

The New Ensign

No. 100 December 2017

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Is Babylon Starting to Crumble?



Lord Jacob Rothschild and four other people are feared dead after a plane and helicopter crashed in mid-air over the Rothschild estate in Buckinghamshire on Friday afternoon (17/11/17)

Over the last few weeks there have been a lot of strange reports concerning the elite here in the UK, USA and Saudi Arabia, not least the crash involving a helicopter and small plane over the Rothschilds vast estate of Waddesdon Manor in Buckinghamshire, between a helicopter and small training plane. However, although brief reports was published on the day of the occurrence in a number of media outlets including the BBC, nothing more has been heard subsequently.

It was also reported that navigation communications between the local airport from where the plane took off were silent for half an

hour prior to the crash between the plane and helicopter, which in itself is suspicious.

While this may have been an ordinary plane crash of no importance, the numbers of the date on which it occurred are significant i.e., 17-11-17 which contains 2 elevens and 2 sevens. The number of Gog is 11. In this date, with $77 = 7 \times 11$, so we have in fact 9 number elevens, nine being one of the Edomites favourite numbers, which is six upside down. Not only that when one adds all the digits together, it comes to 18 which equals 666 (3×6).

Further last month, there were reports of marine helicopters over and possibly landing in the CIA (City Intelligence Agency) H.Q. at Langley Virginia. The President has direct control of the marines which in itself is significant.

Also last month, a number of very powerful Saudi princes were assassinated, some say on the orders of Rothschild as they were hindering the establishment of the NWO, who himself recently boasted that the One World Government currency system would be in place in 2018!

This is all part of the secret WW III, which has been waged since the end of WW II, with its occasional hotspots! It is only Yahweh who knows the end from the beginning and it is He who will have the final victory - Praise Yahweh!!

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

Contents

	Page
Unsafe At Any Dosage (Part 2) Pastor Eli James	3
In The Name of Yahweh Part 26 - Andrew Carrington Hitchcock	7
Word for Word Part 11 - Stephen Howard Anderson	10
Is Israel A Chosen Servant Race To "Save The World"? (Part 3) A. Kennedy	12
Harold Stough Notes - A Miscellany of Historical Facts - Part 5	15
Why Today's Churches Are Ineffective Against Evil - Part 3	18
Letters and Views	21
Islam Yesterday and Today - Pastor Alan Campbell	25
Crossing the Red Sea and May Day (Part 2) - Charlotte Missouri	27
Old Stonehenge Pictures Show Attempt To Cover-Up True Origin	28
The Treason Of Britain's Metrication	30
Govan Stones: The Viking Age Treasures That Lay Hidden in A Church	35
Chemotherapy Causes Cancer, Science Study Finds	37

Unsafe At Any Dosage (Part 2)

By Pastor Eli James



An Ounce of Prevention Is Worth a Ton of Pain, Suffering and Misery

THE EARLY SUCCESSES of allopathic medicine, plus the relentless advertising campaigns of Big Pharma, plus the advent of TV commercials, have firmly established the MIT in our society.

This combination of factors also eventuated in an atmosphere of god-like status for MD's. The servant has become the master. Those who partake of doctor-worship deny themselves the services of alternative therapies, which are often more effective than any allopathic technique. In fact, allopathic medicine is on the decline, because of ineffectiveness, side effects and rising costs. The natural health movement is a growing phenomenon. Those of us in this movement do not worship doctors. In fact, the opposite is true. We view doctors merely as one alternative in the marketplace of health care. MD's do not have all the answers to health problems.

Many of our endemic conditions, such as obesity, heart attack and strokes, are related to diet and food quality. If Americans would stop drinking so much soda pop and eating so much grease-soaked fast food, all three of these conditions would decline dramatically. But few MD's counsel their patients in this manner. Americans have been conditioned to accept the idea that MD's have a concoction for every ailment.

They do, but most of them only cover up symptoms. Few of these remedies ever effect a cure. Most of these concoctions are little more than pseudo-scientific snake oil. Suppressing symptoms is not the same as a cure; and the side effects of prescription drugs can be worse than the disease. MD's are trained to be legal drug pushers. They know absolutely nothing about nutrition, so they cannot advise you to change your diet and take vitamins. In their education,

most MD's take ZERO hours of study in nutrition. Hence, they are not qualified to advise their patients in the area of diet. Any MD who says that diet does not affect health is an imbecile. If your doctor is one of these, leave his office immediately and find a better doctor.

When you have a health crisis, your best approach is to consult many different practitioners. Often, the MD is not the right practitioner for your health problem, especially if an insurance company makes the decision on what therapy it will be provided. If surgery or drugs are required for immediate help, then by all means do so. When you have the time, consider the alternatives to invasive therapy.

Chronic Illness a Result of Vaccines

This is an area in which the ME has been a dismal failure. While tremendous advances in surgical techniques and antibiotics have been made, we are experiencing an epidemic of chronic illnesses that are resistant to medical intervention. Multiple sclerosis, diabetes, autism, asthma, heart attacks and strokes are increasing dramatically, despite medical advances. In fact, many of these chronic conditions are being caused by the drugs we are taking. This is called iatrogenic disease. This means that doctors are responsible for such diseases.

For example, over prescription of antibiotics has resulted in an epidemic of candidiasis, a fungal infection that is caused by overuse of antibiotics. Because of the adjuvants contained in vaccines, many of these debilitating conditions are most definitely in the category of iatrogenic disease, because of the long-term effects of vaccinations. The medical mafia does not want you to know these things. The price we are paying for the ineffective and dangerous practice of vaccination will soon bankrupt the country, if Obama's bail-outs of bankers don't bankrupt us first.

The Whitecoats Are Coming! The Whitecoats Are Coming!

To give you an idea of how the Medical Mafia distorts the research statistics, listen to this:—

Even though vaccinators as a rule are very reluctant to use the word **caused** when they talk about vaccine damage, they, interestingly, talk about **reactions** to vaccination.



The word reaction in itself implies the causal link, though it does not actually say so. You can't have a coincidental reaction to vaccination, you can only have coincidental occurrence of some damage or symptoms, demonstrably caused by something else.

They often use the word "**Temporal**" meaning occurring in time, always overlooking the fact that these "**Temporal Temporal**" always occur **after** and **not before** vaccination, and that the reality of the occurrence after vaccination is the first condition to fulfil when establishing causality; if something happens before vaccination we would not even consider it being caused by the subsequent administration of vaccines.

In the past, vaccinators were denying that vaccines cause any adverse effects. Thanks to strong anti-vaccination awareness, vaccinators now have to admit that yes, no vaccines are 100% safe or 100% effective and reactions do occur and the vaccinated children are getting the "vaccine-preventable diseases".

Yes, there are mild or strong local reactions; and yes, there are systemic reactions, like fever, convulsions, hypotonic hyporesponsive episodes, screaming (a cerebral cry), drowsiness, but only within a maximum of 7 days after vaccination. They also have great difficulty recognising and accepting the damage in individual cases. They always claim that the damage was coincidental, or worse still, caused by the parents of the affected or killed child by accusing them of Shaken Baby Syndrome.

The vast majority of published studies of vaccine reactions included a follow-up of up to only 48

hours. This conveniently excludes about 90% of reactions to vaccination (see also Wilkins 1988).

The fact that young girls are passing out in doctors' offices – in some cases collapsing before their very eyes or having auto accidents because the vaccination caused them to lose consciousness while driving home - immediately after receiving the Gardasil vaccination, is shrugged of as a **coincidence** by the industry. Many of these completely healthy girls are dropping dead in the shower or in their sleep within two or three days of receiving the vaccination, yet the industry denies any connection. And you thought that industry follow-up studies were thorough and scientific? Think again.

Given Big Pharma's horrible human rights record, it's about time we Americans take the bull by the horns and start pressuring our state legislatures to prevent more intrusion of the Big Pharma / Big Government Monopoly into our lives. Big Pharma already owns the FDA, so the federal government is not interested in this story. Whenever the government promotes one particular industry over another, by granting it special favours or by forcing the people to partake of its services – even against our will – you can be sure that the criminal element has taken over.

Proof of this is the fact that the Pharma Beast has influenced the federal government to pass legislation which relieves vaccine manufacturers of legal liability from the adverse effects of vaccination. It doesn't get any more sinister than this, folks. This is proof that the Pharma Beast is a criminal enterprise. This illicit legislation is a patent offence to all concepts of jurisprudence and human liberty. It is a page out of the **Rockefeller Robber Baron handbook**.

Here is what a remonstrant MD has to say about this deplorable situation:

I have had several patients ask me whether or not they should get the swine flu (H1N1) vaccine. My response has been the same. I will not be getting the vaccine because I don't think it is safe.

I am an MD who was trained to practice medicine the old fashioned way. My education was based on understanding and treating disease.

As a surgeon, I was taught that in some cases surgical correction is the most efficient and expedient way to fix a problem or cure a disease. In short, I have a healthy respect for the standard medical care that is practiced in this country.

However, over the past 10 years since I have been in practice, I have seen a disturbing trend that has become increasingly more common. Although we have the most advanced medical system in the world, the best trained physicians, and access to new and ever evolving medications, we as a nation and particularly the minority population are getting sicker at a younger age, and our quality of life is suffering.

There are more people suffering from depression, anxiety, and learning disabilities than at any time in our history. Unfortunately, we have learned to manage diseases by taking a pill everyday instead of doing what it takes to prevent the illness in the first place. It is past time to look to good nutrition and prevention as a means of preventing and curing chronic diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, obesity and some cancers which have risen to epidemic levels.

When I made the personal decision to ask questions about the Swine flu vaccine, the answers that I discovered contradicted the mantra that has been championed by the media, government officials, and the vaccine manufacturers. What we are being told simply does not make any sense. The most important question that I have learned to ask (from the politics of healthcare to every other facet of our society that affects us as individuals) is - who stands to gain?

I will not be getting the swine flu vaccine because: The vaccine makers have been given immunity from being sued for any bad outcome.

After the deaths and injuries associated with the Swine flu vaccination campaign in 1976, the vaccine manufacturers lost billions of dollars in civil suits. That cannot happen this time around. Congress has since passed two bills that shield vaccine makers from civil suits. The first in 1986 protects vaccine makers from civil suits filed by people who have been injured by a vaccine due to 'unavoidable side effects'. In 2006 another iteration of the shield law (The Epidemic Preparedness Act) was passed as part of the

Patriot Act and extends the shield to include protection if the drug maker has 'no willful knowledge' that a vaccine may cause injury. In short, a maker of the Swine flu vaccine simply has to say they didn't know the vaccine was going to cause harm then they cannot be sued. This won't be hard to do since they have not fully tested the vaccine.

Why I Won't Be Taking the Swine Flu Vaccine, by Dr. Elaine George, 10/09/09



How would you like it if, in your own business, you could operate without fear of being sued? That would give you a tremendous advantage over your competitors, wouldn't it? Again, Big Pharma is using Big Government as a shield against lawsuits and against business risks. The Revolving Door between Big Pharma and Big Government is GRAFT, at its worst. It is in-your-face racketeering, to be enforced by swat teams, if necessary.

The Obamanation has just decreed that the phony "swine flu" is now a "national health emergency." And this can only be a prelude to martial law. Folks, we are witnessing a return to the days of the **robber barons**. The only difference is that today their factories produce pharmaceuticals instead of oil. They wear smocks instead of hard-hats and coveralls.

In many cases, the oil corporations, armaments companies and the drug companies are actually owned by the same conglomerate, so we can say that the **old robber barons**, and the **new robber barons**, are related to each other; and they certainly operate in the same way. President Eisenhower warned us about the "military-industrial complex." He forgot to include Big Pharma.

The Manufactured Epidemic

There is no "swine flu" epidemic. It is all industry hype designed to scare people into taking medicine they don't need. The **last**

thing any human being needs to stay healthy is a swine flu shot.

Non-industry statistics have proven, beyond any shadow of doubt, that, for numerous diseases that no longer plague civilized society, only those who receive vaccinations come down with these diseases. For example, it is a known fact that Amish children do not get autism. Why? Because the Amish refuse to allow their children to be vaccinated. The medical hype has overshadowed the fact that the **real cause** of modern “immunity” is technological improvements in **hygiene**.



Remember Gulf War Syndrome? Many veterans returning from that theatre of war came back very sick with **m a j o r** neurological problems. Obviously, that war was an ecological disaster; and soldiers who served there had to breathe in toxic fumes from all of the weaponry that was being deployed. But there was in **internal** toxic environment as well. This internal toxic environment was created by all of the vaccinations these soldiers had to undergo. Is there any proof that vaccinations caused Gulf War Syndrome? Consider this fact: Many nations supplied troops as part of the war effort. All of the nations that supplied troops vaccinated their soldiers except one: France. Can you guess which country's soldiers **did not** contract Gulf War Syndrome? Do I need to tell you?

To quote an article from the Medical Sentinel: Strategies other than the vaccine approach to BW defence exist and have been used successfully. During the Gulf War the French forces did not to use vaccines as a primary defence against Iraqi BW and not to use anti-nerve agents as a defence against Iraqi Chemical Warfare agents. Instead, they used prophylactic antibiotics to counter BW agents, and they depended on protective suits to counter chemicals.

The French Armed Forces were the only nation in the Coalition Forces that did not report any or only a few cases of GWI, nor were there any illnesses reported in the immediate families of French veterans. Interestingly, certain U.S.

units were issued antibiotics like ciprofloxacin and doxycycline, and these antibiotics would be expected to be effective in preventing infections of at least two of the agents identified in veterans with Gulf War Illness (*Mycoplasma fermentans* and *Brucella* spp.). Examination of deployments and types of casualties and their diagnoses in the units administered antibiotics before and during the Gulf War could tell us if this approach was more effective than administering multiple vaccines to prevent BW casualties.

The first reported cases of the so-called “bird flu” erupted in areas of China where the most unsanitary conditions prevailed: where the blood of chickens, laying around for days on end and splattered on clothes, infected the hands, feet and clothing of chicken farmers. This was the **true cause** of the “bird flu.” Don’t let anybody tell you any different.

Whenever such an “outbreak” occurs, the **World hype Organization** – er, excuse me, the World “Health” Organization, which is nothing more than the global fear-monger for Big Pharma – breaks into **full scare mode**, plastering our television sets with videos of terrified Chinamen walking around wearing face masks. This is nothing but a subtle form of terrorism. Why hasn’t this phony “epidemic” spread to other Chinamen? It’s very simple: because most Chinamen don’t go around wearing clothes soaked with dead chicken blood...and rubbing their eyes, ears and noses in it.

By the way, the biggest advertiser on **big news** is **big pharma**, so you know that none of the news anchors will dare to contradict the opinions of their advertisers, although Dr. Oz did say on national television that he would **not** have his children take the “swine flu” shot. Praise Yahweh for that statement! In addition, in some cases, **big news** is owned by **big pharma**, so there is essentially **no difference** between **big pharma** and **big news**. The TV news anchors will obediently read from the script handed out by Big Pharma. In this era of corporate mergers, the world is fast becoming one big mega-corporation, i.e., the **New World Order** of the United Nations behemoth, otherwise known as George Orwell’s **big brother**.

Despite the hype, the “bird flu” epidemic has not materialized. The fact is that the current flu season is even milder than the previous two

years. So, why all the media lies and scare tactics about this non-existent “epidemic”? Are you sensing an Orwellian masquerade? Because that’s what this is. Fear-mongering is only one tactic in the arsenal of Orwellian mind control. The Beast has already convinced most of you that “doctors know best.” If that’s the case, why is it that health care practitioners are the **least of all demographics to accept vaccinations?**

Do you think that they know something that you don’t know? Planet-wide, this holds true. Doctors and nurses are the least likely to vaccinate themselves and their families. Yet, they do not hesitate to jab strangers with deadly vaccines. Still trust your friendly needle-toting **Doktor Kommisar?**

Let’s see: the MIT does not vaccinate its own and cannot be sued by the victims of its malfeasance. The Medical Mafia is now promoting the most dangerous substances as “preventive medicine,” and **you, dear consumer, must pay for it with your tax dollars!** And if your health suffers from the vaccination, you have **no legal recourse.** I’d say that’s quite a racket! For the vaccine manufacturers, that’s like going to Las Vegas and having a guarantee that you can’t lose! Al Capone is smiling in his grave! And the Kept Press is the shill for the mob.


What is a shill, you ask? Many industries employ shills as a form of false advertising. Carnival side shows plant employees in the audience to whisper to potential customers things like, “I just saw the show. It’s really great.” The hicks from Iowa, falsely believing that the shill is a satisfied customer who had just seen the show, pay their money and waste their time watching a stupid show.

All industries employ shills – they used be called “chiselers” – and the pharmaceutical industry spends billions of dollars of shill money, which the unsuspecting public accepts as “news,” because it is promoted by TV “health experts” and “news anchors.” Deliberately deceptive “medical reports,” proclaiming the virtues of vaccination, are broadcast daily into your mind, from sources such as radio, television, newspapers, magazines, pamphlets, and leaflets in your doctor’s office. Please don’t be fooled any longer. Not all medicine is good medicine; and vaccination is bad medicine. The industry can get away with this deadly racket because the racketeers are immune from prosecution. Now, **that’s immunity!!** - legal immunity. I want some of that!

To be continued OS22736

In The Name of Yahweh Part 26

By Andrew Carrington Hitchcock



ON THE SUBJECT OF R A C E - MIXING there has never been a pure Jew. The first one was Cain who was the bastard offspring produced by Satan’s seduction and corruption, technically rape, of Eve. Cain of course then went onto produce his race by mixing with the existing non-Israel races.

Also isn’t it interesting when you consider it was through the woman Eve that Satan passed his satanic seed onto planet earth, that the Jews celebrate this tradition of theirs’ by stating

someone is Jewish today if only the mother is Jewish.

Israel on the other hand were created by Adam, the son of Yahweh that He created by forming him from the dust of the ground and breathing His breath of life into his nostrils, so he would become a living soul.

In the complete opposite of the Jews, the Israel man sits at the head of the Israel household, but both the man and the woman are to be 100% racially pure of Israel, to be considered part of Israel.

Can you see the difference between the racial purity of Israel and the racial corruption of the Jews? Can you see the difference between Jews tradition of the bloodline passing through the mother, with the Israel tradition of the bloodline

passing through both parents but being recorded in the lineage of the father?

As an aside in Luke 3:36 it mentions Shem was the son of Noah. Today Jews love to denounce critics of their practices as anti-Semites.

The term Semites, comes from the Israelite Shem, and on that basis the biggest anti-Semites are the Jews, as they have been persecuting Israel from the time their ancestor Cain slew Abel.

Matthew 20:17 – *And Jesus going up to Jerusalem took the twelve disciples apart in the way, and said unto them,*

Matthew 20:18 – *Behold, we go up to Jerusalem; and the Son of man shall be betrayed unto the chief priests and unto the scribes, and they shall condemn him to death,*

Matthew 20:19 – *And shall deliver him to the Gentiles to mock, and to scourge, and to crucify [him]: and the third day he shall rise again.*

Not only is Jesus aware of His impending death and resurrection, but He knows who will crucify him, the Gentiles, i.e. those not of Israel, who in this case are the Jews.



Matthew 23:27 – *Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye are like unto whited sepulchres, which indeed appear beautiful outward, but are within full of dead [men's] bones, and of all uncleanness.*

Matthew 23:28 – *Even so ye also outwardly appear righteous unto men, but within ye are full of hypocrisy and iniquity.*

Matthew 23:29 – *Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! because ye build the tombs of the prophets, and garnish the sepulchres of the righteous,*

Matthew 23:30 – *And say, If we had been in the days of our fathers, we would not have been partakers with them in the blood of the prophets.*

Matthew 23:31 – *Wherefore ye be witnesses unto yourselves, that ye are the children of them which killed the prophets.*

Matthew 23:32 – *Fill ye up then the measure of your fathers.*

Matthew 23:33 – *[Ye] serpents, [ye] generation of vipers, how can ye escape the damnation of hell?*

Matthew 23:34 – *Wherefore, behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes: and [some] of them ye shall kill and crucify; and [some] of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute [them] from city to city:*

Matthew 23:35 – *That upon you may come all the righteous blood shed upon the earth, from the blood of righteous Abel unto the blood of Zacharias son of Barachias, whom ye slew between the temple and the altar.*

Matthew 23:36 – *Verily I say unto you, All these things shall come upon this generation.*

Jesus charges the Jewish scribes and Pharisees with all the righteous blood shed on the earth from their father Cain's murder of Abel, to the most recent murder of Zechariah (the father of John the Baptist).

This is further proof that the Jews are the blood descendants of Satan through Cain, as it is they who are fulfilling the prophecy of Genesis 3:15.

Also notice the reference to synagogues in Matthew 23:34, which continue to be where the Jews worship their god to this day.

Luke 22:31 – *And the Lord said, Simon, Simon, behold, Satan hath desired [to have] you, that he may sift [you] as wheat:*

Luke 22:32 – *But I have prayed for thee, that thy faith fail not: and when thou art converted, strengthen thy brethren.*

Luke 22:33 – *And he said unto him, Lord, I am ready to go with thee, both into prison, and to death.*


Luke 22:34 – *And he said, I tell thee, Peter, the cock shall not crow this day, before that thou shalt thrice deny that thou knowest me.*

Luke 22:35 – *And he said unto them, When I sent you without purse, and scrip, and shoes, lacked ye any thing? And they said, Nothing.*

Luke 22:36 – *Then said he unto them, But now, he that hath a purse, let him take [it], and likewise [his] scrip: and he that hath no sword, let him sell his garment, and buy one.*

Here we can see how Satan has tried to tempt one of Jesus Christ's disciples, Simon Peter.

Jesus then stresses the importance of self-defence to his disciples, when he tells them that if they have no sword, they should sell their garment and buy one, i.e. it is more important to have something with which to defend themselves, than to have clothing to keep warm.



John 14:28 – *Ye have heard how I said unto you, I go away, and come [again] unto you. If ye loved me, ye would rejoice, because I said, I go unto the Father: for my Father is greater than I.*

Here Jesus Christ clearly shows that His Father Yahweh was greater than Him, and thus different from Him.

Many Christians believe Jesus Christ was Yahweh in human form, citing verses such as:

John 10:30 – *I and [my] Father are one.*

Unfortunately they choose to miss out the preceding verse John 10:29, in which Jesus states,

John 10:29 – *My Father, which gave [them] me, is greater than all; and no [man] is able to pluck [them] out of my Father's hand.*

If Jesus was Yahweh in human form, then why in this preceding verse did He say that Yahweh was greater than all, as all would therefore include Him.

We all know that Jesus was the only human that did not sin.

This is why Jesus and Yahweh are One, not because They are the same being, but because only They have the capability to never commit sin.

Matthew 27:15 – *Now at [that] feast the governor was wont to release unto the people a prisoner, whom they would.*

Matthew 27:16 – *And they had then a notable prisoner, called Barabbas.*

Matthew 27:17 – *Therefore when they were gathered together, Pilate said unto them, Whom will ye that I release unto you? Barabbas, or Jesus which is called Christ?*

Matthew 27:18 – *For he knew that for envy they had delivered him.*

Matthew 27:19 – *When he was set down on the judgment seat, his wife sent unto him, saying, Have thou nothing to do with that just man: for I have suffered many things this day in a dream because of him.*

Matthew 27:20 – *But the chief priests and elders persuaded the multitude that they should ask Barabbas, and destroy Jesus.*

Matthew 27:21 – *The governor answered and said unto them, Whether of the twain will ye that I release unto you? They said, Barabbas.*

Matthew 27:22 – *Pilate saith unto them, What shall I do then with Jesus which is called Christ? [They] all say unto him, Let him be crucified.*

Matthew 27:23 – *And the governor said, Why, what evil hath he done? But they cried out the more, saying, Let him be crucified.*

Matthew 27:24 – *When Pilate saw that he could prevail nothing, but [that] rather a tumult was made, he took water, and washed [his] hands before the multitude, saying, I am innocent of the blood of this just person: see ye [to it].*

Matthew 27:25 – *Then answered all the people, and said, His blood [be] on us, and on our children.*

Matthew 27:26 – *Then released he Barabbas unto them: and when he had scourged Jesus, he delivered [him] to be crucified.*

Following Judas' betrayal, Jesus is handed over to the chief priests and scribes of the Jews.

They are given the opportunity to spare Jesus, but instead release Barabbas.

The Jews' fate as the satanic race through Cain is already sealed but they add to it by cursing themselves in wishing for the blood of Jesus to be on them and their children.

To be continued OS22084

Details of how Andrew Carrington Hitchcock's books can be purchased click on the avatar below:-



Old Testament “ Word for Word” Part 11 Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 19 Notes

Verse 1 - Yahweh stays to speak with Ab'raham. The other two "men" go on to S'dom.

Verse 2 - Lot, knowing the perversion of the men of the city, asks the "men" to stay in his house for protection.

Verse 3 - Lot shows true hospitality to the "men".

Verse 4 - The perverts surround Lot's house.

Verse 5 - The perverts order Lot to surrender the "men" for homosexual acts. "Know" here means sexual knowledge. 19:5-8 Judges 19:22-24

Verse 8 - The perverts mocked Lot's righteousness, threatened him, and did not want

the daughters because they were homo-perverts, and attacked Lot.

Verse 10 - The "men" save Lot.

Verse 11 - The "men" strike the perverts blind.

Verse 14 - Lot's sons-in-law think he is joking. They will die.

Verse 16 - Lot hesitates, so they dragged him from the city. 2 Peter 2:7

Verse 17, 18 - I have restored the Holy Name here. They are told not to look back.

Verse 20 - 4705 "small thing" ties in with 6820 in verse 22.

Verse 21 - 5375/6440 as "forgiven you".

Verse 22 - 6820 Tsoar means "small".

Verses 24, 25 - 3 Macc. 2:5; Matt.10:15; 11:23,24; Lk. 10:12; 17:29; 2 Peter 2:6; Jude 7 - Yahweh rains fire and "brimstone" upon the plain of Mam're. Brimstone is sulphurous asphalt, and deadly when ignited. It was later used as a weapon. The plain was full of bitumen (asphalt) pits, and in an eruption, it would "rain

down" from the sky. Everything living on that plain was killed.

Verse 26 - Luke 17:32; Yashar 19:52,53 - They were told to not look back, but Lots wife did, and was turned into a pillar of salt. Yahweh can do anything.

Verses 27-29 - Even Ab'raham can see the smoke from the destruction from where he is living. It sounds like volcanic action to me, so the noise must have been terrible also.


Verse 30 - Yashar 19:55

Verses 36-38 - These children of incest were cursed from birth. This reflects back to the question of K'naan's parentage, and exactly what Cham's crime was. The two children founded mixed-blood tribes that would later be deadly enemies of Yis'rael. 4124 Moab, means "of my father"; and 1151 Ben-Ammy means "son of my people". Vs.38 - Yashar 19:58,59.

Chapter 20

1. And journeyed 5265 from there Ab'raham to the land of the Negeb 5045, and dwelled between Qadesh and between Shur and sojourned 1481 in Gerar 1642.

2. Said Ab'raham of Sarah his wife, "She is my sister 269," and sent Abimelek 40 king of Gerar, and took Sarah.



3. Came Elohiym to Abimelek in a dream 2472 by night, saying to him, "Behold, you are dead, over the woman you have taken, for she is married 1166 to a husband 1167."

4. Abimelek had not come near 7126 to her: and he said, "Yahweh, a nation also that is righteous will you slay 2026?"

5. Did he not say to me, 'She is my sister?' And she also, she said, 'He is my brother.': In the integrity 8537 of my heart and innocency 5356 of my hands I have done this."

6. Said to him the Elohiym in a dream 2472, "Also I know that in the integrity of your heart you did this, prevented 2820 also I you from sinning 2398 against Me: upon this I did not allow you to touch 5060 her.

7. Now return the woman to the man: for a prophet 5030 is he, and shall pray 6419 for you, and you shall live: but if you do not return her, know that shall surely die 4191/4191, you and all that is yours."

8. Rose early 7925 Abimelek in the morning, and called all his servants, and spoke all these words in their ears: and were afraid the men greatly.

9. Called Abimelek to Ab'raham, and said to him, "What have you done to us? And How have I sinned 2398 against you, that you have brought upon me and upon my kingdom a great sin 2401? Deeds 4639 that should not be done you have done with me!"

10. And said Abimelek to Ab'raham, "What saw 7200 you, for you to do this thing?"

11. And said Ab'raham, "Because I said to myself, 'Surely 7535 is no fear 3374 of Elohiym in this place; and they will slay 2026 me over the matter 1697 of my wife.'

12. Also she is truly 546 my sister; the daughter of my father is she, but not the daughter of my mother, and she became to me a wife.

13. And it was when caused me to wander 8582 Elohiym from the house of my father, I said to her, 'This kindness 2617 that you will do with me; to all places that we go there, say of me 'he is my brother.'"

14. And took Abimelek sheep, and oxen, and male slaves 5650, and female slaves 8198, and gave them to Ab'raham, and returned him Sarah his wife.

15. And said Abimelek, "Behold, my land is before you: where it is good in your eyes, dwell."

16. And to Sarah he said, "Behold, I have given a thousand pieces of silver to your brother: behold, to you he is a veil 3682 over the eyes, to all that are with you, and with all others." And she was justified 3198.

17. So prayed 6419 Ab'raham to Elohiym: and healed 7495 Elohiym Abimelek, and his wife, and his slavegirls 519; and they bore children;

18. because fast closed up 6113/6113 Yahweh all the wombs 7358 of the house of Abimelek, over the matter of Sarah, wife of Ab'raham.

Chapter 20 Notes

Verse 1 - Negeb is the southern desert region of what will become the possession of Yahudah. Yashar 20:13

Verse 2 - Ab'raham is afraid, and says his wife is his sister. She is his HALF-sister. Abimelek means "my father is (Melek/Molech/Malik)", and not "King", as some have it. [B.D.B.-Gesenius, pg.4a] Gen.12:13;26:7

Verse 3 - Elohiym reveals the secret about Sarah to Abimelek in a dream.

Verse 4 - Yahweh was changed to adonay by the scribes. I have restored The Name.

Verse 6 - 5060 naga has sexual connotations many times, as it does here. Sarah was not "touched" by Abimelek. Refer back to Gen.3:3 where Chawwah (Eve) was told not to "touch" the tree.

Verse 7 - It is made clear that Ab'raham is under full protection of Yahweh.

Verse 9 - Abimelek is angered at Ab'raham, and lets him know it.


Verses 11,12,13 - Ab'raham explains his fears to Abimelek.

Verse 16 - The silver was payment to cover the offence of taking Sarah. The "veil over the eyes" means no one will "see" Sarah any longer, as she is married, and "off limits". 3198 as "justified" means Sarah has been "set aright" in the eyes of all. She did not sin.

Verses 17 and 18 - Ab'raham prayed a formal request for Abimelek, and Yahweh then lifted the punishment of barrenness from the women of Abimelek's household.

To be continued OS22541

Is Israel A Chosen Servant Race To "Save The World"? (Part 3) By Arnold Kennedy



ISAIAH 49:7
Thus saith the LORD, the Redeemer of Israel.

There is no mention in Scripture of God being the Redeemer, or the Holy (separated) one, of any but Israel. Grammatically, "all",

and "every" refers to "all and every man of Israel", in context.

Isaiah 44:1 O Jacob my servant; and Israel, whom I have chosen.

There is no mention in Scripture of other races than Jacob/Israel being "chosen", or of being "my servant". [In "Nebuchadrezzar the king of Babylon, my servant" a different word is used for "servant"].

Isaiah 43:1 But now thus saith the LORD that created thee, O Jacob, and he that formed thee, O Israel, Fear not: for I have redeemed thee, I have called thee by thy name; thou art mine.

There is no mention in Scripture of other races than Israel being "created", "formed", "called" and "redeemed" by God.

Isaiah 43:10-11 Ye are my witnesses, saith the LORD, and my servant whom I have chosen:

that ye may know and believe me, and understand that I am he: before me there was no God formed, neither shall there be after me. I, even I, am the LORD; and beside me there is no saviour.

Here God declares that Israel is the witness as to what God has done. Again Israel is the 'chosen'. This verse shows that no other race could have this God-given understanding. It is that "Ye may know.... and understand", the "ye" being Israel.

Isaiah 43:5 I, even I, am he that blotteth out thy transgressions for mine own sake, and will not remember thy sins.

There is no mention of God blotting out the transgressions of other than the context people of Israel. No others are mentioned as being written in "The Book of Life", or indeed, of being blotted out of it!

Isaiah 44:7 ".....Since I appointed the ancient people".

Here the word "ancient" [Strongs 8769] has the meaning of "everlasting" or "perpetual". It is a word from which "Celtic" is derived. Permanently Israel only, as a race, is God's people. Likewise, in the New Testament we read, "And He shall save His people from their sins"-[Matt. 1:21]. No one else is included. Since they were "His people" before they were saved from their sins, we see an enduring racial statement.

When we read in Isaiah 42, "Behold my servant, whom I uphold; mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth; I have put my spirit upon him: he shall bring forth judgment to the Gentiles", in Mark 4:20 and Luke 4:18", Jesus confirms He was speaking of Himself, with the context being that of Israel.

As pointed out above, the word "Gentiles" in the New Testament comes from a Latin-originated word which is used to suggest something different from the Greek word ethnos which means "people" as "races" in national groups. The words translated as "Gentiles" are also used of Israel in both Testaments. It is necessary to keep on repeating this so that it can sink in.

"ISRAEL my glory".


Now we can look at references to "my glory", as in "Israel my glory", to look further into God's purpose. The words, "my glory" are highlighted.

1. Isaiah 46:11 I bring near my righteousness; it shall not be far off, and my salvation shall not tarry: and I will place salvation in Zion for Israel my glory.

2. Here Israel specifically is described as being "My glory". Salvation is in Zion "for Israel"!

3. Isaiah 42:8 I am the LORD: that is my name: and my glory will I not give to another.

Isaiah 48:10-12 I have chosen thee in the furnace of affliction. For mine own sake, even for mine own sake, will I do it: for how should my name be polluted? and I will not give my glory unto another. Hearken unto me, O Jacob and Israel, my called; I am he; I am the first, I also am the last.



In these two verses, "another" has the meaning of "another of a different kind". Again, Israel as "My glory" is the "chosen" and that which is the "called". Israel is God's glory, not any other race.

3. Isaiah 66:18 It shall come, that I will gather all nations and tongues; and they shall come, and see my glory. And I will set a sign among them, and I will send those that escape of them unto the nations, to Tarshish, Pul, and Lud, that draw the bow, to Tubal, and Javan, to the isles afar off, that have not heard my fame, neither have seen my glory; and they shall declare my glory among the Gentiles.


Israel, as God's glory, will yet be manifest.

3. Ezek 39:21-25 And I will set my glory among the heathen, and all the heathen shall see my judgment that I have executed, and my hand that I have laid upon them. So the house of Israel shall know that I am the LORD their God from that day and forward. And the heathen shall know that the house of Israel went into captivity

for their iniquity: because they trespassed against me, therefore hid I my face from them, and gave them into the hand of their enemies: so fell they all by the sword. According to their uncleanness and according to their transgressions have I done unto them, and hid my face from them. Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD; Now will I bring again the captivity of Jacob, and have mercy upon the whole house of Israel, and will be jealous for my holy name.

The time is coming when God will have mercy upon Israel and reveal His purpose through a redeemed Israel. The last sentence is about the re-gathering of Israel and about mercy to the whole House of Israel and to no other peoples. Every single one of the prophets agrees by confining the re-gathering to the House of Israel and the House of Judah.

Without exception, there is no suggestion of the popular "Jews and Gentiles" concept ever mentioned by the prophets in this regard. "The whole House of Israel" in the verse above could never have the popular meaning of "Jews and Gentiles". True, we do find reference to foreigners, "that join themselves to the LORD, to serve him", but that is after the re-gathering of Israel. "My holy name" is significant too; we will see about this later in Exodus 3:15, in particular.



5. John 17:9-10 *I pray for them: I pray not for the world, but for them which thou hast given me; for they are thine. And all mine are thine, and thine are mine; and I am glorified in them.*

Here we find a distinction that popular religion of all colours refuses to admit. In saying, "I pray not for the world", why did Jesus not pray for the non-Israelite multi-racial peoples as the denominations do? Who are the "them" only Jesus is glorified in? And whom did Paul say he prayed for, "Brethren, my heart's desire and

prayer to God for Israel is, that they might be saved" –[Romans 10:1]. Paul prayed only for Israel. When Peter says, "watch unto prayer", this is in the context of having fervent charity "among yourselves", that is, amongst the dispersed Israelites (parepidemos), that he is addressing-[1 Peter 1:1 and 4:8].

6. John 17:24 *Father, I will that they also, whom thou hast given me, be with me where I am; that they may behold my glory, which thou hast given me: for thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world.*

In verse 11, Jesus speaks about, "those that thou gavest me" as being in the context of Israel. Jesus prayed this request because of God's ownership of Israel by formation and election in the words, "they are Yours".

OTHER OLD TESTAMENT EXPRESSIONS OF GOD'S PURPOSE FOR ISRAEL.

Speaking of Israel as a race of people, we read,

Deuteronomy 7:7-9 The LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye were the fewest of all people: But because the LORD loved you, and because he would keep the oath which he had sworn unto your fathers, hath the LORD brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondmen, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt.

Know therefore that the LORD thy God, he is God, the faithful God, which keepeth covenant and mercy with them that love him and keep his commandments to a thousand generations.

God shows here that He had a purpose to keep His promise to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and God's oath did not apply to any other race of people than Israel. This covenant still applies since it is "to a thousand generations". The promise was to a specific race of people, not to any pseudo-race based upon belief. And we are told, "In Isaac shalt thy seed be called". This thus cannot refer to any 'spiritual' seed, since "seed" in both Hebrew (zera) and Greek, (sperma) are consistently used in the natural sense. When Paul stood before King Agrippa, he said the promise was made to twelve tribes.

Acts 26:6-7 *And now I stand and am judged for the hope of the promise made of God unto our fathers: Unto which promise our twelve tribes, instantly serving God day and night, hope to come.*

There is no way 'twelve tribes' could be converted to the popular "Jews and Gentiles" concept, so Paul is suggesting nothing about any universal "save the world" purpose. This "promise made of God" could not thus come to incorporate others. Further to this, Paul shows that the New Covenant position about Israel [our Twelve Tribes] is unchanged. Paul again confirms this:

Acts 13:32-33 *And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children".*

Repeating this confirmation, Paul mentions the word *ethnos*= peoples, which was not translated from the Latin versions where it now appears as

"Gentiles". Few commentaries will admit that "the Gentiles" refers to the "Gentilised" House of Israel. Tradition will not allow them to do so.

Rom. 15:8-9 *Now I say that Jesus Christ was a minister of the circumcision for the truth of God, to confirm the promises made unto the fathers: And that the Gentiles might glorify God for his mercy; as it is written, For this cause I will confess to thee among the Gentiles, and sing unto thy name. And again he saith, Rejoice, ye Gentiles, with his people.*

The word "people" here is *laos* which means "a people, people group, tribe, nation, all those who are of the same stock and language". So Paul here says the New Covenant makes no changes here.

We will look further into the Old/New Covenant unchanging position.

To be continued OS17767



Harold Stough Notes

A Miscellany of Historical Facts Mainly Relating to The British Isles Mount Schiehallion - The Fairy Hill of The Caledonians (Part 5)

THE CONICAL MOUNT SCHIEHALLION ("the fairy hill of the Caledonians") has long been considered a sacred and mystical mountain by the Gaelic Highlanders of Scotland. Its topographical features are somewhat reminiscent of Mount Shasta in California, USA, which is held sacred by the North American Indian tribes.

In his classic work *Cuchama and Sacred Mountains* (1981 USA), Dr. W. Y. Evans-Wentz comments: "In the scriptures of mankind, certain mountains are considered sacred; and they are referred to as being sources of inspiration and revelation to prophets, saints, and sages.

Mountains rising on high and merging into the invisible depths of space come to be looked upon as being the abodes of heavenly beings, the repositories of wisdom, and the founts of

spiritual illumination." He goes on to say, when speaking about Mount. Omei, a sacred mountain in China: "Phenomena of most unusual character are associated with this Sacred Mountain, and at least some of them may merit a more than purely mundane explanation.

The monks and pilgrims who frequent Mount Omei believe these phenomena to be self-evident proof of its sanctity.

Geographically, Mount Schiehallion is located at the very centre of the Scottish mainland. Similarly, we find the sacred hill of Uisnech at the geographical centre point of Celtic Ireland, and also the five-peaked mountain called Plinlimmon sited at the centre of Celtic Wales.

Can this really be a geographical coincidence? In his book *The Highland Tay* (1901), the Rev.



Mount Schiehallion

Hugh MacMillan comments on Mount Schiehallion, saying that it is a "well-preserved glacial monument, which speaks impressively of the great icy tool that sculptured its sphinx-like form— it is a residual, adamantine knob of pure quartz."

He further remarks: "It is the spire of the whole vast landscape, lifting it up to heaven, and giving it something of the feeling of poetic or religious awe which, from the earliest time, the human mind has felt in the neighbourhood of great mountains."

Rev. John Sinclair, Earl of Caithness. In his work entitled *Schiehallion*, published in 1905, the Rev. John Sinclair, MA, BD, Parish Minister of Kinloch Rannoch, Perthshire, writes: "Schiehallion is distinguished as a widely known and very beautiful mountain.

It was on its sides, in 1774, that Dr. Maskelyne, the Astronomer Royal, made those famous observations on the attraction of the Pendulum by a large but determinate mass of matter, which afforded the data from which the weight of the earth was approximately ascertained; and, accordingly, wherever there is an association of scientific men throughout the civilised world, the name of Schiehallion is familiarly known to them in this connection."

The Rev. John Sinclair who, as the Earl of Caithness was the head of the Sinclair Templar family of Rosslyn Chapel fame, also writes: "I envy not the man who can climb Schiehallion without experiencing certain emotions of reverential awe, which raise the thoughts of the heart from earthly to heavenly things.

I can truly say that in my many climbings of the dear mountain, I invariably felt myself, as it were, in a sweet atmosphere of Bible imagery, thinking of Moses, Elijah, the Saviour, and others, when they climbed those sacred mountains in the east, and there held communion with the great Father of spirits.

The poem entitled, *The Second Sight: A Rannoch Mystery*, has got at least this one merit that it is an attempt to picture out a form of belief in the superhuman which has probably existed among the people of the district for many hundreds of years.

In former times it was the males that were the seers of the Rannoch Israel; but in our day the Deborahs and the Huldahs have taken up the role of revealing the mysteries of the present, the distant, and the future.

The following curious story related by the Rev. Robert MacDonald, a former minister of Fortingall, in the new Statistical Account for Scotland (Perthshire), published in 1845, may be relevant to the theme of this article: "There is a very remarkable cave near the south-west angle of Sith-chailinn [Schiehallion], at the 'Shealing,' called Tom-a-mhorair, or the Earl's eminence.

Some miles to the east, there is an opening in the face of a rock, which is believed to be the termination thereof.

Several stories are told and believed by the credulous, relating to this cave; that the inside thereof is full of chambers or separate apartments, and that, as soon as a person advances a few yards, he comes to a door, which, the moment he enters, closes, as it opened, of its own accord, and prevents his returning." It is interesting to note that the same minister comments that the local people "may be characterized as intellectual, sober, and industrious in their habits, honest and religious."

Moreover, in *Rambles in Breadalbane* (1891), the author, Malcolm Ferguson, when writing about Mount Schiehallion, remarks: "It is said that there are a long series of mysterious caves, extending from one side of the mountain to the other." Interestingly, the Masonic historian and researcher, A. E. Waite, mentions three Templar Knights who found refuge in "the caves of

Mount Heredom".

Initiation stone on Mount Schiehallion: It has often been recorded that many of the ancient esoteric orders and mystery schools held initiation ceremonies in caverns and underground grottos.

In his *Historical Landmarks of Freemasonry* (Vol. I), the Rev. George Oliver informs us: "—in some of the philosophical degrees, the place of meeting is figuratively termed a cavern, in imitation, probably, of the spurious Freemasonry, which was always held in the bowels of the earth; and the most stupendous specimens of the fact are visible to this day in the Indian, Persian, and Egyptian subterranean temples.

In some places, entire mountains were excavated, and the cavern was constructed with cells, chambers, galleries, and streets, also supported by columns, and forming a subterranean labyrinth. Examples of this practice are found in the excavations underneath the great pyramid of Egypt; at Baix and Sena Julia in Italy; near Nauplia, in Greece; at Elephanta and Salsette, in India; at Ceylon; and in Malta is a cave, where we are told that 'the rock is not only cut into spacious passages, but hollowed out into numerous contiguous halls and apartments.' Similar cavern temples are found in every country upon earth."

Donald A. Mackenzie in his work *Buddhism in pre-Christian Britain* (1928) remarks: "In Scotland various caves lead to the Underworld." Could this be the case with the mysterious cave, 'Tom a Mhorair' (the giant's cave), located on the south west flank of Mount Schiehallion?

A similarly mysterious cave is to be found on the giant mountain Ben Mhor, having a circumference of forty miles, which is located on the Scottish western Isle of Mull.

In *The Riddle of Prehistoric Britain* (1946), the author, Comyns Beaumont, says: "Ben Mhor possesses two unusual features. One is a series of rising terraces towards its summit which may have been natural or roughly made by man. The other is the enormous cavern at its west base looking to the open Atlantic, known as MacKinnon's Cave, with which many eerie legends are connected.

Some believe it was a pagan temple to a sea-god, and this finds support from the fact that an inner cave possesses an ancient and immense flat stone, perhaps part of a former cromlech, called Fingal's Table, but some think was a pagan altar and sacrificial stone.

Local superstition keeps visitors away, added to the fact that the sea enters the cave and flows far inland with the rising tide, for it is said that the cave's recesses pass right through the mountain to the other side."



Ben Mhor on the Isle of Mull

Further pertinent information is to be found in *A Highland Parish or the History of Fortingall* (1928) by Alexander Stewart who comments: "Palestine has its sacred mountains—Horeb, the hill of God, and Sinai, whence the moral law was given forth. Greece has its classic heights of Helicon and Parnassus, sacred to Apollo and the Muses. Switzerland has its Alps and Asia its Himalayas.

America has its Andes and Rockies. Scotland has the Grampian Range with a rugged grandeur all their own. And Fortingall has its far-famed Schiehallion which, though it does not aspire to any great elevation, has an interest that no other mountain in the British Isles can rival.

Schiehallion is in a special sense the mountain of myth and mystery. At the west shoulder of Schiehallion is Creag-na-h-Earra, with its covering of heather and boulders and its base laved by two burns. At the point at which these two burns meet is situated a rock that bears more cup marks than any other stone surface of the same size in the British Isles.

Near the same place is a wonderful cave which the lively imagination of our Celtic ancestors

peopled with fairies and goblins. Of all the caves in the Parish, the most remarkable is that at Tom a Mhorair, on the south side of Glenmore, near the west shoulder of Schiehallion.

It has a fairly wide opening which extends for three or four yards. It then contracts and slants into total darkness in the bowels of the earth. Some miles to the east of this there is another opening, which tradition holds to be the other end of the cave. According to the traditional accounts, this cave was regarded as an abode of fairies and other supernatural beings, rather than a hiding place of mortals.

The only men who were supposed to have lived there were individuals who were believed to have been in league with supernatural powers."

Could the foregoing be indicative of the mysterious Mount Heredom, the high initiation abode of spiritual adepts, which the Old Testament Prophet, Isaiah, knew as the holy "mount of assembly in the far north" and the Hebrew King David knew as "Mount Zion in the far north"?

The spiritual sanctity of Mount Schiehallion is captured in the following poetical stanzas:

**O! If there be on earth a Paradise,
Where righteous souls in glory wait in trust
Till the sweet resurrection of the just,
Methinks that region round Schiehallion
lies,**

**And that good angels, hovering o'er its cone,
Impart to it that chaste and heavenly tone.**

**I love to view Schiehallion all aglow,
In blaze of beauty 'gainst the eastern sky,
Like a huge pyramid exalted high
O'er woodland fringing round its base
below;**

**The Bible tells of Hebrew mountains grand,
Where such great deeds were done in days
of old,**

**As render them more precious far than gold
In our conception of the Holy Land;
But every soul that seeks the heavenly road
May in Schiehallion, too, behold a Mount of
God.**

(From Schiehallion by Rev. John Sinclair)

The End

Why Today's Churches Are Ineffective Against Evil (Part 3)

From Our USA Correspondent



Returning to Scofield

HIS BIBLE was promoted far and wide, by financial heavy weights such as John D. Rockefeller, Jr. also" Jewish" (of the Sephardic bloodline) according to Stephen Birmingham's book "Our Crowd" which chronicles the great "Jewish" families of New York. Rockefeller was so pleased with Scofield's reference Bible that he insisted it be used by the clergy for reference in his Riverside Church, an imposing gothic monstrosity adorned with frightful gargoyles, located in upper Manhattan. The church was built with Rockefeller money in the late 1920's through early 1930's. Riverside is a bastion of

"liberal" theology and is described in its literature as being "international, interracial and progressive", in other words a battering ram against traditional, biblically based Christianity.

"Progressivism" is a code word for Communism. In the Pentateuch God warns His people, the true descendants of Jacob (forefather of the twelve tribes) **against** race mixing with clear directives such as "*A bastard (of a mixed race) shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even unto his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD.*" (Deuteronomy 23:2)

This verse has probably never been uttered in any mainstream denominational church for at least 100 years. Probably 2/3 of the Bible has been disposed of in most churches for that matter

“for fear of the Jews” (see Esther 8:17 and John 7:13).

The influence of such a hallowed institution as Riverside has been quite significant. Powerful “Jews” like the Rockefellers wish to subvert Christianity and morph it into a hodgepodge of “humanism”, “ecumenicalism”, and a one-size-fits-all end-times

Babylonian “universalist” religion which is in complete opposition to real Christianity such as that espoused by great preachers such as Martin Luther, John Moody, Charles Spurgeon and William Jennings Bryant. And what better way is there to destroy pure Christianity -- the religion that does not shy away from exposing antichrist “Jews” for the lying devils that they are, as did Christ -- than to ROT it from within, by slow and steady infiltration and corrupting ideas. The more Christianity can be corrupted the less likely it is that those who call themselves “Christians”, or anyone else.



Some architectural features of Riverside Church, which looks like a Baal temple.

for that matter, will understand or resist the plan of “Jewish” plutocrats to conquer the world through debt and the “sorcery” of mass propaganda and brainwashing.

Revelation 18:23 (looking back from the end of the age) ...for thy merchants (bankers, corporate moguls) were the great men of the earth; for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived.

The Scofield Bible promotes the notion that Jesus Christ was a "Jew" by confusing His bloodline with that of the Edomite-Canaanites who happened to occupy Judea at the time of His ministry. They were thus nicknamed “Jews” and this unfortunately was the term used by the KJV

translators which makes one wonder if they had intended to plant the seeds of confusion. The matter can be cleared up by looking up each use of the word “Jew” in a good concordance like Strong's. The erroneous “Jew” nickname was further reinforced when these Edomite-Canaanites adopted the religion of “Judaism” which is NOT Christianity, but rather a satanic corruption of Old Testament scripture.

Despite its prolific shortcomings, the Scofield reference “bible” multiplied like a plague throughout Christendom, thanks in large part to “Jewish” owned publishing houses, big newspapers and magazines owned and run by “Jews”. All of the “news” the public is subjected to daily is tightly controlled and has been ever since banker J.P. Morgan (a Rothschild agent) bought the “editorial rights” to the twenty-five biggest newspapers in America in 1910 in order to assure passage of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913.

Little did the naïve newspaper readers know that their nation was being stolen out from under them by the most heinous and contemptible criminals on the planet. And yet, these criminals in their public relations propaganda define themselves as “philanthropists”. If you consult Wikipedia, the popular online encyclopedia, which is owned and run by the “Jew” Jimmy Wales, men such as Rockefeller are always referred as “philanthropists” and never as the thieving robber barons that they truly were.

Recently deceased David Rockefeller, who kept himself alive a whopping 101 years thanks to his consumption of expensive human breast milk was, of course, referred to as a “philanthropist” in ALL mainstream “news” blurbs. Most of the major Internet websites are owned and controlled by the so-called “chosen people” who use these sites for propaganda which furthers the illusions that blind everyone else to the truth.

Rabbis and “Jewish” propagandists are fond of proclaiming that “they gave us our Bible”, which is an utter lie. The truth is that their book, the Talmud, is based upon the “Tradition of the Elders”, which consisted of the opinions of Edomite-Canaanite Pharisees, in regard to Mosaic scripture. These traditions were compiled over many centuries and finally put into print around 200 A.D. The overarching

purpose of the Talmud is to negate ALL of the commandments of God and replace them with a labyrinthine hodgepodge of legalese, rife with exceptions and loopholes that is favourable to the perverse disposition and immorality of Canaanites.

Within the Talmud are found flimsy excuses for engaging in rape, sodomy, paedophilia, theft, murder, deceit, treachery, usury and a host of other evils which is second nature to its authors and adherents. If you do not believe this consult a book entitled "Judaism Discovered" by the scholar M. Hoffman who has spent a lifetime studying the Talmud and exposing its evil. The Talmud was birthed in the moral cesspool of ancient Babylon, which is why it is referred to as "*The Babylonian Talmud*".

To this day any hack writer who produces a book promoting the "politically correct" (Zionist approved) "Jesus was a Jew" and "the Jews are God's chosen people" lies will have a far greater chance of getting published, getting promoted and making money on his work than someone who tells the unvarnished truth.

Thus, the shelves of most bookstores and libraries are chock full of junk books promoting an utterly false narrative. Writers like the great historian Eustace Mullins, who exposed the Federal Reserve scam, among other "Jewish" instigated conspiracies, could not even get a book accepted by a publisher so he had to self-publish. Despite that, his books and lectures are widely read and listened to today, because he told the truth.

Writers and lecturers who tell the TRUTH must usually work a day job in order to eat and pay a mortgage to "Jewish"-owned banks. Promotion will be scant to none for them, and in some cases they will be vilified, physically attacked, like French revisionist historian Louis Farisson, and in worst case scenarios dogged by "Jewish"-controlled government agencies and even thrown in prison. Hate-filled, fanatical, psychopathic "Jews" will stop at nothing to nag, harass and punish their opponents. God, in his supreme wisdom even warned us about THIS:

Numbers 33:55-56 But if ye will not drive out the inhabitants of the land from before you; (referring to Canaanites, ancestors of today's "Jews") then it shall come to pass, that those

which ye let remain of them shall be pricks in your eyes, and thorns in your sides, and shall vex you in the land wherein ye dwell. Moreover it shall come to pass, that I shall do unto you, as I thought to do unto them.

BUT WHY, you might ask, did rich and powerful "Jews" like Schiff, Rockefeller, and Rothschild want to hoodwink Christians into believing Jesus Christ was a "Jew"?

It's very simple. The implication is that if Jesus was a "Jew" then by association the entire race of "Jews" must be just like Him in spirit. They must be fine, upstanding, trustworthy citizens who would never, but never lie to or deceive us or engage in any nefarious activities against us, like robbing us blind with usury everywhere it can be applied, monopolizing all trade and instigating wars and revolutions designed to kill off huge hunks of our white population, while profiting from the bloodshed.

No – they wouldn't do THAT because they are "God's chosen people"! Yeah, sure. Are you beginning to grasp the TRUTH?

The be continued 22724

Steven Books

League Enterprises

**Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ**

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McWilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Letters and Views



In The Name of Yahweh Book Review Posted on June 13, 2017

Below is a review of Andrew Carrington Hitchcock's book which is currently being serialised in this magazine..

This is an extremely important book. The author did some phenomenal research to uncover the centuries and centuries of mistranslation and intentional deception written into the bible. Many who read this book will shriek with hysteria and condemn Hitchcock's thesis as "rayyyycist". But, these weak people are merely viewing Hitchcock's research through the lens of our modern degenerate culture that is run by the very Synagogue of Satan that wants to wipe out all true Israelites. If these people hate us that much AND they have almost complete control of our culture then it only stands to reason that they would pervert our culture to be used against us, they would most certainly brainwash us into believing that any effort to preserve our race and our people would be "politically incorrect" and even criminal! This only adds credibility to Hitchcock's thesis! This is that important of a book. The truth must be outed! Read this book and pass it along!

Many of The Married Western Government Leaders Have No Children - Strange!

Macron, the newly elected French president, has no children.

German chancellor Angel Merkel has no children.

British prime minister Theresa May has no children.

Italian prime minister Paolo Gentiloni has no children.

Holland's Mark Rutte, Sweden's Stefan Löfven, Luxembourg's Xavier Bettel, Scotland's Nicola Sturgeon—all have no children. Jean-Claude Juncker, president of the European Commission, has no children....

So, a grossly disproportionate number of the people making decisions about Europe's future have no direct personal stake in that future.
Yours truly, Terrye Newkirk.

**A Letter to Mrs L Kurt EU Directorate,
Foreign and Commonwealth Office; King
Charles Street
London.
9th May 2017**

(1) You state the UK has a Parliament whose members are elected to take decisions that affect the Nation.

(2) My answer, This is a Kingdom not a nation. Parliaments are required to administer this ancient Kingdom within the guidelines laid down by the English common and constitutional law. (higher law)

(3) You state on our entry into the then European Community in 1973: that decision was made by our democratically-elected Parliament.

My answer, Under English common and constitutional law it constitutes an act of treason to import foreign law into this Kingdom and to allow any say by foreigners into the governance of this Kingdom.

(4) You say there was a referendum on UK membership of the European Economic Community in June 1975.

My answer, No there was not, there was a referendum on whether we wanted a trade agreement.

(6) You state thereafter, each Treaty change – including the adoption of the Treaty on the European Union which formed part of the Maastricht Treaty has been ratified by the

democratically elected British government.

My answer, You seem to believe being elected allows our politicians to do things the King in our case Queen is not permitted by common and constitutional law to do.

The signing and ratifying of every European treaty contravenes England's common and constitutional law and constitutes act of treason against the constitutional arrangements for England.

(7) You state the Lisbon Treaty adjusts existing Treaty's, in the same way as previous amending Treaties negotiated by Mrs Thatcher (she was not a Peer at the time), John Major (he was not a Knight at the time) and Tony Blair (although of course not as far reaching in scope as the Maastricht Treaty agreed by John Major. All these Treaties were scrutinised and approved by Parliament without the need for a referendum.

My answer, Every Treaty you have mentioned is illegal under English constitutional and common law and every Prime Minister you have mentioned is a traitor as is every Member of Parliament who voted in favour of any of it is a traitor under English law.

(8) You state the Lisbon Treaty received the Royal assent.

My Answer, It never ceases to amaze me just how ignorant of the English constitution our politicians and civil servants like you actually are. If you actually knew anything you would know that according to the House of Commons library no King or Queen has personally given the Royal assent since 1854.


Every thing has been given the automatic Assent, which just happens to be highly illegal. You would also know the use of the Royal prerogative by Ministers is also illegal to the extent it imagines the death of her Majesty contrary to the 1351 Treason Act for which the death penalty is the correct tariff.

You would also know that England's Kings cannot be a citizen of Europe or anywhere else the only one over England's Kings is God. You would also know the Estates of England which you undoubtedly have never heard of but who are the highest law giving body in England, ruled

on the subject of giving England away in May 1366 I refer you to Stubbs (2) 435 the 1559 Act of Supremacy and the 1689 Declaration and Bill of Rights which should be enough constitutional law to prove the treason of every EEC/EU Treaty.

I do require a sensible reply. Which at least shows you at least are not a traitor to Queen and her Kingdom? **Respectfully submitted, Albert Burgess.**

Death of A President



Sir___, I came across this interesting item amongst several items sent to me from a friend in Australia:-

A few months ago an American sitting President died. Surprisingly, no state funeral was held. No flags flew at half-mast, the White House was not draped in black, no official ceremonies were arranged, and no mourning period was declared. His body did not lie in state in the Capitol Rotunda and no funeral procession from the Capitol to Arlington National Cemetery occurred.

No guard of honour provided a ceremonial presence at his burial, a nine-person team did not carry the casket, and no military chaplain escorted his family. No military caisson carried the casket and no American flag draped it. No riderless horse with a pair of boots reversed in the stirrups and an empty saddle followed it. No rifle salute was fired over his grave.

Even stranger, we still don't know who will pick up the torch of the American power he held for more than half a century.

I am not talking about any of the puppets the American sheeple elect every four years to live in the White House, who, not surprisingly, seamlessly continue the policy of destruction of

the American economy, its culture and its people carried out by his predecessor. No. I am talking about the true American President, the one who elected himself to the highest office of the land in 1949, when he appointed himself director of the Council on Foreign Relations and thus became the President of the Invisible Government of the United States and thus became the de facto President of the United States.

I am talking about David Rockefeller, the man who, like the Wizard of Oz, was the true power behind the U.S. Presidential throne. Of course, if you are an average American citizen who gets your information from the mainstream press, watches the TV news and reads history books written by “serious” scholars, you will most likely ignore the death of President David Rockefeller because neither the politicians in Washington D.C. nor the mainstream media want you to know about it.

How did David Rockefeller become the President of the Invisible Government? It is a long, long story, but I’ll give you the short version.

In 1947, the Rockefellers — who somebody has called the family that has done the most damage ever to mankind — took a big step to get control of the U.S. government when their puppet Harry S. Truman signed the National Security Act that created both the CIA and the National Security Council. By the late-1960's, U.S. Presidents, fully under David Rockefeller’s control, had accumulated so much power that somebody coined the term “Imperial Presidency” to describe it. Practical men, the Rockefellers realized that it was a lot easier, and cheaper, to control a single corrupt politician than the lawless, corrupt mob we call the Congress.

The fact that David Rockefeller was the true and only President of the United States was known to everybody in the world except the American people. Proof of this is that any place David visited, he was given the treatment due of a head of state. And he travelled a lot. During 1973 alone, David met 27 heads of state, including the rulers of the Soviet Union and Communist China, plus scores of lesser dignitaries.

In his Memoirs, David mentions how he enjoyed meeting leaders of the most undemocratic,

dictatorial countries, such as Romania’s Nicolae Ceausescu, Poland’s Wojciech Jaruzelski, Paraguay’s Alfredo Stroessner, Algeria’s Houari Boumediene, Zaire’s Mobutu Sese Seko, Chile’s Augusto Pinochet, Iraq’s Saddam Hussein and Soviet Union’s Nikita Khrushchev. Last, but not least, he enjoyed visiting his buddy Fidel Castro.



In

February 2001, a high-level delegation of the Council on Foreign Relations led by David Rockefeller visited Cuba and held a long meeting with Castro. After the meeting, CFR chairman Peter Peterson praised the Cuban leadership’s passionate commitment to providing high education and health standards for its people. “I suspect that Cuba is among the best educated countries in the entire hemisphere,” he added.

No wonder some of his critics have said, “David Rockefeller has never met a dictator he didn’t like.”

Actually, however, he not only couldn’t find a tyrant he didn’t like but also discovered and nurtured monsters such as Henry Kissinger, Allen Dulles, John McCloy, Fidel Castro and Barack Obama, just to mention a few. David Rockefeller, his banks and his allies, working hand-in-hand with the CIA, bolstered repressive regimes in Peru, Brazil, Ecuador, Cuba and Paraguay. He contributed to the forcible dislocation of native peoples in South America. Hunger, genocide disease, and the ongoing destruction of the Amazon rain forest, are the direct legacy of his policies.

David Rockefeller managed to hide himself his whole life behind a disingenuous facade of altruist, philanthropist and patron of the arts.

Nevertheless, one day he will be remembered for what he really was: one of the darkest characters of the America's recent history.

David exercised almost total control over America's foreign policy through his control of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Trilateral Commission, as well as his sponsorship of Bilderberg Group – all of them shadowy organizations of the world's elite class who determine behind closed doors the monetary, foreign, and military policies of most of the world. His funding of Columbia University and the University of Chicago helped to produce several generations of brainwashed, unethical individuals who unfortunately became an important part of the American cultural elite.



David Rockefeller with the Israeli prime minister Golda Meir in 1971.

David was a traitor who openly worked behind the curtains to destroy the U.S. economy, its borders, its Constitution and its cultural and social mores. He profoundly hated his country and its people, and devoted his whole life to conspire together with his CFR goons to turn this Republic into a communo-fascist totalitarian dictatorship of international bankers and CEOs of transnational corporations he euphemistically called the New World Order, and he had the gall to publicly admit it.

In his Memoirs, he is quoted as saying,

“For more than a century ideological extremists at either end of the political spectrum have seized upon well-publicized incidents such as my encounter with Castro to attack the Rockefeller family for the inordinate influence they claim we wield over American political and economic institutions. Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as ‘internationalists’ and of conspiring with others around the world to build

a more integrated political and economic structure — one world, if you will.

If that's the charge, I stand guilty, and I am proud of it.”

During one of the secret meetings of the Bilderberg —an international organization of globalist conspirators closely linked to the CFR— in Baden-Baden, Germany, 1991, David Rockefeller, after thanking his friends in the press for keeping the CFR out of the American eye, told them: “The supra-national sovereignty of an intellectual elite and world bankers is surely preferable to the national auto-determination practiced in past centuries.”

None of the younger Rockefellers seem willing or equipped to follow in David Rockefeller Sr.'s footsteps. As Warren T. Lindquist, a longtime friend, put it: “No one can step into his shoes. Not because they aren't good, smart, talented people but because it's just a different world, and they have different interests.”

Now, after the death of the monster, there is a void begging to be filled. Even within the family, however, there is the feeling that David Rockefeller represented the end of an era.

It was an era, however, of diminishing hopes for Americans and the world, mainly thanks to him and his occult machinations. And probably the main reason why President Trump is still alive and living in the White House is because U.S. President David Rockefeller is no longer in command. **Yours truly, David McIlroy, Wexford.**

Is Your Minister a Christian

Sir__, I was shocked when you told us about the group of young men training to be ministers being atheists. Likewise, when the Anglican bishop told you he was an atheist, but we give the people what they want. Which proves that Christians and atheists are not equals and opposites. No Christian will be found in the national press Association, giving the atheists what they want. Both stories were splendid articles for the New Ensign.

While at the Swanwick conference I heard of a group of Christian lawyers who examined the case of our Lord's judicial murder, resurrection and ascension and that there are enough witnesses to prove it in a court case.

Hence the faith spread fast. The vipers are still with us! **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

The End

Islam Yesterday and Today

By The Late Pastor Alan Campbell



A S A STUDENT OF HISTORY, I can't help but reflect on the similarities and differences in our country between yesteryear and today. A few days ago I found myself in a study of Tudor Britain, a period that lasted for roughly 118 years, beginning with the reign of Henry VII in 1485 AD and ending with the spectacular reign of Elizabeth I, known as the Golden Period, in 1603 AD.

I suppose a comparable period would be from roughly 1898 AD to roughly today. We certainly could not say this latter period is a Golden Age.

Let's begin by taking a brief journey through Tudor Britain. In doing so, I will make use in great part to the words of a writer, C. W. Airne. He wrote:—

“The Tudor years were a turning point in English history and marked the end of the Middle Ages and the beginning of the Modern Age. When you think about this period, revolutionary changes like the Renaissance, the Reformation, the great maritime discoveries, the consolidation of the English Nations, the Spanish Armada, the effect of increased wealth on the people and the effects of absolute authority of its monarchs”.

It was a period when Britain was rising. Today, in the comparable period, its star is falling, maybe even fallen!

I suppose if I was asked to pinpoint the greatest achievement of those Tudor days, I might have to say the “Reformation”. We have Henry VIII to thank for this, but his opposition was more

political than religious. Nevertheless, he did make England independent of Rome and he achieved a series of reforms, including the abolition of payments to Rome and the destruction of the old system of monasticism. Progress took a step back under the Catholic Monarch, Mary I, known by the people as “Bloody Mary”.

But under Elizabeth I, The Church of England was established by the Act of Supremacy in 1559 AD. Jesus was to say of its over 200 years into the Reformation, a period that the Bible refers to as the Philadelphian Church Age, “I know thou works— hast keep my word, and hath not denied my name —I also will keep thee from the hour of temptation.” (Rev. 3:8&10).

There are still Philadelphians around these days, yet most of true Israel have succumbed to the Age of Laodicia, or worse, to the false doctrines of other gods. So, instead of the Anglican Church, we have virtually hundreds of offshoots, most struggling to survive through such things as feel-good messages and rock bands.

As to the Anglican churches, on the whole they are suffering attendance problems. Indeed, it was disheartening to read recently that many of its churches are planning to open only at Christmas and Easter because people are simply not attending. In the meantime, all over our lands, temples, shrines and mosques are springing up. So, it is not surprising that Jesus told True Israel, “Because you are lukewarm, and neither cold nor hot, I will reject you”. The best advice for us, “read 2 Chronicles 7:14 often”.

Tudor Britain was an era of the revolutionary changes wrought by the influences of great Movements, most notably the Renaissance, which led to the Revival of Learning and to the evolution of a new civilization throughout Western Europe. The English Renaissance was a cultural and artistic movement with the dominant art forms of literature and music.

Probably we will never again see the likes of the great composers or artists of that day. Indeed, it could be said that we have lost the whole concept of beauty and grandeur.

Under Henry VII the nation had been faced with ruin owing to the struggles of the feudal nobles in the Wars of the Roses. The resultant social disorder, financial chaos, administrative confusion and impotence of the Law was a natural outgrowth.

Happily, conditions got better and by the time the Tudor reign ended with Elizabeth I, England was very prosperous and out from under the shadow of the great European powers. So, sad it is, that in this second 118-year period, Britain is again debt-ridden, and once again under the shadow of European powers through its membership in the EU.

It just seems that all things built up in the past are being torn down. Here's an example, the steel industry at Port Talbot which was taken over by an Indian company years ago is now slated for closure.

In my opinion these are just examples of Deuteronomy 28:41, "The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high; and thou shalt come down very low". Last month, I wrote an article entitled, "***Come Out of Her***" and while the powers in the nation are very much for remaining in the EU, there is still a slim chance that the people will wake to the dangers of remaining a member and clamour for the greatness of the past.

England was truly a great colonizer in the Tudor years, particularly under Elizabeth I when exploration was encouraged and many overseas colonies were claimed for England. That was the beginning of becoming the largest empire in history and the world's foremost global power. Think about this!

By the early twentieth century the British Empire held sway over one-fifth of the world's population and almost a quarter of the Earth's total land area. This is why she was called, "the

empire on which the sun never sets." We simply followed the counsel of God through Isaiah 54:2, "Enlarge the place of thy tent —Lengthen thy cords".


The sad comparison of this once great colonizer that Isaiah told to break forth and no longer be just an island people is again just an island people, a people who live in an England that is now being colonized by non-Israelite peoples. And reaping all the curses of Deuteronomy 28. We can't say we weren't warned, for one, the prophet Ezekiel told us, "—I will send mine anger upon thee, —and will recompense upon thee all thine abominations." And "Make a chain: for the land is full of bloody crimes —I will bring the worst of the heathen —Destruction cometh—"

You see, from the moment our nation and most of our people departed from the Lord God Almighty, the journey was all downhill. We reap what we sow.

It's interesting in how Mr. Airne described the Tudor years because what he wrote mirrors our conditions today, to some extent anyway, "Food was plentiful and, for the upper classes, luxurious. Dress was extravagantly rich; many new fashions were introduced and money was spent lavishly on ornament and jewelry.

Under Elizabeth especially the general prosperity was expressed in extensive building —the influence of the Renaissance led architects to erect palatial stone buildings characterized by a stately beauty in style, wealth of ornament and decoration, and dignified, superb proportions". Two differences of then and now are probably obvious.

Although new structures are constructed in our nation at break-neck speed, the structures are no longer beautiful as in the Tudor days because they lack character for the most part. Secondly, as enlightened as we think of ourselves today, poverty then was nothing like it is today, for people had the opportunity to work for a living



in the bustling times when usury was basically non-existent.

History tells us that Elizabeth I travelled to the anticipated landing site of the enemy during the Spanish Armada. She was quoted as saying, "I am here to live or die for my people". Great leaders like John Hawkins, Sir Francis Drake and Lord Howard stood by her side but England won because God was on our side.

Consequently, they and all the people of England paid homage to the source of our then greatness. Indeed, though it was not always pleasant in Tudor England, most things accomplished by their great leaders were undertaken for the benefit of the people.

They knew what we don't seem to know, that God is everything. And so where Tudor England for the most part went against things that were wrong, it's now the opposite. So, it should be easy to understand why today's leadership have no problem with such things as easy divorces and all the other things that Isaiah wrote about, "Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil—" (Isaiah 5:20)

Also, England entrusted the Tudor sovereigns with absolute authority and because they seemed to have the benefit of the people as the ultimate

goal, it worked out well. Elizabeth, the greatest of them all made the most agonizing decision of the entire Tudor reign, she approved the beheading of Mary Queen of Scots to avoid having the Kingdom slip back under Catholic domination.

Leaders today are self-driven and as a result, morals have rapidly gone downhill. Today's governments rarely listen to their people! As an example, think of the arbitrary decision by governments to bring in Syrian refugees without proper vetting or going to the people for guidance. Paris, Brussels and elsewhere are examples of the absolute authority by which they operate and all too often goes wrong.

There is so much more I could write but it is discouraging to know that our nation's time of greatness during Tudor England is basically unattainable again. It's true there have been flashes of greatness, like the inspiration of men like King George VI, Winston Churchill or women like Margaret Thatcher but no one stands up today with courage and the avowed purpose of doing what is best for the nation and its people.

Only the Almighty God, the Lord Jesus Christ, can bring us back from the brink.

The End OS22748

Crossing the Red Sea and May Day (Part 2) Charlotte Missouri



1849 History of the May Day and Pole Dance

This news article reflects of the history of May Day. It states that it was dedicated to Flora, moving furniture, dancing, and making noise. It also talks of Maid Marion which is similar to the prophetess Mariam's name.

[Click here](#) to see the full article in the first two columns

1910 Indian Princess Lena Johnson and the May Pole Dance



The news articles below reports of a huge celebration in San Bernardino, California where Princess Lena was to be the honorary guest. Lena's Native American tribe performed the May Pole Dance at the event. See for full article

1910 May Pole Dance at the state of Washington



The royal neighbours were to perform the May Pole Dance in Washington. Notice that the Johnson's were to be part of the dance; therefore they may be related to Indian Princess Lena above. [Click here for full article.](#)

Conclusion

It appears that May Day and the May Pole Dance are part of America's culture and it has been forgotten. Let's remember this tradition on the last day of Unleavened Bread each year.

The End OS22741

Old Stonehenge Pictures Show Attempt To Cover-Up True Origin From Our Cardiff Correspondent



Romancing the stones: The tumble-down stones as painted by John Constable in 1835 and the very different landscape that greets today's visitors!



Ancient-code.com reports: According to what we have known for quite some time know, the incredible stone complex in Wiltshire, England was built according to archaeologists and studies somewhere from 3000 BC to 2000 BC. Radiocarbon dating in 2008 suggested that the first stones were raised between 2400 and 2200 BC, whilst another theory suggests that bluestones may have been raised at the site as early as 3000 BC.

PREVIOUSLY UNSEEN PHOTOGRAPHS OF STONEHENGE reveal that the ancient stone complex in Wiltshire, England was rebuilt and moved around by authorities so as to obscure their true nature.

According to a new study by archaeologists and geologists from the University College London (UCL) the stones of Stonehenge originated in the Preseli Hills, Pembrokeshire, 135 miles from one of the most enigmatic ancient monuments on the Planet: Stonehenge.

Between 1901 to 1964, the majority of the stone circle was 'renovated' in such a way that it left the stones completely and forever changed from their original state.

Even though researchers believed the stones originated from the Preseli Hills since about 1920, they never knew the exact location from where the stones were quarried. Adding to the mystery of how ancient mankind managed to

transport the boulders, researchers are questioning the age of the monument. Ancient people quarried the stones sometime between 3400 BC and 3200 BC, while the monument was constructed, according to mainstream scholars around 2900 BC, this means that the ancient monument could be in fact 500 years older than previously thought.



But while these details have come to light in recent years, many people are unaware that Stonehenge as we see it today **was in fact rebuilt, several times**, and dozens of images prove that the standing stones we see today, in fact dates back less than 50 years.

As noted intricately by indymedia.org.uk, from 1901 to 1964, the majority of the stone circle was restored in a series of makeovers which have left it, in the words of one archaeologist, as ‘a product of the 20th-century heritage industry’.

Sadly, the truth is that the monument we see today was rebuilt as far back as 1901 when restoration process caused great outrage but was rarely referred to in official guidebooks.

William Gowland oversaw the first major restoration of the monument which involved the straightening and concrete setting of sarsen stone number 56 which was in danger of falling. In straightening the stone he moved it about half a meter from its original position.

During the 1920 restoration William Hawley, who had excavated nearby Old Sarum, excavated the base of six stones and the outer ditch. Richard Atkinson, Stuart Piggott and John F. S. Stone re-excavated much of Hawley’s work

in the 1940's and 1950's and discovered the carved axes and daggers on the Sarsen Stones.

In 1958 the stones were restored again when three of the standing sarsens were re-erected and set in concrete bases. The last restoration was carried out in 1963 after stone 23 of the Sarsen Circle fell over.

Cambridge University archeological archivist and leading Stonehenge author Christopher Chippindale admitted: ‘Not much of what we see at Stonehenge hasn’t been touched in some way’. And historical research student Brian Edwards, who recently revealed that the nearby Avebury Monument had been totally rebuilt, has found rare pictures of Stonehenge being restored.



He said: ‘It has been as if Stonehenge had been historically cleansed’. ‘For too long people have been kept in the dark over the Stonehenge restoration work. I am astonished by how few people know about it. It is wonderful the guide book is going to tell the full story in the future.

The End OS22751

The Treason Of Britain's Metrication

From Our Norfolk Correspondent

The following 4-Letter
Words are forbidden here:

Inch	Mile
Foot	Pint
Yard	Acre

Treason is treachery, a betraying or a breach of faith and in law denotes the grave crime of treachery toward the Sovereign as head of the State and any betraying of the State itself. (Moriarty's Police Law. 17th edition, page 202.)

Treason is to betray or violate one's duty of allegiance to one's own people and thus to one's country in support of any other intention, state, power or authority. It is disloyalty, duplicity and to undermine your own country. Regarded as so serious an undermining attack upon the British nation, treason is still a hanging offence.

To use or represent the metric system in Britain as the accepted legal standard British units of measurement is to undermine and mislead the British public mind. Most commonly found in British TV broadcasting and government services, it is to influence the nation into believing that Britain has accepted and adopted this foreign system of measurement when in fact, the people are flatly opposed to it and reject it outright. It is a serious offence to undermine or mislead the British nation against its will. **It is TREASON!**

Since 1897 the people of Britain have consistently rejected the metric system as "inferior, restricting, inflexible, problematic" and "of limited utility". They have also refused it as a second rate foreign influence prone to considerable, sometimes disastrous error (misplaced or omitted decimal point), having too few units each of impractical size and as an accounting system unsuited to measuring needs. These views also extend to the sale of commodities in metric quantities all of which are illegal under English law and are considered far less practical in use. It is a fallacy that the British public use metric terms willingly.

Surveys repeatedly show that more than 90% of British people refuse to accept or adopt the metric System.

Much more serious still however, is that Britain's metrication is not about "easing trade with Europe" as we are expected to believe. That is a conveniently contrived lie. Britain has never needed the metric system in order to trade with the rest of the world.

The real purpose of metrication is to remove imperial measurement as yet another step in eliminating the English as a people. Just as mass immigration, it is part of a covert programme of genocide against the British by attacking our cultural structure, indoctrination of our young and destabilising the nation by dividing and setting rival groups. And just like immigration, it is planned cultural replacement by stealth.

Imperial weights and measures for all purposes are protected by English Constitutional and Common Law. They have been expressly authorised by the Parliament in Westminster. No The Treason of Britain's Metrication amending Act has never been introduced.

No law, ruling or edict exists that requires Britain's metrication. Indeed, no White or Green Paper, political party manifesto, Queen's speech, parliamentary legislative programme or popular mandate has ever suggested that Britain should or would adopt the metric system. The imperial scales remain the lawful standard British system of measurement in official and exclusive use in this country, required and protected by law.

BY LAW. Nothing else is permitted.

The government inspired mantra "The UK took the decision to adopt the metric system in 1965" has been publicly exposed as the deliberate lie that it is. It was contrived for political purpose and is widely known as "the Myth of 1965". The UK has never "decided" to adopt metrication.

The public have never been consulted, their agreement or consent has never been sought let alone given and metrication has never been debated in Parliament. The myth arises from the

personal hope of two 1960's pro-Europe civil servants and Harold Wilson's failed sop to the French who refused UK admission to the EEC anyway.

These do not justify, legalise or authorise Britain's metrication against the people's will. Britain is not and has never been part of the European Union. Metrication has no purpose.



When he signed the European Communities Act (ECA72) in 1972, Prime Minister Edward Heath (left) knowingly and wilfully tricked, deceived and betrayed the British people into foreign rule by

the EEC/EU under the pretence that they'd be joining a European common trading agreement. His clear underlying intention was to surrender Britain's sovereignty.

There can be no doubt that he deliberately lied to the nation. "I will only sign ECA72 with the will of the people, the will of Parliament and there will be no loss of sovereignty." As we know, the people were never consulted. Having twice lost the vote on ECA72 in Parliament Heath made the final crucial vote, a vote of confidence. Faced with bringing down the Government, the Conservatives reluctantly voted by NINE votes to pass ECA72.

So much for the "overwhelming support of Parliament". As to the loss of sovereignty, we fortunately we have a witness in Lord Thorneycroft who was present in Heath's private office when Lord Kilmuir's letter was delivered. Heath had asked the Lord Chancellor Lord Kilmuir whether the ECA72 was contrary to English Constitutional Law.

The advice was clear. It was. Heath read it and went as white as a sheet. He folded the letter, put it in his pocket and said, "No one must see this, least of all the Cabinet." Heath went ahead anyway and signed the ECA72. It was an act of treason. For that reason alone under English Law, the ECA72 is void and of no effect.

Heath's was the most calculating and grievous High Treason in British history. He knowingly gave away his country. Upon signing, his treason instantly removed him from lawful office and his treasonous act failed to become lawfully binding because treason has no legitimacy and cannot do that. You cannot make law by breaking the law. His then treasonous government immediately became an unlawful assembly as a treasonous government is not lawful and has no legitimacy or power to govern.

No parliament can bind a successor. But no following parliament has ever reversed Heath's treachery. Instead, every following prime minister and parliament has consciously and deliberately lied to reinforce Heath's outrageous pretence that Britain had knowingly and willingly consented to foreign rule. As public servants, neither he nor they ever had such authority and their actions are highly treasonous for conspiring against the nation's known interests, intention to surrender its sovereignty, using wilful deception to deliberately betray the nation and for acting in the political interests of a foreign power.

It is effectively to declare war on the British Crown and the nation and is treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act and the Treason Felony Act 1848.

Every parliament since Heath's therefore, is and has been an unlawful assembly. With no legitimacy and in knowingly committing the most evil act of treason against their own people, each has invited and imposed foreign rule over their own country. Since 1972, not one has ever acted lawfully.

Having solemnly sworn lifetime oaths of loyalty and allegiance to serve and protect Britain, each has conspired in this treason and they persist in deceitfully concealing the truth of their illicit activities from the public as it has always been known that the British people would object most violently were they ever to find out.

But they are finding out. Parliaments' treason and corruption is a matter of public record with an increasing number of research documents, newspaper and magazine articles, TV documentaries and an overwhelming amount of Foreign and Commonwealth Office documentary evidence released to the public domain. Over 600 pages of it can be downloaded and read - [Click](#)

[Here](#) and this evidence is also verified in Hansard with a full and complete record of politicians' statements.

No law or other binding obligation can result from treason or intent by an unlawful assembly. English law and supremacy of the imperial system of measurement therefore, remain as they were prior to signing of the 1972 Act. That means that just as before 1972, metric measurements have no place in Britain whatsoever.

It also means that Britain is not and has never been part of the European Union as every Act and EU Treaty since 1972 whether or not given the Royal Assent is treasonous, illegal, null and void. So too, is any attempted standard use of the metric scales in Britain, even as metric equivalents to imperial quantities. **It is illegal.**

As a further consequence, the European Union has no lawful influence in Britain whatsoever. Neither does its Napoleonic European Law. Their authority exist only in the minds of certain politicians of whose criminal actions the public are very well aware. In any case, the outright supremacy of English law automatically intercedes and strikes down any attempt to repeal, subvert, overrule or replace it. The pretence of European authority and influence and of metrication is pure theatre and make-believe designed at the highest levels of Westminster to deceive and subjugate Britain to foreign rule against the people's will for others' political purpose contrary to law. It is **WILFUL TREASON**.

A succession of unlawful British parliaments therefore, having no legitimacy, illegally and without mandate, wilfully and criminally import and impose upon their own people, foreign rule and influence that have no force of law in Britain. This criminality includes such unlawful documents as the Weights and Measures Act 1985, the Metric Regulations 1994 and all EEC/EU Regulations and Directives, all of which are null and void so far as Britain is concerned.

Not one has the force of law as each was brought in the clear knowledge that it was treasonable contrary to English Constitutional and Common Law. Though the Weights and Measures Act 1985 was relied upon as the authority in the Metric martyrs case and others, it and other such legislation while held to be rightful at the time, never had legitimacy or force of law.

The 1985 Act was brought as part of a political agenda by an unlawful assembly of government ministers having no legitimacy (thus could not enact legislation) due to their own acts of treason and their failure to rectify the treasonous acts of others as explained above.

They acted illegally and without authority in wilful support of foreign rule and influence against Britain's interests. The Metric Regulations 1994 are equally as unlawful and EEC/EU Regulations and Directives have no legitimacy here anyway.



Not only has the 1985 Act never had lawful authority, but it is 'bad law' having been brought for treasonable purpose by deceitful and unauthorized officials through illegal means. It was therefore never possible for it to become legitimate law and as Chief Justice Beresford (left) of the Common Pleas ruled, "There is no such thing as a bad law for if it is bad it is not law".

The 1985 Act and 1994 Regulations while known to be High Treason from the outset, are null and void. In any case, English Constitutional and Common Law (Higher Law) immediately interceded and struck them down. Statute law cannot amend or impliedly repeal constitutional law and as the imperial scales of measurement are protected by that Higher Law, their supremacy remains unchallenged. Britain is not a metricating nation. **The law prohibits it.**

Metrication in Britain then, is based solely upon the deliberately contrived lie that "The UK took the decision to adopt the metric system in 1965" when in fact the people knew nothing about it, would never have consented and have been knowingly and wilfully deceived and betrayed by those paid to serve them and who have each

broken their sworn oaths of loyalty and allegiance to Britain.

For the avoidance of any doubt whatsoever, all of the above is historical fact. It is a matter of public documentary record not just in the evidence mentioned above but is acknowledged by the Attorney General, the Lord Chancellor, the Lord Chief Justice and others. Much of this knowledge is also in living public memory, press and TV coverage recorded for all time in public archives and much of it has been referred in treason reports by members of the public to numerous police forces that have accepted the evidence as *prima facie* cases to answer with a view to prosecuting action being taken.



In committing the most unimaginable treason against their own people, every parliament since 1972 has consciously and purposefully contravened English Constitutional and Common Law. This includes *inter alia*, the 1351 Treason Act, the 1559 Act of Supremacy, the Declaration and Bill of Rights 1689 and the Treason Felony Act 1848. These laws are immutable and in perpetuity.

They are beyond the reach of Parliament to amend, repeal or disregard. Just because modern day lawyers are not trained or versed in these laws does not mean they do not exist or that major offences of treason against them have not been and are not committed. Under the Act of Settlement 1700 S4, the laws of England are the birthright of the people. Exclusive use of the imperial measurement system in Britain as required by law, is thus the birthright of the people and cannot be removed.

Imperial measurements are the international standard legally required for air and sea use

around the world. Worldwide distances are measured in nautical miles, yards (sea depths are also in fathoms) and feet and inches. Quantities are in ounces and pounds weight, tons, pints, quarts and gallons.

This is because imperial units are chosen universally for their design practicality and application in all uses over the metric accounting system that is workable only in multiples of 10. The British Government has confirmed that transportation and road use are never likely to become metric in view of the financial and political cost of conversion, far too colossal for any government ever to consider risking its electoral reputation for such an unpopular matter.

Though some people mistakenly believe that centimetres, metres, kilometres, kilos and Litres are the politically correct units to use, their use in public is a criminal offence at law.

Some even believe that centimetres and metres should be used for small dimensions and that imperial miles be used for large distances. While unworkable, this too is contrary to law. The two scales are entirely incompatible. Metres do not relate to miles in any way.

The legal and official units in Britain are the inch, foot, yard, mile, ounce, pound, pint, quart and gallon that the law requires. Despite political intention to mislead and dis-inform, the imperial system of weights and measures has never been replaced. Though metrication has been taught in schools to satisfy the pro-metric lobbyists, it is interesting to note that even the Prime Minister has recognised and demanded the importance of our children learning the imperial measures as the lawful and most sensible system of measurement.

British industry and retailing have been purposefully deceived and compelled into metrication under political false pretence and unlawful threat of imprisonment. They have been forced to act illegally and to oblige their British customers to do so as well. The British Government's stance that business supports compulsory metrication is in fact, a contradiction in terms. If business wanted to go metric, the unlawful regulations enforcing it would not be necessary.

Not only that, but British business was forced to adopt metrication under considerable pressure

and threat of imprisonment. Even now, a large majority of businesses desire return to imperial measure for its much greater practicality, functionality and immediate application to all requirements.

Had the metric system been as beneficial or expedient as claimed, it will have been adopted readily by nations around the world, most particularly in North America and Britain. Some 400 million people in the U.S. and Britain alone cannot all be wrong in rejecting metrication and their exclusive choice of imperial measurement. In Canada, metrication proved so unpopular that the government backed-down and restored freedom to choose imperial units.


No nation in the world has willingly accepted the metric system. Its adoption has necessitated corrupt or dictatorial enforcement and it is universally associated with totalitarianism. Yet despite such obligation, a great many of the world's people still choose imperial units for their flexibility, ease of use, practicality and immediate application.

The majority of those in Britain who use metric measurement terms do so not out of conscious choice but because they have been deceitfully made to believe it fashionable or obligatory by law. What they do not realise however, is that fashions are often impractical and short lived frequently for some arbitrary frame of mind, that the nation as a whole rejects metrication and there is no law that requires it. With no popular support or basis in UK law, metrication offers less practicality. Many consider metrication an insult.

Britain already has the world class imperial system of measurement emulated around the world and designed for every quantifying need using simple whole numbers. The metric system has failed spectacularly even to replace Sumerian measurement of time, the Christian calendar, angles or the degrees in a circle and is far less viable because nature's dimensions and weights do not conveniently obey ready assessment by 10. The metric accounting system is just that.

With no ready physical reference of any meaning, metric measures convey nothing relatable. They are arbitrary and imaginary. Ridiculed by metriphiles as "clumsy and old fashioned" on the other hand, imperial measurement given to

the world by Britain, has single-handedly enabled man's every refinement in life including construction, manufacture, industry, scientific progress, micro electronics, Internet technology, medical advancement and manned exploration of space.



It brings considerable advantage over metrication's poorly conceived inadequacies. The Common Law imposes strict and enduring duties upon every British subject from birth. Every British person is duty bound in the reporting and prevention of treason and in allegiance and loyalty to the nation. These obligations are absolute and inseparable wherever one may be around the world and they cannot be resigned except by renouncing British nationality.

They far pre-date the Bill of Rights 1689 which protects Britain from all foreign influence or rule by any foreign power or authority: "No foreign Prince, person, Prelate, State, or Potentate, hath or ought to have any Jurisdiction, Power, Superiority, Pre eminence, or Authority Ecclesiastical or Spiritual within this Realm." The Common Law remember, is immutable.

The treason of Britain's unwanted metrication is being reported by a growing number of angered people across the country, to the police for prosecution. Under English Common Law anyone who is aware that treason is contemplated or has or is being committed but who does nothing to report or prevent it, commits the equally serious offences of 'Misprision of Treason at common law' (knowing of treason committed but doing nothing to report or prevent it) and 'Compounding (the) Treason at common law' any act or inaction which effectively condones, supports or allows the treason to continue). These are tried in court as the original treason. The legal obligation to report known treason extends to every British national.

Not so long ago, William Joyce was convicted and hanged for treason for just such misleading and undermining broadcast against the British people's interest as described above. Today's media personalities, government officials and line managers (directing minds) who endorse metrication are no different.

The treason laws have not changed. They are as effective today as the day they were written

despite certain politicians' personal attempts to repeal or change them. Treason continues to be regarded as so serious an undermining attack upon the British nation that it is still a hanging offence. Ignorance of the law is, of course, no defence. **Lawful Britain.**

The End OS22755

Govan Stones: The Viking Age Treasures Lay Hidden In A Church

Posted by Jackdaw



A Sarcophagus Dating Back to About AD 900

A UNIQUE COLLECTION of Viking-age monuments, which lay unloved in a Govan churchyard for 1,000 years, has attracted the attention of the British Museum. Its curator said the Govan Stones was one of the best collections of early medieval sculpture anywhere in the British isles.

Govan is well-known as an industrial powerhouse which, over the past 150 years, has built an incredible number of the world's largest ships.

However the town, now part of the city of Glasgow, has a long and largely-forgotten history as one of the earliest seats of Christianity in Scotland and the main church of the Kingdom of Strathclyde, the lost kingdom of the northern Britons.

In AD 870, Vikings, who had been based in Dublin, destroyed Dumbarton at the mouth of the Clyde, which had been a major power centre

in the centuries after the Romans departed from Britain.

As a result Govan, further up the river, took on a crucial role in the new kingdom of warrior chieftains that emerged to resist the Vikings.

Five huge hogback monuments are in the Govan collection

It is thought that the church at Govan may have been the main one for the kingdom of Strathclyde. The Govan Stones are a collection of 31 recumbent grave stones, hogback stones and one remarkable sarcophagus from this period of history when warfare instigated by the Norse transformed the political landscape of Britain.

There had been 45 stones but a number were lost in the 1980's when the site of the neighbouring Harland and Wolff shipyard was demolished.



It is thought the stones from the 10th and 11th centuries, which had been lined up against a w

all, were removed along with debris from the shipyard.

The most imposing monuments in the Govan collection are the five massive sandstone blocks, commonly known as the "hogbacks".

The solid stone blocks are not, as the name might suggest, representations of pigs but stones which are designed to make the tombs of the dead look like mighty buildings in the Norse style.



The recumbent stones, which often show hunting scenes and interlace patterns, were designed to be placed over a burial coffin

The recumbent stones, which often show hunting scenes and interlace patterns, were designed to be placed over a burial coffin

The hogbacks are found exclusively in areas of northern Britain settled by Vikings - southern Scotland, Cumbria and Yorkshire - and the Govan examples are by far the largest.

The bow-sided shape of the hogbacks is similar to the classic Viking house and the interlace patterns on them are also very Scandinavian in origin, according to Prof Stephen Driscoll, professor of historical archaeology at Glasgow University.

"It underpins this idea that this British kingdom of Strathclyde has some strong connections with the Scandinavian world," he says.

"My feeling is that this is meant to represent a lord's hall or a chieftain's hall.

"This type of monument, these hogback monuments, you only find them in Britain. You don't get them in Scandinavia and you don't get them before the Vikings come here.

"So somehow the Vikings come here and see they are in this world where people carve stones all the time and they think 'let's carve us a suitable stone that resonates with us'."

Although the beasts carved into some of the hogbacks could reflect pagan Viking beliefs, the fact that all these stones were found in a church yard suggests the settlers had taken to Christianity.

Even more impressive than the hogbacks is the monolithic sarcophagus which was found buried in the Govan church yard in the 19th century, without a body inside.


Prof Driscoll thinks this probably held the relics of St Constantine - the son of Pictish king Kenneth MacAlpin - who died in AD 876, ironically, fighting against the Vikings.

The sarcophagus of this Christian martyr, which is carved with hunting scenes and the same interlace that is seen on the other stones, was intended to take pride-of-place inside the church, Prof Driscoll says.

But it was probably stuck in the burial ground as an act of "iconoclasm" after the Reformation, he says.

"I think this sarcophagus is to house Constantine's relics as part of making this church into an important place," Prof Driscoll says.

"This is unique. There is nothing else like this in Scotland.



William Bogle had his name added to this ancient tombstone in the 18th century.

It was just not something they did at the time. If you were being buried you would put them in the ground.

Sometimes they lined the graves with slabs but mostly they would be put in the ground in a wooden box or just a shroud, no matter who they were.

If you are king they may put something special on top but this treatment is unknown.

I'm sure they would have seen Roman sarcophagi when they went on pilgrimage and things like that. So they would have had the sense that emperors belong in a sarcophagus."

The other tombstones in the collection, though not as imposing to look at as the hogbacks or the sarcophagus, are also remarkable in the fact that they are only really found in Govan and Dumbarton, places which had a Royal association during the kingdom of Strathclyde.

Govan ceased to be important at the start of the 12th century when Glasgow emerged as one of the centres of the newly-ascendant kingdom of Scotland.

This massive changing of the old order meant that the old kingdom has been largely lost to history and only fragmentary records remain.

Prof Driscoll says the collection should be better-known

The tombstones at Govan were reused in the 17th and 18th centuries by local worthies, such as the Rowand family and William Bogle, whose name is inscribed into one of the ancient stones.

One of the stones was found in Jordanhill, on the other side of the river, where it had stood in the garden of one of the parishioners who had been given it as a gift.

Though there has probably been a church on the site since the 6th century, the current Govan Old church was only built in 1888 and is no longer in use as a parish church.

Prof Driscoll wants to raise the profile of the church and ensure its stones are given their rightful prominence.

A request from the British Museum to feature one of the hogbacks in a flagship exhibition Vikings Life and Legend, which begins in March, is an indication of the growing awareness of the importance of the sculptures.

Gareth Williams, curator of the British Museum Viking exhibition, said: "We wanted to go with one of the Govan ones because it is a particularly splendid example but also because we felt that it would be nice to put Govan on the map a bit more.

"It is a very important site and one which I think deserves to be better known.

"It is one of the best collections of early medieval sculpture anywhere in the British isles."

The smallest hogback, which weighs about 500 kg, will be removed from the church and taken to London on Monday, the first time it has left Govan in a millennium.

The End OS 22760

Chemotherapy Causes Cancer, Science Study Finds From Tapestry -TAP News Blog



IMAGINE if there was a natural remedy everybody was using to treat cancer, but then the FDA and CDC found out it actually caused more cancers to develop in the body, and scientific studies proved it.

How fast would the FDA and CDC come in, shut it all down and make the treatment illegal? The news would be plastered all over mass media and everyone would know. There would be lawsuits for millions, if not billions of dollars, levied against the creators of the treatment. We would never hear the end of it. Consider,

on top of that horror story, if thousands of doctors actually knew that the treatment method was a fraud, but kept using it on their cancer patients anyway because of the major revenue. How many of those doctors would lose their license to practice medicine and how many would serve time in federal prison for malpractice?

A new study that was just published in the journal Science increases the number of cancer cells circulating in the body and in the lungs. The chemotherapy drugs not only created new cancers, but spread them to other parts of the body where they are nearly always lethal. Dr. George Karagiannis, of the Albert Einstein College of Medicine of Yeshiva University, New York, discovered the horrifying fact that chemotherapy caused new cancers for 20 patients receiving two very common chemotherapy drugs. Dr. Karagiannis noted that women could be monitored during chemo to observe when the cancer starts circulating and “doorways” begin emerging. This would be accomplished by obtaining a small amount of tumour tissue after a few doses of the preoperative chemo.

Patients with breast cancer who are given chemotherapy drugs prior to surgery shrink their breast tumours in the short run while triggering the spread of deadly cancer around the body. It’s like sealing up a wound only to find out later you’re bleeding to death internally. What good is that?

Why do the chemotherapy drugs cause new cancers? The doctors and scientists believe the toxic medication literally switches on a mechanism in the body that ultimately allows cancer tumours to come back with a vengeance and kill the patient. Top that off with the discovery that chemotherapy increases the number of “doorways” on blood vessels that allow the cancer to catapult to vital organs and tissues in the body.

If you study the history of chemotherapy, you will find out that Edomite scientists invented it, and they learned back in the (when they went to work for Big Pharma in America) that chemotherapy only temporarily rescinded cancer tumours, but brought back the cancer even worse. This new scientific research only

reaffirms what only a few elitist insiders already knew.



Chemotherapy induces breast cancer metastasis through what is termed a T M E M - mediated

mechanism (tumour microenvironment of metastasis). Plus, breast cancer is one of the most common tumour types, so this type of metastasis greatly increases the risk of death from this cell disorder that is exacerbated and spread by more chemicals.

How many people does the cancer industry KILL every year? Meet two of the most evil doctors on the planet: Farid Fata and David Gorski

What would happen to the cancer industrial complex and the fake “War on Cancer” if every single American stopped falling for the tricky sales tactics of the for-profit cancer industry and found out that chemotherapy creates more cancer? After all, what proof is there that surgery, chemotherapy and radiation are the best chance cancer victims have of surviving? The answer is none. How many Americans are aware that chemotherapy, on average, fails more than 97% of the time?

As of this moment in time, chemotherapy is exposed as a “repeat business model” for pharma. Just like many convicts in prison, chemotherapy is a “repeat offender,” as are the doctors who know this and still administer it on their patients. That brings us to discussing two evil doctors who do know, one of whom is serving 45 years in federal prison for giving chemo to his patients who didn’t even have cancer. In July of 2015, Detroit area oncologist Farid Fata, M.D. was investigated by the FBI and sentenced to prison for defrauding Medicare and private insurance companies, embezzling \$17 million, and dosing his patients to death with lethal doses of chemo he called “The European Protocol.”

The truth about chemotherapy is out and it’s not some conspiracy theory either. It’s been proven by science that chemotherapy creates new deadly cancers in the body.

The End OS22770

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



This long sort after book is now available!

Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937


www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia

**A WONDERFUL WEB SITE
FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS**

<http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew