

# The New Ensign

No. 46 June 2013

This publication is for private circulation only



# Calling The True Israel Peoples

# Editorial

Dear Reader,

## Parable Of The Wheat And The Tares (Darnel And The Jews)

Yahshua said,

**Mat 13:24** Another parable put he forth unto them, saying, The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field:

**25** But while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat, and went his way.

**26** But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also.

**27** So the servants of the householder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? from whence then hath it tares?

**28** He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up?

**29** But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them.

**30** Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.

follows:

"TARES KJV term for grassy weeds resembling wheat, generally identified as darnel (genus Lolium)"

It is pretty much accepted today that the tares Jesus spoke of are darnel of the genus Lolium. Secular dictionaries also define the tare as most likely being darnel:

"Darnel is a weed grass (probably bearded darnel or Lolium temulentum) that looks very much like wheat until it is mature, when the seeds reveal a great difference. Darnel seeds aren't good for much except as chicken feed or to burn to prevent the spread of this weed" (World English Dictionary).

Easton's Bible Dictionary describes "tares" as follows:

"the bearded darnel, mentioned only in Matt. 13:25-30. It is the Lolium temulentum, a species of rye-grass, the seeds of which are a strong soporific poison. It bears the closest resemblance to wheat till the ear appears, and only then the difference is discovered. It grows plentifully in Syria and Palestine."

The American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language: Fourth Edition 2000 defines "soporific: as:

Holman's Bible Dictionary defines TARES as

Contents		Page
Gentiles - Arnold Kennedy		4
The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant (Part 8) Pastor Eli James		7
The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (10) Charles Le Mange		10
Harold Stough Notes - Eglwys St. Dyfnog, - A Strange Story		12
The Supremacy Of The Sacred Cubit (2) - Doug Kreiger		13
Quotes		19
How Mainstream Media Deceives You With Its Magic Tricks		20
Letters and View		21
Death and Vaccination (2) - Eustace Mullins		24
Nuremberg: The Crime That Will Not Die (Part 3)		27
Are You Aware Of The Hidden Toxic Salt In Your Daily Food Intake		31
NWO Plans Exposed (2)		33

ADJECTIVE: 1. Inducing or tending to induce sleep. 2. Drowsy.

NOUN: A drug or other substance that induces sleep; a hypnotic.

Smith's Bible Dictionary offers these comments on the "tares":

"There can be little doubt that the zizania of the parable, #Mt 13:25 denotes the weed called "darnel" (*Lolium temulentum*). . . . The grains of the *L. temulentum*, if eaten, produce convulsions, and even death."

Botanical.com:

"The admixture of the grain with those of the nutritious cereals amongst which it is often found growing should be guarded against, as its properties are generally regarded as deleterious. Gerard tells us: 'the new bread wherein Darnel is eaten hot causeth drunkenness.' When Darnel has been given medicinally in a harmful quantity, it is recorded to have produced all the symptoms of drunkenness: a general trembling,

followed by inability to walk, hindered speech and vomiting. For this reason the French call Darnel: 'Ivraie,' from Ivre (drunkenness); the word Darnel is itself of French origin and testifies to its intoxicating qualities, being derived from an old French word Darnel, signifying stupefied. The ancients supposed it to cause blindness, hence with the Romans, *lolo victitare*, to live on Darnel, was a phrase applied to a dim-sighted person.

Also included are giddiness, apathy and various abnormal sensations as effects of darnel.

Some say darnel in itself is not harmful, often being used for hay for livestock. They say that it's when the parasite fungus takes up residence within the seed head that it becomes deadly in the physical realm. But, in Jesus' parable, the analogy does not hold water. Darnel has the destiny of being bundled (in the cities) and being cast into the fire. The Wheat is to be gathered into the barn. "Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn. "

### The Symptoms of Darnel Poisoning are:

#### In literal Victims

1. Sleepiness, drowsiness
2. Hypnotic episodes
3. Convulsions
4. Drunkenness, intoxication
5. Trembling
6. Inability to walk
7. Hindered speech
8. Vomiting
9. Stupefication
10. Dim-sightedness

#### In Christians

- Apathy
- Delusion that the Jews are Israel
- Christian Zionism
- Fundamentalist intoxication
- Rapture theory
- Holy rollers in the churches
- Speaking in gibberish
- Judeo preaching
- "The Jews are God's chosen"
- Rejection of contrary evidence



Darnel (*Lolium temulentum*) in the wheat

**Pastor Eli James**

**Editor**

[thenewensign@gmail.com](mailto:thenewensign@gmail.com)

**This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.**

# "GENTILES"

By: Arnold Kennedy



## INTRODUCTION

**W**HEN we examine verses such as, "For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, above all people that are upon the face of the earth" (Deut.7:6), they establish the exclusive nature of Israel as being a holy (set apart) race among all the other races of this globe. Because these verses are so precise, we can see that there is a racial message that conflicts with the common belief about "Jews and Gentiles".

The common teaching is that "The Jews" are Israel and the "Gentiles" are everyone else. The two views are against each other; one cannot be held together with the other. This is being examined and it will be seen that "The Jews" cannot equate to all Israel and that some "Gentiles" may be Israelites in Scripture. That is, the all-inclusive all-race message is not that of the Potter in Romans 9 who says in verse 18, "Therefore hath he mercy on whom he will have mercy, and whom he will he hardeneth". In the next verse Paul says, "Nay but, O man, who art thou that repliest [contradicts] against God?" On this basis those who hold the "Jews and Gentiles" doctrine are contradicting God Himself.

Because the traditional teaching is so ingrained in commentaries, concordances, Bible dictionaries, books and in people's minds, it is very hard for anyone brought up with this belief to shake it off.

Accordingly we will make an examination of the word "Gentiles" as commonly used in the "Jews and Gentiles" doctrine, and then give some answers to particular popular Bible verses that are the mainstay of the "Jews and Gentiles" belief, and how there has been an identity switch made.

That there are two parties in the New Testament does not mean to say the two parties have to be Jews and Gentiles in the way that this is taught. Rather than that, the existence of two parties confirms what is taught in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets about the division of Israel into two kingdoms from which arose the House of Israel [ten tribes] and the House of Judah [two tribes]. These two houses are shown in prophecy to be a continual vexation to each other, with a "middle wall of partition" between them, until they are reconciled together under the New Testament [Isaiah 11:13]. But both parties are still Israelites!

## WHERE DID "GENTILE" COME FROM?

This word, GENTILE, originated from the Latin Vulgate translation, where the Roman doctrine said that the Roman Church had become the Israel of the Bible. Even more recently, Pope Pius XI reinforced this saying, "Spiritually, we Christians are Semites". The inference of the word "Gentile", in the Roman Catholic context is, "one who is not of Rome". In the English translations that were partly based upon the Latin Vulgate, this Latin word has carried on with a similar interpretation but instead of meaning "not of Rome" it has become to mean "not of Israel". In the minds of those to whom Rome and Israel were synonymous, there was no difference; to be of the Roman Catholic Church was to belong to and to be part of Israel. Rome accommodated all races that could buy citizenship. Effectively, this is redefining "race" as being "belief" and this is still done today in the field of "Human Rights". Rome calls herself a universal church with a universal Pontiff and is the originator of both modern and ancient universalism in the Christian religion.

But, unfortunately, translators have transliterated this Latin word, "Gentile", into their versions,

and it has carried forward even into recent translations. By transliterating the Latin form, it has allowed scope for the idea that the untranslated Latin word "gentilis" referred to non-Roman to continue. Switch the "Roman" to "Israel" [because Rome said she was Israel] and we then find how Rome expressed the two parties as "Israel" and "non-Israel". This has continued even to this day. This doctrine has found its way into commentaries and Bible dictionaries and through these media, most Christians are still influenced, and deceived.

The meaning of "Gentiles" which comes from the Latin is confusing. The Latin noun "gen" means 'a nation' and is equivalent to "ethnos". However, the word Gentiles does not come from the noun but from the adjective, gentilis, which means "of" or "belonging to" a nation. In all Paul's writings that are to Israelites, he uses ethnos to refer to his outcast kinsmen of Israel because that is how they were addressed in the Old Testament Scriptures – Gen 19:4-6; Gen 18:18; Deut 32:41 (the "with" is not in the Hebrew text); Ps 22:27,28; Ps 57:9; Ps 67:4; Ps 81:8; Ps 108:3; Ps 117:1; Is 5:26; Is 11:12; Is 34:1; Jer 1:5,10. The Latin distorts and obscures these facts and we need to check its context every time it appears in the text.

### HOW THE WORD "GENTILE" IS MISUSED

In both the Hebrew and the Greek there is no word even approaching the way "Gentile" is used today. In the concordances we can see the influences of the religious teaching of the day and age where the Roman influence is manifest.

Strong H1471. Gowy or goi [goyim Pl.]: a foreign nation, hence a Gentile, also a troop of animals, or a flight of locusts, heathen.

Strong G1484. Ethnos [Ethne Pl] a race [as of the same] habit, that is, a tribe; spec. a foreign [non-Jewish] one [usually by impl. pagan] Gentile, heathen, nation, people.

We must remember that concordances give usage rather than definitions but within these we

can see part of the true meaning "like of the same habit and tribe". The lexicons are more definitive.

Thayer: A multitude [whether of men or beasts] associated or living together ... of the same nature or genus.

Vine Denotes firstly a multitude or company, then a multitude of people of the same nature or genus. It is used in the singular of the Jews for example, Luke 7:5, Luke 23:2; John 11:48:50-52.

Vine goes on to show that Gentile is used in Scripture of both Jews and non-Jews. [Strong and Vine use the word "Jew" for "Israel" following the understanding of the periods].

### HOW THE HEBREW AND GREEK WORDS FOR "GENTILE" ARE TRANSLATED



It is time to look at the words translated as "Gentile". In the KJV translation of the Bible, immediately the strange fact of multiple inconsistent translations can be seen.


### HEBREW. GREEK. Gowry - goi - goyim ethnos - ethne

- 374 times as nations 64 times as nations
- 143 times as heathen 5 times as heathen
- 30 times as gentiles 93 times as gentiles
- 11 times as people 2 times as people.

Now, when we compare versions, we find that the number of times we find the word "Gentiles" increases from 93 times in the KJV to 129 times in the NASB. This immediately alerts us that there is a problem with this word. It at once suggests that the NASB is writing doctrine into its version, even more than the KJV does.

In the author's paper, "Galatians and Israel Exclusive", we can look at the "Greeks". In the original text the word Hellen is used thirty five times, but our translators have also chosen to translate this word (wrongly) as "Gentile", particularly in the Book of Romans. Ethnos and Hellen are quite different words! Sometimes the justification is to say that the Greeks were not "Jews" and therefore they must be "Gentiles". This is not translating; rather it is interpreting

Scripture in the translations. There is neither rhyme nor reason for all these various translations and mis-translations, other than to perpetuate a wrong belief!



The commonly accepted meaning of the word "Gentile" immediately falls down from the translation point of view alone. When we add the fact that the word in Hebrew is used also of Israel it falls further! When we show the real meaning from the New Testament, it falls right out of sight! The Hebrew and Greek words mean "nations" as races and peoples. They mean any group of a common origin, including Israel, when this is the context.

Let us look at some Old Testament Scriptures where the word Gowy, Goi or Goyim are used. If we apply the logic concerning Gentiles for these verses, we can see the ridiculous conclusions that could be reached by transposing translations. Remember that goi and ethnos are used of Israel as well as of other races.

**Gen 12:1,2** *Now the Lord said unto Abram ... and I will make of thee a great nation.*

**Gen 17:5** *A father of many nations have I made thee.*

Did God make a great non-Israel "Gentile" nation out of Abraham and did Abraham father many Gentiles? Was the great nation other than Israel? We need not comment here on the singular "nation" and the plural "nations".

**Gen 25:23** *And the Lord said unto her (Rebecca), Two nations are in thy womb - Could Rebecca have what would become two non-Israel "Gentiles" in her womb?*

**Gen 48:19** *And his seed shall become a multitude of nations.*

There is no evidence in Scripture that Ephraim would produce a lot of non-Israelites.

Indeed, Ephraim became the leading Tribe of the House of Israel.

**Gen 46:3** *And he said, I am God, the God of thy father (Isaac) fear not to go down into Egypt; for I will there make of thee a great nation.*

Could the sons of Jacob be a great non-Israel nation of "Gentiles"?

**Jer 31:36** *If those ordinances [the sun and the moon] depart from before me, saith the Lord, then the seed of Israel shall also cease from being a nation before me for ever.*

As the word for "nation" is the same as that translated "Gentile", we could equally read the seed of Israel shall not cease from being Gentiles before Me. We could even say Israel would not cease from being heathen! This is absurd!

When we consider the word ethnos, which is sometimes translated as "Gentiles" in the New Testament, we have another block of translations among which we could make transpositions. The consequences are equally absurd!

**Luke 7:5** *For he loved our nation, and has built for us a synagogue.*

Would that section of Jewry be pleased if the Centurion had built a synagogue for the so-called Gentiles or the heathen? "Nation" is the word ethnos.

**Luke 23:2** *We found this fellow perverting the nation, --- Would "The Jews" care so much if Jesus were perverting the "Gentiles"?*

**John 11:48** *The Romans shall come and take away both our place and nation.*

For the Romans to come to Judea and take away "our" Gentiles gets more that a little foolish.

**John 11:49,50** *Ye know nothing at all, nor consider that it is expedient for us, that one man should die for the people, and that the whole nation perish not.*

Caiaphas did not know that this word *ethnos* would be translated as "Gentile" and "heathen" and note he used "nation" in the singular. Jesus did die for the sheep that the Father had given Him and only that many. He gave Himself a ransom "for many"; but not every race on earth. It is explained that the Law and Covenants given to the seed of Israel only were not given to other races. We will see more of what "many" means later in this paper.

**Acts 10:22 Cornelius** ... of good report among all the nation of the Jews:

"Nation" is *ethnos* which is often translated as "Gentiles", so could we possibly have "Gentiles of the Jews", in the popular conception?

**Acts 24:17.** I came to bring alms to my nation and offerings.

Here Paul would be saying that he brought alms to his "Gentiles" in Jerusalem. Paul was an Israelite of the Tribe of Benjamin.

We just have to admit that there is no such word in all of Scripture which matches up with the common acceptance of the word "Gentile". We can now see that *goi* [Heb] and *ethnos* [Greek] can mean both Israelites and non-Israelites.

Some teachers who admit to *goi* and *ethnos* being used of Israel declare that in the singular they refer to Israel and in the plural they refer to all the non-Israel nations. Galilee of the Gentiles in Matthew 4:15 is said to refer to "Gentiles" because it is the plural. When we make a comparison with Acts 1:11, "ye men of Galilee", and Acts 2:7, "are not all that speak Galileans?", it has to be admitted that the disciples were Israelites even if they were from Galilee, and so the expression, "Galilee of the Gentiles" is about Israelites living in Galilee. But it is not about Israelites living in Judea.

**To be continued**

## The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant (Part 8) Documenting The Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation By Pastor Eli James



**The Last Word:**  
**GENTILE.**

**O**NE of the most abused and misunderstood words of Scripture is the word 'gentile.' This word is actually of

Latin origin and it NEVER had the meaning of "those who are non-Jews." This meaning is of modern origin and is based on the false Jewish idea that Jews are Israelites and that all non-Jews are so-called "Gentiles." The reality is that the Latin word 'gentile' was substituted for the Greek word *ETHNOS*, which simply means, "race, tribe." In the original Latin, the word meant, very specifically, a person of one's OWN RACE OR TRIBE. Hence, we see that the Jewish-inspired definition of the word is the exact opposite of the original meaning. The Jews use it to designate non-Jews, when, Scripturally, the word most often refers to the Israelites of the Dispersion (dated 745 BC). Just as the modern word, 'race,' can refer to any race, the Greek

'*ethnos*,' the Hebrew 'goy' and the Latin 'gentil' can refer to any race, so the context of a particular passage must be taken into consideration before determining which race is being spoken of.

Race-mixing with non-Whites was simply unheard of in the Greco-Roman world. It only occurred among the wealthy who brought non-White slaves back to Greece and Rome from the various military campaigns. Race-mixing was taboo in America as late as the 1950's. It was the Jewish-owned televangelist networks that began promoting the idea that the races must integrate; and it was the Supreme Court under the Jewish rabbi, Earl Warren, that urged Eisenhower to forcibly integrate Mississippi's schools. It wasn't the Bible that changed. The Bible Belt of the South was just fine with segregation since 1776. What changed? It was the pastors of apostate Judeo-Christianity who started changing THEIR doctrine, claiming that today, after 2,000 years of segregation, that the Bible must be interpreted differently!

Although Strong's Concordance includes, as the last entry, the Jewish definition of the word 'goy' as "foreign nation," a careful study of the passages in which this word is used shows conclusively that the word 'goy' is used far more often of Israelites than of any other nation, so "foreign nation" is a false definition by the standard of Biblical usage. This false definition has been picked up by the deceived "Gentiles" who don't know how the Jews have distorted the definitions of words for their own, supremacist purposes.

Here is how the Ensign Message describes the deception that has come about, regarding this word:

### **THE ENGLISH WORD "GENTILE" A SAD ERROR IN TRANSLATION**



An examination of this word, and how it came into the English language from the Bible, shows that it was evidently coined by the translators of the Authorised Version to their individualistic understanding that excluded the main national theme of Israel from the New Testament. Ignorant of the whereabouts of dispersed Israel and the Nation building in the Isles of the West, they considered "Israel" and "Jew" as interchangeable terms as denoting the same people mostly resident in the Holy Land and nearby districts. They used the word "Gentile" to describe all peoples other than those termed "Israel" or "Jew." They took great liberties, in translating the Greek word ethnos in a variety of ways - Gentiles 93 times, heathen 5 times, nation 64 times, people twice with the greatest inconsistency including the Greek word hellen, which they also indiscriminately rendered "Gentile."

The collective word ethnos which means - "nation" - is not applicable to an individual. A person cannot be addressed as a "nation." The idea that a person can be called a "gentile" - stems from incorrect translation of the original word.

The English word - Gentile - has its origin from the Latin gentelisis and the French gentil, both derived from the root stem gens, a Latin word meaning - "A Selected Clan or Race of the same stock," in a collective sense.

Had the translators used the word - "nation" - in every instance, the context would reveal whether ethnos was applicable to the nations of Israel or nations of non-Israel race.

Church leaders erroneously read the Pauline Epistles as addressed to alien peoples of non-Israel race - termed "Gentiles."

In doing so they introduce doctrine so full of contradictions as to subvert the Gospel of the Kingdom as taught by Jesus and the message the Apostles were commanded by HIM to expound to the lost sheep of Israel and not alien "Gentiles" (Matthew 10:5-6).

The true Kingdom Gospel - covered by the Mystery of the Kingdom - (Matthew 13:11), was revealed only to HIS Disciples and those who had ears to hear. Blind Israel was not immediately receptive to the message and those of the early centuries A.D. - lost in a maze of Christian dogmas - had relegated God's Servant Nation to the limbo of forgotten legend.

In the light of new knowledge the old mistakes can be accounted for. It can now be seen that the Bible contains a "cover story" implanted for the very purpose of concealing Israel from the world at large.

Instead of following the unchanging purpose of Yahweh in the Israel race and the political base of the Gospel of the Kingdom, Christians were carried away with the individual aspects of personal salvation and substituted a gospel that excluded the national theme.

Undoubtedly the translators of the A.V., biased by this attitude, misapplied the meaning of the original word for nation and substituted religious connotations to it - such as "Jews" and



"Christians." In proof of this corruption see our modern English dictionaries, which continued to perpetuate the error, an example - according to "The New Hamlyn Encyclopedic World Dictionary":

"Gentile = of or pertaining to any people not Jewish."

The absurdity of such an error is profound, and that it should have been handed down to this day and age without correction, shows to what an extent tradition may lead astray. The muddle can only be solved by accurate definitions:

"Jewish" denotes a person professing - "the Jews' religion" - (Gal. 1:13) and is not applicable to a race.

Directly opposed to the above, gens, the root stem of Gentile, appertains to people belonging to the same family, clan or nation - and NOT to a heterogeneous collection of many different races of people.

The same bias that actuated the translators of the A.V. to distort the meaning of ethnos, is evident also with the Greek words genes, genos and gennema, whose primary meaning in each case is race.

In many passages they confused the racial theme by rendering "race" as "generation" - striking examples of this, where "generation" makes no

sense with the context, are - Matthew 3:7. 12:34; 23:33, 24:34, Mark 13:30; Luke 3:7; 21:32; 1 Peter 2:9.

Summary: The Pattern of Exclusion, From Genesis 1 to Revelation 22

In Gen. 1, the dominion covenant was given to the Adamic race exclusively. In Gen. 2, a specific man and a specific woman of AWDAM were selected to be the leaders of this race. Yahweh personally breathed the breath of life into them, so that they could produce a dedicated bloodline. They were even promised an immortal posterity if they obeyed Yahweh's laws of separation, but Eve was seduced by the Nachash critter and Adam tasted the fruit of miscegenation as well. This is what led to the Fall. The Hebrew word, nachash, has its root in the Aryan word, Naga, meaning the fallen ones of the primordial world. Naga is cognate with such words as ANAKIM (the fallen angels of Scripture) and with the Chaldean ANUNAKI. We can also see that the Hebrew root is similar to the English NAKED and the Germanic NAKT (naked) and even NACHT (night, dark), because what Adam and Eve did (forbidden sex) required them to be naked and they mated with the children of darkness and probably with the dark-skinned chay of the field, in the Garden.

The pattern of exclusion that Scripture details is designed to get the Adamic Race back on its segregated path. The pattern of exclusion names only White patriarchs as the leaders of the Race.

<b>SPECIAL SELECTION OF MALES AND FEMALES OF ADAMKIND</b>			
<b>TRIBAL GROUP</b>	<b>PATRIARCH</b>	<b>MATRIARCH</b>	<b>EXCLUDED</b>
White Race	Adam	Eve	All non-Whites Especially the Kenites (descendants of Cain)
Sethites	Seth	Azura?	All non-Whites
The Sethites grew and expanded until the days of Noah, when a great purging of the ranks of the Sethites took place, because of miscegenation with the Nephilim and other races.			
Noahites, Hebrews and Shemites (Indo- Aryan Brahmins)	Abraham	Sarah	Ishmaelites and Keturans
Abrahamites	Isaac	Rebecca	Esau-Edom
Isaac's Sons (Saxons)	Jacob	several wives	Edomites

**TO BE CONTINUED**

# The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (10)

By Charles Lee Mange



## The Amillennial View of Satan

**T**HE amillennial view of Satan is a type of Dualism where both Satan and God appear as co-equals. One god is good, and the other bad. These peoples see the world as moving under the power of Satan and the power of God consistently diminishing in the earth. Ultimately they see the entire earth come under the power of Satan and all people, excepting a small handful, which are snatched out by the saving power of God. While both God's Kingdom and Satan's Kingdom exist on this earth, the amillennial viewpoint sees Satan's Kingdom becoming triumphant over the Kingdom of God. The bad god (Satan) wins in the final analysis. This type of Dualism, of equating God and Satan in a power struggle, with Satan the final winner, is clearly not the Biblical View of Satan. The Holy Scriptures do not sustain this position and it is most unfortunate that many people in the modern church world have become victims of this unscriptural teaching.

## The Premillennial View of Satan

The premillennial view of Satan is espoused by millions of people who worship in the fundamental churches of the western world. Since the theology of premillennial teaching holds to the idea that Satan is basically a supernatural, omnipresent, omniscient being with all power and authority, it is easy to see how they would surrender this earth to Satan and seek to make their exit out through the doctrine of the rapture. The premillennial people see Satan as

the "god" of this world and the final victor over the earth. The only Kingdom they see is a "Jewish Kingdom" which is apparently the work of Satan and God together on an equal plane. They place Satan in absolute charge. The Kingdom of Jesus Christ wanes before the onrushing power of Satan in premillennial theology. The final dominion of this earth belongs to Satan. Satan is worshipped as though he were god. A great amount of all preaching and worship in the fundamental church world is devoted to exonerating Satan as a supernatural, omniscient, omnipresent being who is everywhere on earth at once and who also is present in hell torturing millions of living souls.

The premillennial position ascribes unwarranted power to Satan. It is not a Biblical View and cannot be supported by the Scriptures. This theology would surrender the earth and the fullness thereof to Satan. It seeks to rob man of all responsibility for claiming dominion of this earth in the name and for the glory of Jesus Christ. Premillennial thinking has caused man to evolve a fire-escape religion. Man seeks to escape from this earth, surrender the kingdoms of this world to Satan, allow the anti-Christ to rule and have dominion over this earth, and for evil to be triumphant. It denies victory in Jesus Christ. Man cannot overcome evil, for Satan is the god of this world, the prince of this world, and he claims all dominion of this earth with the enshrinement of the anti-Christ. The only kingdom the premillennial people see is a Jewish Kingdom. They see no Christian Dominion under Jesus Christ, the King of this earth. They see no Kingdom ruled over by Jesus Christ prior to the rule of the anti-Christ. They have surrendered the world to Satan. Thus they have evolved a form of Devil Worship. Satan is worshipped as god. The fear of Satan becomes the basis for most conversion. It promotes a fear psychosis of Satan and his power over man and the earth. It denies the Sovereignty of Jesus Christ and the ultimate Victory of His Kingdom over this world. The premillennial viewpoint of Satan is not a Biblical viewpoint. It is not Scriptural. It is indeed a fabrication of lies which holds man in moral bondage. This viewpoint of Satan cannot be held by any Christian who honors Jesus Christ and the Bible.

## The Postmillennial View of Satan

The Postmillennial view of History sees the world coming daily under the rule of Christian thought and philosophy. It foresees a final victory of this earth by Christian men and the establishment of Christ's Kingdom without a personal return of Jesus Christ back to this earth prior to the victory of this Kingdom over the earth. The postmillennial theology would see Satan as almost non-existent, or if he did exist, he would exert little if any power in the earth. This viewpoint of history and of the Bible denies Satan any valid position as to power and authority in this earth. While this position is certainly more valid than either the amillennial or premillennial position with regard to Satan, it is not entirely void of weakness. This position is closer to the truth, but is still extremely far from the true teaching of the Bible with regard to Satan. Those who take an extreme position in the postmillennial viewpoint of history and of the Bible would deny the personal existence of Satan.



Those who take an extreme position and deny the personal existence of Satan are probably beyond the stand taken by most postmillennial Christian people. Those who deny the personal existence of Satan, who deny that the Holy Scriptures teach the existence of a personal Satan, and who teach that Satan is only symbolic of evil or an "evil thought" or "lust" in man's mind and/or heart, are unknowingly according Satan his greatest victory which is to deny that he exists. Satan's most overwhelming victory is to convince man that he does not exist. To deny the personal existence of Satan is just as extreme as those who accord him supernatural, omniscient, omnipresent power over the earth, its people, and hell. Neither position is a valid Biblical Viewpoint. Both extremes are wrong

and both deny the Biblical Viewpoint of Satan which will be discussed later.

Those who take the extreme position that there is no personal Satan usually end up defending this position by trying to argue that Satan is a scapegoat upon which people can impute their own sins and not bear personal responsibility for their actions. This type of behaviour, Flip Wilson style, "The Devil Made Me Do It", may indeed be used by many irresponsible people who seek to find a scapegoat upon whom they can impute their sins. This faulty thinking only demonstrates their utter lack of Biblical Understanding of Satan and his fallen nature which is still a process of captivating the minds of many Christian people. Those who seek to blame their "sins" upon Satan and use him as their personal scapegoat have failed to do business with the problem of sin, and those who would deny the existence of a personal Satan because people would say, "The Devil Made Me Do It", do not understand the cause of Original Sin and the result of Original Sin. Man's denial of a personal Satan means that they ultimately must impute all sin to God. A denial of Satan means that there was no original sin for a denial of Satan leaves us with a first cause. We are left with a result, "sin", but we are without a first cause, the tempter, who tempts, when we deny the existence of a personal Satan. If we deny the personal existence of Satan then God has no enemy and if God has no enemy then His Children are without enemies. If Satan is only a "state of mind" or an "evil thought in man's mind" then does this mean that Jesus Christ is only a "good thought" or a good presence of mind?

Those who deny the personal existence of Satan have allowed Satan to score his greatest victory...the victory of conning man into believing that he "Satan" does not exist is the greatest victory we can accord the Devil. Those who deny the personal existence of Satan are trapped in faulty thinking. If there was not Satan to tempt the first Adam, then the temptation of the Second Adam (Jesus Christ) cannot be valid. If Satan is just an evil thought in the mind, does this mean that Jesus Christ is just a good thought in the mind? If Satan is just an "evil thought" and without form, does this mean that Jesus Christ is only a "good thought" and without form? Those who deny the personal existence of Satan have openly declared war on Almighty

God. They have denied the Bible view of Satan as we shall shortly see. If there is not personal Satan to bear God's Righteous Judgment as the First Cause of "Original Sin" then can man also expect to escape the judgment for his sin against God? Those who deny the personal existence of Satan and his demons have apparently been blind to the testimony of six thousand years of history during which time Satan and his minions, as well as the work of Original Sin in the heart of Adam Man, had done its job upon this earth. To deny personal Satan is to assault the Word of God. It is humanism carried to the final brink! It is man attempting to be his own idiot god and to deny the clear record of the Holy Scriptures of the Living God.

Those who would deny the personal existence of Satan as the first tempter of Adam man have failed to do business with the most basic presuppositions of Bible Truth. What was the first cause of original sin? What was Original Sin? How did Original Sin influence Adam man? What is the difference between the result of Adam's transgressions to the heart of Adam man verses the original cause of his fall? If the personification of evil (the first cause which prompted the fall of Adam man) is without

bodily form does this mean the personification of good (the remedy for Adam's justification through Jesus Christ) can be without body form? Does the denial of a personal Satan present valid ground for those who claim that Jesus Christ was a historical myth? That he was only an "idea in the mind of fanatics" who were seeking illusions of grandeur? The end result of denying a personal Satan is to deny a personal Jesus Christ. The end result of the declaration that Satan was only a symbol of evil, is to say that Jesus Christ is only a symbol of good. The denial of a personal Satan in Scripture is an open declaration of war against the Truth of God's Word. It can only mean the ultimate end of Humanism, man seeking to legislate His own ideas above the declaration of Scripture. To teach a denial of Satan is to also teach a denial of Demons. The denial of a personal Satan also presents a frontal assault against the personal existence of Angelic beings. This position cannot be defended by the teaching of the Bible. If represents the depravity of man seeking to rearrange the Ordered events of Almighty God. Let us now proceed to find what the Bible Teaches about Satan.

**To be continued**



## **Harold Stough Notes**

### **Eglwys St. Dyfnog, Llanrhaeadr-yng-Nghinmeirch**

### **A Strange Story**

**L**LANRHAEDR - YNG - NGHINMEIRCH is a village in the Vale of Clwyd, approximately five kilometres to the South-East of Denbigh on the A525. The village church, Eglwys St. Dyfnog is a historic building, having a double nave, a 13th Century tower and an exquisite Medieval 'Tree of Jesse' window of superb design and glass quality. During the English Civil War, the glass from the window was removed and hidden in a large dug out chest that was buried in the woods. The window was restored in 1660 and the chest can be seen in the church beneath the window.


There is a strange story associated with the church, concerning one of its buried residents. Anne Parry was a Methodist preacher, the

founder of the village Sunday school and a highly regarded member of the community. When she died in 1787 she was buried in the graveyard of the church. Forty-three years later in 1830 her son died and was to be interred in the same plot as his mother. When the grave was dug out, the coffin of Ann Parry was found to be in surprisingly good condition, so it was opened up. Inside, her body was found to be perfectly preserved, and it is said that the flowers that were buried with her were still fresh and fragrant. In 1836 her daughter-in-law was buried in the same grave, and upon opening the coffin again, the body of Ann was said to still be perfectly preserved.

**The End OS21241**

# The Great Pyramid Of Giza And The Supremacy Of The Sacred Cubit (Part 2)

## Doug Krieger



**T**HE Base Edge of the Great Pyramid of Giza is 756 Feet / 2.1 Feet (The Sacred Cubit) = 360 Sacred Cubits.

360 \* 4-square base (perimeter) = 1,440 Sacred Cubits – this

is the true base of the Great Pyramid of Giza – all others are deficient – all others are tragic obfuscations – all others obscure his true purpose!

### The base perimeter of the GPG's 1,440 Sacred Cubits

1,440 \* 3 = 4,320 Sacred Cubits (cubing the structure as a 12-edged cube) – i.e., the radius of the Sun/fractal at 432,000 miles and doubled as is the case with the Octahedron which is the GPG would be 4,320 SC + 4,320 SC = 8,640 SC – the Sun of Righteousness for the Sun's diameter if 864,000 miles.

The 8 souls saved in the Ark of Noah knew of this and that is why we have the OCTAHEDRON – the “8” for:

8,640 SC / 8 = 1,080 Sacred Cubits and this “18” is the very New Jerusalem (again).

His platform is altogether obvious under the dominion of the Man with the Measuring Reed/Line in His Hand:

For: If the Great Pyramid were divided by “21” (the fractal of the 2.1' Sacred Cubit) then we find:

756' / 21 = 36 Feet – therefore, 21 \* 21 = 441 mini-blocks (reverse of 144) each bearing a measurement of 36' x 36' x 36' x 36' or a linear foot measurement for each of the 441 blocks to equal 144 Linear Feet per 441 blocks – if each of these mini-blocks were cubed – we would find: 144' \* 3 = 432' – every single one bears the radius of the Sun and if doubled (the reverse side of the Octahedron we would have: 432' \* 2 =

864') and the Sun of Righteousness would be fully revealed.

Without the Sacred Cubit the DIAGONALS of the Great Pyramid of Giza are meaningless – to wit:

756' base edge / 2.1' (Sacred Cubit) = 360 Sacred Cubits – therefore, its diagonal @ 360 SC is 509 Sacred Cubits \* 2 (Crisscrossed = 1,018 Sacred Cubits and on “6” faces of its cube we have 1,018 \* 6 = 6,108 or 6...18 wherein man “6” is made for the New Jerusalem “18.”

Furthermore – as an Octahedron we have: 6,108 Sacred Cubits upon the 6 faces \* 2 = 12,216 Sacred Cubits (top and bottom) and therefore, we see the “12” and the “216” – the Cardinal Number of the duodecimal system of numeration – the New Jerusalem's 12 gates and 12 foundations and the very Name of God @ “216” or the diameter of the Moon at 2,160 or the circumference of the Nautical Earth at 21,600 or 1/12 of the Great Precessional at 2,160 years.

The GPG's Elevation with Pyramidion is 480.9 Feet (Note: Digit sum of 4 + 8 + 9 = “21”) or 480.9' / 2.1' (Sacred Cubit) = 229 Sacred Cubits - again, its base is 756' / 2.1 SC = 360 SC (which reflects the 360 degrees in a circle)

– therefore her Sacred Cubit Ratio is: 360 SC / 229 SC = 1.5720524 (perfectly in balance with the GPG's 11:7 or 1.57142857.)

The Giza PI as adopted from the ancients/Jesus and the “153” fish caught at the Sea of Galilee is 480.9/153 = 3.14313725.

The Sacred Cubit exposes the AREA of the Octahedron – Great Pyramid of Giza in that the edge is 756' / 2.1' (Sacred Cubit) = 360 Sacred Cubits (base edge) \* 360 Sacred Cubits = 129,600 Square Sacred Cubits \* 2 (Octahedron) = 259,200 Square Sacred Cubits (both bases) = 25,920 years fractal of the Great Precessional of the Constellations - so is the Great Pyramid of Giza but ONLY under the domination of the Sacred Cubit – this is the ULTIMATE

**DECODING - THIS IS THE ETERNAL'S DESIGN AND PURPOSE FOR THE AGES.**

And so we understand, once again its mystery – 259,200 Sq. SC / 9 (the Sun of Righteousness – for the Sun is in the midst of the 8 planets of the Solar System) = 28,800 Sq. SC / 2 = 14,400 Sq. SC and as an Octahedron these are the two sets of 144,000 found in Revelation 7 and Revelation 14 – they are indeed the 28,800! And, 259,200 Sq. SC / 144 (of the New Jerusalem) = 1,800 or the “18” of the New Jerusalem Standard of Measurement.

There are a multitude of additional “Sacred Cubit” confirmations over the entire Giza Necropolis and over each of the pyramids – to obfuscate, circumvent and obscure the Dimensions of the Sacred Cubit upon the Giza Pyramids is a gross oversight and, sad to say, the blatant fear of the TRUTH!

This is the plan and purpose of the Almighty – without the Divinely Inspired Sacred Cubit – NONE of this would be seen, nor possible – not only do the numbers not lie – THEY SPEAK THE TRUTH!

For only by the Sacred Cubit and by the Giza Pi can the real circumference of the famous CIRCLE'S CIRCUMFERENCE BE FOUND: 480.9' (height) / 153 (fish caught by Jesus) = 3.14313725 (GIZA PI)...

229 Sacred Cubit Height of the GPG \* 2 = 458 Sacred Cubits \* 3.14313728 (Giza Pi) = 1,440 Sacred Cubits Circumference of the Great Circle ...

This is clearly the Divine Circumference of the Great Circle of the Great Pyramid of Giza and the Octahedron – NOTHING COMES CLOSE TO ITS ACCURACY – nothing comes so dramatically close to the Dimensions of Paradise – to the New Jerusalem!

**PS1**

Don't despair – there's a new day dawning... for 1 \* 2 \* 3 \* 4 \* 5 \* 6 \* 7 \* 8 \* 9 = 362,880 or 36288 (using as factors all of the 9 primary numbers) ... the Great Pyramid of Giza will

attest thereto in that 756 Feet (her base edge) \* 4 = 3,024 Linear Perimeter Feet \* 12 Inches = 36,288 Inches or 36,288 and what do we SEE here:

The Eternal God (36) is coming for His Bride for “288” / 2 = 144 and there are two sets of 144,000 in the New Jerusalem: Israel (Revelation 7) and the Church (Revelation 14). And, 36 \* 288 = 10,368 or “18” surrounding “36” – God (36) is in the midst of the New Jerusalem (18) and together they comprise the New Jerusalem in that 1 + 3 + 6 + 8 = 18...because Messiah (27 as in 3 + 6 + 2 + 8 + 8 = 27) was “cut off but not for Himself” – but for His beloved Bride, the Woman, the Holy City, New Jerusalem.

Relax – the Great Pyramid of Giza isn't going anywhere too soon or too fast!



RE: 12.21.12 – Dec 3 (-18 days) vs Jan 8 (+18 days)

18 Days \* 1,440 minutes (per day) = 25,920 / 2.1 (ah! the SC which some have no idea of its potency) = 12,342.8571 (1/2 the circumference of the Earth)\*

2 = 24,685.7143 or 360/7 \* 480 = 24,685.7143 or 24,686 and if that ain't a kick – turns out that this very figure IS the circumference of the Earth (See here). But I do like the 1,440 \* 30 prophetic days in a prophetic month = 43,200 or “432” which is the radius of the Sun or that 1,440 \* 7 (one week) = 10,080 or “18” = the New Jerusalem and, of course, 1,440 \* 12 months = 17,280 or 12 \* 12 \* 12 = the perfect cubic measurement or that 1,440 \* 360 days in a prophetic year = 518,400 min. / 2.1 SC = 246857143 and VOILA – here comes the circumference of the Earth again...as in 24,685.7143 or 24,686 but the “7143” is more precise, isn't it? Then again, why not just take the 25,920 (Great Precessional) / 2.1 Sacred Cubit = 12,342.8571 \* 2 = 24,685.7143 and DOUBLE VOILA we have the Circumference of the Earth again as in 24,685.7143 PRECISELY!

**PS2**

I reread Giza Pyramids, Part 2 – and to my great surprise I discovered a most amazing thing

relative to its measurements and I am altogether pleased to share this fresh insight with you, having to do with your decoding wherein you state:

Here is another unbelievable “coincidence”:

“Pi” approximated to the 9th decimal place is exactly  $\text{Pi} = 3.141\ 592\ 654$

$3 \times 1 \times 4 \times 1 \times 5 \times 9 \times 2 \times 6 \times 5 \times 4 = 129,600$   
 $129,600 \times 2 = 25,920 \times 10$  which is  $10 \times$  Earth’s precession cycles (  $10 \times$  period of precession of the equinoxes)

This so intrigued me ... after all, we’re only decoding the Seventh and only Wonder of the World still standing to declare Himself as the “Altar unto the LORD at the Border (lit. “Giza”) of Egypt (Isaiah 19:19-20).

Now – Alex I wish to express my appreciation for the dissemination of the truth with regards to Giza – we cannot fool around here – for me, I have no monetary interest in this matter whatsoever – but I do feel that a trust has been committed to me and to you as well, and let’s throw in our dear Charles Marcello – learning to “share” is divine, not human (in most cases)...in any event, here goes – and, again, thanks to YOU this truth is now for the first time uncovered for all to marvel at this, the earthly expression of the Sun of Righteousness (read the article and you’ll see why) – but here goes:



I have spoken of the now INFAMOUS (for it knocks all other PI’s off the mountain) PI known as the GIZA PI. It was derived by taking the precise measurement of the “entire – not weathered” Great Pyramid of Giza (a.k.a. Cheops) to be that of 480.9 (See: Islamic Architecture or the brilliant work of Mark Lehner or “the Great Pyramid was 280 Egyptian royal cubits tall (480.9 feet (146 m).”

Having metrologically established such an height (Note: Not “elevation” in that this connotes height from sea level) as the numerator of the fraction which would ultimately constitute the GIZA PI – I had to determine its denominator

and “chanced” upon the ancients’ understanding and the use of “153” – as was the case with Jesus in John 21 and the miracle of the “153 fish” brought to shore by Peter as a metaphor that at the Sea of Galilee (“gal” meaning “CIRCLE”) that he and the disciples would truly become “fishers of men.” Therefore, the “153” for these reasons was chosen and that its number set as factor give us “15” as in  $1 \times 5 \times 3 = 15$  and that those of the correct and most accurate measurement of the Great Pyramid of Giza (GPG) give us “21” as in  $4 + 8 + 9 = 21$ .

The “15” attends to the prime measurement of each of the 12 edges of the New Jerusalem given in Revelation 21:16 wherein 12,000 furlongs/stadia \* 660 Feet (1 furlong) = 7,920,000 Feet / 5,280 (1 Statute Imperial Mile) = 1,500 Imperial Miles or “15” per each of the 12 edges of the cubed New Jerusalem or  $15 \times 12 = 180$  or “18” which “18” is the entirety of the 12-edge-linear measurement of the New Jerusalem – the ultimate Purpose of the Almighty.

The “21” attends to the GPG’s immediate grid of  $21 \times 21 = 441$  and, of course, we determined from there using the Sacred Cubit’s 2.1 Feet that  $756' \text{ (base edge of the GPG)} / 2.1' = 360$  Sacred Cubits and that  $360 \text{ SC} \times 360 \text{ SC}$  (its base area) = 129,600 Sacred Cubits which looks terribly familiar with your own research seen below (by me for the first time, I hasten to add):

$\text{Pi} = 3.141\ 592\ 654$

$3 \times 1 \times 4 \times 1 \times 5 \times 9 \times 2 \times 6 \times 5 \times 4 = 129,600$

$129,600 \times 2 = 25,920 \times 10$

Now, and as you did based upon Pi @ 3.141592654, etc. you doubled (for some inexplicable reason) your  $129,600 \times 2 = 25,920 \times 10$  – and we, of course, holding true to the measurement of the 2.1’ Sacred Cubit did the same, however, we took our existing 129,600 square Sacred Cubits and doubled them because we’re actually dealing with an Octahedron and in that the upper part of this Octahedron is the GPG (as to the heavens), His earthly effort would, of necessity, be a duplication of His base – therefore:  $129,600 \text{ Sq.SC} \times 2 = 259,200 \text{ Sq.SC}$

and we arrive at the Great Precessional's 25,920 years.

Now, getting back to the 480.9 Feet / 153 Fish...let's secure the GIZA PI there from:

480.9' / 153 Fish = 3.14313725490 (we stop at zero)

So – following your methodology and using the PI to come up with 129,600 (by the way, Alex, very impressive, I must say – although you could have used the Sacred Cubit and done the same thing!)...we instead will use the GIZA PI and see what can be so ascertained there from (Note: in RED we have the GIZA PI of 480.9/153 = 3.14313725490 – 12 in all):

$$3 * 1 * 4 = 12$$

$$12 * 3 = 36$$

$$36 * 1 * 3 = 108 (18)$$

$$108 * 7 = 756$$

(the base edge of the GPG in feet) 756 \* 2 = 1512

(1512 is Greek Gematria for "Apocalypsis" and 2 base edges of the GPG)

1512 \* 5 = 7560 (756 = the Base Edge of the GPG again)

7560 \* 4 = 30,240 ("324" is a fractal of the GPG's base Perimeter at 756' \* 4 = 3,024)  
30,240 \* 9 = 272,160

(Note: "0" is the 12th in the series of the GIZA PI and connotes the Eternal One as in a CIRCLE of 360 degrees without Beginning or Ending Seen as: 27...216 or "27" = the Revelation of Messiah in 27 Texts of Sacred Christian Canon and "216" connotes the Name of God,

the fractal of the Moon's 2160 Mile Diameter, the fractal of the Nautical Earth's Circumference at 21,600 Nautical Miles, the fractal of 1/12th of the Great Precessional or 25,920 years / 12 = 2,160 Years,

### NOW THE PROOF:

272160 / 2.1 (the Sacred Cubit) = 129,600...and we arrive at our 129,600 again!

AND 1 \* 2 \* 9 \* 6 = 108 = "18" THE NEW JERUSALEM

### CONCLUSION:

Without the embellishment of the Sacred Cubit (2.1' or 25.20") and the wondrous discovery of the growing acceptability of the GIZA PI (via the ignoble efforts of yours truly and the Divine Calculator Who came up with the measurements of the GIZA PI in the first place or 480.9/153 = 3.14313725490) we would never have in our wildest imaginations concocted such a mathematical series of discoveries – its simplicity confounds the wise and its wisdom astounds the scientist and statistician.

## I Will Return

Jeremiah 2:13,19 ; 50:4-6 ; Hosea 2:6-7.

### John Trotter – Winmalee Australia



programmes that entertain are being watched more often. When you watch the news, it is understandable how some people can become depressed. Day to day frustrations are enough to deal with.

Our leaders, no matter what their profession, are generally looked upon with suspicion; they are considered deceitful and cannot therefore be trusted. Most feel that our grandchildren will be living in a society that will be quite disturbing. There are some who look to science and

**D**URING recent times it has become obvious that more people are not watching the news on television. The



knowledge as their future saviours but here again there lies confusion and great debate.

Because fewer people than some decades ago look to the Scriptures for some answers, you will be met with scorn or at least sympathy, if you suggest that therein lies our hope for the future. For the vast majority, all you have to do is live one day at a time and be happy. Whatever our philosophy, there is still an essential need within the mind of man to believe in some higher being. This higher being can range from a spiritual belief in the intelligence and wisdom of man, to the belief and faith in the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. It is a fact that once you take God or the Creator out of the equation man's solution and outcome is very different to what the Scriptures have to say.

It is a great leap of faith to believe that the original Scriptures were inspired by God (2 Timothy 3:16). This verse should be also read in conjunction with Romans 15:4 which in part refers to the comfort of the Scriptures, wherein lies our hope for the future. I Corinthians 10:11-12 shows that the things that happened during the Old Covenant times were written as a warning and that we should not totally depend upon our own confidence. Such faith as this is unthinkable to those who believe that man can control his own destiny and will eventually bring about a society where there is peace and equality.

I am a firm believer that the Bible explains itself. When you use cross referencing this becomes very obvious. Some examples of the cross references for the verses used under the title of this article are as follows : Psalm 52:7, Isaiah 31:1, 47:10 and Jeremiah 49:10. There are many more but these will suffice to show true Israel (mostly those of the Western nations) have falsely trusted in man's intelligence, his wisdom, other nations, international treaties, military might and their own wickedness to name but a few. Isaiah 47:10 says: "For thou hast trusted in thy wickedness: thou hast said, none seeth me. Thy wisdom and thy knowledge, it hath perverted thee; and thou hast said in thine heart, I AM and none else beside me". As a result of the false trust shown in verse 10 we are told in verse 11 "that evil shall come upon thee (Israel)".

There is one point that I would like to comment upon from verse 10 and that is the reference to the "I am". The Bible is very clear about who is the "I AM", and yet in this verse Israel appears

to be saying that she is the "I am". This appears to be a connection to the lie that Satan promised Eve, that she would become like the "gods" knowing good and evil. It is of interest that one of the expectations of socialist humanism is that man, by changing the environment, human relations and the makeup of society, can become more like the "gods". In so many ways we see the wisdom of man and his expanding knowledge, being used to establish on this earth, his own Garden of Eden. Much of T.V. advertising present these ideas.



All of the above are but "broken cisterns which cannot contain water" (Jeremiah 2:13). Whilst man spends billions in trying to understand and eventually separate the "God particle" of the atom, there exists centralist forces that are pushing mankind towards a disastrous outcome. But all is not lost for the God who created the power of the atom has other plans unknown to those who are unwittingly carrying out Satan's plans.

It is my opinion that Satan has a counterfeit plan. God does allow Satan's ministers of righteousness (2 Corinthians 11:15) to do his bidding. It is my belief that the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob allows the consequences of sin to play out its role. Whilst God never creates sin, which is the "transgression of the Law" (1 John 3:4) He does allow the consequences of our disobedience to play out its effect. This is what I believe is partly behind the words God creating darkness and evil (Isaiah 45:7).

One of the outcomes of human history and the endeavours of man, whether it be for good or evil, is for true Israel to dig herself into such a deep hole such that the only way out is for her to call upon God to perform a miraculous rescue that is beyond human understanding. I believe

we will have to be in the same position as Gideon was when the Midianites were as grasshoppers (Judges 7:12). The Western nations have got to realise that the broken cisterns are not the answer. We have to be in such a critical position that even our own pride will not allow us to say, "We are able to save ourselves" (Judges 7:2). The 300 that God used are symbolic of the remnant that will not bow the knee to Baal. God is very patient and merciful for He knows that Israel will have to say, "I WILL RETURN".

The Western nations have yet to realise that by endeavouring to appease and familiarize with the nations around them, we have in effect lost their



respect. I compare our national standing to that of a young child who will do anything to join the local boys club in the hope that he will be seen as one of them.

We will even accept their gods under the cover of international tourism. The end result of all this adoption of cultures is that the power of the Holy people has been broken (Daniel 12:7). As Alexander Solzhenitsyn (above) said in a BBC address on March 1st 1976: "Modern society is hypnotised by Socialism. It is prevented by Socialism from seeing the mortal danger it is in. And one of the greatest dangers of all is that you have lost all sense of danger; you cannot even see where it's coming from as it moves swiftly towards you. You imagine you see danger in other parts of the globe and hurl the arrows from your depleted quiver there. But the greatest danger of all is that you have LOST THE WILL TO DEFEND YOURSELVES". And that was said nearly 40 years ago.

It was not and is not God's plan to have the Israel nations mingled with other nations as it is intended by the United Nations (Exodus33:16). By saying this, I am not suggesting that any non-Israel nation is inferior or that Israel is superior, for I believe we are all God's creation. It is my view that the Bible teaches that all nations and races during the millennium will come to understand that Christ is the Redeemer

of Israel and is the Saviour of all mankind. The thoughts of Micah 4:2 and Zechariah 8:20 - 23 appear to indicate that nations other than Israel will desire to learn the things of God. It is also my view that the Western nations will soon see the error of their ways and they will call upon the Lord a second time to rescue them (Isaiah 11:11). God will shorten those days for the elect sake before all flesh would destroy itself (Matthew 24:22). The first time was during the Exodus of Israel from Egypt.

Surely the words of Ezekiel 36:31-32 are a comforting thought that will result in the fulfilment of the words, "I WILL RETURN" as stated in Hosea 2:6-7. Verses 31 and 32 say: "Then shall ye remember your own evil ways, and your doings that were not good, and shall loathe yourselves in your own sight for your iniquities and for your abominations. Not for your sakes do I this, saith the Lord God, be it known unto you: be ashamed and confounded for your own ways, O house of Israel".

As the Bible says, God's ways and thoughts are not our ways. Once we realise that we are the Sheep of His pasture and that Jesus the Christ is our Redeemer and the Good Shepherd who lay down His life for the Sheep, then we will remember the Lord thy God is not only the one who gives us the power to get wealth but is a Covenant keeping God (Deuteronomy 8:18). Within the framework of God's plan, Israel will in due course, express her desire to return to her Husband, for then will Israel realise that IT WAS BETTER THEN, THAN NOW.

the ukcolumn  
LIVE

For the real news

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcolumn>

## ISRAEL'S POEM

Now may God of power and grace In His  
salvation is our hope  
Attend His people's humble cry; And in the  
Name of Israel's God;  
Jehovah hears when Israel prays, Our troops  
shall lift their banners up,  
And brings deliverance from on high. Our  
navies spread their flags abroad.  
The name of Jacob's God defends, Some trust  
in horses trained for war,  
Better than shields and brazen walls; And some  
of chariots make their boast;  
He, from His sanctuary sends Our surest  
expectations are  
Succour and strength when Zion calls. From  
thee, the Lord of heavenly hosts.  
Well, He remembers all our sighs; Now save  
us, Lord, from slavish fear;  
His love exceeds our best deserts; Now let our  
hopes be firm and strong;  
His love accepts the sacrifice Till thy salvation  
shall appear,  
Of humble groans and broken hearts. And joy  
and triumph raise the song.

## Quotes From Our Midland Correspondent


Everybody wants  
to go to heaven,  
but nobody wants  
to die. ~Peter Tosh

"Should I keep back my opinions at such a time,  
through fear of giving offence, I should consider  
myself as guilty of treason towards my country,  
and of an act of disloyalty toward the Majesty of  
Heaven, which I revere above all earthly  
kings..." **Patrick Henry**

"In the end, more than they wanted freedom,  
they wanted security. They wanted a comfortable  
life, and they lost it all -- security, comfort,  
and freedom. When ... the freedom they wished  
for was freedom from responsibility, then Ath-

ens ceased to be free." -- **Sir Edward Gibbon  
(1737-1794)**

"The most effective way to destroy people is to  
deny and obliterate their own understanding of  
their history." **George Orwell**



That the religious bloodshed down the centuries  
has been caused by the Jews is confirmed in the  
following statement: "Across the centuries, our  
brother Abel has lain in blood which we drew,  
and shed tears we caused by forgetting Thy  
love." —**Pope John XXIII, A Prayer (1960),  
cited in VICARS OF CHRIST.**

"Successful people are always looking for  
opportunities to help others. Unsuccessful people  
are always asking, 'What's in it for me?'"  
~**Brian Tracy**

"God, grant me the serenity to accept the things  
I cannot change, courage to change the things I  
can, and the wisdom to know the difference."  
~**Reinhold Niebuhr**

"Watch your thoughts; they become words.  
Watch your words; they become actions. Watch  
your actions; they become habits. Watch your  
habits; they become character. Watch your  
character; it becomes your destiny." ~**Lao-Tze**

"He is a wise man who does not grieve for the  
things which he has not, but rejoices for those  
which he has." ~**Epictetus**

"It is never too late to be what you might have  
been." ~**George Eliot**

# How Mainstream Media Deceives You With Its Magic Tricks

## From Our Midland Correspondent



Back in 1983, approximately 50 corporations controlled the vast majority of all news media in the United States. Today, ownership of the news media has been concentrated in the hands of just six incredibly powerful media corporations. These corporate behemoths control most of what we watch, hear and read every single day. They own television networks, cable channels, movie studios, newspapers, magazines, publishing houses, music labels and even many of our favourite websites.

The six corporations that collectively control U.S. media today are Time Warner, Walt Disney, Viacom, Rupert Murdoch's News Corp., CBS Corporation and NBC Universal. Together, the "big six" absolutely dominate news and entertainment in the United States. But even those areas of the media that the "big six" do not completely control are becoming increasingly concentrated. For example, Clear Channel now owns over 1000 radio stations across the United States. Companies like Google, Yahoo and Microsoft are increasingly dominating the Internet.

**WORDS OF CAUTION:** The videos at the end of this page contain visual evidence that will change how you view the reality that you live in and help free your mind. If you are happy with how the world works and like to think that everything that you have learned throughout your life is truth, this article is probably not for you. It is probably best that you stop reading this article because you will most likely be offended. However, if you want to free your mind and find answers to why the world is such a mess, it would be a good idea to continue reading. On the other hand, if you are a truth seeker, a person who seeks for the truth with little fear of the unknown, then this article should be of great interest to you.

### **Why controlling the media is essential for domination**

Why are these six corporations so interested in dominating the U.S. mainstream media? The reason is because the media is one of the most effective tools to control the mass population. When they control the media, they can influence how the public think.

Mainstream media in the U.S. and most European countries usually contain roughly 25 per cent truths and 75 per cent distorted information and lies. The percentage of truths and lies will vary depending on the subject that is being covered. As for the media in other countries, the truths and lies will vary depending on how controlled their countries are.

Controlling how the public think is one of the first steps to controlling its mind and influencing how it thinks. In other words, this is how they enslave us without our knowledge. Influencing how we think is great for making them money, because once they influence our thought patterns to a certain degree, they can motivate us to buy their unhealthy foods and drugs, and plant false knowledge into our minds.

Most people in the U.S. do not realize that their mainstream media outlets are owned and controlled by only six corporations.

### **How to protect yourself from the deceptions in mainstream media**

For we, the people of the world, to regain our powers and freedom, we will need to rise above the deceptions and lies found in mainstream media, and remove the corrupt leaders who are in charge of it. Until the corrupt leaders in mainstream media are removed, my advice is to believe 25 per cent of the news in mainstream media and take the other 75 per cent as potential false news until you can verify that it is true. Some great techniques to help you do this are to cross reference the information with independent sources and use your intuition to discern the information.

If we, the people of Earth, want to truly be free, we will need to become aware of how the magicians of mainstream media deceives us with their “magic tricks”. Some of their magic tricks involve [subliminal messages](#), news actors and frequency generators that can send energy patterns or codes that can influence our thought patterns. If these controlling techniques do not work, do you think they would spend millions of dollars per year on them?

Below are three great videos that show proof that the U.S. mainstream media is full of lies and distorted information. Even though these videos focus on the U.S. mainstream media, many of the tricks that the U.S. mainstream media use to influence the mind of its population are also heavily practiced in many mainstream media outlets throughout the world. As always, use your intuition to help you discern the information in the videos.

1  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=45w9GIL7deU&feature=player\\_embedded#!](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=45w9GIL7deU&feature=player_embedded#!)

2.  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6J1uJIDrgIc&feature=player\\_embedded](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6J1uJIDrgIc&feature=player_embedded)

3.  
[http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player\\_embedded&v=c7prvR5dR4s](http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=c7prvR5dR4s)

**The End OS21254**

## Letters and Views



### **Letter to the head of Securitas, who want to take over some of our police work**

**Dear Fraser Halliday, Securitas,**

You may not think that there is anything wrong with your actions in trying to take over policing in England, and that it is just 'business', but I am writing to tell you that there is everything wrong with what you are trying to do.

The English public are very angry with their public services being privatised, to make profits for shareholders, when there is no real benefit,

and in fact some detriment to them, as services shrink.

The very fact that your company would take on a policing role is contrary to English Constitutional and Common Law, and flies in the face of our Queen's Coronation Oath, in which she swore an oath to govern the people by their own laws, which are extant and inalienable, and our police are supposed to swear an oath to Her Majesty, and through her to the people, to keep us safe.

Government have abused their power by ignoring the English Constitution and Common Law restraints which were placed on them in 1688/9, and in doing so committed treason.

Privatising policing will undermine the security and safety of the English people, and those who are discussing this treacherous move with the traitors in parliament, are liable to be guilty of conspiracy to commit treason.

The European Communities Act 1972 never had any legal force, because under the International Vienna Convention on the Law on Treaties 1969

it is deemed null and void and of 'no legal force', because it was signed fraudulently due to a material change in circumstances, and because the EC (European Commission) permits and is associated with corruption on a monumental scale in respect of procuring the treaty in the first place.

Therefore any subsequent treaties ratcheted up from the original ECA 1972 are also null and void and of no legal force.

Ever since 1972 the British Parliament have been unconstitutional, treasonous and serving a foreign power, something which is expressly forbidden by the Declaration and Bill of Rights 1688/9.

Any contract you make with the government can be called into question as to its legality and lawfulness under our Common Law and Constitutional Laws.

Think very carefully about what you are doing, as we the people who lend our power to the politicians and own the country, will eventually take back our power from those whom have abused it, and then there will be a reckoning for all who have worked against us. **Yours sincerely, Jane Birkby**



**English Constitution Group**  
*'Protecting the heart of the Nation'*

### **Britain and The EU**

**Sir \_\_**, Britain is not and has never been part of the EU. Here are some facts.

When he signed the European Communities Act in 1972, Edward Heath knowingly and wilfully deceived and betrayed the British

people into the hands of a foreign power. THAT is High Treason. Upon signing, **his treason instantly dismissed him from office**. His treasonous act did not become lawfully binding because treason cannot do that and his government immediately became an unlawful assembly because a treasonous government is not lawful.

No parliament can bind a successor. Each following parliament has been free to reverse Heath's treachery. None did. Instead, each parliament consciously and deliberately continued Heath's treasonous pretence that Britain had signed up to the EEC/EU. Each parliament since Heath's therefore, has also been a treasonous, unlawful assembly.

No law or other binding obligation can result from treason or action of an unlawful assembly. British law therefore, stands as it was immediately prior to the 1972 Act. Britain is thus NOT part of the EU as all Treaties (and all statutes since 1972) are null and void. They are bad law and bad law is not law.

In consequence, the EU has no rightful influence over us whatsoever. Our politicians for their own purposes however, maintain the pretence of democracy, that Her Majesty is a mere citizen of Europe (a lawful impossibility) and that we are bound by European law.

It is a scam. The way out is for the people to refuse EU recognition. **Yours faithfully, Rex.**

### **Infiltrator Caroline Szyber Wants To Destroy Sweden**

**Sir \_\_, Jewess** Caroline Szyber, a politician in the Swedish government party Christian Democrats, claims in a new article at the site Newsmill that Sweden needs millions of violent and unintelligent immigrants.

Newsmill was founded by the Jew Leo Lagercrantz and PM Nilsson, and financed by media group Bonnier and investment company

Proventus. Bonnier is owned by the Jewish family Bonnier, while Proventus was founded by the Jewish capitalist Robert Weil and is now controlled by the Jew Daniel Sachs, who is CEO. Both Bonnier and Proventus have financially supported the anti-Swedish magazine and private intelligence agency Expo, which has connections to violent “anti-Fascists” and is the main source in Sweden for mainstream media when they want to attack nationalists or anti-immigration activists. One of the key figures at Expo is the Jew Jonathan Leman, and the legally responsible publisher is the Jewish Communist Robert Aschberg, whose grandfather Olof Aschberg was a banker who financed the Bolsheviks in Russia and stole valuable Christian icons from Russia (!).

Newsmill, which calls itself a “debate site”, recently closed the comment section on all articles because the comments were almost always highly critical of the Cultural Marxists who were published on the site.

Anyway, Szyber, in her article, says that Sweden needs a “generous and rights-based asylum policy” and has a “moral responsibility” to accept millions of immigrants. She is concerned that some people want to lower the rate of immigration, and says that “in the future, there will be more movement over the national borders”. The Jewess says that Sweden must be an “open and tolerant country”.

As a result of mass immigration of Afro-Asiatic immigrants, the number of rapes and violent crimes has exploded in recent years, and ethnic Swedes will be a minority in their own country in a couple of decades.

This is, however, far from the first time Szyber has behaved like a typical parasite Jew. In recent articles at the site she whines about supposed discrimination of criminal gypsies, defends Israel’s constant attacks on Iran, expressed support for homosexual “Pride” parades and claims that allegations of Zionist organ theft were “anti-Semitic” (though the allegations were later shown to be true – Zionist soldiers stole organs from murdered Palestinians).

Though she is a politician in the Christian Democratic party, Szyber is also a member of the international Jewish activist organization Limmud, which aims to “strengthen the Jewish

identity” of participants in their conferences.  
**Yours Truly, HB.**

## Foxhunting



**Dear Prime Minister,**

Shocking news today about your local hunt, designed to cause you political embarrassment. The Daily Mail published a picture of a dead fox with two foxhounds. They would not of course publish a picture of a chicken run after it had been attended to by a fox.

They are perfect killing machines, they eat and take what they need and kill all the rest.

I had no opinion either way about foxhunting until I heard a radio programme some years ago. It was a discussion between the President or Secretary of the Foxhunting Society (I have often passed their offices near Waterloo) and Clare Short. The Gentlemen told her that the Labour Government held a Royal Commission on the matter in 1947 and it was discovered that the most humane way to control the fox was by foxhunting.

Short’s reply was immortal nonsense. “I don’t care, I want it stopped.

The fox has to be controlled, were the hunts to be stopped as she wished, farmers would use cruel methods of control. So Short cares not about the fox. Worse yet, it is the government of unreason. As she is a Socialist I can only conclude that she is jealous of her betters, unaware that many working class people, her core voters follow the hounds on foot!

At a foxhunting trial, the judge made some trenchant remarks and awarded little costs to the RSPCA some 35 years ago and I would certainly

never support the RSPCA. In fact they are not worthy of Royal support.

I see that you have a problem with your “blue fox” ladies. They must consider what was discovered in 1947, their feelings” does them credit as women, but not as politicians. In a free vote MPs must study the facts to make an informed vote as the public are entitled to government by reason. Their tender hearts can be comforted because nature is not “red in tooth and claw” as the poet said.

David Livingston discovered this in Africa. He came upon a lion and shot it. The outraged creature bounded over, knocked him down and was clawing him. He felt nothing for he was in the state of shock. Fortunately for his life the lion was mortally wounded. This pain started when the lion dropped dead.

A few years ago, again the Daily Mail, was a photograph illustrating this principal of nature.

It was of a Pelican in Hyde Park, with beak opened wide with a pigeon it had just caught. It was nestling quite comfortably there and was not trying to escape. For it was in a state of shock, and will soon become lunch for the pelican.

Mr Clegg and his party also need to consider 1947 so that they can give an informed free vote the public deserve.

Perhaps an amusing story will help them alter their opinion. During a hunt on the South Downs, the fox was running to the cliff edge, the foxhounds nearly on him, the hunt halted as the fox leapt over the cliff followed by the foxhounds. Then the rascal reappeared, tail high in the air as he walked past the hunt. I’m sure if he had been capable, would have raised his top hat and thank the MFH for a splendid run. For the foxhounds lay dead on the beach below. Beware of foxy characters. **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

## Death and Vaccination (2)

By The Late Eustace Mullins



**O**N April 15, 1976, Congress passed Public Law 94-266, which provided \$135 million of taxpayers' funds to pay for a national swine flu inoculation campaign. HEW was to distribute the vaccine to state and local health agencies on a national basis for inoculation, at no charge. Insurance agencies then went public with their warning that they would not insure drug firms against

possible suits from the results of swine flu inoculation, because no studies had been carried out which could predict its effects. It was to foil the insurance companies that CBS had Gerald Ford make his impassioned appeal to 215,000,000 Americans to save themselves while there was still time, and to rush down to the friendly local health department and get the swine flu vaccination, at absolutely no charge. This may have been CBS' finest hour in its distinguished career of "public service."

Hardly had the swine flu campaign been completed than the reports of the casualties began to pour in. Within a few months, claims totaling \$1.3 billion had been filed by victims who had suffered paralysis from the swine flu vaccine. The medical authorities proved equal to the challenge; they leaped to the defence of the Medical Monopoly by labelling the new epidemic, "GuillainBarre Syndrome." There have since been increasing speculations that the ensuing epidemic of AIDS which began shortly after Gerald Ford's public assurances, was merely a viral variation of the swine flu vaccine. And what of the perpetrator of the Great Swine



Flu Massacre, President Gerald Ford? As the logical person to blame for the catastrophe, Ford had to endure a torrent of public criticism, which quite naturally resulted in his defeat for election (he had previously been appointed when the agents of the international drug operations had ushered Richard Nixon out of office). The unknown Jimmy Carter, familiar only to the super secret fellow members in the Trilateral Commission, was swept into office by the outpouring of rage against Gerald Ford. Carter proved to be almost as serious a national disaster as the swine flu epidemic, while Gerald Ford was retired from politics to life. Not only did he lose the election; he was also sentenced to spend his remaining years trudging wearily up and down the hot sandy stretches of the Palm Springs Golf course.



At the annual ACS Science Writers Seminar, Dr. Robert W. Simpson, of Rutgers University, warned that "immunization programs against flu, measles, mumps and polio may actually be seeding humans with RNA to form proviruses which will then become latent cells throughout the body . . . they can then become activated as a variety of diseases including lupus, cancer, rheumatism and arthritis."

This was a remarkable verification of the earlier warning delivered by Dr. Herbert Snow of London more than fifty years earlier. He had observed that the long-term effects of the vaccine, lodging in the heart or other parts of the body, would eventually result in fatal damage to the heart. The vaccine becomes a time bomb in the system, festering as what are known as "slow viruses," which may take ten to thirty years to become virulent. When that time arrives, the victim is felled by a fatal onslaught, often with no prior warning, whether it is a heart attack or some other

disease. *Health Freedom News*, in its July/August 1986 issue, noted that "Vaccine is linked to brain damage. 150 lawsuits pending against DPT vaccine manufacturers, seeking \$1.5 billion damages."

When the present writer was a teenager in Virginia, each summer became a nightmare for anxious parents, as epidemics of poliomyelitis, generally called infantile paralysis, swept the nation. Throughout the summer, we imbibed bottle after bottle of ice cold soda pop to wash down our afternoon snacks of candy bars, with no inkling that we were preparing our systems for the breeding of the polio virus. The most famous victim of polio was the Governor of New York, Franklin D. Roosevelt. In 1931, during the annual polio epidemic, Roosevelt officially endorsed a so-called "immune serum," a precursor of the polio vaccines of the 1950's. It was sponsored by Dr. Lindsly R. Williams, the son-in-law of the managing partner of the investment bankers, Kidder Peabody. The Rockefeller and Carnegie Foundations had urged the building of a new medical edifice to be called the New York Academy of Medicine. As was often the case, they did not provide the funds, but planned the staging campaign whereby the public was induced to contribute millions of dollars for it. Dr. Williams was then appointed director of this Academy, despite the fact that his medical abilities were a joke in New York. Williams used this post to become the apostle of socialized medicine in the United States, a goal which the Rockefeller Medical Monopoly ardently desired, and which was finally achieved when the Medicare program was adopted many years later. In reality, as Dr. Emanuel Josephson pointed out, Williams stood for the political and commercial domination of the medical profession under a socialized system.

Roosevelt then announced his candidacy for the Presidency of the United States, a post for which he seemed physically disqualified. Because of his handicap, he had been unable to stand or walk for many years. He conducted his business from a wheelchair. It seemed incredible that he would be able to wage a national campaign for the office of president. To allay these doubts, Dr. Williams wrote an article which was published in *Collier's* magazine, the second largest magazine in the United States at that time. In this article, Dr.

Williams certified that Governor Franklin D. Roosevelt was physically and mentally fit to be President of the United States. It was then bruited about that a new Cabinet post, Secretary of Health, was to be created especially for Dr. Williams in an upcoming Roosevelt Administration.

The "immune serum" against polio was known to be dangerous and worthless when Roosevelt endorsed it. The National Health Institute of the U.S. Public Health Service had experimented with monkeys for three years, using this identical serum. The Institute stated that a study of the serum had been made on the recommendation of Dr. Simon Flexner, the head of the Institute. The serum was then used, and many children died from it. The New York State Commissioner of Health, Dr. Thomas Parran (who was later appointed Surgeon General of the United States), who owed his appointment to Dr. Williams' recommendation to Governor Roosevelt, refused to hold hearings to validate the serum, while Roosevelt continued to reap the rewards of "charity" from his Warm Springs Foundation and his annual birthday balls celebrating the polio epidemic.

In 1948, a Dr. Sandier, who was then serving as nutritional expert at the U.S. Veterans Administration Hospital in Oteen, North Carolina, became alarmed at the enormous amounts of heavily sugared drinks, candy and other sweets which were being consumed by children during the hot summer months, at the same time that the polio became epidemic each year. He conducted tests which led him to the conclusion that the children's consumption of sugar had a direct relation to the virulence of the polio outbreaks. He then issued an urgent warning to parents to ban consumption of any refined sugar product, particularly candy, soft drinks and ice cream during the summer months. The result of Dr. Sandler's campaign was that the number of polio cases dropped in North Carolina 90% in a single year, from 2,498 in 1948 to only 229 in 1949. Aroused by the effect that Dr. Sandler's warning campaign had had on their summer sales in North Carolina, the soft drink distributors and the candy manufacturers came in the following year with a statewide promotional campaign, featuring free samples and other promotions. By 1950, the polio toll had risen once more to its

1948 level. What happened to Dr. Sandier? A study of North Carolina publications shows no further mention of him or his program.

Herbert M. Shelton wrote in 1938 in his book, "Exploitation of Human Suffering," that "Vaccine is pus—either septic or inert—if inert it will not take—if septic it produces infection." This explains why some children have to go back and receive a second inoculation, because the first one did not "take"—it was not sufficiently poisonous, and did not infect the body. Shelton says that the inoculations cause sleeping sickness, infantile paralysis, haemoplagia or tetanus.

The Surgeon General of the United States, Leonard Scheele, pointed out to the annual AMA convention in 1955 that "No batch of vaccine can be proven safe before it is given to children." James R. Shannon of the National Institute of Health declared that "The only safe vaccine is a vaccine that is never used."



With the advent of Dr. Jonas Salk's polio vaccine in the 1950's American parents were assured that the problem had been solved, and that their children were now safe. The ensuing suits against the drug

manufacturers received little publicity. "David v. Wyeth Labs," a suit involving Type 3 Sabin Polio Vaccine, was judged in favor of the plaintiff, David. A suit against Lederle Lab involving Orimune Vaccine was settled in 1962 for \$10,000. In two cases involving Parke-Davis' Quadrigen, the product was found to be defective. In 1962, Parke-Davis halted all production of Quadrigen. The medical loner, Dr. William Koch, declared that "The injection of any serum, vaccine, or even penicillin has shown a very marked increase in the incidence of polio, at least by 400%."

The Centre for Disease Control stayed out of sight for some time after the Great Swine Flu Massacre, only to emerge more stridently than ever with a new national scare program on the dangers of another plague, which was named "Legionnaires' Disease" after an outbreak at the Bellevue Stratford Hotel in Philadelphia. Apparently this virus multiplied in the air conditioning and heating systems of some older hotels in large cities, probably because the vents were never cleaned. In a few isolated instances, it caused death to those who were afflicted. For some reason, these victims were usually elderly Legionnaires, who had attended a gathering at one of these hotels. As the older hotels were gradually replaced by new, more modern motels, Legionnaires Disease quietly faded away, without the Disease Control Centre being able to bring off another \$135 million coup for the Rockefeller Medical Monopoly.

Polio vaccination has now been accepted as a fact of life by the American public, which derives considerable comfort from the gradual disappearance of the annual scare campaign at the beginning of each summer. . . However, the *Washington Post* of January 26, 1988 featured a story which created some puzzling afterthoughts. It was announced at a national conference held in Washington that all cases of polio since 1979 had been caused by the polio vaccine. We quote, "In fact, all the cases in America come from the vaccine. The naturally occurring (or wild type) polio virus has not been shown to cause a single case of polio in the

United States since 1979." It was to confront this unpleasant fact that the Institute of Medicine, under contract to the U.S. Public Health Service, had convened a committee in Washington to review the current use of polio vaccine. You thought they would vote to discontinue it, perhaps? This would be a logical conclusion. Unfortunately, logic plays no part in such deliberations. The *Post* reported that "No radical change is expected. 'The status quo is very appealing,' " said conference chairman Dr. Frederick Robbins, of Case Western Reserve University in Cleveland.

This story raises more questions than it answers. It also reveals the wide gap between the medical mind and that of the layman. A layman would say, "If all cases of polio in the United States since 1979 have been caused by the polio vaccine, isn't this a good reason for discontinuing?" Such reasoning is always called "simplistic" by our overeducated professionals. After all, one has to think of the national economy, and of drug manufacturers geared up to the continuous production of a vaccine for an epidemic which has disappeared. Think of the unemployment, and the diminution of dividends to the holders of stock in the Drug Trust. After all, most of their income is donated to "charity." If you cannot see the logic of this reasoning, you will never get a job with the U.S. Public Health Service.

**The End OS20088**

## Nuremberg: The Crime That Will Not Die (Part 3)

By Ernst Zundel



**Y**OU be the judge. Here is an excerpt from this book by Rupert Butler, published by Hamlyn Paperbacks, page 235:

At 5 PM on 11 March 1946, Frau Hoess opened her front door to six intelligence specialists in British uniform, most of them tall and menacing and all

of them practiced in the more sophisticated techniques of sustained and merciless investigation.

No physical violence was used on the family: it was scarcely necessary. Wife and children were separated and guarded. Clarke's tone was deliberately low-key and conversational.

He began mildly: "I understand your husband came to see you as recently as last night."

Frau Hoess merely replied: "I haven't seen him since he absconded months ago."

Clarke tried once more, saying gently but with a tone of reproach: “You know that isn’t true.” Then all at once his manner changed and he was shouting: “If you don’t tell us, we’ll turn you over to the Russians and they’ll put you before a firing squad. Your son will go to Siberia.”



It proved more than enough. Eventually, a broken Frau Hoess betrayed the whereabouts of the former Auschwitz Kommandant, the man who now called himself Franz Lang. Suitable intimidation of the son and daughter produced precisely identical information.

Here is how the capture played out. Clarke, one of the participants, recalls it vividly:

[Hoess] was lying on top of a three-tier bunker wearing a new pair of silk pyjamas. We discovered later that he had lost the cyanide pill most of them carried. Not that he would have had much chance to use it because we had rammed a torch [flashlight] into his mouth.

Hoess screamed in terror at the mere sight of the British uniforms.

Clarke yelled: “What is your name?”

With each answer of “Franz Lang”, Clarke’s hand crashed into the face of the prisoner. The fourth time that happened, Hoess broke and admitted who he was. (...)

The prisoner was torn from the top bunk, the pyjama ripped from his body. He was then dragged naked to one of the slaughter tables, where it seemed to Clarke the blows and screams were endless.

Eventually, the Medical Officer urged the Captain: “Call them off, unless you want to take back a corpse.”

A blanket was thrown over Hoess and he was dragged to Clarke’s car, where the sergeant

poured a substantial slug of whiskey down his throat. Then Hoess tried to sleep.

Clarke thrust his service stick under the man’s eyelids and ordered in German: “Keep your pig eyes open, you swine” (...)

The party arrived back at Heide around three in the morning. The snow was swirling still, but the blanket was torn from Hoess and he was made to walk completely nude through the prison yard to his cell. It took three days to get a coherent statement out of him.

This statement, tortured and terrorized out of the man, was the one we are all familiar with – the “proof” for the so-called “gassing of the Jews.”

Historians today are finally admitting that Hoess was a totally unreliable witness – and is it any wonder? He spoke of a concentration camp “Wolzek” which does not even exist. He swore that 2,500,000 people were gassed and burned at Auschwitz and a further half million died of disease, for a total dead of three million.

The Toronto Sun of July 18, 1990 claimed 1.5 million. The Washington Post, on the same date, also mentioned 1.5 million. Quoted from an article by Krzyszlov Leski, we have the following:

Poland has cut its estimate of the number of people killed by the Nazis in the Auschwitz death camp from 4 million to just over 1 million.

The vast majority of the dead are now accepted to have been Jews, despite claims by the former Polish communist government that as many Poles perished in Hitler’s largest concentration camp (...)

The new study could rekindle the controversy over the scale of Hitler’s final solution.

Shevach Weiss, a death camp survivor and Labor Party member of the Israeli Parliament, expressed disbelief at the revised estimates, saying: “It sounds shocking and strange.” (...)

Shmuel Krakowsky, head of research at Israel’s Yad Vashem memorial for Jewish victims of the Holocaust, said the new Polish figures were correct.

“The 4 million figure was let slip by Capt. Rudolf Hoess, the death camp’s Nazi commander. Some have bought it, but it was exaggerated.” (...)

The Polish authorities said accurate estimates of the number killed could only be made by studying German documents seized by the Soviet

Union. But Moscow has refused to return the archives.”

A most convenient excuse!

In 1989, I organized a write-in campaign to persuade the then-Soviet Leader Gorbachev to release the Auschwitz Death Registers captured in 1945 when the Red Army took over the Auschwitz complex. A few months afterwards this actually happened. Gorbachev released these all-important documents to the Red Cross, which showed in minute detail the cause and time of death, their birth, address etc.

The following was found:

74,000 names of people who had died were listed, of which only approximately 30,000 were Jews, along with an almost equal number of Poles and members of other nationalities.

The incredibly shrinking Holocaust!

The “millions” that we have heard about for half a century and that we still hear and read about today all started with the “testimony” beaten out of Hoess on that horrible night in defeated Germany.



Historian Christopher Browning (left) finally had to admit in a recent Vanity Fair article that Hoess was an unreliable witness. Browning stated that

“... Hoess was always a very weak and confused witness. The revisionists use him all

the time for this reason, in order to try and discredit the memory of Auschwitz as a whole.” (Holocaust Revisionism Source Book, 1994, p. 1)

Hoess’s testimony was used as the skeleton on which the entire Holocaust myth about mass gassings was constructed. Revisionists have concentrated on Hoess precisely because he is probably the most important source for Holocaust historians’ conclusions and exaggerations about the Holocaust. Raul Hilberg, who wrote the “Bible” of the Holocaust, *The Destruction of the European Jews*, (Holmes & Meier, Revised Edition, 1985) relies on Hoess’s testimony heavily – and Hoess was the primary witness relied upon by the Nuremberg Tribunal in their judgment regarding the “extermination of the

Jews,” even though he told the court of having been savagely tortured.

What’s more, Hoess’s treatment by the Allies and the total unreliability of his “evidence” are not unusual. We don’t know how many of the accused at the Nuremberg trials were badly mistreated, since references in the trial transcripts to their mistreatment was expunged from the record. An example is Julius Streicher’s testimony. Streicher was reported in the *London Times* as having testified that he was tortured, whipped, spat on, and forced to drink from a latrine. (Streicher Opens His Case, *The Times*, April 27, 1946). His testimony was later expunged from the record of the trial with the active participation of the prosecution, the president of the Tribunal, and even his own defense lawyer.

Other traces of the brutal treatment of the Nuremberg prisoners, however, have survived. One of these witnesses was Gauleiter Sauckel’s reference to threats to his family, which did remain in the transcript. During his testimony in May of 1946, Sauckel testified that he signed a document, even though he did not know what was in that document, after his family of 10 children was threatened with deportation to Russia.

And finally, it must not be forgotten that this is the only judicial proceeding conducted in the name of civilized nations where there was no appeal mechanism to a parallel or higher authority for a review of the proceedings – or any verdicts arrived at by this so-called International Military Tribunal. Their judgments over the leadership of Europe’s most populous state, against whom they had just fought a murderous, near genocidal war, were final and deadly.

Keep all that in mind as you read, watch and listen to all the emotional hype in the mass media on television and radio of these days. And for what? The Jewish leader Nahum Goldman spells it out for you in his astounding book, *The Jewish Paradox*, Pages 123-125, admitting to the mother of all frauds. In his own words, at the conclusion of the agreement Goldman obtained from Dr. Adenauer, the German vassal state’s first Allied-appointed chancellor:

“... the Germans will have paid out a total of 80 billion (...) Without the German reparations that started coming through during its first ten years as a state, Israel would not have half of its present infrastructure. All the trains in Israel are



German, the ships are German, and the same goes for electrical installations and a great deal of Israel's industry – and that is setting aside the individual pensions paid to survivors. Israel today receives hundreds of millions of dollars in German currency each year. In some years the sums of money received by Israel from Germany has been as much as double or treble the contribution made by collections from international Jewry. Nowadays, there is no longer any opposition to the principle.”

Not anywhere you look!

After the Nuremberg Trials and Proceedings are stripped of the hyperbole and smoke screens which surround them, it can be put quite bluntly:

The Allies fought a war on foreign shores – in part to establish the State of Israel. The Allies lent a willing hand to political ambitions that grew out of the Zionist camp. By means of the Nuremberg Trials, the Allies helped the establishment and financing of Israel.

So as to secure Israel, the Allies and their personnel became accusers, researchers, interrogators, prosecutors, judges and executioners – all in one! The Allies supplied the “experts” who sifted through the German documents, which were all totally in Allied control, highlighting incriminating documents, discarding exonerating evidence. These investigators were told only to “find” incriminating documents against the accused, as I was told by the American scholar Charles Weber, Ph.D., who had been one of these Allied researchers, and who testified at my own trials. These researchers were told to ignore the documents that might have spared the lives of the accused German leaders. When all was said and done, there was not even an appeal.

U.S. Chief Justice Harlan Fiske Stone, speaking of the American Chief Prosecutor, Jackson, finally had this to say, as mentioned in the Viking Press hard cover, cited before, p. 746:

“Jackson is away conducting his high grade lynching party in Nuremberg,” [Stone] remarked. “I don't mind what he does to the Nazis, but I hate to see the pretense that he is running a court and proceeding according to common law.

“This is a little too sanctimonious a fraud to meet my old-fashioned ideas.”

Some sanctimonious fraud, indeed! That's how America and the “free world” have showed their gratitude to the defenders of Europe and Western Civilization: by hanging brave and honest men who tried so valiantly for so long to stop the decadence and the hypocrisy of what we now call, shuddering, the coming “New World Order.”



I bow my head in reverence to those who were judicially murdered at Nuremberg. They were the world's martyrs, not villains. Not one of them would have been condemned to death in a fair trial – not one! They sacrificed an entire nation, and in the end themselves, to save Western civilization. They were defeated by thugs in robes and gangsters in uniform – and by the conspiracies hatched by shysters from the ghettos of Eastern Europe. **The End OS21218**

## Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)**

**27. Old Gloucester Street**

**London WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –  
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter  
and Richard Porter plus many  
other subjects and difficult to  
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

# Salt/Sodium Chloride

## Are You Aware Of The Hidden Toxic Salt In Your Daily Food Intake



### What is sodium chloride?

**T**ABLE salt is composed of 40% sodium and 60% chloride. The human body needs salt to function. Salt is responsible to help carry nutrients into the cells. It helps regulate other bodily functions, such as blood pressure and fluid volume.

It is now known that it is really table salt that is causing many untold health problems, regardless of its amount. Because it is refined and processed, what is left of table salt is only sodium and chloride which are toxic to our body.

This page touches on the hidden salt in commercial food that is extremely harmful to our body. Learn also about another type of salt that works the direct opposite of table salt. Salt that is actually healthful.

### Sodium Additives

Table salt is commonly used as a seasoning, or pickling agent or preservatives in home cooked foods. However, food manufacturers also add other forms of sodium into food that is sold to the uninformed public.

It is the excessive sodium in salt that causes many degenerative diseases. Chloride is almost harmless. The food you eat may not taste salty, but let me show you how sodium are hidden in your food.

Excessive sodium of any form can cause high blood pressure (hypertension) which rapidly increase the risks of strokes and heart diseases, the two major killers in Malaysia and in many developed countries.

There are more than forty known sodium additives. Here is only a short list of additives that are commonly used in commercially manufactured foods.

Monosodium Glutamate (MSG) as flavour enhancer - Is present in many packaged, canned and restaurant food. Commonly used in packet or canned soups, instant noodles, stock cubes, condiments, sauces, snacks, pickles and canned meat.

Sodium Saccharin as artificial sweetener - This sodium does not taste salty, in fact it is actually used as a sweetener. It is harmful all the same, causing the same problems that table salt would. Commonly used in diet sodas, dietetic food and as a sugar substitute.

Sodium Acid Pyrophosphate as a sequestrant - This agent is used to combine with trace metals in food to render them inactive. Also used in leavening mixtures for self-rising in cakes, doughnuts, waffles, muffins, cupcakes. Also found in sausages and hotdogs. See? Sodium is not necessarily salty.

Sodium Alginate or Sodium Carboxymethyl Cellulose as a stabilizer, thickener and texturizer - As an agent to stabilize, retain or intensify the colour of foods and prevents sugar from crystallizing. Also increase viscosity and modifies food texture. Commonly used in beverages, beer, ice-cream, chocolate-flavoured drinks, cheese spreads, frozen custard, desserts, baked good and pie fillings, diet foods and even baby foods (do we know what are we feeding to our babies?)

Sodium Benzoate as flavouring and anti-microbial preservative - Has no taste on its own, but enhances the natural flavour of foods. Usually used when little other natural ingredients

are present, as in margarine, soft drinks, milk, pickles, confection, fruit jelly and jams.

Sodium Propionate as preservative - To extend shelf-lives of foods by preventing growth of micro-organisms that hasten food spoilage. Mostly present in all breads, rolls, pastries, pies and cakes.

## How much sodium do you consume a day?



Consider what you eat (and what your child eats): If you eat any one portion of these a day, you would have exceeded your daily need of 200 mg sodium, or the allowable 2,400 mg sodium a day. Below is a shocking list of what a typical Malaysian would eat:

### Instant Noodles:

Ina Wan Tan Noodles (16,800 mg sodium = 7 x allowable !!)

Korean Noodle U-Dong flavor (9,330 mg sodium = 3.89 x allowable)

Korean Noodle Kimchi flavor (8,350 mg sodium = 3.48 x allowable)

Maggi Mee Chicken flavor (8,160 mg sodium = 3.4 x allowable)

Cintan Mushroom Chicken flavor (8,160 mg sodium = 3.4 x allowable)

Ibumie Mi Sup Udang ala Pinang (5,280 mg sodium = 2.18 x allowable)

Mamee Express Cup Noodle (3,480 mg sodium = 1.45 x allowable)

### Local favorites:

Nasi lemak (4,020 mg sodium = 1.68 x allowable)

Prawn noodles (2,720 mg sodium = 1.13 x allowable)

Mamak mee goreng (3,190 mg sodium = 1.33 x allowable)

Fried koay teow (2,310 mg sodium = 0.96 x allowable)

Assam laksa (2,390 mg sodium = 1 x allowable)

### Fast foods:

KFC's fried chicken (2,590 mg sodium = 1.08 x allowable)

KFC's french fries (2,580 mg sodium = 1.08 x allowable)

### Universal:

Cocoa powder (950 mg / 5 gm)

Milo powder (500 mg / 10 gm)

Cornflakes (1,170 mg / 30 gm)

Scones (800 mg / 30 gm)

Salted butter and margarine (840 mg / 10 gm)

Boiled prawns (1,590 mg / 120 gm)

Fried bacon (1,870 mg / 40 gm)

Grilled bacon (2000 mg / 40 gm)

Canned ham (1,250 mg / 90 gm)

Canned luncheon meat (1,050 mg / 90 gm)

Salami slices (1,080 mg / 90 gm)

Fried beef sausages (818 mg / 90 gm)

Camembert cheese (1,410 mg / 25 gm)

Cheese spread (1,170 mg / 10 gm)

Danish blue cheese (1,420 mg / 25 gm)

Processed cheese (1,360 mg / 25 gm)

Vegemite (3,100 mg / 5 gm)

## Health Effects

Every grain of salt retained in the body can carry 20 times its weight in water. Our body needs only 200 mg salt a day to function. Any more salt than that consumed which are not used, causes many health problems, shortening your life span.

**High blood pressure:** Excessive sodium which is not used gets inside the blood vessels, thickening and narrowing them, causing the rise in blood pressure. Hypertension can be painless. Most people lead most of their lives normally, oblivious to the increasing force with which the blood is pressing against blood vessel walls. Then suddenly, the blocked artery ruptures and give way, cutting off blood supply to the brain. A stroke has happened. If it happens to the artery leading to the heart, a heart attack. Too late.

**Atherosclerosis:** With high blood pressure, atherosclerosis usually follows closely behind. Fat deposits accumulate in the artery walls with careless eating, forming plaques that eventually blocks the flow of blood.

**Fluid retention:** Excessive salt content in the blood attracts water from around your cells into the blood vessels in order to help dilute it. This



results in fluid retention, causing uncomfortable swelling in the feet, hands or abdomen.

**Osteoporosis:** When your healthy kidney process and excrete the excess salt from the body, most of the time, it takes along calcium with it. This habitual loss of calcium with salt causes the weakening of bones. If insufficient calcium is taken to replace the calcium loss, osteoporosis sets in.

**Kidney stones:** Our kidneys are responsible for regulating salt and water levels in our body. When there is excessive sodium, the increased calcium output raises the risk of kidney stones.

**Stomach cancer:** One of the most common form of cancer has been linked to high salt intake. Salt increases the speed of stomach cancer development. What it does is stripping the lining of the stomach and increases the chances of infection with helicobacter pylori, a known cancer trigger.

Other health problems linked with excessive consumption of salt or sodium:

**Cancer of the oesophagus**  
**Aggravates asthma**  
**Indigestion**  
**Chronic gastritis**  
**Premenstrual syndrome**  
**Carpal tunnel syndrome**  
**Liver cirrhosis**

**Irritability**  
**Muscle twitching**  
**Seizures**  
**Brain damage**  
**Coma and sometimes even death**

### Healthier Alternative

Instead of table salt or iodized salt, use [Celtic sea salt](#). Celtic sea salt is hand-raked and left in the sun to dry. It contains 84 known trace minerals and micro-nutrients that our body needs. These are charged minerals that help retain moisture and are grey in color. Unlike table salt, sea salt are not as free-flowing when poured. This means that it is not processed, and is best.

When you ingest Celtic sea salt, it works with the saliva in your mouth and starts the digestive process and continues in the stomach. Celtic sea salt has been known to lower blood pressure and reduce water retention. It helps the liver, kidneys and adrenals to work much more efficiently, and also helps boost the immune system.

So, go get a packet of Celtic sea salt and put away your table salt or iodized salt. Though this salt costs slightly more, it is definitely a much more healthier option and more economical in the long run.

**To be continued**

## NWO Plans Exposed (2) By An Insider In 1969

### TECHNOLOGY



technology was reproduction without sex. This would be done in the laboratory. He indicated that already much, much research was underway about making babies in the laboratory. There was some elaboration on that, but I don't remember the details. How much of that technology has come to my attention since that time. I don't remember in a way that I can distinguish what was said from what I subsequently have learned as general medical information.

### FAMILIES TO DIMINISH IN IMPORTANCE

**E**ARLIER he said that sex and reproduction would be separated. You would have sex without reproduction and then

**Families** would be limited in size. We already alluded to not being allowed more than two children. Divorce would be made easier and

more prevalent. Most people who marry will marry more than once. More people will not marry. Unmarried people would stay in hotels and even live together. That would be very common - nobody would even ask questions about it. It would be widely accepted as no different from married people being together. More women will work outside the home. More men will be transferred to other cities and in their jobs, more men would travel. Therefore, it would be harder for families to stay together. This would tend to make the marriage relationship less stable and, therefore, tend to make people less willing to have babies. The extended families would be smaller, and more remote. Travel would be easier, less expensive, for a while, so that people who did have to travel would feel they could get back to their families, not that they were abruptly being made remote from their families. But one of the net effects of easier divorce laws combined with the promotion of travel, and transferring families from one city to another, was to create instability in the families. If both husband and wife are working and one partner is transferred, the other one may not be easily transferred. Soon, either gives up his or her job and stays behind while the other leaves, or else gives up the job and risks not finding employment in the new location. Rather a diabolical approach to this whole thing!

### EUTHANASIA AND THE 'DEMISE PILL'

Cash incentive for NHS trusts that meet targets on Liverpool Care Pathway

## HOSPITALS BRIBED TO PUT PATIENTS ON PATHWAY TO DEATH

HOSPITALS are paid for the use of a pathway that is supposed to help patients die with dignity. The Liverpool Care Pathway is a controversial document that has been used to guide doctors and nurses in the care of dying patients.

**Everybody** has a right to live only so long. The old are no longer useful. They become a burden. You should be ready to accept death. Most people are. An arbitrary age limit could be established. After all, you have a right to only so many steak dinners, so many orgasms,

and so many good pleasures in life. After you have had enough of them and you're no longer productive, working, and contributing, then you should be ready to step aside for the next generation. Some things that would help people realise that they had lived long enough, he mentioned several of these. I don't remember them all but here are a few, the use of very pale printing ink on forms that people are necessary to fill out. Older people wouldn't be able to read

the pale ink as easily and would need to go to younger people for help. Automobile traffic patterns, there would be more high-speed traffic lanes that older people with their slower reflexes would have trouble dealing with and thus, loses some of their independence.

### LIMITING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE MEDICAL

A big item that was elaborated on at some length was the cost of medical care would be made burdensomely high. Medical care would be connected very closely with one's work but also would be made very, very high in cost so that it would simply be unavailable to people beyond a certain time. Unless they had a remarkably rich, supporting family, they would just have to do without care. And the idea was that if everybody says, "Enough! What a burden it is on the young to try to maintain the old people," then the young would become agreeable to helping Mom and Dad along the way, provided this was done humanely and with dignity. Then the example was - there could be a nice, farewell party, a real celebration. Mom and Dad had done a good job. Then after the party's over they take the 'demise pill'.

### PLANNING THE CONTROL OVER MEDICINE

**T**he next topic is Medicine. There would be profound changes in the practice of medicine. Overall, medicine would be much more tightly controlled. The observation that was made in 1969 that, "Congress is not going to go along with national health insurance, is now, abundantly evident. But it's not necessary, we have other ways to control health care". These would come about more gradually, but all health care delivery would come under tight control. Medical care would be closely connected to work. If you don't work or can't work, you won't have access to medical care. The days of hospitals giving away free care would gradually wind down, to where it was virtually non-existent. Costs would be forced up so that people won't be able to afford to go without insurance. People pay for it, you're entitled to it. It was only subsequently that I began to realise the extent to which you would not be paying for it. Your medical care would be paid for by others. Therefore, you would gratefully accept, on bended knee, what was offered


to you as a privilege. Your role being responsible for your own care would be diminished. As an aside here, this is not something that was developed at that time; I didn't understand it at the time that it was an aside.

The way this works, everybody has made dependent on insurance and if you don't have insurance then you pay directly; the cost of your care is enormous. The insurance company, however, paying for your care, does not pay that same amount. If you are charged, say, \$600 for the use of an operating room, the insurance company does not pay \$600; they only pay \$300 or \$400. That differential in billing has the desired effect: It enables the insurance company to pay for that which you could never pay for. They get a discount that's unavailable to you. When you see your bill you're grateful that the insurance company could do that. And in this way you are dependent, and virtually required to have insurance. The whole billing is fraudulent. Access to hospitals would be tightly controlled and identification would be needed to get into the building. The security in and around hospitals would be established and gradually increased so that nobody without identification could get in or move around inside the building. Theft of hospital equipment, things like typewriters and microscopes and so forth would be 'allowed' and exaggerated; reports of it would be exaggerated so that this would be the excuse needed to establish the need for strict security until people got used to it.

Anybody moving about the hospital would be required to wear an identification badge with a photograph and telling why he was there, employee or lab technician or visitor or whatever. This is to be brought in gradually, getting everybody used to the idea of identifying themselves - until it was just accepted. This need for ID to move about would start in small ways: hospitals, some businesses, but gradually expand to include everybody in all places! It was observed that hospitals can be used to confine people and for the treatment of criminals. This did not mean, necessarily, medical treatment. At that time I did not know the term 'Psycho-Prison' they are in the Soviet Union, but, without trying to recall all the details, basically, he was describing the use of hospitals both for treating the sick, and for confinement of criminals for reasons other than the medical well-being of the

criminal. The definition of criminal was not given.

## ELIMINATION OF PRIVATE DOCTORS



The image of the doctor would change. No longer would he be seen as an individual professional in service to individual patients. But the doctor would be gradually recognized as a highly skilled technician - and his job would change. The job is to include things like executions by lethal

injection. The image of the doctor being a powerful, independent person would have to be changed. He went on to say, "Doctors are making entirely too much money.

They should advertise like any other product." Lawyers would be advertising too. Keep in mind, this was an audience of doctors; being addressed by a doctor. And it was interesting that he would make some rather insulting statements to his audience without fear of antagonizing us. The solo practitioner would become a thing of the past. A few diehards might try to hold out, but most doctors would be employed by an institution of one kind or another. Group practice would be encouraged, corporations would be encouraged, and then once the corporate image of medical care gradually became more and more acceptable, doctors would more and more become employees rather than independent contractors. Along with that, of course, unstated but necessary, is the employee serves his employer, not his patient. So we've already seen quite a lot of that in the last 20 years. And apparently more on the horizon. The term HMO was not used at that time, but as you look at HMO's you see this is the way that medical care is being taken over since the National Health Insurance approach did not get through the Congress. A few die-hard doctors may try to make a go of it, remaining in solo practice, remaining independent, which, parenthetically, is me but they would suffer a great loss of income. They'd be able to scrape by, maybe, but never really live comfortably as would those who

were willing to become employees of the system. Ultimately, there would be no room at all for the solo practitioner after the system is entrenched.

### NEW DIFFICULT TO DIAGNOSE AND UNTREATABLE DISEASES

The next heading to talk about is Health and Disease. He said there would be new diseases to appear which had not ever been seen before. Would be very difficult to diagnose and be untreatable - at least for a long time. No elaboration was made on this, but I remember, not long after hearing this presentation, when I had a puzzling diagnosis to make, I would be wondering, "Is this a case of what he was talking about?" Some years later AIDS developed. I think AIDS was at least one example of what he was talking about. I now think that AIDS probably was a manufactured disease.

### SUPPRESSING CANCER CURES AS A MEANS OF POPULATION CONTROL



**Cancer.** He said. "We can cure almost every cancer right now. Information is on file in the Rockefeller Institute, if it's ever decided that it should be released. But consider - if people stop dying of cancer, how rapidly we would become

overpopulated. You may as well die of cancer as of something else." Efforts at cancer treatment would be geared more toward comfort than toward cure. There was some statement that ultimately the cancer cures which were being hidden in the Rockefeller Institute would come to light because independent researchers might bring them out, despite these efforts to suppress them. But at least for the time being, letting people die of cancer was a good thing to do because it would slow down the problem of overpopulation.

### INDUCING HEART ATTACKS AS A FORM OF ASSASSINATION

**Another** very interesting thing was heart attacks. He said, "There is now a way to simulate a real heart attack. It can be used as a means of assassination." Only a very skilled pathologist who knew exactly what to look for at an autops-

sy, could distinguish this from the real thing. I thought that was a very surprising and shocking thing to hear from this particular man at that particular time. This, and the business of the cancer cure, really still stand out sharply in my memory, because they were so shocking and, at that time, seemed to me out of character. He then went on to talk about nutrition and exercise sort of in the same framework. People would have to eat right and exercise right to live as long as before. Most won't. This in the connection of nutrition, there was no specific statement that I can recall as to particular nutrients that would be either inadequate or in excess. In retrospect, I tend to think he meant high salt diets and high fat diets would predispose toward high blood pressure and premature arteriosclerotic heart disease. And that if people who were too dumb or too lazy to exercise as they should then their circulating fats go up and predispose to disease. He also said something about diet information would be widely available, but most people, particularly stupid people, who had no right to continue living anyway, would ignore the advice and just go on and eat what was convenient and tasted good. There were some other unpleasant things said about food. I just can't recall what they were. But I do remember having reflections about wanting to plant a garden in the backyard to get around whatever these contaminated foods would be. I regret I don't remember the details about nutrition and hazardous nutrition.

With regard to exercise, he went on to say that more people would be exercising more, especially running, because everybody can run. You don't need any special equipment or place. You can run wherever you are. As he put it. "people will be running all over the place." And in this vein, he pointed out how supply produces demand. And this was in reference to athletic clothing and equipment. As this would be made more widely available and glamorised, particularly as regards running shoes, this would stimulate people to develop an interest in running as part of a whole sort of public propaganda campaign.

People would be encouraged then to buy the attractive sports equipment and to get into exercise. In connection with nutrition he also mentioned that public eating places would rapidly increase. That this had a connection with the family too. As more and more people eat out,

eating at home would become less important. People would be less dependent on their kitchens at home. And then this also connected to convenience foods being made widely available - things like you could pop into the microwave. Whole meals would be available pre-fixed. And of course we've now seen this. But this whole different approach to eating out and to previously prepared meals being eaten in the home was predicted at that time to be brought about. The convenience foods would be part of the hazards. Anybody who was lazy enough to want the convenience foods rather than fixing his own also had better be energetic enough to exercise. Because if he was too lazy to exercise and too lazy to fix his own food, then he didn't deserve to live very long. This was all presented as sort of a moral judgement about people and what they should do with their energies. People who are smart, who would learn about nutrition, and who are disciplined enough to eat right and exercise right are better people - and the kind you want to live longer.

#### **EDUCATION AS A TOOL FOR ACCELERATING ONSET OF PUBERTY AND EVOLUTION**

**Somewhere** along in here there was also something about accelerating the onset of puberty. And this was said in connection with health, and later in connection with education, and connecting to accelerating the process of evolutionary change. There was a statement that "we think that we can push evolution faster and in the direction we want it to go." I remember this only as a general statement. I don't recall if any details were given beyond that.

#### **BLENDING ALL RELIGIONS**


**Another** area of discussion was Religion. This is an avowed atheist speaking. He said, "Religion is not necessarily bad. A lot of people seem to need religion, with it's mysteries and rituals - so they will have religion. But the major religions of today have to be changed because they are not compatible with the changes to come. The old religions will have to go especially Christianity. Once the Roman Catholic Church is brought down, the rest of Christianity will follow easily. Then a new religion can be accepted for use all over the world. It will incorporate something from all of the old ones to make it more easy for people to accept , and feel at

home. Most people won't be too concerned with religion. They will realise that they don't need it."

#### **CHANGING THE BIBLE THROUGH REVISIONS OF KEY WORDS**

**In** order to do this, the Bible will be changed. It will be rewritten to fit the new religion. Gradually, key words will be replaced with new words having various shades of meaning. Then the meaning attached to the new word can be close to the old word - and as time goes on, other shades of meaning of that word can be emphasised. and then gradually that word replaced with another word." I don't know if I'm making that clear, but the idea is that everything in Scripture need not be rewritten, just key words replaced by other words. The variability in meaning attached to any word can be used as a tool to change the entire meaning of Scripture, and therefore make it acceptable to this new religion. Most people won't know the difference; and this was another one of the times where he said, "the few who do notice the difference won't be enough to matter."

#### **THE CHURCHES WILL HELP US**



**Then** followed one of the most surprising statements of the whole presentation: He said, "Some of you probably think the Churches won't stand for this," and he went on to say, "the churches will help us!" There was no elaboration on this, it was unclear just what he had in mind when he said, "the churches will help us!" In retrospect I think some of us now can understand what he might have meant at that time. I recall then only of thinking, "no they won't!" and remembering our Lord's words where he said to Peter, "Thou art Peter and upon this rock I will build my Church, and gates of Hell will not prevail against it." So yes, some people in the Churches might help and in the subsequent 20 years we've seen how some people in Churches have helped. But we also know that our Lord's Words will stand, and the gates of Hell will not prevail.

## **RESTRUCTURING EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF INDOCTRINATION**

**Another** area of discussion was Education. In connection with education and remembering what he said about religion, was in addition to changing the Bible he said that the classics in Literature would be changed. I seem to recall Mark Twain's writings was given as one example. But he said that the casual reader reading a revised version of a classic would never even suspect that there was any change. Somebody would have to go through word by word to even recognise that any change was made in these classics, the changes would be so subtle. But the changes would be such as to promote the acceptability of the new system.

### **MORE TIME IN SCHOOLS, BUT THEY WOULDN'T LEARN ANYTHING**

As regards education, he indicated that kids would spend more time in schools, but in many schools they wouldn't learn anything. They'll learn some things, but not as much as formerly. Better schools in better areas with better people, their kids will learn more. In the better schools learning would be accelerated. This is another time where he said, "We think we can push evolution." By pushing kids to learn more he seemed to be suggesting that their brains would evolve, that their offspring would evolve; sort of pushing evolution where kids would learn and be more intelligent at a younger age. As if this pushing would alter their physiology. Overall, schooling would be prolonged. This meant prolonged through the school year. I'm not sure what he said about a long school day, I do remember he said that school was planned to go all summer, that the summer school vacation would become a thing of the past. Not only for schools, but for other reasons.

People would begin to think of vacation times year round, not just in the summer. For most people it would take longer to complete their education. To get what originally had been in a bachelor's program would now require advanced degrees and more schooling. So that a lot of school time would be just wasted time. Good schools would become more competitive. I inferred when he said that, that he was including all schools - elementary up through college - but I don't recall if he actually said that. Students would have to decide at a younger age

what they would want to study and get onto their track early. It would be harder to change to another field of study once you get started. Studies would be concentrated in much greater depth, but narrowed. You wouldn't have access to material in other fields, outside your own area of study, without approval. This seem to be more where he talked about limited access to other fields. I seem to recall this as being more at the college level perhaps. People would be very specialised in their own area of expertise. But they won't be able to get a broad education and won't be able to understand what is going on overall.

### **CONTROLLING WHO HAS ACCESS TO INFORMATION**

He was already talking about computers in education, and at that time he said anybody who wanted computer access, or access to books that were not directly related to their field of study would have to have a very good reason for so doing. Otherwise, access would be denied.

**To be continued**

## **CALVIN AND THE REFORMATION**



**A PowerPoint Presentation now available for viewing and downloading at:**

**<http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/content/gog-magog-part-14>**

# IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

## Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel  
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am  
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>




Christogenos  
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am  
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,  
Hemel Hempstead,  
Herts HP3 9EQ

Tel: 07984 775937



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael  
Saturdays 10am (est) 3pm (gmt)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

# Announcements

## The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church  
PO Box 25  
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.  
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

## The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By  
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

[www.anglo-saxonisrael.com](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com)

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


## The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

[thenewensign@gmail.com](mailto:thenewensign@gmail.com)

## Previous Issues are archived at

[newensign.christsassembly.com](http://newensign.christsassembly.com)

## GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



## CONTACT

[pia-6@t-online.de](mailto:pia-6@t-online.de)

## Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Stoke-upon-Trent Kings Hall 22nd October  
- 10.30 am

London Friends Meeting House Euston -  
5th November 10.30 am

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey  
Wirral

CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383

[Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk](mailto:Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk)