

The New Ensign

No. 43 March 2013

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Do You Know Who You Are? Do You Know Who Your Real Enemy Is?

IT is a sad fact that most of our brethren in the Western Israelite nations would be unable to answer the above 2 questions correctly. The readers of this magazine, however, would have no such difficulty.

The Judeo-Christian church continues to give succour to our deadly enemy while all of the West's economies slide into chaos through the machinations of the enemy.

They do not realise the we, the Caucasian people, true Israel, are today engaged in a deadly battle as we have been for many centuries. We were once the most populous race on the planet, but are now almost at minority status, being only 8% of the world's population. This trend is continuing apace with the white race having the lowest birth-rate of all the races on earth.

It was Napoleon who said; "95% of winning the battle is knowing who your real enemy is, the rest is knowing who you are!"

With Satanic cunning, our deluded brethren, have in the recent past been tricked into believing that first the Germans were the enemy, then it was the Russians and now mythical alkaida terrorists, when in fact the real terrorists

are in government, which has been infiltrated by "God's Chosen"

Most of our people have no idea, that the real enemy, the Edomite/Khazars, masquerading as "God's Chosen" have stolen our identity and have kept the majority in ignorance of our origin through their absolute control of the media.

In these last days it behoves all of us to do our utmost to awaken our ignorant and sleeping brethren to their true identity and who the enemy is. Many of our readers are doing sterling work in this respect.

Pastor Eli James is making great headway in his Radio Ministry and now being on RBN is reaching more people with many commenting favourably on the message he brings, details of this and other identity broadcasts can be found on page 39 of this magazine.

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Note: The New Ensign is back on line thanks to our host, Stephen Michael at Christ's Assembly.

Contents

	Page
The Social Gospel or The Gospel of The Kingdom - Arnold Kennedy	3
The Exclusive Covenant of Covenants Part 6) - Pastor Eli James	6
The Two Seeds of Genesis 3-15 - Charles Lee Mange Part 7	9
Harold Stough Notes - Winston Churchill's Secret Memo	12
Notes On The Book Of Jasher (Part 4) W. F. Dankenbring	14
Heads or Tails - John Trotter	15
Distribution Dictatorship	18
A Brief History Of Khazars In The 'Goldene Medin' Part 2	24
Letters And Views	27
Mussolini and Churchill - Marc Rowland	32

The Social Gospel – Or The Gospel Of The Kingdom? (Part 3)

By Arnold Kennedy



WHAT THE SOCIAL GOSPEL LACKS

GIVING in the sense of the Social Gospel may be of no profit at all.

1 Cor. 13:3 *And, though I bestow all my goods to feed the poor, and though I give my body to be burned, and have not charity, it profiteth me nothing.*

This is about having agape love. Without having that there is no profit. Without all the attributes following this verse, there is no profit. Without being redeemed no one can have that sort of love no matter how much they try. Without having personal reality about the death and resurrection of Jesus Christ, nothing will remain of anything done. The kind of love demanded is the same kind as Jesus displayed to us when “He gave His life for our sins, that he might deliver us from this present evil world, according to the will of God the father”. The will of the father must be a factor of to whom and when we give.

This seems to cover all the New Testament Scriptures about our part in giving. In all of this there is no suggestion of willy-nilly giving of material things to all and sundry, needy or otherwise.

WIDOWS

Luke 4:25 *But I tell you a truth. Many widows were in Israel in the days of Elias, when the heaven was shut up three years and six months, when great famine was throughout all the land. But unto none of them was Elias sent, save unto Sarepta, a city of Sidon, unto a woman that was a widow.*

We can be like those in that synagogue who were filled with wrath at the very thought that we should not go to every widow. Although this widow lived in Sidon, the story shows she was one Israelite who was prepared to believe God.

Acts 6:1 *And in those days there arose a murmuring of the Grecians against the Hebrews, because their widows were neglected in the daily ministration.*

There is a daily ministration [or relief giving] to widows here, a function no longer seen or practised in any church. The widows were, “their widows”, that is they were those widows who were Greek-speaking Israelites. This portion can not be generalised to cover groups outside of the body of disciples in both parts of Israel

Acts 9:39 *And all the widows stood by him weeping, and showing the coats and garments which Dorcas made whilst she was with them.*

Dorcas is described as a ‘certain’ disciple. Although this word ‘tis is translated many ways, it is a word that divides one type of person from another.

1 Cor. 7:8 *I say therefore to the unmarried and the widows, it is good for them that they abide even as I.*

Here Paul is addressing Corinthians who are given identity as Israelites in 1 Cor. 10:1-2 by “how that our fathers were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea, and were baptised unto Moses”. This is a description of things that followed after the Exodus of Israel. Once again, the widows are confined by the context to being Israelites.

1 Tim. 5:3-4 *Honour widows that are widows indeed. But if any widow have children or nephews, let them first show piety at home, to requite their parents.*

Widows ‘indeed’ is mentioned twice here and we see that these only are to be supported by the church. This is confirmed in 1 Tim.5:16. ‘Indeed’ or *ontos* is used ten times to denote absolute certainty. So, once again there restrictions upon which widows are to have help. And the context limits this to the church.

1 Tim 5:11 *But, the younger widows refuse.*

The context is about widows who have washed the SAINTS' feet, a function we would not expect from widows outside the Church.

James 1:27 *Pure religion and undefiled before God and the father is this; to visit the fatherless and widows in their affliction and to keep himself unspotted by the world.*

This again is addressed to the Twelve Tribes and the context is of those assembled to worship Jesus. So, attending to the needs of these widows is limited to them.

Identity of the two parts of Israel is another subject, but the House of Judah and the House of Israel remain separate entities until the time of the second advent-[Isaiah 11].

THE SOCIAL GOSPEL VERSUS THE GOSPEL OF THE KINGDOM

When we look at things like the current vogue for ecumenism, the prime emphasis relates to those things we saw earlier that Jesus did not do. Jesus is supposed to have instituted a Social Gospel. Roman Catholics say that the Gospel centres on "the person, message and gracious activity of Christ", rather than the evangelical view of the atonement. Ecumenism is an attempt to submerge this view of the atonement and replace it with a solidarity which emphasises the quest for peace, justice, disarmament, human rights, religious freedom, the defence against abortion, denouncing torture, the release of prisoners of conscience, the end of slavery, the elimination of sexual discrimination, the freedom of sexual preference, acceptance of homosexuality, inner city renewal and such social issues, and of course, racial equality. The latter, racial equality, is something not found through the Bible. In fact, racism seems to be the prime sin against the Social Gospel. There is racism in the Bible up to the New Jerusalem where Israel is found within the City of God, with all the other races being outside. The starting point of the 'World Church' view is not the Bible starting point. To the 'World Church', what it terms salvation is racially universal in scope. There are no 'chosen race', no 'elect nation' or even 'brethren' in its Bible meaning. Instead we find this replaced with 'The Brotherhood of Man', where there is open fellowship with every age, race and culture. Unity in the Social Gospel is said to be a sign

that the Kingdom has arrived. This is the Kingdom of Man with a non-Biblical definition.. This is not what Jesus said as we have seen in the opening passages of the paper.

ADULTERY

Adultery is another major issue that the United Nations and Social Gospel churches refuse to treat seriously, treating it as being normal. They will never campaign against it. But, Jesus dealt with this subject on a personal level, and said, "Go and sin no more". So it is sin! Adultery is perhaps the greatest cause of social distress and family breakdown. The consequence of family breakdown is an increase in taxation, insecurity and other ills.

DIVORCE

Divorce is opposed by some that promote the Social Gospel, notably the Roman Catholic Church. They have a problem in their attitude that that Church has inherited the promises made to Israel, because God is a divorcee in that He gave a Bill of Divorcement to the House of Israel. This would mean that the R.C.s are divorced by God!!

THE WORLD CHURCH AND THE UNITED NATIONS



Most of the 'World Church' view is identical to the principles and purposes of the United Nations, the notable exception be related to abortion. The Universal Declaration of Human Rights has the appearance of the surface of being a good moral statement, but we find it is not so because definitions are changed, especially when it comes to "the family". Even religious freedom and everything else is subject to modification by the United Nations purposes which take rights

off one party to give them to another. The 'World Church' view is that of World Government, and this under man, not God. The Laws of the Kingdom do not feature in their thinking. Both the World Church and World Government seek to silence those who write, speak or present "The Gospel of The Kingdom of God". They seek to present "The Gospel of Universal Salvation" which concerns the Social Gospel. The two Gospels are radically different. One brings God's blessing and the other brings God's curse.

RACISM



Racism seems to be the prime target of World Government. With a little thought we can see that it actually is National Israel or the Kingdom of God over Israel that is targeted. Anti-racism on the part of religious people who promote the Social Gospel is illogical. God made the separate races so that is racism on the part of God. We have to ask if God made a mistake when He made the races. If God did not make the races as He says, then who did? When God says that He severed Israel from the other races [plural], this is racism on the part of God.

That the specific application as shown through this paper may be thought to be difficult, especially when 'race' is mentioned, is not our problem. Our part is to believe God. That the Old Testament is totally racist cannot be denied, it has to be faced that the fulfilment of prophecy must be fulfilled in the particular people specified and that this includes none other. There is a lot of racial detail in the New Testament that is hidden by the translators' choice of words; their doctrinal belief being written into the translations. This is passed onto readers who cannot see this because of years of pre-conditioning. Christians have two Testaments that seem to contradict because it is seldom taught that Jesus said if we did not believe Moses and the prophets we could not understand His words. The World Church refuses to believe Moses and the Prophets.

GOD SO LOVED THE WORLD

The particular scriptures that seem to contradict the gospel of the Kingdom are "Go into all the world" and "God so loved the world", together with grammatical misuse of "all", "every", and "whosoever", etc.. This matter has been put together in a paper entitled, "The Two Most Misused Verses In The New Testament". In this the difficult subject of identity is touched upon because this is a problem to people, especially to those who have been led to believe that Jews and Israel are synonymous words. The reader might well find that he is an Israelite indeed! There is some reference to non-Israel races and there is no suggestion that they are all condemned to hell! This is another subject; and this paper is available to any who request it.

CONCLUSION

Questions are asked as to why the churches are not experiencing the power of God. It is too easy to say, "We do not believe enough" or something like that, although there is some truth in this because belief and obedience go together. If we do not accept what Jesus says, we do not obey enough. To believe Jesus we have to accept what Jesus says about the "Gospel of the Kingdom" and what this means in contradistinction to the Social Gospel. We have to accept that there is what Paul calls "another gospel". It may be that this cannot be discerned until we admit that there is such a thing. We either believe God or we do not.

The Social Gospel can never display the power and authority of the Kingdom of Heaven. What God's people are to hold forth is the Word of Life-[Phil 2:16]. Jesus said, I am the bread of life" And Isaiah said,

Isaiah 55:1-4 Wherefore do ye spend money for that which is not bread? And ye labour for that which satisfieth not? Hearken diligently unto me, and eat that which is good, let your soul delight in fatness. Incline your ear unto me, and come unto me and your soul shall live.

And we do better than Phillip and Paul and Peter and others?

• **Acts 8:5** The Phillip went down to the city of Samaria, and PREACHED CHRIST unto them.

• **1 Cor 2:1** *And when I came unto you.....declaring unto you the testimony of God. For I determined not to know anything among you, save Jesus and him crucified.*

• **Acts 9:34** *And Peter said unto him, Aneas, Jesus Christ maketh thee whole.*

• **Acts 11:30** *Spake unto the Grecians, preaching the Lord Jesus.*

• **Acts 17:3** *This Jesus whom I preach unto you is Christ.*

• **Acts 19:17** *And, the name of the Lord Jesus was magnified.*

• **Acts 28:23** *He testified the kingdom of God persuading them concerning Jesus, both out of the law of Moses, and out of the prophets.....*

The Social Gospel will never win a soul!

The End OS17882

The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant (Part 5) **Documenting The Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation** **By Pastor Eli James**



KINDRED

Gen. 24:1-7, 36-38: "My kindred"

refers to the Shemitic nation of Abraham. No Canaanite wife is permitted for Isaac. Rebekah is the pure

White Shemite that Yahweh has selected for Isaac. She is blessed by Yahweh at Gen. 24:58-60

Gen. 25:1-6: The sons of Keturah are sent away so as not to interfere with Isaac's inheritance. These are the Indo-Aryans. They are still a kindred people to the Shemitic Caucasians, but they are not Israelites.

HOLY, SEPARATE, SEVERED

"Holy" means "called out." It is only in modern times that the word 'holy' has developed the strictly RELIGIOUS meaning of 'saintly' or "non-sinful." Even so, these modern meanings are derived from "called out." Obviously, the Israelites were hardly ever a non-sinful people, so that definition makes no sense at all. Yahweh has constantly struggled with us because of our sinfulness. Only the Elect, or Remnant, ever achieves righteousness to the degree acceptable to Yahweh for governance of the Kingdom. We are the elect, in spite of our faults, because Yahweh intends to purge the sin out of us.

Ex. 19:5-6: "Now, therefore, if ye will obey my voice indeed, and keep my covenant, then ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine. And ye shall be unto me a kingdom of priests, and an holy nation."

Isa. 6:12-13: "And Yahweh has removed men [#120, AWDAM] far away [into the wilderness of Europe], which became the regathering place for all White Israelites], and a great forsaking [of His laws, way back in Palestine and the Great Apostasy today] in the midst of the land. But yet in it shall be a tenth [a remnant], and it [the remnant] shall return [to Me, Yahweh], and shall be eaten: as a teil tree, and as an oak, whose substance is in them, when they cast their leaves: so the HOLY SEED shall be the substance thereof."

The Israelites will yet bear fruit, which can be "eaten" when the harvest is ripe. The other 90% are so rotten that they cannot be "eaten." This is also confirmed by the prophetic Church of Laodecia, the End Time Apostasy which so foul that it literally nauseates Yahshua! (Rev. 3:16.) Only the Israelites are spoken of in this manner. None of the historical escapades undergone by Israel apply to any other race. The exclusivity of the Bible is unmistakable, once the fog of universalism is removed from one's eyes. Segregation is not an option. It is mandatory.

"For I am Yahweh your Elohim, the **HOLY ONE OF ISRAEL**, your Savior: I gave Egypt for your ransom, Ethiopia are for you. Since you

(Israel) were precious in My sight, you have been [somewhat] honourable, and I have loved you: therefore will I give men for you, and people for your life. Fear not: for I am with you: I will bring your seed from the east, and gather you from the west. I will say to the north, Give up; and to the south, Keep not back: bring My sons from afar, and My daughters from the ends of the earth; Even every one that is called by my name: for I have created him for my glory, I have formed him; yea, I have made him.” - Isa. 43:3-5.

These words are spoken exclusively to Israel and to no other people. In fact, these words are part of the dominion Mandate given to the Adamic race way back in Gen. 1:26-31. Yahweh will present the other races and nations to us, once the Remnant has mastered righteousness.

The Hebrew word for ‘holy’ is qadash. More than anything else, it means “appointed, dedicated, consecrated.” The dedication ceremony was the placing of Isaac on the sacrificial altar by Abraham. Only by virtue of this ceremony are Isaac’s descendants intended to be “sacred,” or “non-sinful.” Certainly a priest and God’s people Israel should be non-sinful; but that is not what the word means. It means that Yahweh has dedicated us exclusively, setting us apart from the heathen, in order that we might refrain from sin, so that we could provide the world with a holy example, so that we might be the City on the Hill.



Peter confirms this dedicated state for Israel. I Peter 2:9-10: “Ye are a chosen [selected out of many races] Race, a royal [kingly, above all others] priesthood, a holy [dedicated exclusively to Yahweh] nation, a peculiar [different from all other peoples] people, that ye should show the praises of him [to the rest of the world, as in Gen. 12:3] who hath called you out of darkness in to his marvellous light. Such in time past were not a people, but now are the people of God.”

Because the Israelites had been already scattered throughout the Greco-Roman world, Peter is simply stating that the New Covenant has again made us One People, via the common forgiveness. Because Israel was broken up into two houses after the death of Solomon, we were no longer **ONE PEOPLE**. And because we had forgotten His Covenants with us, we were no longer a cohesive culture. But Christ’s forgiveness of our past sins made us one people again.

Yahweh is speaking to His people through the apostle Peter, referring to us, using the exact same exclusive language that is contained in the Old Testament, declaring that we are a "chosen" or "elect" race, as a "holy nation" and as a "people for God's own possession." By God's grace we occupy a very favoured position in His universe. This is what it means to be CHOSEN. If every group, race and nation were likewise “chosen,” the word would lose its meaning.

Now, True Israel, what are you going to achieve with this very favoured status? Are you going to do as the Judeo-Christians do and destroy your own talents by wasting them on this evil world; or are you going to use your peculiar talents to bring in the Kingdom?

MORE EXCLUSIVITY, IF YOU CAN HANDLE IT:

“When Yahweh, thy Elohim, shall bring thee (Israel) into the land whither thou goest to possess it, and hath cast out many nations before thee, the Hittites, and the Girgashites, and the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites,, seven nations greater and mightier than thou; And when Yahweh thy Elohim shall deliver them before thee; thou shalt smite them, **AND UTTERLY DESTROY THEM; THOU SHALT MAKE NO COVENANT WITH THEM, NOR SHOW MERCY UNTO THEM.**” - Deut. 7: 1-2.

Why would God say such a seemingly horrible thing? The Christian Apostasy teaches that those days are over; and that it is water under the bridge. Let’s continue to read, and we’ll find out what the Christian Apostasy does not want you to know.

“NEITHER SHALT THOU MAKE MARRIAGES WITH THEM; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.” – Verse 3.

At Deut. 14:1-2, speaking specifically to the children of Israel, we are told, “Ye are the children of Yahweh your God: ye shall not cut yourselves, nor make any baldness between your eyes for the dead. For thou art an holy people unto Yahweh thy Elohim; and Yahweh hath chosen thee to be a peculiar people unto Himself, above all the nations that are on the face of the earth.”



This dedication of one particular people, which Paul refers to as “predestination,” as being “above all other nations,” is repeated at Deut. 26:18-19, which tells us “And Yahweh hath avouched thee this day to be His peculiar people, as he hath promised thee, and that thou shouldst keep all His Commandments; And to make thee high above all nations which He hath made, in praise, and in name, and in honor; and that thou mayest be an holy people unto Yahweh thy God, as He hath spoken.”

I Kings, 8:51-53, states: “For they be **THY PEOPLE**, and **THINE INHERITANCE**, for which thou broughtest forth out of Egypt, from the midst of the furnace of iron: That thine eyes may be open to the supplication of **THY SERVANT**, and unto the supplication of **THY PEOPLE ISRAEL**, to hearken unto them in all that they call for unto Thee. For thou didst **SEPARATE THEM FROM AMONG ALL THE PEOPLE OF THE EARTH, TO BE THINE INHERITANCE**, as thou spakest by the hand of Moses thy servant, when thou broughtest our fathers out of Egypt, O Yahweh God.”

At Isa. 44:3, God tells Jacob/Israel: “I will pour my Spirit upon thy seed, and my blessing upon thine offspring. (Not to all people, but Israel’s offspring only. The other nations get blessed according to Gen. 12:3.)

Isa. 51: 1-4: His laws are to be promulgated to the other nations by us, True Israel, that we may be a blessing to the nations, as we have done, as history proves. But we succeed in instructing the nations **ONLY AS A SEPARATE SPECIES**, for when we mix with them, we become like them, and we lose our genetic ability to be that special people, Israel.

“Learn not the way of the heathen.” (Deut. 18:9; Jer. 10:2.) When we mix with them, we become like them. We lose our ability to be His chosen people, and they lose the blessings we are able to provide them because we are no longer the genetically-created People He designed us to be!! It IS a matter of genetics, and LOGIC. If we hybridize, we are no longer His Chosen People, Israel. If we become like the heathen, we are no longer Israel. But this is exactly what the Judeo-churches are doing. They are causing White people to act like non-Whites. They are even encouraging racial integration. Apostasy, anyone?

THE NEW COVENANT PROPHESED IN THE OLD TESTAMENT

THE NEW COVENANT PROPHESED IN THE OLD TESTAMENT

Jer. 31:31-37. Behold, the days come, saith YHWH, that I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel, and with the house of Judah: Not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day that I took them by the hand to bring them out of the land of Egypt; which my covenant they brake, although I was an husband unto them, saith YHWH: But this shall be the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel; After those days, saith YHWH, I will put my law in their inward parts, and write it in their hearts; and will be their God, and they shall be my people. And they shall teach no more every man his neighbour, and every man his brother, saying, Know YHWH: for they shall all know me, from the least of them unto the greatest of them, saith YHWH: for I will forgive their iniquity, and I will remember their sin no more. Thus saith YHWH, which giveth the sun for a light by day, and the ordinances of the moon and of the stars for a light by night, which divideth the sea when the waves thereof roar; YHWH of hosts is his name: If those ordinances depart from before me, saith YHWH, then the seed of Israel also shall cease from being a nation before me for ever.

The ordinances of the space-time continuum have not departed, THEREFORE, the posterity of Israel remains. As long as the universe exists, Covenant Israel exists. This logic is irrefutable. This is why the Judeo-Christians scrupulously avoid quoting the exact terms of the New Covenant. These terms totally refute their Dispensationalist, universalistic anti-theology. They are repudiating everything the Bible teaches.

On the contrary, anything that Yahweh hides can be revealed by Him: “And I will strengthen the house of Judah, and I will save the house of Joseph, and I will bring them again to place them; for I have mercy upon them: and they shall be as though I had not cast them off: for I am the LORD their God, and will hear them. - Zechariah 10:6.

**PART 3: RACIAL EXCLUSIVITY
CONFIRMED IN THE NEW
TESTAMENT**

“I am Yahweh. I change not. Therefore, you sons of Jacob are NOT consumed.” – Malachi 3:6.

“Has God forsaken His people, Israel? God forbid! For I am an Israelite, of the tribe of Benjamin.”- Romans 11:1.

The Book of Matthew opens with Yahshua’s genealogy. The whole point of this genealogy is prove that Jesus is a direct, racial descendant of David. The prophets declared that the Messiah must be a son of David. (II Sam. 7:11-16; Isa. 9:6-7; Isa. 11:10-13; Matt. 1:1; Acts 2:29-30; Romans 8:29-32.) Matthew and Luke provide proof of this line of descent.

The Gospel of Luke (Luke 3:23-38) gives a more extensive genealogy of Jesus Christ, giving His line of descent, through David, all the way back to Adam and Yahweh. Messiah is also called “son of Man,” which means that He is also a pure-blooded descendant of Adam, the one who shows blood in the face.

John 7:35. The Pharisees acknowledge that the Lost Tribes still exist and are scattered throughout the Greco-Roman world.

John 8:32-47. The Edomite Jews expose their non-Israelite sperma. (‘Seed’ comes from the Greek word sperma.) There is no such thing as spiritual sperm. John 8 cannot be spiritualized. The discussion here is about literal descendants and the false Jewish claim to being the descendants of Abraham. Since these Pharisaic Jews admit that they were “never in bondage to any man,” Christ tricked them into admitting that they are the descendants of Esau.

To be Continued

The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (7)



YOU will find, upon careful examination, that the literal truth of Genesis 3:15 has been a solid building block in the foundation of Christian Theology, from the writings of the Old Testament, the teachings of Jesus Christ Himself, the apostles after him, and by the early Church Fathers during the first centuries A.D. The truth of Genesis 3:15 cannot be spiritualised away by the ignorance of man. For nearly six thousand years the very presence of both the 1) seed of the serpent and 2) The Seed of the Woman has been on this earth. That age old enmity planted by Yahweh, Jesus Christ, still rages between these two forces. Today it reaches forth in the grand finale of the Age. The climax of the Ages is upon us. In this crucial hour of history, we dare not surrender, compromise, or talk ourselves out of this most fundamental Kingdom Identity

Bible Truth. This is no time to white-wash the satanic Jew offspring of Satan. We are this day faced with the very climax of this **enmity**, this **warfare**, between these two seed lines, and God give us the courage to **stand**, for **Through Jesus Christ**, and **His Shed Blood**, the Head of the Serpent race, the very Kehilla itself, shall be **crushed**, through the power of **Jesus Christ**. Jesus Christ described the reapers of this satanic harvest in Matthew 13:41 and you may read Psalms Ch. 149 and Jeremiah 51:20 for more light.


Now in the meantime the Battle rages outside the comfort of your living room. Every television newscast, every Radio broadcast, every major newspaper in America is alive and pulsating with the **enmity** between the two seeds of Genesis 3:15. This is the story of history. This is what Jesus Christ said would happen as recorded in Revelation 12:27. "And the dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the commandments of God, and have the testimony of Jesus Christ." Yes the dragon, that international satanic race of Jewish Pharisees has declared a total war on the seed of the woman just as Christ foretold. Praise the Name and Power of Jesus Christ **White Christian People**, the Seed of the Woman, will have a total **victory** over this satanic seed line as recorded in Revelation 15:2-3. "And I saw as it were a sea of glass mingled with fire: and them that had gotten the victory over the beast, and over his image, and over his mark, and over the number of his name, stand on the sea of glass, having the harps of God. And they sing the song of Moses the servant of God, and the song of the Lamb, saying, Great and marvellous are thy works, Lord God Almighty: just and true are thy ways, thou King of saints." Again in Revelation 18:20-24: "Rejoice over her, thou heavens, and ye holy apostles and prophets; for God hath avenged you on her...And in her (the Satanic seed of the Jewish Serpent) was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth. Again in Rev. 19:6: And I heard as it were the voice of a great multitude, and as the voice of many waters, and as the voice of mighty thunders, saying, Alleluia: for the Lord God (**Yahweh—Jesus Christ**) omnipotent reigneth." Amen and Amen! In closing, I would like to leave you with the following verse of the great song, Battle Hymn of the Republic: The following verse of this great song is no longer

used in most churches for obvious reasons. It contains the final judgment that shall come upon the Serpent and his seed.

"I have read the fiery Gospel, Writ in burnished rows of steel, As ye deal with my condemners, So with you my grace shall deal. Let the Hero born of woman, Crush the Serpent with His Heel, Since God is Marching on!"

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THE SEED OF THE WOMAN

The following books will provide the beginning foundation for a knowledge of the Seed of the Woman. You must discern and take that which is good, and leave that which is bad. These books are listed because they have information that will assist you in following the Seed of the Woman through the Bible and through History. Again: You must exercise spiritual discernment in your reading.



1. A p o c r y p h a containing books no longer in the canon of Scripture.

2. Bible Research Handbook, Vol. I & II, Covenant Publishing Co.

3. Book of Enoch edited by R. H. Charles.

4. Foxe Book of Martyrs edited by Forbush.

5. Heritage of the Anglo-Saxon Race by Gayer.

6. History of the Anglo-Saxon by Sharon Turner.

7. History of England by Hume.

8. Israel & Judah by Arthur Pachkofsky.


9. Flavius Josephus – John Winston Co.

10. Judah's Sceptre & Joseph's Birthright by Allen.

11. New England Clergy & the American Revolution by Alice Baldwin.
12. One Man's Destiny by Dickey.
13. Racial Realities of Europe by Stoddard.
14. Our Nordic Race by Hoskins.
15. St. Paul in Great Britain by Morgan.
16. Symbols of Our Celto-Saxon Heritage by W. H. Bennett.
17. The Coming of the Saints by Taylor.
18. The Drama of the Lost Disciples of Jowett.
19. The Ante-Nicene Fathers...Erdmans Publishing Co.
20. The Distinction between Judah & Israel by C.C. Ewing.
21. The Crusades by G. W. Cox.
22. Today, Tomorrow & The Great Beyond by Fox.
23. The Worlds Greatest Throne by Fox.
24. The Glory of Lebanon by Mary & Bob Sipe.
25. The Passing of the Great Race by Madison Grant.
26. Tracing Our Ancestors by Haberman.
27. The Northern Ring by Dahana Wood.
28. Hebrew-Chaldee Lexicon.
29. Greek lexicon of New Testament Words.
30. Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible.

foundations upon which this race has operated in the earth during the past several thousand years, beginning with Cain.

1. Behind Communism by Frank Britton.
2. Conquest of a Continent by Madison Grant.
3. Facts are Facts by Benjamin Friedman.



4. Hoax of the 20th Century by A.R. Butz.
5. Imperium by Francis Parker Yockey.
6. Jews Must Live by Samuel Roth.
7. Jewish Influence on the U.S. Media by Palestine Arab Delegation.

8. Jewish Ritual Murder by Arnold Leese.
9. Light Bearers of Darkness by Inquire Within.
10. Lost Books of the Bible by World Publishing Co.
11. Mystery of the Serpent by B.F. Jackson.
12. None Dare Call it Conspiracy by Gary Allen.
13. Paradise Lost by John Milton.
14. Plot Against Christianity by Elizabeth Dilling.
15. Plot Against the Church by Maurice Pinay.
16. Rising Tide of Colour by Lothrop Stoddard.
17. Secret Societies & Subversive Movements by Nesta Webster.
18. Spotlight on the Great Conspiracy by Conrad Gaard.

BIBLIOGRAPHY OF THE SEED OF THE SERPENT

The following list of books are by no means complete in the study of the satanic seed line, but they are at least the beginning point. You should carefully study each of the following books as they will establish the historical

19. Still Tis Our Ancient Foe by Kenneth Goff.
20. Studies in Genesis by Conrad Gaard.
21. The History of the Jews by Mullins'.
22. The International Jew by Henry Ford Sr.
23. The Jews and Their Lies by Martin Luther.
24. The Iron Curtain Over America by Col. John Beaty.
25. The Jewish Problem as Dealt with by the Popes.
26. The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion.
27. The Rothschilds by be Federic Morton.
28. The Thirteenth Tribe by Koestler.
29. The Nameless War by A.H. M. Ramsay.
30. The Pawns in Game by Commander Carr.
31. The Talmud Unmasked by Rev. I. B. Pramaitis.
32. The Two Babylons by Alexander Hislop.
33. War! War! War! By Cincinnatus.
34. Water Flowing Eastward by L. Fry.
35. What Price Israel by Lilienthal.
36. World Communist Movement, Vol. I, 1818-1945 by the 87th Congress.
37. World Revolution by Nesta Webster.
38. Zionist Network by Senator Jack Tenney.
39. Ultimate World Order by Robert H. Williams.

To be continued - Glossary Of 2 Seedline Terms.



Harold Stough Notes

Winston Churchill's Secret Poison Gas Memo

[stamp] PRIME MINISTER'S PERSONAL
MINUTE

[stamp, pen] Serial No. D. 217/4

[Seal of Prime Minister]

10 Downing Street, Whitehall [gothic script]

GENERAL ISMAY FOR C.O.S. COMMITTEE
[underlined]

1. I want you to think very seriously over this question of poison gas. I would not use it unless it could be shown either that (a) it was life or death for us, or (b) that it would shorten the war by a year.

2. It is absurd to consider morality on this topic when everybody used it in the last war without a word of complaint from the moralists or the Church. On the other hand, in the last war

bombing of open cities was regarded as forbidden. Now everybody does it as a matter of course. It is simply a question of fashion changing as she does between long and short skirts for women.

3. I want a cold-blooded calculation made as to how it would pay us to use poison gas, by which I mean principally mustard. We will want to gain more ground in Normandy so as not to be cooped up in a small area. We could probably deliver 20 tons to their 1 ton and for the sake of the 1 ton they would bring their bomber aircraft into the area against our superiority, thus paying a heavy toll.

4. Why have the Germans not used it? Not certainly out of moral scruples or affection for us. They have not used it because it does not pay them. The greatest temptation ever offered to them was the beaches of Normandy. This they

could have drenched with gas greatly to the hindrance of the troops. That they thought about it is certain and that they prepared against our use of gas is also certain. But they only reason they have not used it against us is that they fear the retaliation. What is to their detriment is to our advantage.

5. Although one sees how unpleasant it is to receive poison gas attacks, from which nearly everyone recovers, it is useless to protest that an equal amount of H. E. will not inflict greater casualties and sufferings on troops and civilians. One really must not be bound within silly conventions of the mind whether they be those that ruled in the last war or those in reverse which rule in this. 6. If the bombardment of



Winston Churchill in the London War Room

London became a serious nuisance and great rockets with far-reaching and devastating effect fell on many centres of Government and labour, I should be prepared to do anything ~~that~~ that would hit the enemy in a murderous place. I may certainly have to ask you to support me in using poison gas. We could drench the cities of the Ruhr and many other cities in Germany in such a way that most of the population would be requiring constant medical attention. We could stop all work at the flying bomb starting points. I do not see why we should have the disadvantages of being the gentleman while they have all the advantages of being the cad. There are times when this may be so but not now.

7. I quite agree that it may be several weeks or even months before I shall ask you to drench

Germany with poison gas, and if we do it, let us do it one hundred per cent. In the meanwhile, I want the matter studied in cold blood by sensible people and not by that particular set of psalm-singing uniformed defeatists which one runs across now here now there. Pray address yourself to this. It is a big thing and can only be discarded for a big reason. I shall of course have to square Uncle Joe and the President; but you need not bring this into your calculations at the present time. Just try to find out what it is like on its merits.

[signed] Winston Churchill [initials]

6.7.44 [underlined]

Source: photographic copy of original 4 page memo, in Guenther W. Gellermann, "Der Krieg, der nicht stattfand", Bernard & Graefe Verlag, 1986, pp. 249-251

Winston S. Churchill: departmental minute (Churchill papers: 16/16) 12 May 1919 War Office

I do not understand this squeamishness about the use of gas. We have definitely adopted the position at the Peace Conference of arguing in favour of the retention of gas as a permanent method of warfare. It is sheer affectation to lacerate a man with the poisonous fragment of a bursting shell and to boggle at making his eyes water by means of lachrymatory gas.

I am strongly in favour of using poisoned gas against uncivilised tribes. The moral effect should be so good that the loss of life should be reduced to a minimum. It is not necessary to use only the most deadly gasses: gasses can be used which cause great inconvenience and would spread a lively terror and yet would leave no serious permanent effects on most of those affected.

from Companion Volume 4, Part 1 of the official biography, WINSTON S. CHURCHILL, by Martin Gilbert (London: Heinemann, 1976)

Henry Gonzalez, US Congressman, referred to this in the House of Representatives on March 24, 1992:

End OS21202

Notes On The Book Of Jasher (Part 4)

William F. Dankenbring

Chronology And The Exodus Saga

IN the book of Exodus, we read an amazing statement: "Now the sojourning of the children of Israel, who dwelt in Egypt, was four hundred and thirty years. And it came to pass at the end of the four hundred and thirty years, even the self-same day it came to pass, that all the hosts of the LORD went out from the land of Egypt"(Exodus 12:40-41).



Now, Archbishop Ussher in his chronology counts this period of time beginning with the sojourn of Abraham in Canaan, which he dates to 1921 B.C., the year that Terah, the father of Abraham died, and the year he believed that Abraham first went to Canaan (Gen. 12:1-3), that is, Abraham's 75th year (Gen. 12:4).

However, we have seen in the book of Jasher that indeed Terah died in 1921 B.C. But Abraham's departure for Canaan when he was 75 years of age took place in the year 1981 B.C., or sixty years earlier than Ussher suggests.

What events, then, can we tie the figure 430 years to, during which the "children of Israel sojourned"?

Obviously, the death of Terah is one lynch pin. It is 430 years indeed from the death of Terah, Abraham's father, to the Exodus from Egypt in 1491 B.C.

Interestingly, the death of Terah coincides also with the 35th year of Isaac, the son of Abraham. This was only two years before the supreme test when Abraham took Isaac to Mount Moriah to be a "human sacrifice." Although God did not require Abraham to go through with this deed, it is symbolical of the fact that God the Father did willingly sacrifice His Son, Jesus Christ -- Yeshua the Messiah -- to pay the penalty of our sins, out of His great love for us. The story of Abraham and Isaac vividly pictures that awesome divine love. It pictures not only God's love for us, but God's awesome love for His Son, and His Son's tremendous love for God the Father!

Why would the death of Terah be significant as the starting point of the 430 years of suffering and affliction of the children of Israel?

Could it be partly because Terah, with his power and authority, and widespread respect, was a protective influence over Abraham and his children, so long as Terah lived? No doubt he did his best to help his son so long as he was alive, supplying information, news, and vital intelligence from his own networks as the one-time commander of the hosts of Babylon. Then, just two years after this change in the life of Abraham and his descendants, God called upon Abraham for the greatest sacrifice a parent can make -- to sacrifice his own son (Genesis 22).

In very real and meaningful sense, the "binding of Isaac" pictures the GREATEST travail and suffering human beings can be required to suffer. The loss of one's own son, or daughter, or children, is the greatest trauma a parent can suffer. The binding of Isaac very likely occurred on Passover eve, at the very time the Passover lambs were sacrificed at the Temple!

If we count the beginning of the sojourn of Israel from the death of Terah, as Ussher does, then his date for the Exodus is entirely correct. Abraham himself did not first enter Canaan on that date, but 60 years earlier. However, the Scripture in Exodus speaks of "the sojourning of the CHILDREN of Israel," and not Abraham himself. Although Abraham, Isaac and Jacob are all considered "Israel," in the greater sense, since they are the three great Patriarchs, the sojourning

-- when Abraham, Isaac, and their families were completely cut off from outside support -- would have begun at the death of Abraham's father, Terah. His death would have marked the time when they were entirely "on their own," as it were.

The book of Jasher sheds marvelous light on the chronology of the years between the Flood and the children of Israel entering Egypt. It does not alter the frame of Ussher's chronology. Creation would still be in 4004 B.C. The Flood would still be in 2348 B.C. The only changes would be the birth dates of Abraham and his children, by putting them all 60 years earlier. This means the sojourn in Egypt itself also began 60 years earlier than Ussher's date.

This happy coincidence provides us an additional 60 years of the children of Israel in Egypt to reproduce like rabbits so their progeny, by the time of the Exodus, includes 600,000 males, besides women and children. Ussher's chronology puts the sojourn of Jacob and his family in Egypt as beginning in 1706. He puts the Exodus in 1491. The difference is only 215

years total, for Jacob's family to grow from 70 people to something like 2-3 million!

However, the reconstruction of the chronology from the Flood to the Sojourn in Egypt gives us another 60 years -- or a total of 275 years in Egypt, for Israel to reproduce and multiply -- another two generations to accomplish the exponential growth rate required!

Also, by backing up the birth of Abraham by 60 years, suddenly many pieces of the historical puzzle begin to click, and fit together. Now we see Abraham was actually taught by Noah himself the ways of the Lord. Isaac also was taught by Noah and Shem. Jacob also spent years in the house of Shem and Eber. The new chronology also helps us to pinpoint in history the Tower of Babel cataclysm, the birth of Nimrod, and Nimrod's rise to power, his relationship to Terah, Abraham's father, and his dealings with Abraham himself, and his children, and his murder at the hands of Esau, Isaac's son. It's all quite a fascinating story. Read it -- for yourself!

The End OS21211

Heads Or Tails

John Trotter - Winmalee Australia

WE all at times have used a coin to select which team will go into bat or field when playing cricket. Tossing the coin can be used in many other situations, like that of deciding the outcome of an indecision even at a meeting. In the case of using the coin the outcome is one or the other, but with God there is only one outcome and that is what He has planned. So many people who call themselves, Christians believe they can have some impact on the plan of God.

It is true that Israel as a nation chose the way that has led to death, or as expressed in the New Testament the broad way (Matthew 7:13). Nevertheless, the Bible is very clear as to the outcome concerning Israel as a people. It is set in stone just like the Commandments that Moses brought down from the Mount.

In Deuteronomy 28:13 God says that Israel will be the head, but only under the conditions of obedience to God's Laws. If Israel does not

obey, then she will become the tail or a people subjected to other nations (Deuteronomy 28:43-44). This is mostly to do with the Conditional Mosaic Covenant.



Above the Crown of Charlemagne with 12 precious stones of the 12 tribes.

Contrary to the commonly held view of Replacement Theology and Political

Correctness, the Bible is very clear about the relationship of Israel to other nations. This is clearly stated in Deuteronomy 26:19 which says: “And to make thee high above all nations which He hath made, in praise, and in name and in honour: and that thou mayest be an holy (separate) people unto the Lord thy God, as He hath spoken”. Nowhere in Scripture has this expectation by God been changed. The pivotal point is the Law which has not been annulled. By her example through obedience, humility, and a contrite heart (Psalm 34:18 , 51:17) she was to be looked upon in high regard by other nations. It is my view that even other nations, if they obeyed the same Laws, they too would be blessed. God did provide certain Laws pertaining to a particular type of “strangers” who were allowed into the congregation of Israel.

What is often overlooked, even by some Identity believers, is the fact that Israel was not to have an earthly king, but the God of Creation was to be her King and guide. We are told in 1 Samuel 8 that Israel wanted an earthly king to judge over them. In Verse 7, the Lord says to Samuel the following: “And the Lord said unto Samuel, Hearken unto the voice of the people in all that they say unto thee: for they have not rejected thee, but they have rejected me, that I should not reign over them”.

If you read Deuteronomy 17:14-15 it will be realised that what occurred in 1 Samuel 8 was already known to God. Even under these changes the instruction from God was that they were not to have a king who was not their brother. Even with this request Israel was to be still separate from all other people.

When Christ returns from Heaven to this earth, where He is presently at the right hand of God Almighty (Acts 7:55), He will reign from the literal throne of David., over the House of Jacob (Luke 1:32 -33). Israel will begin her return to a true theocracy, and not as she is now, a Theocracy which is a worship of many gods. The effect of the New Covenant with the House of Israel and the House of Judah will begin to come into play.

In the meantime the Western Nations, amongst whom are the descendants of Israel, have to be brought to their knees and be spiritually prepared for the unexpected events ahead. Jeremiah 2:19 says that our own wickedness and backsliding

shall be used by God to bring us back to Him. In Isaiah 13:11 we read: “And I will punish the world, for their evil and the wicked for their iniquity; and I will cause the arrogance of the proud to cease, and will lay low the haughtiness of the terrible”. This also applies to Israel.

The Bible is very clear that no matter what man plans or even what the churches teach, God’s plan for true Israel, will proceed to its final outcome. Even though the Body and Bride of Christ are not interchangeable terms, those of the Body within the Bride are going to be used of God to help return Israel to a Servant role. That role will take effect during the Millennium on earth, during which time Christ will rule the nations, even Israel, with a “rod of iron” (Revelation 2:27). God will appoint His Shepherds over Israel (Jeremiah 23:4) and the Great Shepherd, shall feed His flock with the help of those who shall reign with Him (Jeremiah 3:15; Ezekiel 34:23).



The emergence of the State of Israel in 1948, was not the fulfilment of the true Israel returning to the Land appointed by God. Whilst I am prepared to accept the fact that there is a small percentage of true Judahites in the State of Israel today, the vast majority are masquerading as true Judahites. The churches have generally fallen for this confusion. There are sufficient writings by leading Jews on this subject to question the commonly held view, that 1948 was the beginning of the prophecies that related to the return of the Diaspora. There is no doubt that Jerusalem and the surrounding territory is very special in the plan of God but as for the majority of the people, they do not represent the head or the tail according to the obedience or disobedience of Israel in the Scriptures. There will be no more affliction between the House of Judah and the House of Israel (Isaiah 11:13). There will be no more the Edomite in the land for God will throw them down. (Malachi 1:4). The State of Israel is not only in the balance, but it will never be the head. In the confusion of who

makes up the State of Israel there will be those who will look upon Him whom they have pierced and they shall mourn for Him (Zechariah 12:10, Revelation 1:7). There is coming a time when the land will be cleansed (Zechariah 14:21).

Out of the future chaos, God is preparing for His Service, a people, whose hearts will be as snow (Isaiah 1:18). Their hearts will be turned from stone to flesh (Isaiah 48:4, Ezekiel 11:19). The New Jerusalem will come down from Heaven to be reunited with Her Husband, whose life was cruelly crucified by His own people in ignorance (Acts 3:17). The amazing aspect about the death and resurrection of Christ is that it was carried out in accordance to the Divine Laws relating to divorce so as He could remarry His wife, Israel. To say that Israel has been cast out of the plan of God for His creation and the Body of Christ has replaced this special relationship is fulfilling the plan of Satan to rid the world of His wife. When the above is completed then the Parables of the Kingdom will truly come into action during a time when Satan's influence is bound (Revelation 20:2) The wife will progress from being the tail to the head of all nations from whom will flow the Law from Zion (Micah 4:2).

Without going into all the meaning of the words as stated in Isaiah 42:19, which states that God's Servant is both blind and deaf; there is in my opinion sufficient historical evidence to show that Israel had completely lost, as a nation her role to be the head and that she should have been a blessing to all nations. She at the time and into the future was to become so much like other nations that Israel had lost her identity marks. Yet in this verse she is "perfect" which means intimate friend or trusted one. The scales will be lifted sometime in the future, as this is God's plans. The words of Psalm 19:7 I feel are most relevant when it says: "The Law of the Lord is perfect, converting the soul: the testimony of the Lord is sure, making wise the simple".

In verses 17 -21 of Ezekiel 11 there are very explicit details as to the future of Israel. The context of these verses cannot be solely applied to the Body of Christ. Many will say that they believe in a God that changes not and yet when it comes to Malachi 3:6 the meaning of words start to change. James 1:1 backs up Malachi 3:6 when it says: "James a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting". Refer to James 1:17

for further confirmation of an unchanging God. What I believe has helped to cause this confusion is over the matter of the Conditional and Unconditional Covenants. Israel is still under the Conditional Covenant of Moses which has to a certain extent covered up her role as the Servant of God. As a result, the Western Nations are just seen as Gentiles, which again is not understood for this word only means "nation or nations". Just in passing, which nations have their ancient laws based on Mosaic Law as Blackstone (one of England's greatest lawyers) stated, and which nations have provided over 90 per cent of the missionary effort? Can you see how the Anglo-Saxon-Celtic peoples are blind and deaf to their



task? Whatever goodness has come from the above people, she has still remained the tail and not the head because of continued disobedience. The whole world at the moment is being dragged into the deadly pit of mammon. It is being used to destroy all nations, not just the West. Some years ago the world's debt exceeded the world's wealth. By the many processes of Socialism the economies of the world are becoming more centralised. Just as Karl Marx's main objective was to destroy the British Empire, so today the Western Nations are the prime target of this centralising of power. Satan through his messengers are endeavouring to destroy the many nations that make up the Twelve Tribes of Israel. I am quite sure that the leaders of the world do not realise that they are fulfilling the words of Psalm 83:4 when it says: "They have said, Come, and let us cut them off from being a nation; that the name of Israel may be no more in remembrance" (Psalm 2:2) but the Lord shall have them in derision. The parallel between God's plan and Satan's plan is uncanny for Satan also has his Kingdom.

The fact is, Israel in the future is to be the head of all nations and not the tail. This will be seen as God's providence for His people. There were very good reasons why Jesus Christ said, "I am not sent but unto the lost (cast off for punishment because of disobedience) sheep of the House of Israel" (Matthew 15:24) and also His instructions to the disciples in Matthew 10:6 where it says: "But go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel". (See also Isaiah 53:6). These children had been scattered as promised and it was now time for the Gospel of the Kingdom to go out to those areas where they had been scattered. This I believe stretched from Japan to the British Isles. During the first 200 hundred of Church history the Gospel was taken throughout those areas. The selective choosing of many thousands was for the very purpose of taking the Kingdom

message to the Tribes of Israel. Whilst the saving Grace of Christ was available for all, the story of Redemption was for those of Israel (Isaiah 44:23). The bringing forth of fruit as mentioned in John 15:16-19 is closely associated with the phrase "Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you" and as well, we are not to be of this world.

With these final thoughts in mind, may our prayers for the nation of Israel be expressed within the context of Habakkuk 2:14: **For the earth shall be filled with the knowledge of the glory of The Lord, as the waters cover the sea.** It will be then that Israel, because of the New Covenant will become the Head and not the Tail and the Law will go out from Zion.

End OS21212

Distribution Dictatorship From Our London Correspondent

NOT many people realise that most of the processed foods available on the market, whether they be in groceries or fast-food chains, all come from the same few companies. Even less people realise that these companies are major actors in elite organisations who decide health, social and economic policies around the world. We'll look at the big three companies who feed the world, their many brands and the tactics they undertake to make people crave their products.



If one were to carefully study the labels on packaged products in an average grocery store, one would probably notice that the same company names appear repeatedly: Nestlé, Kraft, Pepsico and a few others. Many brands offering good old fashioned homemade or all-natural-organic foods are nothing more than subsidiaries of these few worldwide mega-companies. The major difference between the

main brand and the subsidiaries is packaging and advertising, which are targeted to reach different markets.

In order to preserve the carefully crafted image surrounding a product, connections to the mother company are often conveniently hidden. Imagine an advertisement for bottled water going like this:

“Drink pure, clear, refreshing Aquafina water, bottled with care from remote natural sources in the Himalayas... BROUGHT TO YOU BY PEPSICO, THE MAKER OF TACO BELL AND CHEETOS MIGHTY ZINGERS!”

That would probably spoil the healthy, natural image they are trying to create for the product. That is the reason marketing and branding are the most vital part of the food industry. Each product must live in its own “world,” separate from its mother company and similar products. Advertising is so powerful that two similar brands of cereal, made from the same basic ingredients, can be targeted to entirely different markets.

For example, are Special K and Rice Krispies so different? From a strictly rational viewpoint, these products are nearly identical in shape, taste and ingredients.

From an irrational (marketing) viewpoint, however, they are in two different worlds. Advertisements for Rice Krispies revolve around colourful cartoon characters and played during Saturday morning kids' shows while Special K tends to show fit women doing yoga (or on their way to or from yoga). Rice Krispies boxes have games and toy giveaways, while Special K's box gives access to a "weight loss challenge" website.



All of this is smoke and mirrors because at the end of the line, whether you choose one, the other or pretty much any other cereal in the grocery store, you're eating the same thing and your money ends up at the same place.

The processed-food industry can be considered a true oligopoly. Together, the three leading food companies, Nestlé, Kraft Foods and PepsiCo, achieve a dominant proportion of global processed-food sales. In fact, these three companies are often used as an example of "Rule of Three" in business schools, since they are a real-life example of a market being dominated by three gigantic actors. Their position as worldwide food providers has made these conglomerates extremely powerful, and they are represented in most elite organisations such as the Council on Foreign Relations.

This not only allows them to provide their preferred policies on nutrition and health issues across the globe, but on economic, political and social issues as well. Such prominence also allows these companies to ensure their continued market dominance, through policy-making, access to insider information and the intimidation of potential competitors. If considered objectively, the oligopoly of major companies like these are a direct threat to free market theories.

Today, if a small food company were to create a new revolutionary product, it would find it

difficult to obtain distribution without giving up its rights to one of these conglomerates. In addition to dominating the shelves, the Big Three control most of the worldwide channels of distribution, to the point that up-and-coming companies cannot reach the consumers without dealing with them.

The only way small business owners can avoid years of struggle and rejection to obtain shelf-space in supermarkets is to strike a licensing deal with one of the giants, where the owner cedes the ownership and the rights to the product in exchange for royalty checks (which are usually a small percentage of the sales). Each licensing deal consolidates these companies' position and eliminates threats from any potential competitor who creates game changing products.

Here are the top three companies and a summary list of their multiple brands

Nestlé is the world's largest food company. It has 6,000 brands, with a wide range of products across a number of markets including coffee, bottled water and other beverages, chocolate, ice cream, infant foods, performance and healthcare nutrition, seasonings, frozen and refrigerated foods, confectioneries and pet food.

In 2009, consolidated sales were close to \$120 billion USD and investments in research and development were \$2.24 billion USD. The chairman of the company, Mr. Brabeck Letmathe, is on the Board of Directors of Credit Suisse Group, L'Oréal and Exxon-Mobil. He is also a member of ERT (European Round Table of Industrialists) and a member of the Foundation Board of the World Economic Forum (an important actor in the push for a world government).

Products sold by Nestlé include:

Cereals – Cinnamon Toast Crunch, Cheerios (outside US, Canada and Australia), Cini Minis, Honey Nut Cheerios (outside US, Canada and Australia), Oat Cheerios, Cookie Crisp, Golden Grahams, Honey Stars, Koko Krunch, Milo Cereals, Nestlé Corn Flakes, Nesquik, Shreddies, Shredded Wheat, Clusters, Trix Yoghurt – Munch Bunch, Ski Coffee – Bonka, Nescafé, Nespresso, Partner's Blend, Ricoffy, Ristretto, Ricoré, Sical, Tofa, Taster's Choice, Zoé- gas, Shrameet

Water – Aberfoyle, Aqua D’Or, Aqua Pod, Acqua Panna, Al Manhal, Aquapod, Arrowhead, Buxton, Contrex, Deer Park, Hépar, Ice Mountain, Henniez, Korpi, Levissima, Nestlé Aquarel, Nestlé Vera, Ozarka, Perrier, Poland Spring, Powwow, Minere, Pure Life/Pureza Vital, Quézac, San Pellegrino, San Bernardo, Viladrau, Vittel, Zephyrhills

Other drinks – Nestea (Joint venture with Coca-Cola, Beverage Partners Worldwide), Enviga (Joint venture with Coca-Cola, Beverage Partners Worldwide), Milo, Carnation, Caro, Nesquik, Libby’s, Growers Direct Organic Fruit Juices, Good Host, Juicy Juice, Ski up and go

Shelf-stable products – Bear Brand, Carnation, Christie, Coffee-Mate, Dancow, Gloria, Klim, La Lechera, Milkmaid, Nespray, Nestlé, Nesvita, Nestlé Omega Plus, Nido, Ninho, Svelty, Emswiss, Milo

Ice cream – Camy, Dreyer’s, Edy’s, Frisco, Häagen-Dazs (North America and the United Kingdom), Hjem-IS (Denmark & Norway), Maxibon, Motta, Mivvi, Nestlé, Nestlé Drumstick, Oreo (Canada), Peters (Australia), Push-Up, Schöller, Skinny Cow

Infant foods – Alete, Alfare, Beba, Cérélac, FM 85, Ger-ber (the world’s largest baby food company), Good Start, Guigoz, Lactogen, Nan, NAN HA, NanSoy, Neslac, Nestlé, Nestogen, Nido, PreNan

Performance nutrition – Musashi, Neston, Nesvita, Power- Bar, Pria, Supligen

Healthcare/nutrition – Boost, Carnation Instant Breakfast, Nutren, Peptamen, Glytrol, Crucial, Impact, Isosource, Fibersource, Diabetisource, Compleat, Optifast, Seasonings – Buitoni, Maggi, Carpathia, CHEF, Thomy, Winiary

Frozen foods – Stouffer’s, Lean Cuisine, Buitoni, Hot Pock-ets, Lean Pockets, Papa Guiseppi, Tombstone Pizza, Jack’s Pizza, DiGiorno Pizza, California Pizza Kitchen Frozen

Chocolate, confectioneries and baked goods – 100 Grand Bar, Aero, After Eight, Allens, Animal Bar, Baby Ruth, Bertie Beetle (Australia), Big Turk (Canada), Black Magic, Boci (Hungary), Blue Riband, Bono (Brazil), Breakaway, Butterfinger, Butterfinger BB’s,

Butterfinger Crisp, Bon Pari (Czech Republic, Poland and Hungary), Cailler, Caramac, Carlos V, Chips Ahoy! (Canada), Coffee Crisp, Chunky, Drifter, Frigor, Galak/Milkybar, Goobers, Heaven, Her-cules Bars (with Disney), Icebreakers, Kit Kat (Hershey’s in the US), Lion, Matchmakers, Milky Bar, Mirage, Joff, Munchies, Nestlé Alpine White, Nestlé with Almonds, Nestlé Crunch, Nestlé Crunch Crisp, Nestlé Crunch with Caramel, Nestlé Crunch with Peanuts, Nestlé

Crunch Pieces, Nestlé Crunch White, Nestlé Milk Chocolate, Nestlé Princessa, Nestlé Wonder Ball, Nips, Nuts (Europe), Oh Henry (except US), Peppermint Crisp, Perugina Baci, Polo, Quality Street, Raisinets, Rolo (Hershey’s in the US), Rowntrees, Fruit Pastilles, Jelly Tots, Pick & Mix, Ran- doms, Fruit Gums, Tooty Frooties, Juicy Jellies, Snowcaps, Smarties, Texan Bar, Toffee Crisp, Toll House cookies, Turtles, Walnut Whip, Violet Crumble, Yorkie, X X X mints

Petcare – Alpo, Beneful, Cat Chow, Dog Chow, Fancy Feast, Felix, Friskies, Go Cat, Butchers, Bakers, Winalot, Gour- met, Mighty Dog, Mon Petit, ONE ProPlan, Purina, Tidy Cats.



Nestlé

Good Food, Good Life

Nestlé has faced on-going resistance around the world for its promotion of breast milk substitutes (infant formula), especially in Third World countries. According to campaigners, Nestlé contributes to the unnecessary suffering and even deaths of babies, largely among the poor.

Advocacy groups and charities have accused Nestlé of unethical methods of promoting infant formula over breast milk to poor mothers in developing countries. For example, IBFAN claim that Nestlé distributes free formula samples to hospitals and maternity wards; after leaving the hospital, the formula is no longer free, but because the supplementation has interfered with lactation, the family must continue to buy the formula. IBFAN also allege

that Nestlé uses “humanitarian aid” to create markets, does not label its products in a language appropriate to the countries where they are sold, and offers gifts and sponsorship to influence health workers to promote its products. Nestlé denies these allegations.

A subsidiary of Philip Morris (the maker of Marlboro cigarettes). Kraft Foods is the largest confectionery, food, and beverage corporation headquartered in the United States. It markets many brands in more than 155 countries; eleven of its worldwide brands each earn more than \$1 billion annually.

Like Nestlé, Kraft has consolidated its status in the food oligarchy by buying gigantic brands such as Nabisco (Oreos, Chips Ahoy, Fig Newtons, Ritz, etc.) and Cadbury (Ferrero Rocher, Dairy Milk, Caramilk, etc.).



Kraft’s CEO Irene Blecker Rosenfeld was rated the “2nd most powerful woman in the world” by Forbes. Not surprising since most of the world consumes Kraft foods. Before joining Kraft, Rosenfeld was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Frito-Lay, a division of PepsiCo.

Kraft’s brands include – Toblerone chocolate bars, A1 Steak Sauce, Ali Coffee, Arrowroot biscuits, Back to Nature, Baker’s (chocolate), Balance Bar, Better Cheddars, Boca Burger, Bonox, Breakstone’s, BullsEye Barbecue Sauce, Café HAG, California Pizza Kitchen (grocery store items), Calumet Baking Powder, Cameo (biscuits), Capri Sun (juice drink), Carte Noire, Cheesybite Cheese Nips, Cheez Whiz, Chicken in a Biskit, Chips Ahoy! (cookies), Christie (Canadian division of Nabisco), Claussen (pickles), Clight, Club Social (crackers), Cool Whip (non-dairy whipped cream), CornNuts (snack food), Côte d’Or (Belgium), Country Time (powdered drink mix), Cracker Barrel, Crystal Light, Dairylea (Europe), Delissio

(Canada), DiGiorno (pizza), Easy Cheese, Fig Newtons, Fudgee-O (Canada),

General Foods International, Grape-Nuts (breakfast cereal), Grey Pou-pon (mustard), Handi-Snacks, Honey Maid, In-A-Biskit (Australia), Jack ’s Pizza, Jacobs (Europe), Jell-O (gelatin dessert), Jet-Puffed Marshmallows, Kenco (United Kingdom), Knox (gelatin), Knudsen (dairy products), Kool-Aid (flavoured drink mix), Kraft BBQ Sauce, Kraft Caramels, Kraft Macaroni and Cheese, Kraft Dinner (Canada), Kraft Easymac, Kraft Mayo, Kraft Bagelfuls, Kraft Peanut Butter (Canada), Kraft Singles (pasteurised prepared chees product), Kraft Sandwich Spread, Lefèvre-Utile, Lunchables, Maxwell House (coffee), Miracle Whip (salad dressing spread), Nabisco, Nabob (coffee) (Canada), Naked Drinks, Nilla, Nutter Butter, Onko (coffee), Oreo (cookie), Oscar Mayer, Grated Parmesan cheese, Philadelphia cream cheese, Pigrolac, Planters, Polly-O (cheese), Premium (a Nabisco brand of saltine crackers), Pretzels, P’tit Québec, Prince Polo, Pure Kraft Salad Dressings, Ritz, Royal baking powder, Seven Seas (salad dressings), Sanka (decaffeinated coffee), Shake ‘n Bake, Simmenthal (canned meat), Snackabouts, SnackWells, South Beach Living, Starbucks (grocery store items), Stove Top stuffing, Suchard, Taco Bell (grocery store items), Tang, Tassimo (single-serve coffee machines using pods branded as T-Discs)

PepsiCo Incorporated is a global Fortune 500 corporation headquartered in Purchase, Harrison, New York, with interests in the manufacturing, marketing and distribution of beverages, grain-based snack foods and other products. If you hadn’t guessed it, its main product is Pepsi Cola, but soda pop is not the company’s only product. In fact, a teenager with the munchies could easily leave a convenience store with three or four PepsiCo products without realising it (or caring).

PepsiCo is a “Premium” member of the Council on Foreign Relations and of the Brookings Institute, two of the most important organisations for the world’s elite.

The chairman and CEO of PepsiCo, Indra Nooyi, is a member of the World Economic Forum. Within these organisations, executives from PepsiCo and other mega-corporations like Sony

(the largest label in the music industry), Nike (the largest shoe seller in the world),

Rockefeller Group International, and Lockheed Martin (the largest defence company in the world), work alongside various heads of State (including past US presidents), policy-makers (such as US Secretary of State Hillary Rodham Clinton) and image makers (like Tom Brokaw and George Clooney), to develop political, social and economic opinions and recommendations affecting entire nations.

The policies favoured by these organisations are collectively steering the world towards a unified world government and a single world currency, in what is often referred to as a “New World Order.”

PepsiCo brands include:

Drinks – AMP, Brisk, Mountain Dew, Ocean Spray, Mist, Aquafina, Lipton Ice Tea, MUG, Pepsi, Sobe, Gatorade, Tropicana, No Fear Energy Drink, Propel Enhanced Water, Starbucks (retail products)

Food – Lay’s, Doritos, Tostitos, Cheetos, Fritos, Sun Chips, Baked!, Frito Lay Dips, Baken-Ets, Chester’s Puffcorn, Cracker Jack, El Isleno Plantain Chips, Frit-Lay Peanuts, Funyuns, Gamesa, Grandma’s, Matador, Maui Style Potato Chips, Miss Vickie’s, Munchies, Munchos, Natural, Nut, Harvest, Quaker, Rold Gold, Ruffles, Sabritones, Santitas, Smartfood, Spitz, Stacy’s.

PepsiCo also feeds millions daily through its spinoff company, Yum!, which owns restaurant chains including Pizza Hut, Taco Bell, KFC, Hot ‘n Now, East Side Mario’s, D’Angelo Sandwich Shops, Chevys Fresh Mex, California Pizza Kitchen and Stolichnaya.

STEALTH MARKETING

Many of the products listed have existed for decades, some for over a century. What is the secret of such enduring success? First, the recipe has to be just right. As mentioned above, Nestlé spent more than \$2 billion dollars in 2009 alone for research and development, which is mostly used to pay people in lab coats to create the most appealing, taste-bud satisfying, addicting and, of course, cost-effective products possible. The addictive properties of salt, fat, sugar and other

chemicals are well known to the \$2 billion-per-year researchers. Processed foods contain a carefully calculated mix of chemicals and additives that send “satisfying” signals to the brain, which the brain then continues to seek out in the form of cravings.

However, there are countless companies selling similar products. So in order to keep consumers coming back to their specific brand, corporations invest billions of dollars in the second secret of success: “brand loyalty” achieved through marketing and advertising.



While the ultimate goal of an advertisement is to sell a product, PR firms will tell you that they are seeking to go way beyond the cheap sell. Their mission is to create an emotional attachment to a product, a concept that is totally irrational, yet extremely effective. They don’t just want you to like their product, they want you to identify with it. They want you to define yourself by it. They are looking to create loyal, life-long customers by creating an image, a lifestyle and even a philosophy around a product.

Let’s take the Miracle Whip commercial as an example. By showing people partying and running on the beach, the advertisement attempts to create an association between the product and being young, cool, hip and rebellious. Although the ad is a bit heavy handed, I do agree that there’s nothing more rebellious than stuffing one’s face with a sandwich full of mayonnaise substitute.

Advertisements like these are meticulously calculated to reach a particular demographic population and to generate specific emotions within these viewers. To achieve these aims, they rely on extensive research on human behaviour.

No group of sociologists can approximate the ad teams in the gathering and processing of exploitable social data. The ad teams have billions to spend annually on research and testing of reactions, and their products are magnificent accumulations of material about the shared experience and feelings of the entire community.

– Marshal McLuhan, *The Extensions of Man*

To sell brand loyalty to a viewer through a television advertisement, rational/logical arguments have limited effects. The most effective and successful ads are able to bypass rational thought (where an argument can either be accepted or rejected) and tap directly into the viewer's subconscious, through their instincts, fears or insecurities.

It is with knowledge of the human being, his tendencies, his desires, his needs, his psychic mechanisms, his automatisms as well as knowledge of social psychology and analytical psychology that propaganda refines its techniques.

– Propagandes, Jacques Ellul

To illustrate this, let's look at a typical food advertisement aimed at an important market: mothers.



For marketers, mothers are a dream. They have an enormous weak spot: children, especially their own. This love for kids is not rational: the maternal instinct is one of humanity's most primal and hormonal reflexes. To tap into it is to directly tap into a mother's internal hard-wiring. Through the use of research and focus groups, advertisers have learned the most effective ways to get reactions out of mothers, and create targeted ads that make mother's feel worried, moved, scared, angry or unsettled. Once the target is in the intended emotional state, the product is presented as the answer to everything.

An example is a flour advertisement specifically directed at mothers. If it was rational, it would

have described the flour's above average performance (which is true) or perhaps its advantageous cost per pound. It doesn't. It goes straight to the emotions.

To effectively reach its audience, the ad does not talk about flour at all, but about loving one's children and "baking memories." Behind the cutesy, heart-warming feel, the truth is that the ad taps into mother's visceral fear of being considered "a bad parent." To do so, the marketers have conceived a cleverly phrased, psychologically manipulative speech given by a cute animated girl that sends non-baking mothers into a mega-guilt trip.

The ad basically says this:

"By refusing to bake muffins for your children, you are robbing them of happy, licking-batter-off-a-spoon memories. And since memories are all we have in life, you are robbing them of THEIR LIVES. How can you do this to them? Do you want your children to become broken and empty individuals? Do you? No? Well, stop being such an incompetent mother and buy that bag of flour and make some muffins. Maybe then your children will remember you as a 'Good Mother'. Maybe. If it's not too late. You might have messed everything up already with your outrageous non-baking ways. Shame!"

FINAL CONCLUSION

Why should one care about which company sells which product? Primarily, it is a question of health. Almost all of the hundreds of products cited in this article contain toxic ingredients, from excessive amounts of saturated fat to additives like MSG, High Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS), mercury and/or aspartame. These substances, and many more like them, are poisonous to the body, the nervous system and the brain. Processed foods are making the entire world fatter, sicker and dumber, even though only a few companies produce them. It is vital to know and recognise them so you can avoid them. It is also important to recognise the basic marketing tactics that are being used to push consumers to buy processed foods.

The issue is much larger than individual health, however. To be aware of the companies selling your food is to be aware of important actors of the world elite. As the saying goes "control the

food and you control the people.” If you believe it is important to know the truth about the world’s power structure, it is fundamental to know about these companies and understand their extensive reach throughout all areas of our global society. They might “only” sell food, but their power and position gives these conglomerates an active role in world governance, including economy, politics, law-making and even the military.

The Big Three and globally dominant corporations like them are part of policy-setting “think tank” organisations such as the Council on Foreign Relations and the Bilderberg Group,


which serve as the true motors behind global change. Should PepsiCo have a say in the invasion of a country such as Iran? Well, it does. And every time you buy a Pepsi or a bag of Doritos or jug of Tropicana, you are helping them become richer and more powerful.

Luckily, however, there is an easy way to stop supporting these companies: Simply replace the processed products you buy from these companies with fresh foods bought from local businesses. You’ll improve your health and your local economy, but most importantly, you’ll also become the elite’s worst nightmare

A Brief History Of Khazars In The 'Goldene Medin'

By John Churchilly

Part Two



BANKING establishments are more dangerous than standing armies. The system of banking is a blot left in our Constitution which, if not covered, will end in its destruction. (Thomas Jefferson)

Sadly, humanity hoped the 20th century would bring peace but it would bring only more wars, even world wars, and chaos and the most dreadful arsenal of weapons ever conceived by man, "We will not give them peace until they openly acknowledge our international Super Government with submissiveness." The League of Nations at Versailles was the first attempt at their Super Government, "All the States of

Europe will be locked in our coil as in a powerful vice"; the United Nations was the second and the continental Unions the foundation for the third, "Our international rights will wipe out national rights."

"Whoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce." (President Garfield)

A few months later Garfield was assassinated like Lincoln, McKinley and Kennedy who also opposed the Khazar bankers. Today these bankers control all the money of the world including Russia and China. The most powerful central bank is the Federal Reserve which has always been owned and manipulated by the Khazar bankers. It finances their speculations around the world, backed by the money, credit and "guns of America". It is directly responsible for World War I, the Crash of 1929, the Great Depression, the Asian Crisis and the coming Dollar Crisis.

"Mr. Chairman, we have in this Country one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. The depredations and iniquities of the Fed has cost enough money to pay the National debt several times over. The sack of these United States by the Fed is the greatest crime in history." (Congressman McFadden of Pennsylvania in 1934, he died

suspiciously at 60 in 1936 after several attempts on his life.)

Early in the 20th century, Khazar-Americans like Warburg, Brandeis, Untermyer, Baruch and Meyer through their "panama" Wilson brought US the Federal Reserve, World War I, income taxes, and the popular election of senators; Morgenthau and Baruch through FDR brought US World War II with Eisenhower and Clark leading the Allies, and Rothschild's "panama" Johnson and Nixon with Kissinger brought US the Vietnam War. During World War I, three Khazar-Americans commanded the American war effort: Baruch over all industry, Warburg over all banking and Meyer over all war financing and added sedition laws (like today's Hate Laws) to stifle complaints of their immense frauds like The Duplication of War Bonds (one for Khazar-Americans and one for government). Today the reins of our secret government are held by the likes of Wolfowitz, Pearl, Feith, Zacheim, Chertoff, Greenspan, Abrams, Bolton, Kristol, Kagan and on and on. They have many rich tax exempt foundations, factions and organizations supporting them. They have installed yet another "panama" in the White House and directed "the guns of America" at the Muslim world and oversee a gigantic fleecing of the American taxpayer in favor of Israel and Zionism. "We replaced the ruler by a caricature, a president, taken from the midst of our puppet creatures, our slaves, a puppet in our hands."

Over the course of the 20th century, the most powerful industrial nation on earth; built by plentiful resources, ample farmland, temperate climate, cheap immigrant labour and unscathed by foreign war, has been utterly bankrupted by the Khazar bankers. "Economic crises have been produced by us by no other means than the withdrawal of money from circulation." The greatest industrial nation in history has been liquidated, tens of millions of jobs lost, and the proceeds used for financial speculations around the world guaranteed by the Federal Reserve. "To complete the ruin of industry we shall bring speculation and transfer into our hands all the money of the world." The American people haven't a clue of the colossal tax free wealth in the tens of trillions the Khazars have amassed by defrauding them and their government especially in wartime yet the American people are beginning to wake up, noting that now Khazars

seem to "own everything in America". But Khazars believe we are too late.



The Federal Reserve (above) is responsible for immense bubbles in the financial markets, housing and money supply and finances the Middle East wars that many predict will produce a "train-wreck" of the American economy and standard of living, "an economic crisis, which will stop dealings on the exchanges and bring industry to a standstill. Disorders and bankruptcy will be universal." The states should plan to issue their own script as in the colonial days, "on the day after the political smash" of Washington.

"The growth of the Nation and all our activities are in the hands of a few men. We have come to be one of the worst ruled, one of the most completely controlled and dominated Governments in the world, a Government by the opinion and duress of small groups of dominant men." (Woodrow Wilson)

Khazar-Americans have a monopoly of all American mass media: movies, television, newspapers, books and magazines. "The Press is a great force that creates the movement of thought in the people. It has fallen into our hands." For generations they have "Pavlov-ed" US like dogs with a nonstop diet of their subliminal propaganda, from Gentlemen's Agreement to Schindler's List. The Israeli attack on the USS Liberty, like the murder of Rachel Corrie, is officially "closed" and "buried" by Washington. We are told to "Get over it". But we are never allowed to "get over" German National Socialism, the Holocaust(?) and anti-Semitism. "They have lost the habit of thinking. These hands will lead opinion in the direction of our aims."



And, as boasted in the Protocols, the Khazar bankers are completely invisible. "Who will ever suspect that all these peoples were stage-managed by us according to a political plan which no one has so much as guessed at in the course of many centuries?" Any reference to them is sneeringly disposed of as "conspiracy theory" or "anti-Semitism". "Anti-Semitism is indispensable for the management of our lesser brethren. This has formed the subject of repeated discussions amongst us." In reality, guided by Rothschild's Protocols, Zionism is the greatest conspiracy in history, a group of supremely organized and dedicated, even fanatical, people who by their control of the world's money have access to unlimited credit and money for their global political and economic purposes. "Our widely conceived plan is already approaching the desired consummation. There now remains not much more for us to build up upon the foundation we have laid. Surely we shall not fail with such wealth to prove that all that evil which for so many centuries we have had to commit has served the cause of true well-being-- the bringing of everything into order." The Khazar New World Order!

They think of themselves as Ubermensch "Their mind is undeveloped in comparison with our mind.", witness all their Nobel awards yet their chutzpah vastly exceeds their intelligence, witness the colossal bungle of their New Pearl Harbour on September Eleven, an impossible false flag hoax. It is also exceeded by their consummate evil, witness the thousands horribly murdered on September Eleven and the humanicide caused by their never-ending wars

for profit. "It is from us the all engulfing terror proceeds. We are merciless." Without the Khazars, America would have been a "goldene medin" for everyone; there was plenty for all.

"It is much to be lamented that each state, long ago, has not hunted them down as pest to society and the greatest enemies we have to the happiness of America." (George Washington)

Khazars dread two things above all else; ethnic cleansing and taxation. Like so often in the past, societies find it necessary to save themselves from their depredations. Americans must boycott all Khazar-American politicians and remove them from public office and demand the transfer of taxation from wages and sales taxes on necessities to the vast untaxed trillions of wealth and property in America much of it held by the Khazar-Americans which has been used not for investment in America (and jobs) but for electioneering, propaganda and speculation, undermining the true welfare and prosperity of America.

Acknowledgment: To the authors and researchers on the internet who do all the hard work. Source: ZioPedia.org ZioPedia - All There Is To Know About Zionism

<http://www.ziopedia.org> Powered by Joomla!
Generated: 6 September, 2007, 16:34

End OS21209

Steven Books

League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London
WC1N 3XX

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Letters & Letters



Britain A Police State

Dear Member of Parliament

Many people in Britain today who have long been watching the direction this country is taking, feel that MPs need to address the mission creep of the police state and stop the removal of mechanisms by which the public may hold their elected representatives and other public bodies to account.

Hitler pushed his Enabling Act through the Reichstag at the point of a gun. Stalin used Article 58 of Lenin's criminal code to enable him to label those who would oppose him, as "Enemies of the State". Thereupon, the Stasi would come at the dead of night to arrest and carry off Stalin's 'enemies'. Those who had the wit and intelligence to question and oppose his radical measures. Also those who had been militarily trained and who could fight him. Those not arrested would be cowed into submission by terror, and were encouraged to report their neighbours if they suspected them of being "enemies of the state". Thus rule by terror. (source: The Gulag Archipelago - Alexander Solzhenitsyn).

Tony Blair pushed his enabling Civil Contingencies Act 2004 through Parliament while the Hunting Bill was deflecting attention.

These acts enabled one person to take complete control if they 'thought' there was a threat. Very dangerous.

Many of Stalin's tactics are being employed in Britain today. Arresting people on spurious

charges then releasing them. Using Fixated Threat Assessment Centre tactics where someone with no mental health training can section a person without reference to their rights or a psychiatrist. Just because they 'think' a political view constitutes mental illness requiring being locked up in a secure establishment and given unnecessary drugs. A nightmare for the person concerned - especially if it is simply to get them out of the way. And probably only because they questioned the actions of someone in a position of power. Some such cases have actually happened in this country.

Our country is being turned into a fascist police state under our noses. I hope and pray that MPs of all parties will recognise and utterly resist this as we will all suffer if it gets a hold. No-one will be safe as we move illegally away from our tried and tested Constitutional and Common Law.

So the crackdown on legal redress begins. Odd that this issue should arise just after an attempt to seek judicial review in October 2012 following Humberside Police refusal to act on a report of the major crime of treason. Most of the justice system is denied to people of limited means already.

Most of what David Cameron says sounds quite reasonable. But it could so easily be used to lessen people's rights and liberties as laid down in our Declaration and Bill of Rights 1689.

PM plans restriction on judicial review: invokes fight against Hitler.

A leaked copy of a speech to be given by the Prime Minister David Cameron outlines a proposal to restrict the right of judicial review with plans to increase the cost of applications, cutting time limits to apply and reducing the chances to appeal. His speech goes on to liken plans to bypass administrative bureaucracy to the fight against Hitler. It is understood the speech will be given to the CBI.

"So here's what we're going to do: reduce the time limit when people can bring cases, charge more for reviews so people think twice about time-wasting," the speech says.

"Instead of giving hopeless cases up to four bites of the cherry to appeal a decision, we will halve that to two". Downing Street claims that 11,000 applications for judicial review were made in 2011 - up from 160 in 1975.

The speech says the PM is "determined to change" how Government operates.



"Consultations, impact assessments, audits, reviews, stakeholder management, securing professional buy-in, complying with EU procurement rules, assessing sector feedback. This is not how we became one of the most powerful, prosperous nations on earth," the speech says. "When this country was at war in the 40s, Whitehall underwent a revolution. "Normal rules were circumvented. Convention was thrown out. As one historian put it, everything was thrown at 'the overriding purpose' of beating Hitler."

"Well, this country is in the economic equivalent of war today - and we need the same spirit. We need to forget about crossing every 't' and dotting every 'i' - and we need to throw everything we've got at winning in this global race."

News Statesman legal blogger David Allen Green said via Twitter: "Be wary of any Government which wants to remove the legal

means of your challenging its decisions and abuses of power."

Are we to see a phrase coming into being which means 'enemies of the state'?

UK Police Tells Public To Report Anti-Government Beliefs As Terrorism.

Briefing conflates dissent against the state with Al-Qaeda

By Paul Joseph Watson, Infowars.com, Monday, August 1, 2011

The London Metropolitan Police is encouraging businesses and the general public to immediately report anyone who holds anti-government political beliefs to the authorities as terrorists, calling on people to become volunteer informants as the state prepares for widespread social unrest.

"This was the surprising injunction from the Metropolitan Police issued to businesses and members of the public in Westminster last week," reports the London Guardian. "There was no warning about other political groups, but next to an image of the anarchist emblem, the City of Westminster police's "counter terrorist focus desk" called for anti-anarchist whistleblowers stating: "Anarchism is a political philosophy which considers the state undesirable, unnecessary, and harmful, and instead promotes a stateless society, or anarchy. Any information relating to anarchists should be reported to your local police."

In also calling on people to report Al-Qaeda paraphernalia to police, the briefing conflates "anarchists" with terrorists. "It unfairly implies that anyone involved in anarchism should be known to the police and is involved in a dangerous activity," said Jason Sands, an anarchist from South London. "There is nothing inherently criminal about political philosophy whatever it is. The police work under the convention on human rights which disallows discrimination against people because of their political beliefs and even the request for information would seem to be in breach of that."

Of course, the "anarchist" label could apply to a whole range of political beliefs, but the fact that the state is now openly criminalizing anti-

government sentiment and encouraging people to report on their neighbours for expressing dissent or displaying any sign of their political philosophy is a clear indication of how paranoid the British government has become of its own citizens.

As anarchist Sean Smith told the Guardian, "It's pretty absurd, but not surprising, when the state seeks to criminalise ideas it deems to be dangerous to its own survival."

Indeed, if you want an insight into where the British government thinks this is all heading, look no further than a 2007 Ministry of Defence report which foresaw "the middle classes becoming revolutionary" and "taking on the role of Marx's proletariat" within three decades.

"The world's middle classes might unite, using access to knowledge, resources and skills to shape transnational processes in their own class interest," warns the report, predicting mass unrest and social dislocation.

This is why the authorities are already putting the squeeze on any kind of political beliefs that could be construed as anti-government. They are aware of the fact that the increasingly dangerous, unjust and economically deprived post-industrial revolution now being used to eviscerate the middle class in the west will provoke a hostile and radical reaction.

Encouraging people to report on each other for political beliefs deemed undesirable by the state is precisely what happened in Soviet Russia and Nazi Germany.

One common misconception about Nazi Germany was that the police state was solely a creation of the authorities and that the citizens were merely victims. On the contrary, Gestapo files show that 80% of all Gestapo investigations were started in response to information provided by denunciations by "ordinary" Germans.

"There were relatively few secret police, and most were just processing the information coming in. I had found a shocking fact. It wasn't the secret police who were doing this wide-scale surveillance and hiding on every street corner. It was the ordinary German people who were informing on their neighbours," wrote Robert Gellately of Florida State University.

Gellately discovered that the people who informed on their neighbours were motivated primarily by banal factors – "greed, jealousy, and petty differences," and not by a genuine concern about crime or insecurity.

Paul Joseph Watson is the editor and writer for Prison Planet.com. He is the author of Order Out Of Chaos. Watson is also a regular fill-in host for The Alex Jones Show.

I am well aware of the Parliamentary Protocol and have already sent this article to my local MP. But I sent it to you as the subject matter is of serious national importance, far more grave than any constituency issue. It is an MP's paid responsibility to work for the nation's good and in its best interest. **Rex Poulton**

Americans Never Give Up Your Guns



"Whosoever shall come to us with the sword shall perish by it. Upon this stood and stands the land of Russia". St Prince Alexander Nevsky, in the 13th century

IF GOD BE WITH US WHO CAN BE AGAINST US? - Romans 8:31

These days, there are few things to admire about the socialist, bankrupt and culturally degenerating USA, but at least so far, one thing remains: the right to bear arms and use deadly force to defend one's self and possessions.

This will probably come as a total shock to most of my Western readers, but at one point, Russia was one of the most heavily armed societies on earth. This was, of course, when we were free under the Tsar. Weapons, from swords and spears to pistols, rifles and shotguns were everywhere, common items. People carried them concealed, they carried them holstered. Fighting knives were a prominent part of many traditional attires and those little tubes criss crossing on the costumes of Cossacks and various Caucasian peoples? Well those are bullet holders for rifles.

Various armies, such as the Poles, during the Смыта (Times of Troubles), or Napoleon, or the Germans even as the Tsarist state collapsed under the weight of WW1 and Wall Street monies, found that holding Russian lands was much, much harder than taking them and taking was no easy walk in the park but a blood bath all its own. In holding, one faced an extremely well-armed and aggressive population Hell bent on exterminating or driving out the aggressor.

This well-armed population was what allowed the various White factions to rise up, no matter how disorganized politically and militarily they were in 1918 and wage a savage civil war against the Reds. It should be noted that many of these armies were armed peasants, villagers, farmers and merchants, protecting their own. If it had not been for Washington's clandestine support of and for the Reds, history would have gone quite differently.

Moscow fell, for example, not from a lack of weapons to defend it, but from the lying guile of the Reds. Ten thousand Reds took Moscow and were opposed only by some few hundreds of officer cadets and their instructors. Even then the battle was fierce and losses high. However, in the city alone, at that time, lived over 30,000 military officers (both active and retired), all with their own issued weapons and ammunition, plus tens of thousands of other citizens who were armed. The Soviets promised to leave them all alone if they did not intervene. They did not and for that were asked afterwards to come register

themselves and their weapons: where they were promptly shot.

Of course being savages, murderers and liars does not mean being stupid and the Reds learned from their Civil War experience. One of the first things they did was to disarm the population. From that point, mass repression, mass arrests, mass deportations, mass murder, mass starvation were all a safe game for the powers that were. The worst they had to fear was a pitchfork in the guts or a knife in the back or the occasional hunting rifle. Not much for soldiers.

To this day, with the Soviet Union now dead 21 years, with a whole generation born and raised to adulthood without the SU, we are still denied our basic and traditional rights to self-defence. Why? We are told that everyone would just start shooting each other and crime would be everywhere.... but criminals are still armed and still murdering and to often, especially in the far regions, those criminals wear the uniforms of the police. The fact that everyone would start shooting is also laughable when statistics are examined.



While President Putin pushes through reforms, the local authorities, especially in our vast hinterland, do not feel they need to act like they work for the people. They do as they please, a tyrannical class who knows they have absolutely

nothing to fear from a relatively unarmed population. This in turn breeds not respect but absolute contempt and often enough, criminal abuse.

For those of us fighting for our traditional rights, the US 2nd Amendment is a rare light in an ever darkening room. Governments will use the excuse of trying to protect the people from maniacs and crime, but in reality, it is the bureaucrats protecting their power and position. In all cases where guns are banned, gun crime continues and often increases. As for maniacs,

be it nuts with cars (NYC, Chapel Hill NC), swords (Japan), knives (China) or homemade bombs (everywhere), insane people strike. They throw acid (Pakistan, UK), they throw fire bombs (France), they attack. What is worse, is, that the best way to stop a maniac is not psychology or jail or "talking to them", it is a bullet in the head, that is why they are a maniac, because they are incapable of living in reality or stopping themselves.

The excuse that people will start shooting each other is also plain and silly. So it is our politicians saying that our society is full of incapable adolescents who can never be trusted? Then, please explain how we can trust them or the police, who themselves grew up and came from the same culture?

No it is about power and a total power over the people. There is a lot of desire to bad mouth the Tsar, particularly by the Communists, who claim he was a tyrant, and yet under him we were armed and under the progressives disarmed. Do not be fooled by a belief that progressives, leftists hate guns. Oh, no, they do not. What they hate is guns in the hands of those who are not marching in lock step of their ideology. They hate guns in the hands of those who think for themselves and do not obey without question. They hate guns in those whom they have slated for a barrel to the back of the ear.

So, do not fall for the false promises and do not extinguish the light that is left to allow humanity a measure of self-respect. **Yours truly, Stanislav Mishin**

Re The Chopper Crash - New Ensign No. 31

Dear Sir, ___Even to a layman questions arose at the time of the crash. Why was the complete team on the only one helicopter? They should have been split between two in case one crashed. Thanks to the article the answers are now clear. The 28 men were world experts on the IRA and their knowledge and advice were becoming inconvenient to the politicians engaged in the so-called peace process.

Like most servicemen, the 28 were disposable units of personnel and their time had come. Staff "Officers" accordingly gave wrong signals to the pilot from their safe refuge and murdered all on board.



This raises a very important question—what are Staff Officers and what are the necessary qualifications to become one? War literature often mentions them and samples will be of interest.

The media make a great fuss about the battle of Alamain under Montgomery , but the first battle under General Auchinlick has been forgot. Yet this was the crucial one. Had the Auk lost we would have lost Egypt, then Palestine and the French would have been forced out of Syria. Turkey would have wished to join Germany to recover their old empire with all the rich oil fields. This is no criticism of General Montgomery who deserves a separate article.

As the time of the first battle approached all the Staff "Officers" in Alexandria thought that the Auk would lose! And were packed up and ready to flee when the battle was lost. None thought of collecting all possible soldiers in Alex and form a scratch unit to go and help the Auk to turn the tide of battle!!! Cowardice in the face of the enemy! And their defeatist attitude was disgraceful.

In "Destroyer Captain", David Hall gives us interesting information about Staff "Officers". He was working in the English Channel and a dog adopted him. This brave chap went on operation too. He got the crew very worried

once. The destroyer was about to sail and he was still on shore leave!! Fortunately, he came on board at the last second and the gangway could be dropped.

David was not happy about the planning of the operations, but was too junior to talk to the Admiral in command of the squadron. He invited a Staff "Officer" to come on the operation which he had planned - this was refused, again cowardice in face of the enemy! David tells us that Peter Scott of Captain Scott fame was much luckier than him. The Admiral in command of the Motor Torpedo Boat Squadron. Made his Staff "Officers" go on operations. - "You are quite useless to me until you have done so."

As we see Peter was having a particularly nasty war. The enemy in front of him and incompetent cowards behind him. Then relief came, he thought, he thought at the time. The cruiser Charybdis came to go on operation with them that night. The captain was a friend of Peter and of sufficient seniority to talk to the Admiral. When he heard Peter's tales of woe, he said, "I'll see the Admiral tomorrow".

Tomorrow never came. The Charybdis was lost with all hands, because of incorrect formation of the naval squadron. **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

Mussolini & Churchill

Their Little-Known Personal Correspondence Before and during World War II

By Marc Roland

Here is a shocker: Winston Churchill carried on personal correspondence with his "deadly enemy," Benito Mussolini, not only before but during World War II. More extraordinary still, just wait till you learn the contents of those remarkable letters.



ALTHOUGH still a popular controversy in Italy and, to a lesser degree, Britain and the United States, personal correspondence Winston Churchill carried on with Benito Mussolini before and during World War II is virtually unknown to the rest of the outside world. While such communication

between two international statesmen who, publicly at any rate, were deadly enemies may not seem all that significant or even extraordinary, the supposed content of their letters makes them potentially significant in the extreme.

The letters are said to have revealed in part that during May 1940, shortly after he became prime minister, Churchill tried to buy Italy's co-belligerence against Hitler by bribing her with the territories of other peoples, some of whom—like France and Greece—were allied with Great Britain. The following October, he allegedly wrote to Mussolini requesting personal protection from Axis leaders in the event of Britain's surrender.

Before year's end, Churchill proposed in another letter to the Duce that Britain and Italy conclude an armistice in North Africa, then join Finland for an invasion of the Soviet Union.

Of all allegations made for the contents of Mussolini's papers, Churchill's suggestion that Britain and Italy conclude a peace for the specific purpose of jointly invading the Soviet Union is at once the most shocking and best

documented. It was verified by a number of observers and participants, including: an officer in the elite *Decima Flottiglia MAS*, Sergio Nesi; his superior, Valerio Borghese, the “Black Prince” himself; Pietro Carradori, the Duce’s orderly; and other, credible eyewitnesses. They testified that World War II’s strangest and most secret gathering took place on November 16, 1944, at Montorfano, the Decima base near the shores of Lombardy’s beautiful Lake Iseo, not far from the city of Bergamo.

Inside the headquarters building, surrounded and secured by a unit of heavily armed Decima sailors, Commander Borghese chaired a meeting attended by representatives of the Italian Social Republic (RSI) government (Francesco Maria Barracu), army (Gen. Giuseppe Violante) and Ministry of the Navy (Capt. Fausto Sestini). The German ambassador to Italy, Rudolf Rahn, appeared with Obergruppenfuehrer SS Karl Wolff, in charge of all German forces in Italy and a close confidant of Hitler himself.

Across the table from these high-ranking Axis leaders sat plenipotentiaries for U.S. President Franklin D. Roosevelt and the head of the Allied Expeditionary Forces, Gen. Dwight David Eisenhower. Beside them were senior British army officers representing Marshal Bernard Montgomery and Prime Minister Winston S. Churchill, who had called the covert meeting to discuss his four-point proposal:

- Official British-U.S. recognition of the RSI and an im-mediate armistice between all Axis and Allied states;
- Participation of the U.S. Fifth Army and British Eighth Army on the Eastern Front against the Soviet Union;
- The cooperation of Wehrmacht troops in Italy transferring Allied forces to the fighting in Russia;
- Inclusion of the Italian divisions Littorio, Monterose, San Marco and Italia, together with the Decima MAS, in the Russian campaign.

After having heard the proposal translated, Commander Borghese asked the Allied representatives why Italy’s Co-Belligerent Government of the south had been left out of the

proposal. The British replied that the post-Badoglio Cabinet was itself largely Communist, and composed of untrustworthy schemers more interested in plunder and bloody vendettas than civil order. All four RSI officers welcomed a unified defence of Western civilization, as did the Germans, who promised they would urge the Fuehrer to seriously examine the plan put forth by the prime minister.



“History shall be kind to me, because I intend to write it.” — Winston Churchill

The Americans, on the contrary, refused to even consider Churchill’s suggestions, which were categorically spurned by both U . . . S . . .

representatives. Their unalterable rejection caused the meeting to be broken up without any further discussion of an Anglo-American alliance with the Axis powers against the USSR.

Churchill arranged another, almost identical meeting with different and, hopefully, less adamant plenipotentiaries for Roosevelt and Eisenhower at Porto Ceresio, a small comune or municipality on Lake Lugano bordering Switzerland. Results were identical to the Lake Iseo conference, however, and no further attempts were made to forge an alliance of enemies against Stalin.


During a telephone conversation between Mussolini and his German ally late in the war, Hitler wondered about the British: “Aren’t they aware of the Russian colossus?”

“Actually,” the Duce replied, “Churchill had forecasted that danger many years ago. But, Fuehrer, you are aware of this.”

“Yes, I know,” Hitler said, dejectedly. “I know all the details.”

What were they? “Details” of Lake Iseo’s meeting that came to nothing just six days before

their telephone conversation of November 22? In any case, Mussolini made a direct reference to Churchill's Allies-Axis proposals when he wrote to Marshal Graziani on January 9, 1945. "At this time, I feel it is extremely important to put these papers in a safe place," referring to his high-level correspondence, "first of all, the exchange of letters and the agreements [emphasis added] with Churchill."



The renowned American historian Peter Tompkins, (above) who was an agent of the U.S. Office of Strategic Services in Rome during the war, wondered: "Why must an agreement between Churchill and Mussolini, which had been reached during the meetings at Porto Ceresio and on Lake Iseo, be so radically erased as to require the killings of Mussolini and Claretta Petacci? Churchill's reputation would have been seriously damaged had it become known that he was plotting with the Duce of Fascism and a few Nazi generals in Italy in order to have Italian and German forces join the Western Allies to fight the USSR together... ." Churchill was, after all, to stand for re-election in 1951.

Mussolini supposedly preserved additional correspondence with Churchill's predecessor, Neville Chamberlain, who is believed to have written similar sentiments he expressed to U.S. Ambassador Joseph P. Kennedy, in early 1941, to the effect that Britain would have never gone to war against Germany "without the urging of William C. Bullitt," Franklin D. Roosevelt's representative in Europe immediately prior to the start of World War II, "and the American Jews." In fact, Chamberlain's statement was

quoted by James Forrestal in his memoirs as the U.S. secretary of the Navy.

Two or three letters from Roosevelt himself allegedly described the American president's desire to have the British empire in Africa replaced "by Italy's more humane form of imperialism." Other topics discussed in the correspondence, mostly by Churchill, included 1938's Munich Crisis, Italy's entry into the war, and her 1940 invasion of Greece—all portrayed in language radically at odds with official Allied positions publicly taken on these historical events.

Additional and, in Mussolini's view, yet more damaging, disclosures appeared in the letters. "Churchill knows I have ammunition," he told his armed forces chief of staff, Gen. Rudolfo Graziani, in February 1945, and referred to the prime minister's October 1940 letter as "one he would regret. This correspondence is from heads of states, representing delicate and explosive documents." Two months later, he stated that "these documents are worth even more than if we had won the war." If so, it is difficult to believe that a few political indiscretions on Churchill's part could have been so valuable.

The letters and documents must have contained something more "explosive," and Mussolini did tell his closest confidants that they featured certain "agreements" he made with the Allies that would prove immensely surprising to a world audience. Their contents have never been disclosed, but only surmised, based on hardly more than a few hints from Mussolini and a few other sources. Moreover, no references to his end of the correspondence survive. How he might have responded to the alleged letters from Churchill, Chamberlain and Roosevelt is unknown.

Was the Duce only bluffing, as part of a vain effort to buoy up the flagging morale of his followers in the last days of the RSI? Or did he actually possess highly incriminating evidence with drastic repercussions for the post-war world? Mainstream historians insist Churchill wrote to Mussolini on only one occasion, in a public telegram just before the start of hostilities, pleading with him to turn away from war. As the price for Italy's alliance against Germany, as supposedly cited in Mussolini's secret documents, Churchill was willing not only to

give up Malta and Gibraltar, but to sell off the territories of foreign peoples, even those of his own allies, with or without their consent; specifically, France's Savoy, Tunisia and Nice, plus Yugoslavia and Greece, as part of the bargain.

In Churchill's own published memoirs, no mention is made of any friendly correspondence with the Italian dictator, portrayed instead as the evil antithesis of everything decent and civilized. Yet, Churchill was an outspoken admirer of his alleged nemesis since 1922, after the Fascists came to power in their "March on Rome." He was quoted by all leading British newspapers at the time as having said, "If I were an Italian, I would proudly wear a black shirt. I am all for Fascism in Italy."

Shortly thereafter, he prepared excerpts from his World War I history for publication in Mussolini's own newspaper, *Il Popolo di Italia*, which ran 16 instalments throughout 1927. Even after the Ethiopian War, Churchill continued to publicly refer to Mussolini as "a Roman genius."

There is no doubt that correspondence between the two men did indeed go on throughout the war. A German report for September 25, 1943 stated that Gestapo agents recovered literally dozens of Churchill's communications with Mussolini, even long after the war began, all of them expressing admiration for Italian Fascism, and hopes for cooperation with the British empire. Such correspondence no doubt did exist, because Mussolini showed it to virtually everyone in his immediate circle—literally hundreds of persons—including his wife, Rachele. She responded to questioning by British historian, David Irving, that she saw the files containing the Churchill letters, but refused to comment on their contents.

For his 1994 book on the controversy, *Careggio Segreto*, "The Secret Correspondence," investigator Fabio Andriola traced 30 persons who personally saw the communications from Churchill, some of them dated as late as the winter of 1944-45.¹¹ Among those eyewitnesses was C. A. Biggin, the Salo Republic's minister of education, and Gen. Graziani, both of whom testified to the documents' existence after the war. Andriola's investigation was preceded by a number of Italy's leading historical researchers, among them, Giorgio Cavalleri,

whose *Ombre sul Lago*, or "Shadows on the Lake," reproduced the Duce's printed command to the head of the republic's film department, ordering him to photocopy "certain letters of top priority."¹²

As part of his order, he asked if the filmmaker had anyone in his agency who was fluent in English. But Mussolini really needed more than a translator, because he wanted someone with connections in the British government.




In January 1945, he secretly summoned John Amery (left) to join him at his *M i l a n* headquarters. Until then, the 33-year-old expatriate was busy organizing the British Free Corps, a unit of *f e l l o w* countrymen

recruited from German prisoner-of-war camps to fight as volunteers under the Waffen-SS on the eastern front against the Soviets. Previously, Amery made a series of broadcasts on Berlin Radio condemning the Western Allies for supporting Communist Russia, earlier serving the Nationalist cause in the Spanish Civil War, when he was awarded a medal of honour by the Italians.

More important to Mussolini at the moment, John was the son of Leopold Charles Maurice Stennett Amery, then a high-ranking member in Churchill's Cabinet and one of the most influential politicians in Britain. Father and son were not estranged, despite their polar opposite destinies and ideologies, and it was through John that Mussolini hoped to personally liaison with Leopold and the British government, either for the presentation of his "explosive documents," or the guarantee of a public trial.

While he endeavoured to contact the British authorities under the very noses of the German SS, John Amery made public speeches and radio broadcasts for the Duce until the end of the war. How successful Mussolini was in these covert efforts is not known, but the fate of his young

go-between indicates perhaps something about them. After the RSI's collapse, John Amery was arrested by Communist partisans, who turned him over to the British. Arraigned on charges of treason, he was condemned to death in record time—eight minutes after appearing in front of the bench, in what seems to have been an official attempt at permanently silencing him before he could give testimony potentially embarrassing or even harmful to the powers that be.



documents in various ways were killed at war's end. Among the victims was Claretta Petacci herself, shot to death by a British agent, according to the local partisan commander in charge of her and Mussolini after their arrest, Bruno Giovanni Lonati.

In April 1945, Mussolini summoned a carpenter to make three watertight cabinets specifically for storing photocopies of the Churchill letters and other documents he considered very valuable. One set was presented to his wife, Rachele, and another sent ahead to Switzerland, where a British government contact he knew near Basel awaited their delivery. He kept one set, along with the originals, next to his own person. In addition to these cabinets filled with sensitive correspondence was another, separate collection of related documents kept in large, leather bags and transported in an Alfa-Romeo truck, as part of Mussolini's small convoy heading for the Swiss border.

Better than making a last stand for Fascism and going out in a blaze of glory might be his exposure of the Churchill correspondence, which, he deeply believed, would vindicate himself before history. Retreating SS officers warned him repeatedly against his change of

plans, arguing that he would never make it to Switzerland, because of widespread partisan activity in northern Italy. They nevertheless provided him with a small escort, after he proved obdurate to their pleas for reason. Before getting under way, he collared Franz Spogeler, an SS captain and personal friend, to whom he entrusted a last letter, written on April 23, to Churchill, asking: "Can I count on you to get this to him?"

"All I can promise is that I shall try," said Spogeler, and he made his way to the British occupation forces in southern Germany with the confidential missive. In it, Mussolini requested an opportunity to defend himself in an international court of law, to which he would voluntarily entrust his fate, and ended with a pointed but non-specific reference to "documents you will no doubt find interesting and useful."

In 1944, after learning of the Allies' determination to put the Axis leaders on trial for "crimes against humanity," he stated publicly, "I declare that I, and I alone, assume the political, moral and historical responsibility for all that has happened. If Fascism has been a criminal association, the responsibility has been mine." This same sentiment, if not these exact words, were part of the missive he had dispatched to Churchill.

However, Spogeler was arrested shortly after crossing the Bavarian frontier, where British authorities confiscated the letter, which subsequently disappeared. Likewise vanished was the set of photocopied documents sent ahead to the British government contact in Switzerland. Precisely who this official may have been is not known, but Mussolini trusted him enough with the valuable correspondence. He apparently believed these and related documents were so crucially important he was willing to stake his life on them. But it seems precisely because of their explosive nature that the British were determined he would never be allowed to present them anywhere, under any conditions.

When Mussolini was arrested outside the northern Italian town of Dongo, four bags of correspondence and the Alfa-Romeo carrying additional documents were seized by the partisans. They sold the vehicle's contents, except the leather bags, to the British Foreign

Office, whose London headquarters disclosed the sale in a paper trail open to public scrutiny. The Neville Chamberlain and Franklin Roosevelt letters were supposedly “pruned and combed” out of the collection; i.e., destroyed, per orders from the BFO’s head, as stated in the public record.

Urbano Lazaro, the partisan who arrested Mussolini, had the four bags of documents placed in the safekeeping of a local bank at Da Maso. He recalled later that a fellow Communist, code-named “Renzo,” went through the third bag, in which he found a number of files headed “Churchill.” After Communist Party leader Dante Goreri arrived to order Mussolini’s execution, he photocopied the contents of the four bags. The original documents were sold to a pair of British agents from the Field Security Service, and have since vanished.

The photocopies were entrusted to another “resistance” member with the code name of “Cavalieri,” who refuses to make them public until a specified period after his death. At this writing, Cavalieri is still alive, although his family has expressed reluctance to disclose the documents at any time in the future.

Whether or not Churchill read the Duce’s April 23 request for a fair trial is not known. In any case, the prime minister never entertained any intention of allowing Mussolini a public forum in a court of law, and relished the thought, as he expressed himself to President Roosevelt during their Casablanca Conference in 1943, of having the Italian leader strangled to death in the Roman Forum as soon as he was captured. That sentiment was underscored by Italy’s most acclaimed post-war historian, Renzo de Felice, in his 1995 book about the last year of the war, *Red and Black*.

Felice was able to trace an agency in the British government, the Special Operations Executive (SOE), headed by Max Salvatore, commissioned to assassinate the Duce on sight. This secret order contravened the terms of the Italian armistice, signed by Badoglio in Sicily on September 3, 1943, which specified that Mussolini must be taken alive and turned over the U.S. XVth Army Group Command, headed by Gen. Mark Clark.

By the time Salvatore caught up with the founder of Fascism in April 1945, Mussolini had already been arrested by Communist partisans, who were holding him for the arrival of their local leader, Goreri. Judging it more politically expedient for Italians to do the killing than a foreign invader, Salvatore returned to the SOE only after having seen Mussolini’s mutilated corpse strung up by its heels in Milan’s public square. De Felice’s reconstruction of events has been substantiated by veteran partisans who witnessed Mussolini’s last days. “The documents in my possession lead me to draw one conclusion,” he stated. “Mussolini was killed by a group of partisans from Milan upon the request of the British secret services---Their national interest was at stake, tied to the explosive compromises in the correspondence that the British prime minister was thought to have exchanged with Mussolini before and during the war.”



Thereafter, Churchill apparently set himself a three-part agenda for 1945: Win the war, win re-election and find every scrap of his incriminating correspondence with the dead Duce. Victory in Europe was not followed by success at the polls, however, and, in their first opportunity to elect or dump Churchill, British voters turned him out of office in July. Pursued by the “black dogs” of depression, he travelled to northern Italy’s Lake Como, ostensibly to paint and forget his disappointment. He had long admired the tactic of hiding in plain sight; to conceal one’s real intentions under some public diversion. While newsreels showed him peacefully daubing his canvasses at seaside, he was out and about from September 1 to 17, visiting all the places where the late Italian dictator spent his final days.

He sought out and met with the carpenter ordered by Mussolini to build the three cabinets for the photocopied documents and letters. The carpenter told Churchill he did not know anything about the documents themselves, nor what became of them.

Later, Churchill “had tea” with Romano Tebezi, director of the Da Maso Bank, at the same local branch in which the partisans Urbano Lazaro and “Renzo” deposited the four bags of correspondence (one with files marked “Churchill”) they took from Mussolini when they arrested him.



During a summer 1945 visit to Lake Como, Churchill stayed at the British Secret Service headquarters, located in the former villa of Guido Donegan, once a prominent industrialist and close friend of the Duce.

Imprisoned immediately after the war, Donegan told a fellow prisoner, once a high-ranking Fascist Party functionary, about the Churchill correspondence. Unbeknownst to either of them, their conversations were monitored, and Donegan was whisked out of his confinement by a pair of British soldiers, who took him away in a jeep. To his astonishment, he was soon being interrogated by none other than Winston Churchill “about some missing letters.” Donegan was thereafter kept in solitary confinement until his mysterious death the following year.

Despite Churchill’s efforts to conceal his true intentions, they aroused the suspicions of several Swiss newspaper reporters, who published various accounts of his strange behaviour. The prominent Basel daily *Voix Ouvriere* headlined, *Les documents de Mussolini disparissent et M. Churchill apparait* (“Churchill appears and the Mussolini documents disappear”) on September 18, 1945. Editor Leon Nicole wrote that Churchill was observed by his reporters burning large stacks of official-looking documents in a fire behind the Donegan villa.

During early April 1945, Mussolini had entrusted one set of his photocopies to a lifelong

friend, Tomasso David, chief of RSI intelligence and leader of the “Silver Foxes,” professional saboteurs. Throughout the immediate post-war years, David was unable to find employment due to his past involvement in the Fascist National Party and, later, the Salo Republic. He was arrested during 1951 for illegally trying to change his identity and, in view of his political record, given a lengthy prison sentence. While incarcerated, David contacted the Italian premier, Icide De Gasperi, offering to barter the Mussolini documents in exchange for an early release.

Less than two years later, Churchill was in New York City for a highly publicized visit to the birthplace of his mother, Jenny Jerome, at 462 Henry Street. Like his sojourn to Lake Como in September 1945, his American appearance served as cover for an ulterior motive. In March 1953, he was hosted by Mayor Vincent Impalleteri, who had extensive ties with the Italian government, including Premier De Gasperi, from whom he received Tomasso David’s collection of Mussolini documents.

Once these were handed over to Churchill, who satisfied himself as to their authenticity, David was not only acquitted and freed from prison, but awarded Italy’s highest military honour, the Republican Gold Medal, which included a lifelong pension. For a former enemy leader, close confidant of the Duce and convicted criminal to have experienced such a dramatic reversal of fortunes seems inexplicable, given the anti-Fascist fervour of post-war Italy, unless he possessed some particularly persuasive material.

In his last days, the Duce may also have been trying to leave Italy with more than sensational documents, but rather something the Swiss, he hoped, would find especially interesting: 65 kilos of gold bullion (worth, today, at least \$5 billion U.S.). This hoard represented all the valuables taken from native and foreign Jews in Italy before their removal to German concentration camps until 1945. When Mussolini was arrested by partisans in April, they turned it over to their superiors in the Italian Communist Party, who used the windfall to achieve political power during the post-war period. None of the “Dongo treasure,” as it was popularly known, ever found its way back to its original owners. **To be continued**

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>




Christogenos
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the
ukcolumn
LIVE

FOR THE REAL
NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcolumn>



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

thenewensign@gmail.com

Previous Issues are archived at

newensign.christsassembly.com

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Watch this space for future events

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey

Wirral

CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383

Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk