

The New Ensign

No. 111 November 2018

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader

November 11 Remembrance Day



This month, in the UK and Commonwealth, those who gave their lives to fight for their country, in world Wars 1 and 2 will be remembered at ceremonies taking place in numerous communities including the Cenotaph in Whitehall.

Many who have made a point of studying the real history of world wars 1 and 2, know that the people of UK, Europe and USA were deceived into going to war, which was a war between kindred people. Rather than fighting for freedom, they were pawns and cannon fodder for the New World Order. In hindsight and with sadness, it can be seen how the enemy within,

with 2 blows, removed millions upon millions of our brave patriots, and thus weakening true Israel.

It's no coincidence that this day is celebrated on the 11th hour of the eleventh day of the eleventh month, 11 being the number of Gog (who would come and take a great spoil) also we have: $11 + 11 + 11 = 33$ (or $\frac{1}{3}$) which is the number of the fallen angels.

It has also to be remembered that the D-Day landing was designed to achieve the maximum kill on both sides, which took place on the 6th hour of the 6th day on the 6th month = 666 - the number of the beast!

Notwithstanding all of this, **we will remember them!** Our brave kinsmen who gave their all, and in remembering them, we must learn the lesson of the past and not be deceived into going to war with our own kith and kin again!

The enemy within is already beating the propaganda drums endeavouring to get a WW 3 going with Russia, the largest Christian nation in the world, But praise Yahweh, He knows the beginning from the end.

Editor thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

	Page
The Immaculate Deception - (Part 2) Pastor Eli James	3
Old Testament "Word for Word" Part 21 - Pastor Steven Howard Anderson	6
British Israel Doctrine Problems - (Part 6) - Arnold Kennedy	8
Cinderella - Israel in Disguise (Part 4) - The Late Sheldon Emery	11
What Constitutes A Legal And Lawful Parliament? Albert Burgess	14
Harold Stough Notes - George III's Funeral Sermon (Part 4)	17
Repeal of Rights Granted Under Magna Charta (Part 3) - Paul Talbot Jenkins	19
Letters and Views	21
Intrigue Used to Dispose of Prime Minister Asquith	26
Hine & Wilson - Michael Barkun	28
My Government is The Greatest Terrorist Threat	29
Our Mounting Problems And The Stranger Within - R. B. Record	31
Eleanor Roosevelt Was A Communist Lesbian - Louise Ford	38

The Immaculate Deception (Part 2)

By
Pastor Eli James



Most Hebrews Not Jews

BEGINNING with Adam, we have the start, not only of chronology, but of the genealogical tables of the Bible.

There are ten generations from Adam to Noah, and ten generations from Shem (Noah's son) to Abraham. Eber or Heber was the fourth in generation from Shem. All of the descendants of Heber were Hebrews. Abraham was six generations later. He, therefore, was a Hebrew. The Hebrews were not Jews, because Judah, from whom the Jews descended: *[Actually, the Jewish people are NOT descended from Judah, they just CLAIM to be.*

This is the historic con game that has been used to dupe Christians for more than 2000 years. The Sephardic Jews are descended from Edom and the Ashkenazi Jews are descended from the Khazars. Thus, the Jews are not Hebrews at all. -- Pastor Eli], was not yet born. [But this statement is logically true, because an ancestor cannot be named for a descendant. It is always the other way around. – Eli]

When the time came that there were Jews on the earth, of course, they also were Hebrews - a very small portion of them *[My research has shown conclusively that not even a miniscule portion of the Jewish people have descended from the Nation/Tribe of Judah.*

This is a misconception based on the false belief that the Judahites who returned from the Babylonian Captivity were henceforth known as "Jews." In my clarifying paper entitled "The Origin of Talmudism," I have proven beyond a shadow of a doubt that this universally held belief, regarding the Jews as being "of Judah," is false. For those interested in reading this historical study, [here is the link:](#) Eli] - but the

great mass of Hebrews were not Jews, and are not today. Let us closely follow the facts.

Abraham had eight sons. One son was Ishmael whose mother was Hagar. One son was Isaac, whose mother was Sarah. After Sarah's death, Abraham married Keturah, and she bore unto him six sons. Abraham, being a Hebrew, or descendant of Heber, his descendants would of course be Hebrews, and their descendants would also be Hebrews, but their descendants are not Jews. If they are, then Ishmael... that would make the Arabs Jews. The descendants of Keturah's six sons became the Brahmins of India.

It would be foolish to declare that they are Jews, although as the descendants of Abraham, they are of the stock of Heber, and therefore Hebrews. The same reasoning applies to Isaac; Isaac was a Hebrew, the son of Abraham. Now Isaac had two sons, Esau and Jacob.

If Isaac was a Jew, then both Esau and Jacob would be Jews. This would make the descendants of Esau also Jews, but the descendants of Esau became the Edomites, later the Turks; also the Pharaohs of the oppression were of the Esau line, but none of these people are Jews. *[Technically, this is correct, but the Edomites were prototype-Jews, that is, their descendants became the first Jews in and around 150 BC in Judea under King John Hyrcanus. -- Eli]*

To call Abraham a Jew would make him a descendant of Isaac's yet unborn grandson Judah. The Tribe of Judah had no existence on earth during the time of Abraham and Isaac. If Isaac was a Jew, then surely his twin sons, Jacob and Esau would be Jews. We all know that Esau, Jacob's twin brother, became the progenitor of the Turks, as they are known today.

[Here, Pastor Bosworth is again off the mark. The Bible clearly tells us that Esau married into the Hittite tribes. (Gen. 26:34,35) Esau's mother, Rebekah, was adamantly opposed to these

marriages (Gen. 27:46); but Esau was unrepentant and refused to give up his non-Israelite wives. As a consequence, both Isaac and Rebekah charged Jacob with this admonition: "Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan." (Gen. 28:1) (The Hittites were one of the tribes that composed the Canaanite nations. – Gen. 15:18-21.)

only pure-bred Judahites can be counted as Judah. No mongrels can be allowed in the Congregation of Yahweh. (Deut. 23:2.) Anybody who thus counts these half-breeds as Judahites (as does modern day Judeo-Christianity) is ignoring God's Law and substituting the Pharisaic "traditions of men" for His Law. -- Eli]

Therefore, Esau was not the progenitor of the Edomites; he only gave them his name. He married into an already existing people who later became known as Turks. The reason that the Bible calls them Edomites is simply because Esau/Edom was the Israelite who married into them and gave them his name. – Eli] If Jacob was a Jew, how could it be that his twin brother would not be, since they were both born of the same father and mother?

There are no Jews among any of the descendants of Jacob's other 11 sons. Now Judah had three sons. The descendants of one son, Zarah, peopled the shores of the Mediterranean, leaving Egypt before the exodus of the children of Israel. Pharez, the twin brother of Zarah, became the progenitor of the tribe of Judah. The tribe of Judah descending from Pharez was divided by the Lord into two Houses - the "House of David" and the "House of Judah."

Descendants Do Not Name Their Ancestors

Jacob, one of these twin brothers had 12 sons, which came from four different mothers. None of these 12 sons were Jews. One of his 12 sons was Judah, but in the sense in which we use the word "Jew" today, the term cannot be applied to Judah, for "he was not a Jew," because of the fact that **they whom we call Jews today have certain characteristics and are racially a type that came into existence hundreds of years after Israel left Egypt.**

Abraham was the father of Isaac; Isaac was the father of Jacob; Jacob, who was later named "Israel," became the father of Reuben, Simeon, Levi, Judah, Dan, Naphtali, Gad, Asher, Issachar, Zebulun, Joseph and Benjamin. The 12 sons each became the head of a Tribe called after his own personal name.

This racial type or remnant we know as the Jews is only a small portion of the descendants of Judah, who was only one of the 12 sons of Jacob.



[Bosworth is referring to the return of the Judahites from the Babylonian Exile, but, as I have explained, this is a false belief. It was the Judahites who intermarried with the Idumean Edomites under John Hyrcanus who propagated the Jewish people.

From Judah, the fourth son of Jacob, are descended the Jews [but only through miscegenation and impersonation, NOT by direct descent. This is what the British Israel people fail to understand and this is what I am trying to rightly discern for those with "eyes that see and ears that hear." -- Eli] ; the word "Jew" being simply an abbreviation of the name "Judah." [Not true. The word 'Jew' is an 18th Century invention by a Talmudic stooge who created this word specifically to be used as an abbreviation of the word 'Judean.' An outstanding publica-

These Idumean males were forced, at the point of a sword, to be circumcised and thus become citizens of the new nation, Judea. So, just as Esau propagated the people known as Edomites, the Hyrcanians propagated the people known as Jews. It is crucially important to understand that

tion entitled "The Mistaken J" that documents how the letter J came into the English language is [here linked](#): -- Eli]

A glance at the genealogy will show that it is impossible for Abraham, or Isaac, or Jacob, to have been Jews. Only the descendants of Judah - those coming after him, could be called by his name - not his ancestors. No one had ever been named "Judah" until more than 200 years after Abraham was named. Suppose your parents gave you the name of Charles.

That does not name your ancestors, who lived two hundred years before you, Charles. And naming Jacob's fourth son Judah would not make Abraham, his great grandfather, a Jew. *[But even this elementary logic is apparently unfathomable to most orthodox "Judeo-Christians." – Eli.]*

Israel and Judah Separate Nations

The 12 Tribes of Israel became two nations, with widely different destinies, until the time when they will be united in the coming age. (Ezek. 37:22) The distinction or separation between Judah and Israel was foreshadowed at an early date.

We read in Psalm 114:1, 2 "When Israel went out of Egypt... Judah was His sanctuary and Israel His dominion." In Samuel's day, the two Houses, Judah and Israel, were numbered separately. In I Samuel 11:8 we read "And when he numbered them in Bezek, the children of Israel were three hundred thousands and the men of Judah thirty thousand." Notice that even at this early date, Israel numbered ten times more than Judah. The Numerical contrast today is very much greater.

[In my opinion, this merging has already taken place, as the Anglo-Saxons of Israel's Twelve Tribes have intermarried with each other for the last two centuries, especially here in America. Bosworth's attempt to contrast the population of Israel with the population of Jews is like comparing apples to oranges. – Eli]

The Bible tells us that the Jews would be "few in number," *[Indeed! -- Eli]* but it tells us that

Israel would be as the sands of the sea for multitudes (Hosea 1:10). The Scriptures tell us that David reigned seven years over Judah before he was made King over Israel.



King David

If Judah and Israel are the same, how could David be king for seven years over Judah before he was made King over Israel?

[Most Christians know absolutely nothing about the distinction between these two different Houses. Their rabbis, otherwise known as "evangelists," have failed to apprise them of this Biblical distinction; and certainly no Jewish rabbi ever discusses this issue either, because the historical fact is that the Jewish people, both ancient and modern, were never at any time in their existence ever in proximity with or of the family of the House of Israel.

Only the ancestors of the Jews (Kenites, Canaanites, Edomites) were ever in such proximity, and then only as our enemies, as Canaanites and as Edomites. As Pastor Bosworth is trying to explain, the Jews were associated only with the House of Judah and not the House of Israel. – Eli] Until the year 975 B.C. the descendants of Jacob formed one nation. But they are spoken of as "the two families which the Lord hath chosen" (Jer. 33:24).

In the year 975 B.C. at the death of King Solomon, the nation was divided into two nations. In

I Samuel 18:16 the expression "All Israel" is used, when Judah is excluded. I will quote you this passage: "*But all Israel and Judah loved David, because he went out and came in before them.*"

In II Chronicles 10:12-14 we are told that when Solomon died, and his son Rehoboam came to the throne, the ten tribes rebelled, and under Jeroboam, formed the NORTHERN NATION;

while Judah, along with Benjamin and certain Levites, formed the SOUTHERN NATION.

The NORTHERN NATION, which consisted of the Ten Tribes, was known under the following national titles: Israel, Ephraim, Isaac [*Isaac's Sons = Saxons. – Eli*], Samaria, The House of Israel, and The Ten Tribes.

To be Continued OS17703

Old Testament

“Word for Word” Genesis Part 21

Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 32

1. And rose up early Laban in the morning, and kissed his grandsons, and his daughters, and blessed them, and walked *away*, and returned Laban to his place.

2. And Yaaqob walked on his way, and met 6293 him the messengers 4397 of Elohiym.

3. Said Yaaqob when he saw them, "The encampment 4264 of Elohiym is this," and called he the name of the place Machanayim 4266.

4. Sent Yaaqob messengers before him to Esaw his brother in the land of Seiyр 8165, the territory 7704 of Edom 123;

5. and commanded he them, saying, "Thus speak to my lord 113 Esaw; 'Thus says your servant Yaaqob, With Laban I have sojourned, and stayed there 309 until now:

6. and I have oxen, and asses, and sheep, and menservants, and women servants: and I have sent to tell my lord, that I may find grace in his sight."

7. And returned the messengers to Yaaqob saying, "We came to your brother, to Esaw, and also he walks to meet you, and four hundred men with him."

8. And feared 3372 Yaaqob greatly 3966, and was distressed 3334; and he divided 2673 the people that were with him, and the sheep, and the cattle, and the camels, into two camps;

9. and said, "If comes Esaw to one camp, and smites it, it shall be the camp that is left 7604 shall escape 6413."

10. Said Yaaqob, "Elohey my forefather Ab'raham, and Elohey my father Yits'chaq, Yahweh that said to me, '**Return you to the land of your kinsmen, and I shall do well with you,**'

11. Of the least 6994 of all the mercies 2617, and of all the truth 571 that You have done for Your servant, for with my staff 4731 I have passed over this Yarden 3383 river; and now I am become two camps.

12. Deliver 5337 me, please 4994, from the hand of my brother, from the hand of Esaw: for I fear him, lest he come and smite me, and the mothers with the children.

13. And You said, **‘I will surely do well with you, and make your seedline as the sand of the sea, that cannot be numbered for multitude.’**"

14. And he lodged there that night, and took from what came to his hand as a present 4503 for Esaw his brother.

15. She-goats 5795 two hundred, he-goats 8495 twenty, ewes 7353 two hundred, and rams 352 twenty,

16. camels 1581 with milk 3243 and their colts 1121 thirty, and bulls 6499 ten 6235, she-asses 860 twenty, and foals 5895 ten.

17. And he gave them into the hands of his servants, each drove 5739/5739 alone 905, and said to his servants, "Pass over before me, and a space 7305 put 7760 between drove and between drove."

18. And commanded he the foremost 7223, saying, "When meets 6298 you Esaw my brother, and asks 7592 you, saying, ‘Of whom are you? And from where have you walked? And of who are these before you?’

19. you shall say, ‘Of your servant, of Yaaqob; it is a gift sent to my lord 113, to Esaw; and behold, also he is behind us.'"

20. He commanded also the second, and also the third, and also all that walked after the herds 5739, saying, "In this manner shall you speak to Esaw, when you find him.

21. and you shall say also, ‘Behold, your servant Yaaqob is behind us.’ Because he said *to himself*, "I will placate 3722 before him with the present I send before me, and afterward, then I will see his face; and perhaps 194 he will lift up 5375 my face 6440."

22. So went over the present before him; and he stayed the night in his camp.


23. He rose up that night, and took his two wives, and his two maidservants, and his eleven children, and passed over the ford 4569 Yabboq 2999.

24. And he took them, and sent them over 5674 the brook 5158, and he sent over that which was his.

25. And was left 3498 Yaaqob alone 905; and wrestled 79 a man 376 with him until the ascending 5927 of the dawn 7837.

26. And when he saw that he was not able 3201 *to prevail against Yaaqob*, he touched 5060 the hollow 3709 *of Yaaqob's* thigh 3409, and was dislocated 3363 the hollow of the thigh of Yaaqob as he wrestled with him.

27. And He said, "**Send me away, for ascends 5927 the dawn 7837.**" And said *Yaaqob*, "I will not send you until you bless me."



Jacob - (Yaaqob)

28. And said He to him, "**What is your name?**" And he said, "Yaaqob."

29. Said He, "**Not Yaaqob will be called anymore your name, but Yis'rael 3478: for you have power 8280 with elohiym 430 and with men 376, and you are able 3201 to prevail.**"

30. And asked 7592 Him Yaaqob, "Tell me, please, your name?" And He said, "**What is it that you ask My Name?**" And He blessed him there.

31. Called Yaaqob the name of the place P'niyel 6439: because "I saw an elohiym's 430 face 6440, and delivered 5337 is my life 5315."

32. Rose 2224 the sun as he passed over P'nuel 6439, and he limped 6760 over his thigh.

33. Upon this do not eat the children of Yis'rael of the sinew 1517 of the sciatic nerve 5384, that is upon the hollow of the thigh unto this day, because He touched the hollow of Yaaqob's thigh in the sinew of the sciatic nerve.

Chapter 32 Notes

Verse 2 - Divine messengers meet Yaaqob. A clear indication that Yahweh is watching over him.

Verse 3 - Yashar (Jasher) 31:72;

Verses 4-19 - Yaaqob is in fear of Esaw, as the older brother harbored fierce hatred towards Yaaqob over the birthright and blessing incidents. Yaaqob wants to appease Esaw with presents, and prays to Yahweh for deliverance, which he receives.

Verse 6 - Yashar 32:6;

Verse 12 - Yashar 32:20,21;

Verse 13 - Gen. 22:17;

Verses 25 - 27 - Hoshea 12:3,4;

Verse 25 - The word "man" is a metaphor, as the "man" is an elohiym (mighty one - "angel") sent by Yahweh.

Verse 26 - Yashar 32:48;

Verse 29 - Gen. 35:10; The "man" informs Yaaqob of his new name - Yis'rael, "He will prevail with El".


Verse 30 - Judges 13:17,18;

Verse 31 - P'niyel means "Face of El", as the "man" was a manifestation from Yahweh. **Verse 32** - *1517* means limping, he was poked in the sciatic nerve bundle of the upper thigh. Yes, he limped after that.

Verse 33 - *1517* means sinew, and here it was above the sciatic nerves in the upper thigh. *5384* is the sciatic nerve. I hope this clears up a few things. Word study requires a lot of research time. **To be continued**

British Israel Doctrine Problems - Part 6

By Arnold Kennedy



THE WORD "Gentiles" in this section is a Latin word that is given a manufactured meaning; so do not be misled by it.

It can be shown that the word "Gentiles" often refers to the House of Israel as opposed to the House of Judah. Again, there is no prophecy for the traditional view that arose from the Latin Vulgate and has carried on ever since.

Rome made the word "Gentile" to support the view that the Roman church was the *Israel of God*. Let this sink in! Early translators carried on the Roman church word meaning because they were blind to their identity as part of Israel, and they thought that they might be missing out on God's blessing. This word "Gentile" is mined in detail in a paper, "*That Unfortunate Word 'Gentile'*", by this author.

Going on to the Book of Corinthians, we find that these so-called Gentiles could only be

Israelites. The words, "brethren, our fathers and Moses" confirm this.

1 Cor 10:1-4 Moreover brethren, I would not that ye should be ignorant, how that our fathers were under the cloud, and all passed through the sea, and were all baptised unto Moses ... for they drank of that spiritual rock ... and that rock was Christ.

"Our Fathers" gives definition in a most positive way. The children of The Fathers are those who are being addressed. Note: it does not say and that Rock was Jesus Christ. ["Jesus" is inserted in some translations to change the meaning to make the verse comply with tradition]. What is said is and that rock was anointed.

Gal 3:13 Christ hath redeemed us from the curse of the Law ...

Only Israel was given the Law so only Israel needed redeeming from the curse of the broken law. The pronouns are so important! To understand that only Israel had been given the Law is most important. It is deception to believe to the contrary against all the clear statements of

Scripture. “Us” in this context is still the same exclusive people of Israel.

Gal 4:4,5 But when the fullness of the time was come, God sent forth his Son, made of a woman, made under the law, to redeem them that were under the law, that we might receive the adoption of sons.

Paul here quotes Isaiah 54:1 which refers to the Redeemer of Israel. Again redemption only concerns them that were under the Law, and these are the people to whom it is written. Two parties had been under the Law. This is important to understand. These two parties are known as:

[a] Jews and Gentiles [the House of Judah and the House of Israel], or

[b] The Circumcision and the Uncircumcision [the House of Judah and the House of Israel].

Both parties were Israelites and could not be otherwise since only Israel had been under the Law. What is traditionally taught about Jews and Gentiles is simply not right and could not be right because of this.



Eph 2:12 That at the time ye were without Christ, being aliens from the commonwealth of Israel, and strangers from the covenants of promise, having no hope, and without God in the world.

Those to whom Paul was writing had become estranged from Israel. Examination of the highlighted words gives identification. The words aliens and strangers are not what they might appear on the surface. These particular strangers were the House of Israel. The exclusiveness of Israel in the book of Ephesians will be looked at separately. The “ye” refers to

the saints as is found in the first verse of this book of Ephesians. A paper, “Pilgrims, Strangers and Israel” is available discussing these particular “strangers”. In this verse we have the covenants of promise. Going back to identify to who these promises were made, takes us back to Israelites by race.

Phil 3:1 Finally, my brethren, ...

“Brethren”, as we will see in James, refers to a brother or a near kinsman.

Phil 3:5 ... of the stock of Israel, ...

“Stock” is another genetic term.

Phil 3:9—not having mine own righteousness which is of the law, ...

Here, as usual, there is the association with the Law that was only given to Israel.

Phil 4:21 Salute every saint in Christ Jesus. ... “Saints” are always Israelites. For example,

Psalms 148:14, The praise of all His saints: even the *Children of Israel*.

1 Thess 1:4 Knowing, brethren, your election of God ...

Isaiah 45:4 defines Israel as being God’s elect Israel mine Elect. These elect are chosen by God and so are of Divine origin. They are of the seed “from above”. Remember to keep in mind this word “elect. The “your” in “your election” is related to “brethren” [of the womb].

1 Thess 5:9,10 For God hath not appointed us to wrath, but to obtain salvation by our Lord Jesus Christ, who died for us, ...

In Scripture there are those who are appointed to wrath and vessels fit for destruction. That is their appointment.

1 Thess 1:4 shows that this book is written to the Elect [Knowing, brethren, your election of God].

2 Thess 2:13 But we are bound to give thanks always to God for you, brethren beloved of the Lord, because God hath from the beginning chosen you to salvation.

It is the “brethren” who are “chosen” and no one else. We will soon be looking at the definition of “brethren”.


1 Tim 3:15— how thou oughtest to behave thyself in the house [that is, household] of God, which is the church of the living God, the pillar and ground of the truth.

Examination here will define just who is “The Church”. The Household of God refers to Israel, as does “the church” which is called out of Israel. This is the remnant which still comes from Israel only, according to the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets.

2 Tim 1:3 I thank God, whom I serve from my forefathers ...

Paul again will not discount racial origin [My forefathers]. He says that he endured all things for **THE ELECT’S** sake and for the appearing of the Kingdom.

Again, this Kingdom is the one of which Jesus is to be the King. The Gospel of the Kingdom, or the restoration of the Kingdom to Israel, is not proclaimed any more. This is because the doctrine of a multi-racial church has taken the place of Israel. My *Forefathers and The Fathers* do not signify all races as having come from the loins of Isaac.



Heb 2:16 For verily he took not on him the nature of angels; but he took on him the seed of Abraham.

Why would it be necessary to specify the seed of Abraham instead of the seed of either Adam or mankind in general? Throughout this chapter we find many references to “brethren” [of the womb], together with Old Testament references

to **Psalms 8:18 and 22**. These are Psalms of Israel among which we find, all ye seed of Jacob glorify Him; and fear Him all ye the seed of Israel [Psalms 22:23]. The Ye is absolutely specific and limited to Israel as the seed.

Heb 3:6 But Christ as a son over his own house; ...

So, there must be other houses [*oikos*] that Jesus is not over! This chapter then goes on to talk about Israel and the fathers of Israel.

Heb 6:13 For when God made promise to Abraham ...

There is no recorded promise to anyone else but Abraham and certain of his descendants. Heb 9:28 So Christ was once offered to bear the sins of many, ...

We are not told Jesus would bear the sins of every race. “Many” is not “all” of every race. “Sin” is transgression of the Law that was given to Israel only.

Isaiah 53:11 and 12 agrees about this word “many” which is limited to “my people”

. Compare: Matt 20:28 ... and to give his life a ransom for many.

Matt 26:28 ... which is shed for many for the remission of sins.

Rom 5:15 ... much more the grace of God, —hath abounded to many.

1 Cor 10:17 For we (those Israelites being addressed) being many, are one bread and one body.

So, with whom is the New Testament made?

Heb 8:8,9 Behold, the days come, saith the Lord, when I will make a new covenant with the house of Israel and with the house of Judah: not according to the covenant that I made with their fathers in the day when I took them by the hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt; ...

Of all the verses in this Book of Hebrews, this verse identifies clearly with whom the New Testament is made. If any one thing is clear, it

is the continuing presentation through this book that the New Testament is made with those who had the Old Testament and there is never a statement to the contrary.

The two Testaments are contrasted as they relate to one another, to the one people, through this book of Hebrews. Old Testament prophecy says exactly the same [Jer 31:31], where Jeremiah prophesies to whom the New Testament would be made. "The Fathers", again, gives racial definition.

The book of Hebrews begins, yet again, with reference to **THE FATHERS**. The immediate connection is made, *hath in these last days spoken to US by His Son* ["Us" being the children of "the fathers"; those whom Jesus came to redeem; "The Hebrews" being addressed].

These are the children of "The Fathers". When God said *I will put my laws into their minds, and will write them on their hearts*, the Old Testament reference was, and is still, only to Israel.

The historical references through this book of Hebrews would have had no meaning to those

without the knowledge of Israel's history or of the Law given to Israel. [At this point it is better to forget all you have been previously taught or thought about "Israel"].

James 1:1,2 James, a servant of God and of the Lord Jesus Christ, to the twelve tribes which are scattered abroad, greeting. My brethren,—

For two excellent reasons, this possibly is the best statement to show who the "brethren" are. They are the twelve tribes of Israel by statement, and they are *adelphoi* in Greek. *Adelphos* is translated 346 times as "brother" or "brothers". Dictionaries and lexicons give the prime meaning as a kinsman [racially related].


Strong 80 from the womb - near or remote.

Vine: Adelphos denotes a brother, or near kinsman: in the plural, a community based on identity of origin, or life.

Thayer From the same womb.

To be continued 17778

Cinderella Israel in Disguise (Part 4) By The Late Sheldon Emery



Babylon Destroyed

I WANT TO END THIS CINDERELLA STORY as it will end some day in the not-too-distant future. The folk tale says the wicked stepmother and her daughters were driven out, and then Cinderella was taken to the castle and fitted with a white wedding gown.

My Bible tells me in **Revelation 17 and 18** of the destruction of Mystery, Babylon The Great, Mother of Harlots and abominations of the earth. "And a mighty angel took up a stone like a great millstone, and cast it into the sea, saying, Thus with violence shall that great city Babylon be thrown down, and shall be found no more at all." (Rev 18:21)

That Babylon will never again have anything to do with the Prince and His Cinderella Bride is foretold in Verse 23, "And the voice of the bridegroom and of the bride shall be heard no more at all in thee. The crimes of that

great city will be known to all the Kingdom, For thy merchants were the great men of the earth; for by thy sorceries were all nations deceived. And in her was found the blood of prophets, and of saints, and of all that were slain upon the earth.” (Vs.. 23-24) **Cinderella-Israel's deceivers and tormentors will be no more!**

Israel Married

Then comes the marriage in Revelation 19:7,

“Let us be glad and rejoice, and give honour to Him: for the marriage of the Lamb is come, and the wife hath made herself ready. And to her was granted that she should be arrayed in fine linen, clean and white: (Cinderella's white gown) And he saith unto me, Write, Blessed are they which are called unto the marriage supper of the Lamb. And he saith unto me, These are the true sayings of God.”

The ancient Saxon scribe ended his prophetic story with two white doves singing to the Prince and Cinderella as they ride off: "Turn and peep, turn and peep; No blood is on the shoe. The shoe is not too small for her, the true bride rides with you." The tale ends, as it were, on a note that tells us the entire parable was of the long, and finally successful, attempt to **IDENTIFY THE TRUE BRIDE OF THE PRINCE** - for on the correct identity of the Bride of Christ hangs **ALL UNDERSTANDING OF THE PROPHETIC SCRIPTURES**.

Scripture States Plainly Israel is The Bride

For those whose ministers still preach the Jews are Israel and the church is the kingdom, or that the church is the bride, let's read Revelation 21:2, “And I John saw the holy city, **NEW JERUSALEM**, prepared as a bride adorned for her husband (emphasis added).

And then to be sure you understand who the true bride is — that it is not the church, as so many preach — let us read **Revelation 21:9-12**,

“And there came unto me one of the seven angels which had the seven vials full of the seven last plagues, and talked with me, saying, Come hither, I will show

thee the bride, the Lamb's wife. And he carried me away in the spirit to a great and high mountain, and showed me that great city, the holy Jerusalem, descending out of heaven from God, having the glory of God: and her light was like unto a stone most precious, even like Jasper stone, clear as crystal: And had a wall great and high, and had twelve gates, and at the gates twelve angels, and names written thereon, which are **the names of The Twelve Tribes of The Children of Israel:**” (emphasis added).

Israel is the Bride. She is the Israel of the dispersion, “the lost sheep of the house of Israel” (**Matthew 10:6**) within whom the Holy Spirit has lived and worked since Pentecost! — an Israel cleansed of all her sins and iniquity, turning to Jesus Christ and His Word. She is Israel to whom God said, “Again I will build thee, and thou shalt be built, O virgin of Israel.” (**Jeremiah 31:4**) Israel is the virgin Bride of Christ! Cinderella has been Found!

Deception Yet Remains

The massive deceptions which have prevented the White Race from knowing their origin in Israel will continue.

Opposition to this fundamental Truth of Identity, without which the Bible remains a mystery, comes not only from the Roman Church, the National Council of Churches, and Jewry, but, also, from "fundamentalist" evangelists and denominations.



Their continued opposition to the Israel Truth serves to mark them as enemies of Israel, and as "daughters" of the unholy mother, **MYSTERY BABYLON**. God warns His people, “Babylon hath been a golden cup in the **LORD'S** hand,

that made all the earth drunken: the nations have drunken of her wine; (lies) therefore the nations are mad (deceived)— My people, go ye out of the midst of her, and deliver ye every man his soul from the fierce anger of the LORD—” (Jeremiah 51:7,45; Revelation 18:4).

Their Day is Done

Thank God that the day of the MOTHER OF HARLOTS and her evil daughters is about over. The identity of the Anglo-Saxon and kindred peoples as the Israelites is being revealed. Along with that comes the realization of our great sin in turning from Jesus Christ and from His Holy commandments.

With our repentance will come our deliverance from our enemies, followed by the marriage of the Lamb and the great Kingdom age. Jesus has paid the price, has shed His Blood for our sin, and has purchased us for Himself and for His Kingdom. Christ Himself said:—

“For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son, that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish, but have everlasting life. For God sent not His Son into the world to condemn the world; but that the world through Him might be saved.” (John 3:16,17)

The New Day is Coming

The Holy Spirit of God is, and will continue to be, poured out upon His People. When you understand that the Blood of Jesus Christ suffices for all, even for you who may be dead in trespasses and sin, and that Jesus is calling YOU to repent and turn from your sins, and to faith in and obedience to His commandments, then you will understand the real meaning of that prayer, Thy kingdom come, Thy will be done in earth, as it is in heaven.

That kingdom on earth is coming; join with us in prayer for the opening of the eyes of the many lost sheep who are still in darkness. And tell your friends that now you know Cinderella is not a fairy tale; it is a true story.

May God bless all who have read this little book, in Jesus Christ.

Isaac Watts (1674-1748) knew the Anglo-Saxon Race was Israel. He wrote this poem as a prayer

Israel’s Poem

Now may God of power and grace
Attend His people's humble cry;
Jehovah hears when Israel prays
And brings deliverance from on high.
The Name of Jacob's God defends,
Better than shields and brazen walls;
He, from His sanctuary sends
Succour and strength when Zion calls.
Well, He remembers all our sighs;
His love exceeds our best deserts;
His love accepts the sacrifice
Of humble groans and broken hearts.

In His salvation is our hope.
And in the Name of Israel's God;
Our troops shall lift their banners up,
Our Navies spread their flags abroad.
Some trust in horses trained for war,
And some of chariots make their boast;
Our surest expectations are
From thee, the Lord of heavenly hosts.
Now save us, Lord, from slavish fear;
Now let our hopes be firm and strong;
Til thy salvation shall appear,
And joy and triumph raise the song.

The End

Steven Books


League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors –
Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
and Richard Porter plus many
other subjects and difficult to
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

What Constitutes A Legal And Lawful Parliament?

By Albert Burgess



IN THE BEGINNING OUR FOREFATHERS set up Parliament as a tri-partite agreement, this was done using the common law. The House of Commons have originated all legislation after blackmailing King Henry V who needed a tax raised, the House of Commons made it conditional on being solely responsible for starting all legislation. So since 1420 all legislation has originated in the House of Commons.

The legislation is then passed to the House of Lords who strictly in accordance with their collective conscience they approve it send it back for amendment or reject it.

If it receives the House of Lords approval it is sent to the King as a petition for his approval. Strictly in accordance with his conscience the King will grant or refuse the Royal assent, if the King refuses the assent he cannot be asked to give his reasons.

In 1609 the House of Commons as a part of their power grab wrote to tell the House of Lords they were the Knights Burgess's and Barons of the high court of Parliament. The House of Lords correctly wrote back saying they would never accept them as Barons and without the House of Lords they were no court at all.

In 1667 the House of Commons told the House of Lords as an extension of their power grab they could not amend a money bill. A ten year argument ensued until in 1677 the House of Lords in a moment of madness gave way. There is no constitutional justification for this and it is only a convention with no force of law.

This came back to haunt us in 1909 when Asquith's government put forward a budget part of which would give the common man a pension, the House of Lords believing they could not amend it and knowing the common man could not afford the extra tax on top of the tax he was already paying, rejected the budget.

Asquith told them he was putting a bill forward to remove their right to reject a bill. The House of Lords said they would not pass the bill. Asquith said he would put five hundred new Peers into the House of Lords who would vote for its abolition if they did not agree to his bill. (Blackmail)

In another moment of madness the House of Lords gave way and approved the bill. Now the bill was placed before King Edward VII who refused the assent on the grounds the bill was unconstitutional and removed a protection from his subjects, Asquith was ordered to go to the country, he and his ministers toured the country telling the common man those rich Lords with their large estates would not let the common man have a pension.

The Lords felt it was beneath them to tour the country saying look boys and girls the reason we rejected the budget was because we knew you could not afford the extra tax to pay for it. Asquith was returned. The Bill was written into the Kings speech when he reached it he said the only reason I am doing this is because my ministers say I have too. But the King could refuse the assent again shortly after this the King fell ill and died.

On coming to the Throne King George V was told was told by a minister he kept all his prerogatives but could not use any of them without the backing of a minister, so in two fell swoops the House of Commons had neutered the House of Lords and usurped the Royal Prerogative. So in 1911 the House of Commons committed two acts of treason and Parliament ceased to be legally/lawfully constituted.

To overawe the House of Lords right to conduct their own business their own way constitutes

treason contrary to Sec 3 of the 1848 Treason Felony Act.


To usurp the Royal Prerogative is to imagine the death of the King as a fully sovereign King contrary to the 1351 Treason Act.

As laws can only be made by a legally constituted Parliament and as it ceased to be legally constituted in 1911 with the 1911 Parliament Act no legislation since 1911 is lawful. And has no force of law.

As the Royal Prerogative was usurped in 1911 and the Royal Prerogative by common law must be given by the King personally, and as the Royal Assent has not according to a report by the House of Commons library been given in person by the Sovereign since 1854 no legislation since then has the force of law. And Sec 3 of the 1848 Treason Felony Act cuts in. No act of Parliament brought in by breaking the common law rules Parliament was formed by has any legal authority.

Parliament cannot alter the common law, because it was by the common law that Parliament was formed so the common law governs the actions of Parliament. As a result all bills passing through Parliament are required to follow the spirit of the common law.

Sir Edward Coke CJ ruled Parliament may some times pass a law which is repugnant, against common right and reason or is impossible to perform in which case the common law will intercede and strike it down.



There is another type of higher law that which is a contract between the King and the subject these form major parts of our English Constitution. These comprise Magna Carta 1215

which King John signed after being confronted by the Estates of England at Runnymede; the Estates of England constitute the Lords Spiritual, the Lords Temporal and the commonality of England.

Thousands of the freemen of England attended at Runnymede, because King John was oppressing them using foreign mercenaries. Magna Carta 1215 was not new law; it was a restatement of existing law. Magna Carta 1215 was no more than King John agreeing to rule us according to our existing law. Magna Carta 1215 states you cannot be fined unless you have been found guilty of an offence in a court of law. Sec 39/40 applies.


The Estates of England were asked to assemble by King Edward III in May 1366, who had had monies demanded by the Pope on the justification of a debt to the Pope by King John in 1213 when he surrendered England to the Pope and rented it back as a vassal King to the Pope.

The Estates of England Ruled England did not belong to King John it was not his to give away, John only held England for those who followed on. The Monies were not owed because King John broke the law. 2 Stubbs 435. Select Documents of English Constitutional Law. Adams and Stephens, Macmillan 1921. In light of the above constitutional law the paying of monies out of this Kingdom to foreign powers for any purpose are illegal and constitutes theft from Her Majesty's subjects.

Tax monies are only permitted to be raised in this ancient Kingdom to fight wars or improve the Kingdom for Her Majesty's subjects. Paying monies to the EU or as foreign aid is outside the custom and practice of England for the raising or dispersal of tax monies raised from the Queens subjects. Parliament are their as advisors to the King that is their roll. England's Kings are the supreme governors of England the King rules Parliament assists.

Politicians and Peers are subjects of the King as subjects they are subject to the rule of the King the King is not subject to the rule of Parliament. On the 8th March 1784 after a twenty year running fight with Parliament as to where ultimate sovereignty lay, with the lawfully anointed King or with the elected House. After

a speech by William Pitt the younger in which he asked is it better to be ruled by one despot than by three hundred and fifty despots none of whom can agree. The King won the vote so by English common law and by Parliamentary vote Queen Elizabeth II is the supreme governor of England from where all her other titles, pre-eminences and superiorities flow. *Hume and Smollett History of England* Vol III Dec 1880 p 90/92.



The Estates of England met again in January 1689 after King James II had fled with his Family to France having been ruling us outside the rule of English Law; at the request of Prince William of Orange a meeting of the Estates of England was called King James II having prorogued Parliament before he fled to France. Without a Parliament the Estates of England is the only body capable of taking decisions of national importance, the Estates of England drew up the 1689 Declaration of Rights this was shown to Prince William and Princess Mary of Orange.

They were offered the Crown of England subject to them accepting the terms of the Declaration of Rights. They accepted the terms the Crown was being offered on. Immediately, King William III called a Parliament but decided against an immediate election he appointed the Estates of England as his first Parliament, the first thing they did was put the Declaration into law as the 1689 Bill of Rights.

The Declaration and Bill of Rights outlaw excessive fines that all promises of fines or forfeiture of particular persons are illegal and void. This was a reissue of our existing ancient law.

Today this unlawful assembly calling itself a Parliament are issuing excessive fines, £1000

seems to be the figure they have settled upon for the common man this is clearly excessive when you compare it to his monthly wage. The threats of excessive fines for failing to return a tax assessment form, or not having a TV licence or a whole series of motoring or other fines. Are illegal and void.

This is particularly odious when you consider the purported Parliament is not constituted as our constitutional arrangements of a lawfully constituted Parliament demand every piece of legislation since the 1911 Parliament Act was applied is illegal and pernicious.

The question we must ask ourselves is, is this ancient Kingdom ruled by the rule of law, have the common and constitutional arrangements and the custom and practice of our Parliament been broken thus making any decisions made by Parliament void and of no effect.

Book Sources

Rights of the Kingdom or, Customs of our Ancestors Touching the Duty Power, Election, or Succession of our Kings and Parliaments, our True Liberty, Due Allegiance Three Estates, their Legislative Power, Original, Judicial, and Executive. John Sadler 1682. EEBO Editions Hume and Smollett Vol III 1880 p 90/92

Select Documents of English Constitutional History Adams and Stephens 1921. Macmillan

King Edward VII Vol 6 Gresham Publishing Company

Comment on This Article

What Albert is choosing to ignore is the fact that "parliament" was set up 80 years after the Magna Carta. For those 80 years Sovereign Britons governed themselves using common law juries. Why on Earth would these Sovereign individuals want a "parliament" to "govern" them?

To be governed is to be a slave. Common Law automatically defaults any "parliament" as totally illegitimate. Mike Watson'

The End OS22944





Harold Stough Notes

George The Third's Funeral Sermon (Part 4) By The Rev. Richard Grime

In another he heard the bell toll, and inquiring for whose funeral it was, he was told the name of the lady— said his Majesty, *“I knew her, she was a very good woman, I hope I shall meet her in Heaven”*

After a life of faith, and a growing hope of eternal glory, can we suppose the subject of our mourning to have been left in the awful crisis of the separation of soul and body? No: for the Lord has said to each of his children, I will never leave thee, nor forsake thee. And could our beloved Sovereign have intimated the confidence of his heart, he would no doubt have adopted the language of the Royal Psalmist:-

“Through I walk through the valley of the shadow of death, I will fear no evil; for thou art with me; thy rod and thy staff, they comfort me”.

For our further assurance that the demise of our departed Sovereign was not under the displeasure of the Lord, let us remember another eternal truth, recorded by Isaiah:-

“Israel shall be saved in the Lord with an ever lasting salvation, ye shall not be ashamed, nor confounded, world without end.”

Belonging to Israel, our late Monarch was saved with an everlasting salvation. And Jesus, in conversation with Martha, says,

“I am the resurrection and the life; he that believeth in me, though he were dead, yet shall he live; and whosoever liveth and believeth in me, shall never die.”

Then, as we have given proofs of our late King's possession of spiritual life manifested by prayer and praise, and by faith exhibited in good works, he cannot have died; yet his soul has put off the body, which is now interred in the earth, to mingle with its native dust. So devout men

carried Stephen to his burial, but it is said of him, after he had prayed, Lord Jesus, receive my spirit, then he fell asleep. Yea the entombing the dead remains of our beloved; Sovereign, is a laying of them in the earth, which the Lord has sanctified as his people's resting place until the morning of the morning of the resurrection, when they shall awake to immortality and glory.

Meanwhile the spirit, already joined to the Lord, and become one with him, has been taken from a world of trouble, sorrow, and affliction, into the bliss and glory of heaven, where the life, and employment, begun in the state of being, shall be continued world without end. Thus has closed the mortal life George Third, King of Great Britain after a continuance of 81 Years and 7 Months, more than 59 years of which, he righteously swayed the sceptre of these realms.

And now, by way of conclusion, permit me to excite you to the gratitude which is due for the blessings of his long reign.—During the reign of George the Third, the boundaries of science, owing in a great degree to his patronage, have been much enlarged.

The science of legislature has been considerably improved. The judges have been appointed to their office for life, and thereby they are rendered independent; and superior to the inducements of bribery. Trial by jury has become increasingly sacred.

The parliament, however defective, still continues to be the bulwark of liberty. Many salutary laws for the improvement of the empire have been made; and the constitution of the country resigned into the hands of our present Sovereign, in such circumstances, that it is adopted as, the model, by which the governments of other states are regulating their own. This is not to be wondered at, when we remember, that in George the Third we possessed a King, of whom it was said, that he would live on bread and water to save the constitution from injury.

The discoveries and improvements in agriculture that pillar of the state, have been amazing, A board of Agriculture has been instituted, during his reign, and many Societies for its encouragement, formed in various parts of the kingdom. Surveys have been made of the different counties, with a view to the adoption methods of cultivation, peculiarly suited to their circumstances, and thousands of acres of waste land have been enclosed.

To stimulate Agriculturists, various experiments have been made in the King's own farm at Windsor, That nothing useful might remain unknown and unproductive. Botanists have been sent into every country, to introduce into ours, every kind of medicinal and other plants and, If I recollect right, the garden at Kew, has been particularly appropriated to their cultivation.



Kew Gardens Today

The sciences of Geography and Astronomy, have, during the late reign, been greatly extended. For the extension of the former, voyages of discovery were undertaken by Captain Cook and others; and what Christian mind is there, that is not elevated on knowing, that, by such means, nations of our fellow men, have become acquainted with those, who know the true God, so that his nature and his will are declared to such as were without God, and without hope, and would, in all human probability, have otherwise remained in savage ignorance and barbarity.

Travellers too have been sent forth to search out tribes till then unknown, whose discoveries being followed by consequences similar to those made by the maritime endeavours, cannot fail to produce like sentiments.

By the encouragement, astronomers have received, the heavens have been further

explored, and a new planet, and other most wonderful proofs of the almighty power of the great creator and preserver of the universe, discovered. In short, a more general diffusion of Science, resulting from the Royal Institutions, and others, similar to them, in different parts of the country, has spread the pleasing effects of knowledge, and greatly raised the standard of the British mind.

Trade and Commerce, though at present, from the effects of the late long and destructive war, labouring under considerable embarrassment, have, on the whole, increased, and the nation has become more wealthy. Property has been rendered more secure.

Manufactures, encouraged by patents and prizes, have been carried to a very high pitch of perfection. Industry has become much more general, while vast colonies have been obtained, and rendered serviceable to the parent state.

Civilization and Improvement of manners, have been more generally effected by wholesome laws, by Societies for the Suppression of vice, and, particularly, by the greater spread of vital religion.

It is impossible to forget, that the Abolition of the Slave Trade in this country, has led to serious consideration of the subject, the European and American governments, and resulted in the almost universal adoption of this act of common humanity and justice.

Unwilling that Religion should be considered as a mere Science, I reserve the following brief sketch of its benefits till the last. May your highest gratitude be excited for the advancement of true godliness!

Divine truth is become more generally known and certainly our country at this time, contains more decided Christians than are anywhere else to be found. Our late King was sworn to maintain the Protestant Religion, and he inflexibly

adhered to his oath; and this is not from bigotry and worldly policy; for surely what has been said and quoted respecting him, has proved, that he was a bright example of its power, Regular in his attendance of divine worship, he seems most loudly to have invited his subjects to a steady performance of this duty.

If I have been rightly informed, the number of the evangelical ministers in the Church of England at the commencement of his Reign, was very small and that most of them engaged each other to set apart one hour in the week, to pray for a succession and increase of gospel ministers in the establishment: but now we know there is a goodly company, who preach the doctrines of the cross, and in their lives are examples of its power.

The present number of such devoted servants of the Lord, in that communion, is greater than it has ever been since the Revolution; and that of the decidedly serious, attendant on their ministry is highly encouraging. Other Denominations have been protected. No disposition to encroach on the Presbyterian form of government and worship in the Church of Scotland has been manifested.

To be Continued

Repeal of Rights Granted in Magna Carta

Part 3

Paul Talbot-Jenkins Bsc (Hons)



The Coronation Oath and the Bill of Rights

HER MAJESTY QUEEN ELIZABETH II in her coronation oath made a solemn promise that she would govern the people according to the laws and customs of the realm for her entire reign and to use all her power to cause law and justice in mercy to be executed in all her judgements. These laws are enshrined in Magna Carta, the Bill of Rights and Habeas Corpus, and in English Common Law.

It is a basic constitutional requirement that the people shall only be governed by the laws of this realm; this is the birthright of every subject of this realm. The Queen also promised to maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the gospels to the utmost of her power.

From this CD you will discover the historical precedent used by those who stole the birthright of their brothers, and why they rewrote the laws with dispensations so that the laws for them have no meaning and control.

Section 3 of the Act of Settlement 1701, states “And whereas the Laws of England are the Birthright of the people therefore, and all kings and queens who shall ascend the throne of this realm ought to administer the government of the same according to the said laws, and all their officers and ministers ought to serve them respectively according to the same: the said lords spiritual and temporal and commons do therefore further humbly pray that all our laws and statutes of this realm for securing the established religion and rights and liberties of the people thereof, and all other laws and statutes of the same now in force may be ratified and confirmed.”

DUTY OF THE MONARCH

The promise to govern the subjects of the Crown by the laws of England is taken by every sovereign in the Coronation Oath and this oath is supported in turn by the oaths which every member of parliament, minister of state, officer, judiciar and servant of the Crown must take, to uphold the sovereign in the sovereign’s oath and undertakings, before assuming office, for ever as long as there is an England. To break this oath brings the penalty of High Treason.

At the passing of the Bill of Rights all those owing allegiance to the Crown took the following oath:-

“I do declare that no foreign prince, person, prelate, state or potentate hath or ought to have any jurisdiction, power, superiority, pre-eminence or authority ecclesiastical or spiritual within this realm.”

A passage in the Bill of Rights, in effect states that the entire, perfect and full sovereignty of the British people shall be in the Crown that the Lords spiritual and the Lords Temporal and the Commons shall maintain and defend the Crown against all persons who shall attempt anything to the contrary.

It is the duty therefore of the subject to protect the Crown against all powers etc. raised against it and we are protected from all penalty and attainder in so doing. The Queen's duty is to protect her subjects for the Patent Rolls Statute of Northampton of 1328 mem. 4:- "It is the Monarch's duty to defend his/her subjects despite parliament."

FOUNDATION OF DEMOCRACY

Sitting in Westminster Hall, London on 20th July 1988, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II stated:-

"The Bill of Rights and the Scottish Claim of Rights are still part of the Statute Law, and are the sure foundation upon which the whole edifice of parliamentary democracy rests, and which had great influence abroad, especially in the United States of America and in the commonwealth."

Power lies with the Monarch and his/her loyal subjects who elect their members petitioners (MPs) to manage their affairs under the Crown. Political conspirators have conspired to exploit acts of parliament, such as the Statute Law Repeal Act to repeal Magna Carta, to subordinate the interests of the people of the? Crown to foreign international dictators and to ensure the setting up of a purported "Authority" over the sovereign lord, the Queen who is the Symbol of the sovereignty of a peculiar people - the White Covenant people of Britain.

By a coup d'etat politicians have seized fictitious and illegal power, and so Christian Anglo-Saxondom had been bound by a purported nationality act, gagged by an iniquitous race relations board and been dragged into the Common market and sold to an international Jewish Banking fraternity. These are criminal offences of High Treason.

Until 1917 successive British Lord Chancellors had expressly stated that Christianity and the Divine Law were part and parcel of English Common Law. In 1917, a weakened British

House of Lords declared that Christianity was no longer a part of the Laws of England.

THE TALMUD -V- NATURE



This was a fundamental break with tradition and heritage of the law of the land, as expressed by the famous English constitutional authority William Blackstone who wrote:-

"The law of Nature being coeval with mankind, and dedicated to God himself, is of course superior to any other. It is binding all over the globe in all countries and at all times; no human laws are of any validity if contrary to this....."

English Law which is based on the Holy Bible is becoming today based upon the teachings of the Jewish Talmud. This has been achieved through the agencies of the Freemasons, with all its counter perversions.

Homosexuality, for example, is a perversion counter to the Laws of Nature, the historical records reveal that it was the Jews who first countenanced homosexuality. Reports from recent history of the 19th and 20th centuries reveal that there was no homosexuality amongst the indigenous natives of Africa until the White man came to bring "civilisation" to the Dark Continent. History reveals that perhaps homosexuality was acquired by the Crusaders, indeed the Order of the Knights Templar died out because of it.

Lately we have seen the Church of England give its apparent blessing to homosexuals by permitting them to become clergy. And parliament has passed a Bill reducing the age of consent from 18 to 16 years of age.

Next it will be down to 14, then 12, then 10. The Talmud states that it is OK to have sex with young boys and girls younger than three years of age. According to Talmudic Law it is no offence to abuse children. One day this too will be the law in England.

DO YOU WANT TO PASS FROM THIS MORTAL COIL KNOWING THAT YOUR CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN AND GREAT GRANDCHILDREN WILL BE SUBJECTED TO SUCH VILE ABUSES? YOU CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT RIGHT NOW YOU CAN START OR JOIN YOUR OWN PRESSURE GROUP, SPREAD THE WORD UNTIL THESE VILE CREATURES WHO PREY UPON THE GENEROSITY AND OPENNESS OF NORMAL PEOPLE, ARE IDENTIFIED AND ISOLATED.

ANGLO-SAXONDOM ATTRACTS ENGLISH SPEAKING ALIENS

The Anglo-Saxon nation, due to its worldwide pioneering activities has had its language become a 'lingua franca' which everyone wants to learn in order to get on in the world, and England

herself becomes a honey-pot to which millions swarm, wanting to live and work and enjoy the fruits of generations of graft and hard work Great Britain in its dominions and colonies and protectorates was building up societies similar to those in its own land, but international Zionism-Communism saw this as a threat to its own objectives of world government.

An example of this attraction to Britain is the case of Ernst Walter Schleyer, born an Austrian Jew in Vienna in 1935. He came to Britain and passed through British schools and expected to be absorbed into a Christian Society. With his Talmudic background he entered into English finance and has been sent to prison for fraud and theft.

To be continued OS22904

Letters And Views



A Letter to Cressida Dick Commissioner of the Metropolis London 10th June 2018 Ref Protest Marches. Freedom of Information Request

Cressida, I was told before this march took place in London the police were tasked with shutting it down, now I am getting told police around the country were issuing dispersal notices to prevent people boarding trains to get to the demonstration, and people were being told by police officers the protest had been cancelled.

You can consider this a freedom of information request

1) Who gave the police orders to lie to the general public, why was that order given, and being given why was it obeyed?

Considering it is an unlawful order. And by obeying it constables committed the serious offence of Misconduct in public office at common law. You should be aware of the tariff for this offence.

2) Why were some of your officers standing around with their truncheons drawn and in attack mode?

When the protestors were singing and posed no threat to anyone as was clearly demonstrated by the video's currently on the Internet?

3) Why did your officers attack a peaceful protest?

When the only result was they were filmed running in full flight when the protestors fought back. You have no legal authority to impede a peaceful protest by her Majesty's subjects, you have no legal authority to instruct officers from your force or others to lie to or impede the progress of her Majesty's subjects on their lawful way to join a peaceful protest.

In case it is too difficult for you to comprehend the reason for these protests is not about Tommy Robinson he is merely the focal point or catalyst

if you prefer, the protests around this Kingdom and indeed around the world are protests about the rape and prostitution of our young children of both sexes by Muslim rape and VIP gangs. They are about the mental grooming in this Kingdoms schools that homosexuality a deviant perversion is a perfectly normal life style.

About the mental grooming of our children into believing boys are girls and girls boys. Her Majesty's subjects are sick to the back teeth of being treated like criminals when they object, and those inflicting these mental and physical assaults on our children are treated as good upright citizens when in fact it is they who represent **A CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER TO OUR CHILDREN AND WAY OF LIFE.**

Her Majesty's subjects are sick to the back teeth of politicians in both houses and local authorities who steal tax payers money ignore the interests of the natural born subjects in favour of imported criminals all of whom it is your job to investigate and place before the courts. And who pass unreasonable and illegal laws in case you missed this in class?

Every act of Parliament which does not conform to the spirit of our English Common and Constitutional law, or which contrives the ancient custom and practice of England is voided by the Common law and is not law. **Respectfully submitted. Albert Burgess.**

Jailing of Free Speech

Sir___, A comment or two on this thread.

I agree with Justin that Tommy Robinson is being manipulated (whether he is aware of it or not) by the power of organised Jewry, keen to make use of him as a spark to light a fuse to keep the Coudenhove Kalergi white genocide project on track.

I disagree with Justin that we can work with "good" Muslims to recover "our" nation. They are no more British than I am a Muslim and therefore not of our nation (a nation being an ethnically homogenous tribe or people). You wouldn't put diesel in a petrol engine and expect it to run. Same with the mixing of peoples and confusion about what a nation actually is. Muslims cannot recover

our nation - only we, and we alone, can do that because WE are the nation. Ghandiesque marches and protests are simply more palliatives that prolong our people's condition of ignorance and decay.

Kenn is correct in his analysis and comments re the incompatibility of Islam with Britain and our Common Law.

Kenn however seems unable or unwilling to apply the same logic to Jews and Judaism. There is no such thing as Judeo-Christianity, an oxymoron of the first rank. Judaism is Pharisaism and Jesus reserved his most vitriolic and excoriating words for that pit of vipers as seen in John 8.44. Pharisaism morphed into Talmudism which is the code of the Jews and is a vile, dark rant against biblical law and Jesus - a manual for waging war on "the other".

It is organised Jewry that to a great degree lies at the heart of the Muslim presence in our home and in every white nation. In the 1960's it was Lord Lester and his race relations act in the UK, Jacob Javits using Ted Kennedy as a front to do the same in the US. It is highly likely that Jews created Islam as a proxy army with which to destroy Christianity.



It was Jews who, in the middle of the night, would open the gates of the walled towns and villages in Spain to allow the Moors to enter and decimate their Christian hosts. Nothing changes. Now they do it courtesy of the Coudenhove Kalergi plan, Jewish think tanks, the bleating of "anti-Semitism, anti-Semitism" (jews are not even Semites), George Soros, the bile of Barbara Lerner Spectre (above), Noel Ignatiev ("Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity") and on and on.

Organised Jewry has used Britain as a base from which to implement the Central Banking scam and the so-called British Empire (in truth the Empire of the City of London) in which our forefathers slaved and died and killed. Colonialism was a toxic distraction that harmed the colonised but also the colonisers. See *The White Man's Burden* by Kipling as a warning of the ruin we reap.



I understand Brian's orientation toward the "Jesus loves everyone" strand of religious teaching but that is deceptive churchianity. Jesus does not love everyone. He referred to the Canaanite woman as a dog. He instructed the disciples to sell their cloaks and buy a sword. He said he came not to do away with the law but to fulfil it. He said "If ye love me, keep my commandments". The Bible is the book of law for us written by Israelites (not Jews, jews in their own writing state that they are Edomites and Canaanites, the great race mixed adversary, shaitan, of the Israelite peoples). Two quotes from Jesus:

"Do not suppose that I have come to bring peace to the earth. I did not come to bring peace, but a sword. For I have come to turn a man against his father, a daughter against her mother, a daughter-in-law against her mother-in-law- a man's enemies will be the members of his own household."

"But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel." (In his own words he tells us he did not come for all peoples.)

They never told me that in church when ah were a lad, the equivocating, spineless, universalist, hypocrites.

The way to peace between all the nations is for the people of each nation to go home. We relate then as good, national neighbours. But to intermix, to try and pointlessly fit

round pegs into square holes? Not only is that deranged, it is also what brings about everyone's ruin.

The satanic project to make everyone live together (supposedly in peace) is the very cause of the wholly avoidable conflict between the races. Liberals fail to see that they are the living embodiment of the road to hell being paved with apparent good intentions.

I see Common Law as biblical law made practicable by the common sense and hard won experience of the peoples of these islands. It is pivotal for our national restoration.

If the essence of Common Law is "Do no harm" then we see this in the 10 Commandments in specific areas of the utmost importance; do no harm to your relationship with Yahweh, do no harm to your parents, do no harm to your race - do not commit adultery and thereby adulterate your blood through race mixing, do no harm to your neighbour, don't steal from him, don't kill him, don't covet his stuff or his wife.

All of our people from the age of 10 years and up can understand and know these common sense, commandment, common laws.

I would also suggest to John that he does not so much want his country back (he and I and everyone here never owned much of the land of these islands) as he wants his nation, his people, restored back to our common law life. And we want this restoration to occur here in our ancestral home lands, these islands, left to us and only us by our ancestors.

I mean no harm to any people of any race but it must be seen that there are vile forces on this earth that are using various means, including other races by proxy, to wage war upon us with the aim of wiping us out. This threat is nullified when all displaced peoples are repatriated to their traditional, national and cultural homelands. You can't have a fight when there is only one boxer in the ring.

Lastly, a poem by Kipling. *The Stranger* nails it, which is why I guess it is not so well known and certainly not taught to our sons and daughters in schools.

The Stranger

The Stranger within my gate,
He may be true or kind,
But he does not talk my talk--
I cannot feel his mind.
I see the face and the eyes and the mouth,
But not the soul behind.


The men of my own stock,
They may do ill or well,
But they tell the lies I am wanted to,
They are used to the lies I tell;
And we do not need interpreters
When we go to buy or sell.

The Stranger within my gates,
He may be evil or good,
But I cannot tell what powers control--
What reasons sway his mood;
Nor when the Gods of his far-off land
Shall repossess his blood.

The men of my own stock,
Bitter bad they may be,
But, at least, they hear the things I hear,
And see the things I see.
And whatever I think of them and their likes
They think of the likes of me.

This was my father's belief
And this is also mine:
Let the corn be all one sheaf--
And the grapes be all one vine,
Ere our children's teeth are set on edge
By bitter bread and wine.

Rudyard Kipling
Yours Truly Paul



Milestone: 72 Percent of Americans Believe MSM is Fake News

Sir___ Usually when these types of polls are run, you get into whatever article reports them and find out it is “72 percent of pygmy Americans who have the hiccups” think the media is rigged. **That’s not what it is this time.** This time, **ALL GROUPS SURVEYED** have a majority in them that believe the media is rigged, not a single one predominantly believed the media was honest. Even a majority Democrats, socialists and communists believe the media is rigged.



I have wondered why Fox News stopped lying a short while ago and started to sound like alt media. I think I have an answer: They probably know Trump is going to succeed in smashing the traitor establishment, and want at least a short history of legitimacy before the axe falls.

Fox was always better than CNN, but when CNN is a complete fabrication, “better” equals partial fabrication, and according to U.S. law outside the NDAA, partial fabrications are not allowed.

Americans may scorn Iran for going after the media when it lies, but they don’t realize that the media is obligated to tell the truth even in the U.S., and when the U.S. government is operating correctly, absent an infestation of traitors, American media is supposed to be held accountable for what they say by the government.

When accuracy is in question, it gets put in an opinion column. Press freedom rules allow errors that way. However, if you intentionally lie, and it is proven you intentionally lied, America normally has rules against the media doing that.

Here is how the American media used to lie, and keep it “legitimate”: A shell organization would be formed. That shell organization would make

a statement. It would have an official sounding name. Then the media would say “blah blah organization” has done a study where they have concluded X is true.

People would accept it as true, even if it was an intentional lie, made by an organization that was not the press. Story told, organization vanishes if needed, new news cycle.

Cnn stopped doing that and started fabricating everything wholesale. Fox News realizes the days of getting away with that are over, most likely because they have a greater percentage of people who can see the light. And they are now hoping to avoid problems, now that Trump is obviously winning, punch after punch. Fox was never really pro-Trump, they were simply the least anti-Trump. They, of all the MSM, would have the easiest time putting things right.

I won't be super happy if Fox News lives, but I'll be doing the snoopy dance if CNN bites the dust. Telling the truth at this point won't cut it for that rag. **Yours truly, Jim Stone.**

Infiltrators Everywhere

**Stephen Christopher Yaxley-Lennon aka
'Tommy Robinson**

TapWire Note:: The name ‘Tommy Robinson’ is a made-up pseudonym, or a media persona used by Stephen Christopher Yaxley-Lennon. Other past fake names used by Robinson include “Paul Harris” and “Andrew McMaster.”

Sir__Anti-Muslim activist Tommy Robinson has been featured in a number recent videos paid for by a Canadian-based Jewish-owned neo conservative media outlet, ***The Rebel Media.***



Anti-Muslim activist Tommy Robinson has been featured in a number recent videos paid for by a Canadian-based Jewish-owned neo-conservative media outlet, *The Rebel Media*. In one recent video Robinson appears to be attempting to

incite xenophobic outrage by openly accusing the Muslim residents in a Manchester neighbourhood as being “enemy combatants.”

His secret meeting with the Jewish Community in Manchester has apparently sparked controversy with other Jewish activists. Jeremy Newmark, the chairman of the Jewish Labour Movement, explains, “There can be no space in our community for the politics of extremism and hate. British Jews stood firmly against the British Union of Fascists, the National Front and the BNP.”

However, Robinson has made no secret of the fact that he is committed Zionist – which may explain why members of the UK’s Jewish Community are now courting and [supporting him](#).

More from the Independent... Maya Oppenheim - The Independent

Tommy Robinson has met with members of Manchester’s Jewish community at a secretive event where he is believed to have outlined his extreme views on Islam in a speech.

The former English Defence League leader is said to have talked to around a dozen members in Prestwich, just outside Manchester city centre, until the early hours of last Thursday morning.

According to his own Tweet, it appears that Robinson had collected money from the [Jewish Community](#).

Mr Robinson mentioned the meeting on his Twitter account and claimed it was a charity fundraiser for the Amelia-Mae Foundation which supports people with Neuroblastoma.

According to the ***Jewish Chronicle***, one community member said her friends had organised the meet-up but she would “take legal action” if her own name was linked to the event. An additional source told the publication the meeting with the far-right campaigner, whose real name is Stephen Yaxley-Lennon, went on until “the early hours” of last Thursday morning. The meeting has sparked outrage in the wider Jewish community whose members argue Mr Robinson incites racial hatred and is in the same league as the British National Party (BNP) – where he used to be a member...

Tommy Robinson, Former EDL Leader Holds Secret Meeting with Manchester Jews – 21st Century Wire 21 WIRE + The Independent Members of the Jewish community appear to be courting the anti-Muslim activist.


EDITOR'S NOTE: The name 'Tommy Robinson' is a made-up pseudonym, or a media persona used by Stephen Christopher Yaxley-Lennon. Other past fake names used by Robinson include "Paul Harris" and "Andrew McMaster."

The End

How Intrigue Was Used To Depose The Right Honourable H. H. Asquith MP Extract From Pawns in The Game (2)

By Guy Carr

It was not until long after the war, when I was studying modern history and comparative religions, that I began to realize the vast importance of political Zionism to those who planned to obtain undisputed control of the world's economy. The following historical events speak for themselves.



When war broke out in 1914 the Rt. Hon. H. H. Asquith was Prime Minister. He was an Anti-Zionist. The International Bankers decided that Asquith's Government had to go and be replaced by a coalition government in which David Lloyd George and Winston Churchill would wield great influence. Lloyd George had for years been Solicitor for the Zionist movement as planned and financed by the Rothschilds. Winston Churchill had been a supporter of political Zionism from the time he first entered politics.

In 1917 the International Bankers were supporting both the Bolshevik and Zionist movements. It would seem incredible that the British Cabinet didn't know what was going on, particularly

when the British Government had had to intervene to get Trotsky and his revolutionary leaders released after they had been detained in Halifax while on their way from New York to Russia.

The overthrow of the Russian Empire was bound to cause the withdrawal of the mighty Russian Armies from the war on the side of the Allied Powers. The German Armies, which had been engaged on the Eastern Front, would be free to re-enforce the Armies fighting against the allied forces on the Western Front.

Despite this knowledge, nothing was done to prevent the plans of the International Financiers reaching maturity.

The British Government was aware of the serious conditions brewing in regard to Russia. This is proved by the fact that the matter was discussed by the cabinet and a decision was reached to send Lord Kitchener to Russia for the purpose of re-organizing the Russian military forces. Lord Kitchener sailed from Scapa Flow aboard the H.M.S. Hampshire. She was mysteriously sunk during the night of June 5th, 1916. Lord Kitchener was lost with all but a dozen of the crew. The survivors drifted ashore on a life-raft. The British Government announced H.M.S. Hampshire was sunk by a German U-boat or a German mine. This has been proved to be a lie.

I investigated this incident very thoroughly. In a previous book Hell's Angels of the Deep published in 1932, I PROVED H.M.S. Hampshire had not been sunk by an enemy torpedo or mine. H.M.S. Hampshire was sunk by either sabotage or due to an error of judgment on the part of her navigating officer. Judging all evidence available, I was convinced that H.M.S.

Hampshire sank after striking the submerged North Shoals Rocks. It is hard to believe that a skilled and experienced naval navigator committed such an error of judgment. I still believe that a saboteur probably tampered with the magnets in the steering compass. Gyro compasses were not then standard equipment and even ships that had them found the Sperry models very unreliable as I know from personal experience.



General Erich Von Ludendorff (who was Chief of Staff and shared with General Hindenberg the leadership of Germany's military might), also studied the circumstances surrounding the loss of H.M.S. Hampshire and Lord Kitchener's death. He states positively "Action by German naval units, either U-boats or mine-layers, had nothing to do with the sinking of the ship."

He said he had arrived at the conclusion that the death of Lord Kitchener was An act of God, because had he lived he would undoubtedly have re-organized the Russian Armies and trained them into the most formidable fighting force. The General then remarked "Had he done this the Bolsheviks would have come into possession of one of the most formidable fighting machines the world has ever known. Such a force would have enabled Communism to sweep over the whole world."

I maintain that the International Bankers could not afford to have the Russian Armies re-organized until AFTER the Menshevik uprising, and after Kerensky's provisional government had been overthrown in 1917. It is very doubtful if Lenin and Trotsky could have accomplished what they did if Lord Kitchener had been able

to re-organize, discipline, and train, the Russian armed forces in 1916. History also records that Winston Churchill and Lord Kitchener had quarrelled seriously over military policy during 1914-1916. Lord Kitchener had bitterly opposed Churchill's idea of sending the Naval Division to Antwerp in 1914. He had also opposed Churchill's plan to capture the Dardanelles. Both ventures proved to be costly mistakes. The Dardanelles venture could have succeeded, and would probably have ended the war in 1916, if Churchill had waited until both army and naval forces were ready to co-operate jointly.

When Churchill insisted that the naval forces attack the Dardanelles alone he notified the enemy of intended strategy. After Churchill had committed the initial blunder the army was ordered to participate. Lord Kitchener's objections were overruled. His advice was ignored. The allied military forces committed to the assault on the Dardanelles were insufficient in numbers, improperly trained, poorly equipped for such a task, and badly supported both in regard to provisions, medical aid, and reinforcements. They were forced to attack first class troops whose leaders had been alerted to their danger. The allied military and naval forces were required to overcome military and naval obstacles that had not been in existence when Churchill ordered the first naval assault. The Dardanelles campaign was doomed to failure from the start.

The more we study the methods employed by the Secret Powers behind international affairs, the more obvious it is to see that they make private assassinations look like accidents or suicides; sabotage look like carelessness, errors of judgment, and unintentional blunders committed due to excusable circumstances.

The only possible consideration that could justify the policy of the coalition government in 1916, in regard to Russia, was the fact that the government knew they could not obtain financial backing, or military aid from America until AFTER the Russian government had been overthrown. Such a statement seems preposterous, but it is supported by the following facts : The Mensheviks started the Russian Revolution February 1917.

To be continued OS22920

Hine & Wilson

Extract From: Religion and the Racist Right: The Origins of the Christian Identity Movement p 10-11

By
Michael Barkun



Left Edward Hine

Note:- This extract gives an interesting insight into situation in the UK; when there were many different BI groups who believed that the British were Israel, despite the Inappropriate title of the book.

However, it clearly shows, that many included the Germanic Peoples as being of Israel, while others, assigned them to being of Assyrian origin and the tribe and house of Judah were the Jews.

The Hine doctrine that the Germans were Assyrians and the Jews were Judah, which would become the norm, when in 1922, the groups merged into one organisation known as the BIWF.

The readers of this magazine will know, this is not the case today as more and more people discover the real identity of the Caucasian spread across Europe and elsewhere.

WHATEVER HINE LACKED as an organizer, he more than made up for it in his ability to reshape and articulate doctrine.

To an English public increasingly suspicious of Germany, Wilson's notion that both England and Germany partook of the Israelite inheritance was distasteful. A public accustomed to the seemingly limitless growth of British wealth, power, and prestige were attracted to the notion that their nations successes were the result of

Gods will, not luck or brute force. Hine satisfied their appetites.

Hine took strong exception to Wilson's inclusion of all the Teutonic peoples within the newly discovered Israel, but his disagreements were theological rather than political. "The main point of my differing with the late Mr. John Wilson," he wrote in 1885, " ... is that he sought to identify all the Modern Teutonic Nations as parts of Israel, whereas I stoutly maintain that to accept this view would lead us to terrible inconveniences and calamities."

The "inconveniences and calamities" Hine had in mind concerned how biblical prophecies could be fulfilled if "Israel" were parcelled out among a large number of nations. As far as Hine was concerned, fulfilment required that "the whole Ten Tribes . . . become consolidated in an Island Nation."

For Wilson it was enough that England descended from the tribe of Ephraim, but in Hine's reading of Scripture, "the term Ephraim is synonymous with Israel, and embodies the Ten Tribes as a consolidated people." Only the "thirteenth tribe" Manasseh, lay outside, and British-Israelites in time came to identify it with the United States, so that between them, Britain and the United States constituted all of Israel that was not Jewish.

Hine did more than merely deprive the Teutons of their Israelite status; he placed them in the enemy camp.

That is to say he argued that just as the ten tribes of Israel had been "lost," so too had Assyria, and the modern-day Assyrians were none other than the Germans. By implication, therefore, just as Israel and Assyria had fought in the biblical past, so Britain and Germany, their latter-day descendants, were destined to continue the struggle, this time on terms more favourable to Israel.

Hine also parted company with Wilson's view of the Jewish people. **While Wilson acknowledged their part in "All-Israel," he emphasized their contaminating intermarriages with the idolatrous peoples around them.**

Hine, on the other hand, insisted on elevating the Jews' position. While they were subordinated to the ten tribes, they nonetheless remained a valued and important part of "All-Israel," which was made up of the House of Israel and the House of Judah.

The House of Judah was comprised of the tribes of Judah and Levi, and "these are the Jews of the present day." Unlike the ten tribes, they were never "lost," so that they might be a reminder of the Jews' rejection of Jesus' messiah-ship.

The House of Judah had once included the tribe of Benjamin as well, Hine went on, but Benjamin had separated from Judah and had become part of the House of Israel, "so that Benjamin is not now with the Jews." Consequently, in a comment often repeated in British-Israel literature, "the Jews are of Israel, but Israel is not of Judah"; "the people of the Ten Tribes were never Jews."

The reuniting of All-Israel, inevitable in the Last Days, would require that the present-day ten tribes, that is, the British, come together with the descendants of the remaining tribes, that is, the Jews.

A significant part of British-Israel eschatology was to be the common enterprise of Anglo-Jewish resettlement of Palestine as essential to the fulfilment of biblical prophecy.

The End OS22949

My Government Is The Greatest Terrorist Threat In The World

From Our London Correspondent



JULY 9, 2018 — **TONY FARRELL** was the chief intelligence adviser to the South Yorkshire police. One of his duties was to write reports on terrorist threats to the British people.

He investigated the 7-7-2005 London bombings and the 9-11-2001 attacks on the Pentagon and the World Trade Centre Towers. His conclusion was that the greatest terrorist threat to the people

of Great Britain and America was their government.

Mr Farrell's boss was the Chief Constable of the South Yorkshire Police, Merdydd John Hughes. Mr. Hughes fired Tony Farrell rather than investigate the truth of his allegations.

Recently, a new edition of "7-7 Ripple Effect" has been published online. It makes detailed charges against the British government and Mossad as being the perpetrators of the 7-7-2005 London subway bombings. The Labour government had just lost a special election in a safe area of Wales. The British people had grown weary of fighting wars in the Middle East for America and hence Israel.

On the morning of 7-7-2005 four Muslim men were alleged to have met at Luton station to begin their suicide terror campaign if you believe the government version of the events of that day. As proof, they offer a photo of the 4 men but no CCTV footage. This is not

credible as all stations, trains and buses have multiple cameras. If the British government has

no videos, then that could only mean that the men were not where they were alleged to have been.

The alternative and more likely view is that the 4 men had foolishly volunteered to participate in a "terror drill." They were alleged to have caught the 7:40 train from Luton station but it was cancelled unexpectedly. None of the men caught their connecting trains and so were not physically able to board the doomed trains at their Thameslink station transfer point.

The 3 trains all had bomb craters in the floors with fragments indicating that the bombs had been placed underneath the floors in the transport yard the previous night. There was no need of suicide bombers with backpacks except to convince a gullible public they needed to support America's war efforts in the Middle East in behalf of Israel.

There were 3 blast craters in the floor in the train at Edgware road, not one, meaning there were 3 bombs underneath the floor of the carriage. Edgware road is a Muslim area so no practicing Muslim would choose it as a target. It is against their law to kill innocent Muslim civilians.

The bodies of the men were returned to their families. The government claimed that one of the suicide bombers, Shehzad Tanweer, had been blown into 52 different parts. When asked, friends of his family said his body was intact. No autopsies were performed for the Coroner's Inquest.

The Coroner's Inquest did reveal police diagrams of the passengers including the "terrorists." They were away from other people. And away from the blast craters in the trains.

There were reports that 3 Muslim terrorists had been shot at Canary Wharf, a posh area with multiple news media outlets. If the 3 men had heard of the bombings on the trains which they had missed, they could have gone to Canary Wharf to find a safe haven. Problem: If these men had died as suicide bombers, why did they have to be shot? And if their bodies were in one piece, then they were not suicide bombers.

The fourth man, Hasib Hussain, was the youngest. He was 18 and did not know London. He was never seen on the number 30 bus by a

reliable witness. That bus had 4 CCTV cameras. None were working. Ditto the CCTV cameras on the 3 trains. Buses are not allowed out of the yard if their cameras are not working so the government story is absolutely unbelievable.

The only eyewitness was a man named Richard Jones who had experience working with explosives and said he had exited the number 30 bus at Tavistock Square because he had arrived at his destination. That is a major lie. That bus had been diverted from Euston road to Tavistock Square so he could not have arrived at his destination.



VERINT is an Israeli company and operates the cameras for the London Underground. VERINT had its London headquarters in the Tavistock Building where

the bomb blast killed 13 people on the number 30 bus.

The Tavistock Institute specializes in mind control to make voters and taxpayers docile. [Constable Yvonne Fletcher shot opposite Tavistock House in 1984 was investigated by the Mossad agent alleged to have been her assassin].

The People who own the government tell us lies that we may willingly kill and be killed in their wars. The People who own our government have, according to Catherine Austin Fitts, stolen \$50 trillion from us.

The People who own the government also own the Banks. The Banks launder a trillion dollars a year in illegal drugs and weapons. The Banks also launder \$500 billion a year in political bribes. The People who own the government also own the News Media and pay them billions to lie to us. "Journalists" protect the right of Bankers to steal from us by the tens of trillions.

The People who own the government despise you, your friends and your family. They sincerely hope that you live in misery. Recently, an 848 square foot home (less than 79 square meters) in Sunnyvale, California sold for \$2.6 million. The property tax is \$2,083 a month. Sunnyvale has really bad schools so you would have to pay extra to send your children to a private school.

The Bankers are willing to flood our countries with tens of millions of illegal aliens and rape refugees because the people replacing us will never challenge the authority of those who sent out to the Third World for them.

I have written previously about the Kennedy assassination, Israel Killed JFK And Has Ruled America Ever Since. And I wrote about 9/11 many times including this: 9/11: Short and Powerful Questions.

Did you ever doubt that the US government was promoting illegal drugs just as Her Majesty's Jewish Government had ever since the Opium Wars? Update: America's Secret Multi-Trillion Dollar Black Ops Slush Fund. Five brief videos exposing the Exchange Stabilization Fund (ESF) and its history. Full story: [vidrebel](#).

The End OS22950

Our Mounting Problems And The Stranger Within

**By
Robert Bruce Record**



The problems confronting our nation are legion, and much time, money and energy are being expended to help meet and solve these problems; but the tragedy is that nothing we do ever seems to get us anywhere. Why?

Our Basic Problem is Certain People

Well, first of all we are dealing with the effects of a system that is basically wrong. There is no possible way of ever solving our economic problems as long as Congress permits private banking interests to control our money, forcing us ever deeper and deeper into debt. And 90% of our problems are directly or indirectly related to money and its abuse.

But our basic problem is to be found in certain people, and the failure of our leaders to recognize this, and who our enemies are, is the reason our problems remain.

America Is Basically Christian

If we are going to deal effectively with our mounting problems, we must begin to see that America is basically Christian, and the beginning of the restoration of the kingdom of God. The

Constitution by which this country was run (or was until we joined the United Nations), is based upon Biblical law. And because these things are so, our problems are largely moral and spiritual. It is the failure of our people to abide by the Christian and Biblical standards on which this country was built that has led to the crisis in which we find ourselves.

This brings us back to our previous contention that our basic problem is people – a certain kind of people. It is a people who do not believe in our God and our way of life, and who spend many of their working hours doing everything they can to undermine and destroy our American Way of Life. They have been eating away at our national foundations like termites until this nation is only a shell of its former self. And to this day, both religious and political leaders alike seem to be wholly unaware of the source of our trouble. It lies in the people.

The People Who Built This Country

One of the reasons for our blindness in this respect, is, that for years our people have been brainwashed by religious leaders to the effect that, as a nation, we were nothing but a polyglot nation of Gentiles from hither and yon. But America is the land of the white man.

The people who founded and built this country came from England and Western Europe, and they are Anglo-Saxon, Nordic, and kindred and English speaking peoples. What is more, we are the literal descendants of ancient Israel! We are what we are, and we have what we have, because

God has given these things to us in fulfilment of His promises.

I have said this by way of pointing to pertinent truth brought out in some of our Lord's parables. When it is realized that we are God's kingdom nation, and the beginning of the restoration of the kingdom of God, these parables speak volumes to our country today. They certainly have no meaning whatever if you attempt to apply them to the Jews, or modern Israel over there in the Middle East.

The Bad Must Be Gathered Out



In Matthew 13:47-50 we have Christ's parable of the drag-net, and in verses 47-48 we find Jesus saying:—

“The kingdom of heaven is like unto a net, that was cast into the sea, and gathered of every kind; which when it was full, they drew to shore, and sat down, and gathered the good into vessels, but cast the bad away.”

Here we have described what has happened here in America. The fish are people. To our shores have come people from every clime and nation. And our Lord's application of this is; that at the end of the age, God's angels, or messengers, would come forth and sever the wicked from the just. It is the wicked that are the source of our trouble. To get rid of our trouble, we get rid of the people who cause it!

The Evil Tares Must Be Judged

Then there is the parable of the tares among the wheat in Matthew 13:24-30. In God's kingdom nation God planted wheat, or the children of the kingdom. But while men slept an enemy sowed

tares. In other words a deliberate attempt was made to sabotage the children of the kingdom.

These tares were no part of the wheat, but like darnel, may have appeared to be wheat in the growing stage. It was not until the time of the harvest, or the end of the age, that the tares are revealed for what they are, and then they are gathered into bundles and burned! In our Lord's explanation of this parable He identifies the tares as “all things that offend, and those which do iniquity” or practice lawlessness.

Now the truth set forth in these and other parables of our Lord, all go for naught and fall on deaf ears, to those who are trying to squeeze the Jew, a religious sect, into the role of national Israel! The Jews did not have a national home until 1948; nor do these parables apply to them. What I'm saying is this. The tares as claiming to be Israel, but are not, are claiming Israel's inheritance and have had an evil influence upon the children of the kingdom. They are the corrupters of their religion, their morals, their schools and their politics.

The Stranger Within

As being tares, they are really aliens and strangers. They are not of the wheat. This brings to mind a prophecy by Moses in Deuteronomy 28:43-44, which has its fulfilment in our day, and in our day only:—

“The stranger that is within thee shalt get up above thee very high; and thou shalt come down very low. He shall lend to thee, and thou shalt not lend to him: he shall be the head, and thou shalt be the tail.”

When this prophecy was made, Israel had not yet reached the land of Palestine. Nor was it ever fulfilled in them when they reached Palestine to possess it. Then it would have to have its fulfilment in these last days when Israel was gathered in the “appointed place” of II Samuel 7:10 (and I Chron. 17:9). It could never fulfil in them while they were scattered.

His Control Of Our Money

Now the only way that the tares, or the stranger within, could get up very high over Israel, and they come down very low, or, for the stranger to be the head, and Israel the tail, is that the stranger

gain control of the country so as to dictate its policies! And please note that this stranger was to have control of our money. He would lend to us – not we to him.

We would do well to recall here, what Meyer Amschel Rothschild said back in 1790:–

“Permit me to issue and control the money of a nation and I care not who writes its laws.”

For over 90 years now, the money of this nation has been controlled by the Federal Reserve Banks, a privately owned and run institution. Under their control we have borrowed from them until today we are in debt to them to the tune of Trillions. And through their control of our money, they control our politics, and thus are the head, and we are the tail. That is why it does not make any difference who we send to Washington anymore, and why our country is being run the way it is!

The Conflict Between Christianity And Antichrist

Let us note something else. With the coming of Christ in the first century A.D., and the introduction of this Christian dispensation, the purpose of God has been for the Christian manifestation, but opposed to it, and seeking to suppress and even destroy, is the “antichrist” manifestation.

The very “gates of hell” would rise up in opposition to Christ and His kingdom and it would come from the forces of antichrist. The same people who demanded the death of our Lord would be working all through the Christian era to vent their hatred of Christ and Christianity.



They were the enemies of Paul, and the early church, and were the instigators of the persecution brought against the early Christians. Our Lord's characterizat

ion them in John 8:44 is:–

“Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do.”

And few of them have ever changed!

The Forces Of Antichrist Given A Free Hand

The conflict here in America, therefore, is basically that of Christianity with antichrist. And what with most preachers on prophecy focusing the attention of our people on a fictitious personal Antichrist who is supposed to arise immediately after the church is raptured, there being few who are aware of the working of the real antichrists spoken of by John. In fact many Christians are hailing them as “the chosen people,” and are defending their rape of the Arabs in the Mideast.

Thus it is that the forces of antichrist have been given pretty much of a free hand. Any opposition to them is cried down with the hate slogan of “anti-Semitism!” But the fact is, most of them have no more Israel blood in their veins than does Sammy Davis, Jr. They are simply Jews by religion!

When Our Lord Returns

Because they now sit in the saddle, and are the head, and we are the tail, we can expect no relief from our mounting problems until Jesus comes. But I would have us note that one of the first things our Lord does upon His return is to gather out the tares and judge them. And when the tares are gathered out, our Lord then says, “Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father.” (Matt.13:43) Let us praise the Lord that with the end of the age upon us, the cleansing of our nation is not far hence.

Note: The National Message Ministry broadcast, starting with W. B Record and continuing with his son, Robert Bruce Record, though little known, was one of the longest running religious broadcasts. It began in the 1930's and continued into the late 1990's with the National Message News and Comments continuing into the 21st century.

The End OS22951

Defending Yourself Against "Legal Fiction"

By Rino



THE "FALSE ALLEGATION" INDUSTRY also exists here in Australia. We need to draw back the curtain and begin to pay attention to the man behind the curtain.

There are critical points to understand. Once the world went off the Gold standard, the people now in effect became dead to the Law.. All courts are courts belonging the central banks, being the creditor in any case. A Judge cannot see the substantive Law in relation to a man or woman's God-given rights anymore under public policy. Public policy refers to the bankruptcy/insolvency of that nation.

All charges are against the created Birth Certificate Trust estate/prepaid account recognized by the all capital letter name.

The person who is charged is NOT the man but his corporate entity account. All the courts want is to settle a public account and they want access to your Birth Certificate trust/prepaid estate account.

When you argue/defend and a claim, which in commerce is a demand for money, you are in effect saying 'I don't want to pay' and at this point of dishonour there may be more bogus charges/claims come forward due to this.

So all legislative acts apply only to these corporate persons, individuals, taxpayers, residents, etc.; and guess what, they are all created fictions of law. They are not real they are created fictions of law.

The idea is not to argue/defend because the living man or woman is not even named as a party in any court action, only the birth certificate/Corporate Trust entity is named.

That is why it is said that arguments are for fools'. This information applies in Canada, Australia, America and anywhere else where a central bank exists.

So what happens when there is a 'Charge' against someone? An account is created and it must be settled otherwise someone is placed in prison as collateral for that debt. Arguing and defending creates problems.

This is what they do when they create a charge. The courts/agencies use the important concept/principle of 'Bankers Acceptance law'. It is the important concept and principle of primary liability.

The newly created charge is created by the 'maker' of the instrument, i.e., lawyer, agency, court or any other third party entity and the bankers acceptance law goes like this, 'The primary liability to 'pay' an instrument rests with the maker (i.e. lawyer, agency, prosecutor, court etc) of that instrument **UNLESS** someone else (The charged entity) refuses (argues or defends) to pay.

Under the rules of Admiralty Law, he who brings forward a charge must also bring forward the remedy and that remedy is your birth Certificate/Trust prepaid asset account. The reason they 'falsely charge' people is because they are well aware of the existence of this Trust account and you are deemed to be in control of your estate, operating as a Trustee of that account.

However they will never openly admit to this under public policy and they will always remain silent and refer you to some legislative act and make you think it applies to you.

When they say to you that 'anything you say can and will be used against you', what they are really saying is be careful how you respond to

our presentment/claims because you may not know what it is that we are really asking of you!

They know and understand that all energy comes from the living man who in reality is the creditor, however if you create dishonour they will treat you as the debtor and the debtor has no rights.

What is the false allegation industry really all about? It is proof that you have in fact an prepaid Trust/estate account created at your birth and evidenced by your birth Certificate. Your birth certificate is evidence of two things:

- 1) that a Trust does in fact exist and
- 2) it is a security, which is used as collateral by any government to receive funding from the central banks.

If you understand that the Bible tells us not to charge your 'brother' because you make a debtor of him and this is why those in power know and understand this concept. This is why they created the fiction corporate entity because it is supposed to protect/buffer you.

Once Adam and Eve dishonoured the creator, they were taken out of the Garden of Eden which was no longer a commercial free zone and absolutely everything that is done, is done in commerce involving commercial accounts.

Ever since then the same principle has applied, creditors are winners and debtors are losers! Were we not told to be the 'head' (creditor) and not the 'tail' (debtor)? Its all about an account, the rest is all illusion, just ask for the bill so that you can do a bankers acceptance by your endorsement of the charging instrument / complaint and proceed to settle and close the account. However be prepared they will test you first.

We as living people no longer know or understand who we are and everything has been inverted upside down. We have lost our identities and once again have given away our birthright for "bowl of pottage."

The End OS22947

Domvile: From Admiral to Cabin Boy Ian Buckley remembers a neglected prophet



COMPLACENT, SELF-SEEKING, CORRUPT AND FOOLISH: these are just a few of the adjectives that can be applied to the present-day British Establishment. However, these words very definitely did not apply to Admiral Sir Barry Domvile, who rose to the highest levels of policy-making during an era of crucial interest. This was the period when this country was losing self-confidence and independent direction, as Great Britain began to

gradually transform into a drab little Britain. The stage was set for the coming of miserable political hucksters like Blair and Thatcher, themselves at the beck and call of greater powers.

The puppets dance, whilst hidden forces pull the strings from behind the scenes, as Domvile put it in his excellent and revealing memoir *From Admiral to Cabin Boy*. Incidentally, Barry Domvile, whose long life ended on 13th August 1971, was prescient enough to foretell the coming of globalisation and the globalisers with his perfect short description: "...no territorial limits and respects no human ideals, but regards the whole world as one glorious financial playground to be used for its own benefit."

Early awakenings

Just before the First World War, Domvile had begun to gain knowledge of the ways of government, due to his position as assistant

secretary on the Imperial Defence Committee. The overall impression he drew from the experience was alarming:

From that time onwards I had a strong suspicion that there was some mysterious power at work behind the scenes controlling the actions of the figures visibly taking part in the Government of the country.

I had not the least idea whence this power emanated, nor could I gauge the strength of its influence. I was in far too humble a position to make such lofty discoveries. Still the feeling persisted. We always vaguely referred to this hidden control amongst ourselves as the Treasury.

This theorizing was much strengthened by the undoubted fact that successive British governments of the time made idiotic and even near-suicidal decisions, which made no sense whatever in rational terms.

A good example – which astonished Barry Domvile – was the abandonment of the alliance with Japan in 1921. There were close links between the Royal Navy and the Japanese Navy, which to some extent had been modelled after the pattern of the former, but largely as a result of American pressure, the British government decided not to renew the Treaty.

At once, the Far Eastern possessions of the Empire such as Hong Kong and Singapore were imperilled. In addition, this move was also a calculated insult to the Japanese, a very foolish thing to do to a nation so acutely sensitive to 'loss of face'.

It would seem the right place to give Domvile's verdict about those early suspicions regarding the ultimate cause of the misdirection of Britain:

This mysterious power, to which I have been referring, will be constantly appearing in the course of this narrative. A short distinctive title will be a convenience. Let us christen it Judmas, because, as I discovered at a much later date, its source is the Judeao-Masonic combination, which has wielded such a baneful influence in world history for many centuries.'

Now it should be noted that this statement is in no sense the product of 'hate', but rather of common-sense and observation. Domvile had attended numerous peace conferences after

World War I, knew both Lloyd George and Churchill, and was as well-informed as you'd expect a Director of Naval Intelligence to be.

Remember that, as far back as the middle of the nineteenth century, the Rothschild dynasty exerted enormous financial control over all European countries and even the United States. Benjamin Disraeli admitted as much in *Coningsby*. Has that power lessened since then? It does not seem likely or probable that it has.



Worked for Anglo-German friendship

By the beginning of the 1930's, Barry Domvile had become President of the Royal Naval College at Greenwich. He saw the acute need of fostering better relations between Britain and Germany and so avoiding another disaster like the Great War. Eventually he founded the Anglo-German Link, an association whose intended purpose was:–

'To foster the mutual knowledge and understanding between the British and German peoples, and to counteract the flood of lies with which our people were being regaled in their daily papers.'

Unlike a roughly similar organisation, the Anglo-German Fellowship, which catered mainly for business types and the upper classes, Domvile's Link was aimed more towards ordinary people: Membership of the 'Link' was open to all; to anyone with enough sense to realise that the establishment of good relations between English and German peoples was the best work to which any man and woman could apply if they wished to avoid a cataclysm.

'We opened branches gradually all over the country, several in London and its suburbs. I spent a time travelling all over England and

Scotland, to address members of new Branches; I never got as far as Ireland, or to any of the Branches started by enthusiasts in the Dominions.'

Despite the fact that Domvile - when visiting Germany with Link members - had always been careful to keep clear of excessive contact with 'official Nazidom', his leadership of the Link was to be held against him. It was the main factor which led to his imprisonment without trial under regulation 18b in Brixton Prison.

Then as now the advocates of 'freedom' were extremely touchy about any dissenting views. For whatever bizarre putative reason may have occurred to the Churchill government, his wife Alexandria was also imprisoned, in Holloway.

The Admiral had come to his 'cabin' in Brixton Jail. One warder, who had been a petty officer under Domvile, was unable to believe his eyes when he found his distinguished former commander in a cell.

Interestingly, by the standards of the time Barry Domvile was completely free from racial animosity, referring to kindness of a black prisoner who carried his case, or commenting that he preferred the presence of thirty 'clean and inoffensive' Chinese internees to that of thirty politicians of the type of Herbert Morrison.

For the next three years, Admiral Domvile's home was to be a cell, with a plank bed two inches from the floor, a table, chair and wash-stand. But Domvile did not become too bitter, holding that as long as you were content with our own company, that prison afforded many opportunities to develop your mind that were otherwise unobtainable in the modern world.

Accurate Views

Still, it was a most unfair punishment to be imposed just for holding embarrassingly accurate views:-


'It has been admitted by Mr. Cordell Hull that the United States Government presented impossible terms to Japan, and only expected a declaration of war in response. I do not pretend to know whether Churchill realised the speedy loss of our Far Eastern possessions which was bound to follow, if his efforts to bring America

into the war were successful. I can only reiterate that I did.'

Or consider this statement by Admiral Barry Domvile, which is very relevant today, and should be specially directed towards our conscienceless and shameless 'leaders' to poverty, war and calamity:

'The first duty of any form of Government is to promote the welfare of its own subjects, and not to sacrifice their lives uselessly, in an endeavour to exercise parental control over a reluctant world, mainly at the behest of a powerful alien minority.'

In conclusion, Admiral Domvile's book *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* is an essential read, if – and this is a big if – you can find a copy. Though obtainable from ordinary bookshops and libraries for some years following its publication in 1947, the book has almost disappeared from public view.



Even in the 1950's, Ezra Pound complained that *From Admiral to Cabin Boy* was being suppressed and quietly removed from libraries. So much for 'democracy'! At the risk of repeating myself, what sort of democracy do we have in this country, when that word means little more a sanctification of misrule by media mafia, fattest wallet and most pliable bought politician?

As Domvile himself commented:-

'I have no regrets whatever for undertaking the voyage, as I should always have reproached myself if I had failed to do my utmost to draw attention to the contemplated betrayal of all true British interests.

It is a matter for deep regret, however, that my misgivings have been only too completely justified by the passage of events.'

The End OS22940

Eleanor Roosevelt Was A Communist Lesbian

Louise Ford



A QUICK SUMMARY OF THIS DISGUSTING WOMAN. I found this on Smoloko.com in Alicia's section. I thought Alicia and Scottie had disappeared and then yesterday I actually stumbled on an email from Alicia in which I was copied. I popped her a quick email to confirm if this is the Alicia from Smoloko. I'm waiting for a reply. But you may recall that for some months I had been asking about Scottie and Alicia and whether they had disappeared.

Ten Nastiest Facts About Eleanor Roosevelt

- 1) She was a Lesbian
- 2) She had more Communist Front affiliations than you could shake a hammer and sickle at.
- 3) She arranged for the FBI to investigate Westbrook Pegler, an award winning journalist and harsh critic of hers that she sought to intimidate.
- 4) She incited hatred against peaceful Germany during the prewar years and became even more hysterical after her husband tricked America into war.
- 5) She publicly and proudly stood up for the infamous Communist traitor, Alger Hiss, even after he was proved to be a Communist / Soviet agent.
- 6) In spite of her immense wealth and "do-gooder" facade, her charitable donations - even during the depths of the Great Depression and W W 2 hardships -- were minimal.

7) She was a pro-U.N. Globalist fanatic until she died.

8) she became an early and outspoken promoter of the anti-White "Civil Rights" movement.

9) She was also an early "environmentalist" to promote more and more government.

10) She was an advocate of "Children's Rights" — a scam to undermine parents and bring children under the total educational control and corruption by the Jew World Order, via UNESCO.

This Eleanor bitch played a role in screwing the whites of Africa. She was an ardent communist and she told many lies to the American public regarding communists like Mao Tse Tung in China and Fidel Castro in Cuba. She lied and said they weren't communists, etc. She's a true piece of Evil and I really loved this meme from Smoloko. Mike King of the TomatoBubble has written an excellent book on the Roosevelts and what garbage they were.

"Then, the honorable wife [Eleanor] took his place. She and her sons, she said, refused to live in a world such as ours. That is at least understandable, for ours is world of work and not one of deceit and racketeering."
~ Adolf Hitler, 1941

Above what Hitler said about Eleanor Roosevelt

The End



IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



This long sort after book is now available!


Click on image for details



A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click [HERE](#)


Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

[CLICK HERE](#)



1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937


www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia

**A WONDERFUL WEB SITE
FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS**

<http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk>

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew