

The New Ensign

No. 103 March 2018

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial



Dresden Before



Allied Bombing Raid



Dresden After

Dear Reader,

Dresden

Last month was the 73rd Anniversary of the Allied destruction of the beautiful defenceless German city of Dresden.

The first of three waves of RAF bombers came after dark on February 13, with both explosive and fire bombs, creating a violent firestorm, followed with phosphorous bombs that burn even in water. The next day the American bombers came to target the already tortured people who were not yet dead and to interrupt the rescue operations.

Even on the morning of the 15th, more planes showed up in the sky and bombed and strafed the people on the ground who were trying to move about and save themselves, or look for their loved ones. It was an ugly, ugly action - the most deprived of the entire war.

Lady Michele Renouf, was present in Dresden to remember this Edomite atrocity, where it was planned to kill as many as 900,000 German civilians with their three day bombing schedule, using unwitting British and Americans.

Lady Renouf rightly said, the Dresden bombing and that of many other major cities was the real holocaust. She was later detained for five hours and interrogated by the German police.

This brave lady needs to be kept in prayer, as well as Monika Schaefer recently detained while attending the trial of Sylvia Stoltz for defending Ernst Zundell and is now incarcerated in the notorious Stadelheim Prison, in Munich Germany. These two ladies have have been instrumental in waking people up and causing the enemy to shoot itself in the foot! Praise be to Yahweh!!

The Editor

thenewesign@yandex.com

Contents

	Page
The Origin of Talmudism Pastor Eli James	3
In The Name of Yahweh Part 29 - Andrew Carrington Hitchcock	7
Word for Word - Part 13 - Pastor Steven Howard	9
Is Israel A Chosen Servant Race To "Save The World"? (Part 5) - A Kennedy	11
Harold Stough Notes - The Cowal Peninsula	14
Why Today's Churches Are Ineffective Against Evil - Part 6	15
Khazarians Then, Khazarians Now (Part 2)	18
The Ashkenazi Myth Of A "Land Without People For A People Without Land"	21
The Heraldry Of Our Race - J. Richard Niemela	23
We Are Different! - John Trotter	27
Letters and Views	28
The Shakespeare - Intel Project - Miles Mathis	31
Ancient Icelandic Law in Reykjavik - Ken Flanagan	35
Should You Add Spirulina Powder to Your Breakfast? - Mike Adams	37

The Origin of Talmudism

By
Pastor Eli James



**D E A R
R E A D
E R S:** Part
of this article is
excerpted from my
previous post, The
Enmity, Part 6. As
a result of that
article, I have
received much

comment and many questions concerning the Second Temple Period, which began with the Return from the Babylonian Captivity.

If you have already read that article, my apologies beforehand for the repeated material. But this material bears directly upon the Second Temple period, and that is the story that I wish to tell today.

It is absolutely crucial that we understand precisely what happened in the land of Judah during this "inter-testamental" period. Many students of the Bible have assumed and this includes CI students that Judaism began to take hold in Judah among those who returned from the Babylonian Captivity. They believe that the returning Judahites brought Babylonian teaching with them.

I contend that this belief is false, so I have prepared this follow-up article to present my case on the true origin of the religion called Judaism. This article also contains excerpts from my book, The Great Impersonation, How the Anti-Christ Has Deceived the Whole World. Information on how to obtain a copy of this book is provided at the end of this article.

Misconceptions About the Jewish People

The historical issue of when and where Judaism began must be clarified. This issue bears directly on how numerous biblical verses are understood.

It is a fact that most people assume that the Jews are Israelites. I cannot stress strongly enough that this idea is false. My book, The Great Impersonation, How the Anti-Christ Has

Deceived the Whole World, details the 2,000-year-old Jewish pretence and masquerade as Israel. This book tells the parallel stories of True Israel and of those, the Edomite and Khazar Jews, who have been pretending to be us.

Although the word 'Jew' is technically derived from the words 'Judah' and 'Judean,' a Jew is NOT a Judahite. A Judahite is a 100% lineal descendant of the patriarch, Judah. No admixture of alien blood is allowed. This excludes half-breeds (mamzers). God's Law explicitly forbids race-mixing for Adamites and Israelites and Judahites. One look at the Jewish visage and a little bit of historical understanding proves conclusively that the Jewish people are neither Israelites nor Judahites. On this point, most CI teachers agree.

So, why is this important? Because Yahweh's Covenants, which were made exclusively to Israel, are being claimed by a usurper, the Jewish people, under the direction of their priesthood, the rabbinate. Fraud should be exposed wherever it is found; and fraud on so gigantic a scale as this must be shouted from the housetops.

The Jewish impersonation of Israel is the basis of their claim to Palestine. They are impostors,

Steven Books

League Enterprises

Suite 3, 3rd. Floor

148 Cambridge Heath Road

London

E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

usurpers and murderers, in the name of Judah. "The Jews are God's chosen people." "The Jews are God's chosen people." "The Jews are God's chosen people." 'ad nauseam. The world has heard this lie billions of times. No amount of repetition makes the statement any truer. It is, in fact, **the biggest lie of history**. Since their occupation of Palestine is based on this historical fraud, everything they do there is evil; and anyone who supports them in this evil is also doing evil, whether intentionally or unintentionally.

Unfortunately, there are those in Christianity who do not fully understand the nature of this evil.

British Israel Versus American Israel



Howard B. Rand has written numerous books explaining the doctrine of Christian Identity. His book, *Study In Revelation*, is by far the best book ever written on the subject of the Book of Revelation, or the Apocalypse of John. Mr. Rand details, with historical precision, the exact fulfilment of virtually every prophetic statement contained in the Apocalypse.

The only drawback to this book is, since it was written in the 1950's, Mr. Rand had to guess about what we have lived through since then. Nevertheless, I would have to say that this one book, with the exception of Bertrand Compares's taped sermons on this subject, makes all other commentaries on the Apocalypse look childish. That is how highly I think of his work.

But Mr. Rand had a blind spot. He thought the Jewish people were the tribe of Judah! It is understandable why he thought this. He was of the British Israel school of Identity. The basic difference between British Israel and American Israel is that the former teaches what Mr. Rand believed, that the Jews are descended from Judah.

American Israel, on the contrary, understands that the Jewish people are neither Israelites nor

Judahites. He, along with Herbert W. Armstrong and other American followers of British Israel, taught that the Jews are the Tribe of Judah and that the German people are descended from Japheth, the brother of Shem.

Rand and Armstrong have it backwards. The fact is that the German people are descended from the Jutes, Saxons, Sueves, Goths, Teutons, and other Germanic tribes, all of whom are directly descended from the Lost Ten Tribes of Israel.

As the name implies, the Jutes were Judahites. History records that the vast majority of the Judahites and Benjamites were also taken captive along with the Ten Northern Tribes as part of the Assyrian Captivity, 745-715 B.C. Only Jerusalem and its immediate vicinity were not taken captive at this time. Hence, people from all twelve tribes were taken to Media and placed there by the Assyrians.

The various tribes made their escape directly west across Asia Minor or north through the Caucasus Mountains. (This event was prophesied in Isaiah 11:16). The latter migration path cited above explains how the Israelites came to be known as the "Caucasians." These facts are detailed in my book and also in Ted Weiland's book, *God's Covenant People*.

On the other hand, numerous authors, including Jewish authors, such as Alfred Lillenthal, *The Zionist Connection*, Benjamin Freedman, *Facts Are Facts*, Myron Fagan, *The Illuminati*, and Arthur Koestler, *The Thirteenth Tribe*, have proved beyond a shadow of a doubt that the Jews of Eurasian extraction are descendants of the Khazars, who themselves are descended from Ashkenaz and Gomer, of the family tree of Japheth.

Despite their claims to the contrary, 95% of today's Jews are not descended, even partially, from the family tree of Shem. For this reason, they cannot possibly be Shemites! So, when someone accuses me of being an "anti-Semite," I tell them, "How can I be an anti-Semite? I AM A SEMITE!"

Why would British Israel teach that the Germans are not our racial kin? The reason is that Britain fought two World Wars against Germany; and the resultant massive wartime propaganda demonised Germany in the minds of the British

people to such an extent that even Christian Identity in Britain was affected. Howard B. Rand was affected by this propaganda as he came into the Christian Identity Movement via British Israel. Because of this bias, British Israel teaches some absolutely amazing absurdities about the Jews and Judah; and many Americans have been influenced by this false teaching.



Howard Rand
1940's

In his Study In Revelation, Howard B. Rand, as a commentary to Rev. 2:9 and 3:9, says the following:—

"There are those who declare they are Jews, but in reality are the synagogue of Satan. When Jewry crucified Christ they ceased to be Jews in a spiritual sense. Until then, God had been with them but now their place of worship, the

synagogue, had become the abode of the adversary." [p. 12]

Mr. Rand is clearly confused for the aforementioned reasons. He thinks that the Jews were, at one time or another, Judahites. Like so many other deceived people, he thought that the Jewish people originated in the Judahites of the land of Judah. I can assure you that this is not possible.

Just because the rabbis have very cleverly and deceitfully used the word 'Jew' to apply to both themselves and to the House of Judah does not mean that they ever were the latter! If an actor impersonates your brother, does that mean that he IS your brother? Just like Eve, the true Israelites have allowed themselves to be fooled by some very clever, diabolical deceptions.

E. Raymond Capt suffers under the same illusion. In his book, Missing Links Discovered in Assyrian Tablets, he says:—

"After the Babylonian Empire was overthrown by Cyrus, king of the Persians, the exiled Judeans were allowed to return to their homeland. Of the hundreds of thousands originally taken captive, less than 50,000 accepted the invitation to return to Palestine. It is this 'remnant' that became known as the 'Jews,' a name meaning 'remnant of Judah,' and never

having been applied to any branch of the Semitic peoples prior to the Babylonian Captivity ." -- p. 93.

Unfortunately, Mr. Capt has got this completely wrong. I have nowhere else found a definition of 'Jew' meaning "remnant of Judah." It is simply a matter of historical fact that this remnant of Judah fully retained the name, 'Judah,' and they were not known, ever, as Jews, because the Jews, unlike Judah, have always practiced race-mixing and have always practiced a different religion.

The people of Judah called their nation "Judah" until the day that Judah and Idumea, a nation of Edomites at the southern border of Judah, were merged by King John Hyrcanus of Judah around 150 B.C. Until that date, there was no such thing as a Jew.

A Jew is one who pretends to be a Judahite, or, perhaps, better, a Jew is a counterfeit Judahite. Rev. 2:9 says, "I know thy works, and tribulation, and poverty, (but thou art rich), and I know the blasphemy of them which say they are Jews [Judahites], and are not, but are of the synagogue of Satan." Rev. 3:9 tells us, "Behold, I will make them of the synagogue of Satan, which say they are Jews [Judahites], and are not, but do lie; Behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee."

Is it conceivable that Jesus is talking here to the Jewish people, after he has identified them as the "children of the devil"? He is talking to the House of Judah about the impersonating Jews! Who are those who say they are of Judah but are not? Who is it that is impersonating Judah? Judah cannot impersonate Judah. Judah IS Judah.

It is the Jews who are impersonating Judah and Israel. These two statements make no sense otherwise. And, most certainly, the house of Judah is NOT the synagogue of Satan. If you do not understand that Jewry with their priesthood, the rabbinate, is the synagogue of Satan, then you do not understand the New Testament! Why do you think the Jews hate the New Testament so much? Because it is so anti-Jewish!

Who on earth would want to impersonate a Jew anyway? Obviously, the above translation is

terribly defective, and it obscures the historical, cultural and ethnic distinctions that must obtain between two mutually exclusive and antagonist groups: Jews and Judahites! Don't confuse the two! Jesus is talking to Judah and Israel and is warning us about this great impersonation by those Edomites (of the nation of Idumea) who have usurped the name of Judah.

By inventing this term, "spiritual Jew," Mr. Rand and Mr. Capt have bought into the Jewish charade and are thus unaware of the nature and extent of this impersonation.

They seem to think that the Judahites who returned from the Babylonian Captivity underwent some sort of spiritual transformation and became Christ-hating Jews as a result. Obviously, a Judahite can become a Jew by conversion to their religion. But can a race-mixed mamzer (Jew) ever become a Judahite? Hardly.

Yahweh was never with the Jews because the Jews have always been the children of a lesser god and the god that encourages race-mixing in direct opposition to Yahweh! The Jews have never worshipped Yahweh. They only pretend to. They have always been deceivers and they will remain deceivers because that is their nature. It is in their blood.

The source of this confusion can be traced directly to a rabbinical statement which many of our people have latched on to as if it were solid history. Here is the statement:

"The return from Babylon, and the adoption of the Babylonian Talmud marks the end of Hebrewism, and the beginning of Judaism." -- Rabbi Stephen F. Wise, Chief Rabbi of the United States.

Within non-Identity circles, any statement made by the chief Rabbi of the United States is automatically assumed to be authoritative. But these hearers are not aware of the Babylonian Talmud as the true origin of rabbinical teaching. They assume that the Jews were Judahites at one time.

Thus, too many people have taken this statement to be historically accurate. They don't understand that the rabbinate is the world's **oldest professional liars' club**, with 2,000 years of

deception, distortion, invention and trickery, masterfully conceived and presented to a gullible Christian world.



Rabbi Wise's statement is pure rabbinical deception of the most clever kind. The "good" rabbi knows that most of us don't know the history of our own people, so he gets away with several historical inaccuracies in this one, short statement.

Most Christian Identity adherents know that there must have been a historical time when there was a shift in religious teaching, from the Law of Moses to Talmudism.

The statement above appears to pinpoint this transition to the time of the return of Judah from the Babylonian Captivity. The genius of this deception becomes evident when it is realized that most people will assume that, because our people were held captive so long in Babylon, **they must have picked up Babylonian teaching then and there.** But the fact is that they did not. The Books of Ezra and Nehemiah prove conclusively that the remnant that returned from Babylon completely rejected Babylonian teaching and returned, under the guidance of those two priests, to God's Law.

In fact, Yahweh Himself declared that the House of Judah was to be taken captive to Babylon "for their good"! (Jer. 24:5) If Rabbi Wise's statement were true, it would have been a total catastrophe for Judah! The return from the captivity, contrary to Rabbi Wise's statement, which is believed by so many, instead inaugurated a Golden Age of Mosaic Law, **NOT** Talmudism. Indeed, if this were not the case, the Messiah could have never come to a people so completely adulterated as the Jews!

The racial descent laws had to apply or Jesus could not have been born ! So, whom are you going to believe, the Bible or a rabbi?

Here is the whole prophecy from Jeremiah, Chapter 24, giving us the distinction between the good figs and the evil figs:--

"Thus sayeth Yahweh, the God of Israel; Like these good figs, so will I acknowledge them that are carried away captive of Judah, whom I have sent out of this place into the land of Chaldea [Babylon], for their good. For I will set mine eyes upon them for good, and I will bring them again to this land: and I will build them, and not pull them down; and I will plant them, and not pluck them up . And I will give them an heart to know me, that I am Yahweh: and they shall be my people, and I will be their God: for they shall return to me with their whole heart." (Vs. 5-7)

In complete fulfilment of this prophecy, Ezra and Nehemiah re-established the racial laws forbidding intermarriage. They found the old Jerusalem books of genealogy (Neh. Chapter 7) and threw out of the congregation all of those who could not verify their 100% Judahite lineage! Does this square with what Capt and Rand teach? No, it does not.

Rabbi Wise's statement is calculated to deceive in five ways: 1.) It presumes an identity between Judahites and Jews. 2.) It creates a plausible yet


false scenario for the change from Hebrewism (the Law of Moses) to Judaism (Talmudism). 3.) Contrary to what he says, the Talmud was NOT adopted by those who returned.

The Bible teaches otherwise. Here, Rabbi Wise is attaching a false sense of antiquity to Judaism. Judaism was started by the scribes and Pharisees. The sect of the Pharisees did not appear in history until the merger of Judah and Idumea in 150 B.C. This merger was accomplished by virtue of the anti-Mosaic decree of King John Hyrcanus of Judah.

The resulting nation of mixed multitude came to be known as "Judea." 4.) The Talmud, the written version of the oral traditions and teachings of the Pharisees, was not encoded until 500 A.D. 5.) Hebrewism never died. It was restored and energized by Ezra and Nehemiah. It was subsequently defended by the Maccabees; and it was kept alive by the early Christians, and it is today known as Christian Identity.

To be Continued OS16340

In The Name of Yahweh Part 29 Now Banned Worldwide By Andrew Carrington Hitchcock



2 THESSALONIANS 3:10 For even when we were with you, this we commanded you, that if any would not work, neither should he eat.

This would be very upsetting to the welfare crowd, that is if indeed they actually followed

Yahweh's laws.

Why should people sit back and let others work because: they are too lazy to do so; they have dreamed up some excuse; or are playing the welfare game be it an immoral woman, deliberately getting pregnant to claim benefits as this is the quickest way to get a free apartment or house, or a cowardly immigrant who should not be in Israel nations in the first place, but

prefers claiming asylum and entering these nations to milk their systems, to standing up against the political system in his own country that he alleges he has such a problem with.

People who will not work are not simply restricted to welfare, how about these highly paid politicians and government advisers that dream up these ways to steal what their populations work for. How about bankers, bookmakers, pawnbrokers, middlemen of all kinds, who as I have pointed out earlier, are basically pimps, as they create nothing, and only survive off the blood, sweat, and toil of others.

2 Corinthians 6:14 – *Be ye not unequally yoked together with unbelievers: for what fellowship hath righteousness with unrighteousness? and what communion hath light with darkness?*


2 Corinthians 6:15 – *And what concord hath Christ with Belial? or what part hath he that believeth with an infidel?*

2 Corinthians 6:16 – *And what agreement hath the temple of Yahweh (God) with idols? for ye are the temple of the living Yahweh (God); as Yahweh (God) hath said, I will dwell in them, and walk in [them]; and I will be their God, and they shall be my people.*

2 Corinthians 6:17 – *Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith Yahweh (the Lord), and touch not the unclean [thing]; and I will receive you,*

2 Corinthians 6:18 – *And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith Yahweh (the Lord Almighty).*

In these latter stages of the Bible, we can see Yahweh's message is unwavering, don't associate with people who are not of Israel or sinners who are and refuse to obey Yahweh's laws. Separate yourselves from them and obtain the blessings from Yahweh.



Romans 9:3 – *For I could wish that myself were accursed from Christ for my brethren, my kinsmen according to the flesh:*

Romans 9:4 – *Who are Israelites; to whom [pertaineth] the adoption, and the glory, and the covenants, and the giving of the law, and the service [of Yahweh (God)], and the promises;*

Here Paul makes it crystal clear that it is the Israelites (not the Israelis!) who are the covenant race of the Bible, and therefore Yahweh's chosen people. Throughout this book I have referred to them as, "of Israel," so as not to confuse the reader.

Romans 9:4 also reinforces what I stated in regard to **Galatians 4:5** regarding, "adoption as sons." You have to be Israelites first i.e. of the racial tribe of Israel known as the white race today, to be considered for adoption into Israel.

Therefore those of Israel who have forgotten who they are, i.e. the pure white race today, can be adopted in if they follow Yahweh's laws.

I also noticed another mistranslation by the New King James Bible here when they changed, "kinsmen," in Romans 9:3 above, to, "countrymen."

I can only assume this subtle change has been made once again to satisfy the politically correct crowd, as kinsmen means blood relation whereas countrymen is whoever the Jewish controlled western governments just gave citizenship and a passport to in Israel nations.

Interestingly, in Shabbath 116a of the Jewish Talmud it states, "Jews must destroy the books of the Christians, especially the New Testament."

1 Timothy 1:8 – *But we know that the law [is] good, if a man use it lawfully;*

1 Timothy 1:9 – *Knowing this, that the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless and disobedient, for the ungodly and for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers,*

1 Timothy 1:10 – *For whoremongers, for them that defile themselves with mankind, for men stealers, for liars, for perjured persons, and if there be any other thing that is contrary to sound doctrine;*

1 Timothy 1:11 – *According to the glorious gospel of Yahweh (the blessed God), which was committed to my trust.*

Here we have evidence that Yahweh has indeed now written the law in the hearts of his people Israel, so it comes naturally to the righteous ones.

For those unrighteous of Israel, of which today is sadly the vast majority, this passage confirms that the law is still in effect. See also:

Hebrews 8:10 – *For this [is] the covenant that I will make with the house of Israel after those days, saith Yahweh (the Lord); I will put my laws into their mind, and write them in their hearts: and I will be to them a God, and they shall be to me a people:*

1 Timothy 2:8 – *I will therefore that men pray every where, lifting up holy hands, without wrath and doubting.*

1 Timothy 2:9 – *In like manner also, that women adorn themselves in modest apparel, with shamefacedness and sobriety; not with braided hair, or gold, or pearls, or costly array;*

1 Timothy 2:10 – *But (which becometh women professing godliness) with good works.*

1 Timothy 2:11 – *Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection.*

1 Timothy 2:12 – *But I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man, but to be in silence.*

1 Timothy 2:13 – *For Adam was first formed, then Eve.*

1 Timothy 2:14 – *And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression.*

1 Timothy 2:15 – *Notwithstanding she shall be saved in childbearing, if they continue in faith and charity and holiness with sobriety.*

Here we can see the scripture as it pertains to women and contrast it's message of subjection, faith, love, holiness, and self-control, with the ungodly feminism of today.

Let's mention some of most well known proponents of feminism: Gloria Allred; Betty Friedan; and Gloria Steinem, all three of which are Jews.

To be continued OS22084

Details of how Andrew Carrington Hitchcock's books can be purchased click on the avatar below:-



Old Testament

“ Word for Word” Part 13

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 23

1. And was the life 2416 of Sarah one hundred twenty seven years, the years of the life of Sarah.

2. And died Sarah in Qir'yath-Ar'ba 7153; which is Cheb'ron 2275 in the land of K'naan: and

came Ab'raham to lament 5594 for Sarah, and to bewail 1058 her.

3. Rose up Ab'raham from upon the face of his dead, and spoke to the sons of Cheth 2845, saying,

4. "A sojourner 1616 sojourning 8453 am I with you; give 5414 me a possession 272 of a sepulcher 6913 with you, to bury 6912 my dead from before me.

5. Answered 6030 the children of Cheth Ab'raham, saying to him,

6. "Hear us, lord 113: a chief prince 5387 of Elohiym are you among us, in the choicest 4005 of our sepulchers bury your dead; any man from

us his sepulcher will not withhold 3607, for you to bury your dead."

7. And rose up Ab'raham, and bowed 7812 to the people of the land, the children of Cheth.

8. Spoke he with them, saying, "If it is your wish 5315 that I bury my dead in your presence, hear me, and entreat 6293 Eph'ron 6085 son of Tsochar 6714,

9. that he might give me the cave 4631 of Mach'pelah 4375, that he has, that is at the end 7097 of the field 7704; for silver 3701 in full 4392 he shall give to me among you for a possession of a sepulcher."

10. And Ephron dwelled among the children of Cheth; and answered Ephron the Chittiy 2850 Ab'raham in the ears 241 of the children of Cheth, of all that entered the gate 8179 of the city, saying,

11. "No, my lord 113, hear me; the field I give to you, and the cave that is in it, to you I give it; in the sight of the children of my people I give it to you: bury your dead."

12. Bowed down Ab'raham before the people of the land.

13. He spoke to Eph'ron in the ears of the people of the land, saying, "But 389 if you *will*, oh that you would 3863 hear me! I will give you silver for the field; take it from me, and I will bury my dead there."

14. Answered Eph'ron Ab'raham, saying to him,

15. "My lord 113, listen to me; the land *is worth* four hundred sheqels 8255 of silver; between you and between me what is that? Bury your dead."

16. Listened Ab'raham to Eph'ron, and weighed out 8254 Ab'raham to Ephron the silver, that he spoke of in the ears of the children of Cheth, four hundred sheqels of silver, as passed over 5674 to the merchant 5503.

17. And established 6965 was the field of Eph'ron was in Mach'pelah, which was before Mam're 4471, the field, and the cave in it, and all the trees that were in the field, and in all the borders around it,

18. to Ab'raham as a possession in the sight of the children of Cheth, and all that came through the gates of the city.

19. And after this buried Ab'raham Sarah his wife in the cave of the field of Mach'pelah before Mam're: the same is Chebron in the land of K'naan.

20. And establish was the field, and the cave that was in it to Ab'raham for a possession as a burying place from the children of Cheth.

Chapter 23 Notes

Verse 1 - Yashar (Jasher) 24:1;

Verse 2 - 7153 Qiryath-Ar'ba means "city of four". 5594 is to cry loudly in grief. 1058 is loud weeping.


Verse 3 - 2845 Cheth was a son of K'naan, thus K'naaniy (Canaanites), and were known as the Chittiy (Hittites).

Verse 6 - Very different from the KJV here. Ab'raham was recognized as VERY special to Elohiym, and directly blessed.


Verse 10 - Here is the word Chittiy, and later Esaw would marry with two women from them, in direct violation of Yahweh's command against doing so.

Verse 13 - Ab'raham pays for the field and cave, so not to obligate his descendants to the Chittiy later on.

To be Continued OS22541



Is Israel A Chosen Servant Race To "Save The World"? (Part 5) By Arnold Kennedy



THERE IS NO SCOPE FOR RACIAL HATRED.

THERE does not appear to be any scope for racial hatred, even against those (racially) whom God says that He hates "forever"-[Mal. 1:5, "The people against whom the LORD hath indignation for ever" and Deut. 23:7, "Thou shalt not abhor an Edomite" (the Jews say, "Modern Jewry is Edom")]. The Biblical "World View" incorporates all peoples, but with each in a proper relationship with Israel as determined by God.

Is there any scope then for Israel to exercise social injustice, on a racial basis? There is in a sense such as Israel was not to allow foreigners to rule over them, because the foreigner would seek to apply a differing law system, and Israel would seek to obey (worship) others gods. God has decreed, "One law and one manner shall be for you, and for the stranger that sojourneth with you". "Manner" as *mishpat* means "place, court, or seat of judgment".

The stranger is to be judged according to the Law of Israel, just as the Israelite is. The only place for hatred towards the foreigner is when the foreigner hates God's Law, but it must be noted that the same hatred applies towards the Israelite who likewise despises God's Laws.

Psalm 139:21-22 Do not I hate them, O LORD, that hate thee? and am not I grieved with those that rise up against thee? I hate them with perfect hatred: I count them mine enemies.

But as far as difference is concerned, we read such as, "The Lord doth put a difference between the Egyptians and Israel". Strong gives "different" (*palah*) as meaning, "to be distinct, marked out, be separated". Leviticus 20:24 reminds us of God's purpose for Israel, "I am the LORD your God, which have separated you

from other people". This is in the perfect mood, so this putting a boundary between Israel and others as a completed action, for all time.

Social justice based upon Law must be exercised for all races. But when we find a universalised "save the world" belief, where the 'believer' can "continue in sin that Grace may abound", and where the foreigner can continue in his culture, customs and superstitions at the same time as "believing in Jesus", we must have "another gospel". This is where the "save the world" advocates are presenting "another gospel". It is obviously very easy take on "another gospel", and it seems that most do.

Gal. 1:6-7 I marvel that ye are so soon removed from him that called you into the grace of Christ unto another [*hetros* = different] gospel: Which is not another [*allos* = the same]; but there be some that trouble you, and would pervert the gospel of Christ.

Paul then goes on to say, "Let him be accursed" who proclaims "another gospel". Scripture provides no allowance for cultural sensitivity by the people of God towards foreigners. Israel destroyed other races because of their idolatry, iniquity and transgression. God decreed this! God did not use Israel to 'save' this 'world'!

But Israel is presently in no position strength-wise to exercise dominion over foreigners, and will not be until there is national repentance. God has decreed of an obedient Israel in Deut. 28:13, "And the LORD shall make thee the head, and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if that thou hearken unto the commandments of the LORD thy God, which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them".


Israel is not hearkening to the "Commandments of God" and has become "the tail". Isaiah tells us the present situation in Israel as we see in **Isaiah 9:13-15** "For the people turneth not unto him that smiteth them, neither do they seek the LORD of hosts. Therefore the LORD will cut off from Israel head and tail, branch and rush, in

one day. The ancient and honourable, he is the head; and the prophet that teacheth lies, he is the tail. For the leaders of this people cause them to err; and they that are led of them are destroyed".

National problems come from our leaders. There is a "tail" situation for Israel amongst the nations; there is a "tail" situation within the God's nation. "The prophet that teacheth lies, he is the tail". National Israel has no strength over other races whilst she remains a "tail". And, Israel will not become the "head" again until all her strength is gone, her strength presently being taken by foreigners-[Lam. 5:1-7]. In the end God says He will step in.

Deut. 32:36 For the LORD shall judge his people, and repent himself for his servants, when he seeth that their power is gone.

We saw above, "For the leaders of this people cause them to err". Even today, the "save the world" denominational leaders deny the place of "The Commandments of God", even within the New Testament. And, they have changed "save His people" to "save the world", thus causing people to err! In this they hold to the "brotherhood of man and the fatherhood of God" doctrine. They sing, "God and Father of mankind" even if the Bible tells us that God is a Father to Israel only. They say, "Have we not all one father" and thus "profane the covenant of our fathers".



Malachi 2:10-12 *Have we not all one father? hath not one God created us? Why do we deal treacherously every man against his brother, by profaning the covenant of our fathers? Judah hath dealt treacherously, and an abomination is committed in Israel and in Jerusalem; for Judah hath profaned the holiness of the LORD which he loved, and hath married the daughter of a strange god. The LORD will cut off the man that doeth this, the master and the scholar, out of the*

tabernacles of Jacob, and him that offereth an offering unto the LORD of hosts.

It is the Father who says such will be "cut off"!

The thrust of Scripture is that "Israel might be saved" through "the redemption that is in Christ Jesus" [Rom. 3:24]. Jesus came with The Father's purpose; "And He shall save His people from their sins"-[Matt. 1:21]. "People"= *laos* as meaning people, people group, tribe, nation, all those who are of the same stock and language". So no other race could be included in the "covenant of our fathers"! Neither are the churches of the same stock and language!

Those who like to generalise everything in saying there are only two groups of individuals amongst all races, namely the "saved" and the "unsaved", should consider Psalm two, a Psalm that is quoted in the New Testament books of Acts, Hebrews and The Revelation. Here we find, "heathen" as *gowry*, "people" as *l'eom*, "kings" as *melek*, "rulers" as *razan* and "judges" as *shaphat*.

Of the Son we read, "Thou shalt break them with a rod of iron; thou shalt dash them in pieces like a potter's vessel", when the King is "set upon My holy hill of Zion". "Them" is spoken of in national terms, as is "Zion". Again, the foreign races are told in verse 10 to be subject to the King of Israel to survive, "Be wise now therefore, O ye kings: be instructed, ye judges of the earth. Serve the LORD with fear, and rejoice with trembling. Kiss the Son, lest he be angry, and ye perish from the way, when his wrath is kindled but a little". See Acts 4:25-28 also.

THIS PAPER WILL OFFEND THE "SAVE THE WORLD" ADVOCATES.

The last section about racial differences may offend many people, in the same way as the religious people (Pharisees) in Jesus' day were offended -(Matthew 13 and Mark 14). Jesus had just spoken about 'God's Kingdom' (over Israel) and 'Satan's kingdom' in chapter 12. 'Satan' offered Jesus "the kingdoms of this world".

Jesus went on to speak racially about tares and wheat. Wheat comes from wheat seed and tares come from tare seed. God planted the wheat (seed) as "the children (sons) of the Kingdom",

and Jesus says "the enemy" planted the tares (as seed). A bad plant can only produce bad fruit, Jesus tells us-[Matt.7:18]. Even if denominations like to suppose tares can change their "kind" by 'conversion', contrary to God's Law, Jesus never suggests this.



Tares are never made one with the wheat, or into wheat, but they grow together until the reaping time. The tares have the destiny of being gathered up and burned. Many such racial statements are made in the New Testament. Jesus says He is "the shepherd of the sheep"- (the Judean flock and of His "other sheep" outside of Judea). In these things we can see God's purpose for Israel. Jesus made no changes.

We have always to keep in mind where Jesus answered and said, "I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel"-[Matt 15;24]. He told His disciples to go to no others-[Matt. 10:6]. They went unto " 'all the world' of Israel". God, "so loved" the world of Israel!

The book, "The Exclusiveness of Israel" by 'Eliel', has been mentioned. In it the popular traditional doctrines are examined and all objections raised so far are answered. It is not proposed to examine these here, or to demonstrate how all the New Testament is written to Israel only, as a people. The Bible is not written to "The Jews"; it is written to Israel.

Redemption only applies to Israel

Ps. 111:9 He sent redemption unto his people: he hath commanded his covenant for ever: holy and reverend is his name.

Those who like to misuse Jesus' words, "I came not to judge the world, but to save the world", put a wrong context and meaning on "the world";

it is "the world of Israel". In all this, denominations are presenting a wrong purpose as to why God chose Israel to be His "purchased" possession. Yes, what they have changed is "save His people" into "save the world"!

"RIGHT WING" AND "LEFT WING" DENOMINATIONS.

The issues discussed in this article parallel those in politics where we have what is commonly termed the "right wing" and the "left wing". The "right wing" essentially is concerned with nationalism, whereas the "left wing" is concerned with internationalism. The denominations follow Roman Catholicism in its internationalism/universalism (Rome claims to be the Universal "Church") and Judaism (makes proselytes of all races).

Matt. 23;15 Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves.

The Roman "mother of abominations" has seduced the denominations into becoming "left wing", whereas the Bible is "right wing". The "right wing" denominations have some understanding of the relationship of Law and Grace, whereas the "left wing" denominations say the "commandments of God" are done away with. Without supporting the Law of God the "left wing" denominations of necessity must be humanistic in the application of doctrine. Those who try to "hold the truth in unrighteousness" are under the wrath of God by violating His law and justice.

The "right wing" have a proper view of individual responsibility, as expressed below.

Hebrews 10: 26-31 For if we sin wilfully after that we have received the knowledge of the truth, there remaineth no more sacrifice for sins, But a certain fearful looking for of judgment and fiery indignation, which shall devour the adversaries. He that despised Moses' law died without mercy under two or three witnesses: Of how much sorer punishment, suppose ye, shall he be thought worthy, who hath trodden under foot the Son of God, and hath counted the blood of the covenant, wherewith he was sanctified, an unholy thing, and hath done despite unto the

Spirit of grace? For we know him that hath said, Vengeance belongeth unto me, I will recompense, saith the Lord. And again, The Lord shall judge his people. It is a fearful thing to fall into the hands of the living God.

The "left wing" denominations deny personal responsibility, going the way of the world in blaming others (or 'Satan') for their sin, and justifying sin by saying things like, "God understands our humanity" and "God still loves you, no matter what you do". Thus they lead people to believe they cannot fall into the hands of the living God. Their peace is a false peace because they have no fear of God.

Romans 3:16-17 Destruction and misery are in their ways: And the way of peace have they not known: There is no fear of God before their eyes. The "left wing" denominations deny racial differences as expressed through Scripture. Without question then, the "left wing" denominations, in their form of godliness, are to be turned away from-[2 Tim. 3:5]. They hold a parallel but counterfeit belief.

God exhibited nationalism in His choice of Israel as a "chosen" and "peculiar" race. God says He put a difference between Israel and the other races-[See Ex. 11:15]. Jesus expresses this

nationalism in confirming, "I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel". Nationalism continues through the New Testament into the New Jerusalem.

FINAL COMMENT

The "save the world" denominations are doing worse than Jeroboam did [1 Kings 12:31]- when he set other than Levites to be priests. Today they set up foreigners (and women) to try to minister their version of the New Covenant and "Gospel", sometimes to Israelites.

Ezek. 44:6 And thou shalt say to the rebellious, even to the house of Israel, Thus saith the Lord GOD; O ye house of Israel, let it suffice you of all your abominations, In that ye have brought into my sanctuary strangers, uncircumcised in heart, and uncircumcised in flesh, to be in my sanctuary, to pollute it, even my house.

Thus saith the Lord GOD;

No stranger, uncircumcised in heart, nor uncircumcised in flesh, shall enter into my sanctuary, of any stranger that is among the children of Israel.

The End



Harold Stough Notes The Cowal Peninsula and Kilmun Parish Church Sue Bryant-Sharples

THE KILMUN PARISH CHURCH on the Cowal Peninsula, Scotland is named for St. Munn, a seventh-century Celtic monk. The complex, located on the shore of Holy Loch, contains ruins of a twelfth-century church, reconstructed through beautiful illustrations reached through the link [HERE](#).

The church yard contains burials not only of the clergy who served the church, but of the chiefs of Clan Campbell, the regional lairds who began to be interred there in the 15th century. The parish church thus became a centre of religious and political power. Remodelled in stages throughout the centuries and rebuilt in the 19th century, the church and mausoleum contain

silent reminders of a thirteen-hundred-year history.

Fintan Munnu of Taghmon was a relative of the Irish royal family descended from Niall of the Nine Hostages. As a young man, he was educated at the abbey of Bangor and at Kilmore before moving to Scotland to become a monk at Iona, which St. Columba had founded in 563. Both Munn and Columba, with other "wandering saints" from Ireland, created a Gaelic culture focused on Christianity.

St. Munn arrived at Iona only to be rejected by its abbot, and moved to Kilmun, where the monastic community he founded is named for

him (Kil or Cill, is the Gaelic for church). He returned to Ireland where he founded a monastery at Wexford. The saint was involved in the doctrinal controversies of his day, and seems to have been disillusioned by Ireland's adoption of the Roman Catholic date for the celebration of Easter. He retreated to Lough Ree, Ireland, where he became a hermit, but he was

buried at the church at Kilmun after he died in 635. It is curious that the Clan Campbell lairds, the Dukes of Argyll, should choose Kilmun as their burial places, first beneath the floor of the church, then in an adjacent burial aisle and finally in a grandiose mausoleum.

The End

Why Today's Churches Are Ineffective Against Evil (Part 6)

From Our USA Correspondent



S O AS TO BE
"ALL THINGS
TO ALL
PEOPLE" and
especially not to
"offend" anyone he has
removed the cross from
behind his pulpit. Osteen
rakes in gazillions of dollars on

a false theology of "God accepts you just the way you are" and "God wants you to be a winner" (whatever that means) and "God wants you to be materially successful".

No, that's not it! God wants you to obey His commandments. That is how He knows you love Him. God is not going to conform to His creation to suit US and we cannot inherit the kingdom of God by worshiping idols, creating our own god in our mind or, as some theologies espouse, being our own god. God is the potter and we are but the clay. (Isaiah 29:16 and 64:8)

Mark 8:38 Whosoever therefore shall be ashamed of me and of my words in this adulterous and sinful generation; of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed, when he cometh in the glory of his Father with the holy angels.

There are MANY who are going to be rejected by God for rejecting the TRUTH, and the truth can be very difficult to discern in an environment that is built around historical poppycock and outright lies. Osteen lives in a mansion that cost some \$20,000,000 to build.

Jesus Christ, on the other hand, owned nothing but the clothes on His back. What an amazing

contrast! But then, didn't God proclaim that what is highly esteemed among men is abomination in His sight? (Luke 16:15) If it is wildly popular with men (and this, of course, includes women because that is the way our English language was originally constructed) then you can bet your life that it is dead wrong according to God and any preacher who continues to believe and preach the LIE that "Jesus was a Jew" and the Jews are "God's chosen people" runs the risk of being DEAD in a big way.



The Osteen mansion. The false religion of Judeo-"Christianity" pays BIG dividends for wolves in sheep's clothing

And yes, this is meant to shock you into reality. It is virtually impossible for any preacher, teacher or historian who goes against the prevailing tidal wave of brain dead, feel good, humanistic, universal, multicultural, hand-holding, rock and roll praise and worship singing falsehood to get air time, get a book published or even get the time of day, while snake oil salesmen like the mega-preacher Joel Osteen (who is "Jewish") has gathered the biggest congregation of any preacher in the history of America.



Jesus being tempted by Satan who offers him "all the kingdoms of the world" Notice The Hooked Nose of Satan

So as to be "all things to all people" and especially not to "offend" anyone he has removed the cross from behind his pulpit. Osteen rakes in gazillions of dollars on a false theology of "God accepts you just the way you are" and "God wants you to be a winner" (whatever that means) and "God wants you to be materially successful".

No, that's not it! God wants you to obey His commandments. That is how He knows you love Him. God is not going to conform to His creation to suit US and we cannot inherit the kingdom of God by worshiping idols, creating our own god in our mind or, as some theologies espouse, being our own god. God is the potter and we are but the clay. (Isaiah 29:16 and 64:8)

The Osteen mansion. The false religion of Judeo-"Christianity" pays BIG dividends for wolves in sheep's clothing.

It has been covered several times already but in case you are uncertain, here is the question again: "if the Jews aren't God's chosen people who then are they?" Simply put, today's "Jews" are descendants of the ancient Canaanites, the very collection of tribes which God instructed His people, the ancient Hebrews, to destroy upon entering the "promised land". Yes, this sounds quite shocking but it is right there in your King James Bible and it is there for a reason. If you read the passage below from Deuteronomy you'll discover God's specific instructions to the ancient Hebrews (who were WHITE), which was not only to "smite" and "utterly destroy" the Canaanites, but also to "make no covenant with

them" nor "show them any mercy" and definitely not let your sons and daughters "make marriages with them". Of course, the Hebrews, soft hearted fools that they were and still are did not fully carry out God's commandments in regard to the Canaanite tribes, which is largely why we, the Caucasian descendants of the Hebrews, are in such a terrible mess today. Anyone who ignores the specific commandments of God will do so to their own peril:

Deuteronomy 7:1-11 When the LORD thy God shall bring thee into the land whither thou goest to possess it, (the "promised land" – the land of Canaan) and hath cast out many nations before thee, the Hittites, and the Girgashites, and the Amorites, and the Canaanites, and the Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites, (all of these were Canaanite tribes) seven nations greater and mightier than thou;

And when the LORD thy God shall deliver them before thee; thou shalt smite them, and utterly destroy them; thou shalt make no covenant with them, nor shew mercy unto them: Neither shalt thou make marriages with them; thy daughter thou shalt not give unto his son, nor his daughter shalt thou take unto thy son.

For they will turn away thy son from following me, that they may serve other gods: so will the anger of the LORD be kindled against you, and destroy thee suddenly. But thus shall ye deal with them; ye shall destroy their altars, and break down their images, and cut down their groves, and burn their graven images with fire. For thou art an holy people unto the LORD thy God: the LORD thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto himself, (referring to the ancient Hebrews, who were white.

There was no such thing as a "Jew" at this time.) above all people that are upon the face of the earth. The LORD did not set his love upon you, nor choose you, because ye were more in number than any people; for ye were the fewest of all people: But because the LORD loved you, and because he would keep the oath which he had sworn unto your fathers, hath the LORD brought you out with a mighty hand, and redeemed you out of the house of bondmen, from the hand of Pharaoh king of Egypt.

Know therefore that the LORD thy God, he is God, the faithful God, which keepeth covenant

and mercy with them that love him and keep his commandments to a thousand generations; And repayeth them that hate him to their face, to destroy them: he will not be slack to him that hateth him, he will repay him to his face. Thou shalt therefore keep the commandments, and the statutes, and the judgments, which I command thee this day, to do them.



The predecessors of today's "Jewish" rabbis, Pharisees, unwittingly revealed to Jesus that they were not of Hebrew lineage when they boasted of "never having been in bondage to any man". You will note, above, that the ancient Hebrews (descendants of Jacob) had been in bondage to the Pharaoh of Egypt and later they were in bondage to the Assyrians and the Babylonians. Yes, the Edomite Pharisees (predecessors of "Jewish" rabbis) were, in fact, of "Abraham's seed" (descended from Abraham) but ONLY through his profane son Esau, who took Canaanite women as wives, and sired the Edomite bloodline, also defined in the Bible as "Edom" (Genesis 36:1).

John 8:33 They (Pharisees) answered him, (Jesus) We be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man: how sayest thou, Ye shall be made free?

There are **many** who are going to be rejected by God for rejecting the **truth**, and the truth can be very difficult to discern in an environment that is built around historical poppycock and outright lies. Osteen lives in a mansion that cost some \$20,000,000 to build. Jesus Christ, on the other hand, owned nothing but the clothes on His back.

What an amazing contrast! But then, didn't God proclaim that what is highly esteemed among men is abomination in His sight? (Luke 16:15) If it is wildly popular with men (and this, of course, includes women because that is the way our English language was originally constructed)

then you can bet your life that it is dead wrong according to God and any preacher who continues to believe and preach the LIE that "Jesus was a Jew" and the Jews are "God's chosen people" runs the risk of being DEAD in a big way. And yes, this is meant to shock you into reality.

It is virtually impossible for any preacher, teacher or historian who goes against the prevailing tidal wave of brain dead, feel good, humanistic, universal, multicultural, hand-holding, rock and roll praise and worship singing falsehood to get air time, get a book published or even get the time of day, while snake oil salesmen like the mega-preacher Joel Osteen (who is "Jewish") has gathered the biggest congregation of any preacher in the history of America.

So as to be "all things to all people" and especially not to "offend" anyone he has removed the cross from behind his pulpit. Osteen rakes in gazillions of dollars on a false theology of "God accepts you just the way you are" and "God wants you to be a winner" (whatever that means) and "God wants you to be materially successful".

No, that's not it! God wants you to obey His commandments. That is how He knows you love Him. God is not going to conform to His creation to suit US and we cannot inherit the kingdom of God by worshiping idols, creating our own god in our mind or, as some theologies espouse, being our own god. God is the potter and we are but the clay. (Isaiah 29:16 and 64:8)

The Osteen mansion. The false religion of Judeo-"Christianity" pays BIG dividends for wolves in sheep's clothing.

It has been covered several times already but in case you are uncertain, here is the question again: "if the Jews aren't God's chosen people who then are they?" Simply put, today's "Jews" are descendants of the ancient Canaanites, the very collection of tribes which God instructed His people, the ancient Hebrews, to destroy upon entering the "promised land".

Yes, this sounds quite shocking but it is right there in your King James Bible and it is there for a reason. If you read the passage below from Deuteronomy you'll discover God's specific

instructions to the ancient Hebrews (who were WHITE), which was not only to “smite” and “utterly destroy” the Canaanites, but also to “make no covenant with them” nor “show them any mercy” and definitely not let your sons and daughters “make marriages with them”. Of course, the Hebrews, soft hearted fools that they were and still are did not fully carry out God’s

commandments in regard to the Canaanite tribes, which is largely why we, the Caucasian descendants of the Hebrews, are in such a terrible mess today. Anyone who ignores the specific commandments of God will do so to their own peril:

To be continued OS22724

Khazarians Then, Khazarians Now (Part 2) By Preston James, Ph.D.



**Will anyone be sad when they
are swept away?**

THESE KHAZARIAN ROYALS who specialized in Babylonian Talmudic Satanism participated in child sacrifice because they believed it would provide them with more and more satanic powers. These top Khazarians became known as the world’s greatest impostors, usually hiding in other groups by claiming to be part of that group’s genetic and cultural heritage.

Eventually these Khazarian Royals became adept at Babylonian Talmudic “money-magic” that is, making money from nothing by the use of pernicious usury. They often assumed the identity of Judaics and claimed to have ancient Hebrew blood, when they had none and only carried Khazarian blood.

Soon they became the Vatican’s Bankers and were known as “*Hof Juden*” or “Court Jews” by the various Kings, Queens and royalty of the European nations.

They were easily accepted by the Old Black European Nobility families that hijacked the

Vatican who also practiced Babylonian Talmudism and gained power from the satanic, dark-side using secret child sacrifice.

Khazarians became accepted as Cutouts and tools of the Old Black European Nobility who were also Satanists practicing Black Magic occult rituals.

But it is clear that these Khazarians were easily accepted by the Old Black Nobility because they worshiped Satan just like they, and shared in the secret Black Arts and Occult rituals such as child sacrifice. Soon the Khazarians bred their way into the British Royal families and other European Royal Families.

These top Khazarian leaders became known for their expertise in political intrigue, human compromise and blackmail, as well as the administration of hypnotic drugs and special poisons to create deaths that appeared to be due to medical conditions.

They gained control over the City of London when Napoleon was defeated, and proceeded to eventually gain control over all the western world’s monetary creation and distribution systems, which were all set up as private fiat systems with pernicious usury.

These top Khazarian “Black Magic” occult masters hijacked the American monetary creation and distribution system by setting up their own private so-called banking system – the Federal Reserve System in 1913. This was done by using sophisticated bribery, blackmail and human compromise schemes to gain enough votes in Congress and the President’s support to pass this clearly illegal, unconstitutional aberration, the greatest financial crime in history.


Khazarian Kingpins established a beachhead in America.



As an example – PNAC’s Khazarian Kagan family: Donald (dad) Victoria, Robert and Fred Kagan

Once the Khazarian Kingpins established a beachhead in America, they were able to buy up, bribe or human compromise almost all elected and appointed USG officials. Those that didn’t comply were sidelined or driven out by supporting competitors chosen by the Khazarian Kingpins.

Soon all the American political, governmental, corporate, law enforcement, Military and Intel systems were hijacked using the same methods. This has allowed the Khazarian Kingpins to parasitize America, which in practice means making serfs and wage slaves out of most Americans with little recourse.



America was then transformed into the Khazarian Kingpins’ tool to parasitize the rest of the whole world, as so well-described by John

Perkins in his classic book, Confessions of an Economic Hitman.

The Khazarian Kingpins’ motto: buy everyone if possible, otherwise sidetrack them or kill them.

Money creation and distribution systems were hijacked by the Khazarian Kingpins (called “the Moneychangers” by insiders) in every western nation of the world.

The Islamic nations refused to set up banking systems with pernicious usury; and that is why Islamic nations have been targeted for destruction by the Khazarian leaders ever since.

The USG is now being used to provoke any nation like Russia and China and some Mid east nations that refuse to let the Khazarians run their banking.

Khazarian Kingpins are called the leaders of the Rothschild Khazarian Mafia, because that is what it is, a Khazarian Mafia run by the Rothschild family Banksters who have been alleged to be high satanic masters.

Conclusion

History documents what the Khazarians were as a people back in the period of 750 AD to 1250 AD before Russia and Persia destroyed Khazaria for their unrepentant and unimaginably evil ways.

Their rulers and their people in general were bad to the bone suggesting that criminal psychopathology was institutional and culturally based. Others have claimed it is genetic too, but this remains to be studied scientifically.

When King Bulan claimed to have selected Judaism for his own and as Khazaria’s official state religion, he lied and promoted only an outward phony appearance of such while encouraging satanic black magic practices and unimaginably evil occult rituals such as paedophilia and child sacrifice and blood drinking.

The question needs to be asked and answered – after well over 1,000 years have the Khazarian bloodlines and their leaders changed their ways at all?

Are they still a bandit race of hijackers, impersonators, deceivers, cheaters, thugs, and murderers?

Obviously, their top leaders have not changed at all and are in fact worse, because now they have destroyed whole nations and peoples at will, using the American military as canon fodder to commit genocide by war. Or have only their top Kingpins and their chosen Khazarians undeservedly placed in top positions of power remained so unimaginably evil and inhuman?

Since Khazaria was destroyed in about 1250 AD, over 150 nations have booted out the Khazarians for their evil ways. Now because of the Internet, there is a growing awareness that top Khazarians are anti-human thieves, mass-murderers, deceivers and parasites upon the whole world.

The Boycott, Divest, Sanction movement (BDS) is evidence of this growing awareness. Looks like soon the whole world will repeat the actions of Russia and Persia in dealing with the Khazarians.



City of London Statue

Yes, the whole world is getting informed fast about this Khazarian problem, the world's biggest problem, and has just about had enough of the Khazarians' abuse and inhumanity. It's almost a certainty that the Khazarian City of London private Rothschild FIAT World Banking

System is going to soon be eliminated in the coming months.

This alone will decapitate the Khazarian command and control and power base worldwide. Doubt this then do some basic research on BRICS, AIIB, Silk Road System, Shanghai Gold Exchange, and the recent erosion of the US Petro Dollar system with Saudi Arabia accepting currencies besides the USD.

Khazarian Kingpins always hold their timeless, inter-generational grudge. The Bolshevik Revolution was revenge against Russia for its destruction of Khazaria in about 1250 AD.

Approximately 80% of the Bolsheviks were godless Khazarians who raped, pillaged, tortured and murdered over 100 million non-Khazarian Russian citizens. These Bolsheviks did the same thing to Germans when they entered East Germany at the end of WWII. It's a fact that Bolshevism was Khazarianism in disguise. Same for Maoism.

America has been infiltrated and hijacked by the Rothschild Khazarian Mafia, which is dead set on destroying the Christian and Deist Heritage of our Founding Fathers, along with our economy, borders, language, culture sex roles and marriage.

Khazarians have become the destroyers of society and everything that occurs naturally, that is, the natural order of things.

Unless Americans and citizens of the world wake up and displace these Khazarian Kingpins from their high positions of control that they obtained by hijacking, bribery, blackmail and human compromise or murder, America is doomed and so is the whole world.

Mike Harris is the Veteran's Today Financial Editor and radio show host of Short End of the Stick (Tues & Thurs, 7-9 CST). Mr Harris has enjoyed 30+ yrs in the manufacturing, financial, and technology sectors. He's been core to numerous domestic and international start-ups, acquisitions and mergers, skyrocketing profit margins through a highly co-operative / collaborative team approach. His sharp intellect and a fluid intuition was a significant boon during his 2005/6 run for the Governor of Arizona where he emphasized the need to initiate

immediate, practical, working solutions to the issues at hand.

From 1995 to 2000 Mr Harris served as a technical advisor to the Committee on Science and Technology of the US Congress, as well as sitting as Chairman of various boards over the years. With an MBA in finance, from a foundation of Applied Physics and Economics, he has proven an exceptional ability to function

well-above and beyond “the box”. Dubbed “Iron Mike” through his many years as a martial artist, Mr. Harris has clearly demonstrated the resiliency to take blows and to come back fighting. He now brings this extraordinary skill-set to apply his ultimate Vision for a free, abundant, and prosperous society.

Benjamin H. Freedman 1961 speech

The End OS22785

The Ashkenazi Myth Of A “Land Without People For A People Without Land”

From our West Midlands Correspondent



Baron Edmond de Rothschild, wine grower in Palestine using slave labour

1995 “The Founding Myths of Israeli Policy” by Roger Garaudy, octogenarian Communist-turned-Muslim author active in WW II in the anti-German resistance and was arrested and interned. After publication he was charged with violating France’s notorious Gayssot law, which makes it a crime to “contest” the “crimes against humanity” as defined by the Nuremberg Tribunal of 1945-46 and had to pay a massive fine.

Roger Garaudy joined the powerful French Communist Party and became a Communist deputy in the French National Assembly, and a leading Marxist intellectual and theoretician.

15% of Israelis are religious

85% of Israelis do not follow religion or the Rabbis

90% to 95% of Israelis are Ashkenazis and descended from Khazars which have Mongolian-Hun-Slavic-Eastern European blood and no SEMITE BLOOD!

BUT almost 100% claim God gave Palestine to Israelis as fulfilment of a promise made by God

1845-1934 Baron Edmond de Rothschild, known as the “Founding Father of the Yishuv (Ashkenazi immigrants to Israel)” and was the third son of James Mayer de Rothschild, head of the Rothschild family branch in France.


1868 Edmond Rothschild joined the Paris Rothschild House becoming a director of the Est railway company and other family concerns. He examined potential oilfields.

1877 Edmond married a family member Adelheid von Rothschild (1853-1935) of Naples, the daughter of his cousin Wilhelm Carl von Rothschild and had three children.

1891-1892 Following the riots in Russia against the Ashkenani community in 1891–1892, Edmond Rothschild assisted Ashkenazis migrate to Israel.

1880's Rothschilds interest in robbing Arab lands for Ashkenazis began and helped setup the first settlement and dozens more settlements to establish the Zionists. Edmond started the wine industry in Palestine under Ottoman rule including Arab vineyard colonies run by Ashkenazis he helped immigrate from Europe and Russia. He opened two major wineries called Rishon le Zion and Zikhron Ya’akov.

1883–1889 Rothschild covered all the expenses for multiple Ashkenazi settlements in Palestine and visited several times. He encouraged the settlement of Israel by Hebrew speaking, agricultural immigrants and they helped pioneer & manage his wine industry using poor Arabs to do the slaving labour. Edmond Rothschild demanded to remain anonymous in his exploitation and he even refused to support Herzl simply to stay low-profile. He was, of course, prime motivator of the 1917 British Balfour Declaration.



1887 Edmond first visit his wine colonies to inspect the progress that had been made in the first five years. Edmond’s yacht was moored at Port Said and he travelled to Jerusalem over land.

1901 First stage was ruthless slave labour colonialism as Baron Édouard de Rothschild’s (1845-1934) exploited the cheap labour of the Arabs on his vineyards in Algeria and Palestine.

1901 The Jewish National Fund (JNF) said any land the JNF acquired could not be resold, or even rented, to non-Jews.

1905 Wave of Russian Ashkenazi immigrants imported a strange Zionist socialism into Palestine including cooperatives and kibbutzes to form political Zionism requiring land be provided to these Askenanzi immigrants replacing the Palestinian people by taking Arab lands.

1917 Edmond Rothschild was the prime motivator of the British Balfour Declaration

1919 Edmond Rothschild, low-profile, but finally became involved in the post-First World War efforts of the Paris Peace Conference to continue his wine business in Palestine.

CANCER IN PALESTINE

1917 2.5% Zionist owned at time of British Balfour Declaration

1947 6.5% Zionist owned at time of UN Partition Resolution

1982 93% Zionist owned



Left: The clasp ‘Palestine 1945-48’ was added to the General Service Medal and awarded to soldiers who served in Palestine between 27 September 1945 (the date a state of emergency was declared) and 30 June 1948 (when the last British troops departed).

1923 Rothschild established PICA (Palestine Jewish Colonization Association) to administrate his lands in Palestine, placing his son James as its President. PICA was formed to acquire more than 125,000 acres of land for his businesses. In 1929 Edmond Rothschild was named honorary chairman. He died in 1934.

1934 Edmond died at Château Boulogne-Billancourt in France and he and his wife were buried in a Paris cemetery. But in 1954 their remains were transported to Israel aboard a French naval frigate and met with in Israel with sirens and a 19-gun salute and a formal state funeral was held with former Prime Minister David Ben-Gurion giving the eulogy.

All this was made possible in 1954 by Rothschild’s son James offering to pay for the construction of a permanent Knesset building in a letter to Israeli Prime Minister David Ben-Gurion. They were buried near their wineries & the towns of Zichron Ya’akov and Binyamina. Rothschild Boulevard in Tel Aviv is named after him and the FOUNDING ROTHSCHILD FAMILY.

1945 Israelis “emergency laws,” were decreed by the British (Law 124) to give the military authority under the pretext of “security,” to suspend all civil rights, including freedom of movement by simply declaring any area off-limits, “for reasons of state security” and this prevents any Arab from entering his land without authorization from the military.

Then the ministry of agriculture can “take possession of uncultivated land in order to ensure its cultivation.” Israel’s policy is one of systematic plundering of the Arabs. Israelis system of apartheid also involves the appropriation of land.

1948-Present – A massive exodus of Arabs have to flee Israeli terrorists. Israelis have expelled millions of Palestinians from Palestine.

1948 Israelis razed hundreds of villages by bulldozing their houses, their fences, their graveyards and tombs. 1975 Professor Israel Shahak listed 385 Arab villages destroyed, bulldozed, out of the 475 that had existed in 1948

1971 Begin said, “This land has been promised to us and we have a right to it.”

1972 In the major Israeli newspaper Yediot Aharonot (July 14, 1972), Yoram Ben-Porat forcefully reminded Israelis of the Zionist objective, “..there is no Zionism, colonization or Jewish State without the eviction of the Arabs and the expropriation of their land. Driving out the Palestinians and taking over their land was a deliberate and systematic undertaking.

1975 UN General Assembly’s resolution of Nov 10, 1975 classified Zionism as “... a form of racism and racial discrimination.”

1978 Begin said in Oslo, ”If you have the Book of the Bible, and the People of the Book, then

you also have the Land of the Bible — of the Judges and of the Patriarchs in Jerusalem, Hebron, Jericho and thereabouts.

Today — Edmond’s massive art collection of drawings and 40,000+ engravings, including 100 by Rembrandt and 500 illustrated books are now part of Jacob Rothschilds extravagant collection at Manor outside London.



Waddesdon Manor

The End OS22807

The Heraldry Of Our Race

J. Richard Niemela



There are some who assume that the symbolism of both the US dollar and the Great Seal is bound up in the occult views of the Masonic orders or Talmudic influences: but no, rather they are tied to a biblical association with the 13 Ancient tribes of Israel....(usually identified as 12, but with the “Adoption” of Joseph’s two children, Ephraim and Manasseh by Jacob/Israel, they became 13 tribes, as Joseph remained in Egypt)

When a committee was formed after the events of 1776 to establish a design for the Great Seal of the United States, two of its members, Jefferson and Franklin both submitted designs that depicted activities or events associated with the ancient Israelite Tribes.

As a consequence of the “adoption” of Joseph’s two sons, Manasseh as the number 13, that number becomes quite obviously associated with the history of America. I will summarize some of these facts that are extracted from a booklet by Philip E. J. Monson, titled, “*The Heraldry of Our Race.*” (Copy available)

The book is dedicated to—“*Our Race, the Anglo-Saxon-Scandinavian, Celtic, Germanic peoples and their Kindred of Israel and Judah, now re-joined in North America.*” (The Two Sticks)

“In this booklet we will confine our studies to the heraldry of Manasseh, as there is not space

to go into the heraldry of our brethren in Scandinavia and the Kindred nations. We wish to prove our Israelitish origin and to identify the United States of America, through the heraldry of the Great Seal, as Manasseh, the thirteenth tribe of Israel and possessor of the divinely granted, Birth right blessings.

By the recognition of our identity as God's Chosen People we immediately see that there is a Divine commission for America – a great destiny, which is outlined in the Bible; and no other race fulfils that destiny, as described by the prophets, except our Race.



Manasseh, according to the prophets, was to become a great nation—a great people—while his brother Ephraim was to become the prophetically foretold “company of nations”, multitude of nations, or many nations, which God promised Abraham, promises th

at Abraham embraced and believed..(See Gen. 48:12-22, as well as Gen. 49:22-26 and esp. Joshua 17:17-18)

The Shield or Escutcheon: When Jehovah spoke to Abraham he said, “Fear not, Abram, I am thy shield” (Gen. 15:1) A shield means to protect therefore Jehovah is the Protector of our Race. When a sinner (and we are all born in sin and must be saved) takes one of the new dollar bills in his hand and sees this shield on the eagle’s breast, he should remember that God is our national as well as individual Protector, and should respond to that appeal by surrendering his heart and life to Jesus Christ.

The shield is suspended over the vital organs of the eagle, bare of all except the thirteen paleways banded across the top, each stripe of equal width, seven of them white and six red; each piece represents a colony and is arranged in the

order in which it came into the Union. These paleways signify thirteen joined together into one, or one nation out of many.

The only race to whom the Lord God of Israel said “*I am thy shield,*” is Manasseh –America. The God of Israel is making a national appeal to Israel to trust in the God of their forefathers (Ps. 115:9): “O, Israel, trust thou in the Lord: He is their help and their shield.”

The Scroll and the Motto: Ancient manuscripts were frequently written on scrolls of parchment, mounted on two rollers and sealed. This is a national testimony to the fact that we as a nation sprang out from among a company of nations, even though at the time of the separation the mother country had not yet developed into a many-nationed kingdom. It is noticeable that this motto, *E. Pluribus Unum*, like the others on the Great Seal, contains thirteen letters.

The Colours: The colours are reverse from the order of the flag, which begins in red and ends in red, showing an uncompleted task; while the Shield begins in white and ends in white, showing a task completed. The flag portrays national interests, and the Shield stands for spiritual interests.

The Olive Branch: The eagle is holding in his Dexter talon an olive branch and in the sinister talon a bundle of thirteen arrows. The olive branch is symbolic of the prophetic history of Joseph as described by Jacob (Gen. 49:22): “*Joseph is a fruitful bough, even a fruitful bough by a well; whose branches run over the wall.*” How true this is of Our Race, who ran over the wall in Britain and landed on these shores, guided and led by God. We also are aware of the fact that the Olive tree is biblically symbolic of Israel and the Fig Tree is symbolic of Judah.

The Bundle of Arrows: The thirteen arrows in the sinister talon of the American eagle are those of Manasseh, the victorious son of Joseph whose bow is to abide in strength, whose arms are made strong by the God of his fathers, and who never has been and never will be conquered, for “*No weapon that is formed against thee shall prosper.*”(Isa. 54:17)



The Word of God reveals the meaning of the arrows as a symbol of war power, and the Isaacs or Saxons have always been famous archers in the hands of God and are described as God's "battle axe and weapons of war." (Jer. 51: 20) The arrows of the Almighty protect his nation and insure peace, as Jehovah's international police and battle axe and weapons of war. There is no place for pacifism in the heraldry of the Great Seal!

The Crest: The Crest over the eagle's head is composed of the Glory breaking from the clouds, and shining upon the thirteen stars. There is a radiating, outshining glory of God and the glory of his people which he puts upon them. God speaks of Israel as "Israel my glory" (Isa. 46:13). Isaiah says to Israel in the 'isles of the sea', "*Arise and shine, for thy light is come, and the glory of the Lord is risen upon thee.*" (Isa. 60:1)

The Cloud: In choosing the Glory Cloud as part of their National Crest, the United States have chosen the emblem which was symbolic of their forefathers being delivered out of Egypt. The cloud denotes the presence of the Lord, over and in the midst of Our Race. (Num. 14:10)

The Constellation: This is also reminiscent of Joseph's memorable dream, where he saw thirteen heavenly bodies---the eleven stars, and the sun and moon. Neither Joseph nor his tribal representative, Manasseh, can get away from that significant, **thirteen!** Just as the Magi read the prophetic message of the stars, and were led thereby to Bethlehem, so is the Star of Joseph placed in this constellation over the others. And the Stars have a prominent part in the heraldry of the United States of Manasseh.

The Reverse Side: This reverse of the Great Seal has a very impressive message for our race as a reminder of our early beginnings; Isaiah bids us, "*Look unto the rock whence ye are hewn.*" This reverse carries a message of our Israelitish origin and destiny, and a reminder of our forefathers' pilgrimage in Egypt.

The Unfinished Pyramid: Isaiah 19:19-20 says the Great Pyramid was to be an altar and a pillar for a sign and a witness. The message of the Great Pyramid is a message to our race alone and is only decipherable by the Anglo-Saxon inch measurements. It is only the hand of God that has led Ephraim-Britain to place the Sphinx on the reverse of their Great Seal and the Manasseh-America to place the Unfinished Pyramid on the reverse of our Great Seal. The Capstone, shown lifted above the Pyramid, represents Jesus Christ, the Chief Cornerstone.

The All-Seeing Mystical Eye: In that Pyramidal and triangular headstone (capstone) is the All-Seeing Eye, the emblem of the God of the Bible, from which is radiating the unclouded glory of the Coming One who is the hope of Our Race; "*The Only Wise God,*" Our Saviour who declares He will come again. In Ps. 32; 8 his promise to Israel was "*I will guide thee with mine eye.*"

The eye symbolizes also the watchful God of Israel watching over His Chosen People and making possible the achievement of our national destiny.

I will set mine eyes upon them for good. (Jere. 24; 6) The Lord's portion is his people; Jacob (Israel) is the lot of His inheritance. He found him in a desert land, and in the waste and howling wilderness; and he led him about, he instructed him, he kept him as the apple of his eye. (Deut. 32:9-10)

Annuit Coeptis: He (God) hath prospered our Undertakings. "*And the Lord was with Joseph*" and "*The Lord made all that he (Joseph) did to prosper.*" (Gen. 39:2-3)

Novus Ordo Seclorum: A New Order of the Ages, or A Mighty Order of the Ages is born

anew; denotes a New Age, the Sabbath rest promised to the people of God; the seventh millennium. This prophetic motto declares the beginning of a new nation –the new Israel Revival – established upon new principles ---with the Kingdom Administration of Jesus Christ in effect; a glorious rest for God’s people; a thousand years of peace, during the sinless reign of the Prince of Peace; the writing of His laws upon our hearts, when everyone knows the Lord, from the least to the greatest.



M. D. C. C. L. X. Those numerical letters, 1776 A.D. denote the birth of this God-favoured Nation, which was “*born in a day.*” See Isaiah 66:08. which provides a most dramatic and unique comment about a new nation, another “Zion.” From the final chapter of Isaiah we read:

”Who hath heard such a thing? Who hath seen such things? Shall the earth be made to bring forth in one day? Or shall a nation be born at once? For as soon as Zion travailed, she brought forth her children.”

Those who would seek more understanding about what God has deemed to be a future for Israel/America/New Jerusalem, should read the subsequent verses in this chapter 66 of Isaiah

...

Related to these comments is a booklet written by Pastor Sheldon Emry titled, **The Old Jerusalem is Not the New Jerusalem...** There, Pastor Emry outlined the future of Israel which is to emerge, biblically designated as both the daughter of Jerusalem and the daughter of Zion, who will be fitted into the coming, New Jeru-

salem.. Pastor Emry points out several significant and critical biblical references that confirm this future association of America/North America as the New Jerusalem:–

“But in the last days it shall come to pass, that the mountain of the house of the Lord shall be established in the top of the mountains, and it shall be exalted above the hills and people shall flow into it.” (Micah 4: 1)

Pastor Emry reports also, that “. . . *even our troubles testify of our identity.*” Micah 4:9-10:

“Now why dost thou cry aloud? Is there no king in thee? Is thy counsellor perished? For pangs have taken thee as a woman in travail. Be in pain and labour to bring forth, O daughter of Zion, like a woman in travail: for now shalt thou go forth out of the city, and thou shalt dwell in the field, and thou shalt go even to Babylon; there shalt thou be delivered; there the Lord shall redeem thee from the hand of thine enemies.”

Note therein, that we, today, certainly have “**gone to Babylon**”—we are captive to that element of humanity biblically designated as “Babylon”, they who had adopted the two key features that led to Babylon’s power -- Usury and Debt, or Fiat Funding. But also note, that again, the Lord steps in to resolve our troubles. “*...redeemed from the hand of our enemies.*” Our future is confirmed by the following words from verse 8 of Micah 4:

“And thou, O tower of the flock, the stronghold of the daughter of Zion, unto thee it shall come, even the first dominion; the kingdom shall come to the daughter of Jerusalem”

There, we are advised: -- North America evolves into having the “**first dominion**”, and the **Kingdom comes to the daughter of Jerusalem...**I suggest re-reading all of Micah 4 for even more proof and justification for who we are!

The End 22808

We Are Different!

John Trotter - Winmalee, Australia



and understanding, the people have aligned themselves with false shepherds. The cities of the western world have become the breeding grounds for mischief, excitement, triviality and a false sense of happiness. Cities have become financial symbols of Babylon or "confusion". How many would think of cities that way? Yes, we are different.

I HAVE COME TO THE CONCLUSION that if a small percentage of people believed as me, I would probably search out another belief. The belief that Identity teaching is only meant for a remnant, at this time, has stopped me from feeling lonely and despondent in a world of triviality. The Bible is clear that Israel as a nation is very special: the remnant is part of that nation.

It is a fact, history is unfolding due to a few, who either regress or advance the movement of progress. The great bulk of mankind ride the waves of history either as people deprived or as beneficiaries because of a few who improve life's quality. It is the remnant within Israel that God is going to use to take His nation into the Millennial rule of Christ on earth. Other nations will then be blessed as a result of Israel returning to her role as a servant people.

We have different views to most on almost every subject that the Bible mentions. Our views on what is causing world leaders to fail to bring about the many urgent solutions, is an example. Schools of higher learning are a real problem. The problem does not lie with those at the "coal face". If anyone suggests that if the Laws given to Israel, were applied as a way out, the response would be, that those Laws only applied to ancient tribes who could not find their way out of a desert. Such a view would be considered too simplistic for the world of "high finance".

The people are scattered sheep without a shepherd (political and spiritual), who have fed themselves and not the flock (Ezekiel 34 : 2 - 5; Matthew 9 : 36). The leaders cannot be held totally accountable for the present failing state of the nation, "for the people love to have it so" (Jeremiah 5: 30, 31). Due to lack of knowledge

I am increasingly aware of how my understanding is so different to even those who seek the Truth. It is amazing how 2 people can read the same passage of Scripture and yet have very different viewpoints. Much of this arises due to spiritualising the Bible and also replacement theology, where Israel becomes the church. The Gospel of the Kingdom message and the Parables are only seen as a relationship with Christ. Even if the Kingdom of Christ is believed to be on earth in the future, there is no understanding as to how it will function.

When we believe that the Bible is primarily about the history of Israel, from Abram's calling to future **events**, it is obvious that our understanding of world events is going to be vastly different to the accepted views of the pastors and the sheep under their care. From the meaning of the word "ecclesia" or called out ones, you can see that the meaning is one of separation from the world, which is one of the themes in the Bible. Ecumenicalism has no part in God's plan. Israel was supposed to be separated from all other nations.

Following are some of the many issues raised in the Bible that relate to Israel: Election, Redemption, Adoption, and Grafting. "Other sheep I have which are not of this fold" (John 10: 16) refers to the Northern House of Israel, including those taken from the Southern House and taken to Assyria. The Old and New Covenant are covenants that pertain to only Israel. The error that the word "Gentile" refers to people who are not of Israel has added to the confusion of "who is God's Servant nation?" (Isaiah 44: 21). I do believe that individuals of all races can know Christ as their Saviour, but not as their Redeemer (Isaiah 54: 5, Galatians 4: 5). Even the saying "the lost sheep", is an Israel

issue. It is true: "God counts the hairs of our head, and sees every sparrow fall" (Matthew 10: 29 - 32).

Many books have stated that Identity teaching is cultic. Please consult a decent dictionary to clarify this matter. Not one definition will suggest that the word "cult" is teaching something wrong. That has become the role of the media.

Some definitions state that what is believed is different to the main stream of thinking. What is wrong with that? , for Christ is the best example of someone who astonished the people with His doctrine, "for He taught them as one that had authority, and not as the scribes "(Mark 1: 22). The interesting point about the word "cult" is its Latin origin from which the word "cultivation" is derived, a labouring or worship. A good dictionary will show that the meaning of the word "cultivate" is very relevant to our belief and endeavours.

We know from "ecclesia" history how the State pursued these cultic believers to the ends of the earth during the first 200 years. The Gospel of the Kingdom was to be taken to the "lost sheep of the House of Israel "(Matthew 10: 6; 15: 24) even to the ends of the earth. That was God's plan. That included England and Japan. Before long, those "who crept in unawares "(Jude 1: 4), planted the seeds of division and apostasy.

Whether it be politics, economics, finance, social issues, etc. we have differing opinions and solutions. We believe that if God's economic and financial Laws, were applied, we would rid the world of the curses resulting from disobedience. The best word to describe our condition is the word "mortgage "which in French, means death grip.

Christ must be at the centre of both our personal lives as well as the decision making of our nation. Because the churches do not teach God's economic and financial laws they cannot provide any solution to the curses arising from disobedience.

How better off we would be, if money had no value and therefore no need to invest ; or true wealth was defined by what man produced from God's providence and good health was included in this definition ; or the nation's economic policy was written with the belief " that the earth is the Lord's and the fullness thereof" (Psalm 24: 1) . Many other matters could be mentioned.

My opening thoughts in this article are based upon the words of Matthew 7: 13, 14 where it says: "and few there be that find it". May our prayer be thanking God that we are a small remnant and that we do not think like the wisdom of this world for it is "foolishness" (1 Corinthians 3: 19).

The End OS22813

Letters And View



William Cobbett wrote in The Political Register XVIII, July 14th 1810:

I set to work to read the Act of Parliament by which the bank of England was created (in 1694). The investors knew well what they were

about. Their design was to mortgage by degrees the whole of the country... land... houses... property... labour, the scheme... has produced what the world never saw before — starvation in the midst of abundance." **From A. Edwards, Bristol, UK.**

Quotes

When through a process of law the common people have lost their homes, they will be more tractable and more easily governed by the strong arm of the law applied by the central power of leading financiers. People without homes will not quarrel with their leaders. This is well known among our principle men now engaged in forming an imperialism of [crony] capitalism to govern the world. By dividing the people we can

get them to expend their energies in fighting over questions of no importance to us." -- **J.P. Morgan**

Am I therefore become your enemy, because I tell you the truth? Galatians 4:16

From our West Midlands Correspondent

The Many Nations of Israel

Sir__, Your readers might be interested in this letter, I sent to an editor of another Identity Magazine:—

I must have come across this article when I helped to clear a house of a friend of mine and have now had time at last to read it.

It begins with a very pertinent question about Europe, but then never mentions Israel in Europe! A friend of mine was the distribution manager of the times group of newspapers and often travelled throughout Europe.

He remarked that when the churches were round, that is, they had a dome, the people were always going round in circles. Of course the temples of Baal were domes and these should not be referred as Christian churches.

These Domes then mark the borders of Israel in Europe. It was the Israel nations who became Protestant after 200 years of Roman domination. With the exception of France (Reuben) and Spain.

In the New Ensign's adverts page, Germany's own identity magazine is advertised. Your booklet (another UK identity organisation) completely ignores Israel in Europe! This must have gone on for many years and caused great confusion.

In the early days of World War II, the great American reporter Quentin Reynolds came to the UK by sea. He reported the voyage in his book. A Swedish passenger told him we would beat Germany because "no weapon formed against you shall prosper". Thus he did not know his own identity or that of Germany!

Our enemies, Eden – Esau don't fight us now, they get Israel nations to fight each other! The 20th century was a disaster for all Israel and the troubles continue. Historians without the identity knowledge missed the main points of history particularly since a few days after the Battle of Waterloo. And the article I am referring to does not help!

With Israel under Edom – Esau control, Britain can expect war criminals on their own side. Winston Churchill with an Edomite mother is a good case in point. In a small town of Leven in Fife, Scotland, everyone was saying "we will not be bombed, we are not a military target." This stopped on 15 September 1940, when at last at last the east end of London was bombed. Hitler, had finally replied in kind to Winston Churchill's bombing of civilian areas.

This half Mongol became Prime Minister on 9 May 1940. On the very next night of the 10th – 11th May he bombed civilian areas in Berlin and continued bombing civilian areas contrary to the usage of war and international treaties (Treaty of Paris 1856) he continued for the rest of the war, murdering Israelite women and children. He did another serious war crime.

As did the Edomite Roosevelt! Why did Japan attack Pearl Harbour? You don't know do you? I will leave that aside to deal with the post war criminal Eisenhower's death camps.



1.7 million German soldiers at the end of the war were not accounted for. They died in Eisenhower's death camps. The Red Cross were not allowed in, when Adolf Hitler allowed them in all his camps including his famous death camps. Curiously, they never found the gas chambers..

There is lots more that could be said about all Israel which has been taken for fools by Eden – Esau. But the good news is that our Lord's

prophecy – "nothing is hidden that shall not be known" (Matthew 19:26) is coming into operation.

After 11 centuries of Romanist religion, Gutenberg invented movable type, which fuelled the Reformation. A cardinal said the invention should be suppressed, for it will kill us!

The Internet is many times more powerful than the printing press and secrets are becoming ever more difficult to keep. **Yours truly, the ancient Mariner.**

Martin Luther and The Reformation

MARTIN LUTHER



According to the records of B'nai B'rith, Luther was initially influenced and financed by Jewish conspirators but later discovered and exposed their deception.

“We are the Fathers of all Revolutions, even of those which sometimes happen to turn against us. We are the supreme Masters of Peace and War. We can boast of being the Creators of the REFORMATION! Calvin was one of our Children; he was of Jewish descent, and was entrusted by Jewish authority and encouraged with Jewish finance to draft his scheme in the Reformation.

“Martin Luther yielded to the influence of his Jewish friends unknowingly, and again, by Jewish authority, and with Jewish finance, his plot against the Catholic Church met with success. But unfortunately he discovered the deception, and became a threat to us, so we disposed of him as we have so many others who dare to oppose us. . . ”

(From a series of speeches at the B'nai B'rith Convention in Paris, published shortly

afterwards in the London Catholic Gazette, February, 1936; Paris Le Reveil du Peuple published similar account a little later). (The Catholic Gazette, February, 1936).

Luther was an Augustinian monk of the Strict Observance in a German monastery.

“A member of Martin Luther’s order appointed, in accordance with the ‘Rule of Saint Augustine’, to read while the other monks eat in order that the monks may focus upon their spiritual hunger for the word of God as well as their physical hunger. . .

“Attempts to head off laxity in the Order led to the establishment of the ‘Observant’ wing to which Luther belonged by virtue of joining the Erfurt house. His fellow German Augustinians showed sympathy in 1518 with Luther’s theological stand, but in that same year his Augustinian superior, Staupitz, released him from his monk’s vows.

“Johann von Staupitz, Vicar General of the Augustinian Order (c.1460-1524): Monk, spiritual adviser. Staupitz was the senior figure in Luther’s Augustinian Order in Germany and the leading light in the strict or ‘Observant’ wing of it. Luther frequently praised the spiritual comfort Staupitz had given him, though the older man did not join Luther’s movement.” - John Osborne, “Luther,” Royal National Theatre).

Luther’s personal seal was the Rosicrucian rose symbolizing the lost tribes of Israel.

In ENGLAND BETWEEN THE YEARS 1450-1485 there was a series of civil wars known as ‘Wars of the Roses’ occurring between the rival Houses of York and Lancaster. Each side was represented by a rose, York by a white rose, and Lancaster by a red rose.

At the end of the struggle a partly Welsh noble named Henry Tudor became king. Henry claimed to unify in his person the two rival sides. He chose as his symbol a rose with both red and white petals (i.e. a large white rose with a smaller red rose overlaid on it) and with five green leaves around it.

“On May 4th, 1521, after the sitting of the Diet of Worms (Jan-May 1521), where he successfully argued his case against the Papal

Bull of Excommunication, [Martin Luther] was intercepted by horsemen, in the Thuringian forest, of the elector of Saxony. They led him furtively to the electors castle of the Wartburg. The heroic monk, while under Papal Excommunication, had won the sympathy and support of a large proportion of his (German) countrymen on material, economic and religious grounds. While at Wartburg he used the pseudonym of 'Knight George' till 1522...

Martin Luther wrote:—

The Jews and Their Lies by Dr. Martin Luther (1543)


“*Warning Against the Jews*” by Martin Luther (1546)

From our Norwich Correspondent

The End

The Shakespeare - Intel Project

By Miles Mathis



AS USUAL, this is just my opinion based on personal research.

I have had many requests to do this one over the years, and I have finally gotten around to it. You may be surprised to discover that it never interested me that much, and you are about to find out why.

You see, I intuited that all the alternative theories were also misdirection, so I didn't wish to take a side. As we saw in my Kennedy research, variant theories can be planted to keep you off beating the bushes, far away from the main action. So even before I figured out what was really going here, I could see that most of those promoting Bacon or De Vere or Derby or Marlowe were also lying or hedging. For instance, Mark Twain was promoting the Bacon as Shakespeare theory in the 19th century.

Well, I didn't trust Twain on this, and now you know why. I have written a long paper on Twain, and we find him from the same families as these

guys. And so my hunch regarding that was proved true.

Ignatius Donnelly was selling the same theory at about the same time (1888) in his book *The Great Cryptogram*—and doing a pretty good job of it. His opening chapters, showing that Shakespeare could not have written the plays, are completely convincing. And true. However, it turns out Donnelly was also a spook, and I assume he was from the same families.

His genealogy is scrubbed past his parents, with no grandparents listed, which is strange for a US Congressman from Minnesota and Lieutenant Governor from just over a century ago. However, we find 67 Donnellys in the peerage, including Vice Admiral Sir Ross Donnelly, who became an admiral in 1838. His daughter married Baron Audley, whose grandmother was Susannah **Robinson**.

This Robinson married Baron John **Hussey** Delaval, which links us to the Husseys and Robinsons of the Lizzie Borden hoax. His mother was Rhoda Apreece, which looks like a Jewish name. The Husseys link us to the Fairfaxes and Cravens. These Fairfaxes link us immediately to the Sheffields, Earls of Mulgrave, and the Cranfields, Earls of Middlesex.

We also find a James Donnelly, whose daughter married Francis **Baring**, 5th Baron Ashburton, in 1906. We will see the Barings again below, of **Barings Bank** and Northumberland. His grandmother was a **Fox-Strangways** (Earls of Ilchester), and they were related closely to the **Murrays** and **Digbys**. We also find a John Donnelly of Fall River, MA, in the 19th century.

Of course that links us to the Lizzie Borden hoax again. No clue why he is in the peerage, since he is otherwise scrubbed. The Donnellys were also related to the **Stuarts** and **Cecils**, whom we will see below.



So you see why I was leery of the Bacon (above) theory, at least. It took me until this year to unravel the Shakespeare mystery, and that is because it required research outside the normal channels. That is, it required me to research beyond the given arguments and counter-arguments.

I was never going to figure this one out simply by reading previous dissertations and trying to tease the truth out of them. Why? Because the really interesting truth wasn't contained in them. Again, as with the Kennedy assassination, the real truth hadn't been discovered or told until I came along. The story was much deeper and more complex than any had imagined. In fact, I only tripped across the answer when I wasn't looking for it.

It ended up requiring years of genealogical and historical research on all these guys like Bacon, Derby, etc., and I did that research for other reasons. I came to understand who Derby was not by researching him in connection to this question, but by researching his family the **Stanleys** in connection to many *other* questions.

You will say, "If that is true, it sounds pretty interesting. Are you saying you are still blasé on the subject?" No, now that I have the tools to see through all the misdirection, I have to admit that the question is fascinating. Which is why I started writing today. But back when it was just a matter of choosing Shakespeare or Bacon or Derby or whomever, it wasn't so fascinating.

All the previous theories bored me a bit, because none of them gave us a good answer as to why the fake was perpetrated. To me, that

was the really interesting question, and none of them addressed it.

They told us it was because these nobles didn't want to be seen as nasty writers, but I could see that answer was a diversion. Writing wasn't seen as a nasty profession back then. *Acting* was, but not writing. After all, Bacon admitted to writing his philosophical and political treatises, so why not admit writing poetry and plays? It never made sense to me, and once you know the truth you will see that the old stories were just cover.

Now, my readers know I don't do things the normal way, and so they will not be surprised to see me skipping past all the previous research with only a sniff. I am not going to rehash the old claims and try to sort through them, since—as I say—this is what they want you to do.

They want you to get lost in all the claims and counter-claims, because if you do that you will never ask the really penetrating questions. The only thing I am going to do before I drive around that mass of confusion is comment on my title briefly.

Yes, there probably was a Shakespeare the actor, and he probably was born in Stratford, though it doesn't really matter one way or the other. What my title means is that the **great author** you know as Shakespeare never existed.

Shakespeare the actor was just a front chosen for a writing committee. In this, the previous theories are partially correct. They tell us we have been lied to, which—you will not be surprised to hear—is correct. However, I will show you that the lie is much bigger than any of them have admitted.

As another bone I can throw to the alternative theorists early on, I will say that pretty much *all* their guys were involved in the writing committee. It was a big committee, since the works of Shakespeare are vast and varied. But again, I am not going to get into the question of who wrote what percentage, since I don't think that is the interesting question. The interesting question is "to what end were these things written and promoted?"

You have already seen what got me in: the name **Stanley**. There is a reason the “Derby theory” isn't promoted as aggressively as the others, I think: they don't want anyone to see what I finally saw. The four main contenders for the real Shakespeare are Sir Francis Bacon; Edward de Vere, Earl of Oxford; William Stanley, Earl of Derby; and Christopher Marlowe. But of those four, Stanley has been the least promoted.



Historically, Bacon has probably gotten the most attention. De Vere is the current favourite. And a lot of ink has also been spilled over Marlowe. But somewhat less has been spilled promoting Stanley. It is also interesting that Stanley is normally referred to as “Derby”. They prefer not to remind you over and over he was a Stanley, for what should be obvious reasons to my readers.

And yet I believe Stanley is probably the central character here. Why? Read my previous papers of the past five years and you will see why. These Stanleys have been behind many of the biggest projects of the past 600 years, including putting the Tudors on the throne, faking the Revolution, the Beatles project, the Hitler project, and the Mussolini project, among many others.

They have been pulling the biggest strings in England since the time of Henry VII, and probably before. Remember, they were the Kings of Mann, and Anglesey was part of their territory. I have shown that they were so powerful they preferred *not* to be ennobled past Earl, since they wanted the attention off them. They preferred to let other less powerful families be Dukes and Kings.

I have a lot of research left to do on the Stanleys: my research so far is just the tip of

the iceberg. But finding the Earl of Derby involved here was like a bomb going off in my brain. It told me immediately the Shakespeare project was much deeper than anyone had so far conjectured.

Those promoting Bacon should not be despondent, since elevating Stanley does not jettison Bacon. Bacon was definitely involved, but not I think as the lead writer. Like the Stanleys, Bacon was also from these top crypto-Jewish families that went back to William the Conqueror and before.

Bacon has not been quite as glittering a name in my research as Stanley, but the Bacons were not only very prominent—far more prominent than has been admitted—they were also *related to the Stanleys*. In fact, all these people were related, including De Vere, Marlowe, and the rest. This is another thing that clued me in to what was really going on here: I could see that these alternative authors were not just a motley crew thrown together by chance or circumstance. They were hand-picked from the top families for this project.

For example, there are 394 Bacons in the peerage, including our Sir Francis. He was a Viscount, of course, but his half-brother was a Baronet—one of the first in 1611. So were his three nephews. Bacon's niece Elizabeth married a Mansel, whose mother was a **Somerset** (Earls of Worcester). This niece married the Mansel *before* 1600, which is important, since it means the Bacons were not marrying into Earldoms due to Sir Francis' Chancellorship.

Francis didn't hit the big time until about 1603, when he was knighted by King James I. What does this indicate? It indicates the Bacons of the peerage have been partially scrubbed. They must have been extremely prominent even *before* Francis joined the peerage and before his half-brother was made a Baronet in 1611. Commoners do not marry the daughters of Earls, except in the case they are billionaires. Anyway, through these Somersets, the Bacons were immediately linked to the **Nevilles**, the **Herberts**, and the **FitzAlans**. Of course, we have seen the FitzAlans are the same as the **Stuarts / Stewarts**, and all these families were tight with the Stanleys.

Through the Herberts, we link immediately to the Beauforts, **Beauchamps**, Arundels, **Berkeleys**, Despensers, Devereaux, and **De Veres**. The De Veres are also closely related to the Nevilles and the **Howards**, since the 12th Earl of Oxford married a Howard and the 13th Earl married a Neville. The 16th Earl also married a Neville, and she was the stepmother of our De Vere, 17th Earl. At this time the De Veres were also closely related to the **Cecils**, the **Goldings**, and the **Sneyds**. We saw the Sneyds in my paper on MLK.

But here's the big one: the Stanley were are looking at, 6th Earl, was married to Elizabeth de Vere,

daughter of the 17th Earl of Oxford. So two of our candidates are that closely related. Oxford was the father-in-law of Derby. They don't normally tell you that, do they?

What did these Stanleys look like, I wonder?



The portraits of the 6th Earl aren't that good, but that is his son James. Shocker, right? That he looks Jewish. Look at the length of that nose. The subtext to that painting is “James Stanley was a man of deep religious feeling and of great nobility of character”. Does it look that way to you? Not to me. He looks weak and untrustworthy to me.

OK, but how does Christopher Marlowe fit in? Well, I confirm that he faked his death to join

the writing committee, though I won't get deeply into the question here. That faked death has been a prominent theory for a long time, and it is central to the Marlowe-as-Shakespeare project.

Any skimming of the evidence shows huge anomalies with the death, all pointing to a fake. Confirmation of that is the mainstream response to those anomalies, which as usual is pathetically weak. As they do now with “debunking” 911 or any other hoaxes, all they do is dismiss the theory with a wave of the hand, without addressing any of the evidence. If they do look at evidence, they misdirect in a ham handed manner, giving it credence with their own ineptness.

But the main clue with Marlowe is that he signed his name Christofer **Marley**. Makes us think of Jacob Marley in *A Christmas Carol*, doesn't it? I don't think that is a coincidence, since Dickens wrote both Marley and Scrooge as Jewish stereotypes—which is OK since Dickens himself was a crypto-Jew. If he wants to write characters like that, he is free to do so as far as I concerned.

Accusing Jews of anti-Semitism is the height of foolishness. Anyway, it looks to me like Dickens was referencing “Christofer Marley” there, so I see it as a clue.

Marlowe's bio, like Shakespeare's, looks faked. He was born in the same year as Shakespeare, within two months, although there is no record of his birth. Both may have been born on the 26th, which is another marker: 2+6=8. We are told his father was a shoemaker, but somehow he managed to attend King's School in Canterbury and Cambridge University. Both very exclusive, of course: they didn't admit the sons of cobblers.

The Privy Council intervened at his graduation, commending him “for good service to the Queen”. Beg pardon? What had this cobbler's son done for the Queen while at Cambridge? Join MI5, perhaps?

You think that is a stretch? I am not the first to come to that conclusion. Wiki admits Marlowe may have been working for Walsingham's secret service, and that he may have joined it while at Cambridge.

This is interesting because Walsingham was the Queen's principal secretary, known as her **spy master**. But it gets better, since Walsingham's stepfather was John Carey, uncle of Henry Carey, 1st Baron Hunsdon. Hunsdon just happened to be the principal patron of Lord Chamberlain's Men, **Will Shakespeare's theatre company**. Since Hunsdon's mother was Mary Boleyn, mistress of Henry VIII, he may have been the Queen's half-brother. Also note that Hunsdon was married to Anne **Morgan**, daughter of Sir Thomas Morgan and Anne **Whitney**. Anne served Queen Elizabeth as Lady of the Privy Chamber. The name Morgan is about to come up again.

Henry Carey, Baron Hunsdon, had a sister named Catherine, and she married Sir Francis Knollys. Their daughter was Lettice Knollys, who we have seen in several previous papers. Her daughter Penelope married Robert **Rich**,

Earl of Warwick, a descendant of Richard Rich. You will remember that Richard was Lord Chancellor under Edward VI, and that with Thomas Cromwell he destroyed the monasteries of England under Henry VIII. He also served on the Privy Councils of Queens Mary and Elizabeth. Rich's genealogy is scrubbed, but he apparently descended from an earlier Richard Rich, a wealthy mercer and Sheriff of London in 1441. This would make the Riches Jewish.

Sir Francis Knollys is also worth a peek, since when Mary became queen, Knollys had to flee to Germany "due to his being a Puritan". Hmm. Strange then that in Strasburg he "was on intimate terms" with John Jewel and Peter Martyr. **Jewel's** surname is the clue, since he was Jewish. Strange, since he was also the Bishop of Salisbury. You will say, "Then he couldn't have been Jewish!" No?

To be continued OS22819

Ancient Icelandic Law in Reykjavik

By Kevin Flanagan



AT THE START OF THE OCTOBER I had the dual pleasure of making my first trip to Iceland, where I also got to hear eminent scholar David Friedman's analysis of ancient Icelandic Feud Law during European Students For Liberty Iceland's Annual Conference.

Notable parallels and interesting differences can be drawn between this and the ancient Irish Brehon law, particularly with reference to the eneclann (honour price), and eric fine (penalty for causing loss of life).

I'm including extracts from David Friedman's site about the History and Institutions of

Icelandic law for readers of the Brehon Law Academy to make their own comparisons.

In the latter half of the ninth century, King Harald Fairhair unified Norway under his rule. A substantial part of the population left; many went either directly to Iceland, which had been discovered a few years before, or indirectly via Norse colonies in England, Ireland, Orkney, the Hebrides, and the Shetland Islands.

The political system which they developed there was based on Norwegian (or possibly Danish) traditions but with one important innovation--the King was replaced by an assembly of local chieftains. As in Norway (before Harald) there was nothing corresponding to a strictly feudal bond. The relationship between the Icelandic godi and his thingmen (thingmenn) was contractual, as in early feudal relationships, but it was not territorial; the godi had no claim to the thingman's land and the thingman was free to transfer his allegiance.

At the base of the system stood the godi (pl. godar) and the godord (pl. godord). A godi was a local chief who built a (pagan) temple and served as its priest; the godord was the

congregation. The godi received temple dues and provided in exchange both religious and political services.



Under the system of laws established in A.D. 930 and modified somewhat thereafter, these local leaders were combined into a national system. Iceland was divided into four quarters, and each quarter into nine godord.

Within each quarter the godord were clustered in groups of three called things. Only the godar owning these godord had any special status within the legal system, although it seems that others might continue to call themselves godi. (in the sense of priest) and have a godord (in the sense of congregation); to avoid confusion, I will hereafter use the terms "godi" and "godord" only to refer to those having a special status under the legal system.

The one permanent official of this system was the logsogumadr or law-speaker; he was elected every three years by the inhabitants of one quarter (which quarter it was being chosen by lot). His job was to memorize the laws, to recite them through once during his term in office, to provide advice on difficult legal points, and to preside over the lögrétta, the "legislature."

The members of the lögrétta were the godar, plus one additional man from each thing, plus for each of these two advisors. Decisions in the lögrétta were made, at least after the reforms attributed to Njal, by majority vote, subject apparently to attempts to first achieve unanimity.

The laws passed by the lögrétta were applied by a system of courts, also resting on the godar. At the lowest level were private courts, the members being chosen after the conflict arose, half by the plaintiff and half by the defendant--essentially a system of arbitration. Above this

was the thing court or "Varthing", the judges in which were chosen twelve each by the godar of the thing, making thirty-six in all. Next came the quarter-thing for disputes between members of different things within the same quarter; these seem to have been little used and not much is known about them.

Above them were the four quarter courts of the Althing (althingi) or national assembly--an annual meeting of all the godar each bringing with him at least one-ninth of his thingmen. Above them, after Njal's reforms, was the fifth court. Cases undecided at any level of the court system went to the next level; at every level (except the private courts) the judges were appointed by the godar, each quarter court and the fifth court having judges appointed by the godar from all over Iceland.

The fifth court reached its decision by majority vote; the other courts seem to have required that there be at most six (out of thirty-six) dissenting votes in order for a verdict to be given.

The godord itself was in effect two different things. It was a group of men--the particular men who had agreed to follow that godi, to be members of that godord. Any man could be challenged to name his godord and was required to do so, but he was free to choose any godi within his quarter and to change to a different godord at will.

It was also a bundle of rights--the right to sit in the lögrétta, appoint judges for certain courts, etc. The godord in this second sense was marketable property. It could be given away, sold, held by a partnership, inherited, or whatever. Thus seats in the law-making body were quite literally for sale.

I have described the legislative and judicial branches of "government" but have omitted the executive. So did the Icelanders. The function of the courts was to deliver verdicts on cases brought to them. That done, the court was finished.

If the verdict went against the defendant, it was up to him to pay the assigned punishment--almost always a fine. If he did not, the plaintiff could go to court again and have the defendant declared an outlaw. The killer of an outlaw could not himself be prosecuted for the act; in addition,

anyone who gave shelter to an outlaw could be prosecuted for doing so.

Prosecution was up to the victim (or his survivors). If they and the offender agreed on a settlement, the matter was settled. Many cases were settled by arbitration, including the two most serious conflicts that arose prior to the final period of breakdown in the thirteenth century. If the case went to a court, the judgment, in case of conviction, would be a fine to be paid by the defendant to the plaintiff.

In modern law the distinction between civil and criminal law depends on whether prosecution is private or public; in this sense all Icelandic law was civil. But another distinction is that civil remedies usually involve a transfer (of money, goods, or services) from the defendant to the plaintiff, whereas criminal remedies often involve some sort of "punishment." In this sense the distinction existed in Icelandic law, but its basis was different.

Killing was made up for by a fine. For murder a man could be outlawed, even if he was willing to pay a fine instead. In our system, the difference between murder and killing

(manslaughter) depends on intent; for the Icelanders it depended on something more easily judged. After killing a man, one was obliged to announce the fact immediately; as one law code puts it: "The slayer shall not ride past any three houses, on the day he committed the deed, without avowing the deed, unless the kinsmen of the slain man, or enemies of the slayer lived there, who would put his life in danger. A man who tried to hide the body, or otherwise conceal his responsibility, was guilty of murder.

Iceland: The First Parliament

David Friedman spoke about a site of crucial significance to Icelandic heritage called Þingvellir, or Thingvellir in English, as it is said to be the seat of the first parliament and when the Althing, or National Parliament of Iceland, was founded in 930 AD.

This was also the site of Lögberg, the Law Rock, a central feature of Þingvellir and focal point of the Althing, from where disputes were adjudged, laws pronounced, and allegiances were reaffirmed.

The End OS22809

Should You Add Spirulina Powder to Your Breakfast? Mike Adams (Health Ranger)



SPIRULINA is a blue-green coloured algae (cyanobacteria). It is largely made up of protein and essential amino acid. It is also rich in Vitamins, carotenoids, minerals, and antioxidants. Nutrients such as B-complex vitamins, beta-carotene, vitamin E, manganese, zinc, copper, iron, selenium and essential fatty acids can also be found in Spirulina.

Just like plants, it can manufacture energy from the sunlight. It greatly depends on ATP and another nutrient such as water and soil. It is

believed to be the oldest existing form of plants in the world. On the other hand, Spirulina can be a toxic substance without correct prior handling and process it.

Health Benefits of Using Spirulina

Different researchers have already tested and identified each of the components it has. Through phytochemical analysis, every component has already been confirmed. As science develops more way on identifying its clinical uses, Spirulina has been proven to have its greatest effects on human's health.

There are a lot of health issues nowadays. The society has reached the peak of needing more ways to unlock treatment against widespread diseases such as infectious diseases, cellular diseases, tropical diseases and so forth. Many provided remedy and cure. But the most useful and resourceful way is through herbal medicine.

Spirulina is just one of the herbal medicines that have given promising effect to improve the health of the people and provide . Aside from its numerous resources and easy availability, Spirulina has benefited human's health in various ways:

It has powerful antioxidant property



The benefits of antioxidant powders have been excellent in showing its effect. Antioxidant property protects our cells from any damage. Oxidative damage spuriously harms our cells. It changes the conformation of the cells that

leads to affect its natural physiology. Spirulina is an amazing source of antioxidant.

It contains phycocyanin. It is the active component of spirulina and also responsible for its blue-green pigmentation. Phycocyanin is an agent against free radicals. It inhibits production of inflammatory cytokines that results to effective anti-oxidant.

It supplements many nutrients

It is a great source of many essential nutrients that our body needs. It provides vitamins such as thiamine, riboflavin, nicotinamide, pyridoxine, folic acid, ascorbic acid, vitamin D, vitamin A and vitamin E. It is also a source of electrolytes such as potassium, calcium and sodium; and trace elements such as chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, phosphorus, selenium and zinc. Spirulina supplies most of the nutrients needed by our body for its normal regulation and its normal function. It is also said to be good for

It improves memory

Researchers have shown that Spirulina has the capability to sharpen the memory. It protects the brain from damage and degenerative diseases. Stress and other factors that commonly affect the brain diminish its ability to memorize. Most of the time it causes emotional stability and behavioural changes.

Spirulina improves cognitive ability, mental health, and function of the brain. It stimulates the brain cells to actively work. It also keeps the mind alert and easy to perceive information. Thus, a healthy brain correlates with a healthy body.

It helps to fight cancer

Cancer is one of the deadliest diseases in the world. Many types of research are about cancer. Study has shown that Spirulina can help fight against cancer. Spirulina stimulates the body to produce antibodies against cancer cells and infection-fighting components.

It lowers blood pressure

Spirulina has shown effective in lowering blood pressure. Phycocyanin, which gives pigment to spirulina, is also an anti-hypertensive agent. This pigment corrects endothelial dysfunction and regulates well the flow of blood. This also prevents metabolic syndrome.

It helps in minimizing allergic reactions

It minimizes the allergic reaction through regulating the blood vessel. As the blood vessel is regulated, any adverse reaction is minimized. Antibodies fight against foreign activities occurring inside the body. Hence, protecting the body to get compromised.

It should be included in detox diet plan

Detoxifying the body is one of the greatest ways to keep the body healthy. Cleansing the body will replenish its system. Any alterations in the system can be fixed. Most diseases are formed through toxic that invades throughout different organs in the body.

Conclusion:

Wonders of herbal medicine with a great advance in science is a promising action against the worldwide battle against all known diseases. Researchers will continue its move to discover more and formulate different ideas for the good of everyone. Spirulina is one of an essential herbal medication you should add on your . With its awesome benefits, there is no way you do not need it.

The End OS22820

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



This long sort after book is now available!

Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937


www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia

**A WONDERFUL WEB SITE
FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS**

<http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew