

The New Ensign

No. 71 July 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

The 200th Anniversary of The Battle of Waterloo



This year is the bicentenary of the Battle of Waterloo with great celebrations and reenactments having taken place last month on the Battlefield near Waterloo, which was seen as a heroic and decisive victory in a just war. But it was a victory that came at a huge cost, and whose outcome was by no means certain.

It is now known that the real victors were not the British, but the ever ready lenders, for they financed both sides to rearrange the political chessboard to their own design. Because the bankers intelligence communication were faster and more efficient than the British Government's they were able to deceive the London Stock Exchange by spreading the rumour that, Wellington had lost the war. This caused British government securities to be dumped and sold for a pittance. These were later bought up quietly by

Rothschild agents and; to cut a long story short, they were able to gain an absolute stranglehold on the finances and government of the UK. Not only that, but the Edomites achieved a great slaughter of their avowed enemy, that is, true Israel of both Britain and France and not to mention those of other continental countries caught up in the fray.

They are up to their old tricks again, using the same old worn template, but only this time to create another world war with Russia using the Ukraine as a catalyst, having thus far failed to do so using Iran and Syria, in order to bring in their one world government or New World Order.

We know Yahweh will thwart their plans, which includes wiping out His people, the Caucasian Race. They are already way behind schedule as witnessed by the unusually long agenda at last month's Bilderberg Conference in Telffs, Austria.

We praise Yahweh and look forward to his soon return to rule over His true Israel.

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com


This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

	Page
Beast Of The Field (Part 2) - Pastor Eli James	3
The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras - Pastor Eli James	9
Caiaphas – The Apehek Edomite - Pastor Kenneth W. Lent	14
The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain (Part 4)	16
Harold Stough Notes - The Black Death Church	19
Shocking Appendices To The Freemasons' Handbook Appendix 2	20
Revelations Of A Swiss Banker - Peter Odintsov	21
Ireland: Land Of The Pharaohs Appendix 1 - Andrew Power	24
Glastonbury Monastery In Somersetshire, Of The Order Of St. Benedict	26
Letters and Views	28
The Murder of John Costello - T. Stokes	32
Marijuana Conspiracy - The Sequel - Doug Yurchey	34
Sayings By and About Jews	38

Serious Questions - Part 4

Arnold Kennedy



QUESTION SIXTEEN. DO WE REALLY TEACH WHAT "ALL", "EVERY ONE", "WHO-SO-EVER", ETC. ACTUALLY MEANS?

Consider again these two verses:

John 3:16 "God so loved the world..."

Mark 16:15 "Go ye into all the world..."

SUCH VERSES are the basis of the thought that the "go and preach the gospel to every creature" of Luke 16:15, refers to going to every person of every race on earth. Let us consider some of the words in these verses.

Preach or "*kerusso*" means to proclaim, or to announce good news like a town crier. It does not mean "to make disciples" or "to evangelise" as many teach.

But where were they to make their proclamations? Was it to everyone of every race? Let us look at "every creature". The Greek word "*ktizo*" is given by:

Strongs G2936-7 as "original formation, building, creature, and ordinance".

Vine's Dictionary of New Testament Words says "*ktizo*" is used among Greeks to mean the foundation of a place, a city, or a colony".

Thayer's Lexicon says: "To make habitable to people, a place, region, Island" The verb "*ktisis*" is the act of creating as in Rom. 1:20 and Gal. 6:15 and indicates the product of the creative act. Thayer says further, "The act of founding, establishing, building", and, "of some particular kind or class of created things or beings".

This is the "creature" in Mark 16:15. The word "*ktizo*" in the classics is used in the sense of a village, or place where certain people live. The

disciples were to go specifically to the places or the villages, cities, colonies or places where the Israelites lived.

Matt. 10:23 "*Ye shall not have gone over the cities of Israel until the Son of Man be come*".

We cannot make "the cities of Israel" to mean the cities of every race. Note here that Jesus is speaking primarily of the time of the end. What is the area of evangelism? Is it not all the world of Israel? What were they teaching? Was it not the Gospel of the Kingdom? The Kingdom is what Jesus and John the Baptist came proclaiming, "Repent, for the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand". Who proclaims that today? It is impossible to believe and teach both the traditional universal gospel to all races and the exclusive Kingdom of Heaven at the same time. Here Jesus is talking about the end of the age. Likewise, He confines "all the world" to the cities of Israel! In other words it is the dwellings or places throughout the earth where the Israelites live, right up to the end of the age.

QUESTION SEVENTEEN ARE "ALL", "EVERY", ETC. LIMITED EXPRESSIONS?

In other words, does "all" usually mean "all of everything" or "all of that part being spoken about only". Does "all the world" mean all the planet, or just all of that part of the planet being spoken about. A look through Young's Analytical Concordance will show how these words are used. This will give an indication without having to go into the Greek. Being certain on this topic is well worth the time involved researching lexicons and concordances.

To grasp the use of "all" in Greek and Hebrew, consider Deut.28:10, "And all the peoples of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of Jehovah, and they shall be afraid of you". Here, "all the peoples of the earth" does NOT include Israel. In the same way, "go ye into all the world" is not all inclusive of every race. Failure to understand this is the source of error in the normal teaching. Jesus says that it is not given for everyone to hear or to understand. Immediately we have just one exception then

"every" and "all" cannot include that exception, or the other exceptions. If an exception is made about the Edomites who cannot find repentance, or of those Jesus said, "Leave them alone", then these cannot be part of the "all" being addressed. Jesus did not preach to certain peoples, as we have seen.

It is recorded that Jesus said ten times, "Who hath ears to hear, let him hear". Consider whether or not this means that there are those who cannot hear. Jesus said to the Edomite leaders of Jewry, "Ye cannot hear my words"-[John 8:43]..

**QUESTION EIGHTEEN - [about "Jews" and "Greeks"]
HOWEVER COULD "GREEKS" MEAN ALL RACES EXCEPT ISRAELITES?**

These two parties are whom the "middle wall of partition"-[Eph.2:14] is between. A "middle" wall is in the middle of one thing, not in the middle of two quite different things. The one race in two Houses exists today with a wall between them, because the time of the total fulfilment about joining them together again into "one body" does not occur until Jesus returns, at which time He leads them both back to the inheritance land-[see Isaiah 11:1-13]. When the Apostle Paul concludes his argument about the Jew and Gentile, he says, "and so shall all Israel [both parts] be saved"- [Rom.11:26].

Of course, we all know that grafting in can only take place between trees that are both of a common origin, such as Israel and Judah are. In Romans chapter 11 it is popular to say that metaphor, "contrary to nature" means the grafting of things quite different together, suggesting the grafting of non-Israelites into Israel. But Vine points out that it means the grafting of a wild stock into a cultivated tree, rather than the normal grafting of good stock into a wild original. This of course refers to the House of Israel joining the House of Judah. The House of Israel had been divorced by God whereas Judah had not. If we look at the expression, "God is able to graft them in AGAIN", those grafted back must have been attached once before they were cut off. The problem again is the Latin word from which we get "Gentiles"; if the meaning was non-Israelites then these were never attached once before.



Where Paul talks about "neither Jew nor Greek" in Col.3:11 he is talking to the "elect" who always are Israel as a whole. The same applies in Gal.3:28 where those who had been under the Law [Israel as a whole] become equal and "all one" as they individually come under the New Covenant. John 7:35 tells us about those of Israel who are dispersed among the Greeks. What misleads most people is the mistranslation of "helen" to read "gentiles", here and in other places. "Greeks" is used as a synonym of this dispersion 35 times in the New Testament. In no way could "Greeks" mean all the races who were not Judeans. Why would Paul pick just on "Greeks" [meaning Greek speaking] if he meant all races? At this time the Dispersion was centred in parts of the old Greek empire. It has to be noted that the translators did not translate here; they used the Latin-origin word "Gentile" to suit their papal-inspired doctrine.

**QUESTION NINETEEN. [ABOUT "STRANGERS" and "ADOPTION"].
[a] WHY TRY TO COVER UP THE FACT THAT THE WORD "STRANGERS" SOMETIMES ALSO APPLIES TO ISRAELITES?**

In the Old Testament there certainly are scriptures that look as if they are saying that non-Israelite strangers could become circumcised, keep the Passover, the Laws of Moses and thus become as "one born in the land.". The immediate necessity is to look at the word "stranger" and similar words like

"foreigner", "sojourner" and "alien". In both the Hebrew of the Old Testament and the Greek of the New Testament there are at least eight different words translated as "strangers," "foreigners" and "servants", etc and this is the problem. Our translators [this includes the N.I.V.] have had no system of consistent translation of any one of these words. That there are "strangers" who are Israelites and "strangers" who are not Israelites is very obvious. The most common word with which there is misunderstanding is the Hebrew word "ger", that is translated as "stranger/s" 86 times out of the 92 times it occurs in the Old Testament. The meaning of this word might be summarised as being an Israelite who was living apart from the main body of Israel or their land, i.e. living among, or in the land, of other races. The important fact is that this stranger was an Israelite by race. A word-by-word examination will show the premise about non-Israelites becoming part of racial Israel is not valid. In the wilderness, the congregation of Israel the "cahal" could attend the tabernacle but the "edah" [also translated "congregation"] could not. But both Israel and non-Israelites travelled together.

[b] Why teach an unscriptural meaning of "Adoption"].

Romans 9:4 "Who are Israelites to whom pertaineth the adoption". The adoption does not pertain to anyone else. From the Extended Vines Expository Dictionary:

"The A.V. "adoption of children" is a mistranslation and misleading. It is not a putting into the family by spiritual birth. Israel was brought into a special relation with God, a collective relationship not enjoyed by other nations".

Paul writing unto "Gentile" Israelites says Israel alone are the people out of whom the sons can be placed. These are the people who can "be led by the Spirit" from the bondage of the Law into the "glorious liberty of the Children of God". Only Israelites had been under the bondage of the Law. The word "huitheia" is never used to mean "make anyone a son". It is to "place a son". Each son who is placed already exists as a son. The Greek does not suggest making anyone a son, and some lexicons point this out.

Strong's G5206 also gives "the placing of a son".

Following up this in Thayer we find: "That relationship which God was pleased to establish between Himself and the Israelites, in preference to all other nations. It also includes that blessed state looked for in the future life after the visible return of Christ from heaven i.e. the consummate condition of the sons of God, which will render it evident that they are the sons of God".

Rom. 8: 23 "Which have the first fruits of the spirit, even we ourselves groan within ourselves, waiting for the adoption, to wit, the redemption of our body".

In this verse we can see an explanation of what "adoption" is, namely the redemption of our body. It only remains to establish if this redemption is available to all and sundry. There is no way "adoption" refers to the popular concept of presently bringing non-Israel into Israel. "Adoption" does not apply to all and sundry races.

**QUESTION TWENTY.
WHY USE THE EXPRESSION "BORN AGAIN" WHEN JESUS SAID "BORN FROM ABOVE"?**



Jesus did not say anything about a second time even if the translations make Him appear to say He did. JESUS DID NOT USE THE WORD "AGAIN" AT ALL HERE! There is no manuscript at all that says Jesus used the word "again". It was Nicodemus who used the word "deuteros" = "a second time". Jesus did not use this word "deuteros"; Jesus used the word "anothen".

Strong's G509 for "anothen" includes "from above", or "from the first".

Thayer: "Anothen" is used of things that come from heaven [from God], or from a higher

[upper] place, or from the very first, or from the origin".



Jesus was speaking of something which existed at the time of speaking. The adverb "anothen" always relates to place and is used of past or former time, never the future time. Knowing this one word difference helps understanding and shows up the problems there are with the popular concept. Jesus confirmed to Nicodemus (above) that He was not speaking of a second birth when He told Nicodemus that He was referring to being born of water and of Spirit. Jesus did not use the future tense as did Nicodemus.

"Born" in John 3:3-5 is "gennao" and it is found 98 times in the New Testament. The sense usually has connection with procreation; the most prominent meaning being "beget" or "begotten". We must thus determine the time when Vine [Page 626] we can read, "The Kingdom of Heaven... is used only in connection with Israel". Vine in his notes explains the difference between the two very well.

[b] Where is just one verse in the Bible to say that Christians will "go to heaven" in the popular presentation or concept?

Paul was "caught up" to the "third heaven", yet he remained on earth afterwards. "Harpazo" is used in the N.T. eighteen times, and no one left the earth. "Great is your reward in heaven" may well refer to the same place Paul was caught up into i.e., the time of the new heavens and a new earth. There are at least six differing "heavens" in scripture grammatically.

**QUESTION TWENTY-FOUR
[ABOUT GOING INTO ALL "THE
WORLD" "TO EVERY CREATURE"].
WHY TRY TO SAY THAT "KOSMOS"
MEANS THE SAME AS "OIKOUMENE"
WHEN IT NEVER DID?**

John 3:16-17 *"For God so loved the world, that He gave His only begotten son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have*

everlasting life. For God sent not His Son into the world to condemn the world, but that through Him the world might be saved"

Mark 16:15 *"And he said unto them, go into all the world and preach the gospel to every creature"*

These are two much quoted and much loved verses. "The world" has been emphasised. In both cases it is the same word "kosmos" in the original Greek. This word "kosmos" is probably one of the least understood and misused words in the New Testament, and perhaps we should take a short-cut and make statements about the word "kosmos" that is usually translated as "world".

It does not mean every race or the inhabited earth-["oikoumene"]. Nor does it mean the land mass of the earth or its soil - ["ge" and "ghay"].

Its prime meaning is "order", "arrangement" or "beauty", but never the common multi-racial meaning as taught. It often means that particular world which is being spoken about, to the exclusion of other "worlds".

It can refer to other things than people, e.g. the adornment of woman's hair [-see I Tim.2:9 where "kosmos" is translated as "modest"]. This is particularly hard to preach the gospel unto!

"Kosmos" is spoken of, not only as the world that now is, but also of that which is to come. [Do we preach to the world to come?].

"Kosmos" is used of the world that was before the flood-[2 Peter 2,5]. This world was destroyed-[Heb.11:7], although the principle continued through Noah and Abraham.

"Kosmos" can mean the whole world of wicked and reprobate men as opposed to the "world" of God's elect. "Kosmos" is used of the Roman Empire -[John 8:23].

"Kosmos" is used of many other things and these can include either order or disorder, fame and honour, the orderly universe, the stars in the universe and even heaven!

So, which "world" of all these "worlds" did God "so love"? From the scriptures we can see that there are differing kinds of worlds. Think about this and how this relates to what has been shown

as being written in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets. In the Old Testament we are told that God loved Israel. There does not seem to be a single direct reference to God loving any other race. Let us consider the Israel order [or "world"] whom God says He loved in the Old Testament.



Deut.7:8 "But the Lord loved you, and because He would keep the oath which he swore to your fathers..." [Israel].

Psalms 47:4 "The excellency of Jacob whom he loved".

Isaiah 63:7-9 "I will mention the loving kindnesses of the Lord and the great goodness toward the House of Israel in his love and pity he redeemed them....".

Hosea 3, 1 "according to the love of the Lord towards the Children of Israel".

Hosea 11:1-4 "When Israel was a child, then I loved himI drew them with cords of a man, with bands of love".

Zeph. 3, 17 "The Lord thy God in the midst of thee [Israel] is mighty, He will save, he will rejoice over them with joy, he will rest in his love".

Malachi 1, 2 "Yet I loved Jacob....and I hated Esau".

N.B. If God hated just Esau, then Edom could not be included in the "all" of "Go ye into all the world" and "God so loved the world".

Quoting from R.K. and R.N.Phillips in "The Book Of Revelation", part 2, [page 25]:

"For those who are firmly convinced that the one who was crucified is Gentle Jesus, meek and mild, please note that He is capable of hate. The Greek word is "miseo", to hate, regard with

ill-will, to detest, to abhor. This puts the followers of the Nicolaitanes in the same category as Esau [whom God hated before he was born]. If deeds have nothing to do with resurrection, why does Jesus make such a statement about the deeds of the Nicolaitanes? If all men are equal before God, why did God hate Esau before he was born?"

In the Old Testament we have expressions of the Israel people that God "so loved." Cast the mind back to all the scriptures in the New Testament which show the exclusive nature of Israel. Both tell of the love of God for Israel in a way which separates Israel from the other races. Are we now to believe that this people Israel have somehow disappeared, despite prophecy to the contrary? If any reader still has reservations about "the world" having different meanings, we will look at pairs of verses each of which contain the words "the world".

Pair One.

John 7:7 "The world cannot hate you, but me it hateth".

1 John 3:13 "Marvel not, my brethren, if the world hates you".

If both of these two "worlds" were the same, then the disciples could not be hated by a world that was not able to hate them. Both worlds are "kosmos", but are different worlds.

Pair Two.

John 17:6 "I have manifested Thy Name unto the men which Thou gavest me out of the world".

John 17:14+16 "They are not of the world, even as I am not of the world".

In one verse they are out of "the world" and in the second they are not of "the world".

Pair Three


John 17:18 "I pray not for the world, but for them which Thou hast given me, for they are Thine"..

John 3:16 God so loved the world".

Might it not be blasphemy to suggest that Jesus would not pray for that world He loved? So He would have to pray for one "world" and not for

another! Here are demonstrated three pairs of scriptures which show contrasts in the "worlds" they are talking about.

THE "WORLD" - "KOSMOS" OR "OIKOUMENE" in John 3:16?



These two words are both translated "world", but they are different in application and meaning. "kosmos" is determined by context to say which particular world is being spoken of, whereas "oikoumene" roughly means the inhabited earth in general. We can see the true meaning of "oikoumene" easily in verses like Luke 2:1 where Caesar was to tax "all the world" and Acts 11:28 about a famine throughout "all the world". In Acts 17:6 we read where the disciples "turned the world upside down". In Acts 19:27 we read about "all Asia and the world" worshipping the goddess Dianna" and in Acts 24:5 about Paul being said to be "a mover of sedition throughout the world". In Rev.3:10 Jesus speaks about the "hour of temptation which shall come upon all the world". In Romans 10:18 we are told the Word of God went "into all the earth" and "unto the ends of the world". When we remember that both parts of Israel were scattered among the nations this is easily understood. We might say that the "kosmos" of Israel was scattered throughout the "oikoumene". Jesus came into the "oikoumene" [Heb.1:6] to minister to the "kosmos" of Israel.

God's love to the Elect is in no way limited. He so loved this "world" of His Elect. This is the order of Israel He loved and sent His Son to redeem. This is whom Jesus died for. It is pointed out again, He came "to save HIS PEOPLE from their sins".

"ALL", "WHO-SO-EVER", "EVERY" ARE LIMITED EXPRESSIONS CONFINED TO EACH CONTEXT.

Scripture says, "Whosoever believeth on Him shall not perish, but have everlasting life". We have to look at which "world" is being addressed and see that the "whosoever" refers to "all" of that part being spoken about and not "all" of everything. Lexicons support this understanding. The context here is Israel so "whosoever" refers to "whosoever of Israel".

When we go back to the Old Testament scriptures with understanding we will see many references that say that part of the total law, namely the Statutes, the Judgements and the Ten Commandment were given to Israel ALONE as a covenant. This is vital to understand. Redeeming Love can only mean redemption from the curse of a broken Law. This Law Covenant had not been made with all races. Israel is the world Jesus came to save. He "bought back" or redeemed Israel. No other races could possibly be redeemed or "bought back" again, because they had not been there in the first place.

When Jesus said "I am the light of the world", the "world" in each case is the "kosmos", not "oikoumene". The disciples of Jesus were to be lights to the "kosmos" of Israel, not the "oikoumene". This is confirmed when Jesus told the disciples to go to only to the lost sheep of the House of Israel. What has changed, and when did it change?

We find a similar difference that is often missed with the word translated "earth". In Isaiah 54:5, for instance we read, "Thy Redeemer, the Holy One of Israel, the God of the whole earth shall He be called". The "whole earth" does not mean the whole globe [ge or ghay]. The word in this verse and others similar is "erets"; each race has its own separate "erets" or land. John 1:11 confirms this, "He came unto His own, and His own received Him not". There are two different words for "own" in this verse; one is His land etc, and the other is His people. It is popular to ignore the differences between "kosmos" and "oikoumene", and also the difference between "erets" and "ge" because they cannot fit in with popular all-race doctrines.

Going back to John chapter three where Jesus was talking with Nicodemus, a Master of Israel. In context, Israel is the "world" they were talking about. Consider, "For God so loved the world"; the word "for" connects with what is spoken of

immediately above. This provides the context. To whom is Jesus speaking with? This tells us what kosmos is being spoken of. The whole subject matter concerns Israelites and a master in Israel, Nicodemus.

Verse 3 They have to be begotten "from above" [not "again" as translated] to be able to perceive [in their minds' eye] the Kingdom. Jesus used the word "anothen"; it was Nicodemus who used the word "deuteros" or "a second time", not Jesus. Verses 5-7 Unless this spirit is inherited FROM CONCEPTION, none can enter the Kingdom [1 John 3:9]. "Begotten" and "born" are mixed up by translators and are different in meaning!

Verse 8 We, [the Israelites] have [all] received the Spirit of God].

Verses 14-15 "Even as Moses lifted up the serpent in the wilderness, so must the Son of Man be lifted up".

To what race did Moses lift up that serpent? What race only was then healed and cleansed from the serpent bites? Jesus was 'lifted up' to the same people, Israel, and Jesus is saying that the "even as" applies just to those same people He died for.

To be continued

The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras 11 & 12, An Identity Interpretation (Part 3)

By
Pastor Eli James



I will quote the rest of Dr. Scott's analysis before giving my own interpretation.
- Eli

*"Why didn't history write it differently? Why didn't Russia get defeated? [The Soviet Union DID get defeated, but NOT world communism! The Communist International is still in full swing. Its tactics have merely changed to gradualistic socialism, instead of open warfare with the West. Western Civilization is being swamped with Big Government. This is the relentless march of socialism towards communism, financed by Zionism. Russia, under Putin, having rejected communism, is still trying to throw off its Zionist yoke (the oligarchs). – Eli] And the western head outlived the eastern head. Why didn't Germany get defeated before Mussolini? This guy lucks out. **The middle one dies first in his bed. The second one is gobbled up by the eastern head and it with some of these wings is the evil remaining head to be destroyed by the lion.**"*

"I need only go to Exodus 17 and to Ezekiel 38&39; the great Russian chapters there called of scripture. Where the resurrection and

*realization of lost Israel joined with Judah becomes centre stage bait for God to bring it to an end. And that final expression of the eastern head of the eagle is to say arise and let us take a spoil. A man comes out of the sea, none other than Christ in Esdras prophecy with that vast host that Esdras says; this is why I laid the foundation so detailed, if he is so right about everything else. **It is Esdras that says the ten tribes rather than returning to Israel took council among themselves and in a year and a half went through the head waters of the Euphrates to a section to the north Arsareth, where they could follow their own laws.**"*

*"And out of the west it says they will come behind that great man that will come. As the lion in the first figure, the lion of the tribe of Judah and as the man who comes out with a host behind him that are **the ten tribes that went through those Caucasus Mountains which settled northwestern Europe.** To execute in that final battle of Armageddon his rule over this earth and usher in the millennial reign of Our Lord."*

[Here, Dr. Scott shows a glimmering awareness of the Identity message, but his analysis is woefully inadequate, because he incorrectly identifies the Three Heads; and most interpreters

of this vision fail to address the “**little feather full of trouble.**” What kingdom is that? There is no way that Mussolini’s Italy can be said to have died in its bed. There is only one kingdom that qualifies for this honour: **Great Britain.**



The British Empire was great until after World War II. Having been forced by the Rothschilds to fight against Russia (Crimean War), India, China (Boxer Rebellion), the Boers, Germany, and Germany again, Great Britain expended its wealth and people on imperialism. **And, now, Britain is in a fight for its sovereign life, dying in its own bed of corruption.** (But I expect true Israelite nations to be redeemed after the Second Coming.) Britain was the first of three heads to awaken, because “the sun never set on the British Empire.” British soil was never invaded during the Empire days, although Hitler sent a few V2 rockets across the English Channel. The only “invasion” of Britain in the modern era is the current “invasion” of immigrants, who are destroying the religion and culture of Britain as we speak. The “glory days” are over.

The head on the left has to be the **Soviet Union**, created in 1917, which was the communist empire created by the Rothschilds and world Jewry. The **Soviet Empire** was much larger than Nazi Germany, extending from Western Germany all the way to the Pacific Ocean. The head on the right is the **American Empire** that has also been created by the Zionists. This empire was **formally inaugurated in 1913**, with the creation of the Federal Reserve Bank. **What most people do not understand is that all three of these heads are controlled by the 8th Beast, Mystery Babylon.** All three of these heads existed when the last Hapsburg, Kaiser Wilhelm II of Germany, abdicated, so there is no time gap between them, as there is between 1918 and Hitler’s Germany and Mussolini’s Italy. And, as history proved, Hitler and Mussolini were no

match for these three empires (Soviet Union, British Empire, American Empire). We must also be aware that these three empires never did and do not now represent the people who are subject to these empires. In each case, we are dealing with formerly Christian nations that have become Judaized by the 8th Beast. All of these nations, and many others, teach the religion of Shoism (holocaust worship). Anyone who does not believe in the Shoa is officially condemned and harassed in all of these nations.

Even the Catholic Church has become Judaized by the 8th Beast. Islam has also become Judaized, as the Wahhabi affair proves. (See below.) The Rothschilds have secretly funded the radicalisation of Islam, in order to bring about the current ideological war between Islam and the Christian West. This is borne out by Albert Pike’s prophecy of three world wars as well. This radicalisation (atheism, agnosticism, communism) had already begun in Pike’s time; and he was obviously well aware of it.]

“I hate to see Esdras, Ezra his Old Testament name, thrown out... What’s that woman in Washington, Jean Dixon? She could hope to luck out that much.”

“The proof of a prophet is in what they say. I’m ready to preach and I’m out of time. I just got to the starting point.”

*“Who came against Israel in Exodus 17 and defied their place of rest where the gospel typified as poured forth from the stricken rock? Amelek; who’s Amelek? **Descendants of Esau.** That red brother of Jacob that enmity was **between which in the New Testament God says ‘I hate Esau, Jacob I love’.** Who are these Amelekites? Descendants of Esau, and God says in Exodus 17, **I will put enmity, and there will be war from generation to generation until Amelek is totally wiped out.** Why do you think God was so hard on Saul? He wouldn’t obey him and blot them all out. Where are these Idumaeans? Esau, Amelekites, they become the great kingdom of Edom. And when the Jews [Sic: **Israelites.** Dr. Scott doesn’t realize that the Jews are actually the Edomites/Amelekites. The Jews have trained us well in making this false identification. – Eli] were carried into bondage*

the Edomites laughed and mocked and gloated as they ran to the hills to hide they would rat on them. Tell them where they hid and go into Jerusalem and loot it until one of the Psalms warns in the last days what will happen to them. Those Edomians, when they [the Israelites] came back from bondage living in the area, were assimilated and left a part of the Jewish nation as part of their tolerance. **It was an Edomian, Herod, of the line of Esau that issued the edict to kill the boys, that posed a threat to the birth of Jesus. As Satan still works through this Edomian, Edomite, Amalekite, Esau root of peoples that have flowed through the stream of history. I don't have time to trace them today but it's no accident that Russia becomes that great red threat as Idumaeans can be traced into central Asia to dominate the power centres of modern Russia.** [Yes! They are today known as the Ahkenazi Jews!! **That is where Zionism and communism came from!!** Boy! What a shame Dr. Scott didn't make this topic a main part of his lecture!!! I have never heard anyone outside of Identity even bring up this subject!!! - Eli] *And the age old battle between the one that God hates, Esau, and the one that God loves, Jacob, will culminate as both the ten tribes and the Jew [Sic. House of Judah. – Eli] realizing who they are from Ezekiel 37 and bound together as one become threatened again by that ancient enemy the Amelekites. And once for all that red threat will turn to blood to the bridles of the horses as the lion of the tribe of Judah with behind him the saints - we'll be part of that band - execute vengeance once for all, on that whole worthless body of the eagle...."*


This concludes the transcript of Dr. Scott's lecture on the Three Heads. Now, I will give you my interpretation of the final five elements of the vision, because we are living in the days of these five symbols.

Albert Pike's Prophecy of Three World Wars

Here are the three world wars predicted by Albert Pike in a letter to Mazzini, written in 1871:

"The First World War must be brought about in order to permit the Illuminati to overthrow the power of the Czars in Russia and of making that country a fortress of atheistic Communism. The divergences caused by the "agentur" (agents) of the Illuminati between the British and

Germanic Empires will be used to foment this war. At the end of the war, Communism will be built and used in order to destroy the other governments and in order to weaken the religions.



"The Second World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences between the Fascists and the political Zionists. This war must be brought about so that Nazism is destroyed and that the political Zionism be strong enough to

institute a sovereign state of Israel in Palestine. During the Second World War, International Communism must become strong enough in order to balance Christendom, which would be then restrained and held in check until the time when we would need it for the final social cataclysm.

"The Third World War must be fomented by taking advantage of the differences caused by the "agentur" of the "Illuminati" between the political Zionists and the leaders of Islamic World. The war must be conducted in such a way that Islam (the Moslem Arabic World) and political Zionism (the State of Israel) mutually destroy each other. Meanwhile the other nations, once more divided on this issue will be constrained to fight to the point of complete physical, moral, spiritual and economical exhaustion... We shall unleash the Nihilists and the atheists, and we shall provoke a formidable social cataclysm which in all its horror will show clearly to the nations the effect of absolute atheism, origin of savagery and of the most bloody turmoil. Then everywhere, the citizens, obliged to defend themselves against the world minority of revolutionaries, will exterminate those destroyers of civilization, and the multitude, disillusioned with Christianity, whose deistic spirits will from that moment be without compass or direction, anxious for an ideal, but without knowing where to render its adoration, will receive the true light through the universal manifestation of the pure doctrine of Lucifer, brought finally out in the public view. This manifestation will result from the general

reactionary movement which will follow the destruction of Christianity and atheism, both conquered and exterminated at the same time. - <http://www.threeworldwars.com/albert-pike2.htm>



Pike's prediction is absolutely uncanny but understandable, given the fact that, at that time, **Albert Pike was the official head of the Illuminati and World Freemasonry.** In this position, he would have been privy to the Rothschilds' plans for world domination, via the overthrow of Christian Civilization. Mainstream Christianity has been enlisted to fight for the Zionist cause against Islam; and that fulfils Pike's prophecy.

Understanding the three phases of the Rothschild plan to establish their New World Order, **we can see that 9/11 was the official trigger of the internationally staged battle between Islam and the West,** although this planned war has been in the works for nearly two centuries.

For those who still doubt the existence of the Illuminati, here are some quotations: *In 1773 Professor Adam Weishaupt, who had probably been a Jesuit priest, became a Satanist and founded a secret society, the "Illuminati."* Weishaupt had been initiated into an ancient esoteric order by one, Kolmer, who had come from the East. **He adopted the ancient Chaldean sign "O" as the symbol of his organization.** The symbol among the ancient Chaldeans was the Zoro-Ashta. (See Chapter Two) The purpose of Weishaupt's secret movement was to bring the complete destruction of Western Christian Society. He intended to destroy social authority; nationality and religion, to abolish the holding of private property, to plan social and political reform and to reorganize society as subordinate to a Master Race. - From "The Annihilation of Man" by Kenneth R. McKilliam.

Also, Weishaupt's code name, as an Illuminatus, was *Spartacus*. The Marxist Spartacist League, takes its name from Adam Weishaupt's code name.

Writing of this Illuminati sect in 1797, The Abbe Barruel wrote:

"In the desires of a terrible and formidable sect you have only reached the first stages of the plans it has formed for that general revolution which is to overthrow all thrones, all altars, annihilate all property, efface all law and end by dissolving all society." (History of Jacobinism.) The Jacobins were the Jewish banker/Freemasons who concocted and financed the French Revolution, communism, fascism, Zionism and "democracy." America is a Republic, not a Democracy. Democracies always fail because of the corruption of a banker-controlled central government.

If you go to this website www.itanimulli.org (*Illuminati* spelled backwards), you will be taken to the website of the National Security Agency, which is also a Rothschild puppet, as are the CIA, FBI, and all of our other "American" institutions, civilian and military. Anyone who doubts that Mystery Babylon has completely taken over America is either ignorant or insincere.

As David Livingstone states, in his fine article, entitled, *Globalists Created Wahhabi Terrorism to Destroy Islam and Justify a Global State:*

The West and Islam have had a long era of compatibility, but this history has been denied to foster the myth of a "Clash of Civilizations." In order to inflame the sentiments of the West against Islam, our attention has been focused on the specter of fanatical Wahhabism, and more specifically, its most notorious exponent, *Osama bin Laden*. However, as outlined in an excellent article by Peter Goodgame, ***The Globalists and the Islamists, the Globalists have had a hand in shaping and financing all the terrorist organizations of the twentieth century, including the Muslim Brotherhood of Egypt, Hamas of Palestine and the Afghan Mujahideen. But the history of their duplicity dates farther back still, to the 18th Century, when British Freemasons created the Wahhabi sect of Saudi Arabia itself, to further their imperialistic objectives.***

That a British spy by the name of Hempher was responsible for shaping of the extreme tenets of Wahhabism was mentioned in a Turkish work,

Mir'at al-Haramain, by Ayyub Sabri Pasha between 1933-1938. **British policy in its colonies often involved the creation of deviant sects, in order to Divide and Conquer, as was the case with the Ahmadiyya sect of Islam in India in the nineteenth century.**

*The details of this conspiracy are outlined in a little known document by the name of The Memoirs of Mr. Hempher published in series (episodes) in the German paper *Spiegel*, and later in a prominent French paper. A Lebanese doctor translated the document to the Arabic language and from there on it was translated to English and other languages.*

The document is a first-hand account by Hempher of his mission for his government, which sent him to the Middle East to discover ways to undermine the Ottoman Empire. Among the vices the British were to promote were racism and nationalism, alcohol, gambling, fornication and tempting Muslim women to uncover themselves.

But most important was the strategy to "insert heresies into Muslims' creedal tenets and then criticize Islam for being a religion of terror." *To this purpose, Hempher located a particularly corrupt individual by the name of **Mohammed Ibn Abdul Wahhab**. To understand the brand of fanaticism that Wahhabism inculcated, it is first necessary to recognize that Islam called upon all Muslims, regardless of their race or nationality, to see themselves as brothers in faith. The killing of another Muslim was strictly forbidden.*

However, as part of their strategy of Divide and Conquer, the British hoped to pit the Arab Muslims against their Turkish brothers. The only way to do so was to find a loophole in Islamic law whereby the Arabs could declare the Turks as apostates.

Abdul Wahhab was the instrument by which the British were able to insinuate this vile idea into the Muslims of the Arabian Peninsula. Basically, Wahhab contrived the idea that, simply by the trivial act of offering prayers to saints, their Turkish brethren had forfeited their faith, and therefore, that it was permitted to kill all who refused to adhere to his reforms, and to enslave their women and children. But that included the entire Muslim world, except for his small misguided band of followers. –

<http://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=2757>

The Christian Zionists and the Islamic Jihadists have no idea that they have been manoeuvred into this position by the Jews. It's the Christian Zionists versus the Islamic Jihadists, with the Talmudic Zionists selling weapons to both sides! In the West, this anti-Islam crusade is disguised as "the war on terror," with all of its deceitful rhetoric against Iraq and Afghanistan, and now Iran. Obamination is their formerly pseudo-Christian, now pseudo-Islamic Jew shabez goy (lackey). Conversely, the militant Islamists refer to America as "the Great Satan." **This animosity has been completely engineered by the House of Rothschild over the last 200 years. Saudi Arabia, controlled by the crypto-Jewish Saudi royal family, is actually working for the other side, funding and agitating militant Islam against the West, while selling oil to both sides!** The Bush family ("Christian," but really Zionist) has been closely connected with the Bin-Laden family ("Islamist," but really crypto-Jewish) for many decades, having many business dealings in common. Osama, though he died in December of 2001, is still being propped up as a fearsome bogeyman by the Zionist press, keeping a terrified world in fear of a dead man. So, we can see that this Orwellian charade is being orchestrated from the board rooms of the international bankers, media barons, and oil barons (Rothschilds, Rockefellers, Saudis, Bin-Ladens). **And you thought that the bankers and oil sheiks were enemies!** Silly you! You may also recall that the "Islamic terrorists" who were identified as being part of the cadre of 9/11 operatives were NOT Iraqis, as falsely reported by the Koshers Press Club. They turned out to be **Saudi nationals!** Now you know why! **To be continued**

A Talk With Alan Campbell




Listen to Alan Campbell Talking with Pastor Eli James on the last Sunday of each month at 4pm UK time on Eurofolk Radio.

<http://eurofolkradio.com/>

Caiaphas – The Aphek Edomite A Scriptural And Historical Study

By

Kenneth W. Lent -- 12/12/14



CAIAPHAS was the Judean High Priest who indicted Jesus Christ for blasphemy, which charge eventually resulted in the torturous crucifixion of our King and Savior. Caiaphas is the Scriptural “third witness” (Deut.17:6; II Cor.13:1) to the

Gospel truth that Jesus was murdered by foreign Edomite Jews occupying Judea, and that Jesus was not killed by the Romans or by Saxon Israelites (as some assume). The other distinct witnesses recorded in God’s Word confirming this Edomite plot are to be found in the family of the Herods, being from Idumean/Edomite heritage; and Judas Iscariot whose surname means “Ish-Kerioth”, that is: “a man of Kerioth” (Westminster Bible Dictionary –pgs. 336,342) a southern Judean city where Edomite Jews lived. Judas, the betrayer of Christ, was the only Edomite Jew among the 12 apostles, as the other 11 were all Saxon Israelites from the northern city of Galilee. We shall not focus on Judas in this article since the topic is concerning Caiaphas.

However, just as Judas’ name gives him away as being a “southern Jew” and a despised “son of perdition” both racially and spiritually (John 17:12; Obadiah 1,2) – so also does Caiaphas’ name expose him as being a son of the same cursed Edomites who are possessed of an eternal hatred for the family line of Jacob/Israel (Jesus Christ’s racial heritage).

Various Bible dictionaries and commentaries attribute the names Joseph Caiaphas or Joseph Bar Caiaphas to this false high priest which the Scriptures merely refer to as “Caiaphas” (Mat.26:3,4; John 18:24). It is thus an examination of his family name of “Caiaphas” which reveals some interesting observations concerning this appellation. Instead of being

from the rightful family racial line of the Israelite Aaronic priesthood, Caiaphas was merely appointed High Priest at Jerusalem by Valerius Gratus, a Roman official (c 18 AD). Along with Roman appointees being forced upon the people of Judea, the Edomite Herodian dynasty of local leaders was as well appointing “low lifers” into the Temple Priesthood positions.

William Smith’s Dictionary of the Bible, in its article on High Priests says this “With the murder of Aristobulus, last of the Asmonians, by Herod the Great,-- the separate Aaronic succession of High Priests gave way to men of ignoble estate. Herod made men of low birth -- high-priests, deposed them at his will, and named others in their room.”


In the Westminster Dictionary of the Bible, 1944 ed, page 148 article about Edomites, it clearly states, “The Herods were Idumeans, that is, Edomites.”

During the centuries preceding Christ’s arrival, Edomites gained possession of Judea when God Almighty removed the 12 tribed House of Saxon Israel northward from that land because of their disobedience to His national and family laws for a Godly society.

Due to the stubborn sins of our own ancestors (Saxon Israel and Judah) Yahweh God allowed the Babylonians and Assyrians to militarily capture the ancient nation of our people, which armies then deported the Israelites/Judahites northward into areas below the Black and Caspian Seas. When this happened the opportunistic Edomites, who lived immediately south of Judea, moved up and into Judea to fill the vacancy and thereupon took on the name of “Jews”. By the time Christ was born, this band of Edomite “good ole boys club” had developed a dynasty of religious and governmental power over all of Judea. There were some local Saxon Israelites remaining at this time, but by now Judea had become an established multicultural society run by Edomite priests and Edomite civil officials who were pretending to be true

Israelites when, in fact, they were not. Among this group of opportunists we have Antipater and his wife Cypros, Herod the Great, the priests Annas and Caiaphas, Phasaelus, Costobarus, Salome daughter of Antipater, Pheroras the tetrarch, Herod Antipas, Archelaus, and some others of this close knit group of shadow government controllers of Judea. (sources are from The Holy Scriptures and Josephus' Antiquities)

“even, indeed, likewise, moreover, and other similarly related words.



Back then names meant something, and Caiaphas' name meant a lot. As we break it down linguistically, the name Caiaphas is derived from two words: 1) the Greek word KAI; and 2) the Aramaic name APHEK. “Kai” meant “and, also, indeed, likewise, (plus similar variant others); while “Aphak” was the name of a city. In the ancient Greek language the last letter of a surname was usually changed to the Greek letter “C” (sigma) pronounced as “s” in English, to denote a masculine person. “A” and “E” were similarly interchangeable, thus we have the grammatical transformation of Kai-Aphak to that of Kai-Aphac or Aphac – pronounced KaiAphas. (note that the name “Matthew” in Greek becomes “Matthaios” see Strong’s #3156; “Elijah” becomes “Elias” see Strong’s #2243; “Zechariah” becomes “Zacharias” see Strong’s Heb.#2148 & Grk.#2197; etc.)

After KAI, the next Bible word shown in the Greek Lexicon dictionary is that of Caiaphas:

The first half root word of “KAI” (“also, indeed”, etc.) is clearly obvious. The second half (last 4 letters) is pronounced “Aphas” or as we would view it in English “Aphac(k)”. Bible dictionaries record that “Aphak” is Hebrew for the city Aphaca, which in its Aramaic sister tongue is none other than the city Aphek. (source: Westminster Bible Dictionary, 1944 ed. pp. 32,33), and this city is listed in Gesenius' Hebrew/Chaldee Lexicon p.72b as “a royal city of the Canaanites”. [Listed as interchangeable with its feminine word “Aphaca”, the “ac” implies a 1st declension fem. Genitive case like this: =“OF Aphek”]

Furthermore, when observing the Greek from an English translator's view we know that the Greek letter S “sigma” (C), looks like our English letter “C” which can have an “s” or “k” sound in English. The word “circle” is a good example where our “c” has both an “s” sound and a “k” sound within one word. Thus “Kai – Aphac” is either and both Aphas, Aphak, or Aphek. In the entire Scriptures there is only one other word that identifies with the Hebrew/Aramaic root word of the last half of Cai-aphas' name, precisely the city of Aphek(c) a town near the ancient border of the Philistines. We will address this city shortly, but let's take a look at Caiaphas' name in the Greek text.

Therefore linguistically based on solid lexicon references we see that the name “Caiaphas” (Kai-Aphac) literally means “[also, indeed]--[of Aphek]”, precisely as Judas Iscariot's name purposely reveals that he was a man of the city Kerioth. (Judas Ish-Kerioth). Both of these areas were demographically non-Israelitish, and moreover they were Canaanite/Edomite populated.


The Herodian Idumean (Edomite) Connection With The City Aphek

Greek Elements Concordance 1985, page 43 (red underline added)

Above we have a 1st century Greek Lexicon's Koine Greek illustration of the word KAI meaning “and, also, too”, with Strong's Concordance #2532 including meanings of

The New Testament Greek word for Edomite is Idumean. The ruling class governmental family in Judea at the time of Christ was dominated by the Idumean Herod family, much as the Jewish European Rothschilds control the world's money manipulations today. The Herods had murdered the last of the remaining local Israelite priests in the decades before Christ arrived, and also did the same with the judicial Israelite court judges of the Grand Sanhedrin in order to secure their grip over all Judea. When news of the Christ Messiah being born reached Herod King of Judea, Herod sent a “swat team” to Bethlehem

to kill all the infants in an attempt to assassinate the child Jesus. (Mat.2:16)



Not only did this Edomite elite control the geographic area of the former land of Judah (Judea) including the locations of Jerusalem and Hebron, they retained the northwest trade routes

and military routes to the northwest of Jerusalem as well. Aphek was a key city strategically positioned along ancient Philistine borders where Romans subsequently had built a network of roads headed north into the merchant routes running up the coast of the Mediterranean Sea. It was also beautifully located near a river in the hills and was continually visited and inhabited by the Edomite Herodian clan as a “get-away” from their governmental affairs in Jerusalem. (Somewhat like Tahoe in Nevada is a retreat for Hollywood Jews who conduct their businesses in California.)

To Be Continued

The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain (Part 4) Brian Chalmers



Effective Strategies

IN SPITE of the author's intellectual dishonesty and ethical bankruptcy, this book is worthwhile. In addition to a careful chronicling of the close relationships between Spanish kings and their Converso subjects, and a detailed summary of the social and political sources of animosity toward the Conversos, it provides a wealth of information on the intellectual, social, and political battles between Spaniards and Conversos in the 15th century. Netanyahu also presents a valuable and interesting account of the multifaceted strategies used by the Conversos to attain their social and political goals - strategies that presage many of the techniques Jews have used in modern societies to combat anti-Semitism and further their interests.

While attention has already been drawn to some of the activities of 15th-century New Christian intellectual apologists, this scarcely begins to tell the whole story. During the Inquisition period, Jewish emigrants from Spain and Portugal produced a large body of polemical, apologetic literature meant to refute Christianity and bolster the resolve of the crypto-Jews who remained on the Iberian peninsula.

In addition, Netanyahu shows that even prior to the Inquisition, New Christian intellectuals such as Fernán Díaz, Cardinal Juan de Torquemada, and Alonso de Cartagena emerged to defend the supposedly sincere Christian orthodoxy of the New Christians, to refute the arguments of the Conversos' enemies, and to develop novel theological arguments that portrayed the Jews, both in Old Testament and modern times, in a positive light. To do so, these writers had to counter a very large body of Christian writing that depicted Jews in a searingly negative light. Their success in this task impressively illustrates the ability of Jewish intellectuals throughout the ages to fashion effective strategies, conforming to the currently prevailing zeitgeist, in defence of Jewish (or, in this case, New Christian) interests.

Clever Justifications

It is noteworthy that within this entire body of pro-Converso writing, Jews are regarded as a

distinct ethnic-racial group. The writings of the Converso Bishop Alonso de Cartagena, for example, viewed Jews as a group that was "united by a blood relationship whose origins went back to Abraham" (Netanyahu, p. 530). Cartagena argued that God chose Abraham to be the progenitor of the people that would be dedicated to His service, and because of their special role as the carnal progenitors of Christ, they had to remain separate from other peoples and occupy an elevated moral status compared to other humans: "Not only was the Jewish people raised to the status of nobility in mankind ... it was also allotted the status of holiness" (p. 533).



In a twist on the traditional Jewish view of themselves as a special, "chosen" people, Conversos portrayed themselves as constituting a kind of closed religious order made up of morally superior individuals distinguished by a superior biological heritage - a group therefore worthy of being the progenitors of Christ. Consistent with this view, the conversion of the Jews to Christianity was really no conversion at all, because it merely represented a higher fulfilment of their great and predestined historical role. Converso intellectuals developed this fantastic argument to refute charges by their enemies that baptized Jews would be unable to accommodate themselves to Christian teachings. A remarkable aspect of New Christian apologias, and their intellectual defenses generally, is that they were crafted in such a manner that the Conversos could view and portray themselves as remaining loyal to their (Jewish) people-hood and (Mosaic) law. (pp. 936-937).

By superficially becoming Christians while at the same time retaining their ethnic identity and

sense of people-hood, they provided a bridge between "ethnic Israel" and "spiritual Israel." Alonso de Cartagena argued that Christianity could serve as a viable ideology in which New Christians could preserve their ethnic group cohesiveness and solidarity in precisely the same manner as Jews had always done. What we have here, in short, is a novel ideology of superficial Christianity that served to rationalize the continuity of Jewish group identity and cohesiveness among the New Christians.

Cartagena correctly saw that Christianity does not ultimately recognize races at all because, at the theoretical or spiritual level, it fosters the unification of all humanity. And he proposed that eventually there would be complete intermingling of races and nations (a notion, I suggest, that served much the same function in his writing as the "withering away of the state" does in classical Marxist political theory).

While anticipating the eventual emergence of a society free from ethnic distinctions, Cartagena contended that because ethnic divisions would persist into the foreseeable future, and because they have no legitimate place in Christian theology, we should do our best to ignore them. Thus he did not advocate a program to encourage intermarriage, nor did he condemn the New Christians for their continued group consciousness, their political and economic inter-group cooperation, their consciousness of common descent, or their pride in their Jewish ancestry. Instead, Cartagena attempted to change the traditional outlook and social behaviour of non-Jewish Spaniards by urging them to view both themselves and the New Christians as members of one people - even though these different groups were not only disunited but in fact were actively engaged in grim and sometimes violent conflict.


Cartagena's message was that the continuation of the New Christians as an unassimilated, segregated group within Spanish society should be irrelevant from a Christian moral and theological perspective. He even proposed that the categories of New Christian and Old Christian be abandoned altogether, on the theory that eliminating such distinctions would lessen hostility by Old Christians toward New Christians. At the same time, though, Cartagena argued that New Christians should be allowed

to maintain their own strong sense of superior group consciousness.

The implication is that the New Christians can and should continue to retain their group integrity and even their ethnic uniqueness in order to preserve their distinguished lineage. However, the rest of Christian society ought to view such behaviour as theoretically irrelevant and cease even categorizing individuals as New Christians because such racialist thinking is contrary to Christian theology and morality. In other words, Cartagena urged non-Jewish Spaniards to abandon their own sense of group self-awareness, while urging baptized Jews to retain their separate group identity.

Waging Intellectual Warfare

A striking feature of the struggle over the New Christians in 15th-



century Spain was that their defenders were intellectually far more sophisticated than their opponents. Collectively they dominated the literature of the period. (This has often been true in other eras as well, such as during the Dreyfus affair in 19th century France, and in the United States today.)

Netanyahu details the distinguished intellectual and political accomplishments of Torquemada and Cartagena prior to their apologetic work, and points out that Díaz was the second or third most powerful official in the government of Castile. Their arguments were presented in a highly literate, scholarly style that commanded respect from educated readers. Moreover, these writers showed great skill in developing intricate, tortured arguments to offset the long-standing anti-Jewish bias inherent in Christian theology. Similar comments could be made about a very large body of pro-Jewish literature directed at non-Jews in more recent historical eras, including our own. Indeed, a good example is Netanyahu's book.

The result of all this intellectual activity was a stunning, if temporary, victory. Writes Netanyahu (p. 658):

The Marranos were faced with a campaign of vilification which clearly threatened their existence in Spain, and they were inevitably looking for the best method to quash that campaign, or reduce its effectiveness. As long as Toledo was the headquarters and center of the rebels' anti-Marrano agitation, the Marranos met the violent diatribes ... with a counterattack that soon put their enemies on the defensive. Determined to fight fire with fire, the Marranos placed in the forefront of their battle-line the strongest and ablest men they possessed - Torquemada, Cartagena, the Relator [Fernán Díaz], and others; they enlisted in their support men of courage and brilliance, such as Lope de Barrientos and Alonso de Montalvo ...

They built a massive public opinion that was so adverse to Sarmiento [a leader of the anti-Converso revolt] and his followers that the latter came to be regarded as outlaws, not only politically, but also morally and religiously. Within one year after the Toledan outbreak, the Marranos saw their foes in retreat; the Pope had denounced and excommunicated them; their leaders had been executed or hunted down; and Toledo ... was clearly seeking accommodation with the Crown.

In their intellectual struggle, the Conversos recruited prominent and respected Old Christians to defend their cause - a strategy commonly employed by Jews through the ages. (Even in the ancient world there developed an entire apologetic literature written by Jews masquerading as non-Jews.) Similarly, in modern societies Jews have often covertly funded organizations headed by prominent non-Jews that combat anti-Semitism or otherwise promote Jewish interests. Examples of this phenomenon in 20th-century America include the successful campaigns to establish a US trade embargo against Tsarist Russia and to revise American immigration policy to promote maximum racial and cultural pluralism.

As Netanyahu shows, the Conversos recruited several prominent Old Christians to support their cause. Lope de Barrientos, an Old Christian and Bishop of Cuenca, was recruited by the Converso Fernán Díaz to write a tract supporting

the Christian orthodoxy of most Conversos and condemning their enemies (p. 612). Actually, this tract was no more than a revision of one that Díaz himself had written. Another Old Christian, the jurist Alonso Díaz de Montalvo, sought help from two prominent New Christian intellectuals in writing a pro-Converso apologetic tract.

So it was that the New Christians engaged in an elaborate, multifaceted strategy to counter and vanquish their enemies. This included polemical writings by Converso intellectuals, recruitment of prominent non-Jewish intellectuals, as well as falsifications and deletions of the historical record by Converso scholars. It also included efforts to persuade the King to deal harshly with anti-Jewish pogromists, and to persuade the Pope to excommunicate the anti-Jewish Toledo rebels of 1449. During the Inquisition era New Christians bribed the Pope and other high

officials, and at times were able to infiltrate the Inquisition itself, as part of the effort to soften the effect of its campaign against crypto-Jews. Converso efforts were not confined to the intellectual field. They also included the establishment of para-military urban self-defense organizations, and even assassinations of anti-Converso leaders during periods of armed conflict.

Because of such efforts the New Christians remained a prominent political force in Spain well into the 17th century (even as the Inquisition remained active well into the 18th century). One can only be impressed by their incredible tenacity, as well as that of Jewish historians such as Netanyahu who continue to fight their battles five centuries later.

To be Continued



Harold Stough Notes

The Black Death of St Peter and St Paul Church Peasmarsh East Sussex

THIS ANCIENT NORMAN CHURCH was the centre of the evil Black Death which devastated this place.

It is said that the old village was built around this Church, but the Black Death had such a devastating effect upon this village that the villagers had no choice but to rebuild their village a mile down the road from the Church.

For the only way that they could get rid of this plague was to burn down their old wooden houses, and start afresh further away. This advice was given by the Rector of the Church after much prayer, they all agreed that this was the only answer.



The Rector then had the stonemason carve these three mystical animals on the stone that were built into the walls of the Church. The Stag which was below ground level, (hidden in the drain), which would keep the evil rats from ever entering the Church by way of the drains. The Unicorn on the side of the Church, to ward off the evil plague from



entering the doors of the Church, and the mystical bird to stop the plague from entering from roof.

Inside the Church there are two Leopards carved into the sides of the arch leading to the altar, which would ward off the disease of leprosy which was also rampant in this area at the time.

The villagers of Peasmarsh understood the power of the symbols which God had given them, which would protect them, from these evil things.



A picture is displayed on the wall, the words of the wonderful hymn (left) which reminded us that the Lord made a more beautiful village for the people to live in than they ever had before. We can hear these words echoing in the Church of long ago, All things bright and beautiful, All creatures great and small, All things wise and wonderful, The Lord God made them all.

The End OS21999

Shocking Appendices To The Freemasons' Handbook Appendix 2

Jewish Freemasonry on the Infiltration of the Church and State

In 1492, Chemor, Chief Rabbi of Spain, wrote to the Grand Sanhedrin in Constantinople for advice when the expulsion of Jews from Spain was pending. The reply of the Sanhedrin is to be found in the 16th century book *La Silva Curiosa* by Julio Iniguez de Medrano. A photostat copy of the original and a translation are to be found in the edition of *The Protocols* published in the United States by The Sons of Liberty. The text of the reply is as follows:

“Beloved Brethren in Moses: We have received your letter in which you tell us of your anxieties and misfortunes which you are enduring. We are pierced by a great pain to hear it as yourselves.

The advice of the Grand Satraps and Rabbis is the following:

1. As for what you say, that the King of Spain obliges you to become Christians: do it, since you cannot do otherwise.
2. As for what you say about the command to despoil you of your property: make your sons merchants that they may despoil, little by little, the Christians of theirs.
3. As for what you say about making attempts on your lives: make your sons doctors and apothecaries, that they may take away Christians' lives.

4. As for what you say of their destroying your synagogues: make your sons canons and clerics in order that they may destroy their churches.

5. As for the many other vexations you complain of arrange for your sons to become advocates and lawyers and see that they always mix in affairs of State, that by putting Christians under your yoke you may dominate the world and be avenged on them.

6. Do not swerve from this order we give you, because you will find by experience that humiliated as you are, you will reach the actuality of power.

[Signed] **The Prince of the Jews of Constantinople.**”



Taken from *The Longest Hatred: An Examination of Anti-Gentilism* by Inter-City Researchers Published in July 1991 by Inter-City Research Centre 31 Eastvale, Acton Vale, London W3 7RU. Telephone: 081-749 0629 ISBN 1 873704 00 3.

To be continued

Revelations Of A Swiss Banker

**Startling revelations from a Swiss banking insider:
Peter Odintsov Interview with a Swiss banker, done in Moscow,
2011-May-30**



Q: Can you tell us something about your involvement in the Swiss banking business?

A: I have worked for Swiss banks for many years, I was designated as one of the top directors of one of the biggest Swiss banks. During my work, I was involved in the payment ... in the direct payment in cash to a person who killed the president of a foreign country. I was in the meeting where it was decided to give this cash money to the killer. This gave me dramatic headaches and troubled my conscience. It was not the only case that was really bad, but it was the worst.

It was a payment instruction on order of a foreign secret service, written by hand, giving the order to pay a certain amount to a person who killed the top leader of a foreign country. And it was not the only case. We received several such hand-written letters coming from foreign secret services giving the order to pay out cash from secret accounts to fund revolutions or for the killing of people. I can confirm what John Perkins has written in his book, "Confessions of an Economic Hit Man". There really exists just (such a) system, and Swiss banks are involved in such cases.

Q: Perkins' book is also translated and available in Russian. Can you tell us which bank it is and who was responsible?

A: It was one of the top three Swiss banks at that time and it was the president of a country in the Third World. But I don't want to give out too many details because they will find me very

easily if I say the name of the president and the name of the bank. I will risk my life.

Q: You can't name any person in the bank either?

A: No I can't, but I can assure you this happened. We were several persons in the meeting room. The person in charge of the physical payment of the cash came to us and asked us if he is allowed to pay out such a big amount in cash to that person, and one of the directors explained the case and all others said, "OK, you can do it",

Q: Did this happen often? Was this kind of a "slush fund"?

A: Yes. This was a special fund managed in a special place in the bank where all the coded letters came in from abroad. The most important letters were handwritten. We had to decipher them and in them was the order to pay a certain amount of cash from accounts for the assassination of people, funding revolutions, funding strikes, funding all sorts of parties. I know that certain people who are 'Bilderbergers' were involved in such orders. I mean, they gave the orders to kill.


Q: Can you tell us in what year or decade this happened?

A: I prefer not to give you the precise year, but it was in the 80's.

Q Did you have a problem with this work?

A: Yes, a very big problem. I could not sleep for many days, and after a while I left the bank. If I give you too many details, they will trace me. Several secret services from abroad, mostly English-speaking, gave orders to fund illegal acts, even the killing of people, through Swiss banks. We had to pay on the instructions of foreign powers, for the killing of persons who did not follow the orders of Bilderberg (Group) or the IMF, or the World Bank for example.

Q: This is a very startling revelation that you are making. Why do you feel the urge to say this now?



A: Because Bilderberg is meeting in Switzerland. Because the 'world situation' is getting worse and worse. And because the biggest banks in Switzerland are

involved in unethical activities. Most of these operations are outside the balance sheet. It is a multiple of what is officially declared. It's not audited ... and it's happening without any taxes. The figures involved have a lot of zeros. It's huge amounts.

Q So it's billions?

A: It's much more, it's trillions, completely unaudited, illegal and besides the tax system. Basically, it's a robbery of everybody. I mean most normal people are paying taxes and abiding by the laws. What is happening here is completely against our Swiss values, like neutrality, honesty and good faith. In the meetings (that) I was involved in, the discussions were completely against our democratic principles. You see, most of the directors of Swiss banks are not locals any-more, they are foreigners, mostly Anglo-Saxon, either American or British: they don't respect our neutrality, they don't respect our values, they are against our direct democracy, they just use the Swiss banks for their illegal means.

They use huge amounts of money created out of nothing, and they destroy our society and destroy the people world-wide, just for greed. They seek power and destroy whole countries, like Greece, Spain., Portugal or Ireland -- and Switzerland will be one of the last in line. And they use China as working slaves. And a person like Josef Ackermann, who is a Swiss citizen, is the top man at a German bank and he uses his power for greed and does not respect the common people. He has quite a few legal cases in Germany, and also now in the States. He is a Bilderberger and does not care about Switzerland or any other country.

Q Are you saying, some of these people that you mention will be at the upcoming Bilderberg meeting in June, in St. Moritz?

A: Yes.

Q: So they are currently in a position of power?

A: Yes. They have huge amounts of money available and use it to destroy whole countries. They destroy our industry and build it up in China. On the other hand, they opened up the gates in Europe for all Chinese products. The working population of Europe is earning less and less. The real aim is to destroy Europe.

Q: Do you think that the Bilderberg meeting in St. Moritz has symbolic value? Because in 2009 they were in Greece, 2010 in Spain, and look what happened to them! Does this mean Switzerland can expect something bad?

A: Yes. Switzerland is one of the most important countries for them, because there is so much capital here. They are meeting there because apart from other things, they want to destroy all values that Switzerland stands for. You see, it's an obstacle for them, (Switzerland) not being in the EU or Euro, not totally controlled by Brussels and so on. Regarding values, I am not talking about the big Swiss banks, because they are not Swiss any-more, most of them are led by Americans. I am talking about the real Swiss spirit that the common people cherish and hold up.

Sure it has symbolic value, as you said, regarding Greece and Spain. Their aim is to be a kind of exclusive elite club that has all the power, and everybody else is impoverished and down.

Q: Do you think that the aim of Bilderberg is to create a kind of global dictatorship, controlled by the big global corporations, where there are no sovereign states any-more?

A: Yes, and Switzerland is the only place left with direct democracy and it's in their way. They use the black-mail of "too big to fail" (as in the case of UBS bank) to put our country in big debt, just like they did with many other countries. In the end, maybe they want to do with Switzerland what they did with Iceland, with all the banks and the country bankrupt.

Q: And also bring it in to the EU?

A: Of course. The EU is under the iron grip of Bilderberg.

Q: What do you think could stop this plan?

A: Well, that's the reason I speak to you: it's "Truth". Truth is the only way. Put a light on this situation, expose them. They don't like to be in the spotlight. We have to create transparency in the banking industry and in all levels of society,

Q: What you are saying is, there is a correct side to the Swiss banking business and there are a few big banks that are misusing the financial system for their illegal activities?

A: Yes. The big banks are training their staff with Anglo-Saxon values. They are training them to be greedy and ruthless. And greed is destroying Switzerland and everybody else. As a country we have a majority of the most correct operating banks in the world -- if you look at the small and mid-size banks. It's just the big ones who operate globally that are a problem. They are not Swiss any-more and don't consider themselves as such.

Q: Do you think it is a good thing that people are exposing Bilderberg and showing who they really are?

A: I think the Strauss-Kahn case is a good chance for us, because it shows these people are corrupt, sick in their minds, so sick they are full of vices and those vices are kept under wraps on their orders. Some of them, like Strauss-Kahn, rape women, others are sado-masochist, or paedophile, and many are into Satanism (SRA). When you go in some banks, you see these Satanic symbols, like in the Rothschild Bank in Zurich. These people are controlled by blackmail because of the weaknesses they have. They have to follow orders or they will be exposed, they will be destroyed or even killed. The reputation of Strauss-Kahn is not only killed, in the mass media — he could be killed also literally.

Q Since Ackermann is in the steering committee of Bilderberg, do you think he is a big decision maker there?

A: Yes. But there are many others, like Lagarde, who will probably be the next IMF head, also a member of Bilderberg, then Sarkozy and Obama. They have a new plan to censor the Internet, because the Internet is still free. They want to control it and use terrorism or what-ever as a

reason. They could even plan something horrible so that they have an excuse.

Q: So that is your fear?



A: It's not only a fear, I am certain of it. As I said, they gave orders to kill, so they are capable of terrible things. If they have the feeling (that) they are losing control, like the uprising now in Greece and Spain — and maybe Italy will be next -then they can

do another 'Gladio'. I was close to the Gladio network. As you know, they instigated terrorism paid by American money to control the political system in Italy and other European countries. Regarding the murder of Aldo Moro, the payment was done through the same system as I told you about.

Q: Was Ackermann part of this payment system at a Swiss bank?

A: (smiles) ._. you are the journalist. Look at his career and how fast he made it to the top.

Q: What do you think can be done to hinder them?

A: Well, there are many good books out there that explain the background and connect the dots, like the one I mentioned by Perkins. These people really have hit men that get paid to kill. Some of them get their money through Swiss banks. But not only that, they have a system set up all over the world. And to expose to the public these people -- that are prepared to do anything to keep control. And I mean anything.

Q: Through exposure we could stop them?

A, Yes, telling the truth. We are confronted with really ruthless criminals, also big war criminals. It's worse than genocide. They are ready and able to kill millions of people just to stay in power and in control,

Q: Can you explain from your view why the mass media in the West is more or less completely silent regarding Bilderberg (Group)?

A: Because there is an agreement between them and the owners of the media. You don't talk about it. They buy them. Also some of the top media figures are invited to the meetings but are told not to report anything they see and hear.

Q: In the structure of Bilderberg, is there an inner circle that knows the plans, and then there is the majority who just follow orders?

A: Yes. You have the inner circle who are into Satanism, and then there are the naive or less-informed people. Some people even think they are doing something 'good', in the outer circle.

Q: According to exposed documents and own statements, Bilderberg decided back in 1955 to create the EU and the Euro: so they made important and far reaching decisions?

A: Yes, and you know that Bilderberg was founded by Prince Bernard, a former member of the 'SS' and Nazi party, and he also worked for IC Farben, (and its) subsidiary produced 'Cyclone B'. The other guy was the head of Occidental Petroleum who had close relations to the communists in the (Soviet Union). They worked 'both sides', but really these people are fascists who want to control everything and everybody, and whoever gets in their way is 'removed'.

Q: is the payment system you explained outside of normal operations, compartmentalized and in secret?

A: In those Swiss banks, the normal employees don't know this is happening. It's like an own secret department in the bank. As I said, these operations are outside of the balance sheet, with no supervision. Some are situated in the same building, others are outside. They have their own security and special area where only authorized people can enter.

Q: How do they keep these transactions out of the international Swift system?

A: Well, some of the Clear-stream listings were true in the beginning. They just included fake names to make people believe the whole list is fake. You see, they also make mistakes. The first list was true, and you can trace a lot of things. You see, there are people around that discover irregularities and the truth, and they tell it. Afterwards, of course, there are law suits, and these people are forced to shut up.

The best way to stop them is to tell the truth, put the spot-light on them. If we don't stop them, we will end up as their slaves.

Q: Thanks to you for this interview

A Short Story which should no longer surprise you

"The world cannot hate you; but me it hateth, because I testify of it, that the works thereof are evil." [ST JOHN 7]

The End OS22002

Ireland: Land Of The Pharaohs

Appendix 1

Andrew Power



HAYM SALOMON: See Haym Salomon, the patriot broker of the revolution: his real achievements and their exaggeration, An open letter to Congressman Celler by Max Kohler, 1931.

From the book The Barons Of European Industry, by Anthony Rowley, 1974, you can get some history on SGDB. A few interesting points: "William of Orange it was indeed who in 1822 established the original Societe Generale which was to finance the growth of a great part of Belgian industry and which today remains by far the most important single force in the country's economic life.

"...La Generale list the Belgian royal family as well as the Vatican among its shareholders in addition to that all powerful family alliance

behind Belgian business - the Solvays, the Boels and the Janssens.

[None of these names had much meaning for me.]

“...In 1838 the rival Banque de Belgique succumbed to the general crisis in Europe and closed its doors but the Societe Generale, supported by the Rothschilds [!], remained open, paying out coin against the notes issued by its competitor.

Dan Smoot, *The Invisible Government*, makes the following statement:

“Control: Autonomous. Large shareholders, believed to be Belgian Royal Family, the Vatican, Prince Amaury de Merode, Count Lippens, and the Solvay / Boel / Janssen families. “...La Generale likes to point out that it has ‘tens of thousands’ of shareholders though its true ownership remains an enigma because so few of these shares are registered in the names of the holders, the remainder being anonymous bearer shares tucked away in banks. However, the Belgian royal family and the Solvay family are the only major shareholders apart from, of course, the cross holdings within the group, according to an SG spokesman. Only about 250 shareholders ever turn up at the annual general meetings to rubber stamp the board’s decisions and so the directors and top management tend to be a ‘self-perpetuating oligarchy’.”



“Prince Bernhard is known to be an influential member of the Societe Generale de Belgique, a m y s t e r i o u s organization that seems to be an association of large corporate interests from many countries.

American firms associated with this society are said to be among the large corporations whose officers are members of the Council on Foreign Relations and related organizations. I make no effort to explore this situation in this volume.”

Final Thoughts:

This is some of the material I have come across. From Mr. Dodd’s 50 years of experience I believe there may be something to his concerns. If you know of any further information let me know. At this time I have insufficient evidence to accept or reject the hypothesis. If it is true it should put pieces together. Thank-you for taking the time to read this lengthy letter.

From 1980 Annual Report: Governor: Rene Lamy

Vice Governor: Jacques van der Schueren

Directors: Philippe Cols, Baron de Fauconval, Marcel Goblet, Charles Lejeune, Robert Novis, Pierre-Michel Oury, Pierre Pluys, Julien Van Hove

Honorary Members: Paul-Emile Corbiau, Max Nokin, Edgar Van der Straeten, Gerard Assoignon, Pierre Dhanis Andre Dubuisson, Roger Neiryneck, Adolphe Paulus, Paul Renders, Baron de Spirlet.

Advisers: Bernard Ancion, Michel Bouchat, Henry Cambier, Pierre Danneaux, Charles Huriaux, Andre Jacques, Paul Meyers, Emmanuael Tesch, Pierre Uytendhoef, Michel Vandestruck.

Honorary Advisers: Count Albert de Beauafort, Henry Blaise, August Granck, Edouard Leblanc, Pol Provost, Emile Raskin, Maurice Van Weyenbergh.

Preliminary Comments of James Daugherty:

Thank you very much for the information on Societe Generale. Obtaining, disseminating, and evaluating such information was precisely why A-albionic Research was founded and why they sponsor my Internet account.

The main thing I see missing from your summary is a reminder to the reader that it was William of Orange who seized the Throne of Britain in the Glorious Revolution and proceeded to see founded the Bank of England, the Masons, and the Royal Society under his patronage to say nothing of the British Empire itself, the embryo of the current World Order according to H G Wells and others. The House of Orange founded the Bilderberg Society through Prince Berhard,

the husband of Queen Juliana – of the House of Orange, and Queen of the Netherlands.

the International Bankers are agents of the Royal Money Bund!

Frankly, I doubt that any one Corporate Entity is key. A-albionic has hypothesized for 10 years that a Royal Money Bund rules the world with the Houses of Orange and Windsor at the top. However, A-albionic sees the Vatican in opposition. I would be very surprised to see them with a controlling interest in the Royal Money Bund. The King of Belgium is Catholic and was dispossessed of his gigantic wealth during UN operations in the Congo! A-albionic agrees that

There was no mention in your summary that the House of Orange remains in close connection to the House of Windsor via intermarriage, etc. And let's not forget the House of Orange's Royal Dutch Shell.

In 1931, Arnold Toynbee, member of the financial elite, distills their rapacity saying, "...this mysterious force called 'sovereignty' must be wrested from the clutches of the nations of the world..." Dieter H. Dahmen

Glastonbury Monastery In Somersetshire, Of The Order Of St. Benedict From Our Dutch Correspondent

The manuscript History of the Church of Glastenbury, now deposited in the Cottonian Library, and taken from it by Mr. Dugdale, in his Monasticon

IN THE YEAR 31 after the Passion of our Lord, twelve of St. Philip the Apostle's disciples (the chief of whom was Joseph of Arimathea) came into this country, and preached the Christian faith to Arviragus, who refused to embrace it, and yet granted them this place, with twelve hides of land; where they made walls of wattles, and erected the first church in this kingdom, which Christ personally dedicated to the honour of His Mother, and the place for burial of His servants, as is said in the manuscript History of the Monastery of Glastenbury in the Cotton Library. These twelve, and their successors, continuing long the same number, and leading an eremetical life, converted a great multitude of pagans to the faith of Christ. They being all, at length, dead and buried here, the most holy men Phaganus and Diruvianus, coming into these parts, and baptizing King Lucius and his people, had the aforesaid hides confirmed to them and their successors, the same number of twelve

being kept up till the coming of St. Patrick, who, instructing them in the monastic life, became their abbot: After whom, the holy fathers Benignus, Kolumkil, and Gildas, led a most holy life there. Next came St. David Archbishop of Menevia, now called St. David's, who added a new chapel to the church, dedicating it to the blessed Virgin, and erected a rich altar; and near the said chapel, Joseph of Arimathea, and other holy men, are said to have been buried. Though



the church was afterwards several times rebuilt, this place still remained under the former consecration, and was held in such veneration, that kings, bishops, and all the greatest persons, thought themselves happy in adding something to its possessions, or being buried with any small parcel of its earth. St. Dunstan, and other holy abbots, always preserving the number of twelve monks, added to them several clergymen that sung well.

This church, by reason of its antiquity, was by the English called Ealdchurch, that is, Old Church; and the people of the country about it, thought no oath more sacred, than to swear by the Old Church; as being the first, and oldest church in England, and held in such veneration, that it was called a second Rome, for sanctity; because, as Rome was honoured with a multitude of martyrs, so

this place was renowned for many confessors. This island, in which this church stands, was, by the Britons, first called Ynswyxtyn, that is, the Glass Island, by reason of the river, as it were of the colour of glass, encompassing the marsh. It was called an island, because inclosed about by a deep marsh. It was called Avallonia, either from the British word *aval*, signifying an apple, as being full of fruit-trees, or from Avallon, who was once lord of that territory. The Saxons gave it the name of Glastingebury, that is, the Town of Glass. There are several islands about this, all belonging to it, all which together were reduced to make up the twelve hides above-mentioned, the bounds whereof may be seen in Dugdale, p. 2. and 3. All the places within those bounds enjoy all sorts of immunities, from the first times of Christianity, granted and confirmed to the church of Glastonbury by the British, English, and Norman kings.



This church was the sacred repository of the ashes of a multitude of saints, insomuch that no corner of it, or of the church-yard, is destitute of the same. There lie the twelve disciples (above-mentioned) of St. Philip the Apostle, with their chief, Joseph of Arimathea, and his son Josephus; also St. Patrick, the apostle of Ireland; St. Benignus, disciple to St. Patrick; St. Pinius, disciple to Benignus; St. Gildas, the British historian; St. David, Bishop of Menevia; St. Dunstan; St. Indrastus, martyr, and his seven companions; St. Urban, martyr; St. Apollinaris, bishop and martyr, disciple to St. Peter the Apostle; St. Vincentius, archdeacon and martyr; three of the Holy Innocents; St. Besilius, martyr; part of St. Oswald, king and martyr; St. Valerius, and St. Salvius, bishops and martyrs; St. Canon, Anastatius, Renignius, Casanius, Abdon, and Sennen, martyrs; St. Paulinus, Bishop of the Northumbrians; St. Aidan, Bishop of Lindisfarn; Coelfrid and Boisilus, abbots; Venerable Bede; St. Benedict, bishop; Hesterpine, Sigfride, and Herbert, abbots; St. Idamus, bishop; St. Teison, abbot, and his twelve companions; St. Iltwich; St. Lilianus, abbot; part of Guthlac, the

anchorite; St. Poppa, Archbishop of Treves; St. Geminianus, confessor; the holy virgins Hilda, Hebbe, Begu, Crisante, Udilia, Mary, Martha, Lucy, Walburge, Gertrude, Cecily, Went, Mamilla, Edberga, Elfleda, Batildis, Ursula, Daria, Ealswitha; the last of these affirmed to be intire many years after she had been interred. Many more names of holy men and women were lost by the burning of the antient church, and time has worn out the memory of a still greater number.

Many holy relicks were also preserved in this church: Of those relating to the Old Testament, part of Rachel's tomb; of the altar on which Moses pour'd out oyl; of his book; of the tomb of Isaiah; some manna: relicks of the prophet Daniel; of the three children delivered from the fiery furnace; six gilt stones of the pavement of the Temple, and some of the gate. Relating to our Lord Jesus Christ: Some of the linen He was wrapp'd in; two pieces of the manger; some of the gold offer'd by the Wise Men; five stones out of Jordan, where our Saviour was baptized; one of the vessels in which Christ turned water into wine; of the stones the Devil proposed to Christ to convert into bread; of the five loaves with which our Lord fed five thousand persons; of the place where He was transfigured; of the stone He stood on in the Temple; of His hair; of the hem of His garment; and many more, too tedious for this place: Also relicks of the Blessed Virgin; of St. John Baptist; of the Apostles; of many martyrs, confessors, and holy virgins.

On this account, Glastonbury was every where held in the greatest veneration; and, as has been said, the greatest persons coveted to be buried there; most of whose names have been lost, and of some, mention has been made above.

A few feet from the Old Church stood two pyramids; that next to the church twenty-six feet high, on which were many antiquities worn out by age. On the uppermost story of it, was a pontifical image; on the second, the image of a king, with these letter, Heri, Sexi, and Blisier; on the third, were these words, Wemerest, Bantomp, Wineweng; on the fourth, Hate, Wulfred, and Eanfled; on the fifth, and lowest, an image, and this inscription, Logior, Weslicas, Bregden, Swelves, Hwingendes, Bera. The other pyramid was eighteen feet high, and had four stages, on which was to be read, Hedde Bishop Bregored, and Breorward. What these

words signify is not known; but it is guessed, they were the names of the persons deposited within the pyramid. So great was the respect paid by our ancestors to this place, that they durst not utter any idle words, nor so much as spit in the church, or church-yard, unless compell'd by the utmost necessity, and even then with the utmost reluctance and remorse: Neither durst any man bring a hawk, horse, or dog into the church, because it had been often observed, that such as had been accidentally brought in, immediately died. Even from foreign countries the earth of this church-yard was sent for, to bury with the greatest persons; and it is reported, that even a Mahometan sultan, having taken an English gentleman in the Holy Land, gave him his liberty, upon promise, that he would bring him a gantlet full of that earth, which was accordingly performed, and the gentleman returning to Glastonbury, declared the same upon oath.

As to the burial of King Arthur, Mr. Cambden makes no doubt of it, and gives us from Giraldus Cambrensis, an account how King Henry II. caused search to be made for his tomb, and before they had dug seven foot, they came to a great stone, having a cross of lead on the inside of it, and the subsequent letters, or inscription upon it, and in the following rude character; which the said Giraldus Cambrensis, Mr. Cambden says, was an eye-witness of, as well as of a coffin of hollow'd oak, which they found by digging nine foot deeper than the inscription,

wherein were deposited the bones of that great prince.

On the top of a high hill, near a mile from the town, stands an old tower, which the people vulgarly call the TORR; what it was, we are not certain; but it is made famous by one thing in particular; that here King Henry VIII. caused Richard Whitingus, the last Abbot of Glastonbury, to be hanged for refusing to surrender the monastery.



I must confess, that I cannot so much blame the Catholicks in those early days, for reverencing this place as they did, or, at least, 'till they came to found idolatry upon their respect, if they really believed all these things; but my business is to relate, rather than make remarks.

The inscription on King Arthur's

coffin, is as on the left.

The End OS22008

Letters And Views



Inconvenient truth

Sir, I found J Longstaff's letter (12 Dec) regarding our Queen most interesting. It reminds

me that, according to the EU, the Queen is a citizen of that body. That is to say she is a citizen of another power: she owes allegiance to that community. This is inline with Black's Law Dictionary. The Queen's allegiance to Brussels is confirmed in Hansard (1 Feb 1993).

So as Her Majesty is Head of the Church of England, my inference is that the Church of England is under the authority of the EU. According to this line of thinking, the EU has the right to instruct Anglicans to perform Gay Marriage.


Her Majesty also swears allegiance to God. So is God under the authority of the EU or vice versa or is HM Elizabeth II in an untenable position? Do those who swear allegiance to both

Her Majesty and the EU also put themselves in an untenable position?

Many have written on this conundrum. Some put it that "The Queen has been betrayed (and the nation sold into captivity)". That being so, surely God has been betrayed.

Ross McWhirter was an active campaigner on this in the last century. Sadly he was martyred by the IRA 40 years ago for speaking an inconvenient truth. **Your Truly, Colin Bricher, Northampton.**

How Nicky and Willy could have prevented World War I



Sir—, One hundred years ago this week, Tsar Nicholas II of Russia and Kaiser Wilhelm of Germany exchanged a series of telegrams to try to stop the rush to a war that neither of them wanted. They signed their notes “Nicky” and “Willy.”

Cousins who vacationed together, hunted together and enjoyed dressing up in the uniforms of each other’s military officers when sailing on their yachts, these two great-great-grandsons of Paul I of Russia wrote to each other in English, affirming their mutual interests and outlining an agreement that would have resolved the crisis on terms acceptable to both rulers.

Yet only three days after the tsar and kaiser’s initial exchange, Germany declared war on Russia, and World War I was underway. Tragically, these leaders were caught in what Henry Kissinger has called a “doomsday machine”: a network of interlocking alliances

and military mobilization timetables that allowed the march of events to overcome their best efforts.

The telegrams between them were discovered by an American journalist in the Russian government archives in 1919 and caused a sensation when they were first published in 1920. A century after they were written, they are vivid reminders of the perils of crisis management — and the wisdom of preventive diplomacy to resolve challenges like today’s territorial dispute in eastern Ukraine before they become crises that suck great powers into confrontations.

The exchange began in the very early morning of July 29, just hours after Austria-Hungary (an ally of Germany) declared war on Serbia (an ally of Russia) in retaliation for the assassination of Austrian Archduke Franz Ferdinand in Sarajevo. Time was short to find a diplomatic solution that would prevent a regional war from becoming a world war.

Tsar Nicholas wrote: “In this serious moment, I appeal to you to help me. An ignoble war has been declared to a weak country. The indignation in Russia shared fully by me is enormous. I foresee that very soon I shall be overwhelmed by the pressure forced upon me and be forced to take extreme measures which will lead to war. To try and avoid such a calamity as a European war I beg you in the name of our old friendship to do what you can to stop your allies from going too far. Nicky.”

Even before this telegram arrived in Berlin, Kaiser Wilhelm sent his own message to the tsar, reading in part: “The persons morally responsible for the dastardly murder should receive their deserved punishment. In this case politics plays no part at all. On the other hand, I fully understand how difficult it is for you and your Government to face the drift of your public opinion. Therefore, with regard to the hearty and tender friendship which binds us both from long ago with firm ties, I am exerting my utmost influence to induce the Austrians to deal straightly to arrive to a satisfactory understanding with you. I confidently hope that you will help me in my efforts to smooth over difficulties that may still arise. Your very sincere and devoted friend and cousin. Willy.”

So from the outset, both leaders expressed hope for a diplomatic solution. And Wilhelm had a particular compromise in mind: Austrian troops would be allowed to advance as far as Belgrade and remain there until Serbia dismantled the Black Hand terrorist group, responsible for the murder of the archduke.

The kaiser told the German chancellor to communicate this proposal to Vienna. But the chancellor privately opposed the “halt in Belgrade” policy and did not deliver the message clearly. Instead, he instructed his ambassador in St. Petersburg to tell the Russian foreign minister that if Russia continued preparing troops for battle against Austria, Germany would also mobilize and “a European war could scarcely be prevented.”

In the next volley of telegrams, sent on the evening of July 29, Wilhelm explained to his cousin why Russia should remain on the sidelines of a limited Austro-Serbian war. Nicholas responded: “Thanks for your telegram conciliatory and friendly. Whereas official message presented today by your ambassador to my minister was conveyed in a very different tone. Beg you to explain this divergency! It would be right to give over the Austro-Serbian problem to the Hague conference. Trust in your wisdom and friendship. Your loving Nicky.”

In this telegram, the tsar made clear that he was still eager to find a diplomatic solution. He endorsed the kaiser’s proposal of negotiations at the Hague, where Germany, Russia, France and England would mediate an agreement between Austria and Serbia. And later that night, because of the messages he was receiving from the kaiser, he resisted the counsel of his war ministers that an immediate mobilization of the entire Russian army was the only plausible response to Austria’s declaration of war. Instead, he issued an order permitting partial mobilization, hoping that this would be viewed less provocatively in Berlin.

Unfortunately, by the next day, both Nicholas and Wilhelm had been overwhelmed by competing views and the momentum of their governments. The tsar accepted his generals’ argument that full mobilization was necessary, because anything less would put his forces at a disadvantage in the event they had to be deployed against Germany. And the kaiser sent

a telegram with strong language drafted by the German chancellor: “If, as it is now the case, according to the communication by you & your Government, Russia mobilises against Austria, my rôle as mediator . . . will be endangered if not ruined. The whole weight of the decision lies solely on you[r] shoulders now, who have to bear the responsibility for Peace or War. Willy.”

In the round of telegrams sent on July 31 (which crossed in transmission), neither side proved



willing to make concessions or take actions that could have made room for a deal to prevent or delay the outbreak of war.

Kaiser Wilhelm: “I now receive authentic news of serious preparations for war on my Eastern frontier. Responsibility for the safety of my empire forces preventive measures of defence upon me. In my endeavours to maintain the peace of the world I have gone to the utmost limit possible. . . . My friendship for you and your empire, transmitted to me by my grandfather on his deathbed has always been sacred to me and I have honestly often backed up Russia when she was in serious trouble especially in her last war. The peace of Europe may still be maintained by you, if Russia will agree to stop the milit[ary] measures which must threaten Germany and Austro-Hungary. Willy.”

Tsar Nicholas: “We are far from wishing war. As long as the negotiations with Austria on Serbia’s account are taking place my troops shall not make any provocative action. I give you my solemn word for this. I put all my trust in Gods mercy and hope in your successful mediation in Vienna for the welfare of our countries and for the peace of Europe. Your affectionate Nicky.”

Shortly after that telegram arrived in Berlin, the German chancellor sent an ultimatum to St. Petersburg, giving Russia 12 hours to “suspend every war measure against Austria-Hungary and ourselves.”

The tsar responded to the kaiser: "Understand you are obliged to mobilise but wish to have the same guarantee from you as I gave you, that these measures do not mean war and that we shall continue negotiating for the benefit of our countries and universal peace dear to all our hearts. Our long proved friendship must succeed, with God's help, in avoiding bloodshed. Anxiously, full of confidence await your answer. Nicky."

Russia never received that guarantee. Germany saw its ultimatum rejected. The exchange between Nicky and Willy ended on Aug. 1, with the kaiser writing: "I must request you to immediately order your troops on no account to commit the slightest act of trespassing over our frontiers."

That evening, Germany's ambassador to St. Petersburg handed the Russian foreign minister a declaration of war and then burst into tears. The last-inning efforts of the cousins clearly failed, and today the legacy of their correspondence is one of missed opportunities. Had the kaiser and the tsar started sooner and been better statesmen, they might have prevented a world war that in the end both of them would lose. **Yours truly, Graham Allison**

**The Original Aramaic Bible Text
word for "virgin" is totally different
from the Aramaic word for "maid"
or "woman".**

Sir—, I've checked every time in the Aramaic when the word "betulta" shows up in the whole Aramaic Text. It is exclusively referring to a virgin. In no cases in any way can the word *Betulta* refer to just a maid, or any kind of woman who has become married or lost her virginity. Nor in any case could it ever in any situation be twisted to be so, in any place in the Scripture.

This totally dispels the myth of Arianists and Jehovah Witness cults which say Mary was only a maid and Jesus was the son of Joseph. When Luke 1 and Matthew 1, and numerous other verses say she was a virgin. So well known to be a virgin that hadn't yet had her marriage ceremony (only engaged) so Joseph said he had to put her away, at least secretly. He was about

to close off the engagement until an angel of YAHWEH came and told him not to.



**Syrian orthodox priest with Aramaic bible
in Bethlehem**

The Aramaic uses very explicit words that cannot be misused like the English word for "maid". "317c *betulta* noun virgin" (as in Virgin mary, in every case it is virgin, leaving no room to say it can refer to a "maid".)

Also see: 317a *betula* noun virgin; 317b *betuluta* noun virginity; take these in contrast from the Aramaic words for maid or woman: 107 'amta noun maid, servant; 131 'antta noun woman.

His name was Elohim with us, Emmanuel like it says in Isaiah 7:14 (also Aramaic word for virgin) the sign from YAHWEH is that the "virgin shall conceive" I quote from the prophet.

So many times He was called in the Scriptures to be "YAHWEH YAHSHUA" Not the mistranslation as "Lord Jesus"...

Read more on the name YAHWEH YAHSHUA, and Yahshua being deity is found in this text: YAHSHUA/JESUS IS YAHWEH (a literal quote of the word). May we all be baptized into His re-birthing process (not of the physical level) but of the spiritual. Also to "receive of our new accomplished spirit" just like Yahshua breathed on the apostles and told them "receive ye Holy spirit", who is different from THE Holy Spirit who didn't come yet until Pentecost.

We must be accurate, there's a big difference, and we're held to account for every word, not to add to it, or take from it.

Lets separate those who TRULY TRUST YAHWEH vs the goats to get out of our camps.

More to publish soon! (with your prayers to do so). **YAHWEH Bless, Rev Stephen MK**

The Murder of John Costello

By
T. Stokes



In 1995, John Costello (above) was a 53 year old investigative writer, who stumbled on and was about to publish a landmark book on one of the most explosive topics since W.W. II.

John had threatened to expose the Soviet spy rings at Oxford universities; this would rival the Cambridge homosexual/communist rings of Burgess Mc'Claine, Filby, Blunt etc etc.

Costello was a best selling author and historian, with book titles such as, "The K.G.B. secrets, the British government does not want you to read" and "The Pacific war" which is regarded as perhaps the best written book on the subject. This was followed by "D-day", "Jutland" and in 1977 "The battle of the Atlantic", and "Deadly illusions", co-written with a senior K.G.B. operative [Oleg Tsarev, editor's note].

His biggest success was "The Mask of Treachery", which unearthed much information about the activities of Sir Anthony Blunt; from his contemporaries and fellow travellers, only a small portion of this was included.

John was fearless in approaching Soviet sources. He managed to successfully sort fact from fiction, which meant he would continually cross check with the U.S. freedom of information act, and intell operatives themselves, from such sources as the BBC, which had a policy of

employing pro-soviets in the thirties, to the hard line Jews of Poland who were such a major factor in bringing Britain in to the war in 1939. Remember Poland was divided between Germany and Russia. They insured that while we used the invasion of Poland as an excuse for war, the Russians were allowed to take their half unhindered.

John numbered among his informants, documents from a senior Rabbi from Krakow, superspies Oleg Tsarev and Ruth Werner, alias Ursula Kuczynski (codenamed Sonia), and info from Flora Solomons, who claimed, as did J Edgar Hoover, that the world Jewish underground supported the Soviet cause throughout W.W.II.

After the collapse of the Berlin wall, many Soviet spies came clean to ingratiate themselves, and it was Ruth who confessed to servicing the Oxford spies for Russia in the thirties under the noses of the British; how Claus Fuch was allowed to take way armfuls of papers each day from the Atomic Energy Commissions Research Institute at Harwell, and of the separate American Jewish spy rings at Los Alamos, as confirmed by Alexander Shelepin. Ruth also told John of the secret bug in Harold Wilson's black brogues that caused such panic in the mid sixties. John Costello's knowledge of Soviet penetrations and techniques could only have come from several people at the top of the intelligence world, on both sides. But on a tip from the Jewish underground, he questioned Ruth Werner.

Britain had cracked the secret German codes; we were reading everything, and John was asking that as we obviously knew about the Jews in the camps: Why were the wealthy Jewish banking syndicates that funded the war, remaining silent? Remember Rothschild had the highest security clearance alongside Churchill. It was Rothschild who brought in "Regulation B", which was immediate incarceration for anyone the banking cartels did not like, for the duration of the war, and was used to silence the outspoken chief of Naval Intelligence, Admiral Barry Domville, among others.



**Admiral Sir Barry Edward Domville
(1878-1971)**

John Costello died after complaining of stomach pain after eating shellfish at a Spanish restaurant. 36 hours later while aboard a British Airways flight to Miami, he was found slumped in his seat, and immediately certified as dead.

Preliminary tests by the Dade county Florida medical examiner were inconclusive, and ordered extensive toxicology reports.

The author of 10 spy books, was about to finish "The feast of scorpions", an explosive book on how the Oxford universities allowed the Soviets to recruit, as they did in Cambridge, and why the Rothschild clan allowed the sacrifice of the "Schnorrers" (Jewish beggars) as the rich and important all escaped.

His literary agent John Hawkins supplied additional information that points to what Soviet medical researchers at the K.G.B. call a "biopoi-son". That is a poison that is administered in 2 parts, one part taken (disguised in shellfish is not unusual), and the other at a later auspicious time where they mix in the stomach over several hours; the poison takes effect, and the person dies.

If it was murder who killed him?

The British were made to look like fools after whole Intelligence departments served the Soviet

cause for decades, altered the whole course of W.W.II and would not want yet more info from reaching the public of incompetence and scandal. The U.S.A., to prevent Ruth Werner's testimony of how the Roosevelt administration contained so many Russian sympathisers.

The Jews, to protect the real causes for the war. Or maybe the Soviets, who wanted no new light shed on old crimes.

* * *

Collected from many sources over 40 years.

Source: Correspondence with author

This page may contain copyrighted material, the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. I am making such material available in my efforts to advance understanding of environmental, political, human rights, economic, democracy, scientific, and social justice issues, etc. I believe this constitutes a 'fair use' of any such copyrighted material as provided for in section 107 of the US Copyright Law. In accordance with Title 17 U.S.C. Section 107, the material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving the included information for research and educational purposes.

The End OS22012

**Steven Books
League Enterprises
49, Lodge Lane
Grays
Essex
RM17 5RZ**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Marijuana Conspiracy - The Sequel

By

Doug Yurchey,



IN 2005, this writer penned 'The Marijuana Conspiracy - The Real Reason Hemp is Illegal' and it became (by far) my most popular article. Translated into Italian, French, Spanish, Russian, Swedish, Bulgarian

and even Japanese, the responses were overwhelming. Its premise was 'marijuana' became illegal NOT because it was a danger to the mind and body. No, the real reason is that Big Business wants us to use petrochemicals and fossil fuels. They have little interest in NATURAL solutions. THEY have suppressed the truth concerning cannabis and purposely created the 'menace of marijuana.' Why? So they remain high profiteers while destroying our environment in the process.

Once people read the news of what has been kept from them, the truth, the reactions were outrage and disbelief at our federal authorities. Hemp used properly for all of its INDUSTRIAL purposes, as it was always meant to be utilized, could...

SAVE THE WORLD! We need not cut down another tree. We need not have to rely on Oil Companies anymore.

So many people wrote to me and said the suppression of hemp is 'insane.' Surely, governments know the potential of pot. They understand how cannabis hemp could solve the energy crisis; they know biodegradable plastics could be produced from the hemp plant; they realize it would be a safer, cleaner world filled with (inexpensive) quality products...if only we were federally given the green light to INDUSTRIALIZE HEMP! Governments are well aware of the MEDICINAL value for 'weed.' New cloths, papers and plastics would be super durable. They could easily create

nutritional FOOD products for a starving world. BUT...when did a better mousetrap ever see the light of day?

Now, with soaring gas prices bleeding us for every dollar inflating all prices, we need a cheap (renewable) fuel and energy source more than ever. Imagine hemp gas. The price of it would not be sky high since we have a limitless supply of hempen energy. Federal (guarded) hemp fields could be farmed and directed to produce a cheap/clean gasoline. Hemp fibres and oil were utilized during WWII for rope-moorings, parachutes and lubrication. Why not use hemp gasoline NOW when we are at another critical point in time? Why does hemp technology remain clandestine?


Why is there a war in the Middle East over oil? Do you really think it's a coincidence that George Bush is an oilman and remains steadfast against bringing our troops home? Hemp petroleum is the way to alleviate us from dependency on foreign oil. That is a wonderful dream, cannabis as the means to bring our troops home!

Email replies to the original article mainly asked WHY? Why don't the authorities appreciate the profits to be made from hemp products? Money rules the world, so why don't the industrialists make it legal? Tax hemp, regulate it, make it legal not for altruistic purposes but for their own high profits! The planet and its people would be much healthier. Why the HELL isn't this done?

There are no easy answers. Generally, my reply to these readers scratching their befuddled heads was 'secret societies.' We are not educated on how the world really functions. We grow up watching movies and television where the good guys always win. We are trained to think that our government is good and out for the benefit of all. Films portray the heroes as CIA, FBI, NSA agents or obedient soldiers and police. We are given the false hope that governments care. Please do not be so naïve as to think this is true.

Power was never in the hands of the people. It is almost foolish to think that we, the general masses, control anything. Do you really think petitions work or writing to your congressional representative?

The truth is governments only care about themselves; we are their enemy and they need to control us (because we have the numbers) any way they can...with religion, bogus science, television, news, propaganda, commercials or via the abolition of MARIJUANA.



Instead of THEM industrializing hemp to save the planet...they have banned it. They have created a monster out of the very thing that is a precious gift to the world. NO, it does not make sense at all. However, it is understandable in the

insane, Bizarro, backwards world that we live in. Apparently, any good thing is always destroyed. (Ever hear of Nikola Tesla -above?) Why? The answer must be POWER and CONTROL. The 'haves' do not want to give anything to the 'have-nots.' These are policies from the Dark Ages; they remain alive and well to this day. Research Secret Societies and you might understand.

They invented the monster of MARIJUANA. ('If we do not have an enemy, they will create one'). They had to change the word since the world would never have been turned against HEMP. For thousands of years going back to the wisdom of the Egyptians, hemp was employed for the betterment of the human race. Enter 'marihuana' in the 1930's; just when farm machinery improved that would have made hemp the first 'billion dollar crop!'

Have we grown up enough to see that they have pulled the wool over our eyes? We can no longer afford to be silent lambs. We have to speak up loud and strong and do what we can to spread the truth. What can we do? Share the information, wake people up to the true facts and suppressed knowledge concerning hemp. Eventually, when the pro-hemp people become

the large majority, then, the world will change for the better. In the end, we will win.

A very important aspect of those email responses to my original article came in the form of personal stories. These testimonials answer the question: Does hemp help or hurt? Now, this writer could not please everyone on such a controversial subject. Not all the emails contained high praise. There were those anti-hemp fanatics spouting rhetoric from every commercial from the 'Partnership of a Drug-Free America.' Some stated it causes 'lung cancer' as they tried to educate me on the 'toxins' in marijuana.

Well, there are no toxins in hemp. I would love to tell Judge Judy, who is always yelling for someone to take a hair follicle test, the reason why THC stays in your system for so long. (And it's 'hemp' not 'marijuana'). If it were a toxin, a poison or some foreign substance, the body would immediately reject it and expel it. The body does NOT. It knows THC, the active ingredient of hemp, is GOOD. As mentioned before, there are essential fatty acids in hemp seeds. There are other sources in nature for these essential acids, but eating hemp seeds is a great source of (important) proteins. Our own bodies tell us the truth. Shouldn't we listen to the truth within ourselves rather than the garbage broadcasted over television?

Seriously, the pro emails compared to the con emails ran 100 to one. For every one who blasted me, calling me names (these were from mainly young people)...there were about a HUNDRED administrators, educators, some scientists, activists and average people who related their (positive) private stories to me. Many claimed that normal medical procedures and doctors failed them miserably. The ONLY relief from their illnesses was illegal hemp. Here is a peek into some of the best and positive emails over the last two years. They are anonymous and edited, but they are real with the date I received them:

6/20/05 I was diagnosed with an AVM (Arterio Venous Malformation), a neuro-illness that causes me to have fits. On my second appointment with my neuro surgeon we went through the list of narcotics I was using at the time (marijuana). With that she gave me a sly look and said 'off the record Mr. _____, this will

actually reduce your blood pressure and can only be beneficial for your epilepsy. But I didn't tell you that.'

6/23/05 I took a college class in drug use, it was about street drugs, not pharmaceutical drugs. I learned that, at one time, marijuana was legal and was prescribed by MDs and dispensed by pharmacists for a variety of health problems - you know: HTN, insomnia, glaucoma, asthma, etc. I was told that the government decided to impose a \$25 tax on MDs who prescribed it and a \$35 tax on pharmacists who dispensed it. In protest, both groups elected to stop dealing with it. In response, Pres. Harriman included marijuana in his Narcotics Act of 1914: It was called the Harriman Act. They lumped it in as a class 4 narcotic meaning that there was no medical use for it, even though it's not a narcotic and no one had any indication that it was harmful in any way. In the class, we were shown pictures, taken during the early part of the century, of children smoking a joint...which, we were told, they could purchase for 5 cents, OTC, at any pharmacy, perfectly LEGAL - they were called 'muggles.'

6/18/05 You didn't mention the most important reason why there is a continuing battle against legalization of marijuana, that is because it actually is used to treat sick people with pain successfully and with no serious side effects, something the legal drug industry hasn't accomplished with all their patented expensive crap they call medication. They don't want to lose their choke hold on the billions of dollars they make with this phony medication that could be replaced with an herb anyone can grow in their backyard.

6/18/05 I have been smoking pot since 1962 and I am now 83. I wish I had started when I was a teenager. Good for you.

6/18/05 I have a lot of respect for cannabis as I have used it medically for a spinal injury for several years recently, when all else failed, as doctors gave me narcotic drugs to relieve pain,

and these drugs never worked and I was in such severe pain even with their drugs. Cannabis was the only pain reliever that worked. I have done a bit of research myself and found that cannabis is listed under a narcotic and this must be taken off the list that the United States has placed it as narcotic as opium, heroin, cocaine is totally ridiculous and bogus. I feel that if it was taken off the list and proved to the DEA, FDA, or whoever else...that it isn't a narcotic then we can get some headway on this wonderful, helpful plant. If it wasn't for cannabis I wouldn't have healed...The AMA is the worst, the most horrendous of all, because they encourage the doctors to prescribe drugs that lead to the deterioration of the body. Yes, it is a conspiracy, from the beginning, that the corporations don't want hemp to be grown. If they did, the logging industry would be wiped out. I live in southern Oregon and it is the biggest industry here...

6/19/05 I'm a 41 year old victim of Cystic Fibrosis, a genetic disease that kills people usually around 32 years old. I have the worst type of genetic mutation but still alive at the amazement of my Doctor. The reason? Maybe HEMP! I use it in a moderate way, around 2-3 times a week, usually eating it, and the benefit of that medicine is very good for me. Cystic Fibrosis kills people by pneumonia. An effect of hemp is drying mucus secretion so it kills bacteria by starvation. Even better: an antioxidant property of hemp that prevents lung tissue degradation. Another characteristic of Cystic Fibrosis is destruction of the digestive system, especially pancreas, stomach, liver and intestine. That is appetite inhibiting. I'm often eating because of holy hemp. It avoids me from losing too much weight and helps my defence against the constant lung infection. If my doctor knew the truth, he'd surely start hemp harvesting for sure!

6/20/05 I am a Disabled Veteran who spent 17 years taking the VA's Medication. I moved to Arizona; and a Pima Indian Medicine Man gave me an 'herb' to use as a tea to lower my blood pressure. Within 3 weeks it had gone from



210/146 to 130/70. Obviously, I quit the Government Medication and I now participate in the interesting hobby of home gardening of herbal cures for the ills of mankind! By the way, just so you don't think I'm 'a dooper,' I served 8 years in the USAF, 2 years as a Palm Beach County FL Police Officer and then 17 years as a Paramedic. I have a Masters of Theology degree from Indiana Wesleyan University. Thanks for having the guts to publish THE TRUTH.

6/23/05 Doug, I also referred Montel Williams to your article about hemp 'marijuana' because he has multiple sclerosis and wants to legalize marijuana for medicinal purpose since it seems to help ms folks with pain. Since he has a TV talk show, I thought maybe he would give your article some publicity.

6/30/05 I am bipolar and when I smoke I am calmer then I am on my pills. I also have fibromyalgia and when in pain I grab my trusty friend Pot; it helps me function and eat. If I didn't have it, I would be dead years ago. Some days I can hardly make it down the stairs because of the ache and pains in my joints. Also, I suffer from severe migraines where I can't even open my eyes because I am light sensitive then my head pounds. I have been on many narcotics from vicodin to oxycottin and they all make me feel worse than smoking a damn joint. Only in America would they make a plant so beneficial to humankind, the most dangerous 'drug' in all the land. If I didn't go score I would be in pain and would never be able to walk out to get my mail. My fiancé gets upset since I can't work but she knows I can't help it. I want to work. I want to be the breadwinner of the family, but physically I cannot maintain standing for more than a few moments before I feel like I am passing out because of the pain. I went to numerous doctors and told them that pot helps me...all of them said since I live in Ohio, they cannot do anything for me besides narcotics...but I cannot smoke a joint...that is rubbish in my book.

7/31/05 I don't know if you have thought about this before, but after all the research I have done, it is my opinion that JFK was assassinated over hemp. He was a known user for his back pain, he fired Anslinger as soon as he got into office and it was said he was going to legalize it in his 2nd term. He never made it to his 2nd term.

Maybe you can dig a bit more on your end and see what you come up with to support this theory of mine. It makes sense, don't you think? Keep educating people one day at a time...it's our only shot.



11/18/05 The actual truth that the oil giants do not want anyone to know is that most 'non producing' oil fields (for example, Derrick Island off Louisiana) have been found to have mysteriously refilled themselves after closing them down. This is because the vast majority of oil is not biotic but abiotic. The planet's crust produces billions of new gallons of crude annually and this is refilling the fields pumped out in almost every case. That is why Saudi Arabia just doubled the estimate of its known reserves over its predictions of 10 years ago. The wells keep refilling themselves due to planetary mechanics. Biotic oil is a discredited theory. There was never enough biomass in any prehistoric period to produce the amount of petroleum we have already consumed on this planet to date.

1/7/06 The most important health benefits come from smoking (or otherwise consuming) hemp/cannabis plant material which contains high levels of the active ingredients (THC, etc.) The higher the level of active ingredients, the more medicinal and beneficial it is. This is highly effective medicine, with no negative side effects, which is being denied to people in desperate need because of a pack of lies!

12/10/06 Weed is unprocessed and natural, as opposed to other processed drugs. I have eaten hemp seeds and have even had hemp oil and see the unspoken benefits from them even before I was planning to research the subject.


12/10/06 Maybe by the time I retire I can smoke a joint in peace, not fearing the Police and my government. But, other times it just seems

hopeless, but I refuse to believe that money is the most powerful force in our Universe...there must be some room for truth.

12/16/06 I am a recreational therapist working for a state operated drug treatment centre. If I were to speak in these terms, I doubt I would remain in favour of those above me.

2/2/07 As a medical marijuana user for many years, I would not be here without it. I was a vegetable on the prescribed medication and don't remember 2 whole years of my life while I was on morphine. Now I live a full and active life, running a business and bringing up a family. Is it too late to change views? I do hope not because it has so much to offer.

Above are some of the best comments from hundreds of replies. My article was far from perfect. I think those more informed on plants than myself that told me there are other plants that physically reveal their sex such as the holly plant. Many criticized my belief that cannabis had an extraterrestrial origin. That conclusion came out of decades of studying our ancestors of prehistory. My studies have shown a completely new genesis for the human race where we have lost great knowledge. (It may have been advanced HUMANS that created Earthly ani-



mals and plants). Cannabis Sativa and all of its infinite and vital uses may be evidence that some great intelligence (with a plan) manufactured it. 'Chance' cannot account for its abundant purposes. To some people, the existence of hemp might be proof of the existence of GOD! My

website link explores multiple controversies and truths that will never be aired on television. Check it out:

<http://www.yurchey.com>

Writing about hemp, answering the emails and the entire Internet experience has taught me that there are all kinds of people. You cannot please everyone. What was 'brilliant' to one person was contemptible to another. People 'think' they know the truth, but the truth is they only know what they have been programmed to think. Very few people do independent research. That's too much work; they prefer sitting back, watching TV and letting others do their thinking for them. They do not QUESTION what has been handed them. But, if they did explore other possibilities outside of the norm... if they dreamed of a different world...and entertained the idea that we have been lied to by our leaders...maybe we would learn a little and come closer to the FREEDOM that we all rightfully deserve. A cleaner, safer planet will happen. It may not be soon, but it will happen in time...

The End OS22011

Sayings By and About Jews

"Although the Jews have appeared in the histories of other nations throughout the centuries, they were never able or willing to establish a nation of their own. They remain forever desolate in this regard. The only way the Jews got possession of Palestine was by using other people to steal it from the Turks and Arabs for them. The so-called 'Israeli' state is nothing but a parasitic state, since it is occupied by parasites. The Jews get billions of dollars from Germany as 'reparations' and 'restitution payments' for its alleged 'war crimes' against Jews. They get billions more every year from the United States. It (Israel) has to steal or buy technology from Western nations as the Jews have not the creativity to develop their own. The Jewish state of Israeli would collapse in a minute without the continued support, protection and assistance from Jacob/Israel (The White Nations of Christendom). It is not, never has been, and never will be a self-sustaining nation."

(Charles A. Weisman, Who is Esau-Edom?, pp. 27-28).

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:


<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the **ukcolumn**
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensuriert
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew