

The New Ensign

No. 17 January 2011

This publication is for private circulation only




Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader,

Multiculturalism Means Anti-White Genocide in South Africa



Do the Boerevolk understand that there is an actual anti-White race war going on? The small Boerevolk understands this, but the rest of the whites, who call themselves Afrikaners or South Africans or who are not interested in

being anything at all, except supporters of some or other rugby club, have not yet realized this. They watch (English language state-owned) TV every night and are being fed Zionist propagan-da which they swallow hook, line, & sinker. Despite all evidence to the contrary, they be-lieve the (ANC/SA Communist Party) line sold by the SA Police (dis)Service that this is merely crime. The answer in short, is that a small number of people, mainly the Boerevolk, under-stand this, but the majority of whites do not.

The problem is that we are disarmed, and even if one has legally licenced firearms, a case of murder is automatically opened by the police whenever one shoots at, or shoots back at intrud-ers or attackers. So we are sitting ducks. And we are being slaughtered. Attackers come in large gangs, consisting of six to 10 thugs, so as to outnumber a white family.

Police stations are manned mainly by a majority of blacks, even in white residential areas. Most of these men do not (or are told not to) under-stand Afrikaans, and insist on speaking what they call English, but in fact they do not under-stand English either.

They change the names of towns and cities, resulting in TOTAL confusion when one travels.

Our white schools all have a black majority, resulting in rapes of white girls, knife and scis-sors stabbings, and the collapsing of the total system. Earlier this year, we had, first, the Soc-

Contents

	Page
Peter And The Keys Of The Kingdom Part 2 - O. J Adams	4
The Misuse Of Words, "All", "Every", "Whosoever", Etc. A. Kennedy	8
Harold Stough Notes - St. Paul In Britain	12
Russia In Prophecy - Peter Salemi	14
Blonde and Red Haired Mummies of Egypt	20
Plants of The Bible - Chestnut - William H. Groser	21
Only Thou - Patience Strong	22
Law of The Land or Law of The Sea?	23
The US Never in Greater Danger - Count Cherep-Spiridovich	26
Mark of the Beast or Magen David - Nord Davis	27
Book Review - Horrors of Vaccination	29
History of The UK Birth Certificate	30
This Healthy Food Could Be Slowly Killing You - Joseph Mercola	31
How Nations Die - Mike Green	34
Rootless - Monica Huggett	35
European News Round-Up	35

cer cup, (schools were shut down) and, secondly, major general strikes, with most teachers' unions striking too, and in those schools where classes were still running (mainly to keep the white kids occupied) the (mainly white) kids who attended school were intimidated. This resulted in a lot of preparation for end-of-year exams not being done. The blacks now claim that all black kids should be put through to the next grade, not on performance, but due to not being able to study, as it was soccer and strikes that prevented them from studying for exams. This makes the whole state-run school system a farce. But it has been a farce and a total failure all along.

So while it is clear as daylight that it is a war against the whites, it is not possible for us to take physical action, although everybody is itching to get into action.

It is not only a question of white vs black. There are white collaborators everywhere who are only too willing to sell their race to their black masters. This group includes a large number of bleeding heart churchianity ministers (public enemy # 1).

I can go on and on. The problem is VERY complex, though.

Right now, any physical action is counter-productive, so we have to bide our time. We know that Yahweh will turn the tables at exactly the right time to save his people. In the meantime, however, we are being slaughtered. In fact the radio has just announced another (daily) farm murder, in which a young woman and her husband were killed with heavy hammers an hour or two ago.

The problem includes the fact that most Afrikaner (read non-identity churchianity) organizations are driven by Freemasons and Broederbond (pronounced "Brooderbond") (Brother society or Brother Bond) members and other similar Zionist agents. These guys propagate a vision of "making things work" whether it these are able to work or not. So they want to collaborate, no matter if it kills them or their kinsfolk. These are the people who try to make community policing forums work, participate in local government, etc, and do NOT realise that their voice is ignored by the blacks. (Note that actual Jews are NOT considered either Boers or

Afrikaners, even if they have Afrikaans as their home language, although very few do.)

So the Boerevolk is trying to unravel / disentangle itself from the Afrikaner, (very similar to us, and sometimes even family members) which is NOT a volk or ethnic nation, and does not qualify to be one. (Some of my kids consider themselves Afrikaners, not Boers)

The Boerevolk (consisting mainly of Identity Christians or Israelites, and rapidly convincing and converting those that join our ranks) need to separate and disentangle from the Afrikaner (consisting mainly of churchianity and driven by secret organizations), because we have to cleanse the Boerevolk, as Bride to the Messiah, of all things that do not contribute to the glory of the Groom. We have to strive, not for a republic and democracy, as the Afrikaner does, but for a kingdom and theocracy.

So how do we change our position?

By returning to Yahweh as a small (remnant) Israelite nation.

By turning away from the ways of the world.

By returning to the Bible-punching ways of our forefathers.

There is no other way.

W.B. South Africa

An e-mail has been received from a representative of our dear beleaguered Boer brethren in SA regarding their flag which is not the one shown amongst an array of flags on "The New Ensign" logo, with a request that the Boer flag be shown instead.

Accompanying this request is some interesting information on what it represents. Subject to permission, it will be published in the February edition of "The New Ensign"

Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Peter And The Keys Of The Kingdom (Continued)

A Study By J. O. Adams



This difference in wording explains the condition upon which Peter may bind or loose.

Peter then, was authorised to 'bind or loose' anything provided that such actions were in accordance with the Word of God. Peter acted as a spokesman for Israel - for those who believe God. This same authority passes to all our people who believe God - particularly to our leaders.

Because of the great importance that has been placed on this verse, I think it interesting and informative to look at the source of our erroneous versions. If this be done, we are left in little doubt that the error stems from the Vulgate, the Latin translation used by Roman Catholicism. The wording of this verse in the Authorised Version is virtually the same as that of the Douay Version. It reads 'and whatsoever thou shalt bind upon earth, it shall be bound also in the heavens'.

But what is meant by 'the keys of the kingdom of heaven', and how and when did Peter receive them? Obviously the expression is metaphorical and cannot be taken to mean literal keys. The kingdom of the heavens (or the kingdom of God, for the terms are practically synonymous) has been hidden from men. This was the mystery, (or rather, 'secret') that Jesus hid in parables so that only those having God's Spirit could understand them, and then only at the appointed time. This too is the 'mystery' about which Paul had much to say. When asked by His disciples about one of the parables, Jesus answered,

"To you it has been given to know the secrets of the kingdom of God, but to others in parables, that seeing they may not see, and hearing, they may not understand."

The kingdom is of course Israel, but its full identity and its whereabouts has been hidden. Now the keys which were given to Peter were the means of unlocking this secret - of making

known the secret of the kingdom. To see how these keys were given to Peter, and how they operated, we must turn our attention to the tenth chapter of Acts, and read the account of Peter's visit to Cornelius. This is another passage of Scripture that is wrongly understood by Christendom in general, so let us look closely at it with special reference to the Greek text.


At the beginning of the account we are introduced to Cornelius, a centurion from an Italian cohort - an officer of the Roman army. As he did not belong to their nation, he would be regarded by the Judeans, who at this time included our Lord's followers, as a 'gentile'. That is as one of their own race, who belonged to another nation. He is described as a devout man who together with his household, had a reverential fear of *God, to whom he prayed regularly. (Acts 10:3-4) As he was not a Judean such a description could only fit one from the outcast House of Israel. He also gave alms to the people. Where Scripture uses the article with 'people' it is a reference to Israel as the people of *God. (The article used with God also specifies **the** God, the particular God of Israel.) We also find him described as a righteous, *God fearing man, one testified to by all the nation of the Judeans. (v.22).

A person who prayed to Israel's God would not be a pagan, nor one of another **race**. Nevertheless, he would still be classed as a 'gentile' (one of another nation), not because of his origin, but simply because he was not a circumcised citizen of the Judean **nation**.

Of course, he could have been a 'foreigner' who had become a proselyte to Judaism, but as such, he would have been called a Judean or one of the circumcision. Verse 45 indicates that this was not the case. However, a little later we will see that a remark made by Peter gives a very strong and definite clue to his origin.

In a vision, Cornelius was told by an angel to send men to Joppa to summon Simon Peter to come to him. He thereupon dispatched two of his servants and a devout soldier to carry out the angel's instructions. While they were on their way Peter, who knew nothing about Cornelius or his vision, became hungry and desired to eat.

While food was being prepared for him he fell into a trance and saw heaven opened and a vessel lowered to the earth. In the vessel was an assortment of various four-footed animals, reptiles and birds. A voice then told him to "rise, slaughter and eat". But Peter refused on the grounds that he never ate anything that was 'common or unclean'. The voice came again, "What *God hath cleansed call thou not common". The whole sequence happened three times and the vessel was finally taken up to heaven.



Peter and Cornelius

We should observe that Peter still did not eat. Evidently despite the voice, he still regarded the contents of the vessel to be unclean. Though perplexed as to the meaning of the vision, he apparently realised that it related to something of far greater importance than the eating of unclean food. He was still pondering the vision when the men from Cornelius arrived at his gate. The spirit informed him of the men's arrival and said, "Rise up, go down and accompany them making no distinction for I have sent them". (v.20). So Peter went down to meet the men and enquired the reason for their visit. They answered,

*"Cornelius, a righteous man and fearing *God, being testified to by all the nation of the Judeans was instructed by an holy angel to send for thee to come to his house, and to hear words of Thee"*

That is, he was 'one who followed after righteousness' and 'who sought after Jehovah'. (Isa. 51:1_2) As we saw previously Abraham is stat-

ed to be the father of such men, and so it appears that Cornelius was, in fact one of his descendents.

Peter lodged the men for the night, then taking some of the 'brethren' from Joppa (i.e fellow Israelites), he went with them to meet Cornelius.

Verse 24 tells us, that on the next day he entered Caesarea and that Cornelius, expecting him, had called together his relatives and intimate (or 'near') friends. The word for 'near' or 'intimate' is *anakaïos* and this repays a little attention. The word means 'necessary', but is commonly used in a secondary sense of 'persons connected by bonds of **nature** or friendship, with the meaning of 'intimate'. (Vine). Dunbar defines the word as meaning, 'necessary; a relative or friend (bound by necessary ties)'. L & S state that when used of persons it denotes 'connected by necessary ties, i.e. **related by blood**.'

The word therefore implies that these 'intimate' friends of Cornelius were 'blood relations' - his own countrymen, men of his own race. Living in a foreign country it is natural, perhaps even 'necessary', to attach oneself to any of one's own countrymen who are in that place. Also being a Roman centurion does not mean that he could not be an Israelite. Indeed quite considerable evidence has been presented to show that men from dispersed Israel - and particularly men from Britain - occupied high rank in the Roman army. (After all, Paul himself was a 'gentile' - a Roman citizen.)

Upon seeing Peter, Cornelius fell down at his feet and worshipped him. Peter's response to this could well be emulated in Christian circles today, where genuflecting to church dignitaries is all too common. Peter raised him up saying, "Stand up! I myself am also a man." (v. 26)

Conducted by Cornelius, Peter entered the room where many people were assembled. His first words to them, recorded in verses 28 & 29 are extremely important. This is especially so when we correct the meaning of the word rendered 'one of another nation' by the A.V.

The word is *allophulos*, and this designates **one of another tribe**, but not **nation**.

This word is a compound of *allos* and *phulē*. *Allos* means 'another', or more precisely '**another of the same kind**'. (Its use should be

distinguished from *heteros*, which also signifies 'another', but of a different kind.)

Phule is defined by Liddell and Scott as:

'A race or tribe of men; a body of men united by ties of blood and descent, a clan.' Dunbar simply has, *'a race or tribe.'* Hence *alophulos* denotes 'one of another of the same kind of tribe'.

A nation can be composed from a number of different races, and it is therefore incorrect and misleading to apply such a meaning to this word uttered by Peter. It now becomes clear that Peter recognised Cornelius and his 'relatives and intimate friends' as belonging to one of the other tribes similar to his own. That is, they belonged to one or another of the ten tribes that had been cast out of their land, and become scattered and lost among other nations. In other words, they were 'gentiles'

Another matter that should not be overlooked in this verse is Peter's statement that it was not lawful for him to associate with, or even approach such a person outside of Judea. This conflicts with the view commonly expressed by many Christian theologians that Jesus' disciples, prior to these events had known that His message was to be taken to people outside the Judean nation. Nor does it uphold the contention that the gospel is now universal (catholic) - that it is available for everyone, irrespective of their racial origin, to enter into God's kingdom and partake of His promises - to become Israelites 'by adoption'. Hence read from the Greek, verses 29 and 30 state:

*'And he (Peter) said to them, "Ye know that it is unlawful for a man, a Judean, to associate himself with, or to approach one of another of the same kind of tribe; but *God showed to me to call no one common or unclean.'*

Wherefore indeed, being sent for, I came without hesitation. I therefore inquire for what reason did you send for me"?'

We now see, that by this time Peter had realised the meaning of the vision. In it unclean animals were used to symbolise men other than those recognised as still belonging to God's people - i.e. the Judean nation. Its purpose had been to show him, that contrary to all that he had previously believed, he must make no distinction,

among men, but should take the good news to all people. Nevertheless the purpose behind this was not to offer God's promises to all and sundry, but to bring the message of the kingdom to 'all Israel'. This is expressly stated in Acts 15/14, where James summed up Peter's experience in these words:

*"Men, brethren, hear me! Simon has declared how for the first time *God visited (or 'inspected') nations to take out from among them, a people for His name". ('His name' is Israel, the name He placed upon Jacob.)*

That Peter's action in visiting Cornelius provoked the antagonism of other believers among his Judean brethren is clear from Acts, 11-3, where he was criticised for associating with uncircumcised men. Notice the terms 'circumcision' and 'uncircumcision' which, as in Paul's epistles, are used to draw a distinction between the circumcised Judeans and other Israelites who had not been circumcised - those who had become 'gentilised'.

God's purpose in sending Peter to Cornelius is also revealed in Paul's words to the Israelites in Rome:

'And so all Israel shall be saved as it is written, "The Deliverer shall come from Zion. He will turn away ungodliness from Jacob." (Rom. 11/26.)



Figure 5

Read in its context 'all Israel' means both sections of the children of Israel - those from the House of Judah, and those from the House of Israel.

Peter then proceeded to tell these people of the Lord's work and prefaced his words with a

remark that could only be acceptable to descendants of Jacob:

"Ye know the message which He sent to the sons of Israel, proclaiming good news of peace through Jesus Christ; He is Lord of all". (v.36.)

A message sent to 'the sons of Israel' can have no relevance to any other people. It follows that Cornelius, his relatives,, and his friends among his own countrymen, must have been descendants of Jacob. At the conclusion of Peter's discourse we read:

"And while Peter was still speaking these words, the Holy Spirit fell upon all those understanding the message." (v.44)

The Greek indicates that the Holy Spirit fell, not upon all who heard his speech, but upon those who heard and understood the message it contained. These are the ones who possess the perception inherited by Jacob's sons. Again remember Jesus' words to Nicodemus:

*"If anyone were not begotten from above, he is not able to perceive the kingdom of *God."* (John 3/3)

Verse 45 gives further evidence of the level of understanding possessed by those who were known as Israel at this time. These were the circumcised Judeans, whether or not they believed that Jesus was their Messiah.

'And the believers of the circumcision who accompanied Peter, were astonished because the gift of the Holy Spirit had also been freely bestowed upon the gentiles (lit. 'the nations').

Surely, if they had any idea beforehand that Jesus' message could be intended for anyone apart from the Judean nation, they would not have been so astonished.

Then at the end of the chapter we learn that Peter commanded that these people be baptized. Being fellow Israelites who had been cleansed by God, they could no longer be regarded as 'common or unclean', but were entitled to resume their rightful position as God's sons. The rite of baptism was an outwards sign of this spiritual cleansing for acceptance into Israel.

In this way the keys to unlock the secret of the kingdom were given to Peter. The secret was that the Word of God, including, of course, the good news of the kingdom, was not exclusively for the Judeans, but was to be shared by any of Jacob's descendants who believed God and accepted Jesus as His Son, and their Redeemer. They became 'loosed' from the bonds of sin and idolatry. And so 'all Israel shall be saved.'

Peter's visit to Cornelius cleared the way for the work of Paul, who was at one time a strict Pharisee, but was forced to change his ideas. Paul became 'an apostle of the nations', or 'gentiles' (Rom. 1; 1-13), his mission being to take the 'good news' to his brethren (i.e. kinsmen), who had been scattered among the nations. But the Christian teaching that the gospel was catholic (universal) in scope, finds no support from the use of the keys by Peter, nor indeed from any of Paul's writings. The keys also unlocked the meaning of our Lord's words to the Judeans:

*"The kingdom of *God will be taken from you and given to a nation producing its fruits".* (Matt.21/43).



They also demonstrated to Peter, Paul, and to all the Lord's followers, the meaning of His words in Matthew 15/24:

"I am not sent but unto the lost sheep, of Israel's House".

This study has, I believe, demonstrated that Peter was not 'the rock' upon which Jesus would build His 'Church' as claimed by Rome. That rock was Abraham, and Peter, being a piece of that rock exhibited its qualities. The faith of Abraham, of whom Jesus said he, "was glad to see My day; he saw it and rejoiced" (John 8/56), was exhibited when Peter declared, "Thou art the Anointed **One**, the Son of the living God".

Nor was Peter given permission to do 'whatsoever' he saw fit, with an assurance that any such action would be approved and upheld in heaven. Certainly no authority was given to him, or to anyone else, to grant 'indulgences'. But Peter was authorised to declare and put into

operation the will and Laws of God, even as they have already been established in heaven. This principle had previously been voiced in the prayer the Lord gave to Israel:

"Let thy *kingdom come; let Thy *will come to pass on earth even as it is in heaven".

And so, at the end of this study we, who are of Israel, may well praise our God in the words of Zacharias: "Blessed be Lord (i.e. Jehovah), the God of *Israel, for He inspects (or visits) and establishes redemption for the people of Himself."

The Misuse Of The Words, "All", "Every", "Whosoever", Etc.

By: Arnold Kennedy



Great stress is placed by preachers upon the words, "all", "every" and "whosoever" in verses such as, "Go ye into all the world", "Preach the Gospel to every creature" and so on. These present a false application of Scripture simply because such words and phrases are wrongly used. This misuse of these words has to be considered.

This false application contradicts Jesus' statement and instruction to His disciples:

Matt. 15:24, "But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel", and Matt. 10:6 "Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel"

Because of this contradiction we need to find out why this appears to be so, and why the popular interpretation about going to all races

contradicts this statement and this command of Jesus.

There are different words translated as "all", "every" etc. in both Hebrew and Greek, and so we need to investigate those that are misused to promote forms of universalism. In the New Testament, the Greek word *holos* is used as "the whole", whereas the more frequently used word *pas* is used to indicate "a part" as being all of either a greater or a lesser part. It is the making of *pas* to have the same meaning as *holos* that causes the error. Where translators have so often translated *pas* as "all", "every" or "whosoever", it means "all of that part", or "every one of that part", or "whosoever of that part". The word, "whosoever" is frequently translated from the word *pas* that is also translated as "all". We will see that any untaught person who says, "Where my Bible says "whosoever will may come", it means "any person of any race", that person is either untaught or is misled.

So we have to answer the main question, "does "all" usually mean "all of everything" or "all of that part being spoken about only".

Does "all the world" mean the people in the entire planet, or just all of those people in that part of the planet being spoken about? We will see that there is a weight of Scripture that shows that words such as "all" are strictly confined to "all" of each context only. In simple terms, "all the world" is better put as, "all that world", thus excluding every other world = *kosmos*.

The words for "all", "every", "whosoever" etc. are often singular, NOT plural. Thus they refer to: "all" the one [group],

or "the whole" of the class,
or "the entire" of the class.

To grasp the use of this particular "all" in Greek and Hebrew, consider:

Deut 28:10: "And **ALL** the peoples of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of Jehovah, and they shall be afraid of you".

Here, "all the peoples of the earth" does NOT include Israel. In the same way, "go ye into all the world" is NOT inclusive of every race. Failure to understand this is the source of error in the modern popular evangelical teaching. Because of the importance of this matter, any person seeking truth can look through a concordance (Young's is easiest) to satisfy themselves as to the right application of this word "pas" that is translated as "all" etc.. Some examples are given further on to show how to do this.

Jesus says that it is not given for everyone to hear or to understand. Speaking to His disciples about the Edomite leadership of the Judean nation He said, "Because it is given unto you to understand the mysteries of the kingdom of heaven, but to them it is not given" - [Matt. 13: 11].



**Petra - Mount Seir
Home of The Edomites**

Immediately we have just one exception like this, then "every" and "all" cannot include that exception, or the other exceptions. If an exception is made about the Edomites who cannot find repentance, or of those born as tares about which Jesus said, "Leave them alone", then these cannot be part of the "all" being addressed. Jesus did not preach to certain peoples, as we have seen. Jesus said He was sent to Israel and to save "His people" from their sins. Are we to be wiser than Jesus?

When we consider the volume of Scriptures detailing the exclusiveness of Israel, if we had no mind-set or previous pre-conditioning, we would have to agree to the following:

- 1 They are all consistent statements of fact, [not inferences].
- 2 They all relate to Israel alone, as a race, no other race being included.
- 3 Israel alone is God's inheritance, as is stated.

4 There is no conflict about redemption applying to Israel alone.

5 That certain covenants and promises referred to were made only with Israel.

6 That Israel is a holy, *i.e. set-apart*, race - [What is commonly called The Chosen Race].

7 That the Statutes [*choq*] and the Judgements [*mishpat*] were given to Israel alone as a servant nation.

8 That the word "Jews" is not mentioned in any of these Scriptures.

9 That there are different 'seeds', and that Abraham's seed is genetic.

10 That none of these Scriptures can be 'spiritualised'.

If we come to this conclusion about a unique Israel racially, there will immediately be a dilemma between:

A) What the Scripture teaches in direct statements showing the exclusiveness of Israel through both Testaments.

B) What is inferred from indirect verses as used by universalists. Universalists may use what appear to be direct statements, but there are certain words that have been given new meanings and tenses. Sometimes completely wrong and deceptive meanings have been placed on words and some of these have become accepted modern teachings. To these manufactured word meanings, "types" are added to fit the interpretation. This is the common way of teaching, but this is not teaching that is based upon the Cornerstone or the given foundation of the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets - (Eph. 2:20).

C) What we think we see manifest in terms of Christian experience in other races- Both psychology and deliverance from demonic activity, and protection whilst other races are amongst Israel, have application here. But, redemption can only apply to Israel.

Now we can look further into some individual verses, and words in those verses.

"EVERY CREATURE".

As said above, Mark 16:15 is misused in that it appears to be saying that the Gospel is for every race. Which “world” were the disciples to go into? This is a fair question. When the disciples were sent to the *lost sheep of the House OF ISRAEL*, to whom and to which “world” were they sent? When Jesus said in Matthew 15:24: *I am not sent BUT unto the lost sheep of the House of Israel*, to what race was He sent? Are we to say Jesus was wrong and that He was sent to every race? Are we to say Jesus was wrong in sending His disciples only to Israelites? If they were told *go ye into all the world*, why did they not go to the Negroes, the Chinese or the Indians? Why did they choose only one direction and proceeded to where the Children of Israel were? The location of the House of Israel at that time can be easily established historically.

Matt 11:1 ... he departed thence to preach in their [the disciples'] cities.

Matt 10:5-7 Go not into the way of the Gentiles, and into any city of the Samaritans enter ye not: but go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel. And as ye go, preach (proclaim), saying, The kingdom of heaven is at hand.

The disciples were instructed specifically not to go to certain peoples. The disciples of Jesus went out from Galilee knowing exactly where to find these “lost” sheep. They were not so “lost” that they could not be found!

“ALL”, “EVERY ONE”, “WHO-SO-EVER”, ETC.


Consider again these two verses:

John 3:16 God so loved the world ...
Mark 16:15 Go ye into all the world ...

Such verses are the basis of the thought that the *go and preach the gospel to every creature* of Mark 16:15 refers to going to every person of every race on earth. Let us consider some of the words in these verses.

Preach or *kerusso* means to proclaim, or to announce good news like a town crier. It does not mean “to make disciples” or “to evangelise”, as many teach. But where were they to make their proclamations? Was it to everyone of every race? Let us look at *every creature*. The Greek word *ktisis* is given by:

Strong G2936-7 as “original formation, building, creature, and ordinance”.



Vine's Dictionary of New Testament

Words: “*Ktizo* is used among the Greeks to mean the foundation of a place, a city, or a colony ... It is a significant confirmation of Rom 1:20,21 that in all non-Christian Greek literature these words (*ktizo* and its derivatives) are never used by Greeks to convey the idea of a

Creator or of a creative act by any of their gods. **The words are confined by them to the acts of human beings.**

This is the *creature* [or rather, *creation*] of Mark 16:15. The word *ktisis* in the Greek is used to indicate the product of human building or formation. In this context it refers to a village, or place where people live. A *ktisis* is built by man, not God. The disciples were to go specifically to the places or the villages or places where the Israelites lived.

Matt 10:23 Ye shall not have gone over the cities of Israel, till the Son of Man be come. We cannot make “*the cities of Israel*” mean the cities of every race. Note here that Jesus is speaking of the time of the end.

What is the area of proclamation? Is it not all the world of Israel? What were they proclaiming? Was it not the Gospel of the Kingdom?

The Kingdom is what Jesus and John the Baptist came proclaiming *repent: for the kingdom of heaven is at hand*. Who proclaims either of these today? It is impossible to believe and teach both the modern universal gospel to all races and the exclusive Kingdom of Heaven at the same time. Jesus confines *all the world* to the cities of Israel! In other words, this is what is to be proclaimed in the dwellings or places where the Israelites live right up to the end of the age.

ARE “ALL” and “EVERY” LIMITED EXPRESSIONS?

Does “all” usually mean “all of everything” or “all of that part being spoken about”? Does *all the world* mean all the planet, or just all of that part of the planet being spoken about. A look through Young’s Analytical Concordance will show how these words are used. This will give an indication without having to go into the Greek. Being certain on this topic is well worth the time involved researching lexicons to determine the correct **meanings** of the words used.

To grasp the use of *all* in Greek and Hebrew, consider Deut 28:10, “*and all the people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the Lord, and they shall be afraid of thee*”. Here, *all the peoples of the earth* does NOT include Israel. In the same way, *go ye into all the world* is NOT inclusive of every race. Failure to understand this is the source of error in the modern popular teaching. Jesus says that it is not given for everyone to hear or to understand. Immediately we have just one exception, then “every” and “all” cannot include that exception, or the other exceptions. If an exception is made about the Edomites who cannot find repentance, or of those Jesus said, *leave them alone*, then these cannot be part of the “all” being addressed. Jesus did not proclaim to certain peoples, as we have seen. Jesus said He was sent to Israel to save *His people* from their sins. Are we to be wiser than Jesus?

“ALL THE ENDS OF THE Earth”

Isaiah 45:22 “*Look unto me and be ye saved, all the ends of the earth*”.

At first glance, this appears to have a universal meaning. The word translated as the earth is the Hebrew word “*erets*” which is mostly translated as “country” or “earth” in the sense of a localised area or that earth belonging to a people [for example, the land, or earth, of Israel]. In context, this whole chapter is about Israel and no other. It certainly is not used in the generalised sense as the universalists who try to prove the expression *the ends of the earth* means every race or place on Earth.

“IN THEE SHALL ALL NATIONS BE BLESSED”

Gal 3:7-9 *Know ye therefore that they which are of faith, the same are the children of Abraham. And the scripture foreseeing that God would justify the heathen through faith, preached*

(proclaimed) before the gospel unto Abraham, saying, in thee shall all nations be blessed. So then they which be of faith are blessed with faithful Abraham.

This verse together with and the verses below, are favoured by universalists because they seem to present a universal gospel for all races. “Nations” is sometimes translated emotively as “Heathen” to try to add weight to the universal argument. To understand any passage of Scripture it is necessary to look at it as a whole by going back to the prophecy behind it to see what it is fulfilling.

A) To Abraham:



Gen 12:2,3 *And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing: and I will bless them that bless thee, and will curse him that curseth thee, and in thee shall all*

families of the earth be blessed.

Gen 18:18 *Seeing that Abraham shall surely become a great and a mighty nation, and all the nations of the earth shall be blessed in him?*

Gen 22:18 *And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed; because thou hast obeyed my voice.*

B) To Isaac:

Gen 26:3 *Sojourn in this land, and I will be with thee, and will bless thee; for unto thee, and unto thy seed, I will give all these countries, and I will perform the oath that I sware unto Abraham thy father.*

C) To Jacob:

Gen 28:14 *And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread abroad to the west, and to the east, and to the north, and to the south: and in thee and in thy seed shall all the families of the earth be blessed.*

D) To Jacob/Israel in the Psalms:

Psalms 22:27 *All the ends of the world shall remember and turn unto the Lord: and all the*

kindreds of the nations shall worship before thee.

Here are six important verses that are used to support the doctrine of universal racial salvation.

Harold Stough Notes



St. Paul in Britain

Theodoret Bishop of Cyrhrua (386-457)
"Ecclesiastical. History"

"St. Paul, liberated from his first captivity at Rome, preached the Gospel to the Britons and others in the west."

St. Jerome (Hieronymus) (c. 346-420) Spent 34 years at Bethlehem, studying Hebrew and Translating whole Bible into Latin. First studied in Rome.

His writings translated by Erasmus. "Paul was dismissed by Nero, that he might preach Christ's Gospel also in the regions of the west."

St. Chrysostom (347-407) Archbishop of Constantinople, confirms Paul's visit to Spain and the west without mentioning Britain, saying, "After he had been in Rome, he again went into Spain."

This suggests two journeys to the west.

Clemens Romanus second Bishop of Rome, succeeding Linus.

First Epistle ch. 5.

"After preaching both in the east and west.) he (Paul) gained the illustrious reputation due to his faith, having taught righteousness to the

Indeed, they do appear to give valid support on the surface. But do they actually say what the religious translators make them say? Is this the problem here? Let us look further.

To be continued OS 17763

whole world, and come to the extreme limit of the west."

From "Wanderings in the Isle of Wight" by Miss Hargrove (an old guide book about 50 years old) p 166.

"With several other Christians, some of whom had been in personal contact with our blessed Lord Himself, he (St. Paul) landed at Bonefon in the Isle of Wight The exact spot is now Sandown Bay, which was a mouth of the harbour of Brading. ...He passed to the mainland from Rhydd, the ferry or passage now called ride, to Aber Deo, the port of God, or Godsport, Gosport."

Papal Confirmation Morning Post 27/3/31:
"The mayors of Bath, Colchester and Dorchester, and 150 members of the Friends, of Italy Society, were today (Mar 26) received in a special audience by the Pope. His Holiness, in a specially prepared address, advanced the theory that it was St. Paul himself, and not Pope Gregory, who first introduced Christianity into Britain."

ST. CLEMENT of Rome (A.D. 30-100) wrote:
"St. Paul, also having seven times worn chains, and been hunted and stoned, received the prize of such endurance. For he was the herald of the Gospel to the West, as well as in the East, and enjoyed the illustrious reputation of the faith in teaching the whole world to be righteous. And after he had been to the extremity of the West, he suffered martyrdom before the sovereigns of mankind; and thus delivered from this world, he went to his holy place, the most brilliant example of steadfastness that we possess" (**Epistle to the Corinthians**, C. 5)

ST. CLEMENT belonged to the first century, knew St. Paul personally, and was the third Bishop of Rome. St. Paul speaks of him in his Epistle to the Philippians, 4:3, thus: "With Clement also and other my fellow labourers whose names are in the book of life Irenaeus (born c. A.D. 130) himself the pupil of Polycarp (the friend of St. John) thus speaks of him: "Clement, who had seen the blessed Apostles and con-

versed with them; who had the preaching of the Apostles still sounding in his ears, and their traditions before his eyes".

THEODORET the Blessed, Bishop of Cyrrhus, (Cyrus near Anrioch in Syria) 386-457, noted as an accomplished man of letters and learned Church historian, writing about A.D. 435 said of St. Paul(the leather worker): a. "Our fisherman and tax gatherers and the leather worker have brought to all men the laws of the Gospel, and they persuaded not only Romans and their tributaries, but also the Scythians and Sauromatian nations (or Cimrians), and Germans, to accept the laws of the Crucified". (**Graed.aff.cur.Sermo IX**).

St Paul reached Spain and brought salvation to the Islands of the Sea"(Bishop Edwards of St. Asaph' 'Landmarks in the History of the Welsh Church', p.4) This fits in with ST. JEROME'S statement that, besides visiting Spain, St. Paul went "from ocean to ocean".

ST. CHRYSOSTUM'S writings that Paul went "from Illyricum to the very ends of the earth".

SOPHRONIUS, Patriarch of Jerusalem (A.D. 633-637) wrote: unwearied champion of the orthodox faith against the monotheistic heresy, not unworthy to be ranked with Athanasius and Cyril among the defenders of the truth against successive depravations (Smith and Wace, Dic. Christ. Biog., Vol. IV, p. 719). **ROBERT PARSONS**, in his "Three Conversions of England (p.22) cites **SOPHRONIUS** as saying, in his sermon on "The Nativity of the Apostles", that St. Paul came to Britain. Parsons also cites:-

VENANTIUS FORTUNATUS, Bishop of Poitiers (born circa A.D. 530), well known Christian hymn writer, author of "Vexilla Regis"-The Royal Banners forward go-, speaks of St. Paul, "crossing the ocean" and visiting "Britain and the extreme West". Although a Frenchman, this cultivated literary man must have met many of the refugee Britons who had fled to France before the Saxon invader and would have learned many traditions from them.

A very ancient tradition assigns the foundation of Bangor Abbey(in Britain) to St. Paul. Its rule was known as the "Rule of Paul' The Abbots claimed to be his successors. Over every gate of the Abbey was Paul's command, "If any will not

work, neither shall he eat. (**a paraphrase from II Thess. 3:10**)

The correspondence of Paul and Seneca (mentioned by Jerome in the fourth century A.D.) This ancient manuscript in Merton College, Oxford, which purports to contain a series of letters between St. Paul and Seneca, makes more than one allusion to Paul's residence in Siluria, Britain.

PAUL and his brethren "**my own kinsmen by race**" (Rom 9:1-3) Knox trans.

Paul's grandfather was a wealthy Benjamite of Tarsus, who, with a great sum of money, purchased Roman citizenship for himself and his family. His son, Davidus, father of Paul, assumed the Roman name Appius Tillius. He took service with the Roman army and rose to the rank of Centurion - probably the centurion of Matthew 8:5. Davidus married a lady named Praxedes, Paul's mother. Davidus died leaving her a rich widow in Tarsus.

She subsequently married Pudentius, a Roman senator, who took her to Rome. The offspring of this marriage was Rufus Pudens, who later was quartered at Tegnum (Chichester, England) where his name is to be found on the Pudens stone.

In the light of this, carefully observe the closing chapter of his letter to the Romans where one reads: "Salute Rufus, chosen of the Lord, and his mother and mine" (vs 13). In this verse, Paul not only saluted his own half-brother, but the patrician lady, his mother and mother of Pudens. (see The Drama of the Lost Disciples.)

Steven Books


**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Russia In Prophecy

From our Southern Correspondent



Where is Russia Identified in the Bible? Many people believe that Russia is Gog of Ezekiel 38, but studying the prophecy of Ezekiel and history proves that not to be true. Can Russia be traced back to the book of Beginnings, the book of Genesis? Absolutely! And, I believe that the identity of Russia has been one of the best kept secrets next to the identity of the Lost Ten Tribes of Israel that has ever been uncovered!

Origins of the Russian People

The "present day Russians Ukrainians; and Byelorussians - [are] the ancestors of the eastern Slavs" (The Making of Modern Russia, Lionel Kochan, John Keep, p. 2). There was one original group called the Slavs, but then they all split into three groups, "by AD 800, three main geographical and linguistic divisions had arisen; the **East Slavs inhabiting a large part of European Russia**, the South Slavs who settled in the Balkan Peninsula, and the West Slavs who settled in what is now Poland, Czechoslovakia and East Germany" (History Of Poland Mieczyslaw Kasprzyk Chapter one, emphasis added). Where did these Eastern Slavic people come from?

It has long been generally understood by many Russian academics that much of their population is descended from a branch of the Scythians. A famous Russian poet, Alexander Blok, for example, noted such in one of his poems, *The Scythians* (Blok 1970 : 161). Also, Russian historian Alekseyev, in his work, *In Search Of Our Ancestors*, writes that the Russians are a branch of the Scythians (Alekseyev 1972: 297).

The Scythians as we know originated between the Black and the Caspian Seas. They were a "nomadic" people who come from "the steppe from the Carpathians to the *Don*" (*Encyclopaedia Britannica*, Vol. 25, page 526).

When did the Scythians arrive in the area of the Black Sea? "The Scythians did not become a recognizable national entity much before the **eighth century B.C.... By the seventh century they established themselves firmly in southern Russia... Assyrian documents [place their appearance there] in the time of King Sargon (722-705 B.C.)** a date which closely corresponds with that of the establishment of the first group of Scythians in southern Russia" (Scythians Talbot Rice, pp. 19-20, 44, emphasis added). But wait! How can the Slavs be the Scythians when we have proved that the Scythians are actually the [Israelites](#) of the lost ten Tribes? The Slavic people were a "Branch of the Scythians." The Scythians had a lot of tribes that the Greeks called Scythians, **but racially were not Scythians at all**. They just joined the Scythians, and became known as Scythians. Colonel J. C. Gawler's book *Our Scythian Ancestors* supplies the answer:

"Scythia proper, then, in the times of Herodotus (book iv. cap. 101) is described as lying between the Danube and the Don. The Scythian nation was made up of *various tribes having different names, though all are classed under one general name. Herodotus distinguishes, moreover, between tribes belonging to the Scythian nation, and tribes living among them having Scythian habits, but who were not Scythians by tradition or language. This distinction is not drawn by all writers.*

"The ancient Greeks," says Strabo, (book i. ii. 27) "classed all the northern nations with which they were familiar under the one name of Scythians, or according to Homer, Nomades."

"Strabo (book vii. iii. 9) seems to account for this by again *pointing out how diverse races inhabiting those parts were all classed by the Greek writers under the name of Scythians.* "Ephorus, in the fourth book of his history, which is entitled 'of Europe,' concludes by saying that *there is a great difference in the manner of life, both of the Sauromatae (a mixed race, Herod. iv. 110-117) and the other Scythians; for while*


some of them are exceedingly morose, and are indeed cannibals (see Herod. iv. 106) others abstain even from the flesh of animals. (See Strabo, xvi. ii. 37, regarding superstitious innovations-abstinence from meat, &c.- among the followers of Moses.)" (p. 3, emphasis mine). One of The peoples of the mixed race who were Not Scythian, but were classified, or grouped together with the Scythians were the Slavic peoples!

But the origins of these peoples goes even farther than this? How did these peoples end up in the Carpathian mountains.

The Mitanni Empire

The Mitanni Kingdom was situated in Northern Syria between Assyria and Palestine. It was a "Major kingdom between 1500 and 1300 B.C." (Holman's Bible Dictionary, under Mitanni).

The time this kingdom ruled was the time between Moses and Gideon of the book of Judges. Who were these people? **Dr Cowley suggests that these Mitanni are direct descendants of**



Midian (Bristowe 1971 : 12). Abraham's descendants through Keturah.

The descendants of Abraham through Keturah settled in the region of the Caucasus, both north and south of the mountain range (Gen 25 : 1-6). After multiplying greatly, they came pouring down into Anatolia and northern Mesopotamia, being known at that time as the Hurrians (Cottrell 1975 : 178). One branch of Hurrians became known as the Mitanni and their rulers the Maryanni. They were evidently named after their forefather Midian. But not just Midian, **but Ishmael as well.**

The Ishmaelites with the Midianites "formed a tribal league" (cf Judges 8:22-24)" JFB, p. 52 Holman's Bible Dictionary, p.961 says they became "interrelated" with Midian **You notice**

in the Bible that Midianites and Ishmaelites are used interchangeably, see Gen 37:25, 28 and Judges 8:22-24. So when the Bible talks about Midian, it is also talking about Ishmael. They became one and the same people! These people lived in the Land of Midian that was right up against the land of Palestine to the east.. These people dwelt in "Syria, Midian and Moab," and are "clearly DISTINGUISHED FROM THE DESCENDANTS OF JOKTAN WHO PEOPLED THE ARABIAN PENINSULA" (The Interpreters Dictionary of the Bible, under "Ishmaelites," p.749, emphasis mine).

The Bible even shows that the Ishmaelites, "...settled from Havilah to Shur, which is opposite of Egypt, in the direction of ASSYRIA..." (Gen 25:18 NRSV).

The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible writes that when the Assyrians and the Babylonians conquered the Middle East they found the people of Ishmael North of Arabia **dwelling near Assyria**, see under articles "Ishmael and Kedar." Notice, that secular as well as Biblical evidence shows that Ishmael and Midian dwelt in the same area as the Mitanni Empire!

This empire occupied "northern Mesopotamia and Asia Minor traversed Syria and had several Jordanian settlements. *Palestine is 'Hurru' in New Kingdom documents of Egypt*" (A.H. Gardiner, "Ancient Egyptian Onomastica", 1947, 3 vols., I, pages181-187)

The Mitanni empire then fell because of Gideon's war with them and God defeating them through a miracle, see Judges 6-8. Then the Mitanni people settled for a time in Assyria (Gayre 1973 : 20-21) which was merely the linking up of "contiguous" peoples (ibid). These contiguous peoples were the Scythians and all its related and unrelated tribes that the Greeks all named Scythians.

The 'Aryans' of Mitanni were called by the Greeks Mattienoi...Of them Field notes that "nothing more is heard of them; they disappear in unknown India" (Field 1970 : 152; Also see Encyclopaedia Britannica under article "Mitanni"). These Aryans were part of the invasion of India and established Hinduism in that country. Is it any wonder that the word "Brahmins" means "sons of **Abraham**"?

These peoples "spoke the Aryan/Iranian tongue" (White, True Origins of the peoples of Eastern Europe., Chapter 2). The Indo-Aryans are also called "Indo-Europeans," and the Russian language, and the Mittanni are considered both part of the "Satern" language of the Indo-Europeans,

Where did these Aryan Mitanni go? Pliny wrote of the Matiani as moving into *southern Russia* over the Caucasus (Pliny Bk vi .sec 18).

"Mitanni/KHURRI became the East Slavic (Russian)... **Kievans** (who traced their ancestry to **KHORIV** per the ("Russian Primary Chronicle"). (Edwin M. Yamauchi, 1982: 23; History Research Projects, "Nations of Central Asia and the Middle East", copyright 1994, 2001; Suppiluliuma: Sattiwara Treaty, "PD" no. I, 22-5, rev. 14-21, trans. Liverani (1990: 82). KHURRI, KHORIV, are the Kievans of Russia!

Keep writes: "The most dynamic eastern Slav groups were those living in the area of the middle Dnieper on the border between forest and steppe [southern Russia]" (The Making of Modern Russia, p.2). These are the ones who created the "Kiev Rus" empire in the 9th century A.D. After the fall of "Kiev Rus" these same Eastern Slavs chose "...Moscow ...as nucleus of the future Russia" (ibid, p.11).




In Conclusion Craig White writes that the Midianites-Ishmaelites are the modern day peoples of "White Russians (Byelorussians)" (The Lost Races of the Ancient World, Craig White).

The Prophecy of Ishmael

In the Bible, God promised Abraham and Hagar that Ishmael would become a *great nation*: "And the angel of the LORD said unto her, Behold, thou art with child, and shalt bear a son, and shalt call his name Ishmael; because the LORD hath heard thy affliction.

" And he will be a *wild man; his hand will be against every man, and every man's hand against him*; and he shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren ["**defying all his kinsman**"] (James Moffatt Transl)]And as for Ishmael, I have heard thee: Behold, I have blessed him, and *will make him fruitful, and will multiply him exceedingly*; twelve princes shall he beget, and I will make him a great nation...(Gen 16:11-12;17:20).

Now, the brother of Ishmael was Isaac, and his descendants are the Modern-day peoples of the United States and the British Commonwealth of Nations. The prophecies of Genesis are for the end time. The birthright blessings of Isaac, Esau, and Ishmael all have the same time element to them. *And the rise of Russia is in exact sequence to Britain and America. Russia's rise to world power actually began before World War II, It began back in the Great European Colonial Expansion of the 17th through the 20th centuries with the Russian Empire and Russian Revolution*



Behold, I have blessed him, and *will make him fruitful, and will multiply him exceedingly*; twelve princes shall he beget, and I will make him a GREAT NATION... (Gen 16:11-12;17:20).

Expansion of Russia-Before Russia, peoples had moved and empires had spread across Asia by way of the steppe, the grassland that stretches from Mongolia all the way to Hungary. When the Tsars began moving East, however, it was not by way of the steppe, but through the heavy forest, the *taiga*, that lay north of it. This was less dramatic, but more thorough. By the end of the 17th century, Russia was already at the Pacific Ocean. The 18th century saw less in the way of gains in Central Asia, but substantial progress against Poland, Sweden, and Turkey in Europe and vast territories -- Alaska -- acquired in America. **Vitus Bering** (1680-1741), although Danish, scouted for Russia the Strait, the

Sea, and the island that are now all named for him. Eventually, a Russian settlement even appeared for a while on the coast of California -- though "Ft. Russ" later got corrupted into "Ft. Ross," and the area was conceded to Spain.

The 19th century began with the gain of Poland and Finland, and progress in the Caucasus. Soon, after all the years of circling around, the Central Asian steppe was absorbed. After mid-century, the Russian border was then dramatically pushed south and the Moslem states of Turkestan were steadily reduced in a march that to the British always looked directed at India, as perhaps it was. About the same time, Alaska was cut lose, sold to



the United States, and lands were also being wrested from China, especially north and east of the Amur River, which gave Russia a secure, year-round Pacific port at Vladivostok.

Russian Revolution & Communist Russia-As mentioned in the prophecy above, Did Ishmael defy his brother Isaac, The U.S.A and the British? *The Cold war, Communism against capitalism, was a perfect example of this!* Don't you think that something like the Cold War, that put all nations on alert for potential nuclear war, would be mentioned in the pages of the Bible? Something as life threatening as this on a global scale as the Cold War should be mentioned.

To defy someone or country is to, "confront or resist boldly; to dare" (Webster's Dictionary, p.43)

"Cold War, term used to describe the post-World War II struggle between the United States and its allies and the (USSR) and its allies. During the Cold War period, which lasted from the mid-1940's until the end of the 1980's, international politics were heavily shaped by the intense *rivalry between these two great blocs of power and the political ideologies they represented: democracy and capitalism in the case of the United States and its allies, and Communism in the case of the Soviet bloc.* The principal allies of the United States [Manasseh] during the Cold War included *Britain, [Ephraim] France [Reuben], West Germany, Japan, and Canada [Ephraim].* On the Soviet side were

many of the countries of Eastern Europe—including Bulgaria, Czechoslovakia, Hungary, Poland, East Germany, and Romania—and, during parts of the Cold War, Cuba and China " (Microsoft Encarta article "Cold War," emphasis added).

Also, because of the Soviet threat, NATO was created which also includes the Scandinavian countries, which are also part of the Ten tribes of Israel! And in **resistance** to NATO, the Soviets created the Warsaw pact. This also produced the great arms race to accumulate Nuclear weapons.

During this time of the Cold War , the Soviets resisted the spread of capitalism by setting up Russian satellites along eastern Europe. And when the "Marshall Plan was invented to help Europe recover by the aid of the United States, the "Russians would not participate, nor did they allow their satellites [Eastern European countries dominated by Communist Russia] to do so. Instead they bitterly attacked the plan... To handle the Marshall plan the *Russians replied by organizing their own half of Europe in Comecon, a Council of Mutual Economic Assistance...* [it was] a denunciation of what it termed a 'frankly predatory and expansionist course... to establish world supremacy of American imperialism' (History of the world, pp. 939-940, emphasis added). Do you see Ishmael resisting his brothers, defying them on every front, Militarily and Economically? This is prophecy fulfilled to the letter.

A "Free Man"- God said that Ishmael would be like a "wild man." Or a "Free Man" (Ferrar Fenton Translation). What does God mean here that Ishmael and his descendants, when they receive their birthright would become "free men"?

The Apostle Paul called himself the "Prisoner" of the Lord. A prisoner of Jesus Christ, see Ephesians 3:1; 4:1; 2 Tim 1:8; Philemon 1:1, 9. Paul was subject to Jesus Christ and his obedience to him. For Ishmael to be "free," that means he was the **complete opposite of what Paul or any follower of Jesus Christ was and is.** Adam Clarke's Commentary p. 186 writes of Ishmael: "God himself has sent them out free — he has loosed them from all political restraint." They were not subject to God's laws and Kingdom. What is the exact opposite of a believer in Jesus Christ? **AN ATHEIST!** The Soviet Union was the biggest Communist Atheistic Empire

the world has ever seen. They were free from God, Atheists, not subject under the laws of God!

A Red Army officer who defected to the United States said: "You know in Russia we teach that religion is the opiate of the people and that there is no God... and *that Atheist Moscow is the Capital of the world* [not Jerusalem]" (Thomas Foster, *When Russia Attacks America*, pp. 65-66, emphasis added).

Another quote that Communism was: "...to instigate a worldwide *system of man-made law and order outside of God's laws and of Jesus Christ* as shown by Matthew Haberson as early as 1828" (*The Ascendancy of Russia*, p.257, emphasis added). Ishmael was teaching its people that they were "Free" from God, because there was no God. Communism was predicted by God through Ishmael centuries in advance!

Another meaning for the prophecy of Ishmael in the "original Hebrew, which runs literally, "he shall be before the faces of all his brethren," i.e., (according to the idiom above explained, in which "*before the face*" denotes the east), *the habitation of his posterity shall be "to the east" of the settlements of Abraham's' other descendants...* These prophecies found their accomplishment in the fact of the sons of Ishmael being located, generally speaking to the *east of the other descendants of Abraham, whether of Sara or of Ketuah.*" (**McClintock and Strong, Cyclopaedia of Biblical, Theological, and Ecclesiastical Literature, (Vol. I:339). Who dwells exactly east of Britain, Scandinavian and other Israelite European countries, as well as North America where Abraham's descendants live? Russia!**

The Ishmael Myth of the Arabs



Many Arabs today claim to be descendant of Ishmael Abraham's son. Is this true? McClin-

tock and Strongs a well known Encyclopaedia of Religion comments: "There is a prevalent notion that the Arabs, both of the south and the north, are descended from Ishmael; and the passage Gen 16:12... is often cited as if it were a prediction of that national independence which, upon the whole, the Arabs have maintained more than any other people. But this supposition ...is founded on a misconception of the original Hebrew.. these prophecies found their accomplishment in the fact that the sons of Ishmael being located ...EAST OF THE OTHER DESCENDANTS OF ABRAHAM whether by Sarah or by Keturah. But the idea of the southern Arabs being of the posterity of Ishmael is ENTIRELY WITHOUT FOUNDATION, and it seems to have originated in the tradition invented by Arab vanity that they, as well as the Jews, are of the seed of Abraham-a vanity which besides disfiguring and falsifying the whole history of the patriarch and his son Ishmael, has transferred the scene of it from Palestine to Mecca... The vast tracts of the country known to us under the name Arabia gradually became **peopled by a variety of Tribes in different lineage**" (*Cyclopaedia of Biblical, Theological, and Ecclesiastical Literature, I:339, emphasis mine*).

Robert Morey writes: "Most standard reference works on Islam REJECT the Arab claim to Abrahamic descent. ...[The] Encyclopaedia of Islam traces the Arabs to non-Abrahamic origins. Even the Dictionary of Islam questions the whole idea that the Arabs are descendants of Ishmael" (*Islamic Invasion p. 24, emphasis mine*).

Warrag writes: "As for the Historian, the Arabs are NO MORE descendants of Abraham, then the French are of Francus, son of Hector" (*Why...p.131, emphasis mine*).

You notice in the Bible that Midianites and Ishmaelites are used interchangeably, see Gen 37:25, 28 and Judges 8:22-24. These people lived in the Land of Midian that was right up against the land of Palestine to the east.. These people dwelt in Syria, Midian and Moab, and are "clearly DISTINGUISHED FROM THE DESCENDANTS OF JOKTAN WHO PEOPLED THE ARABIAN PENINSULA" (*The Interpreters Dictionary of the Bible, under "Ishmaelites," p.749, emphasis mine*). The Bible even shows that the Ishmaelites, "...settled from Havilah to Shur, which is opposite of Egypt, in the direction of ASSYRIA..." (*Gen 25:18 NRSV*).

This is also confirmed by secular History. The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible writes that when the Assyrians and the Babylonians conquered the Middle East they found the people of Ishmael North of Arabia **dwelling near Assyria**, see under articles "Ishmael and Kedar."



Josephus mentioned that one of Abraham's great-grandsons joined with the Assyrians. ("Antiquities", book I, ch. xv § 1.) His name was Asshur, the son of Dedan, the son of Jokshan. Jokshan was the son of Abraham. See Genesis 25:3. "And Jokshan begat Sheba, and Dedan. And the sons of Dedan were Asshurim, and Letushim, and Leummim." From Sheba have come the Swabian Germans. From Letushim are descended the Lettish people along the Baltic. **Is it any surprise that we find in Psalm 83:1-8 we find the Ishmaelites with "Asshur" in a "confederacy," meaning the United States of EUROPE, the ten nation combine called the Beast?**

Why is it in Daniel 11:40-45 we find this beast in which the ISHMAELITES ARE A PART OF going against the "KING OF THE SOUTH" which are the Arab nations, that are south of Palestine? **The Arabs are NOT part of the peoples of Ishmael.**

One more note. How can the Arabs be descendants of Ishmael, for when Ishmael was born the ARABS ALREADY EXISTED!

The Arabians are actually the descendants of Joktan, and partly of Cush, see Gen 10:7, 26-30; 1 Chron 1:20-23.

"THE DESCENDANTS OF JOKTAN ARE WHO PEOPLED THE ARABIAN PENINSULA" (The Interpreters Dictionary of the Bible, under "Ishmaelites," p.749, emphasis mine).

Unger's Bible Handbook says, Seba is connected with South Arabia and is mentioned in the Assyrian inscriptions in the 8th century B.C. Havilah was ancestor to a people in central and southern Arabia partly Cushite and partly Semitic Joktanite..." (pp.53, 56).

The New Bible Commentary Says: "In so far as they can be identified Joktan and his descendants seemed to have lived in southern Arabia" (p.68).

Morey writes: "Nowhere in the Koran does it state that Ishmael is the progenitor of the Arab race. Since it is not taught in the Koran, it cannot be a true Islamic belief...Arabian literature has its own version of prehistoric times, but its entirely legendary" (Britannica, vol. 2:176)..."

"The pure Arabs are those who claim to be descended from Joktan or Qahtan, whom the present Arabs regard as their principle founder... The 'Arabu' I-Musta'ribah, the mixed Arabs, claim to be descended from Ishmael.. they boast as much as the Jews of being reckoned the children of Abraham. This circumstance will account for the preference with which they uniformly regard this branch of their pedigree, and for the many romantic legends they have grafted upon it... The Arabs, in their version of Ishmael's history, have mixed a great deal of romance with the narrative of Scripture." (**A Dictionary of Islam, pgs. 18-19**)

"Muhammad was not informed about the family of Abraham." (**Encyclopaedia of Islam**) **I:184. See also pages 544-546.**

"There is a prevalent notion that the Arabs, both of the south and north, are descended from Ishmael; and the passage in Gen. xvi. 12, "he (Ishmael) shall dwell in the presence of all his brethren," is often cited as if it were a prediction of that national independence which, upon the whole, the Arabs have maintained more than any other people. But this supposition is founded on a misconception of the original Hebrew, which runs literally, "he shall before the faces of all his brethren," i.e., (according to the idiom above explained, in which "before the face" denotes the east), the habitation of his posterity shall be "to the east" of the settlements of Abraham's other descendants... These prophecies found their accomplishment in the fact of the sons of Ishmael being located, generally speaking to the east of the other descendants of Abraham, whether of Sara or of Keturah. *But the idea of the southern Arabs being of the posterity of*

Ishmael is entirely without foundation, and seems to have originated in the tradition invented by Arab vanity that they, as well as the Jews, are of the seed of Abraham--a vanity which, besides disfiguring and falsifying the whole history of the patriarch and his son Ishmael, has transferred the scene of it from Palestine to Mecca." (McClintock and Strong, *Cyclopedia of Biblical, Theological, and Ecclesiastical Literature*, (Vol. I:339)

In the Qur'an, "Gen. 21.17-21... are identified with Mecca." (**The Concise Encyclopaedia of Islam**, p. 193).

It also states that the Southern Arabs come from Qahtan, not Ishmael (p. 48). See also:

The Encyclopaedia of Religion, Vol. 7, pg. 296 where the connection between the Midianites and the Ishamelites is noted.

The Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam, pgs. 178-179.

Blonde and Red Haired Mummies of Egypt

From Barry Dunford



Egyptian Female Pharaoh: Queen Hatshepsut, wife of Pharaoh Thutmose II. The mummy which Egyptologists have identified as Queen Hatshepsut is displayed at the Egyptian museum in Cairo. She ruled Egypt after Thutmose's death in 1520 BC. Her long hair and facial structure has been well preserved by the embalming process of the time. American Egyptologist Donald P. Ryan excavated her tomb, in the Valley of the Kings, during the course of 1989. Ryan describes the mummy as follows:

"The mummy was mostly unwrapped and on its back. Strands of reddish-blond hair lay on the floor beneath the head." [Ibid., p. 87.]

The myths and legends of Greece, India and South America describe the rule of Osiris and Isis." The Mighty Osiris and Isis walked into the Egyptian Valley out of nowhere and assumed command.' They were taller and more imposing than the men of the time, with long blond hair, marble like white skin and remarkable powers that enabled miracles".

Yuya-(Joseph II) Biblical Joseph Egyptian Prime Minister during 1400 BC. Father of Tiy. Yuya's blonde hair and Caucasian facial structure have been well preserved by the embalming process. He was married to Tjuyu, an Egyptian noblewoman associated with the royal family, who held high offices in the governmental and religious hierarchies. Their daughter, Tiye, became the Great Royal Wife of Amenhotep III.

The tomb of Yuya and Tjuyu was, until the discovery of Tutankhamun's, one of the most spectacular ever found in the Valley of the Kings despite Yuya not even being a pharaoh. Although the burial site was robbed in antiquity, many objects not considered valuable by the robbers still remained. Both the mummies were largely intact and were in an amazing state of preservation.

The mummy of the red haired Egyptian King, Ramses II, is on public display at the Egyptian Museum, Cairo. Forensic tests were done on Ramses, proving that his red hair was 'natural'. Microscopic examinations showed that the hair roots contained natural red pigments, and that these red pigments did not result from the hair somehow fading, or otherwise being altered after death, but did represent Ramesses' natural hair color.

Egypt's last display of national vigor came with the red haired Pharaoh Ramses II (1292 – 1225 BC). Ramses II managed to re-establish the already decaying Egyptian Empire by re-capturing much land in Nubia. After this king, Egypt entered into a steady period of decay, caused directly by the elimination of the original Egyptians, and their replacement with a mixed population. This racially divergent nation was never again to reach the heights achieved by the First,



Second or the first part of the Third Kingdoms. In these later years there were competing claimants to the pharaohs throne, many of whom, racially speaking, bore no resemblance to the original pharaohs at all.

The 'Elder Lady' (discovered in KV35, lying next to the the badly damaged Younger Lady) is well-mumified, with curly hair and must have been about 50 years old when she died. She was discovered in KV35 and many scholars believe that she may be Queen Tiye, the mother of Akhenaten.

Supporting this theory are her age at death and the possibly 'royal' position of her hands (the left arm at the chest and the right down by her side). In addition, one study comparing a strand of the Elder Lady's hair to a lock of hair found inside a tiny coffinette inscribed for Tiye from Tutankhamun's tomb concluded that the samples matched.

The fact of red-headed Egyptians has not only anthropological interest however, but also great symbolic importance. In ancient Egypt, the god Seth was said to have been red-haired, and redheads were said to worship the god devoutly.

The Ramessides (the family of Ramesses II), believed themselves to be divine descendants of Seth, with their red hair as proof of their lineage. She speculated that Ramesses II may have been descended from a long line of redheads.

The mummy of Pharaoh Seti I is the most life-like of the great pharaohs of Egypt, and a tribute

to the embalmer's art. His Caucasian features remain crystal clear and because of the excellent preservation process, Seti's mummy can easily be compared with a relief of his face made in his lifetime at the Temple at Abydos. Seti was the son of the great Ramses I, and became pharaoh in 1320 BC. He reoccupied lands in Syria lost to earlier Syrian invasions, conquered Palestine and conducted campaigns against the Semitic Libyans and the Indo-European Hittites.

Negroid hair doesn't magically transform into typically Caucasian hair as a result of the mummification process, as is often claimed. ALL the anthropologists that examined the mummy hair have described the hair as Caucasian overall; you just might have to assume that a bunch of highly trained professionals might actually know what they are talking about, even if it does not fit the current politically correct afro-centric views endorsed by the UN.

A well preserved body from the pre-dynastic period in Egypt, circa 3,300 BC. Buried in a sand grave, the natural dryness of the surroundings kept the body preserved. His red hair has been so well preserved that he has been given the nickname "Ginger" at the British Museum where he is kept on display.

After the Human Tissue Act 2004, the British Museum has developed policies for ethical treatment of human remains and no longer uses the nickname famously known as "Ginger".

Despite the refusal of the Secretary General of the Egyptian Supreme Council of Antiquities, Zahi Hawass, to release any DNA results which might indicate the racial ancestry of Pharaoh Tutankhamen, the leaked results reveal that King Tut's DNA is a 99.6 percent match with Western European Y chromosomes.

Plants Of The Bible - Chestnut (Heb. armon).

William H. Groser BSc.(Lon)

'The chesnut trees (R. V. plane trees) were not like his branches.' — Ezek. xxxi. 8.

As the chesnut is not a native of Palestine, some other rendering of the Hebrew word armon must be sought for; and botanists are agreed that the **ORIENTAL PLANE** (*Platanus orientalis*) is the tree mentioned in

Gen. xxx. 37, and in the Book of Ezekiel as above quoted. This is one of the most agreeable and conspicuous objects in the vegetation of the river-side and other watered districts of Syria and the Holy Land. It grows wild on the banks of streams in the Lebanon district, and is cultivated wherever sufficient moisture can be found. It is chiefly remarkable for the umbrageous shade which it affords, so grateful in the warmer regions of Europe and Asia. This is due to its

broad palmated leaves, and to the horizontal growth of its branches, yielding a perfect and delightful protection from the sun's heat. To this the prophet Ezekiel undoubtedly points in the passage just cited. He is comparing the Assyrian monarchy to a majestic cedar, and adds, The fir trees were not like his boughs, and the plane (chesnut) trees were not like his branches; nor any tree in the garden of God was like unto him in his beauty.' Casual as the botanical allusion is, it is sufficient to indicate the estimation in which the plane tree was held by the contemporaries of Ezekiel. Under favourable conditions it grows to a height of seventy feet, and yields a white and fine-grained wood suited for various economic purposes. It is said that the Turks formerly employed plane-wood for ship-building. The bark is smooth and whitish, and scales off annually in patches; this may illustrate Jacob's stratagem (Gen. xxx. 37). The flowers are minute, in globular and pendent catkins, whence a number of downy seeds are shed in the autumn.



The range of *P.* orientally is from Europe through Western Asia to Cashmere; in the Western Hemisphere the American plane (*P. occidentalis*), an allied species, replaces it in corresponding latitudes. Both have been naturalized in this country for more than two hundred years; and Lord Bacon is credited with having planted some of the earliest specimens of the Oriental plane in his grounds at Verulam.

From the Greek and Roman historians it would seem that an almost extravagant value was set on the plane tree. Pliny says that it was introduced into Southern Europe from Asia Minor

via Sicily, and that some individuals in his day were of marvellous dimensions. A magnificent specimen growing in Lycia contained in its hollow trunk a room about eighty feet in diameter, in which a Roman proconsul entertained eighteen guests at a banquet. A like story is told of a tree growing in the garden of Caligula's villa at Velitra. In Athens and other Greek cities avenues of plane were planted, as in Persia to this day, forming cloistered walks for the pupils of Plato and Aristotle; and under their shade in an island of the Levant, called Plataniste, the young Spartans used to perform their athletic exercises.

A splendid group grows on the shores of the Bosphorus, the largest being 90 feet in height and 150 in circumference. It was under some such gigantic specimen as these that the dissolute and wayward Xerxes halted with his enormous army on his way to invade Greece; and here he frittered away an interval of critical importance in paying mad compliments to this noble plant.

The allusion of the Hebrew prophet to the spreading foliage of the plane is corroborated by a passage in the apocryphal Book of Ecclesiasticus, where wisdom is likened to 'a plane tree' which has 'grown up by the water' (ch. xxiv. 14).

Only Thou Patience Strong



**"There is none other that fighteth for us, but only Thou, O God".
English Book of Common Prayer**

NOT ALONE in our own strength can we achieve our end—so terrible and strong the foe with whom we must contend . . . So vast the numbers set against us in this evil hour—unbridled in their hate they seem to hold almighty power.

And yet this nation has been saved from dire and dreadful things—delivered and protected in the shadow of His wings. . . For there is one who fights for us: the God of Israel. And that is why deep in our hearts we know all shall be well.

Law Of The Land? Or Law Of The Sea? From Our Northern Correspondent



The Illuminati bloodline families have hijacked the law, not just in Britain, but in North America and around the world, especially in the former colonies of the British Empire. The plan for global enslavement was not possible under the real human law known as Common Law and so they imposed their own by deceit.

When people talk about the 'law of the land' they are referring, mostly without realising, to Common Law. This has been evolved over thousands of years through customs and precedents. Veronica writes:

'Back in the Celtic times the British Isles were populated by tribes. They had traditions and customs. Nothing was permanently written down, but they lived by rules that everyone knew. This entirely mirrors the situation world-wide. There was a time when everything was tribal, and each member knew the rules that governed their lives. If anyone broke these rules there would be some kind of Tribal Meeting, and the Chief or Holy Man would preside. A judgment was made on the basis of arguments put forward by both sides, and that judgment was executed.'

Common Law was enshrined in the original Magna Carta (Great Charter) which King John was forced to sign in 1215. If you don't breach the peace, nor cause harm or loss to another, and do not employ mischief in your agreements, then you will not violate the tenets of Common Law. The use of Common Law is the use of common sense in that each situation can be judged on its merits without 'statutory' laws that tell the judge what he or she must do in given circumstances. One modern definition is this:

'Common law is the system of deciding cases that originated in England and which was later adopted in the US. Common law is based on precedent (legal principles developed in earlier case law) instead of statutory laws. It is the traditional law of an area or region created by judges when deciding individual disputes or cases. Common law changes over time.'

Now there you have a big reason why Common Law was of little use to the Illuminati families. It changes over time, yes, but often not very quickly and sometimes very little, and, as Veronica: Chapman can show you, people can even make their own Common Law.

If you want to create a global prison camp in any realistic time-scale you need laws that you can introduce quickly and change at will to suit your agenda. And you certainly don't want people able to make their own laws.

So the bloodlines usurped Common Law, the law of the land, as the prime arbiter of human activity with Statute Law, the law of the sea. This is also called Maritime Law or Admiralty Law, Commercial Law and Civil Law, among other terms. In short, the Illuminati families took the laws of shipping and trade by sea and brought them ashore to be called Statute Law. By its very nature, this is *commercial* law, the law of contracts.

These are the 'laws' introduced by governments and parliaments and they can sometimes do this in hours - perfect if you want to impose a slave state. When the Queen signs an Act of Parliament or the US president signs a bill into law they are simply signing a contract to make a contractual agreement.

During the British government of Tony Blair alone statute laws created 3,000 new criminal offences in just nine years - one new crime for every day Blair was in office. You can do this with Statute Law, the law of the sea, but never with Common Law, the law of the land.

The [Statute] Lawman

But there was a problem. It is Common Law that refers to the living, breathing, human being while Statute Law is the law of contracts. So the purveyors of Statute Law had to invent fake

'persons' - corporations - to which the fake 'laws' could apply.

This is the 'Straw Man', a legal fiction, which is created using your name in all-capital letters. So John Smith and other variations, like John Smith and John of the Smith family, are, under Common Law, the living, breathing, human being with a soul and JOHN SMITH is the legal fiction corporation/trust created to fall under the jurisdiction of Statute Law.

Notice that when government, law enforcement, legal and financial agencies etc., communicate with you they invariably write your name in all capital letters. This is because they are not writing to *you*, the living being, but to the fake 'Straw Man' that is created when you are born.

The trick is to keep you believing throughout your life that John Smith and JOHN SMITH mean the same thing. They *don't*.

It is vital to understand that governments, your local council, courts, police force and so on are private *companies and corporations*. If you check this out with Dun and Bradstreet, which provides credit information on businesses and corporations worldwide, you can confirm this.

According to Veronica Chapman's research, THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA CORPORATION and THE UNITED KINGDOM CORPORATION are in what's called Chapter 11 bankruptcy. This is a state of bankruptcy which ...


'... allows businesses to reorganize themselves, giving them an opportunity to restructure debt and get out from under certain burdensome leases and contracts. Typically a business is allowed to continue to operate while it is in Chapter 11, although it does so under the supervision of the Bankruptcy Court and its appointees.'

So who controls the 'Bankruptcy Court and its appointees' that are currently 'supervising' the 'government' corporations of the United States and United Kingdom? Try the House of Rothschild and its international banking cabal.

Governments are corporations and the presidents, prime ministers, royalty and cabinets are the CEOs, chairmen or women, and the board of directors. Those with insider knowledge (the few) will know this and certainly the Queen will know this in the UK. But most of them are clueless about the very system they are briefly administering, or appearing to.

The shareholders in the corporation are the people, like you and me. It's just that the authorities forget to tell us. When every baby is born a single share in the corporation is issued in its name in all-capital letters, but because this fact is systematically kept from us we don't ask for either the share or the money it is worth.

Instead, the share is held in trust by the government and all dividends due and the voting rights that come with it. The trustee, the government corporation, uses these 'votes' to decide the future of the corporation and whether, for instance, it will agree to a corporate merger to create the European Union or North American Union.



By keeping their true lawful status from the people they turn the shareholders into employees of the corporation, or slaves to be more accurate, and one of the key ways they do this is by using words that have one meaning to the population and another in law.

The term 'legal' does not mean lawful. That's why I call banking legalised robbery. It is 'legal' under Statute Law, but it is still robbery, an unlawful offence. Common Law is what is lawful; Statute Law is what we call 'legal'.

We think that a 'person' is a human being, but under the 'legal' definition of Statute Law a 'person' is a corporation and, to meet the criteria of Maritime Law, the 'person' represents a ship, in effect. That's why when a 'person' goes to court - a *maritime* court in reality - the 'person' stands in the *dock*.

Ship ahoy!

Look at the maritime language in everyday use, especially in relation to governments and legal terms, like *ownership* and *citizenship*. We also have a courtship before agreeing a corporate merger called marriage in which we contract with the government corporation through a marriage certificate.

I saw a definition of Admiralty Law which said that it 'covers many commercial activities, although land-based or occurring wholly on land, that are maritime in character'. What they do is use language that makes everything 'maritime in character'.



What happens when we arrive in this 'world'? We are issued with a birth/*berth* certificate. And then there is:

Leadership; rulership; lordship; statesmanship; premiership; chairmanship; directorship; governorship; dictatorship; relationship; partnership; professorship; scholarship; apprenticeship, dealership; distributorship; fellowship; friendship; guardianship; censorship; receivership, readership; sponsorship; township; trusteeship; even worship.

The United States flags in every federal building, court, school or whatever are framed with a gold/yellow fringe for the same reason. Whenever the president makes an address on television or speaks in a federal situation you will see the 'American' flag behind him with a gold/yellow fringe.

Obama: the corporation's man.

Once again this is Maritime/Admiralty Law. Under the International Law of the Flags, the type of flag displayed by a ship decrees the law that applies aboard that vessel. By going aboard you are accepting the jurisdiction of the law that applies to that flag. The same happens with foreign embassies. The flag they fly ensures that the law of the country they represent applies within the confines of the embassy.

A flag with a gold fringe indicates Admiralty Law and so when you appear in a court displaying a flag of that nature you are agreeing to be tried under Statute Law, the law of the sea that applies to a legal fiction and not to you. As an article at usa-the-republic.com put it:

'When you enter a courtroom displaying a gold or yellow fringed flag, you have just entered into a foreign country, and you better have your passport with you, because you may not be coming back to the land of the free for a long time. The judge sitting under a gold or yellow fringe flag becomes the "captain" or "master" of that ship or enclave and he has absolute power to make the rules as he goes. The gold or yellow fringe flag is your warning that you are leaving your constitutionally secured rights on the floor outside the door to that courtroom.'

Look at US troops in places like Iraq and you'll see the gold fringe around the flag on their uniforms, indicating that they are the army of the corporation, not the people or the country as they think they are.

I have spoken to lawyers about all this, and even a clerk of the local court, and they genuinely have no idea what Statute Law really is. But those in the upper echelons of the global legal profession certainly do and the same goes for judges and leading government administrators. Most come-and-go politicians haven't a clue.

Fortunately, the game is being uncovered and it is possible to disconnect from statute slavery if you know what you're doing. This includes taxation and credit-debt which are also fictions of Statute Law.

The wording of all communications with the State needs to be carefully constructed, not least your name. Accept that you are JOHN SMITH or even John Smith, or use prefixes like Mr, Mrs, Miss, or Ms, and Statute Law will prevail. But use terms like John Smith or John of the Smith family and you are no longer subject to Statute Law, only Common Law.

This doesn't mean there will be a criminal-free-for-all when Statute Law is collectively overturned and Common Law returned to its rightful place in human affairs. I repeat, if you breach the peace, cause harm or loss to another, or employ mischief in your agreements, then you are subject to Common Law, no matter what you call yourself.

I would urge everyone to read the information at the websites of Veronica: Chapman and John: Harris because it will explain these matters in detail and show you how to start becoming a 'Freeman-on-the-land' who can live lawfully outside of Statute Law and the impositions of the State.

A Voice From The Past

The United States Was Never In Greater Danger

Major General Count Cherep-Spiridovich



Americans are lulled into a sense of false security by memory of nearly complete safety during the past one hundred and fifty years, but this security was due to two main reasons which now are inoperative:

A Czar of Russia would not permit any overt attack on the United States, just as he prevented it in 1863-64.

Until a few years ago the two oceans protected the American shores, but these natural defences have been abolished by the nature of perfected aggressive warfare.

Therefore, the optimism which has always prevailed in America, due principally to the protection above mentioned, is no longer based upon solid ground. America must, therefore, recognize and face the danger and prepare for any eventuality.

Americans are somewhat intoxicated by the laurels of the First World War, when fate gave

America fifteen months of interval and absolute security at home, between her declaration of war and her real entrance upon the battlefields, where the American troops met the Germans, worn out by four years fighting.

In the Second World War which is being staged by the World Government against America, she will not be given even fifteen minutes for her preparations; this war will not be declared. . . . All of the above mentioned three Mongolian groups of foes of the United States were "pro-American" in 1918. They were then busy in attempting to destroy or undermine the Christian rulers of Russia, Germany, Austria, England and Italy, and bending these countries nearly to the state of bankruptcy.

The World Government "kept America out of war," as long as the Czar, "the traditional friend of America" (according to President Roosevelt) needed her help. It forced America into the war as soon as the Czar of Russia was overthrown. Even the Chicago Tribune of July 27, 1922, repeated the rumor, that a Judeo-Mongol, Justice Lubitz Brandeis, "ruled the White House by secret telephone," and a Jew, Bernard M. Baruch, literally "spat" in the face of the Senate that he was the "most powerful man" in America. . . . In his interesting "*The Triumphant Plutocracy*" ex Senator R. F. Pettigrew wrote that when the American nation elected Mr. Woodrow Wilson "because he kept America out of war," he was already preparing to declare it.

The World Government threw America into it even against the will of the nation, by ordering the "American" press to make it!

**The Secret World Government
The Hidden Hand By
Count Cherep-Spiridovitch
Can be purchased from the
Christian Defence League
PO Box 25 Mandeville, LA
70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565**

Star Wars, Lesson Ten

Mark of the Beast or Magen David

Nord Davis



Holy Scriptures have been provided for our learning: and when they differ from the traditions of men, those traditions must be discarded.

While it is certainly true that King David never would have been caught dead with a Six-pointed Star around, this was not true of his son, King Solomon. The first Biblical mention of the Star of Satan's Seed was in 922 BC, when King Solomon converted to the fashionable occult religions of his day. He erected an altar to Satan's false god, Astarte and to Moloch, even after God appeared to him twice and commanded him not to do this abominable thing. It was Josiah who later destroyed this forbidden altar and the Six pointed Star right along with it. It was from the worship of Astarte and her Six pointed Star that the so-called Christian festival, named after her in the English, **Easter**, originated. At the festival of Astarte, or Easter, this sex goddess asks her followers to worship fornication and fertility which is represented by the Sign of the rabbit and the Astarte Egg. She had her followers worship her at a certain sunrise in the Spring. This Six-pointed Star is properly identified as the Seal of Solomon. Deceivers among us have almost been able to convince us that the Six-pointed Star is *Magen David*, the Star of David. Throughout the Bible, the number six has always been associated with imperfection and is the human or carnal number. It is the number of man that is destitute of God; the man who is without Christ or who is anti-Christ. Christ *save* right through the modern deceptions of the Mormon, Jewish, Masonic and Fundamentalist teachings by having St. John identify for us the Sym-

bol, Sign or Mark of the Beast in this manner in Revelation 13:18:

"Here is wisdom. Let him that hath understanding count the number of the Beast: it is the number of (a) man; and his number is six hundred, three score and six."

I have put a parenthesis -around the word "a" because of the false doctrines built around it such as an *individual* anti-Christ. The indefinite article "a" does not appear in the original Greek text, for, as any first semester student of the Greek knows full well, there is no indefinite article in the Greek language! Why do educated preachers try so hard to deceive you? The number of the Beast system is 666, the anti-Christ scheme of things always found where the Serpent Seed people manage to get control. When you want to identify him, look for his Sign, a six within a six within a six. It is a logo with six points, six sides and six angles. It is the Sign of the Son of Perdition, the Chay-Satan line of Daniel 11:36-45 and II Thessalonians 2:3. That Wicked One's Star or Sign was condemned specifically by the God of Israel in Amos 5:26 and identified by Him as "the Star of *your* god, Moloch."

In the New Testament, this same wicked Sign is called the Star of Remphan as set forth in Acts 7:43. The book, Encyclopaedia of Occultism by Lewes Spence, calls the Sixpointed Star the occult's "chief magical diagram." None of the references you will ever find anywhere to the origin of this Star indicate any positive, Godly or Christian meanings. It is the highest sign of the Sabbath of Witches, often called Halloween and is enthusiastically celebrated today by most Christian churches. "Their congregations dress up their children as goblins, skeletons, and a host of other disguises, and send them out into the *All Hallow Even* to play out the witch's role of "Trick or Treat," a childish

combination of blackmail, bribery and theft.



Satanic sacrifices of young people now going on in America, and the real reason for all the missing children that are never seen again are done under this Sign of the Six pointed Star. The murder of the first-born was a common ritual of those under the power and authority of Moloch and Easter. Today, that ritual is carried out by medical abortions done under the Sign of The Serpent on the Staff: The majority of these abortions, now permitted by the United States Supreme Court, are done on the Seed of the Woman and promoted most widely by those whose loyalty is to the Six-pointed Star, Mother Earth, and the worship of the Creation rather than the Creator.

Finally, we need to look into the number of Satan's name. In the Hebrew, there are no vowels. As the Hebrew for Satan is sounded out, and recorded in Strong's Concordance, *Satan's name is numbered by six letters, Sawtan. The two definitions given for Sawtan also have six letters in each of them:

**To A c c u s a and To A t t a c k.
Sawtan? Accuse - Attack = 666**

In striking contrast, observe that the number Five as it appears throughout Scripture is nearly always used where Godly, positive, uplifting and Christian things, such as grace, faith and favour are discussed. The whole canon of God's Word cannot be understood without the foundation of the Pentateuch, the first Five books of the Bible. The finale of Christ's


work on the earth cannot be comprehended even slightly, apart from Pentecost. Pentecost is Five times the number of completion, ten, and it is the Jubilee, the cancellation of all debts. Christ paid the price! So dangerous are these two Fives, the Five books of the Pentateuch containing God's Laws, Statutes, and Judgments, and the true meaning of the Gifts of the Holy Spirit seen at Pentecost, that every effort must be made by Satan's Seed to discard the Law and race of the Pentateuch as irrelevant and obsolete. They must sidetrack the truth of Pentecost and substitute the carnal self-serving activities in the Name of the Holy Spirit to make it of none effect. Still promoting his enmity with a vengeance, Satan has managed to recruit some highly effective disciples to attend to this task. Can you identify them? The wasp cannot help himself: He **must** build a mud hut. The False Prophet and the Hireling Shepherd cannot help themselves either, and they **must**, by some mysterious instinct, promote those holding forth under the Ensign of the Six-pointed Star. I will not belabour this point about the Biblical Five for you for it can be easily studied from the references in any concordance. There were Five loaves to feed the Five thousand. There were Five wise and Five foolish virgins: The best servant in the Kingdom got Five talents and earned another Five. The "certain rich man" had Five brothers. St. Paul in rebuking those carnal Christians at Corinth, stated that Five teaching words well understood, were better than a thousand words in their "unknown tongues." There were Five hundred witnesses that Christ rose from the Dead. In God's Sovereignty, Five times St. Paul got the maximum whipping allowed by Roman Law of 40 [New Beginnings 8 times 5] stripes. When David went after Satan's Enmity Agent and killed him, he carried five smooth stones. When Joshua felt the Enmity breathing down his neck, there were Five kings of the Wicked Seed who were hanged from Five Trees. Brother Joshua, where are you?

None of the great men of faith depended upon the Sorcerer's Hex, or called upon the god of Moloch to deliver their nation, or themselves, from those who hated them?

[*] When I refer to Strong's Concordance in this letter, let it be understood that I mean the Strong's Exhaustive Concordance. The Christian Book Stores now sell what is called The New Strong's Concordance which is supposed to be a condensed version leaving out the thousands of references to common words such as "the" and "who." What you may not find out until you get home, is that it also leaves out both the Hebrew and Greek lexicons where you can find the definitions of the words used. Except to help you find particular verses; the volume is worthless.

natural right to life, health, and education is surely the clearest violation of those great American principles of inherent natural rights so distinctly set forth in the Declaration and Constitution which all Governments must respect and defend, as I will show later on.

Book Review
Horrors of Vaccination
Exposed And Illustrated
1920



Compulsory Disease As A Condition For Public Schooling Or For Service In Army And Navy Is Medically Barbarous And Legally Unconstitutional, And Should Be Abolished

THESE deadly vaccinations above cited were almost all performed on school children to satisfy an evil law which has been originated and sustained by a powerful but mistaken part or sect of the medical profession—the vaccine sect—by which law vaccination is forced upon children of school age as a condition for admission to school, thus making the infliction of a dangerous disease on the tender body of the child a condition for the exercise of that most essential, unquestionable, and inalienable right of the child to Education! Such invasion of



This book published in 1920 by Chas. M. Higgins as a public challenge to the health departments of the governments of the western world and in particular to the United States of America following appalling injuries and deaths as a result of compulsory vaccination programmes in the USA and Europe.

Despite this and other outcries as early as the 1800's governments continue to promote their vaccination programmes particularly towards the most vulnerable, that is, the very young and old being part of their agenda for population control.


The controlled media ensures that no negative views on vaccines reach the eyes and ears of the public.

This book can now be downloaded for free at the New Ensign site under the book section at:

<http://newensign.christsassembly.com>

History Of The UK Birth Certificate

From Our London Correspondent



Civil registration of births, marriages and deaths was first proposed by Oliver Cromwell in the 1650's. But because the church was such a powerful entity, it took on the role of recording christenings and marriages in Parish Registers. Over the centuries, the increase in the number of non-conformist churches, and the persistence of Common Law marriages and unbaptised children, was increasingly perceived as a problem for the wealthy who needed to define inheritance and ownership. This led to a House of Commons Committee recommending the introduction of a national system of registration and the introduction of a civil marriage. On July 1st, 1837, the new Registration Service began with 2,193 Registrars of Births and Deaths and 619 Superintendent Registrars. This compares with current levels of 1,026 Registrars of Births and Deaths and 380 Superintendent Registrars. The Act permitted non-conformists to marry in their own churches, chapels and meeting-houses, if licensed, but only in the presence of a civil registrar.

The new law was not well-received. First the Church of England did not like it because it eroded her place as the recorder of such events. Many nonconformist sects saw it as a plot to list and track their members, libertarians regarded the law as an infringement of the Englishman's rights to privacy and freedom. The labouring classes could neither read nor write and did not understand the need for some kind of government paper. Finally there were those who saw registration as a precursor of more taxation.

Queen Victoria introduced the legislation to the people of England and Wales and promised that the government would be good. And to sweeten the deal, while everyone was supposed to register births, it was not compulsory. The govern-

ment used the existing structure of Poor Law Unions to define registration districts.

Originally, the registrars had to travel around and visit the people who had an event and make a note of the details. A new Act of 1874 removed this and it was up to the people to visit the local registrar office to record the event.

The Lincolnshire Archives has a publication: "*Poor Law Union Records*", an extract from which is quoted below:

"By the *Births, Deaths and Marriages Registration act of 1836 (6&7 Will 4 c86)* the Guardians were directed to provide a register office and to appoint Registrars of Births and Deaths. The Clerk to the Guardians was given a right of appointment to the office of Superintendent Registrar, and the power of appointment of Registrars of Marriages. Arrangements for carrying out periodic censuses devolved upon the Superintendent Registrar and the Registrars.

The functions of Boards of Guardians under this Act were transferred to County and County Borough Councils under the *Local Government Act of 1929 (19 & 20 Geo. 5, c. 17)*".

It was not until 1898 that non-conformist ministers and priests were able to apply for a licence to conduct marriages by themselves (i.e. to act as registrars).

What is the significance of the date July 1st, 1837?

American Independence day was 3 days later. Was the registration service start date anything to do with paying for the war?

Editor's Note: The registration of births was a necessary step prior to declaring the country bankrupt, as legally registering means to hand over ownership. Therefore the people of the UK became enslaved to the Government and ultimately the City of London banking cartel headed by the Rothschilds. The terms of bankruptcy was defined in the 1875 Bankruptcy Act which it is difficult to get copies of.

Eating This "Healthy" Food? It Could Be Slowly And Silently Killing You

Posted By Dr. Mercola



Are you confused about soy? The Weston A. Price Foundation has compiled a list of soy dangers and myths to get the truth out once and for all.

Soy Dangers Summarized

High levels of phytic acid in soy reduce assimilation of calcium, magnesium, copper, iron and zinc. Phytic acid in soy is not neutralized by ordinary preparation methods such as soaking, sprouting and long, slow cooking, but only with long fermentation. High-phytate diets have caused growth problems in children.

Trypsin inhibitors in soy interfere with protein digestion and may cause pancreatic disorders. In test animals, soy containing trypsin inhibitors caused stunted growth.

Soy phytoestrogens disrupt endocrine function and have the potential to cause infertility and to promote breast cancer in adult women.

Soy phytoestrogens are potent antithyroid agents that cause hypothyroidism and may cause thyroid cancer. In infants, consumption of soy formula has been linked to autoimmune thyroid disease.

Vitamin B12 analogs in soy are not absorbed and actually increase the body's requirement for B12.

Soy foods increase the body's requirement for Vitamin D. Toxic synthetic Vitamin D2 is added to soy milk.

Fragile proteins are over-denatured during high temperature processing to make soy protein isolate and textured vegetable protein.

Processing of soy protein results in the formation of toxic lysinoalanine and highly carcinogenic nitrosamines.

Free glutamic acid or MSG, a potent neurotoxin, is formed during soy food processing and additional amounts are added to many soy foods to mask soy's unpleasant taste.

Soy foods contain high levels of aluminum, which is toxic to the nervous system and the kidneys.

Myths and Truths About Soy

Here we dispel the myths of the "Diet Dictocrats" and reveal the scientific validity behind our wise ancestors' nutrient-dense diets.

Myth: Use of soy as a food dates back many thousands of years.

Truth: Soy was first used as a food during the late Chou dynasty (1134-246 BC), only after the Chinese learned to ferment soybeans to make foods like tempeh, natto and tamari.

Myth: Asians consume large amounts of soy foods.

Truth: Average consumption of soy foods in Japan and China is 10 grams (about 2 teaspoons) per day. Asians consume soy foods in small amounts as a condiment, and not as a replacement for animal foods.

Myth: Modern soy foods confer the same health benefits as traditionally fermented soy foods.

Truth: Most modern soy foods are not fermented to neutralize toxins in soybeans, and are processed in a way that denatures proteins and increases levels of carcinogens.

Myth: Soy foods provide complete protein.

Truth: Like all legumes, soybeans are deficient in sulfur-containing amino acids me-

thionine and cystine. In addition, modern processing denatures fragile lysine.

Myth: Fermented soy foods can provide vitamin B12 in vegetarian diets.

Truth: The compound that resembles vitamin B12 in soy cannot be used by the human body: in fact, **soy foods cause the body to require more B12**



Myth: Soy formula is safe for infants.

Truth: Soy foods contain trypsin inhibitors that inhibit protein digestion and affect pancreatic function. In test animals, diets high in trypsin inhibitors led to stunted growth and pancreatic disorders. Soy foods increase the body's requirement for vitamin D, needed for strong bones and normal growth.

Phytic acid in soy foods results in reduced bioavailability of iron and zinc, which are required for the health and development of the brain and nervous system. Soy also lacks cholesterol, likewise essential for the development of the brain and nervous system.

Megadoses of phytoestrogens in soy formula have been implicated in the current trend toward increasingly premature sexual development in girls and delayed or retarded sexual development in boys.

Myth: Soy foods can prevent osteoporosis.

Truth: Soy foods can cause deficiencies in calcium and vitamin D, both needed for healthy bones. Calcium from bone broths and vitamin D from seafood, lard and organ meats prevent osteoporosis in Asian countries—not soy foods.

Myth: Modern soy foods protect against many types of cancer.

Truth: A British government report concluded that **there is little evidence that soy foods protect against breast cancer or any other forms of cancer.** In fact, soy foods may result in an increased risk of cancer.

Myth: Soy foods protect against heart disease.

Truth: In some people, consumption of soy foods will lower cholesterol, but **there is no evidence that lowering cholesterol with soy protein improves one's risk of having heart disease.**

Myth: Soy estrogens (isoflavones) are good for you.

Truth: Soy isoflavones are phyto-endocrine disrupters. At dietary levels, they can prevent ovulation and stimulate the growth of cancer cells. Eating as little as 30 grams (about 4 tablespoons) of soy per day can result in hypothyroidism with symptoms of lethargy, constipation, weight gain and fatigue.

Myth: Soy foods are safe and beneficial for women to use in their postmenopausal years.

Truth: Soy foods can stimulate the growth of estrogen-dependent tumours and cause thyroid problems. Low thyroid function is associated with difficulties in menopause.

Myth: Phytoestrogens in soy foods can enhance mental ability.

Truth: A recent study found that women with the highest levels of estrogen in their blood had the lowest levels of cognitive function; In Japanese Americans tofu consumption in mid-life is associated with the occurrence of Alzheimer's disease in later life.

Myth: Soy isoflavones and soy protein isolate have GRAS (Generally Recognized as Safe) status.

Truth: Archer Daniels Midland (ADM) **recently withdrew its application to the FDA for GRAS status** for soy isoflavones following an outpouring of protest from the scientific community. The FDA never approved GRAS status for soy protein isolate because of concern regarding the presence of toxins and carcinogens in processed soy.

Myth: Soy foods are good for your sex life.

Truth: Numerous animal studies show that soy foods cause infertility in animals. Soy consumption enhances hair growth in middle-aged men, indicating lowered testosterone levels.



Myth: Soybeans are good for the environment.

Truth: Most soybeans grown in the US are genetically engineered to allow farmers to use large amounts of herbicides.

Myth: Soybeans are good for developing nations.

Truth: In third-world countries, soybeans replace traditional crops and transfer the value-added of processing from the local population to multinational corporations.

Soy Infant Formula: Birth Control Pills for Babies

Babies fed soy-based formula have 13,000 to 22,000 times more estrogen compounds in their blood than babies fed milk-based formula. Infants exclusively fed soy formula receive the estrogenic equivalent of at least four birth control pills per day.

Male infants undergo a testosterone surge during the first few months of life, when testosterone levels may be as high as those of an adult male. During this period, baby boys are programmed to express male characteristics after puberty, not only in the development of their sexual organs and other masculinity traits, but also in setting patterns in the brain characteristic of male behaviour.

In animals, studies indicate that phytoestrogens in soy are powerful endocrine disrupters. Soy

infant feeding -- which floods the bloodstream with female hormones that inhibit testosterone -- cannot be ignored as a possible cause of disrupted development patterns in boys, including learning disabilities and attention deficit disorder.

Male children exposed to DES, a synthetic estrogen, had testes smaller than normal on maturation and infant marmoset monkeys fed soy isoflavones had a reduction in testosterone levels up to 70 percent compared to milk-fed controls.

Almost 15 percent of white girls and 50 percent of African-Americans girls show signs of puberty, such as breast development and pubic hair, before the age of eight. Some girls are showing sexual development before the age of three. Premature development of girls has been linked to the use of soy formula and exposure to environmental estrogen-mimickers such as PCBs and DDE.

Intake of phytoestrogens even at moderate levels during pregnancy can have adverse affects on the developing foetus and the timing of puberty later in life.

Dr. Mercola's Comments: If you were to carefully review the thousands of studies published on soy, I believe you would reach the same conclusion as I have—which is, the health risks associated with unfermented soy products FAR outweigh any possible benefits.

However, there's an important distinction that must be made any time you talk about soy, and that is the difference between traditionally *fermented* and *unfermented* soy products.

For centuries, [Asian people](#) have been consuming [fermented soy products](#) such as natto, tempeh, and soy sauce, and enjoying the health benefits associated with them.

Fermented soy does not wreak havoc on your body like unfermented soy products do.


Additionally, there's the issue of eating genetically modified (GM) soy. In the US, over 90 percent of all soy grown is genetically modified Roundup Ready soy, which has an array of additional [health hazards all of its own](#).

Unfortunately, many Americans who are committed to healthy lifestyles have been misled and grossly manipulated into believing that un-

fermented and processed soy products like soymilk, soy cheese, soy burgers and soy ice cream are health foods.

As the Weston A. Price Foundation so clearly shows (above), this is far from true.

Health Dangers of Soy



Dr. Kaayla Daniel, author of *The Whole Soy Story*, points out thousands of studies linking soy to malnutrition, digestive distress, immune-system breakdown, thyroid dysfunction, cognitive decline, reproductive disorders and infertility—even cancer and heart disease.

If you have symptoms of any of the following diseases, I would strongly urge you to take a closer look at your diet and eliminate unfermented soy:

- Breast cancer
- Brain damage
- Infant abnormalities
- Thyroid disorders
- Kidney stones
- Immune system impairment
- Severe, potentially fatal food allergies
- Impaired fertility
- Danger during pregnancy and nursing

What Soy Products are Good For You?

The only soy with health benefits is organic soy that has been properly fermented, and these are the only soy products I ever recommend consuming.

After a long fermentation process, the phytate and "anti-nutrient" levels of soybeans are reduced, and their beneficial properties become available to your digestive system.

The primary fermented soy products I recommend are:

- Tempeh a fermented soybean cake with a firm texture and nutty, mushroom-like flavour.

- Miso, a fermented soybean paste with a salty, buttery texture (commonly used in miso soup).

- Natto, fermented soybeans with a sticky texture and strong, cheese-like flavor.

- Soy sauce, which is traditionally made by fermenting soybeans, salt and enzymes; be wary because many varieties on the market today are made artificially using a chemical process.

Please note that [tofu is NOT on this list](#). Tofu is not fermented, and is therefore not among the soy foods I recommend.

How Nations Die From Mike Green

Video produced by Dr David Duke exposing the very serious problem we have in the western world. Did you know that the Jews have been thrown out of over 90 countries on around 120 separate occasions? If they are such a blessing then why do you think no-one wants them in their midst? Here is why (read the Protocols before watching the video): [How Nations Die](#)

The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion (a one page summary):

Goyim are mentally inferior to Jews and can't run their nations properly. For their sake and ours, we need to abolish their governments and replace them with a single government. This will take a long time and involve much bloodshed, but it's for a good cause. Here's what we'll need to do:

- * Place our agents and helpers everywhere
- * Take control of the media and use it in propaganda for our plans
- * Start fights between different races, classes and religions
- * Use bribery, threats and blackmail to get our way
- * Use Freemasonic Lodges to attract potential public officials
- * Appeal to successful people's egos
- * Appoint puppet leaders who can be controlled by blackmail
- * Replace royal rule with socialist rule, then communism, then despotism
- * Abolish all rights and freedoms, except the right of force by us
- * Sacrifice people (including Jews sometimes)

when necessary

- * Eliminate religion; replace it with science and materialism
- * Control the education system to spread deception and destroy intellect
- * Rewrite history to our benefit
- * Create entertaining distractions
- * Corrupt minds with filth and perversion
- * Encourage people to spy on one another
- * Keep the masses in poverty and perpetual labor
- * Take possession of all wealth, property and (especially) gold
- * Use gold to manipulate the markets, cause depressions etc.
- * Introduce a progressive tax on wealth
- * Replace sound investment with speculation
- * Make long-term interest-bearing loans to governments
- * Give bad advice to governments and everyone else

Eventually the Goyim will be so angry with their governments (because we'll blame them for the resulting mess) that they'll gladly have us take over. We will then appoint a descendant of David to be king of the world, and the remaining Goyim will bow down and sing his praises. Everyone will live in peace and obedient order under his glorious rule.

NB: The Jews will "appoint a descendant of David to be king of the word". I guess the Lord Jesus needn't bother coming then. The Jews claim that the Protocols of Zion are fake. So what - they're sticking to them regardless. That is our problem. **End OS20393**

Rootless Monica Huggett

I do not feel rooted into the soil of a foreign
land,

I do not feel at home with name not mine own,
I feel I am drifting, floating on the vast open
seas,

I have no oar to row with,
I have only my Trust in my Heavenly Father,
I feel an emptiness I never felt before,
The longing to belong,
The longing to belong and be with where my
home is.

Where is my home? It is not on foreign soil,
It is not in a strange land I never can call home,
My heart is breaking, the longing to be home
Is paining my whole being, my spirit and my
soul.

My spirit is weary, my home when I go home,
Will it still be home?

Everything has changed now, all that I knew,
All the friends and family became strangers
now.

The friends I have in this strange land,
Is but only a voice on the telephone,
My family, my friends at home,
Became voices on the telephone or a letter
On the screen of my computer.

There is no physical contact, all is unreal,
I am drifting on the ocean of life,
I have no direction, I have no anchor,
I have but my Trust in the Most High God,
This is enough to keep me going where He
wants

Me to land one day, that will be my Home.

European News Round-Up Glastonbury Thorn Cut Down From Mike Green

In the Daily Mail on 10th December, 2010, Luke Salkeld reported that the 2000 year old Glastonbury thorn on Wearyall Hill was cut down. Was this a symbolic attack against the growing influence of the CI message?



Standing proudly on the side of an English hill,
its religious roots go back 2,000 years. But a

single night of vandalism has left an ancient site of pilgrimage in splinters.

The Holy Thorn Tree of Glastonbury has been chopped down in what is being seen by some as a deliberately anti-Christian act.

A feature of the skyline surrounding the Somerset town, the tree has been visited by thousands retracing the steps said to have been taken by Joseph of Arimathea, who some say was Jesus' great uncle.

Police tape surrounds the vandalised Holy Thorn tree on Wearyall Hill in Glastonbury as stunned locals look on. The branches were cut off overnight and a police investigation has been launched.

The tree in all its glory before it was hacked apart. Legend says it sprang from the staff of Joseph of Arimathea, the man who helped Jesus off the cross. To the left of the tree, in the distance, is Glastonbury Tor

According to legend, Saint Joseph travelled to the spot after Christ was crucified, taking with him the Holy Grail of Arthurian folklore.

He is said to have stuck his wooden staff – which had belonged to Jesus – into the ground on Wearyall Hill before he went to sleep. When he awoke it had sprouted into a thorn tree, which became a natural shrine for Christians across Europe.



To add to its sacred status, the tree 'miraculously' flowered twice a year – once at Christmas and once at Easter. It survived for hundreds of years before it was chopped down by puritans in the Civil War, but secret cuttings of the original were taken and planted around the town.

It is from one of the new plants that a replacement tree was planted in the original spot over 50 years ago.

Yesterday residents of Glastonbury wept as they surveyed the damage done to the tree on Wednesday night. Katherine Gorbing, curator of the town's abbey, said: 'The mindless vandals who have hacked down this tree have struck at the heart of Christianity.'

OS20397

The French Soccer Team - Then And Now Mike Green

French Football Team 1959



French Football Team 2010



Never has such proof been available for all to see ... **The effects of climate change can no longer be ignored!**

Christian Identity Radio Broadcasts



Christogenesis
Pastors William Finck and Eli James
The Regathering of **True Israel**
in the New Jer**USA**lem
"My eyes have seen your salvation .. the glory of your people, **ISRAEL.**" (Not to be confused with Anti-Christ Jews).

The banner features a background of the American flag. On the left, there are several circular medallions and a sword. On the right, there are two crests: one with a figure holding a staff and another with a crown and shield.

Friday nights, 8 ET (Saturday 1am BST)

www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258



Yahweh's Covenant People "In Isaac shall thy seed be called" (Gen. 21:12)
The Regathering of **True Israel**
In The New Jer**USA**lem (Not to be confused with "Jews.")
"For my sword shall be bathed in heaven: behold, it shall come down upon Idumea, and upon the people of my curse, to judgment." Isaiah 34:5

The banner features a background of the American flag. On the left, there are several circular medallions and a sword. On the right, there are two crests: one with a figure holding a staff and another with a crown and shield.

Saturday nights, 8 ET (Sunday 1am BST)

www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924



The Voice of Christian Israel
Pastor Eli James
The Regathering of **true Israel**
The New Jer**USA**lem
"My eyes have seen your salvation .. the glory of your people, **ISRAEL.**"
(Not to be confused with "Jews").
www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

The banner features a background of the American flag. On the left, there are several circular medallions and a sword. On the right, there are two crests: one with a figure holding a staff and another with a crown and shield.

The Voice of Christian Israel, Sundays, Noon ET (5 pm BST)



New Thursday Fortnightly

European Fellowship Call

Hosted By Bill Finck

Every other Thursday at 5 pm BST, 6 pm CET, Noon ET.

Check for next scheduled broadcast on:


Now on TeamSpeak - Details can be found at:

<http://christogenea.org>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565



The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.

**The New Ensign
Can be contacted
by e-mail**

thenewensign@gmail.com

**Previous Issues
are archived at**

<http://newensign.christsassembly.com>

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

**Watch this space for future
event details**

Further venues for the autumn / winter will be posted.

www.thebcgroup.org.uk

wwwv.lawfulrebellion.org

www.lawfulrebellion.org.uk

www.ukcolumn.org

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey

Wirral

CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383

Email info@thebcgroup.org.uk

European Fellowship Conference

Advance Notice

**Bavaria
Germany**

August 2011

**Watch this space for
further information**

thenewensign@gmail.com