, yet not to find the book of Enoch, that is the old books of Enoch which was actually three books, "The Secrets of Enoch", "The Towers of Enoch", and what was known as the "Wisdom of Enoch" which is commonly called "The Book of Enoch", and this last is the easiest found. But they used to be in great separate scrolls, and were then bound together into one huge volume. The scrolls were bound shortly after the Wycliff Bible came out. Then after this the Lutherans and the Catholics produced the book of Enoch, and they had revised the whole thing. They did this because this book of Enoch was in such common use everywhere as it had been even in the periods prior to the time of Christ. During the time of Christ the Enoch Scrolls were heavily used, and this was true clear back to the time of the Patriarchs, but they were not as much copied and plentiful as they were preceding the time of Christ, and two or three centuries following that time. But Rome had to do something about this because you could not have hells and fires and torture chambers, and have the book of Enoch in use, because there was not anything like this in the books of Enoch. Therefore there wasn't anything to do but change it just as the Apocalypse of Paul would also be changed.

The Apocalypse of Paul was one of the most vital pieces of literature for the early church. Fortunately the whole of the Apocalypse of Paul was copied over and over and various churches had it. And of course Christians everywhere wanted to read it. Evangelists and Apostles would read to them out of the Apocalypse of Paul because this was the sum total of the Mysteries and the Wisdom which God had taught Paul in his heavenly vision. This made up the

foundation of the majority of the Epistles which he wrote. Those came out of the content of the Apocalypse of Paul, and of course out of the content of the things YAHWEH had unveiled to him. So in this was things which related to space, to the Universe, to the antiquity of earth, and of course related to people. But there was absolutely no perdition, no hells, no fires or torture chambers for a man after he died, none of these things existed. In fact because superstition had such impact upon men, because all pagan religions had some type of situation like this, the Apostle Paul was told by Archangels and by YAHWEH himself, "Men do not sow in one field and reap in another." In other words men do not sow in their bodies in earth then reap in the heavens, or in some distant place, and their spirits were not burned in fire, and all that kind of stuff. This was all pagan grotesque Babylonian type stuff which was used to oppress, and to intimidate people, and to get them to serve their type of demons. And they classified any other religion as being in opposition to the truth when demonology of Babylon was taught. All those things were brought into our religion to keep people in a fear complex. This is why they needed to replace the original Apocalypse and the books of Enoch, plus the Gospel of Nicodemus would get Universal reading, because people were eager to hear these things, so they decided they better translate this book, or these books for themselves.



Rome had one of their Popes dictate the redoing of the entire book of Enoch, thus the "Towers of Enoch", "The Secrets of Enoch", and the "Wisdom of Enoch" were taken apart and then they inserted even in them their predictions and fires and torture chambers. Then in this copy, they pushed this as a popular copy with Rome's

permission. This is why when they were hunting the scriptures for an English translation of the Bible, they used these books and translated these same Vatican manuscripts and documents into English. They knew about the existence of the books of Enoch and many other pieces of literature. So they took these books and looked at the others then made sure that they had the translations they wanted to uphold in their own church. This is the reason why if you get a book of Enoch today, that the Charles edition published by Oxford, it has been republished ever few years, and it has some things in it that are true. It has a part of the background where as at the same time it has part of the mumbo-jumbo put in so that it supports the doctrines the church established, but which were not the doctrines of Enoch at all. Great license was then taken by theologians to add, to subtract from, to change anything the Apostles said. This is a rather interesting thing, for St. Augustine has this in his works and I have all of his works as well as that of St. Thomas of Aquinas, about for instance, this dealing with Rome. But they said it was perfectly permissible when God gave higher knowledge to men, or established his own Bishopric, and they spoke as Catholics, but it was perfectly alright for them to make changes in anything which had been stated, or said unless there was some seal that they, these men spoke by perfection. Since all prophets and all writers, and all Apostles were but men and subject to error, where by God had set one apart as a Bishop, then he had given him the most elevated position in his church, and thus the Holy Spirit would not permit this individual to be in error, where this designation might not have been given to all Apostles and prophets. So they said they could correct the prophets and Apostles in the name of the authority of the Bishopric. By this they set doctrines to support this which I can show you in correlated doctrine. This is the reason why these doctrines of theology come down to our times. Lots of people get concerned when you say this is not the way it should be, but you have already accepted the change, **IN THE WRONG DIRECTION.**

When Protestantism came they didn't go much further, for they just accepted the same root material which had already been **CHANGED**, just translated it. In the retranslation they had the same set of material and if the change had been obvious they would drop it, but generally speaking the same knowledge is still required by all branches of Christendom. Generally

speaking Orthodox theology did not discover this. Whereas today you have another wave of theology, this is Fundamentalism which not only accepts with literacy all the things in the scriptures, many of which are vital and important, and can be accepted literally by their having taken place. But they blind themselves to whole areas of truth by saying that every word in this book is true, not one word of it is not true. But of course that is not true at all because the Devil talks here and he never told the truth in his life. So you can't say that everything you read in the Bible is true because when the Devil is quoting it is not true. Then that which he concocted like the story of the book of Esther, the purpose is not true.



The Jewish Kol Nidre

Now; I think you might find this rather interesting, I have some clippings from addresses out of Northern California, and they are out of Sacramento papers, and the San Francisco papers, and the Jews up there are having their services, and their New Years services. And the Feast of Purim is one thing people don't talk much about, and another is the Kol Nidri. The Kol Nidri is an Oath they at this time take, and this oath says that all the oaths now taken are null and void. All the promises we have made and all the commitments, pledges, allegiances forsworn to, are no allegiances unless this is an allegiance to Jewry, and to our way. (unquote) Therefore they say: we now proclaim null and void all promises we made, and all commitments, pledges, and all agreements we shall enter into with the Goyim to work out to the best interest of our society, the best obtainment of our objectives. So the Kol Nidri when the full text is sung is total repudiation of any allegiance, or agreements, it totally disallows them. Further it is totally impossible for any Jew partaking of the Kol Nidri

to be an American citizen. This man thus denies his allegiance to the United States, denies any pledges he has made because this is not a Jewish Nation. Since this is a Christian nation any agreement they enter into with you by contract, in his mind, the Jew see this as totally voided, and then is perfectly at full liberty to cheat you, to lie, and there is nothing now that is not nullified. Jews have for years denied that there was a Kol Nidri but this year, all the Newspapers everywhere are joining all the clamour for the Jews to come and sing the Kol Nidri, and to attend the Kol Nidri services which proves that the old rascals are forswearing everything which is sacred, and that no contract which you have with any Jew this year is any good, if he attends these services. If he can then find a way to defraud you he can do it with a clear conscience.

Now; getting back to the books of the Bible, some of these were very vital, the true Book of Enoch was available to our race, and we still have parts of them. We had them stolen and destroyed. In fact the enemy enjoys moving into destroy anything he doesn't want back in publication. There are probably several thousand sets of these valuable books of Enoch which exist in various places, but to get them would be hard. I couldn't begin to tell you where to get them. The little book of Enoch which costs several dollars, and the Charles Edition are better than none, so just cross out when you come to the errors, in that area which was inserted by Rome which never existed before then. And in the commentaries it admits that there were no hell fires, and torture chambers after death as a punishment inside any of the original documents or texts of the Monotheistic Faith which descended through our Patriarchs, our ancestors, from YAHWEH on into the New Testament. So the Book of Enoch would show this to be true.

The UK Column

For the real uncensored news from Great Britain. Find out what your politicians are really up to!

**County House, 12/13
Sussex Street, Plymouth PL1 2HR
email: editor@ukcolumn.org**

The Following Speech Of Geert Wilders, A Dutch Member Of Parliament Is An Eye-Opener

From our Netherlands' Correspondent

In a generation or two, the US will ask itself: who lost Europe?

Here is the speech of Geert Wilders, Chairman, Party for Freedom, the Netherlands, at the Four Seasons, New York, introducing an Alliance of Patriots and announcing the Facing Jihad Conference in Jerusalem.



Dear friends,

Thank you very much for inviting me.

I come to America with a mission. All is not well in the old world. There is a tremendous danger looming, and it is very difficult to be optimistic. We might

be in the final stages of the Islamization of Europe. This not only is a clear and present danger to the future of Europe itself, it is a threat to America and the sheer survival of the West. The United States as the last bastion of Western civilization, facing an Islamic Europe.

First I will describe the situation on the ground in Europe. Then, I will say a few things about Islam. To close I will tell you about a meeting in Jerusalem.

The Europe you know is changing.

You have probably seen the landmarks. But in all of these cities, sometimes a few blocks away from your tourist destination, there is another world. It is the world of the parallel society created by Muslim mass-migration.

All throughout Europe a new reality is rising: entire Muslim neighbourhoods where very few indigenous people reside or are even seen. And if they are, they might regret it. This goes for the police as well. It's the world of head scarves, where women walk around in figureless tents, with baby strollers and a group of children. Their husbands, or slaveholders if you prefer, walk three steps ahead. With mosques on many street corners. The shops have signs you and I cannot read. You will be hard-pressed to find

any economic activity. These are Muslim ghettos controlled by religious fanatics. These are Muslim neighbourhoods, and they are mushrooming in every city across Europe. These are the building-blocks for territorial control of increasingly larger portions of Europe, street by street, neighbourhood by neighbourhood, city by city.

There are now thousands of mosques throughout Europe. With larger congregations than there are in churches. And in every European city there are plans to build super-mosques that will dwarf every church in the region. Clearly, the signal is: we rule.

Many European cities are already one-quarter Muslim: just take Amsterdam, Marseille and Malmo in Sweden. In many cities the majority of the under-18 population is Muslim. Paris is now surrounded by a ring of Muslim neighbourhoods. Mohammed is the most popular name among boys in many cities.

In some elementary schools in Amsterdam the farm can no longer be mentioned, because that would also mean mentioning the pig, and that would be an insult to Muslims.

Many state schools in Belgium and Denmark only serve halal food to all pupils. In once-tolerant Amsterdam gays are beaten up almost exclusively by Muslims. Non-Muslim women routinely hear 'whore, whore'. Satellite dishes are not pointed to local TV stations, but to stations in the country of origin.

In France school teachers are advised to avoid authors deemed offensive to Muslims, including Voltaire and Diderot; the same is increasingly true of Darwin. The history of the Holocaust can no longer be taught because of Muslim sensitivity.

In England sharia courts are now officially part of the British legal system. Many neighbourhoods in France are no-go areas for women without head scarves. Last week a man almost died after being beaten up by Muslims in Brussels, because he was drinking during the Ramadan.

Jews are fleeing France in record numbers, on the run for the worst wave of anti-Semitism since World War II. French is now commonly spoken on the streets of Tel Aviv and Netanya, Israel. I could go on forever with stories like this. Stories about Islamization.

A total of fifty-four million Muslims now live in Europe. San Diego University recently calculated that a staggering 25 percent of the population in Europe will be Muslim just 12 years from now. Bernhard Lewis has predicted a Muslim majority by the end of this century.



Now these are just numbers. And the numbers would not be threatening if the Muslim-immigrants had a strong desire to assimilate. But there are few signs of that. The Pew Research Centre reported that half of French Muslims

see their loyalty to Islam as greater than their loyalty to France. One-third of French Muslims do not object to suicide attacks. The British Centre for Social Cohesion reported that one-third of British Muslim students are in favour of a worldwide caliphate. Muslims demand what they call 'respect'. And this is how we give them respect. We have Muslim official state holidays.

The Christian-Democratic attorney general is willing to accept sharia in the Netherlands if there is a Muslim majority. We have cabinet members with passports from Morocco and Turkey.

Muslim demands are supported by unlawful behaviour, ranging from petty crimes and random violence, for example against ambulance workers and bus drivers, to small-scale riots. Paris has seen its uprising in the low-income suburbs, the banlieus. I call the perpetrators 'settlers'. Because that is what they are. They do not come to integrate into our societies; they come to integrate our society into their Dar-al-Islam. Therefore, they are settlers.

Much of this street violence I mentioned is directed exclusively against non-Muslims, forcing many native people to leave their neighbour-

hoods, their cities, their countries. Moreover, Muslims are now a swing vote not to be ignored.

The second thing you need to know is the importance of Mohammed the prophet. His behavior is an example to all Muslims and cannot be criticized. Now, if Mohammed had been a man of peace, let us say like Ghandi and Mother Theresa wrapped in one, there would be no problem. But Mohammed was a warlord, a mass murderer, a paedophile, and had several marriages - at the same time. Islamic tradition tells us how he fought in battles, how he had his enemies murdered and even had prisoners of war executed. Mohammed himself slaughtered the Jewish tribe of Banu Qurayza. If it is good for Islam, it is good. If it is bad for Islam, it is bad.

Let no one fool you about Islam being a religion. Sure, it has a god, and a here-after, and 72 virgins. But in its essence Islam is a political ideology. It is a system that lays down detailed rules for society and the life of every person. Islam wants to dictate every aspect of life. Islam means 'submission'. Islam is not compatible with freedom and democracy, because what it strives for is sharia. If you want to compare Islam to anything, compare it to communism or national-socialism, these are all totalitarian ideologies.

Now you know why Winston Churchill called Islam 'the most retrograde force in the world', and why he compared Mein Kampf to the Quran. The public has wholeheartedly accepted the Palestinian narrative, and sees Israel as the aggressor. I have lived in this country and visited it dozens of times. I support Israel. First, because it is the Jewish homeland after two thousand years of exile up to and including Auschwitz, second because it is a democracy, and third because Israel is our first line of defence.

This tiny country is situated on the fault line of jihad, frustrating Islam's territorial advance. Israel is facing the front lines of jihad, like Kashmir, Kosovo, the Philippines, Southern Thailand, Darfur in Sudan, Lebanon, and Aceh in Indonesia. Israel is simply in the way. The same way West-Berlin was during the Cold War.

The war against Israel is not a war against Israel. It is a war against the West. It is jihad. Israel is simply receiving the blows that are meant for all

of us. If there would have been no Israel, Islamic imperialism would have found other venues to release its energy and its desire for conquest. Thanks to Israeli parents who send their children to the army and lay awake at night, parents in Europe and America can sleep well and dream, unaware of the dangers looming.

Many in Europe argue in favour of abandoning Israel in order to address the grievances of our Muslim minorities. But if Israel were, God forbid, to go down, it would not bring any solace to the West. It would not mean our Muslim minorities would all of a sudden change their behaviour, and accept our values. On the contrary, the end of Israel would give enormous encouragement to the forces of Islam. They would, and rightly so, see the demise of Israel as proof that the West is weak, and doomed. The end of Israel would not mean the end of our problems with Islam, but only the beginning. It would mean the start of the final battle for world domination. If they can get Israel, they can get everything. So-called journalists volunteer to label any and all critics of Islamization as a 'right-wing extremists' or 'racists'. In my country, the Netherlands, 60 percent of the population now sees the mass immigration of Muslims as the number one policy mistake since World War II. And another 60 percent sees Islam as the biggest threat. Yet there is a danger greater than terrorist attacks, the scenario of America as the last man standing. The lights may go out in Europe faster than you can imagine. An Islamic Europe means a Europe without freedom and democracy, an economic wasteland, an intellectual nightmare, and a loss of military might for America - as its allies will turn into enemies, enemies with atomic bombs. With an Islamic Europe, it would be up to America alone to preserve the heritage of Rome, Athens and Jerusalem.

Dear friends, liberty is the most precious of gifts. My generation never had to fight for this freedom, it was offered to us on a silver platter, by people who fought for it with their lives. All throughout Europe, American cemeteries remind us of the young boys who never made it home, and whose memory we cherish. My generation does not own this freedom; we are merely its custodians. We can only hand over this hard won liberty to Europe's children in the same state in which it was offered to us. We cannot strike a deal with mullahs and imams.


Future generations would never forgive us. We cannot squander our liberties. We simply do not have the right to do so.



We have to take the necessary action now to stop this Islamic stupidity from destroying the free world that we know.

Please see the editorial comment about this article on the next page (34). Most readers will be fully aware of some incorrect statements. However, it is still felt necessary to point these out.

THE RATIFICATION Of The 39 Articles in The Book of Common Prayer



THIS Book of Articles before rehearsed, is again approved, and allowed to be holden and executed within the Realm, by the assent and consent of our Sovereign Lady **ELIZABETH**, by the grace of God, of England, France, and Ireland, Queen, Defender of the Faith, &c. Which Articles were deliberately read, and confirmed again by the subscription of the hands of the Archbishop and Bishops of the Upper-house, and by the subscription of the whole Clergy of the Nether-house in their Convocation, in the Year of our Lord 1571.

Editorial Comment On The Geert Wilders Article Page 31

The speech of Geert Wilders, Chairman, Party for Freedom, the Netherlands, passed on to us by our Dutch correspondent, is a good example of an excellent speech identifying the problems besetting the Israelite nations of the west, but sadly fails to expose the real cause of our woes. Indeed mass immigration from Moslem nations is undermining our Christian culture and is a cause of great concern, but the real evil is in those other aliens within our midst who engineer and facilitate mass infiltration of alien cultures into western society.

It was Napoleon who said 95% of winning a battle is knowing who your **real enemy is!** And we would say the other 5% is knowing who you are! Obviously Geert Wilders, is neither unaware who the real enemy is nor who we are.

Geert Wilders, even goes on to say that we should support these very same people who would destroy us. He is not the only one to make this mistake. Our beleaguered brethren in Northern Ireland have and continue to make the same mistake by seeing their conflict as simply between Catholics and Protestants, but fail to see the hidden hand of the Alien race pulling the strings on both sides started by the Amsterdam banker's agent William of Orange and who on their behest set up a central bank called "the Bank of England", which is the cause of all our financial woes up to and including the present day.

The enemy is extremely skilled in the art of controlling opposition and manipulating it to his advantage. It therefore behoves us to use our God given intellect to detect the machinations of the enemy and not to support those whose objective is the destruction of true Israel, for in the scriptures we read:

2 Chron: 19-2; *"And Jehu the son of Hanani the seer went out to meet him, and said to king Jehoshaphat, shouldest thou help the ungodly, and love them that hate the LORD? Therefore is wrath upon thee from before the LORD."*

White Cliffs Of Dover To Be Sold To France! From Our Kent Correspondent



For generations the White Cliffs of Dover have stood as a symbol of indomitable British pride.

But now Dover is up for sale and the leading bidder has been revealed to be an age-old enemy - France.

The Port of Dover is one of a string of publicly owned assets which have been earmarked for privatisation as the Government battles with a record £830 billion of national debt

Foreign claim: wars have been fought over the famous White Cliffs of Dover but the port nearby may soon fall into French hands

Its advisers have recommended selling Dover to France as 'a very logical move', but the plan has prompted outrage.

Conservative Party prospective MP for Dover Charles Elphicke said: 'In trying to sell off our port and the White Cliffs, it's clear Gordon Brown has no sense of the history of our nation or the pride of our town.

'How dare he consider selling it all off to the French? Dover is the English border. We stood proud in defending our nation in times past.

'We are the nation's front line. The people of Dover have a clear message for him - hands off our port, hands off the English border, hands off the White Cliffs.'

A sale of the Port of Dover, Europe's busiest ferry port, could net up to £350 million for the Treasury.

Interestingly! "The Government is being advised by merchant bank NM Rothschild"

ClimateGate

From Our Northern UK Correspondent



In an age when our people believe in nothing and everything, Climate Change has become the new religion and those who dare to publicly reject the doctrine of man-made global warming are labelled ‘deniers’ and even supporters of ‘voodoo science’. As the pen is mightier than the sword, criminalising words becomes a ploy to disarm and silence all opposition.

You might have thought that after world leaders recently met in Copenhagen to cobble together a consensus which would ultimately result in massive transfers of wealth from western taxpayers [no, not to third world countries as imagined but to the elites for money laundering], that it would all be a done deal. Far from it and this is where the scam begins to unravel. Almost daily, there are new revelations of fraud, cover-up and conflict of interest.

The foremost media proponent of Climate Change is the BBC and not surprisingly when it is discovered that their employees’ £8 billion pension fund is heavily invested in companies whose success depends upon the theory of Climate Change being widely accepted. The BBC is the only media organisation whose pension fund is a member of the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change. Its chairman is Peter Dunscombe, also the BBC’s Head of Pensions Investment.

The Sunday Telegraph newspaper February 7th also reveals that the cash strapped British Government has been funding ‘climate related’ projects all over the world although the precise sums and identity of the recipients are often obscured. Nevertheless, UK taxpayers have been contributing to the work of the IPCC for years and the Met Office [The Hadley Centre] has received over £179 million since its inception. This is the same Met Office which wrongly predicted a 2009 BBQ summer and a mild 2010 winter! In addition, DfID has paid £30 million to assist ‘climate change adaptation in Africa’ and £2.5 million in China. Only last September the Government gave £10, million to Dr Pachauri, Chairman of the UN’s IPCC, to pay for his Institute [Teri] to investigate how India’s poverty could be reduced by sustainable development.

Even though the IPCC has been compelled to renege on its absurd claims that all Himalayan glaciers would have melted by 2035, this has not prevented Teri using these spurious claims to win a share in lucrative studies of the effects of rapid melting of Himalayan glaciers.

Clearly a massive multibillion pound industry has been built up based on the junk science of Global Warming and there are too many vested interests at stake to allow it to collapse. Incredibly, the UK Government funded a study into how Indian industry could make billions out of carbon credits. Perhaps, it would have been cheaper to ask Al Gore.

Further, in all these climate-related projects and their dubious funding, no one has raised the issue of ‘weather warfare’ otherwise known as ‘environmental modification techniques [ENMOD]’. Despite a vast body of scientific knowledge, the issue of climatic manipulations for military use has been excluded from the UN agenda on Climate Change.

**Comments please to
the Editor
at**

editor@newsign.christogenea.org

**Eustace C. Mullins
(March 9, 1923 –
February 2, 2010)**



**Above: Eustace Mullins still working
at the age of 84**

Many of our readers will be familiar with the works of the author of hundreds of books and pamphlets demolishing the lies of the war making mainstream media, historian Eustace Mullins (March 9, 1923 – February 2, 2010) died Tuesday, in the home of his caretaker and friend, Jesse Franklin Lee, in a small town in Texas.


Mullins, who would have been 87 in March, suffered a stroke three weeks ago in Columbus, Ohio. He had been on an extended tour of his admirers for much of the past year, visiting and chatting with many of his thousands of fans who jumped at the chance to buy his books from him in person.

The author of such incendiary books as “Secrets of the Federal Reserve,” “Murder by Injection,” and “The Curse of Canaan,” Mullins was harassed by the FBI for almost a half century, and had one of his books burned in Germany in the 1950’s. These stories are recounted in one of his books, “A Writ for Martyrs.”

Through his researches into the machinations of the enemy within, he became aware of the identity of true Israel and was a regular contributor to Christian and Identity newspapers such as the CDL Report.

In a future edition of this magazine it is hoped to publisher a fuller account of his life's work as well as some of his research papers.

**Bad Teinach
Germany
Heinz Mohrlök**



It is with regret that we have to pass on the sad news of the death of Heinz Mohrlök on 16th of November 2009 who was found drowned in a river near his home town of Nagold, Black Forest, Germany.

He was well known to many of our readers throughout Europe in respect of his work in alerting our German brethren to their glorious Israelite heritage. Over recent years he was particularly well known for being the organiser of the Annual Bad Teinach Conference under the title of “House of Judah and House of Israel”, where he himself was a prominent speaker. This conference has been going from strength to strength, attracting attendees, from Holland, the UK and many other European countries.

Our brother Heinz was a speaker and organiser of many other Christian gatherings throughout Germany and was also an expert on the Hebrew language.

We would ask our readers to uphold not only the family of Heinz but also the wider family of his church contacts throughout Germany and that the work instigated by him in alerting his people to their heritage will continue and that a new leader will be raised up to take his place.

In a future edition of this magazine, we hope to publish some of the addresses given at Bad Teinach once they have been translated into English.

Disdaining The Culture of Fear

By William Finck

There are extant accounts from the tenth century - over a thousand years ago - that many Christians thought the end of the age would come with the end of the first millennium. Actually, there were dozens of times during the first thousand years from the birth of Christ that such predictions came and went. Other popular dates for the end of the world were 1666 and 1715. The year 1715 was actually conjectured by a man as brilliant as Isaac Newton himself, for it was found in one of his notebooks. (See <http://www.bible.ca/pre-date-setters.htm> or a copy of the text at <http://christogenos.org/site/endtimedates>.) In the 19th century a certain preacher who was an early leader of the "Seventh-Day Adventist" cult made a series of predictions, based on certain interpretations of numbers found in the Bible, claiming that the return of Yahshua Christ and the end of this wicked age would transpire in 1844. Needless to say, today, a hundred and sixty-six years later, we are still waiting. Yet many other cults have made similar predictions since then, and they have all gone by the wayside, while few call the leaders of those cults into account - and those calls are almost always ignored.

Now many of us may recollect, if indeed we would admit to it, the pastors and doomsayers who were predicting the end of the world in the 2000 calendar year, at the end of the second millennium just a short decade or so ago. That year came and went, and so did the memories of those predictions: the pastors who made them continue on as if they were never made, and I personally know some of them, and never saw nor heard an apology from any of them. Surely, however, some of them also made lots of money selling books and sermons, playing on the fears of the people. Yet it never ends, and our collective curiosity and a desire to know just what it is that is in store for us, what is lurking just around the corner, is never really satiated.

There are the tales written by the Jew Zechariah Sitchin about Nibiru, a word which does appear in Sumerian inscriptions, but certainly not in the contexts in which he claims it does. People who have actually read and understood the ancient Sumerian inscriptions surely know that the Nibiru of the Sumerians is not even a planet, never mind a threat to society as we know it

today. Then there are the Mayan Calendar interpretations, and a New Age belief in the end of



the world simply because one of the many cycles interpreted to be in that calendar is supposed to end at the winter solstice of 2012. Numbers in the Bible are feverishly reinterpreted in an attempt to

match up dates that coincide with these claims. Where the numbers fail, secret Bible codes are invented that reveal great and impending secrets, while the plain meaning of the text of The Word is totally discarded. These end-time events are anticipated not only by Christians, but for other reasons also by New Age pagans and atheists alike, or by the proponents of a myriad of "spiritual" novelties. These things and others draw Christians off into a wonder-land of New Age occultism and a failure to heed the Gospel, the actual words of Yahshua Christ.

And what does the Scripture say? Please observe the following statements from our Lord and Savior, Yahshua Christ:


Mark 13:20 And except that the Lord had shortened those days, no flesh should be saved: but for the elect's sake, whom he hath chosen, he hath shortened the days. **21** And then if any man shall say to you, Lo, here *is* Christ; or, lo, *he is* there; believe *him* not: **22** For false Christs and false prophets shall rise, and shall shew signs and wonders, to seduce, if *it were* possible, even the elect. **23** But take ye heed: behold, I have foretold you all things. **24** But in those days, after that tribulation, the sun shall be darkened, and the moon shall not give her light, **25** And the stars of heaven shall fall, and the powers that are in heaven shall be shaken. **26** And then shall they see the Son of man coming in the clouds with great power and glory. **27** And then shall he send his angels, and shall gather together his elect from the four winds, from the uttermost part of the earth to the uttermost part of heaven. **28** Now learn a parable of the fig tree; When her branch is yet tender, and putteth forth leaves, ye know that summer is near: **29** So

ye in like manner, when ye shall see these things come to pass, know that it is nigh, *even* at the doors. **30** Verily I say unto you, that this generation shall not pass, till all these things be done. **31** Heaven and earth shall pass away: but my words shall not pass away. **32** **But of that day and that hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels which are in heaven, neither the Son, but the Father.** **33** Take ye heed, watch and pray: for ye know not when the time is.

Mat 24:35 Heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away. **36** **But of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels of heaven, but my Father only.** **37** But as the days of Noe *were*, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be.

1Th 5:1 But of the times and the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that I write unto you. **2** **For yourselves know perfectly that the day of the Lord so cometh as a thief in the night.**

2Pe 3:9 The Lord is not slack concerning his promise, as some men count slackness; but is longsuffering to us-ward, not willing that any should perish, but that all should come to repentance. **10** **But the day of the Lord will come as a thief in the night;** in the which the heavens shall pass away with a great noise, and the elements shall melt with



fervent heat, the earth also and the works that are therein shall be burned up.

If Yahweh would not reveal the day of His wrath to either man or angel, what makes anyone think that He would reveal it to either beast (Mayan) or devil (Sitchin)?

How could the anti-Christ reveal to man

the day of His wrath – or the day of His coming – when Yahweh Himself would not do it? Who

are Christians to believe, Christ, or Sitchin, who is a known liar and a novelist? Christ, or the New Age freak-show proponents of some Mayan calendar interpretation which the Mayans themselves may not even have had?

**The END of
the WORLD
is At Hand!**

Those who predict end-time dates are doing so extraneously of the Gospel. Therefore they are teaching their own vain conceits. And while it is evident that one thing is certain: that our time of distress will surely be greater in 2012 than it is today, what should Christians fear? The Gospel tells Christians to **fear nothing**. Here are the words of Yahshua recorded in Luke chapter 12:

Luke 12:1 In the mean time, when there were gathered together an innumerable multitude of people, insomuch that they trode one upon another, he began to say unto his disciples first of all, Beware ye of the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy. **2** For there is nothing covered, that shall not be revealed; neither hid, that shall not be known. **3** Therefore whatsoever ye have spoken in darkness shall be heard in the light; and that which ye have spoken in the ear in closets shall be proclaimed upon the housetops. **4** **And I say unto you my friends, Be not afraid of them that kill the body, and after that have no more that they can do.** **5** **But I will forewarn you whom ye shall fear: Fear him, which after he hath killed hath power to cast into hell; yea, I say unto you, Fear him.** **6** **Are not five sparrows sold for two farthings, and not one of them is forgotten before God?** **7** **But even the very hairs of your head are all numbered. Fear not therefore: ye are of more value than many sparrows.** **8** Also I say unto you, Whosoever shall confess me before men, him shall the Son of man also confess before the angels of God: **9** But he that denieth

me before men shall be denied before the angels of God.

Likewise Paul told the Thessalonians:

1Th 5:1 But of the times and the seasons, brethren, ye have no need that I write unto you. **2** For yourselves know perfectly that the day of the Lord so cometh as a thief in the night. **3** For when they shall say, Peace and safety; then sudden **destruction cometh upon them**, as travail upon a woman with child; **and they shall not escape.** **4** But ye, brethren, are not in darkness, that that day should overtake you as a thief. **5** Ye are all the children of light, and the children of the day: we are not of the night, nor of darkness.

It is clear from this and from many other Scriptures that the day of Yahweh's wrath is intended for the destruction of His enemies. If Christians act as the children of light which they are, they have nothing to fear from the wrath of Yahweh. Those who worry are probably those who have something to worry about – regardless of whether they are even conscious of that.

Luke 19:13 And he called his ten servants, and delivered them ten pounds, and said unto them, Occupy till I come.

Gen 15:1 After these things the word of the LORD came unto Abram in a vision, saying, fear not, Abram: I *am* thy shield, *and* thy exceeding great reward.

Keep the Christian Faith, and not the faith of the so-called New Agers, of aliens, or of devils. Biblical prophecy exists not so that man can look at it, and tell the future. Rather, it exists so that man can see its fulfilment, and know that Yahweh is God and that He keeps His word.

2009 CD's are now available!

Anyone who donates a reasonable sum to our efforts here shall receive a set of 3 CDs containing mp3 audio files of all of our 2009 programs (over 150 programs), along with notes, PDFs and other extras. (We hate to set a price on such things, but we feel that they are each worth at least as much as the average store-bought music CD-full of anti-Christ propaganda. So \$25 or \$30 for the set would be expected.) **From:**

<http://christogenea.org/site/>

Christian Identity On Internet Radio

Saturdays at 8 EST

Yahweh's Covenant People,

www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924

Saturdays and Sundays:

Come Out of Her, Hosted by Sword Brethren,

Saturdays 5:00pm EST,

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=21924&cmd=tc>)

Sundays 4:30 pm & 9:00 pm EST

Sundays:

Voice of Christian Israel,

12 Noon EST

www.talkshoe.com/tc/22187

Sundays and Wednesdays: Regular shows by Pastor Dan Johns

By Yahweh's Design

Sundays at 10 am and Wednesdays at 8 pm (Eastern)

www.talkshoe.com/tc/25929

New Thursday Fortnightly European Fellowship Call Hosted By Bill Finck



(For those on Greenwich Meantime 5pm, Central European Time 6pm and South African Time 7pm)


Join in on 4th & 18th March and fortnightly thereafter

www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/tcForward.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tcf

Te1 No. +1 724 444 7444

Announcements

**The Christian Defence
League**
New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565



The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.

**The New Ensign
Can be contacted
by e-mail**

editor@newensign.christogenea.org

**Previous Issues
are archived at**
<http://newensign.christogenea.org/site/>

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

- 30 Jan **Newcastle** (BCG & Truth Movement)
- 10 Feb **Pewsey** (Inquiring Minds & UKColumn.org)
- 23 Feb **Glastonbury** (UKColumn.org & Glastonbury Fayres)
- 26 Feb **Kirkaldy** Scotland (BCG & lawfulrebellion.org)
- 27 Feb **Glasgow** (BCG & lawfulrebellion.org)
- 28 Feb **Aberdeen** (BCG & lawfulrebellion.org)
- 6 Mar **Bournemouth** (BCG Local & UKColumn.org)
- 20 Mar **Blackpool** (BCG Roadshow & to be confirmed)
- 26 Mar **Heathrow London** (Alternative View 4 — Various Speakers)
- Apr **Canadian Holocaust Talk** — Kevin Annett — 911 Truth (date & location TBC)
- Apr **Fighting Child-Snatching by the State** (BCG Roadshow & partners) (date & location TBC)
- 24 Apr **Liverpool** (BCG Roadshow & partners)
- 2 May **Totnes** (Truth Movement)
- 29 May **Leeds** (BCG Roadshow & partners)
- 19 June **Stoke on Trent** (BCG Roadshow Main Conference)
- 26 June **Bristol** (BCG Roadshow)

Further venues for the autumn / winter will be posted.

www.thebcgroup.org.uk
www.lawfulrebellion.org
www.lawfulrebellion.org.uk
www.ukcolumn.org

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road
Wallasey
Wirral
CH45 7QZ
Telephone 07813 529 383