

The New Ensign

No. 76 December 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Paris - Another False Flag



As we go to press and only ten months after the Paris Charlie Hebdo false flag operation, yet another Paris atrocity is hitting the controlled media's headlines worldwide.

Already, holes are appearing in the official version of events. Not least of which, is the passport of the supposed perpetrator, which just so happened to be found at the scene of the massacre which conveniently points to ISIS being responsible. The West has been pretending to be fighting ISIS, when in fact all along it has been covertly using ISIS to attack the government of Syria.

Over recent weeks Russia has been hugely successful in destroying a large element of the ISIS held positions in Syria whose members are now on the run. The Paris theatre shooting has now provided the desired effect of bringing the

not inconsiderable armed forces of France to fight and destroy Syria under the pretext of fighting ISIS.

Almost immediately, French fighter planes from the Charles de Gaul aircraft carrier stationed in the eastern Mediterranean bombed, not ISIS, but Raqqa, a town now in Syrian government control, was targeted instead. Civilians being slaughtered by these attacks on infrastructure, such as hospitals, water works, museums and theatres according to Syrian sources.

It is probably no coincidence that this outrage occurred on Friday 13th, the exact same day when thousands of Knights Templar, including their leader Jacques de Morlay on Friday 13th October, 1307, who were accused of many crimes, amongst which were fraud and sodomy. Morlay was subsequently found guilty and burnt at the stake outside the Notre Dame in Paris. This points to the identity of the instigators.

These false flag attacks are increasing expedientially for the enemy knows his days are short. For this we praise Yahweh and look forward to His soon return!!

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

	Page
The Fallacy of Millenniumism (Part 4) - Pastor Eli James	3
In The Name of Yahweh (part 2) - Andre Carrington-Hitchcock	7
My Discovery Of Biblical Israel - Arnold Kennedy	10
The Miracle - Dr. Carolyn Dean	14
Harold Stough Notes - The Prehistoric Mounds of London	16
Oh My God! - John Trotter	17
Gigantic Pyramids of Germany - K. Walter Haug, Walzbachtal	19
Letters and Views	23
The Mysterious Tribe Of Dan (Part 2) - W. F. Dankenbring	27
A History of Magna Carta (Part 3)	31
My Russian/Ukrainian Trip - Louis Beam	35

The Fallacy Of Millennialism (4)

By
Pastor Eli James



The Judgment Day Is at Hand.

THE DRAGON that was banished for a thousand years is the same entity that assembles the armies of

Gog and Magog against True Israel in the Final Conflict of Armageddon. (Rev. 20: 1-7). The Book of Ezekiel clearly states that this battle will occur "in the latter years." (Ezek. 38:8.) This means that it will occur BEFORE the Day of Judgment. All of the Millennialists completely disregard the fact that Gog and Magog are part of the context of Rev. 20:1-7. They simply take the "thousand years" out of this context and project it into the future, after the Tribulation and Judgment.

THERE IS NO WAY THAT ALL OF THIS HISTORY IS GOING TO REPEAT ITSELF A THOUSAND YEARS AFTER IT HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED.

Here is the key to understanding Rev. 19 & 20: Rev. 19:11 begins describing the Great Battle between Jesus Christ and Satan. The first phase of this Great Battle ends with the 8th Beast and the False Prophet being cast into the Lake of Fire. This means that the world economy has collapsed and the denominational world of Judeo-Christianity has also collapsed. They are gone, out of business. But Satan is still alive, and he sees only one hope for himself; and that is to organize Gog and Magog against Christian America, which has always been the great stumbling block before him.

This is what Revelation 20 is all about. It is Phase Two of the Battle of Armageddon. It is a fact that the Zionists have been propping up China since the 1970's. The international corporations have been exporting jobs to China and to other third world countries in order to avoid paying the high wages demanded by American workers. The internationalists have sold this policy to the world by calling it "Free Trade." In

reality, Free Trade has been the vehicle for destroying America's economy in favour of doing business with cheap labour markets in other countries.

The Zionists have always wanted to destroy America, because we were founded as a Christian nation. Free Trade is the technique by which Mystery Babylon has been destroying America while simultaneously profiting from the cheap labor markets. But their insufferable greed has led to a collapse of the world economy. They fully expected to keep the economies of the East and Europe afloat while they were deliberately destroying ours. But America's economy turned out to be bigger than them. The Zionists have been stabbing us and stabbing us and stabbing us, but America refuses to die!!!! Because we are the Stone Kingdom prophesied in Isaiah, which cannot be destroyed! (Isa. 27-29, esp. 28:14-19.) And that Stone, or Rock, is Jesus Christ; and no power on earth can defeat Jesus Christ! Now, a world economic collapse has been precipitated by the increasing valuelessness of the Federal Reserve Note, which has been the world's currency since the end of World War II.

Mystery Babylon has used the Federal Reserve System to finance its World Empire. This has been accomplished by simply creating money and spending it in foreign markets. But this process is highly inflationary. Today, foreigners are no longer willing to accept Federal Reserve Notes as currency. In effect, the Rothschilds have shot themselves in the foot. Just as their World Empire is about to be realized, their currency du Jour is collapsing; and the Arabs and Chinese are no longer willing to accept FRN's in exchange for their oil. (I suspect that the Rothschilds are secretly giving the Chinese gold or other currencies, since China will no longer do business in FRN's. This would explain why the price of gold is being kept artificially low. During the Great Depression, the Rothschilds had Federal Dictator Rosenfeldt confiscate America's gold and artificially lower its price, so they could buy it back cheap!) Control of global markets is also why the Zionists must defeat the Muslim nations, such as Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan, etc. The people of America falsely

blame Reagan, Bush, Clinton and Bush for all of this turmoil; but now they are finally beginning to see that it is Mystery Babylon, the Zionist International, that is the true culprit.

America has been unwittingly complicit in this process; and that is why the world has come to hate us as a nation, even though most of the Free World understands that it isn't the American people who are the warmongers. It is the international corporations and bankers who are the real warmongers; and only those who are utterly dense fail to comprehend this. This includes the Christian Zionists (on the Right) and the deluded Liberals (on the Left), who still believe that the UN is the world's only "hope for world peace."

These people still believe in the old-fashioned Left Versus Right stage play that is continually acted out by the Rush Limbaughs, Sean Hannitys and Alan Dershowitzes of the Kosher Press. The reality is that both the Democrats and the Republicans are pro-Zionist in all of their policies. There is absolutely no difference between these two "parties," when it comes to Mystery Babylon. Mystery Babylon owns them, lock, stock and barrel. The Left Versus Right debate is a comic sideshow, which goes to extraordinary lengths to avoid the subject of Zionism's influence in America's economy and politics.

As world economic conditions continue to deteriorate, the masses will finally begin to realize that it is the Zionist tail that is wagging the American dog. Now, the Zionists are frantically trying to hold their Empire together while the world turns against them. You can see that the world is getting ready to explode politically as the Zionists race against time to preserve their World Empire while the masses turn against them. As Abraham Lincoln said, "You can fool some of the people all of the time; and you can fool all of the people some of the time; but you can't fool all of the people all of the time." The sheeple are waking up; and the Zionists are in big trouble!!! As long as the sheeple of the world are deceived by Zionism, the plan proceeds smoothly. But as one nation after another backs away from the Zionist Master Plan, the Plan becomes increasingly difficult to carry out. This is why the Zionists are pushing for greater suppression of our freedoms, because they fear an American Reaction to their perfidy. "Homeland Security" is the deceptive language used for "Homeland Dictatorship." Let's call it what it is.

The Jig Is Up

"I will remove the iniquity of that land **IN ONE DAY.**" - Zech. 3:9.

The timing of all of these developments is crucial to understanding Chapter 20. The Millennialists have misidentified the timing of the thousand years. Chapter 19 began the discussion of the Final Conflict, with Jesus Christ's Second Coming settling the issue. The Beast and False Prophet are cast into the Lake of Fire at the end of Chapter 19. Chapter 20 simply changes the focus from these two entities onto Satan himself.



Chapter 20 starts out with a flashback to the days of Napoleon, when Satan was let out of the bottomless pit after a one thousand year imprisonment. This is a direct reference to the House of Rothschild banking cartel, which re-established the international banking system of the Jews, which began in ancient Babylon! Verses 4-6 continue this flashback and elaborate on this period of a thousand years, recalling the saints who endured persecution at the hands of the Roman Catholic Church during this time. It is also a fact that the beheading (Verse 4) of Christians intensified during the French Revolution, a revolution that was financed by the Rothschilds, using Freemasons as the dupes of this Illuminati plan. The guillotine and the Reign of Terror made this period one of the darkest in history. This was near the end of the thousand years. Thus, during the period of the Holy Roman Empire, from 800-1800 AD, these saints earned the right to eternal life, battling against the 6th Beast, Rome, and the emerging 8th Beast, which was unwittingly liberated ("unchained") by Napoleon, the 7th Beast.

The Post-Trib Millennialists, having no understanding of how these historical events have been fulfilled in the Apocalypse, simply lift the "thousand years" out of their context and proclaim them to be in the future, after the Tribulation. This is a mistake born of ignorance of the Bible's Big Picture.

Verse 5 talks about "the rest of the dead" being liberated after this period of one thousand years. These saints are already "in heaven," waiting to join us when the Kingdom (the New Jerusalem) descends down upon our planet. (Rev. 21:10.) We have to remember that the KJV translators had quite a job on their hands in trying to translate a Koine Greek vision, written in 90 AD, of events that were yet in the future. Their translation appears to depict a post-tribulation Millennium. There is no way that these translators, working around 1600 AD, could have anticipated the 7th and 8th Beasts, although all Protestants of their day taught that the Papacy (the 6th Beast) was the antichrist of the time. This much they understood. Consequently, their translation reflects their groping with the Greek text and how to present these futuristic, symbolic events, which are presented in very cryptic language.

Thus, Verse 6 tells us that these saints, by battling against the 6th, 7th and 8th Beasts, earned their right to citizenship in the Kingdom. Given the difficulty of such a task, the KJV translation of John's Apocalypse is amazingly readable and understandable, seeing that the translators had no idea whatever as to what the imagery actually represented and how these events would work out historically. Lacking the historical perspective (hindsight) we have today, translating the last Book of the Bible had to be an arduous and thankless task. As it is, they did an outstanding job of conveying its imagery; but the implication that the Millennium occurs after the Tribulation is either accidental or an error on their part. In any case, that is how most readers have interpreted it.

Verse 7 restates the fact that Satan will be loosed after a thousand years. Verse 8 concerns Gog and Magog. The balance of Chapter 20 (verses 9-15) deals with the tribulation leading up to the Judgment Day itself. So, within the context of Chapter 20, the "millennium" clearly occurs BEFORE the Battle of Armageddon (against Gog and Magog), which just precedes the Day of Judgment. Otherwise, there would have to be two Tribulations and two Judgments, with the Millennium sandwiched in between.

As I explained in the Introduction to this essay, it cannot be assumed that Rev. 19:11 - 20:10 is a strict, chronological record of prophecy. The Book of Revelation contains numerous plots,



subplots, flashbacks and flash forwards, all of which are interwoven into the overall plot. Without this understanding of who the characters of Revelation are, the post-Tribulation Millennialists can only assume a post-Tribulation Millennium. Even with this assumption, they still fail to address the Gog and Magog scenario of Rev. 20:8-10, which can only be read, according to their own thesis, as a second Tribulation, as these nations would have to be assembled against True Israel once again. But the Bible, up to Chapter 20, clearly teaches that there will be only One Great Tribulation, One Battle of Armageddon, One Intervention by Jesus Christ, One Judgment Day, and, finally, the Establishment of the Kingdom. Given these considerations, I have been led to the inescapable conclusion that the 1,000-year Millennium is a flashback to the Holy Roman Empire, not a post-Tribulation event.

Once the Beast and the False Prophet are cast into the Lake of Fire, it's "curtains" for them. There is no coming back out of the Lake of Fire. Once these two devils are removed from the political landscape, the institutions by which Satan has deceived the world will no longer be available to him, so his modus operandi is gone. Satan is thus confronted with his absolute powerlessness, being given a short time to reflect upon the futility of his rebellion before his ultimate destruction. For further proof, I cite II Esdras. Here is what the angel Uriel tells Ezra in this End-Time vision:

"The Day of Judgment is decisive and sets its seal on the truth for all to see; the day of judgment will be the end of the present world and the beginning of the eternal world to come, a world in which corruption will have disappeared." - Verses 104-114.

If corruption has disappeared, how can Satan be let loose again to "deceive the nations"? (Rev. 20:3.)

Rest assured, Children of Israel, there will be NO emergence of the Dragon from the bottomless pit after the Day of Judgment. The Day of Judgment is the final act for evil. Evil will be vanquished, never to show its ugly face again. It means the abolition of all evil, including the destruction of the seed of Edom (Book of Obadiah). "For the violence done to your brother Jacob, you will be covered with shame and cut off forever." - Ob. 10.

Satan will briefly outlive the Beast and False Prophet, but only long enough to organize the Gog and Magog attack upon America. This will fail; and Satan will be out of business forever. Without the international financing (Mystery Babylon) of war available to him and without the false priesthood (False Prophet) deceiving the masses for him, how will Satan organize Gog and Magog 1,000 years after they are gone? How would Satan pay for the armies? How would he be able to deceive the masses without a cadre of priests inflaming the masses, as the "Christian" Zionists do? Without an earthly vehicle for such activities, Satan will have no longer have any influence in this world. Iniquity will be judged in one day, just as Zech. 3:9 and the rest of the Bible proclaim.


The orthodox interpreters of Scripture do not seem to understand that the Judgment Day will be a final end for evil. It cannot be a two-stage event interrupted by a thousand years of peace; and there is no period of peace suggested in Rev. 20:1-11. This is just another assumption. As I said earlier, the Bible only speaks of a single Judgment Day, but the post-Tribulation Millennium requires that the Judgment of Gog and Magog occur 1,000 years after the Judgment of the Beast and False Prophet. Since this interpretation contradicts the Single Day of Judgment taught by the rest of Scripture, it must be rejected. My flashback scenario resolves all of the problems created by the post-Trib Millennium.

Here is more verification of this point from the KJV: God's Judgment is final & the Lake of Fire is eternal. Those condemned to it do not come back: Revelation 20:10; Mt 25:46; 2 Thessalonians 1:9; Hebrews 6:2; Jude 7.

Speaking of the Battle of Armageddon:

Rev. 20:9: And they went up on the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down from God out of heaven, and devoured them.

10 And the devil that deceived them was cast into the lake of fire and brimstone, where the beast and the false prophet are, and shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever.



There is only one Battle of Armageddon, not two! After the Beast and False Prophet have been cast into the Fire, Satan Himself will be destroyed. Satan will not be able to stage a comeback! There will not be a thousand-year delay between the judgment of the Beast and False Prophet

versus the Judgment of Satan. Rev. 19 & 20 are telling the same story, but with different subjects emphasized. The first story deals with the Beast and False Prophet. The second story deals with Satan himself. The two stories fall within the same time period. They are not a thousand years apart.

The idea that there will be two tribulations and two judgments, separated by a thousand years, contradicts the rest of the Bible, which teaches only one Great Tribulation and One Judgment Day, which is immediately followed by the Wedding Feast of the Lamb and the establishment of the Kingdom. Once the Kingdom is established, it will not be disturbed by a disinterested Satan.

11 And I saw a great white throne, and him that sat on it, from whose face the earth and the heaven fled away; and there was found no place for them.

12 And I saw the dead, small and great, stand before God; and the books were opened: and another book was opened, which is the book of

life: and the dead were judged out of those things which were written in the books, according to their works.

13 And the sea gave up the dead which were in it; and death and hell delivered up the dead which were in them: and they were judged every man according to their works.

14 And death and hell were cast into the lake of fire. This is the second death.

15 And whosoever was not found written in the book of life was cast into the lake of fire.

These last verses confirm the passages from II Esdras. This judgment is final. Nothing comes back from the second death!


Understanding the history of the 8 Beasts unravels the clues to the Millennium. We must understand that the Apocalypse contains many flash forwards and flashbacks. Rev. 20:1-10 is just such a flashback, not a peek into the future; but this is something that the KJV translators could not possibly have known. That's why they chose to couch the language in futuristic terms. Given these factors, I declare that the Millennium is an event that has ALREADY OCCURRED, as explained above, and Satan, after his soon-to-be judgment, will never be let loose again.

There is only one Judgment Day.

And when that happens, it's over, baby.

Next Issue - The Appendix OS22050

In The Name of Yahweh By Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock Part 2



GENESIS 3:14 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) said unto the serpent, Because thou hast done this, thou [art] cursed above all cattle, and above every beast of the field; upon thy belly shalt thou go, and dust shalt thou eat all the days of thy life:

Genesis 3:15 – And I will put enmity between thee and the

woman, and between thy seed and her seed; it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel.

Yahweh curses Satan and states that he will put enmity (hatred) between him and Eve, and between his children, and her children.

Adam's children are to be above Satan's children which is why they will bruise their heads, but Satan's children will not take their punishment quietly which is why they will bruise the heels of Adam's children.

This talk of seeds indicates what has actually happened is the seduction and fornication of Eve by Satan, followed by the seduction of Adam by Eve.

We can see an example of Satan's seed corrupting Eve in this verse:

Deuteronomy 13:13 – [Certain] men, the children of Belial* are gone out from among you, and have

withdrawn the inhabitants of their city, saying, Let us go and serve other gods, which ye have not known;

*Belial is Satan.

Genesis 3:16 – Unto the woman he said, I will greatly multiply thy sorrow and thy conception; in sorrow thou shalt bring forth children; and thy desire [shall be] to thy husband, and he shall rule over thee.

Having issued Satan with his punishment, Yahweh turns his attention to Eve.

Her punishment for fornication with Satan is pain during childbirth. Again an indication of the nature of her sin.

If Eve had eaten an apple, as the churches teach, yet you will see from the scripture above that there is no mention of an apple, surely a more likely punishment would be her teeth falling out! This did not happen as of course the sin was sexual.

Yahweh also blesses the woman by giving her the desire for the protection of a husband, and instructing her to obey him so as she is not deceived again.

Unfortunately thousands of years later Eve's descendants do not heed this instruction from Yahweh.

Whereas Satan tempted Eve away from her man Adam, so he could deceive her into thinking that if she eat of the tree she would become Yahweh's equal.

Today, Eve's descendants are tempted away from their men, when they are deceived into thinking that they can become equal to men under the doctrine of feminism.

As we can see from Genesis 3:16, this doctrine is the complete opposite of what Yahweh has instructed, which is why its adoption by society, has only led to: lower wages for all; family breakups; and an increase in juvenile delinquency.

The addition of women working doubled the labour pool, and its only benefit has been to the wealthy elites that secretly funded its promotion, as employers can now afford to pay people much less on a supply and demand basis, because now twice as many people are competing for the same amount of jobs.

Whilst this is going on, the children who the mothers' should be looking after at this most vulnerable time in their lives, when they need the nurture and love of a mother the most, are being brought up by a state-sponsored nursery, and a government policy indoctrination service also known as a television set.

When the man and woman return home tired out and stressed after a day at work, this causes friction between them, which over time inevitably leads to family breakups, leading them to reassemble their family with another partner who has gone through a similar breakup.

This leads to juvenile delinquency, as how is a child supposed to have respect or faith in parents who have shown such a selfish lack of regard for them by breaking up the family structure, and have then reassembled it with a surrogate parent who invariably has children of their own.

There is only one winner in this facade and it is not women. It is those people who enjoy exploiting others for their own benefit with no regard for the damage their greed causes.

If women would only follow Yahweh's instruction in Genesis 3:16 and the men embrace the trust Yahweh has put in them by loving and protecting the women this exploitation of all under the guise of feminism would stop.

Genesis 3:17 – And unto Adam he said, Because thou hast hearkened unto the voice of thy wife, and hast eaten of the tree, of which I commanded thee, saying, Thou shalt not eat of it: cursed [is] the ground for thy sake; in sorrow shalt thou eat [of] it all the days of thy life;

Genesis 3:18 – Thorns also and thistles shall it bring forth to thee; and thou shalt eat the herb of the field;

Genesis 3:19 – In the sweat of thy face shalt thou eat bread, till thou return unto the ground; for out of it wast thou taken: for dust thou [art], and unto dust shalt thou return.

Adam is punished by having to toil the land in order to eat from it, as opposed to what he had in the garden of Eden which is stated in:

Genesis 2:9 – And out of the ground made Yahweh (the LORD God) to grow every tree that is pleasant to the sight, and good for food...

Clearly not every tree will be good for food anymore as Yahweh states the land shall bring forth thorns and thistles.

The most important lesson of Adam's punishment is that he is to listen to Yahweh above and beyond anything else on the earth.

He is also to take responsibility in passing Yahweh's instruction onto the woman to protect her from the corruption of others in the future.

Genesis 4:1 – And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from Yahweh (the LORD).

Genesis 4:2 – And she again bare his brother Abel. And Abel was a keeper of sheep, but Cain was a tiller of the ground.



Genesis 4:3 – And in process of time it came to pass, that Cain brought of the fruit of the ground an offering unto Yahweh (the LORD).

Genesis 4:4 – And Abel, he also brought of the firstlings of his flock and of the fat thereof. And Yahweh (the LORD) had respect unto Abel and to his offering:

Genesis 4:5 – But unto Cain and to his offering he had not respect. And Cain was very wroth, and his countenance fell.

Genesis 4:6 – And Yahweh (the LORD) said unto Cain, Why art thou wroth? and why is thy countenance fallen?

Genesis 4:7 – If thou doest well, shalt thou not be accepted? and if thou doest not well, sin lieth at the door. And unto thee [shall be] his desire, and thou shalt rule over him.

Eve conceives children, firstly Cain and then afterwards, Abel. She believes that Yahweh has given her Cain.

Cain and Abel both bring offerings to Yahweh. Abel's is accepted but Cain's is not.

When Cain becomes angry and his countenance (face) falls at Yahweh's refusal of his offering, Yahweh says something very interesting in respect of Cain, "If thou doest not well, sin lieth at the door. And unto thee [shall be] his desire, and thou shalt rule over him."

Why would sin's desire be for Cain and why is sin referred to as, "his," and, "him?"

Genesis 4:8 – And Cain talked with Abel his brother: and it came to pass, when they were in the field, that Cain rose up against Abel his brother, and slew him.

Genesis 4:9 – And Yahweh (the LORD) said unto Cain, Where [is] Abel thy brother? And he said, I know not: [Am] I my brother's keeper?

Genesis 4:10 – And he said, What hast thou done? the voice of thy brother's blood crieth unto me from the ground.

Genesis 4:11 – And now [art] thou cursed from the earth, which hath opened her mouth to receive thy brother's blood from thy hand;

Genesis 4:12 – When thou tillest the ground, it shall not henceforth yield unto thee her strength; a fugitive and a vagabond shalt thou be in the earth.

Yahweh warned Cain to guard against sin in Genesis 4:7, but Cain did not listen and he killed Abel.



His punishment was that the ground he used to till would no longer yield for him, i.e. he could not farm his food, and he would spend his days as a fugitive and a vagabond.

A fugitive being a person who flees from place to place, and a vagabond being a wanderer having no settled habitation or home.

Does this not sound very much like the Jews who would never have a homeland and instead would drift from country to country, living as parasites on the fruits and labours of the races of those countries.

The Jews whose subjection of the races of those countries to their usurious practices would result in their banishment from those countries time after time, forcing them to become wanderers.

Of course Yahweh knew exactly who Cain was, which is why he was so angry with Satan, Adam, and Eve, in Genesis 3:16-19.

Cain was the product of Satan's fornication with Eve as was Abel the product of Adam's sexual relations with Eve.

On that basis we have two sons, Cain, half descended from Satan/half descended from Eve, and Abel, 100% descended from Yahweh's creation of Adam and Eve.

Adam was of ruddy face and thus resembled the white race today.

Cain was to be a fugitive without a home, drifting from place to place. The only race I am aware of that didn't have a home is the Jewish race.

Indeed the establishment of Palestine as a homeland for Jews did not take place until thousands of years later with the Balfour Declaration of 1917.

Cain's descendancy from Satan puts into context Yahweh's warning that he should guard against sin, as of course sin was in his blood through his father, Satan.

Cain's actions also mark the start of the eternal battle of Genesis 3:15, where Yahweh tells Satan that he will put hatred (enmity) between his seed (Cain) and the seed of the woman (Abel), Yahweh's creation.

If you think I am jumping to conclusions here, then look at:

1 John 3:12 – Not as Cain, [who] was of that wicked one, and slew his brother...

These events in the first three chapters of the Bible, which happened thousands of years ago, set up what we will witness in the thousands of years hence: the war between Yahweh's children through Adam, and Satan's children through Cain.

Genesis 4:16 – And Cain went out from the presence of Yahweh (the LORD), and dwelt in the land of Nod, on the east of Eden.

Genesis 4:17 – And Cain knew his wife; and she conceived, and bare Enoch: and he builded a city, and called the name of the city, after the name of his son, Enoch.

Genesis 4:18 – And unto Enoch was born Irad: and Irad begat Mehujael: and Mehujael begat Methusael: and Methusael begat Lamech.

Here we can see that Cain found himself a wife and had children with her.

He found himself a wife out of the presence of Yahweh, and out of the garden of Eden, in other words, outside the bounds of Adam and Eve.

How could he find a wife if everyone on the earth had to come from Adam and Eve.

Simple, everyone did not come from Adam and Eve.

Yahweh has told you he created men and women in Genesis 1:27, long before he created Adam, and it is one of those pre-Adamic races Cain took for his wife so he could carry on his satanic race through her.

To be continued

Details of how Andrew Carrington Hitchcock's books can be purchased click on the avatar below:-



To be Continued OS22084

My Discovery Of Biblical Israel A Testimony By Arnold Kennedy



AS PASTOR LARRY so aptly put it, Does it matter more WHAT we believe or WHY we believe it? I believe a true virtue of a modern Christian would be to first Tolerate and then evaluate someone else's belief

system before passing judgement if you pass judgement at all. For as I found out in my first exposure to this message, I was the one in error. Rik

2 Cor. 11:3 *But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his subtlety, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ.*

2 Cor. 11:4 *For if he that cometh preacheth another Jesus, whom we have not preached, or if ye receive another spirit, which ye have not received, or another gospel, which ye have not accepted, ye might well bear with him.*

For about forty years I went faithfully to church and tried to regularly read the Word of God every day. My beliefs were rather orthodox, that is, they were based upon the notes in the Scofield Bible. What I did not then realise was that everything I read in the Bible was conditioned by these notes, and I now see how these have come to be traditional but wrong beliefs. Today I feel ashamed at the quality of my reading in that period, but that was largely due to how the notes had pre-conditioned me to believe something that was not valid.

One day this all changed. For some reason I looked at the early pages of each Gospel, and Matthew 1:21 caught my eye. This said, "And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call His name Jesus, for he shall save His people from their sins." What this said to me was that the persons being addressed were God's people before they were saved. Strange I thought, I had always believed that people "got saved" and became God's people (Scofield style).

So through these Gospels I went on to find many verses that backed up what I was seeing for the first time. These were simple statements that could not be bent, and statements that are foundational doctrinal points that will stand up to any scrutiny. All of these verses said something vastly different to what I had been led to believe since they concerned only one people, here termed "Israel". Luke 1:77 (as below) of course confirmed Matthew 1:21, and obviously all these verses concerned a one particular people only.

Matthew 1:21 *"And she shall bring forth a son, and thou shalt call His name Jesus, for he shall save His people from their sins."*

Luke 1:16; *"And many of the Children of Israel shall he turn to the Lord their God.."*

Luke 1: 55; *"He has helped His servant Israel, in remembrance of His mercy, as he spake to our fathers, to Abraham, and to His seed forever".*

Luke 1:68-9 *Blessed be the Lord God of Israel for He hath visited and redeemed His people. And has raised up a horn of salvation for us in the House of His servant David.... as He spake*

by the mouth of His holy prophets..... to perform the mercy promised to our fathers.....

Luke 1:77; *To give knowledge of salvation unto His people by the remission of their sins".*

Luke 2: 34; *"Behold, this Child is set for the rising again of many in Israel.*

John 1:31; *"But that He should be made manifest to Israel, therefore am I come baptising in water".*

Every church I had attended presented the idea that people of any race who "got saved" became what they called "spiritual Israelites", but as the order was back to front, I realised that this could never be right. Oh yes, I had heard the phrase, "The Chosen People", but what this said to me was obviously that every other race must literally be "un-chosen".

I went on to find many similar Scriptures which I will list. I have come to regard these as "foundational" verses, by which we can test doctrines. I will make some comments on what "we "know" from them.

Acts 5:30 *"The God of our fathers raised up Jesus..... to be a Prince and a Saviour.... to give repentance to Israel".*


Thus we know that repentance concerns none but Israel. The Gospel writers agree with the limitation Isaiah 53, "for the transgression of "my people" was He stricken", and again with Jesus when He said, "I was not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel".

Romans 9:10-11. *"When Rebecca also had conceived by one, even by our father Isaac; (For the children being not yet born, neither having done any good or evil, that the purpose of God according to election might stand, not of works, but of him that calleth;)*

So we know then that election is not a matter of behaviour. This is about offspring being elected by God, BEFORE having done good or evil. This shows the choosing was done before birth.

Romans 9:21 *Hath not the potter power over the clay, of the same lump to make one vessel unto honour, and another unto dishonour?*

We know that the Potter makes different peoples for differing ends, thus they are not all the same in God's purposes.



We know they had not done good or evil when the Potter made them. The Potter makes vessels that are [a] "Fitted for destruction" and [b] "Afore prepared for glory". After clay is fired, it cannot be changed into another vessel.

John 8:42-43. Jesus said unto them, If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me. Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word. Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.

We know here that God is not the "Father" of all peoples. So the "Brotherhood of Man" doctrine is false.

We know that there are those who cannot "hear" Jesus' words because of their origin, not their belief. Jesus says that those begotten "from above" (from conception, or the time of begetting) can hear, and that those "from below" cannot hear His words. Jesus says, "Let him that hath ears, let him hear". Obviously there are those who do not have ears that can "hear".

Matthew 13: 37 *He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man; The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one; The enemy that sowed them is the devil; the harvest is the end of the world; and the reapers are the angels. As therefore the tares are gathered and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world.*

(a) We know that people, as wheat, are sown by God and tares are sown by the 'enemy'. According to God's Law each reproduces "after his kind", so one cannot change into another.

(b) We know that tares cannot turn into wheat by belief. They grow together and are separated unchanged at the harvest time. Goats cannot turn into sheep likewise.

(c) We know that the unrighteous are gathered first and separated from amongst the righteous (v 49). This is the reverse of the Scofield “Rapture” doctrine most churches follow.

Jude 19 *“These be they who separate themselves, sensual, having not the Spirit.”*

Rom. 8:16 *The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God.*

We know there are religious persons in the assemblies, “Not having the Spirit”.



We know there also are those whose spirit can witness with God’s Spirit that they are children of God. The natural man cannot receive the things of the Spirit of God. This goes back to Genesis 1 and 2 where we find, “The seed of the Serpent” (the natural man) and “The Seed of the woman”, as two streams of mankind.

John 17:9 *“I pray for them: I pray not for the world, but for them which thou hast given me; for they are thine.”*

We know then that Jesus was not sent to other than those the Father gave to Him, and that He did not pray for other than Israelites who would come to believe.

Jesus prayed about “as many as Thou hast given Me” and then He prayed for those who would believe amongst those to whom the twelve were sent. The twelve were sent, “to the lost sheep of the House of Israel”- [Matt.10:6], the same ones to whom Jesus says that He was sent to, i.e.”I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel”-.[Matt.15:24].

Acts 13:48 *And when the Gentiles [peoples] heard this, they were glad, and glorified the word of the Lord: and as many as were ordained to eternal life believed.*

We know from this that everyone is not ordained to eternal life.

Matt 13:11 Jesus answered, *“Because it is given unto you to know the mysteries of the Kingdom of Heaven, but to them it is not given”.*

We know that there are those to whom knowledge of the Kingdom of Heaven is not given.

John 6:65 Jesus says, “No man cometh unto Me, except it be given unto him of My Father”.

We know that all mankind cannot come to Jesus. [Note that “be given” is in the perfect tense, that it is was completed in the past].

1 John 2:27 “But the anointing which you have received abideth in you..”.

1 John 3:9 “Whosoever is born of God doth not commit sin, because his seed remaineth in him”.

1 John 5:18 “We know that whosoever is born of God sinneth not”.

We know that there is an anointed race of people, and that not every race (seed) is born of God. The Apostle John is right in agreeing with Paul in, “Now he which stablisheth us with you in Christ, and hath anointed us, is God; Who hath also sealed us, and given the earnest of the Spirit in our hearts. [2 Cor. 1:21].

Rom. 9:13 *“Jacob have I loved, Esau have I hated”.*

We know by statement and by tense that the Old Testament position is maintained in the New Testament..[See Mal. 1:1-4]. God hates the descendants of Esau “for all generations”. If God hated just one set of people, they could not be part of that ‘world’ God ‘so-loved’. There is no direct statement about God loving other than Israel.

1 Cor. 10:1-2 *“Moreover Brethren, I would not have you ignorant, how that all OUR FATHERS were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea [i.e. the Red Sea], and were baptised unto Moses”.*

We know that these “Gentile” Corinthians [as other ‘Gentiles’] were Israelites. It is not commonly admitted that these could not possibly be other than Israelites.

Rom. 8 “Who are Israelites to whom pertaineth the adoption”.

So we know that the adoption or the placing of sons [*huios*] out of the Children [*teknon*] of Israel does not pertain to other than Israel. The popular concept about foreigners becoming Israelites by adoption is nonsense as “adoption” only pertains to Israelites.

John 3:6; That which is born of the flesh is flesh; and that which is born of the Spirit is spirit.

We know then that some people are born of the flesh, and others are born of the spirit. Jesus went on to say, “Ye must be born again” where “again” = *anōthen* = from ‘above’ or an origin in a past starting point. It was Nicodemus who used the word “again”, not Jesus. Making *anōthen* mean “again” is false translating. To try to lump all men together by a “born again” belief doctrine cannot be valid.

Acts 1:6 *When they therefore were come together, they asked of him, saying, Lord, wilt thou at this time restore again the kingdom to Israel?*

We know the Kingdom of Heaven applies to none but Israel. “Repent: for the kingdom of heaven is at hand” - Matthew 4:17 - is the real “Great Commission” that churches refuse to acknowledge.

Acts 13:32-33 *And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children, in that he hath raised up Jesus again.*

We know from this that the glad tidings are not made to anyone else than the children (genetic lineage) of the fathers (of Israel).

What this all said to me was that there are racial differences between “men” and “men, as far as God is concerned. Men did not change their type on a belief basis. But this presented another problem. What about the so-called “Great Commission”? It was the simplicity of the answer that surprised me. I found that it is simply this; in both Hebrew and Greek usage, the words, “all”, “every”, “whosoever” refers to “all” of each context, not all of everything else. A member of the NIV and NKJV translation committee confirmed this to me, but when I said

“why not apply this to the third chapter of John”, he looked shocked and said he had never thought about it. The “world” is “that world of each context”, not the whole globe. So, if the context is Israel, “all the world” carries the meaning of “all the world of Israel”. If we were to take the phrase, “God so loved the world” it would have the right meaning if we took it as, “God so loved that world” (of Israel).



**IF YOU EVER FORGET
YOU'RE A JEW, A
GENTILE WILL REMIND
YOU.**

Likewise the answer to the “The Jew and the Gentile” problem was easily solved. We can see the answer in #20 above. “The Jew” carried the meaning of “Judean”, that is it referred to Israelites within that nation, whereas in the plural it referred to the Edomite leadership of that nation. Churches never teach that there are two words with different applications in Greek that are translated as “Jews”. The “Gentiles” referred to the House of Israel. How could it mean anything else when Jesus told His disciples not to go to others than He was sent to? (Please see Matthew 10:6 and 15:24). Jesus said, “As my Father hath sent me, even so send I you”- [John 29:21]. Please compare the first seven points above.

What I found was that both Testaments ceased to conflict. So-called Bible contradictions disappeared. In the New Testament the “God of Israel” (Matt. 15:31 and Luke 1:68) was unchanged. Indeed we were told this would never change long ago:

Exodus 3:15 And God said moreover unto Moses, Thus shalt thou say unto the children of Israel, The LORD God of your fathers, the God of Abraham, the God of Isaac, and the God of Jacob, hath sent me unto you: this is my name [shem] for ever, and this is my memorial unto all generations.

Whilst it was just marvellous to see this great light, I felt upset that I had been cleverly lied to. It was like having been robbed. The modern-day churches have made what applied solely to Israel

to apply to every race. There was no way their doctrine could contain the Revelation chapter 21 reference to only Israelites being within the City of God, with all other races being outside. Wherever I looked, I found false applications that stemmed from the Scofield Bible. Today I see these have flowed into Life Application Bibles and many Bible footnotes, and also are written in to many modern versions (which are not translations).

Rev 21:10 And he carried me away in the spirit to a great and high mountain, and shewed me that great city, the holy Jerusalem, descending out of heaven from God,

Rev 21:11 Having the glory of God: and her light was like unto a stone most precious, even like a jasper stone, clear as crystal;

Rev 21:12 And had a wall great and high, and had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels, and names written thereon, which are the names of the twelve tribes of the children of Israel:

Rev 21:13 On the east three gates; on the north three gates; on the south three gates; and on the west three gates.

Rev 21:14 And the wall of the city had twelve foundations, and in them the names of the twelve apostles of the Lamb.

But even then, who is this Israel? Scofield, associates and successors say it is "The Jews". Jesus said in John chapter 8 that the Devil was the father, or originator of the Jews, so Scofield disagreed with Jesus here too. To point to the answer, I will quote from a paper I later wrote:

"There is a weight of traditional belief that mitigates against knowledge of the identity of Biblical Israel today. We are told in prophecy that Israel as a people as a whole would lose knowledge of their identity, and that they would be known by another name. So 'Israel' cannot mean the State of Israel. And while it may contain some Israelite Jews, it does not mean "The Jews" who are multi-racial. The churches wrongly teach that "the Jews" and "Israel" are synonyms.

Three quotes from Jewish sources may personally help those who have been led to believe that the word "Jews" always relates to Israelites, and who might be wondering where they could fit in.

"Here's a paradox: an anthropological fact, many Christians have more Hebrew-Israelite blood in their veins than their Jewish neighbours".

These Islands can be shown as being be North and West of Palestine, i.e. the United Kingdom as the throne location. The reader will probably be Caucasian, Anglo-Saxon, Celtic, or Nordic, who can be shown to be Israelites, apart from the white Japhethic, Ishmaelite and Edomic content-[Genesis 9:27 and 16:12], and the obvious foreigners, who have moved in amongst them. In the Divine foreknowledge, true Israelite posterity possess the inherent 'spirit' characteristics with which God purposed should be used to bring peace to the world under the righteous rule of Jesus Christ".


The End OS22513

The Miracle

By Dr. Carolyn Dean M.D., N.D



IF YOU WERE TO LIST TODAY'S LEADING CHRONIC DISEASES, heart disease (angina, high blood pressure, and elevated cholesterol) along with diabetes, osteoporosis, depression, generalized inflammation, and toxicity are found at top. Magnesium is a mineral and nutrient that when eaten or supplemented in the proper amounts and form, has had a miraculous healing effect on these and other serious health conditions.



As a necessary co-factor in over 325 enzymes that perform vital metabolic functions, magnesium's phenomenal effects may be based on how it facilitates the body's use of oxygen. Magnesium is to plant chlorophyll as iron is to human haemoglobin. In our body,

m a g n e s i u m - r i c h chlorophyll supplies the means to drive our mitochondrial pump, creating life-giving energy. This means magnesium provides oxygen to our muscles, making it a most important nutrient for athletes and non-athletes alike.

Overworked muscles produce free radicals, and magnesium aids in the production of glutathione—the body's super antioxidant. Glutathione then enhances performance, prevents lactic acid build-up, and shortens recovery time. If muscles are deficient in magnesium, they become irritated and on edge developing tics, twitches, and outright spasms or "charley horses" as they are known when they occur in our calves. If you are feeling generally irritated and on edge, magnesium deficiency may be the cause.

Magnesium also controls electrical cell-to-cell communication which allows the correct amount of calcium to enter a cell. Unfortunately, magnesium's role as communicator becomes difficult and complicated by those that take supplemental calcium without realizing the need to supplement with equal amounts of magnesium. This tends to make the amount of calcium outside the cell tens of thousands of times the safe level allowed inside the cell.

Magnesium is also necessary to the enzyme, hexokinase, which drives sugar metabolism. It's well-established by diabetic researchers that magnesium deficiency is a sign of diabetes. Many report that upon taking magnesium, their blood sugar levels stabilize. Delta-6 desaturase is crucial for the metabolism of fats and HMG-CoA reductase is essential in the normal production of cholesterol. As you may have guessed, magnesium is necessary for the proper function of both these enzymes as well. Statin drugs work to destroy the HMG-CoA reductase enzyme and, in the process, damage

mitochondria and Coenzyme Q-10. Magnesium, however, helps regulate this enzyme, switching it on when more cholesterol is needed and off when it's not. Even more amazing is that magnesium is a required catalyst for Coenzyme Q-10 synthesis, making this one of the reasons why many people feel more energetic after magnesium supplementation.

In *The Magnesium Miracle*, I write about 22 conditions scientifically proven to be associated with magnesium deficiency. I also compiled a list of 100 factors that indicate a magnesium deficiency may be present. Mainstream medicine, however, remains unaware that magnesium deficiency has been reported as present in 80% of the U.S population. Over past decades, in France, magnesium was used effectively in treating infection, polio, epilepsy, alcoholism, prostate inflammation, cancer, and arthritis. Unfortunately, much of this research has been lost or ignored. Present-day use of magnesium in chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia, detoxification, and anti-aging protocols is also largely ignored.

This may be because most blood work testing for magnesium appear as normal since only 1% of the magnesium in the body is actually found in the blood, maintained at normal levels there at the expense of magnesium stores in the muscles and tissues. Therefore, if you only look at the blood test rather than the whole clinical picture, dozens of conditions and symptoms related to a simple magnesium deficiency are missed. It remains that an educated public is the best defence against magnesium deficiency.

FORMS OF MAGNESIUM

Magnesium oxide, the least expensive form, is only 4% absorbed. The rest goes through the intestines, making it a great laxative. Magnesium citrate is the most commonly used form. Magnesium glycinate, magnesium taurate, magnesium malate, and dimagnesium malate are used because they have less laxative effect. Magnesium oil is comprised of about 35% magnesium chloride balanced with sea water and naturally occurring trace minerals. Though there is no actual oil in it, the combination creates a glycerin feel and is in a form that can be sprayed.

The End OS22146



Harold Stough Notes The Prehistoric Mounds of London From The Papers in The Late Harold Stough's Archives



THE IDEA HAS BEEN WITH ME EVER SINCE I first picked up a copy of E.O. Gordon's 'Prehistoric London : its mounds and circles' – to walk between the mounds on the summer solstice. In her criminally under-celebrated book Gordon describes how the mounds and circles of the British Isles are the remnants of a lost culture. No news there when looking at the solstice celebrations at Stonehenge (30,000 pagan celebrants this year), but London? The only acknowledgement of the significance of these sites was a record of The Order of Bards, Ovates and Druids forsaking Stonehenge in favour of performing ceremonies at Tower Hill in March 1963.

I confess that resonance was added by the fact that at the time I lived yards away from Penton Mound at the top of Pentonville Road. But what vision of the city would be formed by perambulating between its founding sites – the great monuments that were at the centre of a thriving city long before the Romans rocked up. Westminster/Tothill to Bryn Gwyn/The Tower of London to Penton/ New River Upper Reservoir to The Llandin/ Parliament Hill – a day to achieve it in.

In its original formulation this would have been a grand ritual unifying the city led by the nation's Druids. In this inaugural event it maybe fitting that it is a family affair – just me and my sister.

I meet Cathy on Broad Sanctuary at 2.15pm after a detour to the Widescreen Centre to pick up a role of TriX black & white Super 8 film to attempt a film of the ritual – a 3 minute in camera

edited film. We are delayed longer than planned at Westminster – get caught up with the small good natured demonstration on Parliament Square in support of the Iraqi people. We blow the cover of the supposed Heritage Wardens who confess to being GLA employees spying on the demo (the are barely double figures present). We move on over Westminster Bridge leaving the Royal Gorse and cut behind County Hall haunted by the spindly Wicker Man that they call The London Eye. Then its down Roupel Street, Union Street and into the quiet. We ponder upon the fetishisation of dereliction as we marvel at some beautiful crumbling relics – one a stone doorway with the word 'OFFICE' carved into the lintel adrift in an empty street. I realise that with my focus being on the film it cancels out words – my notebook virtually empty – the whole 2 hour wander to Tower Hill only inspiring a single note – 'Great Maze Pond SE1' which I take to fit in with the pagan theme of the derive (mazes being created in oak groves and markers of places of druidic ritual).

We spend little time at Tower Hill/ Bryn Gwyn – along with Westminster/ Tothill – as I feel an overwhelming urge to deny the desecration of the sites by the invaders – the so-called Parliament at the ancient place of congregation and communal law-making and the Prison on the site of the British people's fortress where the severed head of Bendigeid Vran, first king of this island, is said to be buried. I record them on camera but we move on enjoying the calm City streets.

Into Barbican from Moorgate through the halls and out into Whitecross Street guided by Hawksmoor's spire on St Luke's. On Goswell I show Cathy the Mount Mills fortification and we follow the Cromwellian defences through Northampton Square and out to face Lubetkin's Spa Green Estate. We skirt its perimeter and I then point out the Mount Zion Chapel – redolent of a riff in Gordon that links the British Mounds

to their spiritual cousins in Palestine (a few years ago I emailed Mount Zion Chapel to enquire what had guided the location of their chapel – I received no reply).

Cathy leaves me at the Penton to complete the final leg alone. It's 7.30pm and I should stop for a cuppa somewhere but Islington at that time on a Saturday is geared up for one thing only. Also as I push on along Penton Street I'm too awash in a sea of memories of my happy years spent living here.

The Penny Farthing has been given a confused make-over and is now a restaurant serving an odd combination of pizza and sushi – I suppose they don't attempt to trade in on the pub's heritage as the true home of cricket – the pavilion for the club that would become the MCC after they moved across town to Marleybone. Change takes on odd forms – a tattoo parlour has opened next to the corner shop that supplied me with cans of beer and emergency nappies.

Down Copenhagen Street and walks (and blog postings) past come back as do trips to playgroups and the wonderful library on Thornhill Square. I get second wind.

Turning the corner into York Way I shoot some of the old station posts that seemed to have survived the coming of the Eurostar. Then the vista of the day – the cleared scorched earth west

of York Way – a train slowly moving across the land below three enormous silos – I consider running off the remainder of my film here – a Tarkovskian landscape worthy of its own 50ft of TriX.

Gordon relates York Way's original name, Maiden Lane to its purpose of leading people to their places of congregation (Maiden Lane that runs through Covent Garden lines up with Parliament Square). I note the street name of a sorry backstreet behind a warehouse – Vale Royal – the last indicator of the rich mythology linked to this area from Boadicea's last stand to the first Christian Church (in the world!).

I've now decided to keep going without a stop till I ascend the top of the Llandin – a continuous yomp from the south end of Tower Bridge. Up along Brecknock Road where the dark ridge of Highgate Woods marks the horizon. Down through Dartmouth Park and I'm there on Parliament Hill Fields. I must be hallucinating because I see a white robed Druid atop the hill – yes. I grab the camera and zoom in – not a Druid but the freshly painted white monument to right of free speech that exists here. I do a kind of stop-frame dance around the stone till the film runs out and the journey is over – 50 feet of film, 10 miles and 6 hours walking.

The End OS22154

Oh My God!

By John Trotter - Winmalee, Australia



The above expression is often stated when there is shock, surprise or even disbelief. It is mostly used with no association with a religious belief but rather as a voluntary response. Some have suggested that it is blasphemous as it is related to the word "gosh". Others say it is a subliminal expression that infers a belief in a higher power.

Contrary to the view of some, we do live in a very religious world. The "gods" of all religions are abundant. If there is a tribe that is yet to be discovered you would find that they would be worshiping some higher being. It is innate in man to worship something or someone. The question could be asked, "Would this undiscovered tribe also have a similar expression, "Oh My god" "

The Western World is where my thoughts lie, for it is in such areas that Christianity is associated. When you think of the main religions you generally place them with certain countries. For example Hinduism is with India, Shintoism with Japan, Buddhism with many areas of Asia. There has been for many years a world plan to ecumenicalise, or unite the religions of the

world. The God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob has other plans, for the Stone that was made without hands shall smash the image and it shall become as chaff (Daniel 2:34-36). The religion of Babel shall be no more.



What of the Western Nations, amongst whom are the descendants of Israel? Will God bring about events that will give to the expression "Oh My God", a deeper meaning, that will show that the God they mention, more often in a state of shock, is really the "God" of their fathers? Will God use future events to cause us to rethink our existence, our purpose, our past and future? I believe so. The Russian poet, Solzhenitsyn, said, "The West had lost the will to live". Are future events going to give us a reason to live and how to live our lives? I believe so. The world needs more shock therapy.

On a personal level a close friend of ours had been suffering from deep depression for over 2 years. All the treatments including medical and psychiatric were not working. Due to her father's recent diagnosis of kidney cancer and the strong possibility that he might die within 6 months, I believe, caused the daughter to refocus and over a period of a few weeks found herself recovering from her depression. From a non-professional view I saw this as a mild form of shock therapy which allowed the daughter to relay her thoughts from herself to her father. The outcome is that the daughter has recovered from her depression and her father is still alive with one less kidney. Translate the above minor change, due possibly to a shock reaction, to the world stage and I am sure you will realise that it will take a shock of far greater proportion to bring about the necessary changes.

Almost on a weekly basis we are witnessing events that are causing many to ask, "How much

more can this world take? Or Is there no solution?" In varying degrees we all have been affected by events. We have all been desensitised to the point that we are not as shocked as we would have been if these events had happened some decades ago. It is true, the collapse of the Twin Towers did shock us all but since that event the people have generally accepted the changes brought about by the authorities. It is a well-known method of government that after a disaster of such proportions laws are passed that will slowly chip away at our freedoms. Surveillance and extra security have become an accepted outcome. All this has brought together governments of the world: in short World Globalism. A lot more "hot spots" have arisen during this period of managed confusion. But do we hear "Oh my God" during these changes, for the reasons expressed in the first paragraph? The answer is, "No".

The Western Nations need events to make them realise that the God in the saying "Oh My God" is the only God that can save us. Not only that, but that we are the people of His pasture (Ezekiel 34:31) and most importantly we have strayed as a people from the paths of righteousness (Psalm 23:3). It does not concern me that some will say that the eternal outcome of an individual is up to us choosing Christ as our Saviour, even though that is also necessary. It is true that free - will is an undeniable fact, but the Bible is very clear as regards the Sovereignty of God and the fact that He will cause certain things to happen that will bring about His plans for "Israel in the isles". The "I will's" of God are very clear as shown in Isaiah 42:16 : "And I will bring the blind by a way that they know not; I will lead them in paths that they have not known; I will make darkness light before them, and crooked things straight. These things will I do unto them and not forsake them". In verse 19 the following question is asked: "Who is blind, but my servant?" That blindness will be lifted once we realise who is our God.

In 1970 a book entitled "Future Shock" by Alvin Tofler hit the shelves. The book was an attempt to prepare the people for incredible changes that were planned by the social engineers of society. The shock waves of this book are still being felt around the world. On the back of the book it says: "Future Shock looks at the human side of tomorrow. Brilliantly disturbing, it analyses the new and dangerous society now emerging and

shows how to come to terms with the future". C. P. Snow is quoted as saying: "If this book is neglected we shall all be very foolish". I will leave these quotes for you to ponder over, but only to say this, we do live in a very dangerous society, as predicted.

Just as Satan is using his shock therapy , so God is setting the stage like that of a world class chess player who can see not only all the steps ahead , but can cause the opposition to be finally caught in a position of "check mate". Satan who is both "prince of this world"(John 14:30) and the "god of this world"(2 Corinthians 4:4) will be trapped in his own traps . In Psalm 9:15 we are told that the heathen will be trapped in their own pits.

The phrase "I will cause" is constantly used throughout the Scriptures. These 3 words will be seen as equally powerful as the phrase "Oh my God". The limp wristed teachings of political correctness will be drowned in an increasing series of events that will not only show the atomic power of the Creator, but will also be used to separate the dross from the tin (Isaiah 1:25, Ezekiel 22:17-31). This atomic power could be seen as the super natural fire that came down from Heaven to consume the animal sacrifice as mentioned in 1 Kings 18 after which the prophets of Baal were killed. When will God step in and cause the hearts of the chosen to be melted? My short answer is at the time just prior to the events that could bring about the total annihilation of mankind. The chemical and nuclear power of the nations if allowed to be

used could wipe out civilisation, but for the elect sake those days will be shortened or docked (Matthew 24:22).

Future headlines in our papers will be a form of shock therapy, but the wise will understand (Daniel 12:10). The phrase "Oh my God" will be turned from an insignificant expression of surprise to one of "A cry for help". The underground cisterns will be emptied and broken (Jeremiah 2:13) . Once it is realised that all hope is gone and Democracy is seen for what it is, a cry of remorse will ascend unto the heavens. God will hear the prayers for His Holy name sake (Isaiah 48 :9), He will calm the storm and bring His people into the desired haven (Psalm 107:28-30).

In conclusion the words of C. P. Snow should be rewritten : "If this book, the Bible , is neglected we shall all be very foolish". For a drunkard to rise out of the gutter he has to face the truth of his condition. Jeremiah 4:22 is a starting point when it says: "For my foolish people do not recognise my warnings. They are children of folly. They never reflect. They are wise for evil, but cannot recognize good" (Fenton). The warnings have been on the wall for a long time. When will the cry go up! Only then will the God of "OH MY GOD" reply to perform the oath which God swore unto our Fathers (Jeremiah 11:5, Micah 7: 18-20). Come Lord Jesus; our Saviour and Redeemer.

The End OS22157

Gigantic Pyramids of Germany **By K. Walter Haug, Walzbachtal**



Above Hess - Germany

A so-called "volcano"


A STONISHING EXCAVATIONS of Dr. Semir Osmanagich near Visoko in Bosnia revealed, mountains are not always what they seem to be. There is evidence of artificial structures in at least three mountains and two of them look really rather like pyramids. The "Pyramid of the Sun" is 220 m high, the "Pyramid of the Moon" 190 m, so they are higher than any other known monument in the world including the Pyramids of Giza.

What would you say if such colossal buildings now appear in Germany?

We don't know till now who built such extraordinary mounds. But if they exist in the

hills of the Balkans they are possibly everywhere in the mountainous regions of the European continent. And there are a lot of suspicious candidates — especially in Germany.

If one drives on the highways through the landscapes of our beautiful homeland he or she would encounter eye-catching mountains time and time again. There are special regions where they seem to be gathered.




Near Heilbronn I found a gigantic mound in the key-hole shape of grave-mounds, the so called Kofun, which started with the Chinese Emperors of the Ming-Dynasty but spread to Japan. This one is completely dug out of the rocky slope of a mountain. Such mounds are compositions of a circle or oval and a tetragon which symbolizes the unification of heaven and earth. The south-western corner and the south side of the square was damaged during the works on the street, which is matched to the shape of the monument at all.

At this particular time in middle of the 19th century, the south-side walls of the building were misemployed as a quarry, what you can see on a cadastral map of this time. Beyond the street there are more artificial structures on the slope down to the valley, But not far away an obtrusive castle hill rises — the castle Weibertreu. And everyone who looks at this mysterious hill feels this could not be a natural structure. From a satellite position you see that the floor plan of it is a very equable egg oriented to the cardinal points.

Not far away from this only across the highway there are at least two more stepped hills in sighting distance.

As we approached to Schwabisch Gmünd, a little town in the country of Württemberg, we were suddenly confronted with mysterious single hills in a very plane landscape. At first sight it was



clear this hills are mounds of a forgotten culture. And as we found out the names of these we became affirmation. They are called the Three Emperors Mountains (Drei Kaiserberge), from left to right you see on the horizon line the “Hohenstaufen”, the “Rechberg” and the “Stuifen”. The hill on the foreground could be artificial, too.

The Three Emperors Mountains are extraordinary not only by sight but in their cryptic dimensioning.

Two of them, the “Hohenstaufen” and the “Stuifen” are positioned on a parallel to a latitude exactly 48° 44' 35— Northern Hemisphere. The distance between them is the 54001" part of the circumference of the earth, the range from the “Hohenstaufen” to the “Rechberg” is the 7200 part.

You can decode the old prehistoric measures especially the megalithic yard and the Celtic league. 2000 megalithic yards of 83,33 cm's. are equal to 1 megalithic mile. If you imply that the equatorial length of an arc minute reduces to 2/3 on the height of the 49th latitude where the “Drei Kaiserberge” are positioned it's easy to understand why the computation has to multiply with 3,33. Therefore the decryption brought to light:

$$5400 \times 3,33 = 18.000 \text{ Celtic leagues}$$

$$7200 \times 3,33 = 24.000 \text{ megalithic miles}$$

The circumference of the earth is fixed. You can divide it in 40.000 kmtrs or in 18.000 Celtic leagues or in 24.000 megalithic miles.

Why did our ancestors have such a knowledge? They had obviously a better astronomy and geodesy than the people of the 18th century when the International Prototype Metre was created in Paris as the 40.000.000' part of the circumference.

The “Hohenstaufen” is exactly 60 mtrs tall. It has a length of 460 mtrs and a breadth of 340

mtrs. Its centre line of symmetry is tilted a little more than 23 degrees which means that the knowledge of the precession is encoded. Its ground plan has the form of an egg, too. On my [homepage](#) you can see comparable ground plans of earthworks and towns from the Neolithic Age to the early Medieval.

The geological stratum of the Hohenstaufen is looking like masonry. The chalk and limestone of the surrounding hills of the "Alb" is mostly compact.



The "Rechberg" is 118 mtrs high, 1.090 mtrs long and 720 mtrs broad. Its shape is triangular, long-stretched. Its sides are concave which remembers of the gigantic tombs of Sardinia or similar mounds in Sweden. The derivation of the term "Rech" could be Gothic. "Rikan" means "to rake", "to pile earth up".

At the Rechberg it's possible to watch the masonry-like structure of the lime-stone: in the moat of the castle, also in a quarry at the slope of the hill and in the cellar of the castle. Similar to the Bosnian Pyramids the structure here seems to be geological on first sight, but you can differ slabs and blocks attached to each other, also vertical and horizontal groove welds

But this extreme declination appears only in the quarry and only within a certain range at the rim. Suddenly the normal horizontal stratum starts again.

It is beyond comprehension why the declination of one part of the Rechberg is so much higher than the Alb. But Egyptian pyramids of the 3' dynasty had this declination of the masonry, too. The result was a strengthened stability of the monuments.

The "Stuifen" is approx. 140 mtrs high. The Pyramid of Chufu in comparison crests up to 139

mtrs and ranges over 225 x 225 mtrs. The "Stuifen's" length is 1.156 mtrs and its breadth 680 mtrs. It has the form of a rooftop. Its ridge is rather like a gable so exactly straight and sharp is it. Such a form of sepulchre is known from megalithic tombs in the Brittany (Pierre Virant) or in Germany (Leubingen).



If you compare the measures of the Stiffen with the Pyramid of Chufu in Kairo you realize how much bigger European pyramids can be.

No document of the Roman history tells us anything about it. But if you are au fait with the history of the Celts in Central-Europe you know that Celtic Cesars reigned the Roman Empire for a certain period. No one knows where they are buried. As it looks like the Swabians delivered this unto our time only by word of mouth. And if they were not Emperors of the Roman Era they could have been such of the mysterious Empire "Celtica" which is not investigated satisfactorily but reaches far back in time. With the Scythians as adjustors the Celts had cultural contacts to China. Greek historical sources say, that the Scythians settled right side of the River Rhine in the time of 300 BC which is Celtic time in common. For that reason Chinese silk was found in a princely burial of the Celts near Hochdorf in Swabia not far away from the "Hohenasperg" which seem to be another step-pyramid.

The "Hohenasperg" is associated to the Celtic mound "Kleinaspergle" to see on the foreground. In Giza there are also lesser Pyramids of Queens. The term Asperg (Asenberg = mountain or mound of the Aesir — Asians?) seems to indicate mounds of any size.

Just another monument in triangular form like the Rechberg.

Michael Sax informed us, that at the rim of the Alb, which are the foothills of the Alps, there are at least three more mountains which seem to be monuments, the "drei Hausberge" (Three House-mountains) of Reutlingen, the



“Georgenberg”, the “Achalm” and the “Urselberg” (on the pic the Urselberg is not visible).

Just their delivered name reveal that they might be buildings. One of them, the Georgenberg, is a so-called volcano. But the geologists say that no eruption has ever happened, not even millions of years before. There is no volcanic crater. Obviously they didn't find a volcanic chimney. But there are places of Basalt-Tuff, which belong to the Suebian volcano, a shield volcano, not far away which could have been the quarry in ancient times. The shapes of this "mountains" are so equable and symmetric, the Georgenberg is a perfect cone. Natural volcanoes in contrast are rugged and deformed by several craters in many ways.



Another “volcano” called the “Teck” poses an unmasking saga. It is said that the Lady of the Teck had an subterranean castle inside the hill. From China you know that the emperors dug tunnels and palace-like chambers into mountains where they buried the nobility.

On the left side of the hill you see a smaller one just with the same pyramid-shape. This resembles the moon pyramid in Bosnia which is also connected to such a satellite mound.

Just their delivered name reveal that they might be buildings. One of them, the Georgenberg, is a so-called volcano. But the geologists say that no eruption has ever happened, not even millions of years before. There is no volcanic crater. Obviously they didn't find a volcanic chimney. But there are places of Basalt-Tuff, which belong

to the Suebian volcano, a shield volcano, not far away which could have been the quarry in ancient times. The shapes of this "mountains" are so equable and symmetric, the Georgenberg is a perfect cone. Natural volcanoes in contrast are rugged and deformed by several craters in many ways.



One can argue these are no pyramids, because they have no 4 edges like in Egypt. But we have such a type. The castle hill of Nassau in Hess looks rather like this vintage form and is well comparable to the “pyramid of the sun” in Bosnia. Vikki Knels from Rüsselsheim gave us this tip. This obvious pyramid stands riparian to a broad creek called Lahn and is nearly 120 mtrs. high. Its base is almost a square and each side ca 300 mtrs. Long.



And there are much more of such “volcanoes” in Hess. The countryside of the “Rhon” possesses a number of this conic mountains which are supposed to be big mounds of a totally forgotten and very mysterious civilization

The Desenberg near Warburg is obviously one of such “volcanoes” which are too symmetric to be true. Therefor the scientists call them “Kegelberge” (cone mountains).

You see, on many of the suspicious mountains (mounds) there are medieval castles like on the Bosnian Pyramid of the Sun. Maybe they had

forerunners which were temples like on South-American pyramids or Etruscan tumuli?



Sam Osmanagich is overwhelmed by the size of his pyramids and the fact that pyramids are widespread all over the globe. Therefore he suggests that extraterrestrial interventions happened. But I search for human reasons. What if our Celtic prehistory is misunderstood to a large extent? Celts have been excellent sailors and navigators. They could have effused this knowledge of architecture all over the planet. The US-historian Paul Tudor Angel describes in his article "[Who built New England's Megaliths?](#)" a phenomenal version of prehistory. It's very hard to explore such monuments in Germany. Our excavations at a much smaller step-cairn in Stemenfels is only possible as a geological survey. Additionally you have a very ideological problem. If you try to classify this assumed monuments historical, the due technological advanced period of German's prehistory is the time of the Celts.

They had an Empire, called Celtica or Celtae. But when I used the German term „Reich" for it

a reporter of my slide presentation defamed me as a Nazi. No one can deny if the monuments are Celtic they have to be explored like any other empire pyramids, the Empire of the Mayan, Incas or Aztecs. Of course in Germany you can tell the Mayan Empire "Reich der Maya" a. s. o. But don't dare to use this term in connection to German history or prehistory. Isn't it sick?

You see there is hyper-sensibility in Germany in such cases of using terms which used the Nazis too. And there are explorers, especially on the "Extemsteine", a rock-formation near Bielefeld, which looks really artificial, who had worked on it in Nazi-time. Nowadays you can get under suspicion if you quote them. No one would care if you do this with scientists like Wernher von Braun or Kurt H. Debus, who had even been members of the SA and SS. Without their scientific work we would have no cosmonauts today.

But we think such roorbacks are only stage-managed to distract the audience from the scandal of the official archaeology which is informed about cairns in South-Germany since 1990 and have never done anything to explore it.

The End OS22159

Letters And Views



**Daily Politics and Magna Charta
Copy of a Letter to:
Jesse Norman MP & Tristram
Hunt MP**

GENTLEMEN, Your public appearance as constitutional experts on the Daily Politics programme with Jo Coburn was very interesting and I am sure you are both pretty well informed about that document. I hope you will take on board my comments which follow. I quite sympathise with the fact that initially you will not comprehend. Until about ten years ago I did not either.

While Mr Hunt made the valid comment that it called the arbitrary action of King John to account and in many ways laid the foundations for our liberty thereafter it seems to have escaped your attention that the arbitrary actions of a monarch threatening the liberty of the English have simply been replaced by the arbitrary actions of a "democratic" government with a majority in the House of Commons in that the

rights or liberties of minorities to dissent are infringed. Yours Truly, ARS, UK

The Party System and the Corruption of Parliament



I invite you to visit my ten-year-old website www.camrecon.demon.co.uk entitled "The Party System and the Corruption of Parliament"

When the Prime Minister spoke at Runnymede today

he made the same mistake you all make when you appear on TV etc of lumping together "democracy" liberty and the rule of law as if they are one and the same thing. They are not. Since you cited Coke you must be aware that the "rule of law" in the English sense implies the consent of those who have to live under it. This is in contrast to dictatorships which have laws also. Here is the rub. The late Lord Hailsham called our system an "elective dictatorship". Indeed, on my home page I quote him as describing our Parliamentary system as having a potential for tyranny worse than any other because of its democratic credentials. Now, you can't have liberty and have that possibility living side by side. Indeed, I live in the constituency of the famous Edmund Burke and please see his comments on my website, "Warnings Past and Present"

You are all so steeped in the system that you cannot see the very clever trick or perversion that has been achieved, whilst retaining the outward appearances of the constitution. Yes - we are relatively free in one sense, but we live under a tyranny in another sense.

We need a yardstick to see what the problem is. You may have your definition of freedom; here are two almost identical "freedom of action in a social context (Ayn Rand) ; "the ability to accept or reject one course of action at a time" Note: they speak about the individual

Please let me explain why we have a tyranny. All political party manifestos are "packages" and

at every election the voter is forced to vote for one party or another and is forced to accept the whole package. While he may like one or two policies very much but he has to have the rest of the package, including the toxic bits. He may neither care nor know about the rest of the contents but his vote implies consent to the whole. Indeed, Russell Brand, who says you are all corrupt still has to have one "package" or another because he cannot persuade the whole population to stop voting. Especially as they have been drilled into believing that they have some power over government. In fact, a vote signifies a transfer of power or action from the individual to the government, especially when they have to vote for a "package" or nothing. They will get someone's package!!! Contrast this with the freedom to accept or reject one policy at a time and you see what a very clever dictatorship has been constructed. so much for democracy and freedom and the rule of law being the same bedfellows???? The lady who refused to pay her taxes because Mr Blair went to war in Iraq was imprisoned. Where is the freedom in that.

Tie that to the party system where candidates are selected if they agree to the party manifesto and the majority party has total power and there is your elective dictatorship. The fact that the voter has been forced to accept the whole package is a clever trick, behind which the Prime Minister and his Cabinet of the day can say they have mandate to do what they wish. Where is the freedom to opt out? no where.

How has the tyranny been applied and with what objectives? It has been applied by the package system with the objective of steadily removing the right of nation states to govern themselves. If you read my website you will see how Mr Heath, using his democratic majority, provided by a gullible and faithful following who did not suspect treason was afoot in 1970, bludgeoned an unwilling Parliament to obey the whips and transfer our government to Brussels and forced the Queen to break her solemn 1953 Coronation Oath to her people, the English, to govern according to their laws and customs. The law forbade such a transfer and neither was it customary.

The second branch of the tyranny has been to overcome the natural barriers the English were blessed with having, the English channel, the

barrier against previous tyrannies, and allow masses of aliens to enter the country and change its composition. without asking the people if they wanted it. And then, when they started to object to pass a series of laws prohibiting discrimination on pain of fine or imprisonment. So much for Magna Charta. As a result we have a massive problem which will probably lead to civil war. Meanwhile ethnic quotas and all sorts in the media, politics, sport etc are gradually dispossessing the English of work and position in their own land. In about two generations at the breeding rate of the ethnics we shall be a minority

Congratulations. Free country?? Between your two parties you have achieved all this and still think we are free?

A "right" ought to be a liberty but instead it has become a benefit granted by the government. Totally wrong. **Yours Truly, Martin Cruttwell.**

UK IRS Acting Unlawfully

Some you were asking how I got on with HMRC's unlawful demand of fines from me. Having set their collection agency on to me, who conceded defeat and returned the account to them, I have received a standard 'Amount overdue' letter with more interest added. In response to this I have sent them the following:

Sir—, I am returning the enclosed as they do not apply to me. I have on many previous occasions explained that you have no lawful authority to extract money from me, or anyone else for that matter, in this way. Ignoring all my lawful arguments in previous correspondence you then had your collection agency 'Advantis' to try to extract this money. Unfortunately for HMRC Advantis had to acknowledge that, as a third party they too could not demand payment of this money no matter who said they could and referred it back to HMRC.

Therefore I demand that you either remove this amount of money from my tax records or answer the following legal points.

1 I am not JOHN LEWIS. This title is a 'Legal Fiction' to which I do not respond. I am John Lewis, a living person who never entered into any signed contract for anything with HMRC.

2 The Statute Instruments, that you quote as your authority, violate Magna Carta and the 1689 Bill of Rights which are higher Constitutional Laws. All Statute Laws must be subservient to Constitutional Laws. This must be observed if we are to live within the law.

Below is a reminder of these two Constitutional Laws.

Magna Carta states that we cannot suffer any fine or forfeiture unless we have been found guilty of an offence in a court of law. The 1689 Bill of Rights states that we cannot be imprisoned unless we have also been found guilty in a court of law. The Bill of Rights also states that any threat of a fine or forfeiture voids the offence.

I insist that HMRC either put up a counter legal argument or that you remove this money from my tax record and stop harassing me with any more of these demands. **Yours truly, Jack Lewis**

The Morph



When becoming Jew-wise, often one likes to think that 'it's not all the Jews'; because at some point in our youth we have had some nice times with

Jew pals. We've shared some laughs, we've enjoyed some ventures, we used to socialise with one or two Jews who were really good buddies. So perhaps it's a bit unfair to label all Jews as bad, all Jews being part of the agenda.

But.....it's not until one really looks at the complete picture. That when one objectively steps back with many years of experience and study of this conspiracy against humanity; that one can see how the good Jew fits into this comprehensive agenda.

Because, as this title suggests. Those good Jews whom we shared some laughs with in previous years, will always, always in every case evolve into a position in society where they will directly or indirectly play their role in this demonic/Archontic enslavement. I happen to call this the morph.

That's what these nice Jews do. Slowly gradually, just as the acorn develops into the oak tree, as the puppy grows into a dog, as the innocuous snake develops into a venomous snake; so the youthful, friendly Jew will naturally morph into a fully operational Jew.

Your once buddy, who just happen to be a Jew, will gradually incrementally turn. The morph won't necessarily be that noticeable at first. It will be little things, such as a slight career shift, a notch up the ladder. A dash of financial serendipity: property, business investment, whatever. Always just happen to land on their feet. Always just happen to be at the right place at the right time for that golden opportunity.

Then as the years go by and you happen drift from your nice Jew friend, and meet up eight to ten years later; you will see how they just happen to have got on in life. Just happen to be head of department, got a chain of shops, successful warehouse, lucrative art career with the right connections, established jeweller, successful lawyer (liar), chief financial advisor, top physician. You will also notice how their appearance has changed, how Jewish they appear. The large darkened glasses, the style of clothing, the beard, the smell of money, the eyes, the nose – the morph. Your old college buddy, now very very different from how they were and very, very different from you.

Somehow, you and your nice Jew buddy are now miles apart. Not able to relate. They are up there and you're down there. Still down there. Never able to get out of your rut, always tripping up in business deals, just when you think you able to succeed, you slip right down that greasy pole again. Whereas your Jew buddy seems to always come out on top. Funny that. Must be because Jews are so much cleverer than us, so more hard working, hey.

Can you see a pattern here? Can you see what is covertly happening? How they always, always come out on top. Always just happen to be in a position of social influence. As throughout the ages. Can you see it? Can you get it now?

It is not until one is able to be completely Jew-wise that one can observe this much repeated pattern.

And just look at what they morph into. Not so much what they become as far a their career title.

But their character. They become A JEW. They are ruthless in business, cold, uncaring, seriously materialistic, untrustworthy, callous. And most of all... have no conscience. [PONCs People Of No Conscience.]

Try asking a favour from your old buddy, to help you get up a notch on the social ladder. Just you see how much your 'friendship' was worth. All those favours you've done for them. Remember how you bailed them out on your travels when you were in your youth – forget it. Remember how you put them up when they needed somewhere to stay – forget it. Remember how you nursed them when they were sick – forget it. Remember how you helped them get hooked up with that girl they liked – forget it. Remember how you went out of your way to repair their vehicle – just you forget it goy. Because now you are a nothing server and they are of social influence. And that is just how it is. They are better people in society and you are not. Know your place.

The morph just happened when you weren't looking. You were not Jew-wise. You were not in the know, you with your blissful ignorance, you gullible goy thinking that you were all on the same level, on an even playing field. Ha, such naive trust.

Isn't it about time us non Jews get wise to this comprehensive Jewish usurpation by stealth.
Yours truly, L. S. Oban, Scotland.

Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

The Mysterious Tribe Of Dan (Part 2)

William F. Dankenbring



**Danites from
Egypt!
The Greek
historian**

HERODOTUS reveals that some of the ancient Greeks actually came from Egypt, and that the ancestor of the Dorian princes in southern Greece was a certain "Danae, the daughter of Acrisus" (Herodotus, VI, 1,

iii). The term "Dorian" apparently comes from the name of a city of Manasseh, in northern Israel, called "Dor," near the Mediterranean coast. The Dorians were apparently Danites, of the tribe of Dan, perhaps mixed with some of the descendants of Manasseh.

The Irish word "tuath" means literally "a tribe" or "people." These people were the tribe of DAN of Biblical fame! They came from the Greek "Danaans," and were the same people as the tribe of Dan. Hecateus of Abdera says that the Egyptians, troubled by calamities, in order that the divine wrath might be averted, expelled the foreigners -- all aliens gathered together in Egypt. Of these, some, under their leaders DANUS and CADMUS, migrated to Greece, others to other regions, but the greatest number to "Syria," that is, Palestine, their leader said to have been Moses.

Says Diodorus of Sicily: "They say also that those who set forth with Danaus, likewise from Egypt, settled what is practically the oldest city of Greece, Argos, and that the nations of the Colchi in Pontus and that of the Jews, which lies between Arabia and Syria, were founded as colonies by certain emigrants from their country ." (Diodorus, Bk. I, xxviii, 1-5).

The Lacedemonian Greeks

The tribal emblem of the Danites was a snake with a serpent in its claws. Interestingly, Josephus

records a letter written by the Lacedemonian Greeks to the Jews in which they express their kinship to the Jews. Their seal, that of the tribe of Dan, was affixed to the epistle -- an eagle with a dragon (serpent) in its claws!

The reply of the Jewish high priest to the letter from the southern Greeks, the Spartan Greeks, declared:

"Jonathan the high priest of the Judah nation to the ephori and senate and the people of the Lacedemonians, send greeting:

"When in former times an epistle was brought to Onias, who was then our high priest-- concerning the **Kindred that was as between us and you**, a copy of which is here subjoined, we both joyfully received the epistle--because we were well satisfied about it from the **sacred writings**, yet did not we think fit, first to begin the claim of this **relation to you**, the glory which is now given us by you. It is a long time since this relation of ours to you hath been renewed, and when we, upon holy and festival days offer sacrifices to God, we pray to Him for your preservation and victory--You will, therefore, do well yourselves to write to us, and send us an account of what you stand in need of from us, since we are in all things disposed to act according to your desires" (Antiquities of the Jews, XIII, 5, 8).

The Lacedemonians received the Jewish ambassadors carrying the letter kindly and made a decree of friendship and mutual assistance with the Jews, and then sent the letter to their Lacedemonian kinsmen.

In Ancient Mythology, Bryant relates that Stephanus Byzantium shows that Alexander Polyhistor and Claudius Jolaus also speak of a direct relationship or kinship between the Spartan Greeks and the people of Judaea (vol.5, p.51-52, 60).

During the time of Christ, the Jews knew that some of the Israelites who had long since been carried into captivity, and then migrated from thence, had settled among the Greeks in the Ionian peninsula. The Jews were mystified by a

statement of Christ that they would look for Him, but be unable to find Him (John 7:34). "The Jews said to one another, 'Where does this man intend to go that we cannot find him? Will he go where our people live **scattered among the Greeks** and teach the Greeks?'" (verse 35).

Clearly, some of the scattered, dispersed Israelites who had left Palestine centuries before had been "scattered among the Greeks."

From Greece, then, the tribe of Dan -- the Tuatha de Danaan--travelled by ship through the Mediterranean Sea, and thence up the coast of Europe to Ireland and Scandinavia.

Ancient Irish History



Early Irish history reveals that the first permanent settlers in Ireland were called "Nemedians," after their leader, and were "of the patriarch, Jacob" and were "said to have come from the shores of the Euxine [Black] Sea" (Moore, *The History*

of Ireland, Vol. 1, p. 63). It is very possible that some of these descendants of Jaob, or grandchildren, migrated to Ireland during the time of grievous famine that struck the Middle East in Joseph's time, around 1707 B.C. That famine lasted seven years and struck the whole Middle East, and was "very grievous" (Gen.41:31, 54-57).

This was about the time the Nemedians entered Ireland, according to Irish history! The Nemedians dwelt in Ireland from 1709-1492 B.C., during the time most of the descendants of Israel were in Egypt. Before the time of the Exodus, the Nemedians were conquered by African sea-rovers called Fomorians, and a colony of them fled to Greece, where other Israelites and Danites had settled, after leaving Egypt. They later returned to Ireland, now known as the Firbolgs. They were the first people to establish royal authority over Ireland, dividing the land into five provinces.

At this time, after ruling about 30-40 years, a new tribe conquered the Firbolgs -- called the

"Tuatha de Danaan." This tribe also dwelt in Greece, and then migrated to Denmark and Norway, and then to Ireland (Moore, p.60). The Tuatha de Danaan became the sole masters of the country. In time, they were dispossessed of the country by another group of Israelites -- the Milesian Scots!

Says Moore in *The History of Ireland*: "In process of time, the Tuatha-de-Danaan were themselves dispossessed of their sway; a successive invasion from the coast of SPAIN having put an end to the Danaanian dynasty, and transferred the sceptre into the hands of that Milesian or SCOTIC race, which through so long a series of succeeding ages, supplied Ireland with her kings. This celebrated colony, though coming directly from Spain, was originally, we are told, of Scythic race" (p.60).

The Milesian Scots, under Heremon the son of Gathelus, arrived in Ireland shortly after the Exodus -- bringing with them the celebrated Lia Fail or Pillar-Stone of Jacob. They ruled Ireland for many hundreds of years and eventually settled the northern part of England, calling it Scotland.

These Milesian Scots came from Scythia and said they were originally from Egypt, and connected themselves with the children of Israel. Says Moore:

"Tracing this chosen race in their migrations to different countries, and connecting them, by marriage or friendship, during their long sojourn in Egypt, with most of the heroes of Scripture history, our Bards conduct them at length, by a route not very intelligible, to Spain" (ibid.).

The Tuatha de Danaan were beyond doubt of the tribe of Dan, of Israelitish fame. The new tribe, the Milesian Scots, were no doubt of the royal tribe of Judah -- through his son Zarah. These Scots spoke of a "long sojourn" in Egypt, no doubt the same as that of Israel, from the time of Jacob till Moses, over two hundred years. Says Moore:

"A scheme of descent which traces the ancestors of the Irish through a direct series of generations not merely to the first founders of Phoenician arts and enterprise, but even to chieftains connected by friendship with the prophet Moses himself" (p. 71).

This authority concludes that the Milesian Scots were of the same race as the three colonies in Ireland before them -- that is, the Nemedians, the Firbolgs, and the Tuatha de Danaan. Notice!

"The Bardic historians themselves, who represent the Scoti to have been of Scythic descent and to have from thence derived their distinctive appellation—and to confirm still further the origin of the Scots from that quarter. It is added by the Bards that they were **of the same race with the three colonies** that had preceded them; namely, the Nemedians, the Tuatha-de-Danaan, and the firbolgs or Belgae" (p.74).



The tribe of Dan was the first tribe to plunge into idolatry, as the book of Judges reveals (Judges 18). They became ensnared in this sin, and their descendants, today, the Irish, are among the most superstitious and idolatrous people on the earth. They today practice the Roman Catholic religion, and are among the most devout and fervid Catholics on earth. This, however, is partly due to the fact that a strong Canaanite element is mixed in with the people of Southern Ireland. The Canaanites and their descendants have always gravitated towards the various forms of sun worship. It will require the Second Coming of Christ, the Messiah, to bring them back out of the idolatry they are steeped in, and that is why the tribe of Dan is left out of the 144,000 end-time servants of God mentioned in the book of Revelation.

In the ancient Annals of Clonmacnoise we read of the Tuatha de Danaan: "Upon them [the Firbolgs] came in the people called Twathy De Danaan out of Greece too. Being a Branch of the same stock that Firbolgs were of and were kinsmen. During the time of Firbolg, which was 37 years, there reigned in Assyria 3 monarchs— Twathy De Danaan after they had spent much time abroad in learning necromancy, magic, and other diabolical arts wherein they were exceedingly well skilled, and in those days accounted the chiefest in the world in that

profession. Landed in the west part of Connaught.

Forbolgs hearing of their coming made towards them, and meeting them in a great plain called Moytoyrey in Connaught, fought with them, where Firbolg was overthrown and one hundred thousand of them slain with their said King Eochy McEirche, which was the greatest slaughter that was heard of in Ireland in one meeting" (Annals of Clonmacnoise From the Creation to A.D. 1408, 1627 edition, quoted by Raymond McNair, in an unpublished manuscript on "*The Lost Ten Tribes of Israel*," p.149).

Meanwhile, the tribe of Joseph also migrated to England and the British Isles, and became dominant there. They became known as the "British."

"A Covenant People"

In Isaiah 49, a prophecy for the End time, God says, "Listen to me, you **islands**; hear this, you distant **nations**" (Isa. 49:1). This is a message to the scattered nations of the northern kingdom of Israel. God says to them, "In the time of **My favour** I will answer you, and in the day of salvation I will help you; I will keep you and will make you **TO BE A COVENANT FOR THE PEOPLE**, to restore the land and to reassign its desolate inheritances—" (v.8).

The Hebrew word for "covenant" is Beriyth. In Judges 8:33 and 9:4 it is used as a proper name "Baal-Berith." This means "Baal of the covenant." The Hebrew for "man" is Iysh. If we take the Hebrew word which means "covenant," and anglicise it, we come up with "Brit"—the British people, of course, are often called "Brits." The term "BRIT-ISH" is literally, in Hebrew, "Covenant Man," or "Man of a Covenant." The people of God's covenant, then, that are **in the Islands**, who compose distant nations, as compared to Israel in the Middle East, are the British peoples! Their very name once again **identifies** them!


The people of Wales call themselves, in ancient Welsh, "Bryth y Brithan," which means "Briths of Briton." This means "The Covenanters" of "the land of the Covenant." The earliest settlers in Wales and Southern England were the Simonii -- the descendants of the tribe of Simeon!

What the Future Holds

The tribe of Dan, more than any other of the "lost ten tribes of Israel," left its "signature" wherever it migrated or journeyed. It did so by the unique method of naming rivers, mountains, lakes, villages, towns, bays, and cities after the name of their ancestor -- Dan.

Therefore, tracing the name and routes and migrations of the Danites gives us the evidence we need to trace the other "missing" tribes of Israel to their locations in northwestern Europe, and the world.

The Danites, unfortunately, are still steeped in pagan idolatry and superstition. It has been a warlike tribe. Jacob prophesied of Dan, "Dan shall judge his people, as one of the tribes of Israel. Dan shall be a serpent by the way, an adder in the path, that biteth the horse heels, so that his rider shall fall backward" (**Gen.49:16-17**).



The Irish, today, are infamous for their rejection of the British rule. All Ireland is in ferment against the British rulership in Northern Ireland, and Irish terrorists strike without warning, just

like a "serpent by the way, an adder in the path." The outlawed Irish Republican Army in its clandestine war with England has set off bombs in London, near the Prime Minister's office, and has shown no reluctance to main, kill or destroy.

Because of this attitude, the modern descendants of Dan are the most wayward and idolatrous and far from God of all the tribes.

Therefore, Jacob declared, Dan prophetically has to say, unlike the other tribes of Israel:

"I have **waited** for thy salvation, **O Lord** (Gen.49:18).

But in the Millennium, during the Reign of the Messiah, even the tribe of Dan will fulfil their true purpose and destiny. They will truly abide in ships, and settle new colonies, and rebuild the waste places. They will once again "leap from Bashan," and colonize the world!

God says of His people Israel, including the tribe of Dan:

"Though you were ruined and made desolate and your land laid waste, now you will be **too small** for your people, and those who devoured you will be far away. The children born during your bereavement will yet say in your hearing, 'This place is **too small** for us; give us more space to live in.' Then you will say in your heart, 'Who bore me these? I was bereaved and barren; I was exiled and rejected. Who brought these up? I was left all alone, but these -- where have they come from?' —Then you will know that I am the LORD; those who hope in me will not be disappointed" (Isaiah 49:19-23)

The true saga of the tribe of Dan, "a serpent by the way," who left a tantalizing, fascinating "trail" of way marks along his path of journey, is one of the proofs of the modern location and identity of the so-called "Lost Ten Tribes of Israel." Dan, a remarkable people, a powerful people of farmer-warriors, and sailors, left their indelible imprint on ancient history, wherever they went. They will also become a powerful force for good, during the reign of the Messiah, when He establishes His Kingdom on this earth!

The End OS13373

A Talk With Alan Campbell



Listen to Alan Campbell Talking with Pastor Eli James on the last Sunday of each month at 4pm UK time on Eurofolk Radio.

<http://eurofolkradio.com/>

A History of Magna Carta (Part 3)

From Our Welsh Correspondent



Revolt and Demands

THE REVOLT SPREAD. The Barons were able to take control of a number of critical castles. They attacked and occupied London but failed to take the Tower of London. John was forced to capitulate, especially with the French already in Colchester. When the armies of the Barons met John's at Runnymede on June 10, 1215, John had little choice. He could plunge his country into cruel war (which he probably would have lost) or he could negotiate. He negotiated. The demands made by the Barons were primarily for rights they already had but had never been written. They also demanded a tax cap. There are a number of articles in Magna Carta which specify exact amounts allowed for fees and taxes.

Among the new rights demanded was a first step to protect women and children. Marriage was a matter of alliance and property. The King approved all marriages among the peers and in some cases initiated them himself. When a woman was widowed the King had the right to marry her to whomever he pleased. Because the widow came with property, he was able to charge fees to the man who made the highest bid who would then take possession of both the woman and the dower and in the case where there was no heir, the titles and lands. Of course, only supporters of the King need apply. Following Runnymede, a widow could still not marry without the King's permission, but she could not be forced to marry. This gave women a measure of protection and some power. They could remain on their land and manage it for their own profit. Their dower rights were protected. In addition, they could not be forced to move out of their homes for a certain amount of time when their sons inherited following the death of their

husbands and they maintained the income from their dowers. There must have been a number of ungrateful sons who tossed their mothers out upon the death of their father to make this of prime importance. Before you reflect on the barbarity of the time, remember that today the person who preys on the wealth of a modern widow is usually the son or daughter.

There were several sections to protect children, more especially the underage heirs of the Barons. If the child was underage, a protector was appointed to manage the estates, but they were not allowed to take more than the management costs and were required to return the estates in working order when the child reached maturity. There were safeguards put in that would trigger procedures if there was skulduggery. The crown was made responsible for fining the perpetrator to replace the damage and for finding two replacements to manage the estate. This must have been a common problem. The powerless minor was easy prey for those administering their estates. It had been a nice source of revenue as administrators simply annexed the property to their own holdings.

There are sections which specify how disputes are to be settled. This is essentially the foundation for trial by jury. The Barons were forcing John to recognize and return to the reforms put in place by his father, Henry II, with the Assizes of Clarendon. They strengthened it by demanding that a council of 25 Barons be allowed to act as council to the king to make sure that the agreements in Magna Carta were observed.

The Barons had specific issues in mind when they met with John at Runnymede. The items within Magna Carta were targeted toward localized grievances, primarily associated with taxation. They were also concerned with what they considered their traditional rights with respect to the king. They did not make broad or generalized demands but rather focused on the immediate.

For instance: All forests that have been created in our reign shall at once be disafforested.

River-banks that have been enclosed in our reign shall be treated similarly.



From *Historical Inquires, concerning Forest and Forest Laws, with Topographical Remarks, upon the Ancient and Modern State of the New Forest, in the County of Southampton*, by Percival Lewis Esq, Engraved by

C. Sheringham for Percival Lewis Esq, 1811. Courtesy Liam Quin's Pictures From Old Books Web site.

The Royal Forests were a major source of revenue for the crown. To use the land, locals had to pay exorbitant fees. Hunting was forbidden or by license. Richard and John had added to the forests as a means of increasing revenue but had condemned people to starvation and subsistence as a result. The forest management was rife with graft and corruption and the charter further demanded that ALL foresters and wardens be investigated by twelve sworn knights of the county. They gave them forty days to fix the problem and exact punishment. (See reference below for a description of the Royal Forests).

The first clause of Magna Carta is different. Scholars seem to agree that it was added at the last moment. In it, John agreed to keep his hands off the Church elections, but more, that it would be free in all other ways.

FIRST, THAT WE HAVE GRANTED TO GOD, and by this present charter have confirmed for us and our heirs in perpetuity, that the English Church shall be free, and shall have its rights undiminished, and its liberties unimpaired. That we wish this so to be observed, appears from the fact that of our own free will, before the outbreak of the present dispute between us and our barons, we granted and confirmed by charter the freedom of the Church's elections - a right reckoned to be of the greatest necessity and importance to it - and caused this to be confirmed by Pope Innocent III. This freedom we shall observe

ourselves, and desire to be observed in good faith by our heirs in perpetuity.

Only then does it go on to address the concerns of the Barons:

TO ALL FREE MEN OF OUR KINGDOM we have also granted, for us and our heirs for ever, all the liberties written out below, to have and to keep for them and their heirs, of us and our heirs: (Quotations from British Library translation, see below)

The inclusion of the article promising independence to the Catholic Church in the first position shows the power the Church had regained in the confrontation over Langton's appointment. It's of interest to note the number of clergy cited as witnesses in Magna Carta:



"Signing of Magna Charta by King John" published in Barclay's Dictionary, about 1850. Courtesy of Steve Bartrick:

The clergy mentioned at the beginning of Magna Carta: Stephen, archbishop of Canterbury, Henry archbishop of Dublin, William bishop of London, Peter bishop of Winchester, Jocelin bishop of Bath and Glastonbury, Hugh bishop of Lincoln, Walter Bishop of Worcester, William bishop of Coventry, Benedict bishop of Rochester, Master Pandulf subdeacon and member of the papal household, Brother Aymeric master of the knighthood of the Temple in England. That makes a grand total of eleven. The Barons and laymen mentioned at the beginning of Magna Carta: William Marshal earl of Pembroke, William earl of Salisbury, William earl of Warren, William earl of Arundel, Alan de Galloway constable of Scotland, Warin Fitz Gerald, Peter Fitz Herbert, Hubert de Burgh seneschal of Poitou, Hugh de Neville, Matthew Fitz Herbert, Thomas Basset, Alan Basset, Philip Daubeny, Robert de Roppeley, John Marshal, and John Fitz Hugh. That makes a grand total of sixteen.

Although the Barons had mounted the rebellion, the Church saw the possibility of stemming the process started by Henry II to restrict its power and influence in England.

On June 15th, 1215 John's seal was placed on the articles which became known as Magna Carta. John was confident that the pope would never support the provisions of Magna Carta because it severely challenged the feudal rights of the king. It gave the Barons the right to challenge the king and withhold allegiance if they disagreed. John had placed England under the protection of the pope so that the Church was actually the feudal power. John was correct. He wrote to Pope Innocent III who set aside Magna Carta saying that it had been extracted by force and it had been agreed to without his permission. The Barons saw this as a betrayal and justification for removing John. They offered the crown to the dauphin, Prince Louis of France, son of Philip II. By September John was moving through the country trying to regain territory. In February, 1216 he regained the territory in East Anglia, but the Barons held onto London and a small French fleet joined them there. A storm wrecked many of John's ships, weakening his defences against France. He had to return to East Anglia to put down fresh revolts and then travel north to Scotland to attack Berwick to expel the Scots. Celebrating his victory there, he either ate too much and contracted dysentery, or he was poisoned by a monk. It really depends on which story you like, either way, he died.

Henry III



"Court of Common Pleas." Engraved by J.C. Stadler after a picture by Thomas Rowlandson. Courtesy of Steve Bartrick.

His son, Henry III, inherited the throne at the age of ten. William Marshal and Hubert de Burgh were appointed regents. The south was still held by the Barons and the French and it wasn't until 1219 that they were forced to leave. Within a month, Magna Carta had been reissued in his name, this time with the agreement of Pope Innocent III. It's interesting to note that an

amended copy of Magna Carta was sent to Ireland in the name of Henry III by his regents. Presumably this was to ensure their loyalty and remove one threat so that energy could be targeted on regaining the English lands. Magna Carta was used in this way repeatedly through history.

For instance, in 1297 the Barons again rose in rebellion against Edward I who was increasing taxes on wool and forcing the Barons to grant aid to support campaigns in Flanders and Gascony. To quell the rebellion, Edward I reissued a revised Magna Carta. This one forbade the king from raising taxes without the consent of the country. It also, as did the first, established a council of advisors from among the Barons, but it was just as ineffectual. It was too early in the transition from feudalism to develop the customs and expectations of participatory government. (A translation of that charter is available from the US National Archives, see below).

Magna Carta Today

It was only through history that the rights implied by Magna Carta took on global significance. The reissues continued to deal with local problems, rather than seeking to establish sweeping principles. It was by accumulating individual rights that the common law began to be able to be used as a set of guidelines for the limitation of power and a definition of the basic rights of people. During the initial negotiations with King John, the language referred to the Barons, but in the final copy, the word "freemen" was used. This small change would impact the unique character of government under the English Kings.

Magna Carta was an important step in a number of ways. It established limits on the king's power. It didn't matter what limits, the idea that there were limits set the stage for the future. It strengthened the rights to private property. It strengthened the system of courts to enforce an equal law throughout the country. In this short description of the times, every time you turned around the kings were haring around fighting battles, besieging castles, and retaking and losing territory. It may seem to be romantic to put on armour and sit on your charger with a lance, but the reality was death by dysentery, gangrene, pneumonia, and starvation.

When a castle was besieged, it was mostly a matter of waiting for the people inside to die of starvation. Women, children, and anyone else who had taken shelter at the time were part of the cost of the battle. In the meantime, the fields were not planted, the animals were slaughtered, and the buildings outside the castle destroyed. Even if the castle was rescued, the economy was destroyed and people left in poverty for years. Laws that could settle property disputes through the courts and could be applied even-handedly irrespective of who was in power at the time freed the Barons from internal threat. Later writers, such as Vattel, began to apply this concept across borders, but at the time the feudal system was learning to live with the people in the next castle.

Several clauses deal with debt and interest. For instance, when a minor inherited the debt of his or her father, interest could not be collected until the child reached maturity. At the time, only Jews could legally be moneylenders due to Church laws against usury; charging interest for a loan. There was a great anti-Semitic sentiment at the time, in part due to the extreme taxes levied which required many to borrow money. In fact, on their way to Runnymede, the Barons had ransacked Jewish homes. Archbishop Stephen Langton, who was present advising the king, but who had supported the Barons, later instituted a law requiring Jews to wear identifying badges in 1222, evocative of the practices in Nazi Germany. These clauses are not found in the version sent by Henry III to Ireland nor in subsequent versions.

It is difficult to say whether the clauses were primarily inspired by anti-Semitism or anti-Semitism was aggravated by anti-usury. It is certainly an attempt to regulate or mitigate the negative effects of lending and interest, especially on the helpless. Today, we still have problems with laws concerning lending and borrowing. The modern credit card has placed millions of people in debt leading to bankruptcy. To protect their profits, the credit card companies forced legislation to exclude them from the forgiveness of bankruptcy. Credit card debt and interest are perpetually compounding and the interest rate is not fixed.

The economies of countries like Brazil and Mexico have crumbled under the weight of interest payments. In mediaeval England most debts were to the crown in the form of back taxes and tithes, a form of national debt. As of January 2006 the US national debt topped 8 trillion dollars. We don't feel the burden of this debt as immediately as did the Barons. They dealt with immediate rather than deferred payments.

The practice of paying scutage came from the recognition that when the knights and peasants went off to fight another battle, the community was left without workers and skilled craftsmen. The rule of law and the ability to settle disputes without weapons was slow to develop even within national boundaries. The idea of law applied equally and without the ability to force decisions based on position or power was essential. Trial by jury was just the method used.

The expansion of law to cover those with no power, minor children and women, was about property at first, but was expanded over the centuries. Finally, the principle of taxation with consent begins to develop, and is more clearly delineated in the version of Magna Carta issued by Edward I. The tax caps of California and Massachusetts in the 1970's and 80's which spread to most other states are based on the principles established in the 12th century.

That is not to say that Magna Carta invented tax caps. Although there are direct links between the articles of Magna Carta and our present systems, the similarities between then and now lie also in the fact that we are struggling with the same issues, and, sometimes, we have improved upon the solution. When the articles demand a limit on the size of the forests and the corruption of the warders, it is a clue to their sense of ethics, morals, and individual rights.

They struggled with the issue of taxation just as we do today. The growth of the merchant class is attested by the number of "special interest" articles related to travel and trade. Issues of local versus central responsibility are reflected in the issue of who is to build the bridges. In the 12th century it would seem that locals were ordered



to build bridges, and wanted to shift the responsibility to larger entities. It makes sense to us today that the central government would build the Golden Quadrilateral in India, but we're still not sure who should be responsible for building a bridge to an uninhabited island in Alaska.

Magna Carta certainly was used as legal precedent in later times, sometimes with little justification. Few people have read it, just as few people have read the Declaration of Independence or the complete US Constitution. Yet, magical properties are attributed to Magna Carta. "It is the beginning of democracy, the jury system, and the world as we know it." This is hyperbole. What Magna Carta is, is a document that reflects the problems of that era and at the same time reflects a changing ethic toward liberty, individual rights, and the growing knowledge that something more than custom must bind the culture together. It is the idea of Magna Carta that inspires us, not the reality.

It is the foundation upon which later writers explored, more consciously, the nature of government, society, and human life itself. Grotius, Hobbes, Locke, Rousseau, Vattel, Jefferson, Paine, and hundreds of others built upon the foundation and attempted to advance it. It unleashed and legitimized challenges to power and force, but through law and principles of human rights. We are still working toward achieving the Universal Declaration of Human Rights passed by the United Nations in 1948. That, like Magna Carta and the US Constitution,

achieves reality on a case by case basis, growing with the times and struggling to achieve principles to advance humanity.

Scriptorium Monk at Work, from Lacroix, 1891. Courtesy Liam Quin's Pictures From Old Books Web site.

There are four copies of Magna Carta from 1215. Originally there had been at least thirteen. It didn't seem very important at the time, and the few that survived did so by neglect rather than design. It came to be called Magna Carta to distinguish it from another charter dealing with the Royal Forests, not because it was considered important. If anything, Magna Carta was seen as an evolving document, reissued when necessary to calm rebellion or answer specific demands. It was reissued three times while Henry III was a child and then again in 1237 when he was an adult.

The copy of Magna Carta shown below was hand written on parchment in 1350. It's in Latin and illuminated and coloured. The calligraphy shows little fading. Below you will find both whole pages and close-ups of illuminated letters. If you click on an image it will take you to a larger version. In Firefox and IE, the larger image will be sized to fit your screen. If you then click again, you will see it full size and in greater detail. The images may be downloaded and used for educational, non-commercial purposes.

The End OS22095

My Russian/Ukrainian Trip By Louis Beam



THESE ARE THE YOUNG MEN senator John McCain and crazy warmongering generals like General Robert H. Scales1, a former United States Army major general, want American soldiers to help start sending home in body bags. Why? Because the lying senator from the state of Arizona said they had invaded the Ukraine. Is this true? Did Russians invade the Ukraine?

I went on a thirty day fact finding tour to find out for myself. I wanted to know for myself if the call for American young men to kill once again and yet another war was justified or not.

Once, long ago in my youth, having believed the propaganda of the federal government and its spokesmen, I rushed off to Viet Nam as a volunteer to fight "a war for freedom" for the Vietnamese people. After two tours of heavy combat, which included the Tet offensive of 1968, I came home, having proudly served my country, only to watch on television a few years later as North Vietnamese tanks rolled into Saigon May 1, 1975. While these tanks rolled into Saigon the President of the United States, Gerald Ford, played golf with no concern for the 58,000 American soldiers who had died, the over 300,000 thousand more wounded, and the 2,338 POW/MIA missing in combat. These may sound like numbers to you, but to me they are the young men I fought with, and I see faces, families, hopes, dreams, blood, sweat and tearful screams when I read them.

From that bloody moment on I knew forevermore that the American political system was absolutely corrupt and would never have my obedience and faith again. (To my readers in Europe and Russia: do not confuse the military-industrial-police state complex that has become the government of this country through violation of our constitution, with the freedom loving, generous, God-fearing, hard working, sometimes home schooling, lovers of liberty who are the bedrock people of this country.) The corrupt, evil, war mongering, greedy monopoly capitalist, CIA led, Federal Reserve banking government of the United States does not represent the people of this country—only themselves. We are not the same people. Do not make the mistake of thinking so. For we who are ruled here are not of a similar creed, faith and hope for the future of this country and the world as the corrupt, lying, stealing, low-life politicians who now run this country with near impunity for their crimes against its citizens and the people of other nations.


This essay will be about what I found out about the Russians and the Ukrainian people who are much the same in so many ways. It will not be written in one sitting, but rather as the words and thoughts come to me over time. So, if you should come back to this page over the next month (June 2015), you might gather more insight.

Arriving in Moscow May 1, 2015, I went first to the Kremlin and the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, for it was my desire to view the heart of the "evil empire" so many American political leaders and their accomplices, spokespeople in the "news" media, have accused of invading the


Ukraine with no less than "10,000 soldiers." If indeed that claim were true, it would be "the pot calling the kettle black," as the U.S. military is currently directly involved in at least three wars – in Pakistan, Yemen and Somalia – in addition to Iraq and Afghanistan for a total of five countries in case you are not counting. I could name more but that is enough to prove the point.

From the longtime American "news media" descriptions of the Kremlin I had always thought it to be some dark, dirty, dungeon, where evil men plotted to take over the world. Nothing could be further from the truth. It is one of the most beautiful places one could view, and everybody from the tourists to guards are most friendly and welcoming. What a cultural shock that was. Try walking up to the walls of the American White House like I did the Kremlin and touching them! If you live long enough to reach the White House walls expect no less than five years imprisonment.

At the gates of the Kremlin:



It is quite true that when the theories of Karl Marx held sway over Russia, coupled with the bloody hands of Lenin, Trotsky, Felix Dzerzhinsky, Stalin and Lavrentiy Pavlovich Beria, orders for mass murder and repression came from this place. However, this was not the Russian people giving the orders, but insanely mad, evil men who were repressing the Russian people, not representing them. Millions of Russians died at their hands and millions more were sent to gulags (concentration camps). One only need read *The Gulag Archipelago* by Aleksandr Solzhenitsyn to know the terrible tragedy the Russian people endured under the hands of such men and ideology. Since the early 1990's the Russian people have been liberating themselves from this evil that ruled over them so painfully. Little by little they are building a state where freedom is as real as it was in America in the 1950's.



Many of the young people there whom I came to know want the same things American youth would like to have and experience, i.e., a better future for themselves, good jobs, sufficient wealth to live comfortably on, and a future without war— especially a future without war.

For the Russians have suffered in the last seventy-five years like few other countries from the barbarity that is war. So, freedom of speech, religion and belief has come to Russia, and it has been welcomed joyously by the people.

There are wrinkles in this, that is true. Like any large country there are differences in opinion and methods to go about achieving a free state. But, by and large, I was astounded at how loyal the people are in general to their country and government in comparison to the United States, where the social fabric of our nation hangs by a thread, as competing interest groups, movements, racial/ethnic groups seek to obliterate the social harmony that was once America, while an evermore repressive government grows more distant from the Constitution, liberty and the Republic our forefathers gave us at the price of so much blood.

At the Tomb of the Unknown Soldier, with the children of the men John McCain and General Scales want Americans to start killing, I realized that these people, these precious little children, are no different than my own. I was cognizant of how I had, for so very many years of my life, been deceived and lied to about other people whom I had never met. Why did I believe them?

Why do my fellow countrymen often believe the lies our government tells us?

It can be explained in part by the simple fact that because we are just normal everyday people we mostly go about our lives in hopes of bettering ourselves, providing for our loved ones, and always hoping all the while to never harm anyone else in the process. That is who we are.

That is not the government of this country where there are tens of thousands of men who spend

each day of their lives plotting for “U.S. interests” which are code words for banking/military/industrial/police state interests. They are the elite manipulators of public will and opinion for the hidden interests they represent and work for each day. There could not be a stronger contrast: We are the people who love our families and friends, hoping to make the world a better place by the contributions we make in our lives to others, ourselves and prosperity. You already know what the government of the United States is doing, so just don’t confuse the two entities. Remember us, and pray for our success in restoring liberty to our nation.

It has become my great hope that the people of the world will judge us accordingly and not condemn the people along with our government.

That would be a grave mistake for all of mankind. For there are still millions of Americans the people of the world would much like to invite to their table, be it a “humble one” or a richly adorned one. It is only through understanding this, by knowing that good people are often represented by bad governments, that we can avoid future conflict and hatred between “We the People.”



On the left in this picture is a representative from the Russian Military Historical Society, in the middle the author, and on the right Max French from Canada

As I gazed upon the Kremlin and memorial at the Tomb of that unknown man who has become the symbol of so much sacrifice by the people of Russia, I came to fully understand that we have much more in common with each other than differences: 1) that most of our differences nowadays are made up by conniving men who foster ill will and division among the people of the world for their own nefarious secret agenda of control, domination and riches for themselves,

2) that we can by friendship and open minds, overcome any ill will created between us.

In the future, we must each refuse to be led by the nose like hogs to the slaughter. We must know the truth and the truth will set us free. How does this work? As a long time scholar of world affairs once told me, "Knowing the truth will make you angry and that anger will set you free." There is no doubt that such is the case.

End of first writing, more to follow

In Moscow Max French, (one of the sponsors of my trip) who was on his own Canadian fact finding mission, set up a meeting with the Russian Military Historical Society at their headquarters. Our intent was to ask questions and get answers. When the subject of a "Russian Invasion" of the Ukraine was broached to our host at their headquarter laughter could be heard around the room by the Russians in attendance. This was a private meeting granted as a courtesy to North American visitors. Here is a link to the meeting with a woman translator present. Not all in attendance are [shown](#).

As occurred often on the trip, comments were made that such claims were nothing more than U.S. propaganda promoted by the Federal government in the U.S. with support from the American "news media" (I always use the term in quotes, as the controlled establishment media in the U.S. is nothing more than an arm of the military/industrial/police state elite ruling in the U.S.). Their reasoning for the claim was that the U.S. military wanted another country in which to extend power and influence, and that the Ukraine had been chosen because it borders the Russian State.

It is quite true that Russian nationals are in the Ukraine fighting as individuals.



and from corrupt oligarchs like Petro Poroshenko and Igor Kolomoisky who are now ruling in Kiev and elsewhere in the Ukraine. The men who have gone to Ukraine from Russia are all considered as volunteers and are warmly welcomed by the

people there who view them as protection from a corrupt illegal government installed after the elected president was replaced in a 2014 revolution. These volunteers go of their own accord, provide their own equipment, and travel as individuals across the Russian border to places where they have chosen to assist the breakaway regions of Eastern Ukraine of Donbass and Luhansk.



The author met and interviewed some of these men, including a young Russian sniper twenty-four years of age, who claims fifty-eight kills to his name, and allowed me to speak with him about his role there. More of this information and interviews will be discussed later in this essay.

It is important to realize that the people in Eastern Ukraine do not recognize the new government in Kiev, or any power by that government over them. Nor do they give any allegiance to it. They seek independence from the central government, and have taken up arms only after being invaded by the newly installed oligarchical government in Kiev.

That invasion is well documented and need be only briefly discussed here. Let it be said, that without a doubt, the government of Kiev has acted with murderous intent, killing and displacing many thousands in Eastern Ukraine. With each new artillery round landing upon the heads and homes of the civilian population there, an ever greater determination to be free of Kiev is created, solidified and cemented in the hearts and minds of the people suffering from the terror of war, invasion, hunger and attempted oppression of rights and liberties.

To be continued OS22152

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:


<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the **ukcolumn**
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>


Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937


www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia

**A WONDERFUL WEB SITE
FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS**

<http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew