

The New Ensign

No. 38 October 2012

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Israelite Reader,

Yet another successful conference of New Ensign readers and others was held again in Bavaria in the midst of its magnificent countryside with the Austrian Alps in view. Those attending the conference give thanks to Yahweh for the warm and sunny weather especially those from the UK who had experienced a very wet and cool summer. A full report is given on page 20.

A collection of photos taken during the conference period will be posted on The New Ensign Website and in a future edition, a report on 2 important German cities, namely Aachen and Karlsruhe visited on the way to the conference will be given.

Aachen was for a long time the seat of the Holy Roman Empire where Charlemagne ruled on his marble chair (throne).

Karlsruhe, is also an important city which lies on a leyline running from Stonehenge to the Great Pyramid. Also on this line, is Bad Teinach, where previous German Identity conferences have been held under the able leadership of the late Heinz Mohrlock.

A concerted attack on the Identity Message during the period of the conference with a number of attendees receiving bogus e-mails from Pastor Eli James claiming that his money and case had been stolen in Aberdeen, Scotland of all places - at the same time a number of

Identity computers and websites came under attack.

The Olympics are now over all bar the shouting, with none of the dire predictions materialising. However, because of the widespread publicity about the Olympics being used as a false flag cover, the enemy was thwarted. However, under the cover of the Olympics, London was militarised with many foreign troops brought in, so we must maintain our vigilance.

Important world events move on apace, while the mainstream media keeps the sheeple fed with trivialities, while they are kept in a stupor through fluoridisation of the water supply. Medical and street drugs, chemtrails and food additives.

We praise Yahweh, that despite this concerted attack He has His protective shield over his remnant people of true Israel and we look forward to His soon return to rule over His Kingdom here on earth.

To be continued

Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents		Page
What Follows The Gospel - Arnold Kennedy		3
A Poem - The Heraldry of The 12 Tribes		8
Who is Gog - Pastor Eli James		9
Harold Stough Notes - Who Was Shakespeare?		10
The Two Seeds of Genesis 3.15 - Charles Lee Mange (2)		13
No More of Two Opinions - John Trotter		18
Beware of Toxins in New Clothes - P. F. Louis		19
Report - European Identity Conference Schonstett, Bavaria		21
Letters and Views		22
The Dangers of Smart Meters		26
Think of Me - Patience Strong		29
The Arcane British Suffolk Landscape		30
Thomas Edison and The Jews		32

What Follows The Gospel?

Arnold Kennedy



INTRODUCTION

WHEN people first become Christians, it is not long before many have questions about whether or not any part of the Old Testament Law of

God has any place in their new life. Whilst they are told an interpretation of, “We are not under Law, but under Grace”, they know at the same time they cannot steal, lie or murder, all of which are against parts of God’s Law. Ask these same people twenty years later about this matter, and find there has been absolutely no lessening of confusion over the twenty-year period. The tender conscience of first love has become hardened over this period, and in many aspects of practical living there is little obvious difference in the way they live from that of their “unsaved” neighbours, especially in economic decisions, financial applications of borrowing and lending, political decisions and involvement, property responsibilities, criminal law, inheritance laws, health requirements, duties in regard to government. Even the area of pre-marital sex, divorce and adultery there is often little difference that can be seen. In remaining untaught as to what follows on from the Gospel, denominational Christians often become, “spotted by the flesh”- [Jude 23], through the superficial doctrine that has been presented to them. The great thing both the supposedly “saved” and the “unsaved” have in common is denial, or ignorance, of the Law of God. In this both are humanistic.

This paper is an effort to give some understanding as to how and when God’s Law has place in a Christians life, which life is pictured as being a journey, or what Jesus terms a “way”. This is only one of several pictures that could be painted.

Look at this illustration. If a car restorer selects a damaged vehicle for restoration, the damaged car must first be paid for in full. This is a picture of the payment Jesus made to redeem His people. Being paid for, or “bought with a price”, as a

wreck does not complete the restoration of a car. The Purchaser has to do a lot of restoration work on that car, and it may take a long time before the work is finished. Jesus has paid the price for us as damaged vehicles, and thus has “saved” us from being totally scrapped. But being “saved” does not immediately make us fully restored. This is why the, “Once saved, saved for ever” doctrine is totally deficient and blinds understanding in the way it is presented. Churches infer by doctrine that the restoration work is optional. We remain “saved” only whilst remaining “in Christ Jesus our Lord”, a safe place along the “way” where nothing can then separate us from the love of God.- (Rom. 8:29).

This picture is what follows through the Bible. It is about a journey by God’s chosen people, the Israelites, which parallels a car’s rescue from the scrap heap. It is a pictured in the journey of the Israelites after being “saved” from slavery, and their subsequent journey to the Promised Land, by which time the work of restoration could have been completed. We read of judgement upon the Israelites for not working in with the Restorer and of them being “cast off”-(Rom.11:22), and even being denied further restoration (perishing in the wilderness). We are told something about the tools used in this work in the fourth chapter of the Book of Ephesians. But if these tools are not available, or are not being allowed, there can be little progress along the “way”. Failure to consider this progression is the cause of many misunderstandings people have about the application of certain passages of the Bible, especially in regard to the application or non-application of God’s Law. The starting point of the Christian journey is believing the “Gospel”, and each person is designed to continue from that point “unto perfection”-[Heb. 6:1]. But like most of the Israelites who perished in the wilderness, many never “arrive” at the designed end.

THE “GOSPEL”

There are 306 references to “gospel” in the New Testament, the prime message being about the “Kingdom of Heaven” (over Israelites), and “The Kingdom of God” (God’s sphere of authority over everything). The “Kingdom” is not the aspect that this paper is addressing.

Galatians 1:9 speaks about “any other gospel” where “other” = *para* (or alongside). This runs parallel to the true gospel and thus looks something the same. Because of this one person may question whether or not another person believes the same Gospel as he does.

Both people may say they both believe what is often described as “the Gospel of the free Grace of God”, and indeed they may both start there. But they diverge on what follows on from that. How?

One group considers the Gospel to be both the beginning and the end without having to start on



a journey. They are led to believe that they have arrived, that all responsibility has been taken out of their hands, and that, “God still loves you unconditionally no matter what you do”. This group does not

believe that the following can happen, “The dog is turned to his own vomit again; and the sow that was washed to her wallowing in the mire” - [2 Peter 2:22]. These are the pathetic sugar-puff members of popular churches, who follow entertainment, the latest trends in 'pop Christianity', fads such as WWJD, ‘Christian Rock’, the prosperity purveyors, and excitement mongers such as Benny Hinn. Those who specialise in just one emphasis fall in this group also, as do all the many Pastors who regularly view pornography.

The other group believes, “Because strait is the gate, and narrow is the way, which leadeth unto life, and few there be that find it”- [Matt. 7:14]- that is, they believe that the Gospel is the beginning of a pathway that can end in eternal life. These are the real seekers.

We can easily see whether the first group have “arrived” or not in the following verses.

John 8:31, “If ye continue in my word, then are ye my disciples indeed”.

1 Peter 1:9 “Receiving the end of your faith, even the salvation of your souls”.

In the latter verse, “end” = *telos*, or the final point. Jesus said, “I am the way” (John 14:6), where “the way” = *hodos*, which is about travelling from one place to another destination. Jesus said, “I am the door” as the entrance to the “way” that leads = *apago* (an active-present verb) unto “life” = *zoe*.

This word “way” is still “*hodos*” in:

Heb. 10:19-20 *Having therefore, brethren, boldness to enter into the holiest by the blood of Jesus, By a new and living way, which he hath consecrated for us, through the veil, that is to say, his flesh;*

Then this passage carries on to tell us how to travel in practical terms. So with all the references to “way”, it cannot be escaped that being a believer in Jesus starts at the beginning of a journey, and then continues by walking along a certain “way”. Now we are not looking at specifics here at this time, but at the active principles that apply. We can do this through the analogy of marriage that there is to follow through the Bible. This “way” proceeds from being single, entering through the door, the journey, betrothal, and thence to marriage. God’s special relationship with the Israelites presents us with this picture.

HOW DOES ONE BECOME “MARRIED”?

Speaking from the male point of view, as the Bible does, a single man cannot become married just by living as a married person does. He has to make a vow or confession before witnesses that he is going to “take this woman to be my lawful wedded wife”. The woman then responds with a similar vow. There is no suggestion of good behaviour first, or of earning this position, on the part of the wife-to-be. It is entirely dependent upon the grace of the husband and his love for her.

Likewise, when there is a confession of Jesus as Saviour, there is no requirement to have first obeyed God’s Laws. We start in a state of complete and utter hopelessness and dependence upon God. So our first step, or entry point, is our confession of faith in Jesus’ sacrifice. Then come

other “steps” along the “way” that “leads” to eternal life.

Betrothment and marriage properly are a response to love. When in love, it is no problem



to say, “I promise to obey” on the woman’s part. That is the commitment she makes biblically to a man who loves her enough to die for her. But without such love, no woman can say or do that. Likewise if we try to keep God’s commandments without loving Him for His grace and mercy, we just cannot do it. This is what the meaning of “the letter” is...it kills...it is covering ourselves with filthy rags. It is a false (horrible) religion that says we have to be trying to earn “salvation” by keeping the Law. Such a marriage could not last. Why? It is because there is no love involved.

We can now look at the picture we see in Ezekiel 16, where God sought out His bride even when she was filthy and covered in blood.

And when I passed by thee, and saw thee polluted in thine own blood, I said unto thee when thou wast in thy blood, Live; yea, I said unto thee when thou wast in thy blood, Live. I have caused thee to multiply as the bud of the field, and thou hast increased and waxen great, and thou art come to excellent ornaments: thy breasts are fashioned, and thine hair is grown, whereas thou wast naked and bare. Now when I passed by thee, and looked upon thee, behold, thy time was the time of love; and I spread my skirt over thee, and covered thy nakedness: yea, I swore unto thee, and entered into a covenant with thee, saith the Lord GOD, and thou becamest mine. Then washed I thee with water; yea, I thoroughly washed away thy blood from thee, and I anointed thee with oil. I clothed thee also with brodered work, and shod thee with badgers’ skin, and I girded thee about with fine linen, and I covered thee with silk. I decked thee also with ornaments, and I put bracelets upon thy hands, and a chain on thy neck. And I put a jewel on thy forehead, and earrings in thine ears, and a beautiful crown upon thine head. Thus wast thou decked with gold and silver; and thy

raiment was of fine linen, and silk, and brodered work; thou didst eat fine flour, and honey, and oil: and thou wast exceeding beautiful, and thou didst prosper into a kingdom. And thy renown went forth among the heathen for thy beauty: for it was perfect through my comeliness, which I had put upon thee, saith the Lord **GOD**.

There is no suggestion that the bride was washed because she was keeping any of God’s laws. Likewise we can never become washed first through keeping the Law. God requires a cleansed and purified wife first, and she is dependent on God who does the cleansing.

After marriage the wife has two options. Firstly she can respond to the One who loved, washed and clothed her, and keep her commitment vows, or she can break her vows. She can stay clean by “walking in the light”.

1 John 1:7 *But if we walk in the light as He is in the light...and the blood of Jesus Christ cleanses us from all sin.*

There is this “if” condition about keeping clean. In God’s plan we can see there is an object that she should take the wedding vows, and then following by keeping that promise to love and obey.

But on the other hand the bride can “do her own thing”, and break her vows. From verse 15 of this chapter, this is what the Israelites did in playing the harlot. They (as the wife) broke the covenant. Then The Husband responds as in verse 35 on:

Wherefore, O harlot, hear the word of the LORD: Thus saith the Lord GOD; Because thy filthiness was poured out, and thy nakedness discovered through thy whoredoms with thy lovers, and with all the idols of thy abominations, and by the blood of thy children, which thou didst give unto them; Behold, therefore I will gather all thy lovers, with whom thou hast taken pleasure, and all them that thou hast loved, with all them that thou hast hated; I will even gather them round about against thee, and will discover thy nakedness unto them, that they may see all thy nakedness. And I will judge thee, as women that break wedlock and shed blood are judged; and I will give thee blood in fury and jealousy. And I will also give thee into their hand, and they shall throw down thine eminent place, and shall

break down thy high places: they shall strip thee also of thy clothes, and shall take thy fair jewels, and leave thee naked and bare. They shall also bring up a company against thee, and they shall stone thee with stones, and thrust thee through with their swords. And they shall burn thine houses with fire, and execute judgments upon thee in the sight of many women: and I will cause thee to cease from playing the harlot, and thou also shalt give no hire any more. So will I make my fury toward thee to rest, and my jealousy shall depart from thee, and I will be quiet, and will be no more angry. Because thou hast not remembered the days of thy youth, but hast fretted me in all these things; behold, therefore I also will recompense thy way upon thine head, saith the Lord GOD: and thou shalt not commit this lewdness above all thine abominations.

When God “married” Israel, what did God require of Israel? We have an abundance of references about the consequences of Israel’s disobedience and deliberate wilful vow-breaking...it finished in divorce for ten of the Israelite Tribes.

But then all hope is not lost for the bride. This is the story we find in the book of Hosea where we read about divorce and remarriage to a cleansed wife who becomes “accepted in the beloved”. It is also the story of the Gospel that leads ultimately to the Marriage Supper of the Lamb of God.

In all this we told that the moral laws continue, not as a means of having sins forgiven, but as a response to having been forgiven. Jesus said, “I and my father are one”, and did not change the Father’s moral Laws, saying:

John 14:15, *“If ye love me, keep my commandments”*

John 15:10, *“If ye keep my commandments, ye shall abide in my love; even as I have kept my Father’s commandments, and abide in his love”*.

The Apostle John says:

2 John 6 *And this is love, that we walk after his commandments.*

Despite what churches say about these commandments being scrapped, Jesus commanded us not even to think this, saying in:

Matt. 5.17, *“Think not that I am come to destroy the law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfil. For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled”*.

“Fulfil” carries the meaning of “to fill up”, and earth has not passed away yet, has it? Jesus fulfilled the Levitical Law as the One sacrifice. Love is the fulfilment of that Law. But the moral law stays in place.

WHEN DOES “MARRIAGE” TAKE PLACE?

When does “marriage” in the marriage analogy take place? It starts at the time of betrothal -a time of making vows. The marriage supper of the Lamb is yet to come. In the Book of Deuteronomy there are some fifty-odd mentions of the phrase, “this day”, as the vow-making day. These are worthy of study. It is at a particular stage of the journey. In the verse below, I have capitalized the phrase, which summarises what is so important here.

Deut. 27:8-10 *And Moses and the priests the Levites spake unto all Israel, saying, Take heed, and hearken, O Israel; THIS DAY THOU ART BECOME THE PEOPLE OF THE LORD*



THY GOD. *Thou shalt therefore obey the voice of the LORD thy God, and do his commandments and his statutes, which I command thee this day.*

Israel had wandered for forty years up to this point, cared for by God yes, “**BUT ALL THIS TIME THEY ARE NOT CALLED, “THE PEOPLE OF GOD”**”. Even when betrothed in Exodus 24:9-11 they are not yet termed “The people of God”.

The “thou shalt therefore obey” at the time of “this day” brought the issue of the blessings and the cursing of Deuteronomy 28 which were conditional upon obedience or disobedience to the Law, into view. At this time the Israelites made a commitment vow to obey the “commandments, judgements and statutes” part of the total law, and this is when they made the transit to become “The people of God”...or they became “betrothed”, we might say using the image analogy.

Now most people are wrongly taught to believe that when each person becomes a “Christian”, they become part of the Kingdom of God as “the people of God”, but what they have reserved for



them is an “inheritance” -(See Acts 20:32, Eph 1:11, Col. 3:22 and 1 Pet. 1:4). The inheritance is the Kingdom..

Matt. 35:24 *Then shall the King say unto them on his right hand, Come, ye blessed of my Father, inherit the kingdom prepared for you.*

Acts 28:17, *“To open their eyes, and to turn them from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan unto God, that they may receive forgiveness of sins, and inheritance among them which are sanctified by faith that is in me”.*

When the Israelites left Egypt, they had not yet reached their inheritance. From verses such as Rom.11:21-22, securing the inheritance is a matter of “continuing in God’s goodness” - so as not to be “cut off”, or disinherited. So what happened to those Israelites who perished in the wilderness is said in the New Testament to be an example of what can happen to all who start out on the journey.

Heb. 4:1 *“Let us therefore fear, lest, a promise being left us of entering into his rest, any of you should seem to come short of it”.*

The word “fear” here = phobeo, so we need to be phobic or careful about not arriving at the end of the journey.

God in His great mercy and love “saved” Israel from slavery in Egypt and tenderly cared and provided for them through their journey towards the Promised Land... no doubt about that. They were “saved” in the correct application of this word, but not in the way popular evangelism presents that word. Just as Israel left Egypt having had faith to believe God about applying the required blood sacrifice, so the New Covenant requires faith and belief in the blood sacrifice of Jesus before starting out on the “way”. But faith and belief in “the old rugged cross” must continue all along the “way”.

But was, and is, being “saved” the beginning or the end? Israel had to journey from Egypt to the inheritance place, and on that journey God in his care and goodness “saved” the Israelites many times. God forgave Israel’s unbelief and disobedience ten times, but then said:

Num.14:22-3 *Because all those men which have seen my glory, and my miracles, which I did in Egypt and in the wilderness, and have tempted me now these ten times, and have not hearkened to my voice; Surely they shall not see the land which I swear unto their fathers, neither shall any of them that provoked me see it:*

Thus there came a point in time when the great majority of Israelites, who had at one time been seeing God manifest His power, were barred from entering the promised land because they did not have the faith to believe and obey God as Abraham did-(Gen. 26:5)-“kept my charge, my commandments, my statutes, and my laws”.

So where does God’s care and goodness lead us to?

Rom. 2:4 *“Not knowing that the goodness of God leadeth thee to repentance?”*

Here is the word “repentance”. So if we do not stop being lawbreakers, what then? We can only be considered to be unrepentant. The verse goes on to say, “ But after thy hardness and impenitent heart treasurest up unto thyself wrath against the day of wrath and revelation of the righteous judgment of God; Who will render to every man according to his deeds”.

and,

Rom 11:21 *“Behold therefore the goodness and severity of God: on them which fell, severity; but toward thee, goodness, if thou continue in his goodness: otherwise thou also shalt be cut off”*. This is where we have to, “work out your own salvation with fear and trembling”, (which follows on in this passage from, “as you have always obeyed”). So we can see there is an obedience factor in this “working out” along the journey.

Now the popular gospel as commonly taught emphasises the great grace of God in the wilderness, but in their law-denying doctrine this keeps people from entering into the potential “married” position. Outside of entering into the “married” state, there is only eventual death in the wilderness. The popular doctrine leads to people being “cut off” by God’s severity, as the Israelites were in the Old Testament pages.

So, when we talk about believing different gospels, in the above discourse it can be seen that the differences are in whether or not we move from the “single” to the “married” state. What is at issue is whether or not we come to make a covenant to obey “the commandments (mitzvah), “the judgments (mishpat) and the statutes (choq)”


With the Israelites, the Law was not made a condition at the time when they started their journey, nor were they given the Law to obey when they left Egypt. The Israelites were “saved” from their slavery when they applied the sacrificial blood and left Egypt. They did not apply the blood to the doorposts and lintel just to avoid the angel of death and stay in Egypt. They had to have their shoes on ready to leave Egypt in haste for a journey. The “saved” Israelites did not immediately arrive either Mt. Sinai, or at the border of the Promised Land, the latter being the time of “this day” as mentioned earlier. They had to journey on to Mt. Sinai where they became betrothed to God. The marriage comes later.

The teaching that law keeping is not the entry point is quite right. It is “not by works of righteousness which we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us”. No argument with that! But it is wrong to teach that we should tarry forever in the wilderness, never

mind how much God looks after us there (for a time). The wilderness is where most Israelites died! And it is where perhaps most people in most churches will die! The denominations may teach and enjoy “coming out”, quite rightly, but in never proceeding further is their error. Churches promote an irresponsibility that precludes “entering in”. Church people are encouraged to be irresponsible by wrong doctrines. This ends in being cut off! Another aspect which is denied is the biblical change of status from being a “child” to becoming a “son”, but that is another subject.

To be continued

A Poem on The Heraldry Of The Twelve Tribes



Zebulun's black Ship's like to a man of war;
Issachar between two burdens girt;
And Dan's sly snake lies in a field of vert;
Asher with Azure a Cup of gold sustains;
And Naphtali hind trips o'er the flowery
plains;
Ephraim's strong Ox lyres with the couchant
Hart;
Manasseh's tree its branches doth impart;
Benjamin's Wolf in the field gules resides;
Reuben's field argent and blew bars wav'd
glides;
Simeon doth beare his Sword; and in that
manner
Gad, having pitched his tent, sets up his
Banner

From 'Curiosities of Heraldry' By Mark
Anthony Lower 1845

Who Is Gog? (Part 5)

By

Pastor Eli James



Conclusion

As with every other Book of Scripture, Ezekiel confirms that there will be a Great Chastisement called the Judgment Day. Only those who repent

of their sins will be considered for entry into the Promised Land. This automatically excludes the Jews, who arrogantly posture as innocent lambs. The SynaGOG of Satan has fooled the world into believing that they are “G-d’s chosen people.” The question is: “Which god?”

Children of Israel, pray to your God, Yahweh, for salvation, for there is no other avenue of escape. Know that the “end times” are here and the Judgment Day is due by the end of the year 2012. The Mayan calendar, which is of Adamic origin, ends on Dec. 21, 2012. On that solstice day, the sun will rise, eclipsing the galactic center precisely at the opening of the “dark rift” of the Milky Way, which the Mayans refer to as the “birth canal” of their mother goddess. Only once every 26,000 years does this event occur at the *intersection* of the ecliptic (the plane of our solar system) and the plane of the Milky Way. This invisible *Cross in the Sky* will have the sun suspended in the crosshairs on that date, just as Jesus Christ hung on the cross at Calvary. The symbolism for the Second Coming is too rich to ignore. The Sun represents the Son of God and the Son of Man, Jesus Christ. “*I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end.*” Just as the Magi, who were Dispersed Israelites from the nation of Parthia, saw the signs in the sky which foretold of the First Advent, we have been given the signs in the sky for the Second Advent. It is time for us to prepare. “Come out of Babylon” and prepare yourselves for the harvest (Judgment Day) and the Wedding Feast of the Lamb.

The last six thousand years of history -- 7,000 years if the Septuagint reckoning is correct -- is absolute proof of the very first prophecy of the Bible, Genesis 3:14,15. That verse explains to us why the Cainite/Kenite/Canaanite/Edomite/Khazar

Pharisaic Jew hates us so much. Speaking to the Serpent and his offspring, the Serpent People:

“And Yahweh Elohim said unto the serpent, “Because thou hast done this [the sexual seduction of Eve (not the eating of a piece of literal fruit), thus causing the immortal bodies of Adam and Eve to fall into mortality], thou art cursed above all cattle, and above every beast of the field; upon thy belly shalt thou go [This would NOT be a curse for a literal snake, folks. That would be the status quo for a literal snake!], and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life: And I will put enmity between thee [Nachash, the whisperer and seducer] and the woman [Eve], and between thy seed [offspring, literal descendants] and her seed [offspring, literal descendants]; it [Eve’s offspring] shall bruise thy head, and thou [you and your offspring] shalt bruise his heel.”

The Jew has one purpose and one purpose only: the destruction of our race through all means of sexual perversion; and we are seeing all of this Jew-promoted sexual immorality in full blossom once again today (AIDS, homosexuality, venereal diseases, promiscuity, etc. etc.), with race mixing at the top of the list. And our people are too blind and too comatose to see what is happening. The Christian Identity Movement is the fulfillment of Ezekiel’s prophecy of the “dead men’s bones” coming back to life in the latter days (end times). It is a prophecy of our reawakening to our True Identity as God’s Chosen People, Israel. Throughout history, the Jew has been eating our dust, crawling on his belly like a snake in the grass, operating behind the scenes through acts of bribery, subversion, perversion, usury, warmongering, sabotage, spying, immorality, stupidification (public education) and whatever else he can get away with while the pulpitbastards (traitors of our own race) of Churchianity collect their hefty paychecks and perks. For the Jew, this is a life and death struggle.

Throughout history, the Edomite Jew has never built a single nation, culture or civilization. He has always entered our nations and cities, after

we have built them with our superior talents, our hard work, sweat and blood, thus blessing the world with our creativity and productivity, and he, the perfidious Jew, has proceeded to corrupt the blessings we have wrought for his own profit and to our destruction, ala Gen. 3:14,15. Like a parasite that invades the body of the host, the parasite then proceeds to feed off of the host until the host either dies or the host realizes the cause of its sickness and purges the parasite from his body. As with Vishnu and Shiva, we are the builder race, the Jew is the destroyer race.

This theme is consistent in all cultures. The error made by modern scholars is that this teaching is ancient myth. It is not ancient myth. It is the current reality still. Until we realize the cause of our sickness, the sickness cannot be cured. And throughout the kingdoms of nature, parasites are always found to be masters of disguising themselves as friends of the host while in fact they are the host's worst enemy.

We are the host. The Jew is the parasite.

The deceptive wiles of the parasitic Jew, with the rabbis of Judaism being the head of the tapeworm in our guts, have caused True Israel to go into a delirious swoon, a fever if you will, in which we cannot think straight. The Jew is the master of deception and illusion. He has even figured out a way to create money out of nothing. It is called usury. Once the deceptive

patterns of this parasite are understood, only then can we heal the body of Israel. The Bible has the prescription: "Come out of Babylon." "Obey my Commandments." If we simply do these two things, the Jew can no longer infest our society. The parasite cannot exist in such a wholesome environment.

In short, Gog is that same old Serpent who was lurking in the Garden, waiting for a chance to seduce Eve and keep his Empire of race-mixing, genetic engineering and slavery going. He has done a masterful job of keeping his Empire alive using exactly the same tactics.

Read Isaiah, Chapter 46, which concludes with this verse: "*Hearken to me you stubborn of heart, you who are far from deliverance: I bring near my deliverance, it is not far off, and my salvation will not tarry; I will put salvation in Zion, for Israel my glory.*" To all of Christian Israel (the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic and Caucasian peoples), I say, fear not, for salvation draweth nigh. Civilization may collapse, but the Kingdom of Yahshua (Jesus) will replace it.

Gird your loins, put on the armour of Yahweh, and prepare for the Judgment Day. This is going to be one unforgettable day of fire and brimstone. Come quickly, King Jesus. Amen

The End OS17850



Harold Stough Notes



Who Was Shakespeare? (Part 3)

The Oxfordians


Misconceptions over Macaulay and the Friedmans proved sufficient to cause many anti-Stratfordians to shy away from the Baconian camp. The Strats (for the time being) were breathing a sigh of relief. However, the "Shakespeare Problem" refused to go away.

Quite conveniently, in 1920, an English school teacher by the name of Thomas Looney extrapolated a third possible Shakespearean

author in his book Shakespeare Identified. Looney correlated places and events mentioned in the Shakespeare works with the travels and circumstances in the life of Edward De Vere, 17th Earl of Oxford. Furthermore, De Vere made a somewhat compelling match with many of the criteria essential for the Shakespeare authorship. Looney's book became the ideal ploy for misdirecting attention away from the Baconian trail.

The Oxfordian thesis seductively attracted a legion of enthusiastic followers and converts.

Even Orson Welles remarked “If De Vere wasn’t Shakespeare there are a lot of interesting coincidences to explain.” Gradually, more books about De Vere as Shakespeare began to fly off the printing presses—eventually leading to Charlton Ogburn’s voluminous 892 page work titled *The Mysterious William Shakespeare* which became the Oxfordian bible.



Since the publication of Ogburn’s book in 1984, the Oxfordian thesis has enjoyed considerable popularity—hailed by many Shakespeare enthusiasts as the “leading contender” for the Shakespeare authorship. More recently, Mark Anderson’s book *Shakespeare by Another Name* (2005) has received rave reviews.

However, unlike solid concrete, the great problem with plaster is that it cracks easily. While basking in the glory of Oxfordian popularity over the past several decades, the “Oxies” failed to take notice of the cracks and crevices that began to appear in their seemingly invincible case. The biggest crack of all is the pesky “timeline dilemma” which clearly shows that a substantial portion of the Shakespearean work continued to be written and revised well after De Vere’s death in 1604. The Earl of Oxford had prematurely exited the Shakespeare scene nearly 20 years before the final curtain.

In response to the timeline problem, the Oxies conveniently rolled back the dates in which all of the later plays had been written. They further altered or at least reinterpreted numerous facts, events and references in a sly attempt to be consistent with an earlier timeline. Anderson managed to artfully smooth over some of the cracks by conceding that De Vere must have been working with collaborators—some of whom must have kept on collaborating without De Vere. But the cracks kept getting wider and wider as it became apparent that the Oxfordian case was beginning to resemble Mark Twain’s Brontasaurus skeleton—too much plaster with no real substance.

After all this time, it turns out that the Oxies, just like the Strats, had built their case on

supposition. Ogburn and Anderson had carefully constructed the Oxfordian thesis by skilfully connecting various people, places, and events to De Vere by means of sheer extrapolation. The pages of their books are suspiciously excessive in the use of auxiliary modifying words and phrases such as “probably, possibly, had probably, might have, may have, could have, would have, may be, may well be, would have been, could have been, must have been, most likely, more likely to have been, could be, had probably, may have met, would likely to have met, may be referring to, could have acquainted himself with, may have crossed paths with,” etc.

The mere use of such phraseology, when reasonably applied, is not a problem—however, when the pages of a book are incessantly overflowing with it, I suggest something is amiss. The superfluous extrapolations used by Ogburn and Anderson do not constitute evidence—they are hollow substitutes for evidence. Good, reliable, historical evidence consists of tangible things such as letters, notes, and artefacts that clearly and unequivocally demonstrate a connection between people, places, and events. Like the Stratfordians, the Oxfordians simply do not have the hard, “smoking gun evidence” necessary to support their case.

Writing voluminous books often creates the illusion of a weighty argument presumably backed by a vast quantity of impressive facts. However, I submit that the Oxfordian case is pure plaster.

The great supposition upon which the Oxfordian case primarily rests is that De Vere travelled to or near many of the locations mentioned in the Shakespeare plays—therefore, he must be Shakespeare! But De Vere is not as unique in meeting that criterion as the Oxfordians would have us believe. Actually, most of Elizabeth’s noblemen enjoyed extensive journeys abroad—including the brothers Francis and Anthony Bacon.

Furthermore, the assertion that De Vere “must have” visited all of the locales, or that he “probably met” all of the principle characters in the plays is simply not true. *Love’s Labours Lost* is a prime example. In typical Oxfordian style, Anderson magically extrapolates De Vere into the court of Navarre as he writes “During the

celebrations surrounding the coronation and wedding [of King Henri III of France], De Vere must have met Henri of Navarre.”* In the very next sentence, Anderson says “De Vere probably also met the fifty-one-year-old poet Pierre de Ronsard”—yet, there is absolutely no evidence that de Vere ever met Henri of Navarre, or attended his court—or ever met Pierre Ronsard.

Navarre is critical because it is abundantly clear that the author of *Love’s Labours Lost* is totally familiar with specific details of the Navarre region and Henri of Navarre’s court. Anderson’s misleading attempt to connect De Vere to the court of Navarre is not an isolated case of Oxfordians taking liberties with history through supposition. Furthermore, with regard to Navarre, the Oxies tend to capitalize on the often confusing references to the contemporaneous Kings Henri III of France with Henri III of Navarre (later Henri IV of France).



Edward de Vere, 17th Earl of Oxford

In an online article titled *The Case for Oxford* (published by the Atlantic Monthly website) Oxfordian author Tom Bethell states “Oxford and a party stayed six weeks or more in Paris and were introduced to the French King, Henry III. It is possible that at this time Oxford met Henry of Navarre* (King of France 1589-1610), whose brother-in-law, the Duke of Alencon, was then being considered as a husband for Queen Elizabeth. Henry of Navarre and Oxford were about the same age, and in many respects Henry seems to have been a man after Oxford’s own heart. We know that the author of *Love’s Labours Lost* was familiar with both the layout and protocols of the Navarre court in 1578 (described in *Love’s Labours Lost*.” Notice how Bethell uses exactly the same sort of cozen language employed by Ogburn and Anderson to create the impression that De Vere and Henri of Navarre were friends. Again, the Oxies are attempting to connect De Vere with Henri of Navarre through the power of assumption. On the other hand, both Francis and Anthony Bacon’s friendship with Henri III, and their prolonged stays at Navarre (particularly Anthony’s) are very well documented.



Front Cover of the Northumberland and Manuscript

The great trick with the Oxfordian methodology is to fabricate a historical scenario out of thin air by manoeuvring the reader into creating a

connection by assuming the connection actually exists. Whereas magicians are masters of sleight of hand, Oxfordians are adept at sleight of mind. An excellent example of this is Anderson’s audacious claim that De Vere is somehow connected to the famous document known as the Northumberland Manuscript. Anderson writes: “A tantalizing cover page for a circa-1597 manuscript of Richard III—and a number of other controversial works—has survived the centuries and now sits in the archives of Alnwick Castle in Northumberland. The manuscripts for which this page serves as the cover have all, however, been lost or destroyed. The one-page document is a list of seditious or surreptitiously obtained texts: Richard III, Richard II (treasonously depicting the deposition of a sitting monarch), Nashe’s *Isle of Dogs*, and the libelous *Leicester’s Commonwealth*. On this single surviving sheet, a scrivener, whose handwriting has never been identified, scratched out two words that would henceforth be seared into the flesh of every mature play from De Vere’s pen. There on a single page, scattered amid sundry sentence fragments, quotes, and titles, are written the words ‘W i l l i ... S h ... S h ... S h a k ... w i l l Shak...Shakespe...Shakespeare...Shakespeare... william... william Shakespeare...William Shakespeare.”

Although he takes great care not to directly say that De Vere wrote the page, Anderson is deliberately trying to steer the reader toward the assumption that De Vere could be the document’s author. Furthermore, Anderson brazenly conceals the fact that the Northumberland manuscript was the property of

Francis Bacon. He further neglects to inform the reader that both the names Francis Bacon and William Shakespeare are repeatedly written all over the page in various forms, including the words “By Mr. F Francis William Shakespeare”.

Amazingly, in the following sentence, Anderson writes: “Thence comes it, in the words of Sonnet 111, ‘that my name receives a brand.’” It would be naïve to think Anderson is unaware that the number 111 matches the name Bacon in the Elizabethan Kaye Cipher. It’s both uncanny and strange. The sentence is tantamount to saying “I am Bacon.” We are compelled to ask why is Anderson going to such bizarre lengths to deceive the reader, and why is he putting on such an outrageous display of chutzpah? It seems he has a hidden agenda. I personally distrust hidden


agendas, particularly when they involve deception.

It is true that De Vere was too closely connected to most of the members of Bacon’s “Shakespeare circle” not to have been involved with the enterprise in some capacity. If a few of his “tall tales” (Anderson’s words) made their way into several of the Shakespearean storylines, I would not be surprised. In fact, I believe some aspects of De Vere’s reckless life are portrayed in at least two of the plays. Could that make him one of Bacon’s numerous collaborators? Perhaps—but even if that’s true, it hardly qualifies De Vere as the author of the Shakespearean work.

To be continued

The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (2)

By Charles Lee Mange



Cain Slaying Abel

Prelude

*“And I will put enmity between thee and the woman, and between **Thy Seed** and **Her Seed**: it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.”* Genesis 3:15

DEAR CHRISTIAN FRIEND: You are now about to explore the greatest question in the Holy Bible. **Genesis 3:15** is probably the single most important verse in the Holy Scriptures. This verse and the implications therein are the central **seed plot** of the Bible. Whatever you do with this verse will determine what you do with the remained of the Scripture. This verse is the **key** that turns the **lock** on the **door** to God’s Word! What you do with the word “**seed**” in this verse will determine what you do with the word **seed** in the remained

of the Bible. Failure to establish yourself upon the solid interpretation of this verse can only lead to total blindness and confusion in the remainder of the Bible. Failure to establish yourself upon the solid interpretation of this verse can only lead to total blindness and confusion in the remainder of the Bible. It is therefore imperative that you unlock the meaning of this verse! Ministers of denominational Christianity will take great care to talk about the verses preceding and following this verse, but will avoid this verse like the Plague! They most frequently resort to the spiritualising of the “Two Seeds of Genesis 3:15” and in so doing, **blind themselves** to the remainder of the Bible! It is your duty as a Christian, as a student of the **Word of God**, to take apart this important verse, for it is the **key** to the grand truth of the Holy and Sacred Scriptures.


In Order for you to understand the **seed plot** of the **Holy Bible** it is necessary that you understand the fall of the Arch-Angel (now called Satan, the Serpent, the Dragon, or the Devil). He is described as the Star that fell from heaven unto the earth in Rev. 9:1, or as Abaddon or Appollyon (Rev. 9:11). In Luke 10:18 Jesus Christ declared: “I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven.” In Ezekiel 28:11-19, we have an account of how this Arch-Angel was created and was the anointed cherub that covereth until the day that iniquity was found in him. This Arch-Angel had been in Eden the garden of God

(Ezekiel 28:13) and the St. Paul describes Satan as an angel of light (II Cor. 11:14). Satan, the fallen Arch-Angel had sought to usurp the very Throne of God (Isaiah 14:12-14) and through Pride had vaunted himself against Almighty God his Creator. The Created (Arch-Angel) had sought to make an assault into the **being of God!** The Created sought to become the **Uncreated Yahweh!** Satan, before His Fall had been the anointed Cherub in charge of this earth! As he sought to become equal with God having been created in the **Order of Angels**, Satan made his way into heaven, began his assault against the Throne of God, and made War with Michael and the Angels. This War is described in Revelation 12:7-29). As Satan assaulted the Throne of God he drew one third of the Angelic Host with him (Rev. 12:4). These Angelic Beings followed Lucifer, and openly assaulted **God** under the leadership of Satan, their chief Captain. In this **war of the heavens**, over the very **Throne of God**, Satan and His Angels were defeated by Michael and his Angels, and Satan and his Angels were cast into the earth.

We read of these Fallen Angels who left their first Estate (Jude 6), (II Peter 2:4), (Heb. 2:2) in Genesis 6:1-10 under the name sons of God, sons of Heaven, or the Fallen Angels. These Fallen Angels leaving their First Estate went into rebellion against God, and following their expulsion from Heaven along with their Captain & Leader (Satan) they sought to Cohabit with the Daughters of (Men) **Adamites**, who were "Fair" that is of the "White Adamic Women" produced **out of kind beings** called Giants or Nephilim. (Read Appendix No. 25 in the Companion Bible for complete details). This bastard Seedline means that God's Law of "Kind After His Kind" had been broken. The evil progeny of the Fallen Angels and the Daughters of Adam brought on the **Flood** as a **Judgement** of God. The Flood was judgment upon the Nephilim and Deliverance for **Noah**.

This study of **angelology** is critical to understanding the early chapters of Genesis and is vital to a proper study of God's Word, Genesis to Revelation. As an Arch-Angel before his fall, Satan had been lifted up in **pride** because he did not want to recognize that **Jesus Christ** (the Last Adam) was his **superior** both in Time and in Eternity. Moreover, Satan as an Arch-Angel before his fall) Rev. (12:7-11) knew full well that **Jesus Christ**, as the Lamb slain before the

foundation of the world, would become **Incarnated** into a flesh body to **Redeem** fallen Adam Kind. After his expulsion from heaven, after failing to successfully assault the Throne of God and place himself into the **uncreated being of Yahweh**, Satan proceeded to make his assault upon the **being of Adam kind**. That is, he decided to **Incarnate** himself into the Seed of Adam and bring forth, a **counterfeit incarnation**. Satan, realizing that is was in God's **plan** to bring forth a **Seed of Adam's Race**, by the Grace of **Jesus Christ**, through the **Atonement**, sought to bring forth a **Counterfeit Seedline** (spurious bastard seedline) into the earth and then seek to Identity His Counterfeit Bastard Seed as being the **True Israel of God**. After being totally unsuccessful in his assault against the **being of the uncreated God**, Satan (the Arch-Angel) sought to make his intrusion into the **being of Adam kind** by cohabiting with **Eve** and incarnating himself into a physical Seed Line, hence the **Seed of the Serpent** (Gen. 3:15).



The Seed Plot of this Book reveals what happened when Satan sought to successfully make his intrusion into the **being of Adam**, that is mix his seed

with that of **Adam kind**. Out of this unlawful, godless, and sinful act, we have **Original Sin** and the **fall of Adam man** taking place, and the awesome truth, that by mixing his seed with the Woman Eve, Satan was able to incarnate himself into a person called **Cain!** Cain was the bastard spurious **Seed** resulting from the cohabitation of Satan with the Woman Eve. Cain was out of the **order of kind after his kind**, being fathered by an **Angelic kind** and being born of a woman of **Adam kind**. **Cain** was therefore a product of a "fallen being", he was **out of his kind!** He was the bastard seed of two different kinds of being, **Angelic Kind** and **Adamic Kind**, which was in absolute and total violation of God's **immutable law** of Kind After His Kind outlined in Genesis Ch. 1 no less than **ten times**.

The Incarnation of Satan into a literal Seed Line, a Seed of the Serpent, brought forth a

counterfeit bastard seedline which would seek to thwart every purpose and plan of God. This evil seedline would kill Abel, and all the Prophets, and would slay the righteous of every generation. They would always be seeking to assault the Godly Seedline, hence they preceded **Abraham** into the promised land. When Abraham arrived the Canaanites were already in the land (Genesis 12:6). Satan would seek to pass this Counterfeit Creation off as the **true seed of Israel** (that is the Seed of **Isaac**, Genesis 21:12) and would offer up this spurious bastard **Seedline** as the **MESSIANIC** people who would seek to exalt themselves above the true **Seed of Israel** and who would seek to **kill Jesus Christ**, the true **Incarnate God!** When this Counterfeit Bastard Seed Line nailed **Jesus Christ**, the Incarnated **God** upon the Cross they cried out: "His blood be on us and on our children." Matthew 27:25 In truth the chief goal of Satan was to incarnate himself into a Counterfeit Seedline on this earth and thereby seek to circumvent the true **Incarnation of Jesus Christ** who was to come through the **race of Adam**, of the Seed Of Isaac, of the Tribe of Judah, of the Royal House of David. Satan sought to produce a counterfeit **Incarnation**, and destroy the **True Incarnation of God** into flesh in the personage of **Jesus Christ!** For nearly six thousand years of history this **bastard counterfeit seedline** has sought OT exalt itself as the **True Seedline of God** on this earth, hence most Ministers today call the Canaanite Jews, the **chosen people**, when in truth they are the Bastard Counterfeit Seed of Satan, **out of the order of God**, not being **kind** after his **kind**, but being of two distinct different **orders** or **kinds** and therefore **Jesus Christ**, the **God/Man** could truly say to this counterfeit **seed** (Every plant, which my heavenly Father hath not planted, (placed in this earth at Creation), shall be rooted up.) Matthew 15:13 We can therefore see why **Jesus Christ** did not **try** and **convert** this Bastard, Spurious Counterfeit Seedline during His earthly Ministry. It is also to be understood why this spurious Canaanite Seedline must ultimately be removed from this earth. Zechariah 14:21.

To understand the **Holy Bible** you must understand and confront the truth of Genesis 3:15. The Counterfeit Seedline of Satan, placed into this earth the embodiment of Satan himself into a physical seedline! This counterfeit Seedline moved forth in history in the personage of **Cain of Noah's day** this counterfeit **seedline**

moved forth in the personage of **Canaan** who carried forth the Bastard Counterfeit Satanic Seedline after the Flood. From **Cain** forward to this very day, this counterfeit spurious Seedline has sought to **circumvent** the true **Incarnation of God into Flesh** in the personage of **Jesus Christ** and open up a False way for man to walk. Today the entire world wanders after this counterfeit spurious seedline and worships them as the **Chosen People of God** when in truth they are the anti-Christ Canaanite Jews descended from Satan. In order to better understand how this counterfeit spurious seedline of Satan has been so successful in passing themselves off as the True Seed of Adam let us define the word counterfeit from the Random House Dictionary under the word Counterfeit: "Made in limitation to be passed off fraudulently or deceptively as genuine; not genuine; forged. An imitation intended to be passed off as genuine, forgery. A Copy or a close likeness, to resemble, to simulate, to feign."



The Great London Synagogue

These anti-Christ Canaanite Jews who say they are **Judah** (Rev. 2:9 and Rev. 3:9) and **are not**, but are of the **Synagogue of Satan** claim to be the Messiah themselves! They claim that their **race** constitutes the true Messiah of this earth, that the Jews themselves are the embodiment of the promised Messiah. They **deny** forthwith the **Incarnation of God** in **Jesus Christ** and are by definition the anti-**Christ**. (I John 2:22-23 and II John 1:7). They do not accept **Jesus Christ** as the true **Anointed One**, that is the **Messiah**, that was to come and **Redeem Israel**...the true Seed of the Woman. The Canaanite spurious **seed** of the Serpent, the counterfeit seedline of Satan incarnated, call themselves the Messiah of this world since they believe they will be the ultimate rulers of this

world. The Battle this day is between the Children of **Light** and the children of **Darkness**. The counterfeit makes **dark** appear as **light** and **EVIL** appear as **good**, the **cursed** appear as the **chosen**. This counterfeit seedline has most Ministers giving them “**chosen people status**” in almost every pulpit throughout the land. Surely we are deceived people.



It is therefore imperative that every Christian Soldier of Jesus Christ clearly understand the event that took place in **Eden the Garden of God**. Genesis 3:15 is the key that unlocks our understanding of God’s Word. You must understand how Satan, the fallen Arch-Angel sought to circumvent the true **Incarnation** and incarnate himself into a seedline in this earth by making his intrusion into the **being of Adam kind**. Satan knowing that the true **Messiah**, Jesus Christ would come through this Seedline of Adam, sought to plant his own graft onto the Adamic Root and thereby bring forth a spurious seed and prevent the coming of the true Messiah. Satan did incarnate himself into **Cain**, the counterfeit Seed of the Serpent, and for six thousand years of history, this Satanic Counterfeit Spurious Seedline has sought to destroy the Work of the true **Incarnation of Jesus Christ!** By incarnating himself in Cain, Satan sought to move in advance of the True **Incarnation of God in Human Flesh of Adam Kind**, and in so doing, Satan sought to destroy the work of **Jesus Christ as Kinsman Redeemer** to the true and genuine Seedline (Israel) from the Seed of Isaac, of the **race of Adam kind**. For almost six thousand years this spurious counterfeit Seedline has moved forth in history to destroy the true work and purpose of God. **Jesus Christ** clearly traced this counterfeit seedline from His day back across four thousand years of history to the man **Cain**...who was the beginning of this spurious, bastard, counterfeit **Seed of Satan**. Carefully

read the **Witness** of Matthew 23:29-35 and the Witness of John 8:22-47. In the mouth of these two **Apostles** the testimony of **Jesus Christ** traced the Jew-Pharisees of his day back to their father **Cain**. May God help us to proceed to see what happened in the Garden of Eden and more particularly to understand the meaning of **Genesis 3:15**. Only by dealing with the Two **Seeds of Genesis 3:15** can we hope to unlock the Mystery of God’s Word. For the War that **raged in heaven** is now raging on **The Earth** without a truce in almost six thousand years of time, six days on God’s time clock.

THE LAW OF THE FIRSTBORN


Another extremely important Law that relates to Genesis 3.15 is the **Law of the Firstborn**. The Law of the Firstborn is clearly outlined in the Holy Scriptures and no understanding of Genesis 3.15 will be possible without understanding this immutable Law. Cain was born first from the womb of the woman Eve (Genesis 4:1), the woman having been beguiled or deceived by Satan as an Angel of Light (II Cor. 11:14) Eve actually was deceived into **believing** that Cain was gotten (not begotten) of **God**...when in truth Cain was fathered by Satan, an Angel who appeared in the exact manner described by St. Paul in (II Cor. Ch 11). Cain was gotten or acquired from another source than **Adam**. Cain was Born First from the Woman Eve and having broken the matrix claimed the right of **Firstborn** (Exodus 13:11-13) even though He was **not** the Firstborn of Adam! Cain is NOT listed anywhere in Adam’s Genealogy. Why is Cain missing from Adam’s Genealogy? Because Cain is not Adam’s Son. Genesis 4:25 declares that Seth (meaning substitute) had been appointed as another seed instead of Abel whom Cain had slain. Seth was the First Born from Eve’s Womb, but was not the **Firstborn of Adam!** Abel who was born right after Cain (Genesis 4:2) was Adam’s Seed! Cain was the spurious seed of Satan! (I John 3:11-12). Because Cain was born first from Eve’s womb, he could lay claim to the **right** of the Firstborn and the dialogue of Genesis 4:6-15 is a direct result of Cain’s seeking to lay claim to the Rights of the **firstborn** under the law of the Firstborn. The reason Cain was allowed to remain on earth without being killed was twofold. First, by being born first from the womb of Eve, Cain claimed the Right of the Firstborn and Second,

if Cain had been killed the **consequences of sin**, Original Sin, would have been eliminated without Sacrifice as per the Law of God! Man would have been not only **free to sin**, but **free from the consequences of his sin**, thus Cain had to be preserved!

Cain, was hybrid **out of kind** son of Satan. Cain was a bastard seed, resulting from the woman Eve (**Adam Kind**) and Satan (**Angel Kind**)! The Law of **kind after his kind**, the First Law of **Creation** (named ten times in Genesis one) had been broken! Adam Man and the Adam Kind Woman Eve were **free** to choose between good and evil, between obedience and disobedience...they were **not free** to escape the consequences of their **sin**! The counterfeit bastard **seed** of Cain was the result of "**original sin**" in the Garden of Eden. Satan had sought to circumvent the true **Incarnation of Jesus Christ** by grafting his own seed onto the pure **Adamic Racial Root Stock**, and thus prevent **Jesus Christ**, also coming forth from this **Adamic Racial Root**...from being born! Remember: **The Virgin Birth** was absolutely **necessary** in order for **Jesus Christ** as the second **Adam** to graft back onto the True Holy Root of the White Adamic Racial Root Stock a grafting of pure Adamic Seed (procured without pro-creation) and thus enable **A New Creation** of the Old Adamic Root to be grafted on and thus enable by the **Incarnated God**, Jesus Christ to begin a **New Creation** in this earth from the Old Adamic Stock. This is the true meaning behind the **miracle of the virgin birth**.

Cain having been Born First sought to claim the Right of the **Firstborn**, that is Inherit the **dominion of this earth**! Because He was not born within the **law** of Kind after His Kind, Cain was **disinherited** from the **Birthright**. Cain was a bastard (Mamzer) mongrel (**out of kind**) being or Seed. As such he could never enter into the **congregation** of the Eternal God (Deut. 23:2) Because Satan sought to get his seed into the earth as the Firstborn of the Adamic Creation...The **Firstborn** was subsequently passed over by God in all critical times of history and we find that **Seth** and not Cain was chosen. It was **Isaac** and Not Ishmael that counted for the true seed of Abraham. It was **Jacob** and not Esau that received the right of the Firstborn under the Election of God. (See Genesis 25:23 and Romans 9:10-14). It was **Joseph** and not Rueben (the firstborn) that received the double

portion from the dying Jacob. It was **Pharez** and not Zarah (the Firstborn) who received the Birthright. It was **Ephraim** and not Manasseh (The Firstborn)- who received the Birthright as recorded in Genesis 48. The only way that you can ever account for **God** choosing the Second Born in preference to the **Firstborn** is because **CAIN** being Born First from the Womb of Eve, had placed a **sin upon Adam's race** and not until the Second Adam, **Jesus Christ**, was born of the **Virgin Mary** was the **curse lifted**.



Jesus Christ, as the last Adam, was **w i t h o u t** Mother and **w i t h o u t** Father, having **n e i t h e r** beginning nor ending of days (Hebrew 7:3) and was the beginning of a **New Creation**

from the Old Adamic Stock. Jesus Christ took on the Seed of Abraham (Hebrews 2:16) and began a New Creation from the Old Adamic Stock. Cain represented the **firstfruits** from the Womb of Eve and Jesus Christ, the **Firstborn** male, born **without sin**, by means of the Virgin Birth, was the New **firstfruits** from the New Creation of the new grafting made onto the old Adamic Root by the Virgin born **Incarnate God...Jesus Christ**! As the Firstborn of the blessed Virgin Mary, Jesus Christ, was the Firstborn Male Born Without Sin in the Lineage of the Adam **race**! Jesus Christ was **Very God** and **Very Man**! Jesus Christ alone could thus be the **Perfect Sacrifice** for sin (**original sin**) and our actual sin! Jesus Christ was the firstborn male to be born without **original sin** in Adam's Race. Every member of Adam's Race after the **fall** was born with Sin. Read Romans 5:12. Jesus Christ by circumventing procreation, and being born of the Virgin Mary had entered into the world **without sin**, as a Firstborn Male of Adam's Race. This is the real **miracle** and **necessity** of the Virgin Birth! Satan's effort to place his **seed** into the earth as the Firstborn of Adam's Race from the womb of the woman Eve was thus denied by the true **Incarnation of God in Jesus Christ**.

To be continued

No More Two Opinions - I Kings 18:21; Luke 16:13. John Trotter - Winmalee - Australia



In I Kings 18 we have the story of Elijah asking the people to appear at Mt Carmel. The issue was over the worship of Baal or the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. Verse 21 says: “And Elijah came unto all the people, and said, How long halt ye between two opinions? If the Lord be God, follow Him: but if Baal, then follow him. And the people answered him not a word”.

It is interesting that the word Baal (Strong’s 1167) means “husband (master)”. So in effect, Israel had a problem concerning which husband was she to be married to. In Isaiah 54:5 we read that thy Maker is thine husband but in Ezekiel 16:32 we read that Israel had replaced the rightful husband with strangers (Strong’s 2114:to turn away).

One of the cross references to I Kings 18:21 is 2 Kings 17:33. Here we read that Israel tried to satisfy both Jehovah and Baal. But as read in Isaiah 29:13 they only worshipped Jehovah with their mouths and lips but their hearts were really worshipping Baal. Luke 16:13 shows that we cannot worship two masters. In fact this is what is behind multiculturalism, that is the recognition of all “gods” who are to be considered as the many paths to all happiness and finally truth.

So often the New Testament is looked upon as the soft underbelly of the Old Testament, but in fact the New Testament is not short in expressing very strongly where we should stand on many issues. 2 Corinthians 6:14 is such an example when it says: “Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? And what communion hath light with darkness?” I

ask the question: are we prepared to take that type of stand? There is no doubt the prophets of the Old Testament period did, because history has shown that even their own people dealt very harshly with such witnesses to the Truth. One has to look to Gideon as regards his stand even against his father who was a Baal worshiper (Judges 6:25-32).

From the earliest times right up to the present, Israel in the West has had religious diversity. It is no wonder that the first and second Commandments say: “Thou shalt have no other gods before me. Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image or any likeness of anything that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth. Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the Lord thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me”. We are clearly told in the Scriptures that these other “gods” or Baals would result in His people losing their faith in the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

In some respects I can understand why so many look to other religions or Baals. This is especially so when you look back through the recorded history of the mainstream churches. Religious action carried out in the name of Christ has been a form of blasphemy in so many cases. The names of those mentioned in the Foxe’s Book of Martyrs are but a sample of those who make up the remnant. The banner of Truth and Light invariably has not been held very high. Identity Believers have got to accept the fact that it has been a very small remnant within the Western Nations, that has been a Light to the Nations (Isaiah 42:6). It is true that there has occurred great periods of reform, but if the very small remnant had not been present, the masses would be living in total slavery to masters, similar to the cruelty of the Assyrians. History moves forward or backward, not because of the masses, but because of a few who influence history for good or evil.

One of the ongoing themes of the Bible is the plan that the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob has for Israel as a nation. Contrary to the broad

teachings of the church , that the “church” is spiritualised Israel, the descendants of Abraham’s seed still have a major part to play especially in the context of the New Covenant (Jeremiah 31 and Hebrews 8). It needs to be said that this Covenant is an Unconditional Covenant, so no matter what Israel does the related promises will take place.

There is coming a time when Israel will be no more dumb as inferred in 1 Kings 18:21 when it says: “And the people did not answer him a word”. Why did they not answer him a word? May I suggest that there was a feeling of guilt in their conscience, but it had become seared with a hot iron (I Timothy 4:2).

To support the hope expressed in the above paragraph Ezekiel 24:27 needs to be quoted: “In that day shall thy mouth be opened to him which is escaped and thou shalt speak and be no more dumb: and thou shalt be a sign unto them: and they shall know that I am the Lord”. It is my opinion that in these last days, God still has His remnant. No more shall we be without a few who are the chosen. We shall not be as Sodom and Gomorrah, who had no one to stand in the breach. When the mouth of these few are opened, God will have prepared the Nations of Israel to know that I am the Lord and not the Baals that have been worshipped as husbands of the flock. As a result of coming out of Babylon the people

will realise that the origin of so much teaching has come from that ancient city.

In a world where there is little hope, from a human point of view, the words of Isaiah 35:4-10 need to be said: **say to them that are of a fearful heart, be strong, fear not: behold your god will come with vengeance, even god with a recompense; he will come and save you. Then the eyes of the blind shall be opened and the ears of the deaf shall be unstopped. Then shall the lame man leap as an hart, and the tongue of the dumb sing: for in the wilderness shall waters break out and streams in the desert. And the parched ground shall become a pool and the thirsty land springs of water: in the habitation of dragons, where each lay, shall be grass with reeds and rushes. And an highway shall be there and a way; and it shall be called the way of holiness: the unclean shall not pass over it; but it shall be for those: the wayfaring men, though fools, shall not err therein. No lion shall be there , nor any ravenous beast shall go up thereon, it shall not be found there: but the redeemed shall walk there. And the ransomed of the lord shall return, and come to Zion with songs and everlasting joy upon their heads: they shall obtain joy and gladness and sorrow and sighing shall flee away.** Then and only then will there be **only one opinion.**

The End OS 21082

Beware Of Hidden Toxin Sources In New Clothes - Always Wash Them Before Wearing P F Louis



then, many of us have come to realize we are living worse in a toxic environment that includes chemically polluted air, water, food, so called "medicine," and now even clothing.

Dupont had created Rayon, a synthetic fiber used for much of our clothing. So it made sense to team up with the timber industry to ensure hemp

SEVERAL decades ago, the Dupont logo had the following text attached: "Better living through chemistry." Since

was banned in the late 1930's. Rayon and paper could continue to be made by chemically processing wood from trees without competition.

Clothing clings to skin, our largest organ. Toxic chemicals are used excessively for processing garment fibers and also for manufacturing clothes. Asian and third world countries manufacture most textiles and clothes.

But they supply American and other multinational brand name labels with those clothes to yield high profits based on cheap production in regions without even shoddy regulatory agency protection.

What's in your new brand name clothing?

After clothes are made, they are often covered with formaldehyde to keep them from wrinkling or becoming mildewed during shipping. Formaldehyde as a preservative also adds to vaccines' toxicity.

Several severe allergic reactions to formaldehyde have been reported. It's no wonder. Investigations have discovered up to 500 times the safe level of formaldehyde in clothing shipped to brand name clothiers form factories in China and Southeast Asia.

There's also the long term, negative, cumulative effect on health that is almost impossible to trace back to any source of clothing chemicals. Formaldehyde and other **toxic chemicals** are used to create synthetic fibers for towels and bedding. Textile toxins are hard to avoid even when you're out of your clothes.

Another commonly used clothing chemical is nonylphenol ethoxylate (NPE). NPE use is restricted in most regions where the big name brand clothes are sold. But there are no restrictions where the **clothing** factories are located in China and Southeast Asia. 14 big name brands get their clothing from clothing factories using NPE.

Wrinkle free or no-iron should be considered a warning for carcinogenic perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs). Teflon for pans is a PFC. Petrochemical dyes are used for fibers in those Asian textile factories that profusely pollute nearby waterways.

Dr. Richard Dixon of the *World Wildlife Federation* warns about the ecological impact on wildlife: "Urgent action is needed to replace hazardous chemicals with safer alternatives *especially in clothing* and other consumer products." (Emphasis added).

Nonylphenol ethoxylates (NPEs) are commonly used as detergents in textile industries abroad that are contracted by multi-national USA and EU-based clothing companies. NPEs break down to form nonylphenol, a toxin with hormone-disrupting properties similar to BPA. (<http://www.naturalnews.com>)

Black clothing and dyes for leathers often contain p-Phenylenediamine (PPD), which can produce allergic reactions. Flame retardants can appear in bedding and nightwear. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and dioxin-producing bleach are used by textile industries. Athletic shoes that contain cloth contain some of these toxins.

How to protect yourself

Read clothing labels and try to avoid synthetic materials such as Rayon, Nylon, Polyester, Acrylic, Acetate or Triacetate as much as possible. Also avoid no-iron, wrinkle free and preshrunk items.

Whenever that's impossible, wash and dry those clothes three times before wearing. Use only safe, organic detergents from health food stores. Also, avoid those dryer sheets to prevent clinging unless you can find them without toxic chemicals.

Even used clothing purchased from thrift stores such as Goodwill may be sprayed with some skanky chemical before they're put up for sale. Wash and dry them at least once. Stay away from dry cleaners that use perchloroethylene. There are some that don't.

Learn more:

http://www.naturalnews.com/037038_new_clothes_toxic_chemicals_washing.html#ixzz25JjQjgFU The End OS-21077

Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

European Identity Conference Schonstett, Bavaria - August 2012



Whilst in Bavaria our excellent host had arranged visits to Celtic settlements in Bavaria in order to learn more of Germany's Hebrew roots which are often overlooked or ignored by many of our English speaking Identity believers. This was rounded off by a visit to the Schloss Amerang Knights Festival where the knights gathered in their Medieval attire with their ladies. The word Amerang itself is derived from the Celtic language meaning a field on a slope.

Right -Amerang Castle



THE annual conference of New Ensign readers was again held in Schonstett, Bavaria during the weekend 17th-20th, August this year, ably hosted by Matthew Stefflaar who had also arranged a very interesting programme for the delegates.

At the festival one was able to see at first hand, ancient Celtic crafts demonstrated using the tools of that time period. Also to be seen was the ancient portable chair of unique design, which so impressed our host, that he has commissioned a local carpenter to make one for himself.

The conference was an opportunity for our English readers to renew fellowship with our German brethren, as well as those from further afield such as in Ireland and the USA via Skype. Unfortunately, because of the inclement weather in many parts of Europe, some farmers who normally attend were unable to do so this year in order to harvest their hay etc.



In the evening all were entertained by a band (The Lumen, the Windheimer and Malus Ludus groups) playing music on Celtic musical instruments of ancient design and probably not dissimilar from those used in biblical times. While the band was playing a group dressed in old Celtic dress danced to the music in the style of the ancient Celts. Some of the instruments used in the band will be a subject of another article'

The theme of the conference was the importance of the *Apocrypha* and the *Book of Enoch*, which is particularly pertinent for these last days in which we are living and for this reason, Yahweh has raised up Eli James and Greg Howard to make the message of these long neglected books more widely known amongst our brethren in Christian Identity.

On the day after the Conference, the Königsee in the most south-easterly part of Germany set amongst magnificent mountain scenery on the borders of Austria was visited and a boat trip was taken on one of the many that ply the lake. Whilst on the lake one of its unique features were demonstrated, where a short tune on a bugle was blown and after finishing, the tune was echoed back.

On August 18th, Pastor Eli James addresses the conference on the shills within the Identity movement and those exposing the great Edomite/Babylonian conspiracy. Pastor Eli James is well qualified to speak on this subject since he has been a victim of their machinations on many occasions and only recently his website was taken down by the ADL. Pastor Eli, also shared with the group his good news that he had been offered a Sunday slot on RBN radio which reaches many thousands of people.

The time passed all too quickly and sadly it was soon time to say farewell to our host and fellow delegates with some having to prepare for a long journey back to distant places. However, all were looking forward to meeting up again at next year's conference wherever the venue may be.

Letters And Views



Sir--.I came across this article in an Irish Paper and was wondering if your Dutch readers were aware of these death squads. JR.

Death Squads In Holland

Have you read *'the Children of Men'* by PD James? This dystopian novel, quite outside James' usual crime genre, tells the story of a demographically challenged world. The story is concentrated in an England of 2021 which is under the governance of a Warden. This brave new society features euthanasia by the state. This is called 'Quietus'. Nice euphemism. 'Quietus' is ritual killing carried out on the elderly, whether or not they want it. In the novel, the protagonist has a conversation with a professor whose wife has dementia. The professor lies that his wife (who doesn't speak) has been talking a lot about the quietus. The protagonist subsequently stumbles upon a seashore ritual in which he sees sedated white-robed elderly people, including the professor's wife, being pushed out to sea in boats accompanied by floating candles and beautiful music. Shocked, he witnesses their mass drowning. It is an image that lingers with the reader.

Shortly before Christmas, the Telegraph reported that Dutch Health Minister, Edith Schippers, was contemplating plans to introduce mobile medical units to kill Dutch people in their homes. The Minister advised that mobile units "for patients who meet the criteria for euthanasia but whose doctors are unwilling to carry it out" were candidates. The Minister wrote that "If the patient thinks it desirable, the doctor can refer him or her to a mobile team or clinic". Minister

Schippers suggested that "extra expertise" could be enlisted in cases involving mental health problems or an inability to consent to euthanasia because of dementia.

Euthanasia has been legal in Holland since 2001 in cases where patients are suffering unbearable pain due to illness with no hope of recovery. It is available in most Dutch hospices. In 2010, Right To Die NL (NVVE) proposed a clinic to provide for euthanasia on a wider basis, to include people with chronic psychiatric problems, with Alzheimer's or dementia and people who feel they have "completed" their life.

The present regime does not go far enough for euthanasia proponents. A position paper published by the Dutch Physicians Association (KNMG) says unbearable and lasting suffering should not be the only criteria physicians consider when a patient requests euthanasia. New guidelines will consider factors such as income or a patient's social life.



Dutch Health Minister, Edith Schippers

Dutch euthanasia is usually carried out by administering a coma-inducing sedative, followed by a drug to stop breathing and cause death. Patients who wish to be euthanised must convince two doctors they are making an informed choice in the face of unbearable suffering. The Dutch government has said repeatedly that euthanasia is only administered at the explicit request of the patient.

These are empty assurances. Lord David Alton wrote recently that '1,000 of the 4,000 euthanasia deaths in Holland each year are now done without the patient's consent.' According to the UK Daily Mail, the pro-euthanasia lobby

complained that "80 per cent of people with dementia or mental illnesses were being 'missed' by the country's euthanasia laws". They say that mobile units are necessary because some GPs have refused to administer lethal drugs to their patients. But doctors may refuse to kill patients for good medical reasons. Perhaps the patient has untreated depression or there is evidence of coercion or duress. Is it likely that the mobile units would seek the doctor's advice and defer to, his opinion and treatment plan for the patient? Hardly.

It is not surprising that thousands of frightened, elderly people in Holland carry anti-euthanasia cards.

The Dutch medical profession has an ignominious record when it comes to respect for life. Apart altogether from its liberal abortion laws, Dutch doctors kill scores of babies after birth each year and justify this infanticide on the inherently discriminatory basis that they can decide that a life is of such low quality that it ought not be lived. (In this regard, see 'Killing babies compassionately', which deals with this issue as a continuation of the philosophy expressed in Permission to Destroy Life Unworthy of Life (Die Freigabe der Vernichtung lebensunwerten Leben) by Binding and Hoche in 1920.

Elder abuse is a serious problem in our society. Age Action Ireland reported that 92% of alleged abusers investigated in 2007 were family members. Much of this abuse involves financial abuse. Lord Alton believes that financial abuse of our elderly will increase if euthanasia is introduced. If the choice is between expensive palliative care and an inexpensive injection or drug, Lord Alton asks 'Would we honestly see relatives seeing granny living on and exhausting the inheritance? Won't health ministers, counting their pennies in a recession, be tempted to go for the cheaper option? A Bill allowing assisted suicide will carry the seeds of its own extension. If we allow it for some why deny it to others? So how long before the Dutch mobile killing units arrive in a street near you?

Baroness Warnock, she who shaped the laws that have led to the destruction of millions of human embryos, has proposed a "duty to die". She has said "I think that's the way the future will go,

putting it rather brutally, you'd be licensing people to put others down."

I don't suppose we'll call them 'terminators' They'll think of something more reassuring.

Caroline Simons

TREASON

Her Majesty The Queen
Buckingham
Palace
London
SW1A 1AA
12 August 2012



Your Majesty,

A growing number
of your subjects are
i n c r e a s i n g l y

concerned that you betray your people. We believe that in allowing yourself to be seriously, indeed criminally misguided by Ministers who claim to advise you, they seek to lead Your Majesty into their way of thinking purely for their own benefit and political gain. Principally, this concerns grant of the Royal Assent but it also includes your not rejecting legislation not in the nation's best interest.

Your Majesty will know that the Monarch holds sole grant or refusal of the Royal Assent and authority to reject any legislation likely to harm Your Majesty, your subjects or your kingdom. Such few Hereditary Peers as now remain in the House of Lords are there purposely to assist in this vetting process yet certain House of Commons members apparently claim entitlement to apply the Assent seemingly at will and even the ability to determine who sits in the House of Lords and how it conducts its business. You will know that neither House has authority to interfere in the running of the other. Many of your people also believe that politicians will next seek removal of the Royal Family from the head of the nation. All of these are acts of outright High Treason punishable by imprisonment for life.

It seems that Members of the Commons attempt to convince Your Majesty they are sovereign and that you must do their bidding. These too, are High Treason against Your Majesty and your subjects and are acts which imagine Your

Majesty's death as Sovereign Queen contrary to the Treason Act 1351 and the Treason Felony Act 1848. The latter particularly demands *for eternity*, that any person who attempts to remove Your Majesty's Style, Honour or Imperial Crown of the United Kingdom, compel change to Your Majesty's measures or counsels, overawe either or both Houses of Parliament or to levy war against or bring invasion upon the United Kingdom shall be imprisoned for life as a traitor.

Together with the Supremacy Act 1559, these laws render Britain's European Union membership null and void. All three Acts invalidate your Ministers' claim of Your Majesty's mere citizenship of Europe, no longer the Sovereign Queen of the United Kingdom. Ministers' pretence to sovereignty and their constant interference with Your Majesty's governance of the Kingdom are further acts of blatant High Treason.

This is not a request for political intervention but one about exercising Your Majesty's Coronation Oath to govern the United Kingdom according to our long established and accepted laws and customs as you solemnly undertook before God and your people in 1953. The Monarch's sovereignty granted in trust by the people of Britain is not transferable nor can it be given or taken away. It is for the life of the Monarch.

Your Majesty, this is to make clear the Crown's independence from and supremacy above Parliament. The House of Commons have no power or authority to interfere with matters of the Crown. Nor do they have just cause to seize or assume power above their proper status. We, your people, beseech you to govern the Kingdom according to the Coronation vows, to bear true allegiance to your subjects and to honour the trust they have placed in Your Majesty for the benefit of all present and particularly, future generations in Britain.

I have the honour to be, Madam, Your Majesty's humble and obedient subject. **Rex.**

The Eclipse Of The Year 664

Sir, This item extracted from the book titled "Eclipse" by Duncan Steel, may be of interest to your readers which shows how even as early as 664 the populace at that time

were being misinformed in order to further the government's political agenda which was to remove the Celtic Church in order to put it under the jurisdiction of the church of Rome - this was done by falsifying the date of the eclipse to discredit the Celtic Church. – Nothing changes!!



This was the first total solar eclipse to be observed and recorded in England, the path crossing the northern parts of Ireland and then a band reaching from the present Scottish border down to York. But there is something about it which has been puzzling scholars since 1590, and has yet to be resolved. We know, from modern computations and records in monastic archives from Ireland and across continental Europe, that it happened on 1st May. The puzzle is that the English monasteries recorded it as occurring on 3 May, and we know this was no mere slip of the quill. The record was deliberately falsified, and this was not a trivial deceit. What happened?

The year 664 is notable for two other events: an outbreak of the bubonic plague, and the Synod of Whitby, where the decision was taken by King Oswy of Northumberland to desert the Celtic Church and take up instead with the Roman church. The latter had made incursions into the British Isles from 597, when Saint Augustine and his missionaries arrived and established the first cathedral at Canterbury. Upon this religious conversion hinged the future of the entire British Isles, for it led to the unification of England and the end of Paganism.


The king's conversion stemmed directly from his being persuaded that the Roman Church's knowledge of the movement of the Sun and Moon, and hence the calculation of the date of Easter, was superior to that of the Celtic Church. It seems that those on the Roman side pointed to the eclipse as being a sign of divine wrath, further demonstrated by God then inflicting a pestilence upon the land, which was interpreted as a condemnation of the false doctrine of the rival Celtic Church.

The problem with the date of the eclipse arose because the lunar tables calculated in Rome were wrong. They showed the new moon on slightly the wrong date, in part because of the inexactitude of the metonic cycle. After the event, the Roman party covered this up, falsifying the record and bluffing at the synod a couple of months later that the eclipse had occurred on 3 May, although it had actually been 2 days earlier. The future of Britain, and the invention of the *Anno Domini* dating system, both stem from this subterfuge, which is only now being understood over 1300 years later.

Eclipses, then, have had an importance for the development of civilization far beyond what one might imagine. It is not only the ancients of Babylon and Greece who were affected by them, but also the antecedents of the English speaking peoples. A Belfast Reader.

Christians

Sir, *"Because in their minds... that is the way it has always been! This, my friends, is how Parliament operates..."*




It is also how the church operates. This is why it is so difficult to explain to Christians that, even according to recorded

history and their very own Bibles, the Jews are **NOT** the descendants of the ancient Israelites of the Old Testament. They have had this lie drummed into them from birth and think Christians have always thought this way, not realising that Christians never believed the Jews to be God's chosen people prior to the Jewish takeover of the Bible seminaries in the US, and the forcing of the pro-Zionist Scofield Reference Bible, which was commissioned and paid for by wealthy Zionist Jews, into the churches and seminaries in the early 1900's. They have never heard the truth before and, unfortunately, are simply not prepared to do their own research and honest Bible study. When I raise these issues with Christians it is incredible how many of them say things like "My pastor says this..." and "My Bible commentary says that...". Few, including the pastors, seem to want to know what the Bible has to say, and many get very angry indeed when I attempt to show them. Amazing!!!

"A wonderful and horrible thing is committed in the land; **The prophets prophesy falsely, and the priests bear rule by their means; and my people love to have it so...**" (Jeremiah 5:30-31, KJV)

"For **the time will come when they** [believers] **will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts shall they heap to themselves teachers** [pastors and theologians], having itching ears; **And they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables.**" (II Timothy 4:3-4, KJV). **Ulsterman.**



The Queen's Jubilee

Sir I saw no reason to celebrate the Queen's Jubilee, her reign for the ethnic aboriginal natives of these islands. I will say no more for all your readers know it, both the majority who are Christians and those enemy agents who are trying to us in the worst possible way.

Her Majesty has forgotten, or maybe due to a defective education knowing not previous Royal decrees. When such scoundrels as "*Water*" the nickname Elizabeth of glorious memory had for Walter Raleigh, proposed to bring in Negroes slaves. Good Queen Bess, decreed, "No, these people are not to live with our peoples.

The British army has never forgotten one of her glorious decrees. We lost many men saving South Korea from Communism. (Not that Capitalism is any better) and the Government made a Korean War medal for all foreign soldiers who had helped them. But it was not accepted by the British Army. Why?

Elizabeth of glorious memory decreed, "My dogs are not to wear foreign collars".

All wars, and these may continue for some time, unfortunately causing immense spiritual, moral, mental, and physical damage. But politicians wars for their employers, the banksters, do not know it. Neither do they know a principle of Statecraft said by Saladin; "Shed no useless blood, for spilt blood never sleeps." The ignorant scholars have omitted this from the "*Oxford Dictionary of Quotations*", it is only a collection and very incomplete at that!

Politicians, employees of the banksters? Of course, but they get their payment deferred. Blair-Blair has made £10,000,000, so far by giving talks for fantastic fees, and has only given £380,000 to the taxman!!!

The weather also conforms to and confirms human activity. During the 4 hour. Boat parade on Old Father Thames supposed to commemorate our Great Maritime Tradition (but where is it to-day?), The weather **wept**. During this time the Queen and the Duke of Edinburgh remained on their feet. At their age!! The Duke had to go for a medical check-up again. Neither

the Queen's advisors nor the person acting as Prime Minister had the wit to foresee the possibility of rain and have a cambered wooden decking placed above them, the Royal couple still visible and a swivel chair.

There was a headline on an inner page of a newspaper, I consider important saying, in effect, a magnificent reign while we have had 50 years of catastrophic decline! I was not so delighted with their 50 years for I have been watching since 1962, the evaporation of our Merchant Service, upon which with the Royal Navy, our national life and health depend.

This reminded me of an article about the Queen 30-40 years ago, in my then comic of preference, *The Daily Express*. We were told that all Prime Ministers appreciated the good advise of the Queen gave during their weekly meetings. Despite this good advise our national decline continues! Now our Queen is head of State and I am afraid that she must be held partly responsible for our decline. **Yours truly, an Ancient Mariner.**

The Dangers Of Smart Meters From Our Australian Correspondent

Say No to Smart Meters



Say No to Smart Meters. Around 2009, electricity companies started replacing electricity meters with new 'Smart Meters' in Australian homes and businesses.

What can the electricity supply companies do with these 'Smart Meters'?

Electricity companies are able to impose different tariffs at different times of day. This could mean they charge more for peak times, leading to higher electricity bills. Electricity

companies are able to remotely 'read' the meter through electronic means. This could cause RFI (radio frequency interference) to radio equipment. They also save on the costs of having personnel manually read the meters. Electricity suppliers can use the meters' built-in switching to remotely turn the power on or off to a premises.

Not Environmentally Friendly

The new smart meters are fully electronic. That is, they use an electronic means of sensing the wattage (current and voltage) flowing through them. There is a microchip to analyse the data, an LCD to show the kilowatt hours, and a transmitter/receiver radio circuit for remote meter reading and control. The electronics in the smart meters use more electricity than analogue meters. When no electricity is consumed in the house, analogue meters don't spin and hence consume no power. Smart meters constantly draw a small amount of power to keep themselves operating. There is simply no benefit to consumers or the environment.

Not to mention the greenhouse gases generated in order to implement these 'dumb meters'. The factory manufacturing the meters will produce emissions. The personnel who will be driving to every home and business to install the meters will also emit carbon monoxide from their vehicles. And lastly, the old meters must be disposed of. Even recycling them requires energy. So why not leave the old meters in place and save the environment?



The Aftermath

Consumers are reporting of electricity bills rising two to threefold since their smart meter was installed. In effect, consumers are financing these new smart meters. It is absurd how suppliers will be reaping huge revenue increases from the peak usage tariffs, and yet pass the installation costs onto the end user. In fact, it is extremely unfair because the meter is owned by the electricity company and not the homeowner, yet it is the homeowner who ultimately pays for it.

Customers on pensions and the elderly stand to be most disadvantaged from the smart meters. These are the customers that will use most of their electricity during peak times, when suppliers will have a higher tariff in place.

Being 'Green' (Solar) Doesn't Help

If you thought that installing photovoltaic (PV) solar power panels would cut your power costs in the long run, think again. When you have PV installed, you have no choice but to have a smart meter installed. The smart meter adds further to the cost of the PV installation, and this is often not included in quotes by the PV installation companies.

And as mentioned earlier, pensioners would also not benefit from this. Any power generated by your PV system that is exported back to the grid is considered income. Yes, this is income that would reduce any pension or eligible government allowance.

Government Damage Control

Whatever advantages claimed by the government has not got anyone convinced.

Currently, governments claim the tariff system is not currently in effect for those with smart meters. This is merely an election ploy; an effort to prevent damage until after the election. Afterwards, there is nothing stopping the government or electricity companies from charging higher tariffs during peak electricity usage periods.

Causes Radio Frequency Interference And Radiation

Some people who have had a smart meter installed have reported that after their remote control systems were activated, interference to radio ensued. It is described as a pulsating noise, and has reportedly caused wideband interference, where the noise could be heard throughout the FM broadcast band.

Others have reported in forums where smart meters using the 2.4GHz ISM band (to communicate with the suppliers) are causing interference to other devices using the same band. They can cause problems with WiFi computer networks, bluetooth devices and AV senders that use the 2.4GHz band. With a smart meter in every house, neighbourhood radiation levels could potentially increase.

Loss Of Privacy And Potential Terrorism Threat

The radiofrequency remote signalling and control systems of smart meters can potentially be subject to terrorism and other cyber-attacks. Terrorists and hackers may be able to turn the power off to homes by broadcasting a spoofed (falsified) control signal to the smart meters.

There is a loss of privacy. Electricity companies can know (almost instantaneously) the power usage at a property with a smart meter by using the remote meter reading system. They can use this data to develop a usage pattern report, which can then be used to deduce the time of day people are at home and when they are using electricity. Armed with this data, and aggregating it with other customers, the electric company can then determine the best times for on-peak and off-

peak electricity usage periods, thereby maximising their revenue.

It's Unfair

Customers pay for them and get no benefit from the smart meters. The electricity companies pocket the savings and do not pass it onto the customer. The government is forcing this upon consumers without proper consultation.

Another point of importance is that the meters are owned by the electricity company, and not the homeowner. It is absurd the homeowner is financing the meters (via a new 'Metering Charge' on their bills).



Conclusion

Smart meters are not smart at all for consumers. They cause rip offs, degrade people's quality of living and eats away at their income.

This has got to stop. Say no to smart meters!

Refuse any offers to 'upgrade' your old meter to a smart one. Smart meters are unwelcome and unwanted. Put a prominent note inside the meter box to tell them not to replace it with a smart meter (make sure it does not interfere with fuses, circuit breakers or safety switches. If applying a sticky label to the meter itself, do not obscure any serial numbers or readouts on the meter itself).

Suggested one-liners: Say no to smart meters! Smart meters unwelcome here; Smart meters: A dumb idea.

Smart Meters A Fire Hazard

A whistle blower who works for Jemena has gone public with safety concerns. The employee, who used the pseudonym "John", contacted 3AW on 22 Feb 2012, said he knew that smart meters were fire risks. He said Jemena lied to customers about the safety of smart meters. He said 6 smart meters in the Pasco Vale / Broadmeadows area have caught fire in the last few months.

Many Victorian homes have a switchboard backed with chipboard where the electricity meter is mounted.

It has been revealed that Victorian's smart meters are more prone to catching fire than the old rotary disc meters. As the new meters are simply fitted to where the old meter was installed, the chipboard will also catch fire.

So far (as of Feb 2012), a handful of smart meters have burst into flames. Fortunately, no houses have burnt down as a result. However it is only a matter of time before one does - the millions of smart meters installed throughout Victoria are ticking time bombs.

As described on the Say No to Smart Meters article, smart meters are fully electronic, relying on a microprocessor to calculate the power usage. These microprocessors generate small amounts of heat all the time, unlike mechanical rotary disc meters that consume no power (and don't spin) when the home is not consuming electricity.

Smart meters are substantially made from plastic, unlike older meters that use Bakelite or similar material. Any electrical fault, such as arcing (due to loose or rushed installation of wiring) can easily ignite and spread through the smart meter's material and onwards to the switchboard.

The rush to push the meters out has spawned a new industry of cheap labour, with some contractors spending no more than 15 minutes at each property installing a smart meter. Safety checks would not be thorough given the tight time frames. Essentially, profits have been

placed ahead of safety. Several cases are known where the polarity was reversed and earth wires were not fitted.

Power Surges Can Make Smart Meters Unsafe

Dean Mile, from the state electricity workers union, told 3AW's Mitchell that a power surge (e.g. from a lightning strike) can cause internal damage to the smart meter. These smart meters may not show visual signs of damage after a 'high voltage incident'. However, if left unchecked, the smart meter could explode in flames as soon as the main fuse is replaced.

A high voltage incident is also known as a high voltage injection, which is when a higher voltage is applied to the low voltage network. This can be caused by a lighting strike, or high voltage lines coming into contact with low voltage lines. The high voltage causes damage to the smart meter, causing a short circuit and thus blows the main fuse.

As such, every smart meter will need to be properly tested after a high voltage incident. Due to its design, it involves physically removing the smart meter for further testing. With the older rotary disc meters, it would be easy to tell that it was damaged simply by looking through the front inspection window.

More susceptible to failure?

Why they are more prone to failure than mechanical meters? Smart meters use an electronic switching device known as a "Solid State Relay (SSR)". The relay provides the remote power switching functionality for the energy distributor/retailer. These electronic relays are not tolerant to over voltage conditions or power surges (high voltage injections). Once damaged, they become a fire hazard. Some damaged meters have had liquid leaking from the failed SSR. If a mechanical relay were to be used, such problems could be avoided.

A leaked Jemena memo states that after an area suffers a high voltage injection incident, all smart meters must be replaced. However, such action is not being taken, which potentially leaves unsafe smart meters installed on customer premises.

Update 1 Mar 2012 - Police has been called into the smart meter debacle.

Jemena have asked the police to investigate the liquid leaking from faulty smart meters.

It is speculated that the liquid could have been 'planted' by opponents of the smart meter program in an effort to cause further embroilment, as part of industrial sabotage.

However it is quite likely the liquid has come from a failed capacitor. Electrolytic capacitors have electrolytes, which can leak when they are subjected to abnormal electrical conditions.

2 Mar 2012 - Jemena's Managing Director, Paul Adams, had the strange liquid tested by a laboratory and it was found it could not have come from the smart meter. The liquid caused the meter to fail.

He said "forensic scientists revealed the issue to us". The liquid used was Hydrochloric acid, and in some later instances, was found to be caustic acid or an alkaline mixture of other chemicals. Five of the failed meters have had the liquid applied to them.

Paul Adams says the material is similar to acid found in batteries and causes the meter to arc over, carbonise and eventually short out.



Think Of Me By Patience Strong

"Think of me at night when sleep is near
and I who love you are so far away
Think of me then and I will come to you
nor leave you til the night turns into day

Stretch forth thy hand and through the depths
of dark
another hand will touch thy fingertips
And as of old my voice will breathe thy name
and press a kiss upon thy sleeping lips."

The End OS5021

The Arcane British Suffolk Landscape Revealed

From Our Norfolk Correspondent



**Aerial view of
the
Winterbourne
Stoke
Barrows,
Wiltshire**

HISTORY, the ritual landscape and geometry once resonated very much as one. Faint traces of our ancestors whose silent whispers in the landscape once conveyed so much awe and splendour now sadly lie silent, their purpose and meaning largely forgotten, for in general there is a present day lack of any real sense of connectedness. This compounds our ability to relate - be it to the environment - through the seasons, the cosmos - through the heavenly bodies or to our community through a neighbour. For far away from the mind boggling complexity of the pyramids of Giza, yet equally compelling, sit seven sites of mythic antiquity whose geomantic and geometric design collectively creates a beautiful and vast heptagon in the landscape.

‘Mathematical geomancy... does not mean the imposition of abstract designs upon the landscape, but the detection and enhancement of the geometry that is already concealed within it’ (Behrend, 1975).

Over a decade later I learned that the landscape symbol I discovered had been employed by our forefathers before whilst plotting their temples and markers in the landscape of Southern Britain (Behrend, 1975), consciously created and designed to personify a harmonious fusion between temple proportion, the Earth's circumference and ancient units of measure. In the early 1900's Sir Norman Lockyer studied ancient alignments and the astronomical significance at Stonehenge. As well as traditional straight line alignments across the landscape Lockyer discovered an equilateral triangle whose

three points (Stonehenge, Grovely Castle hill fort and Old Sarum hill fort) were all exactly 9.656 kilometres from each other. In more recent times David Furlong (*Keys to the Temple*, 1997) a phenomenal circle of megalithic and largely Christianised pagan sites, also with this same 9.6 km factor. Only this time it was the radius of the



circle. The symbol I have discovered in Suffolk, centring on Bury St Edmunds (above) is identical in size to these proportions; at 19,149 metres across (nearly 12 miles) it is only 4 metres out from the size of the twin circles of ancient sites on the Marlborough Downs. The landscape heptagon includes ancient Neolithic earthworks, a long barrow, standing stones and the only location in East Anglia, I believe, where all the three ancient track ways (the Icknield Way - the oldest road in Britain, Peddars Way and the Puddingstone Track, whose origins date as far back as 6,500 B.C.) Coalesce.

It is only now that we are beginning to truly recognise that our European Bronze age ancestors showed the same remarkable levels of sophistication and civilisation as that of the ancient Egyptians and cultures of the Middle East. It was only forty years ago that we equated ancient history with that of classical Greece (*The Curriculum at Cambridge*, USA, Freer, 2000). And it was just over 300 hundred years ago that state of the art scholarship of the time was teaching that the creation of the world began at 4004 B.C.! (James Ussher, Irish Protestant bishop in 1654). On the whole still, even today when we stare back into the face of history we perceive a decrease in cognitive ability, incrementally a greater deficit the further back in time we go. It is us who have evolved in

harmony with the forward and progressive passage of time becoming far more superior than our ancestors. The reality is though that the more we understand about our ancestors through their temples and artefacts our interpretations and the dating for mankind's capacity and ability to be able to relate to our world on a more complex and sophisticated symbolic level of understanding is increasingly being questioned and pushed further back in time. There has been found to exist within the scientific community the process of what has been described as 'knowledge filtration' (Cremo & Thompson, 1999). The authors document clearly in their 'Hidden History of the Human Race' numerous examples of archaeological evidence which have either been knowingly bypassed or ignored in order to protect this ruling paradigm when such evidence fails 'to fit' the accepted theory. 'At the heart of this argument is the incorrect notion that theory overrides evidence' (Boerner, 2003). The chronology for and possible dating of the acquisition of this 'civilised' world view is continuously being rewritten, as alluded to earlier, brought about by such discoveries as:



Silbury Hill

1 - Scientific American reported that a 75 metre wide circle near Goseck in Germany is the remains of the world's oldest observatory, dating back 7,000 years and is the first circle whose function is evident (Mukerjee, 2003).

2 - Evidence in France at Lascaux also shows that our ancestors as long ago as 14,000 - 27,000 BC had already developed a sophisticated preoccupation with the phases and movements of the moon at this time.

The earliest written astronomical records possessed a preoccupation with the risings and settings of objects against the horizon, measuring the duration's of time between these and other such 'heavenly' acts. This preoccupation with celestial events is believed to be connect with the cycles of vitality and fertility of the Great Mother Goddess through the stellar and solar events, which in turn affected her (Broadhurst & Miller, 1989). We know our ancestors were capable of transporting and erecting approximately 10,000 megaliths, some up to 30 tons in weight at the same time as the 5th dynasty Egyptian pharaohs who were erecting their own seven major pyramids. At Avebury in Wiltshire the same volume in chalk as that of those seven major pyramids was being excavated - around 90,000 cubic metres! (Burl, 1979, Furlong, 1997). These 'Stoneage' ancestors were also capable of maintaining a standard unit of length : megalithic yard of 2.72 ft, from one end of England to the other, all with a margin of error of only 0.1 % (Thom, 1967). It is no coincidence that they chose these numbers to represent their sacred canon for the ratio between the dimensions of the earth and the moon is 10: 2.7272 - volumetric mean radius 0.2727 km. The ratio between these planets and their inherent geometrical proportions equals the megalithic yard of 2.72 feet and is very close to the 2.718.. of energy constant e, e being the rate at which anything doubles, from plant growth to bank interest. These ancient monuments on the surface of the earth were not only erected to discover the patterns of behaviour of our celestial neighbours (the major planets and stars) but were also designed in such a way as to embody those patterns, already known in what has been described as a 'religious architecture' (North, 1996).

At least one thousand years before the earliest mathematicians of classical Greece SAM (stone age man) had a competent working knowledge of geometry and was capable of setting out elaborate stone circles in a variety of ellipse shapes based on at least three of the different Pythagorean triangles- 3,4,5, - 12, 35, 37 and 5, 12, 13 (Thom, 1967) all with a proficiency only rivaled today by a trained surveyor. Temples such as Stonehenge were founded on this relationship i.e. the width of the lintel stones being exactly one Jewish Rod - 3.4757485 ft, a unit of measure equal to one six-millionth part of the Earth's polar radius. The ancient science

behind this embodiment of number was once inextricably bound up with a world view containing science, religion and magic, the mastery of which would have been central to the priesthood's ability to perform and carry out the necessary geomantic skills needed for locating a particular sacred site.

We now appreciate that individual ancient sites and collective structures carried profound psychological meaning to our prehistoric ancestors. Round barrows served to symbolically 'represent the wider landscape in architectural form' (Watson, 2001) and were believed to represent the centre of the world, or even cosmos (Richards, 1996). These great monuments were built to influence the way people experienced the landscape and how they were configured serving to structure the ways that people understood both space and time. Even mainstream archaeology is embracing ideas now which a decade ago would have seemed fringe and 'new-age' which appeared in the Journal of British Archaeology (March, 2004). There it is acknowledged that the plan of the three henges at Thornborough in Yorkshire was designed to be an exact mirror of the three main setting stars in the belt of the constellation of Orion between 3,300 - 3,000 B.C.


Our own internal rhythms interact with the Earth's low frequency isoelectric field, the magnetic field and our own electrostatic field, affecting our balance, REM sleep patterns, health

and mental focus. We live amongst a complex matrix of oscillating fields within which life has evolved over many epochs. Minute fluctuations in one interlocked field have cosmic ramifications upon others. Many times per second the pulses of these fields circumnavigate the globe between our planet's surface and the ionosphere 'sending co-ordinating signals to all organisms'. These signals connect us to the global electrostatic field, the Schuman's resonance (SR) which has been described as the orchestrating pulse for life on our planet (Miller & Miller, 2003) and have been known to 'reactivate stalled healing processes, enhance growth, accelerate immune responses' (Sidorov, 2001).

There is a consistent connection demonstrated between frequency, wavelength, energy transference and the arcane landscape. In a world of 'dumbing down' reality TV it is possible to reconnect, reconfigure with the infinity within ourselves. I see the heptagon in "Seven Wonders - Suffolk's Ancient Sites : a Vision of an Arcane Landscape", whose geometry is closely bound to the circumference of the Earth and to the wavelength of those frequencies which circumnavigate it as a vehicle for doing so and may your god(s) and goddesses guide you well on your way.

The End OS21095

Thomas Edison And The Jews (Edomite Canaanites from Idumea) From The CDL Report 1999



UNKNOWN to most Americans is the fact that the first motion picture camera was invented by Thomas Alva Edison

in East Orange, New Jersey. A lifelong inventor, Mr. Edison had over 1,000 inventions patented when he died in 1931.

Inventing a motion picture camera that worked properly was the easy part for Edison. Keeping the Jews from using the camera without paying him royalties for his invention was the hard part.

As soon as the German born Jew, Carl Laemmle found out about motion pictures, he started figuring ways to exploit the new invention. Efforts by Laemmle and other Jews to deprive Edison of his fees for using his cameras forced Edison and other movie makers like Dickson, Casler, Koopman, Long, Smith, Klein and

Marion to form a protective association called the TRUST.

As fast as the Jews could get their hands on Edison's equipment and started using it, the Trust would file lawsuits against them to stop their illegally using his patented equipment.



Not to be outdone, Laemmle (left) and other New York Jews, scurried around Europe in their efforts to circumvent the long arm of the Trust. Cameras based on Edison's

invention were smuggled into the United States by the Jews as well as raw film. Edison and the Trust had made arrangements with the Eastman Kodak Company to exclusively produce film for it and those who had been sanctioned to use the equipment by the Trust. Laemmle and his Jewish co-racialists found film manufacturers in Europe to supply them with Kodak-like film which was also smuggled into the United States.

When the courts moved at a snail's pace or refused to stop the Jews from using Edison's invention, the Trust would send out it's own enforcement "police" which would seize and smash the illegal equipment. As one author noted, this forced the Jews to set up an elaborate warning system. Jewish lookouts would keep watch while the Jews were filming and when they observed the Trust "police" on their way, the Jews would quickly pack up their cameras and flee as fast as possible to another "filming" location.

However, Laemmle knew that while the Trust controlled most of the movie cameras, they could not get their films into circulation without "movie houses." Edison and other non-Jewish movie makers distributed their movies through numerous non-Jewish independent "movie house" owners across America. However, those owning the movie houses were completely independent and had no central distribution centre or movie house chains.

Before Edison perfected his camera other individuals had developed a system of rapidly

moving cards which depicted short scenes. The "pictograph" system as it was called, was quickly seized upon by the Jews as a means of making a fast buck by developing a series of cards depicting "movies" of naked women or sex acts by couples. To exploit the "pictograph" market the Jews used their homes and buildings in New York to set up "Nickelodeons," which turned useless real estate into a means of making a fast buck---on this early form of pornography.

The Jewish "Nickelodeon" system spread from New York and Carl Laemmle used the "Nickelodeons" as a framework for organizing his own distribution system among his more than willing co-racialists nationwide.

To fight the Trust the Jews formed the Distributing and Sales Company. To get the "goyim's" support, Laemmle allowed some non Jews to become involved and bring their movie houses and money into the venture. The non-Jews Robert Cochrane and Pat Powers merged their holdings with Laemmle. However, most of the funding for the DSC came from Jewish investors Laemmle had managed to get control over distribution facilities and over 300 theatres nationwide.

In his drive for power over the Trust and his competitors, Laemmle formed Universal Movie Distributors with Cochrane and Powers. After destroying his competitors at Mutual Film Distributors, Laemmle then proceeded to use his investors to push Cochrane and Powers out of Universal, and before long he was in total control and was nicknamed the "King of the Film Renters" At this point the control of the movie industry went from the non-Jewish hands of the Trust into the hands of Laemmle, Fox and other Jews. The Jews had won the fight for the to control the thinking of the American public.

The movie producers aligned with the Trust felt they were producing quality movies and wouldn't distribute any "less than perfect" films. Laemmle didn't have that problem, and to keep the films flowing into his theatres and going through his distributors, he used every piece of junk film he could get his hands on. His motto was "the more the better." The Trust simply couldn't keep up, because they could not understand the Jewish mentality.

The Trust still kept fighting back so the Jews decided to pull up roots in the East and head West to Hollywood, California where there would be over 3,000 miles distance between the Trust, the courts and the Trust's "police." From that time on Hollywood became the motion picture capital of America, where the Jews could reign supreme.



Edison and the members of the Trust felt that by producing wholesome movies they were helping to preserve the nation's morals. However, the Jews were not governed by these standards. In the book *Walt Disney Hollywood's Evil Prince*, Marc Eliot says: "Unlike their

early East Coast counterparts, the heads of Hollywood's studios were less interested in artistic experimentation than profit. They put on the screen what sold the most. The public was willing to pay to see films with sex and violence, and Hollywood was more than happy to make them.

"By the early twenties, all that remained of Edison's Trust was the issue it had raised regarding the moral content of motion pictures. The federal government kept a close watch on Hollywood, the new capital of the film industry, to make sure the movies it produced remained "socially acceptable."

However, Hollywood's moguls had no idea of what was meant by "socially acceptable." They didn't know if their movies were moral or immoral and couldn't have cared less... The more a film made, the better it was.. Whenever the industry came under attack for being morally corrupt, none of Hollywood's owners believed the problem had anything really to do with morality."

The members of the Trust couldn't understand that the Jews wanted control of the movies so they could promote their own social and religious agenda. In *An Empire of Their Own: How the Jews Invented Hollywood*, the Jewish

author, Neal Gabler says: "...But one major reason Edison and his cohorts lost their hegemony was that they misinterpreted what was at stake. They never seemed to understand that they were engaged in much more than an economic battle to determine who would control the profits of the nascent film industry; their battle was also generational, cultural, philosophical, even, in some ways, religious. The Trust's members were primarily older white Anglo-Saxon Protestants who had entered the film industry in its infancy by inventing, bankrolling, or tinkering with movie hardware: cameras and projectors. For them, the movies themselves would always be novelties. The Independents, on the other hand were largely ethnics, Jews and Catholics, who had entered the industry by opening and operating its theatres."

Trying to stop the Jews from controlling the distribution of movies had cost the Trust \$300,000 in legal fees. The Jews had been hit with 289 lawsuits. Undaunted by the action taken by Edison and the Trust, the Jews kept using his equipment. In a last ditch effort to stop the Jews, Edison and his friends organized the General Film Company as a film exchange. However, by now it was too late: the Jews had gobbled up half the market and had formed a monopoly that would thumb its noses at the "goyim" from the Trust.

After the Jews achieved complete control over the distribution of movies, they kept lowering the standards regarding morality and sex to the point where some members of Congress felt that film censorship was necessary and they introduced legislation to create a board of film censorship.

To get around this censorship board the Jews rapidly moved to form a "self regulating" organization called the Motion Picture Producers and Distributors of America. (MPPDA) A non-Jew was picked to head the MPPDA, and this was former Postmaster General Will Hays who had been national chairman of the Republican party. In his book Marc Eliot says: "The Jewish power faction in Hollywood hoped choosing a Christian to regulate the moral content of their films would improve the overall image of its industry."

Some members of Congress considered Hollywood not only to be immoral but also

subversive. In 1929, U. S. Senator Smith Brookhart summed up the deteriorating situation in Hollywood as a battle between competing studios led by "bunches of Jews."

By the late 1920's the Jews controlled most of the movie theatres in America and the means of distributing movies.

With Jews controlling the movie industry from A to Z it was almost impossible for a non-Jew to get a break. One of those who fought the system was Walt Disney.

Disney was born in the Midwest and learned cartoon animating on his own. His attempts to get his short subjects and cartoons marketed ran into constant roadblocks from the "chosen" elite who ran Hollywood. Disney was able to sell a number of animated cartoons he produced for small stipends. At that time one of the most popular cartoons was "Felix the Cat." Trying to produce a cartoon that would equal "Felix the Cat," Disney came up with a character called "Oswald the Rabbit." In an effort to get his work recognized, he contacted a New York distributor by the name of Margaret Winkler. Disney also produced a series of cartoons based on Alice in Wonderland, which Ms. Winkler contracted with him to produce.


At this time a Hollywood Jew who had worked for Warner Brothers, Charles Mintz, was courting Ms. Winkler and upon seeing the "Oswald the Rabbit" samples, could sense that "Oswald the Rabbit" was every bit as good as "Felix the Cat" and could make him rich.

In order to get on Walt's good side and get to "Oswald the Rabbit," Mintz agreed to advance Walt \$1,800 and to pay him for another eighteen cartoons in the "Alice" series.

Jubilant, the Disney brothers took the deal and promptly set about purchasing a studio on Hyperion Avenue in Hollywood. Mintz had set the stage. After the Disney brothers had completed the construction of their modest studio, Mintz dropped the bomb on them. He told them that due to "lack of interest" the "Alice" series would have to be dropped and his contact with them would have to be cancelled.

All this time Mintz had been travelling between Hollywood and New York making secret deals

with his fellow co-racialist, Carl Laemmle. Laemmle wanted a cartoon character based on the "Felix the Cat" to compete with "Felix the Cat." Mintz knew Disney had already created such a character, a rabbit named "Oswald."



Disney, not paying much attention to the contacts that Mintz had negotiated with him, had in fact, signed away all rights to "Oswald the Rabbit" and to all goods sold through the promotion of the cartoon.

Keeping his side of the bargain, Disney produced Trolley Troubles featuring Oswald and faithfully gave Mintz new cartoons in the series every two weeks at \$2,500 a cartoon and "part of the box office" gross.

Walt learned by accident that without his knowledge, known consent or participation, Mintz had made a secret deal with Laemmle to market merchandise based on "Oswald." When Walt complained, his brother Roy calmed him down by telling him that the sales of the merchandise would help promote the "Oswald" series and make him money when customers came to see the movies.

Then in 1928 he and his wife went to New York to negotiate a new contact with Mintz. When they met Mintz, in a typical arrogant Jewish fashion, told Disney that his advance per cartoon had been cut to \$1,800. He went onto tell Disney that if "he didn't like it" the cartoon production would be taken over by a Mintz front called "Snappy Inc." and Walt's own employees would be hired to produce the cartoons, in spite of him.

Walt called his brother Roy and told him what had happened and Roy told him that Mintz, according to the contract that Walt had signed, owned all the rights to "Oswald" including the name! Roy then informed Walt that the animators Walt had hired in Hollywood to help produce "Oswald" had suddenly quit. The Hollywood Jews went straight to work for Mintz

and the non-Jews that Disney had brought with him from the Midwest stayed loyal.



In desperation Disney appealed to Laemmle about his rights and the Jew told Disney that he couldn't help and that he would only deal with the series distributor, which was his fellow Jew Mintz. Cut out by the Jews, Disney returned to Hollywood and created what was later to be known as "Mickey Mouse." After Mickey Mouse proved to be successful Carl Laemmle came to Disney in an effort to distribute the film. He offered to distribute Mickey Mouse if Disney would give him the copyright to it. It was now Disney's turn, he turned him down flat.

Laemmle and his fellow Jewish distributors of films were known as the "Majors," and after he gave the cold shoulder to Laemmle, none of them would distribute his Mickey Mouse series. Being a non-Jew, Disney could not penetrate what Eliot describes as the "old world brotherhood."

Disney then turned to another man who had, had a falling out with Laemmle and the "brotherhood," Pat Powers. Powers made a deal to distribute the series on a "states rights" basis, to as many independent theatres as possible. Irregularities in the amount of earnings and the amount the Disney's received brought about an end to the Disney/Powers alliance. However, as much as Disney searched he could not find a non-Jewish distributor for his productions. Finally, he made a deal with Harry Cohen the head of Columbia Pictures. Sensing the profits to be made from Disney productions, Cohen fought off the other "chosen" wolves in behalf of Disney.

The next problem faced by Disney as the popularity of his productions increased was organized crime and its efforts to form and control all movie based unions. The mob infiltrated the International Alliance of Theatrical Stage Employees (IATSE) in their effort to control Hollywood. Behind the effort to control the Hollywood unions were the Jews Bugsy Siegel and Meyer Lansky. The third man

in the troika of mobsters was Charles "Lucky" Luciano, the "Sicilian" gangster who was responsible for massacring his fellow Sicilians in the 1920's when they had to be eliminated in order for him to make an alliance with the Jews. Even when Disney had found distributors he was always in need of money to cover production costs and expansion. Disney severed his dealings with Columbia Pictures and United Artists took over distribution of Disney productions.

One of Disney's most successful cartoons was the Three Little Pigs. In the original Three Little Pigs, there was a scene where the Big Bad Wolf disguised himself as a Jewish peddler to trick one of the pigs into opening the door. As soon as word leaked out about this scene, representatives of a number of Jewish organizations beat a path to Disney to get the "offensive" scene removed. Disney removed the scene and told the Jews that the scene was a spoof depicting Carl Laemmle's continuous efforts to "blow down the house of Disney."

Julius Schenck of United Artists, which was distributing Disney productions, had carefully set a trap for Disney. Schenck recommended that Disney seek funding from the Bank of America, and personally contact UA's financial advisor at the bank, Joseph Rosenberg. The Jew gladly opened a line of credit for Disney, but never gave him enough so he could be effective and later helped bring down the Disney empire.

Disney constantly found himself the victim of walkouts by Jews he had hired or by Marxist agitators who were constantly forming unions in Hollywood. Through the unions the Jews constantly used unions to curb the growth of Disney productions. An effort to break the control of the Majors over Hollywood was made when several independent film makers formed The Society of Independent Motion Picture Producers (SIMPP). SIMPP was formed to challenge the majors domination of production, exhibition and distribution. The leading non-Jews in SIMPP were William Cagney, Walt Disney, Mary Pickford, Edward Small, Orson Wells and Walter Wagner. A few Jewish studio moguls who had found themselves cut out of the action by the insiders of the "old world elite" supported SIMPP and these were: David O. Selznick, Sol Lesser and Sam Goldwyn.

The dictatorial control of the Jewish "Majors" is described in Walt Disney Hollywood's Dark Prince: "For the most part, the Majors were still controlled by the same group of men who had first broken the iron grip of the old Edison Trust. Ironically, a quarter of a century later, their success had led them to create an even more anti-competitive environment than the one from which they had desperately fled. So much so that no independent film could gain national distribution unless the film maker or studio dealt with one of the Majors, who not only controlled all the distribution networks but owned virtually every first run theatre in the country...."

Disney raised the ire of the Majors when he publicly attended America First meetings and had even stood beside Charles Lindbergh at one rally. To retaliate the red dominated unions kept strife going at the Disney studios.

In the early 1930's it was a well known fact that the sympathies of the majority of Jews in Hollywood was in favour of Socialism and Communism. In *An Empire of Their Own*, Neal Gabler talks about communist influence in Hollywood. He says: "Jews had first forged ties to the parties of the Left, and to the Communist party specifically, back in Europe... one leading Communist estimated that 50 percent of the Party's members were Jews during its heyday in the thirties and forties, and a large minority---sometimes a majority---of the Party leadership was Jewish... What was true of the national Party was even truer in Hollywood, where Jews already formed a large part of the left leaning artistic community... One member complained that nearly 90 percent of the Party on Los Angeles was Jewish... Ring Lardner, Jr., himself a Party member had the impression that it was well over 50 percent, somewhat like, maybe, two thirds."

As it became apparent that the Communist movement in the United States was being led mainly by Jews, congressman Samuel Dickstein of New York, who was an Eastern European born Jew, took steps to protect his co-racialists. He moved to have a special committee set up in Congress to investigate those who were pouring forth documents proving that the Jews were running the Soviet Union and had killed almost thirty million Russians and Ukrainians and were the leaders of the Communist movement in Europe.

By calling all those who dared to criticize the Jews as being "Nazi" Dickstein felt that he could bully loyal Americans into silence. In January of 1934 Congress voted to establish a House Committed on Un-American Activities (HUAC) to investigate German activities in the United States. The bias of Dickstein was so apparent that by 1937 his anti-German and anti-Gentile rantings and ravings in congress were drawing attention to other Jews. When he tried to get the HUAC mandate renewed, it measure failed.



The Jews then backed Congressman Martin Dies, a non-Jew, in setting up a committee with the same name under his chairmanship. Dies voiced the concern of the Jews in *An Empire of Their Own*, when he said: Many of our Jewish citizens wanted Dickstein eliminated because they felt he was furnishing ammunition to the Nazis and other anti-Semitic movements."

By 1939 Die's investigation of subversion in America had opened his eyes to the fact that facts uncovered by the Committee substantiated the claim that Hollywood was, indeed, pro-Communist as many so-called "anti-Semites" had claimed. Gabler quotes Dies as telling key figures in Hollywood: I told the producers that we had reliable information that a number of film actors and screen writers and a few producers either were members of the Communist Party, followed the Communist line, or were used as dupes, and that there was evidence that the Hollywood Anti-Nazi League was under the control of the Communists."

Dies retired from Congress in 1944 and a fiery Congressman named John Rankin of Mississippi used his influence to get the HUAC made a permanent committee of Congress.

Rankin's investigations further opened his eyes to the fact that Hollywood Jews were behind the anti-moral assault on America. Rankin told the

press that: "...the information we get is that (Hollywood) is the greatest hotbed of subversive activities in the United States. We're on the trail of the tarantula now, and we're going to follow through... We are not trying to hound legitimate writers, but we are out to expose those elements that are insidiously trying to spread subversive propaganda, poison the minds of your children, distort the history of our country, and discredit Christianity... alien minded communistic enemies of Christianity, and their stooges are trying to take control over the radio. Listen to their lying broadcasts in broken English and you can almost smell them... They are now trying take over the motion picture industry, and how to fight heaven when our Committee on un-American Activities propose to investigate them. They want to spread their un-American propaganda, as well as their loathsome, lying, immoral, anti-Christian filth before the eyes of your children in every community in America."

The Jews founded the HUAC in an effort stifle legitimate criticism of their activities in America, and by 1945 it had gone full circle and was being used as a legitimate vehicle for patriots to go after those who were influenced by Communism and trying to change the thinking of America.

This gave Walt Disney and other non-Jews who had been discriminated against by the "Majors" a chance to try to bring an end to the Jewish domination of Hollywood, and the HUAC hearings went on well into the mid 1960's.

Disney and his Motion Picture Alliance for the Preservation of American Ideals tried to break the Jewish Communist stranglehold on Hollywood. As the MIPIA and the HUAC fought the red/Jewish influence in Hollywood, the reds kept striking back by fomenting one strike after the other against Disney and others who opposed them.

Walt openly vowed to keep the commies out of his studio and told the press that the strikes at his studio were fomented by the Communist Conspiracy. Again Eliot says: "Ever since Sinclair's gubernatorial campaign helped unify Hollywood's left, the Communist Party of the United States of America (CPUSA) had made its presence felt by championing the rights of the film industry's workers. The CPUSA played a vital role in the Screen Writers Guild's long

battle to exist, thus helping to make legitimate the industry's burgeoning union movement."

In 1941 the CPUSA backed strikers at Disney Studios who were fomenting trouble through the Screen Writer's Guild. Most of the Disney staff walked out "on strike."



Pressure was brought on Disney to settle the strike by Bank of America representative, Rosenberg, who told him that the effects of the strike would "damage his relationship with the Bank of America." The Jewish tentacles through the Bank of America were brought to bear on Disney.

A further attack was launched on Disney by King Features when the Jews accused Disney of being a "Nazi" because they claimed that there was a swastika drawn in a Mickey Mouse cartoon in a panel in a cartoon on June 19th, 1940. The swastika was "in the form of two crossed musical notes."

Efforts to get Disney to support Roosevelt and his "get us into war" effort failed as long as Walt Disney kept tight control over his studio.

In an effort to get Disney away from the studio Roosevelt instructed Nelson Rockefeller to make Disney a part of a tour to South America by actors allegedly sent to "counter Nazi propaganda in South America." Rockefeller had been named the "Official Coordinator" of the State Department's Inter-American Affairs group.

Roosevelt was particularly concerned because of Disney's appearances at America First rallies alongside Charles Lindbergh. From all indications, Roy Disney was a part of the plot to get Walt away from the Disney studio so the "strike could be settled" and so Walt could "clear" himself of the charges levelled against him of being a "Nazi" sympathizer.

To Be Continued

Christian Identity Radio Broadcasts

Yahweh's Covenant People

"In Isaac shall thy seed be called" (Gen. 21:12)

The Regathering of True Israel

In The New JerUSAlem (Not to be confused with "Jews.")

"For my sword shall be bathed in heaven: behold, it shall come down upon Idumea, and upon the people of my curse, to judgment." Isaiah 34:5



Saturday nights, 8 ET (Sunday 1am BST)

www.talkshoe.com/tc/21924

The Voice of Christian Israel

Pastor Eli James

The Regathering of true Israel

The New JerUSAlem

"My eyes have seen your salvation... the glory of your people, ISRAEL."
(Not to be confused with "Jews").

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com




The Voice of Christian Israel, Sundays, Noon ET (5 pm - 8pm RBN <http://republicbroadcasting.org>)



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



THE *A journal for moral thinking people*

FLAME

ISSUE 32
50p (non-UK) 50p (UK)
ISSN: 1560-1184

1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10am (est) 3pm (gmt)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

thenewensign@gmail.com

Previous Issues are archived at

newensign.christsassembly.com

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE




CONTACT

Morgenland-Verlag

pia-6@t-online.de

Für unsere deutschen Leser



Weitere Informationen aus den Autor: thesynagogueofsatan@hotmail.com