

Vestiges Of the Historic Anglo-Hebrews In East Anglia



By
The Rev. M. Margoliouth,
Lld., Ph.B.,Ktc., etc.



**A facsimile of the sketch preserved
in The British Museum**

Vestiges
Of the
Historic Anglo Hebrews
In
East Anglia.

With appendices and an apropos essay.

The Rev. M. Margoliouth, Lld., Ph.B.,Ktc., etc.

**Author of "a pilgrimage to the land of my fathers," "The
History Of The Jews In Great Britain," etc., etc.**

**London: Longmans, Green, Reader And
Dyer.**

1870.

**To
Sir Robert Pigot, Bart.,**

**This volume is,
With sentiments of great regard and sincere esteem,
respectfully inscribed**

**By
The author.**

Preface

THIS volume is one of the effects issuing from the labours of the "Royal Archaeological Institute for Great Britain and Ireland." Having been asked, in the spring of this year, by some friends interested in the researches and prosperity of that useful Association, to contribute a paper at their annual meeting, to be held this year at Bury St. Edmund's; I acquiesced, and fixed upon the subject which gives the title to this publication. I considered it a proper theme for an Essay to be brought under the notice of an assembly of archaeologists, who were to meet in the town which bears the name of one of the kings of the East Angles. The subject commended itself to the Honorary Secretary of the Institute, and I forthwith set to work to isolate some materials for this particular purpose, from MSS. on kindred subjects, upon which many a year's hard work and study had been bestowed.

When I had arranged the joints of my skeleton, I began to feel apprehensive about its probable proportions, should I venture to clothe it with the sinews, flesh, and skin commensurate with its gigantic stature. I therefore, by sundry expedients, reduced the structure of my skeleton to a dwarfish size; but even then I was dubious as to whether it might not be considered out of proportion, for the time usually allowed for one paper. It took me nearly three hours to accomplish a private perusal of the first abridgment. The dissecting knife was once more applied, and a further series of excisions achieved. Naturally, I had my misgivings as to the effect which the mutilated and maimed thesis might produce upon a highly educated audience, such as form the attendance at such conferences. I confess that whilst I hoped for the best, I was prepared for the worst. However, the meeting was kinder to me than my apprehensions foreboded.

It was my good fortune to read my paper when a NOBLEMAN, well worthy of the name, an accomplished Scholar, and a learned Divine then the Venerable Lord Arthur Hervey, Archdeacon of Sudbury, now Bishop of Bath and Wells occupied the chair. The President's generous indulgence seemed to permeate and pervade the whole audience. I had an attentive and encouraging hearing notwithstanding the great length of my paper, mutilated though it was accorded to me. That was not all. When I had finished, the noble President, as well as the assembly generally, was good enough to speak in terms of commendation of my humble performance. I was asked by many, then and there, to publish the production; and often, since then, have I been importuned to give the "*opuscula, pro bono publico.*" Refusing compliance might have been construed into an affected modesty. I have deferred therefore to the wishes of my partial critics, as I must call those friends who have urged on the publication of this paper. In doing so, I have restored,^ the shape of notes and appendices, some of the parings which I had made from the original plan; and have also added an apropos Essay, on the qualifications which the historian of Jewish annals must possess.

It is not improbable that some of the readers of this Brochure, may be already acquainted with my works on the annals of the Anglo-Hebrews, namely, "The Jews in Great Britain; being a Series of Six Lectures delivered at the Liverpool Collegiate Institution, on the Antiquities of the Jews in England," and "The History of the Jews in Great Britain" such would no doubt feel somewhat struck at my altered interpretation of certain men and things, words, thoughts, and deeds, from that propounded in my former works. "Live and learn," though a trite saying, is yet a true and sound aphorism. The first-named work was written upwards of a quarter century ago; the second more than a score of years ago. One learns, and has to unlearn, a great deal during such a period of time. On several subjects, I frankly own, my opinions were then formed on imperfect information, and but crudely digested. Twenty years' hard reading, travelling, and thinking, wonderfully enlarge one's information, and ripen considerably one's judgment.

Let me instance two of the principal features in the following pages the purport of the bronze vessel found in a Suffolk river, (p. 46.) and the identification of Nicolaus de Lyra with a Franciscan Monk of Lynn, (p. 56.) When I first treated of the former, I was led principally by Dr. Tovey's account of the vessel. I then lived far away from Town, and could not avail myself

of the unpublished literary treasures of the British Museum. The sketch of the vessel the facsimile of which forms the frontispiece as preserved amongst the MSS. of the National Archives, is as different as possible from the print of the same vessel, as given by Dr. Tovey.[1] I had not then visited Prague, and had not read the " Sermons in Stone," with which the ancient Jewish cemetery, in that place, abounds. When I first treated of Nicolaus de Lyra, I was not aware that there flourished a Nicolaus de Lynn at the latter part of the thirteenth, and the early part of the fourteenth, century. My former works, just named, are out of print; I am prepared for a new History of the Jews in Great Britain, up to the present day, founded on materials carefully collected, and critically sifted, since the publication of the works alluded to.

Let me close my few prefatory remarks with a hope that my readers will accord to me the like indulgence which my hearers have done; and will generously credit me with a conscientious desire to give them the truest attainable information in my power, weeded of the tares which ignorance, prejudice, bigotry, and superstition disseminate.

M. M.

BRANCHES PARK, NEWMARKET, December 1869.

1 The stamp on the cover is a miniature of Lady Pigot's grand artistic diagram, which her Ladyship kindly prepared, for the purpose of illustrating the part of the paper which it concerned. (P. 46.)

THE VESTIGES Of the HISTORIC ANGLO-HEBREWS OF EAST ANGLIA

WITTINGLY, or unwittingly, the Royal Archaeological Institute, in common with certain other scientific and literary unions, has an important mission. The congress, moving about from place to place in the United Kingdom, affords an opportunity to Englishmen everywhere to learn from the past how to improve the present, and how to provide against the future.

There are cycles in history as well as in nature; both are ordained and controlled by the first great cause, the moral governor of the universe; both are intended to inculcate the sublimest of lessons. They point thoughtful minds retrospectively and prospectively. When our physical eyes are strained by gazing at eclipses, conjunctions of planets, the reappearance of certain comets, meteoric showers, &c., our mental eyes look back upon the world and the inhabitants thereof, when the same phenomena took place in times past. We have before our mind's eye the men and women who walked this earth then, who hoped and feared, loved and hated, did good and evil; some were meek, and some were arrogant; some were gentle, and some were rude and rough. They gazed then on the same objects as we now gaze. Where are they?

Our eye of imagination pierces through also the vista of futurity. We contemplate beings beholding the same wondrous things in years to come; when we shall be gathered hence. Verily, the revolving cycles of nature are great moralists and levellers.

The same feelings and thoughts impress us when we roam over the sites of ancient cities and temples; such as Thebes, Memphis, Heliopolis, Nimroud, Ninevah, Baalbeck, Palmyra, Ephesus, Corinth, Carthage, Sidon, Tyre, Jerusalem; or to begin at home the ancient Castles, Cathedrals, Minsters, Abbeys, Monasteries and Convents of Old England. The same eclipses, the same conjunctions of planets, the same comets, the same meteoric showers interested the teeming multitudes who once lived, moved, and had their beings in all those places. Where are they now?

The periodical bringing before the world the vestiges and traces of our predecessors, is intended, like the revolving cycles of nature, to enforce the truth taught by the inspired penman: "O my

God, Thy years are throughout all generations. Of old hast Thou laid the foundation of the earth; and the heavens are the work of Thy hands. They shall perish; but Thou shalt endure, yea all of them shall wax old like a garment; as a vesture shalt Thou change them, and they shall be children of Thy servants shall continue, and their seed shall be established before Thee."^[2]

Such thoughts are induced by the researches of archaeological, literary, and scientific institutions. The researches of those organisations spread a table at which the minds of all sorts of literati can feast; at which the wisdom of the profoundest savants may grow stronger and stronger; and the crumbs which fall from it must be nourishing to the masses. The respective Councils make good use of their opportunities; they hold their meetings annually in different parts of the kingdom, so that they make their existence to be felt all over this realm, and thus awaken inquiry, and set intelligent minds to think of the things that have been, that are, and that may be.

There is one branch of archaeological and historical research which may be compared to a tree which has taken deep root in every part of the earth, whose shadow covers every hill, and whose boughs are like mighty cedars. The fruit thereof may serve as the most nourishing aliment for the mind of every nation under heaven. Or, to use a metaphor befitting the present occasion, it is that of a shattered ruin of the most magnificent temple which the Great Architect of the universe had ever designed, and which the Grand Master Mason had ever reared, whose fragments have been scattered over " the wide, wide world." It is the archaeology and history of the Jewish people. The interest which that history awakens is cosmopolitan in character, inasmuch as the outcasts of Judah, and the dispersed of Israel, are everywhere to be found. Go from Moscow to Lisbon, from Borneo to Archangel, from Hindustan to Honduras, from Japan to Britain, and you will meet in the course of your multifarious peregrinations, with representatives of the scattered but sacred race. He that adventures himself to the snows of Siberia, meets with Jews there; he who traverses the sands of the burning desert, encounters members of the tribes of that wandering race; the European traveller hears of their existence in regions which he cannot reach. Surely, there must be a great and instructive design in the dispersion of that ancient people, for the improvement of mankind all over the world.

Of late years, this branch of archaeology has enlisted the interest of the devout, learned, and curious; and in no country more so than in this. I venture to hope that this paper may conduce to the stirring up of critical enquiry and research into the early annals of the Jews in this country. I am only dealing this time with a few fragments of the great theme, even the Vestiges of the Historic Anglo-Hebrews of East Anglia.

As this subject, in an exclusive paper, is for the first time treated in the annals of the Royal Archeological Institute, I may perhaps be pardoned if I advert, for a few moments, to the earliest acquaintance of some of the sacred race with this island. I do not apprehend any successful contradiction when I state that some Jews may have dated their earliest landing on British soil, long ere "Romans, Saxons, Danes, or Normans coveted the possession of the British isle. I have elsewhere demonstrated^[3] the migratory character of the Hebrew race, and the reason thereof, ever since " the Father of the faithful," i.e., their Patriarch Abraham, was enjoined to get him out of his country, and from his kindred, and from his father's house, unto a land that would be shown him; and promised that he should be made a great nation.^[2] I have already established a strong probability that some Jews were personally acquainted with this "isle afar off " from their own land, as early as the time of Solomon, when that monarch's navy accompanied that of Tyre and Sidon.^[4] That opinion, which I formed on the strength of irrefragable evidence, I still maintain, the ingenious arguments of the late Sir George C. Lewis notwithstanding. Sir Edward S. Creasy, in his "*History of England from the Earliest Times*," the first volume of which has just been published, after careful research and critical examination of evidence, has come to the mature conviction that "the British tin mines mainly supplied the glorious adornment of Solomon's Temple."

A small remnant of that monarch's subjects remained in Cornwall since that time I have traced that remnant by the paths of philology, and the byways of nomenclature. I might adduce an array of whole sentences, exactly alike in the languages of Hebrew and the ancient Cornish.[5] I might adduce some of the proper names which prevailed amongst the aboriginal Britons long before they knew anything of Christianity, such as Adam, Abraham, Asaph, Benyon, Daniel, Solomon, of which latter name the ancient Britons, according to Lloyd's *Cambria*, had three kings. We read of a Duke of Cornwall, Solomon by name, openly professing Christianity about the middle of the fourth century. Solomon was not his baptismal name, but one by which he was known before that sacrament was administered to him.

Let me just glance at a few fragments, from the ruins of Jewish history, to show that considerable numbers of the sacred, scattered nation were in this island in the time of the Romans. A copy of a letter preserved by the Jewish apocryphal historian, Josephon ben Gorion, which the Jews of Asia sent to Hyrcanus and the nobles of Judah, contains the following passage: "Be it know unto you, that Augustus Caesar, by the advice of his ally, Antoninus, has sent throughout all the countries of his dominions, as far as beyond the Indian sea, and as far as beyond the land of Britain, that is, the land in the midst of the ocean, and commanded that in whatever place there be man or woman of the Jewish race, man servant, or maid servant, to set them free without any redemption money. By command of Caesar Augustus and his ally Antoninus." [6]

In the *Tzemach David*, a Hebrew Chronicle of some importance, written by Rabbi David Ganz, we have the following brief record: "Caesar Augustus was a pious and God-fearing man, and did execute judgment and justice, and was a lover of Israel.

And as to that which is reported at the commencement of the book, 'Sceptre of Judah,' that Ceesar Augustus caused a great slaughter amongst the Jews, the informant misled the author; for I have not met with a hint even, respecting it, in all the chronicles that I have ever seen. On the contrary, in all their [i.e.. Gentiles'] annals, and also in the fifteenth chapter of Josephon, it is recorded that he was a faithful friend of Israel. The same writer records, in the forty-seventh chapter, that this Caesar sent an epistle of release to the Jews in all the countries of his dominion; to the east as far as beyond the Indian sea, and to the west as far as beyond the British territory (which is the country *Angleterre*, and which is designated England in the vernacular.)"[7] The Jews in this country chronicle the same event annually, in their calendar, in the following words: "C. Æ 15. Augustus' edict in favour of the Jews in England."

The enquiring archaeologist into the antiquities of the Jews in this country, encounters the same difficulty which the wise Gildas experienced. That proto-Anglo-historian lamented in the beginning of his epistle, in which he has undertaken to give some account of the ancient British Church, the want of any domestic monuments to afford him certain information. "For," saith he, "if there were any such, they were either burnt by our enemies, or carried so far by the banishment of our countrymen, that they no longer appear; and therefore I was forced to pick up what I could out of foreign writers, without any continued series." So it is with the archaeologist who desires to construct a history of the Anglo-Hebrews previous to their banishment from this country in 1290. We have, nevertheless, proof positive that the Jews were settled in this country to a significant extent, as has been already stated, before either Roman, Saxon, Dane, or Norman found their way hither.

Gildas' performance is not redolent of interest of any kind, and affords evidence neither one way or the other. The very next English author, the Venerable Bede, incidentally mentions the Jews, in such a manner as to prove that they must have been in this country anterior to his time. Bede, in describing some of the controversies which raged between the Romish and the British Monks, mentions the festival of Easter as a *casus belli*. The Britons celebrated Easter on the very day of the full moon in March, if that day fell on Sunday, instead of waiting till the Sunday following. The Britons pleaded the antiquity of their usage; the Romans insisted on the universality of theirs. In order to render the former odious, the latter affirmed that the native priests once in seven years

concurrent with the Jews in the time of celebrating that festival. This incidental circumstance proves that there must have been Jews in Britain, where they had synagogues, and observed the feast of Passover. The Jews must also have had learned men amongst them to arrange their calendars: and such an arrangement requires a fair astronomical knowledge, or else the charge would have been totally unintelligible to the Saxons. This charge, moreover, accounts for the edict published soon after by Eggbright, Archbishop of York, in the "*Canonical Excerptiones*," A.D. 740, to the effect that no Christian should be present at any of the Jewish feasts.[8] That edict establishes the facts that the Jews must have resided in this country at the time of the heptarchy in considerable numbers, and celebrated their feasts according to their own law; and what is more, that they desired to live peaceably with their Christian neighbours.

It also appears from a charter, granted by Whitglaff, King of the Mercians, to Croyland Abbey, ninety-three years after the above edict was issued, that there were Jews in this country at that period, and that they possessed landed property to some extent; and, what is more remarkable still, they endowed Christian places of worship. Ingulphus, in his "*History of Croyland Abbey*," relates that in the year 833, Whitglaff, King of the Mercians, having been defeated by Egbert, took refuge in that Abbey, and in return for the protection and assistance rendered him by the Abbot and Monks on the occasion, granted a charter, confirming to the Abbey all lands, tenements, and possessions, and all other gifts which had at any time been bestowed upon them by his predecessors, or by any other faithful Christians, or by Jews.[9]

Lindo, in his very learned "Jewish Calendar for sixty-four years," published in 1838, chronicles the following: "C. M. 1020. Canute banished the Jews from England." Basnage also asserts that the Jews were banished from this country in the beginning of the eleventh century, and did not return till after the Norman conquest. The authority upon which these two statements rest is not given. On the contrary, there is cogent evidence that Jews resided in England towards the middle of the eleventh century, and prior to the invasion of William I. By the laws attributed to Edward the Confessor, it is declared " that the Jews, where soever they be, are under the King's guard and protection; neither can any one of them put himself under the protection of any rich man without the King's license, for the Jews and all they have belong to the King; and if any person shall detain them or their money, the King may claim them, if he pleases, as his own." [10]

So much for the pre-Norman Conquest Jews of this country. The few rays of historic evidence which pierce through that dark unhistoric period, converge to point out that a few of the dispersed of Judah had found their way into this country as early as the Phoenicians; that a good sprinkling of that race settled here during the Roman occupation, when they chose the principal garrisoned places of the island for their local habitation, and their early synagogues; such as London, Lincoln, York, Norwich, Leicester. Those places were selected, after the withdrawal of the Roman legions, for monastic establishments, to which were added such institutions as Oxford, Cambridge, Bury St. Edmund's, &c., &c. As all the intelligence and learning, such as they were, among the mongrel and mixed Gentile races, were then confined to those ecclesiastical and scholastic organizations, some of the Jews remained in their original settlements, and others repaired to the newly established places of learning and religion. In those places they lived on friendly terms with their Christian neighbours; the former making grants even of land and other property, to the abbeys and monasteries of the latter. They felt no compunction in holding social intercourse at their fetes and festivals, when not interfered with by fanatical or over zealous hierarchs. The Jews had already well organised schools in London, York, Oxford, Lincoln, Cambridge, Norwich, Lynn, Bury St. Edmund's, and other towns. Those schools were attended by the higher classes of Christians as well as by the Anglo-Hebrews. Some of those seminaries were more colleges than mere schools. Besides the Hebrew and Arabic languages, which were thoroughly taught in those schools, a sound education was also given in Geometry, Algebra, Astronomy, Logic, Music, Chemistry, Medicine, in those Jewish scholastic establishments; and the head masters were generally distinguished rabbis. Not the slightest hint occurs anywhere of any misdemeanour, or misconduct, on the part of the Anglo-Hebrews, previous to the Norman conquest, nor during the reign of the first three Norman Kings in this country.

Soon after the Norman conqueror had established his rule in this land, the British synagogues received a large accession of Continental Jews, and those of East Anglia among the rest. During the Conqueror's lifetime, there is every reason to believe the Jews were permitted to enjoy the sunshine of prosperity, to thrive in their various avocations peaceably and quietly. The King took them under his special care,[11] but did nothing which would gender jealousies and hostilities between his subjects who professed to follow the law, and those who professed to have been converted to the Gospel.

William Ilufus seemed disposed to patronise his Hebrew subjects even more than his sire did; but his patronage proved the germ of a prolific harvest of misery and wretchedness to the proteges. Being of an irreligious turn of mind, William II. moreover betrayed a bias for making sport of religion by setting his Jewish and Christian subjects to play at theological polemics. He thus aroused the most passionate animosities of the combatants towards each other. Not long after his accession to the throne of England, he surprised the Church and the Synagogue by summoning to the metropolis the Bishops of the former, and the Rabbis of the latter, for the express purpose of discussing the evidences and merits of their respective creeds. He took his favourite oath by St. Luke's face that if the Jews got the better in the dispute, he would embrace Judaism himself. At the conclusion as is generally the case in public theological controversies both parties claimed the victory. The effect of the dispute was that the heads of the Church had conceived a Hamanic antipathy towards the Jews, and the chiefs of the Synagogue began to deport themselves with a Mordecai-scorn towards their Christian neighbours. The bitter feeling became aggravated by the Jews having induced the King, by bribes, to force such of their nation as became Israelites indeed, that is, such as professed the whole of the Jewish religion; not only that part which was veiled in allegory and illustrated by symbol, in the Old Testament, but also that part which unveiled and revealed the brightness of its glory in the person of Him who declared "It is finished." "Jewish Converts," the Gentiles style such Israelites, "forgetting in the confusion of ideas," as the author of " A Political Biography " observes, that the Gentiles are the converts, and not the Jews; the latter are but "the natural branches grafted into their own olive tree." Bear with the digression.[12]

Well, then, to return to the thread of my narrative. The nominal Jews bribed the king to compel the " Israelites indeed " to renounce the creed which conviction and conscience prompted them to confess; for there were then many eminent Jews in this country, and especially in East Anglia, who became zealous advocates of the doctrine taught in the New Testament. William was not above becoming the required tool to bring about the desired apostasy[13] That prince, of unenviable notoriety, added insult to the injury which he had already inflicted upon the Church, and thus heaped fuel upon the unholy fire of animosity which he had already kindled. When a see, abbacy, or benefice fell vacant, of which he happened to be the patron, he was in the habit of retaining it in his own hands until he became acquainted with its revenues, and then selling it to the highest bidder. The royal simonist used, whenever the opportunity occurred, to appoint Jews to take care of the vacant benefices, to farm them, and to manage the negotiations for his benefit. Thus he dealt for five years with the revenues of the see of Canterbury, after Lanfranc's death; and thus he treated for three years the abbacy of Bury St. Edmund's, after the death of Baldwin. As far as the historic Anglo-Hebrews were concerned, William Rufus' reign was most advantageous to their prosperity, in a worldly point of view. Equally so was the long reign of Henry I. The Jews are mentioned but seldom in the annals of his government, the omission may be considered as a sure token that no evil had befallen them. They strengthened their positions in their places of residence in East Anglia, built synagogues of which Moyses' Hall, at Bury St. Edmund's, is a fair specimen zealously preached their version of Judaism, and actually attempted to proselytise amongst the Gentile converts to Christianity. The learning and the influence of the Jewish sages of Norfolk, of this time, are frequently quoted in the Hebrew literature of the middle ages. The Church found it necessary to send the most accomplished monks to several towns in which the Jews were numerous established, for the express purpose of preaching down Judaism. In East Anglia, Cambridge and Cottenham are particularly mentioned as some of the places to which monks, from Croyland Abbey, were sent to preach against the Jews. The latter may have

had their zeal provoked by some benefactions to the Church, on the part of "Israelites indeed," in different parts of the kingdom. In that of East Anglia I may mention Manasses whose name at once reveals his nationality a powerful Norman Baron, founded a convent for nuns at Redlingfield. About the same time the old monastery founded by Fursseus, a holy Scot, at Burgh Castle, near Yarmouth, into which King Sigibert is said to have retired after his conversion, became the property and residence of a Jewish family. None but a family of Israelites indeed would then have made their abode in that of a Christian order. The ruins of that monastery were to be seen about 150 years ago; there was then an old road leading to the principal entrance, which went by the name of "the Jews' Way." [14]

With the reign of Stephen, the Jewish troubles in this country commenced, and the remnant of the scattered and sacred race settled in East Anglia, came in for a terrible share of those troubles. The bitter revengeful feelings which had been pent up during the reigns of the first three Norman kings, were now let loose, and raged with impetuous fury. The Christians of East Anglia must be credited with the fabrication of the foulest calumny ever coined against their Jewish neighbours, which proved most disastrous to the latter. In the ninth year of that reign, the Jews were, for the first time, accused of the crime of crucifying a Christian infant, William by name. The alleged cruel murder was said to have been perpetrated at Norwich. It was the inauguration of a long series of such allegations, in the various countries where the Jews were dispersed, against whom, for a time, sympathy had been permitted to become steeled, and for whose rights justice had been deprived of balances.

It may not be considered irrelevant if I state here the various and extraordinary reasons which the Gentile converts had invented to account for the flagrant calumny against the Jews. Some asserted that the scattered nation required Christian blood for the celebration of the Passover, ignorant of the Jewish law that a dead body renders a whole neighbourhood defiled. Others affirmed that the Hebrews required Christian blood to put it into their unleavened bread on the above-named festival, forgetful that blood was strictly prohibited in the law of Moses. It was also gravely stated that the Israelites used Christian blood for personal deodorization. Others seasoned the charge with a spice of sensational romance Forsooth, the Jews wanted Christian blood to make love potions. Others maintained that with Christian blood the Jews stopped the bleeding of their infant sons, induced by the administration of the Abrahamic covenant seal. Some mysteriously stated that Christian blood was used at the celebration of Jewish weddings. Others vehemently asserted that the Jewish priests were obliged to have their hands tinged with it when they pronounced the Aaronic benediction in the synagogues. Others again asserted that the members of the synagogue used Christian blood to make their sacrifices acceptable. The most common story, however, was that Christian blood was used for the purpose of anointing dying Jews; that at the point of death, the rabbi anointed his departing brother, and secretly whispered into his ear the following words: "If the Messiah, on whom the Christians believe, be the promised true Messiah, may the blood of this innocent murdered Christian help thee to eternal life." Pierius Valerianus assures us "that the Jews purchase at a dear rate the blood of Christians, in order to raise devils, and that by making it boil, they obtained answers to all their questions " Such was the profound knowledge, of Jews and Judaism, which the Christians of East Anglia possessed in bygone days. Something akin to the knowledge which the ignorant peasantry of Spain possess of the English now-a-day, who have lately accused a British Consul of eating their children, which accusation nearly cost the Englishman his life.

During the reign of Henry II., it transpired that the ecclesiastics were already debtors to the Jews, and they therefore began to charge their creditors with usury, which was on all occasions held up, by the clergy, as a crime of the greatest magnitude. Had the ecclesiastics been really impressed by this belief, they should not, for the sake of moral consistency, have resorted to such sinners when they wanted money. Yet we learn that bishops, abbots, and monks of those days, pledged with the Jews the sacred vessels of their churches. In the records of this reign, we find it stated in connection with the Abbey of Bury St. Edmund's, amongst other things, that Isaac, the son of Rabbi Jocee, held a security for three hundred pounds. Benedict, the Jew of Norwich, held a

security for eight hundred and fourscore pounds, which debt originated in a loan for rebuilding the parlour of the Abbacy, which was destroyed by fire. Another Jew, Jurnet by name, held security for sixty pounds. We also have it recorded, that a Jew of Bury St. Edmund's, Sancto by name I wish that name to be borne in mind was fined five marks for taking, as security for a loan, from the monks of that place, certain vessels dedicated to the service of the altar. Another Jew of Suffolk. Bennet by name Bennet was a common Jewish name, I have never yet met a man of that name, who was not marked with strong characteristic Jewish features was fined twenty pounds for taking some consecrated vestments as security. A curious story is related by Brompton, a monkish chronicler of the twelfth century, respecting William de Waterville, Abbot of Peterborough. That dignitary was deposed for having entered the abbey, at the head of a band of armed men, and having taken thence the arm of St. Oswald, the martyr, in order to pawn it to the Jews.[15] One of the claims advanced by Henry II. against Archbishop Thomas a Becket, was in reference to a sum of 500, for which that prince had been security for the primate to a Jew.

It is a faithful picture of the English of those days, "that when churchmen and laymen, prince and prior, knight and priest, come knocking at Isaac's door, they borrow not his shekels with these uncivil terms. It is then, Friend Isaac, will you pleasure us in this matter, and our day shall be truly kept, so God save me and kind Isaac, if ever you served a man, show yourself a friend in this need. And when the day comes and I ask my own, then what hear I, but the curse of Egypt on your tribe, and all that may stir up the rude and uncivil populace against poor strangers." [16]

The king and the priests, however, very often adopted a different mode from borrowing, when they stood in need of money. For instance, in the year 1179, when both the royal exchequer and the treasury of the Abbacy of Bury St. Edmund's were at their lowest ebb, from natural causes, the Jews of that town were all of a sudden charged with the unnatural crime of crucifying a boy, Robert by name, which device proved an important revenue both to the king and the abbot. The former took advantage of the supposed crime, and banished the wealthiest Jews of Bury St. Edmund's out of the country; and, as a matter of course, confiscated their properties. Those Jews he allowed to remain in the place, he fined very heavily. The abbot and the monks, on the other hand, caused a body of a child to be interred, as that of a martyred saint, with great ceremony and every mark of respect; the shrine was declared capable of producing supernatural effects, and it speedily became renowned for the miracles it wrought. Persons from all parts, led either by curiosity or credulity, visited the shrine. The offerings which were made on the occasion proved a most yielding mine of wealth.

The inauguration of the reign of Richard I. was the ushering in of a new series of spoliations and murders, by British Christians, of Anglo-Hebrews; and the poor Jews who lived in East Anglia suffered as much as those who resided in any other place in this kingdom, except York. In the spring of 1190, when Richard had passed over to the continent, to join the king of France in the crusade to Palestine, and whilst the soldiers of the cross were preparing to follow him, "the people," using the words of a quaint old historian of Suffolk, "almost with one accord, through the whole nation, as if they had been summoned by a bell, fell upon the Jews, and slew many of them; and among other places such as inhabited Bury St. Edmund's were set upon, March 17, 1190, and many of them were slain, and the residue that escaped through the procurement of the abbot, named Sampson, were expelled the town.

Neither Jocelin of Brakelond, the contemporary monkish chronicler, nor his recent translator and editor, Mr. T. E. Tomlins, seem to me to have apprehended the real motives of Abbot Sampson, on the occasion. I believe those motives were of a humane and Christian character. The Jews and the heads of the Abbacy of Bury St. Edmund's had hitherto lived on very amicable terms. Brakelond, who was a thorough hater of his Saviour's kinsfolk, thus once introduced the latter into his chronicles. "The Jews, I say, to whom the sacrist [William] was said to be a father and protector, whose protection they indeed enjoyed, having free ingress and egress, and going all over the monastery, rambling about the altars and by the shrine, while high mass was being

celebrated. Moreover, their monies were kept safe in our treasury, under the care of the sacrist, and what was still more improper, their wives with their little ones, were lodged in our pitancery in time of war." Now, Abbot Sampson was a just and upright man of a different spirit from his pupil and biographer he was determined therefore to secure the right of protecting the Jews of his town, " he alleging that whatsoever is within the town of St. Edmund, or within the liberties thereof, of right belongeth to St. Edmund. Therefore the Jews ought to become the men of St. Edmund's." The king naturally demurred to the claim; by acquiescing he would not only have established a precedent upon which all the other monasteries, where the Jews resided, would have been ready to act, but he would have lost his great gold-mine. The demand was therefore negated. Sampson, who evidently took no pleasure in the sport of persecuting the Jews under his very eyes, demanded permission to expel them altogether from his town. The license was readily granted to the determined abbot. He took care, however, that the exiles " had all their chattels, and the value of their houses and lands." That no evil might befall them on their way to the divers towns where they were going to, armed forces were ordered to protect them. It was also provided, that " if the Jews should come to the great pleas of the abbot to demand their debts from their debtors, on such occasions they might for two days and two nights lodge within the town, and on the third day be permitted to depart without injury." So far, there is no evidence of malevolent hostility on the part of that abbot towards the Jews of Bury St. Edmund's. Was Sampson the name bewrayeth him a descendant of those Hebrews who professed the whole of the Jewish religion an "Israelite indeed?"[17]

The Jews of Cambridge, Norwich, and Lynn, suffered similar outrages of rapine and murder. It must be owned that the Jews of Lynn were themselves the authors of their sufferings then. A member of their own community saw cogent reasons to admit the second part of the Jewish faith, namely, the full development of that religion as revealed in the New Testament. The unbelievers in that part of their religion saw proper to take vengeance upon the believer. They waylaid him, and one day, as he passed through a certain street, they were determined to get him into their power. He made his escape to a neighbouring church, to which he was pursued by some of the Jewish persecutors. Whereupon some sailors belonging to a ship lying in the harbour raised a cry that the unbelievers intended to put the believer to death. The sailors were joined by the townspeople, under the plea of saving the life of the persecuted one, drove the persecutors to their houses, and then followed themselves, murdered the would-be murderers, carried off whatever valuables they could find, and then set fire to the rifled houses. The sailors, enriched by the spoil, embarked immediately on board their vessel, set sail, and got clear off.[18]

It was aptly said of the Anglo-Hebrews of those days, by a French historian, that they were used as sponges^ allowed for a time to absorb a large amount of wealth, which, when filled, were wrung out into the coffers of the crown. As an illustration of the pertinency of the simile may be adduced the first few years of King John's reign. As soon as that monarch succeeded to the throne he began by giving all sorts of encouragement to the devoted race; he not only permitted the exiles to return to the towns and homes from which they were banished, and granted charters in their favour, [19] but he also threw out baits for foreign Jews, in order to lure them to take up their residence in this country. The synagogues in East Anglia that of Bury St. Edmund's included were re-opened. Again three times a day morning, noon, and evening were they attended by Jewish worshippers. But as soon as his majesty perceived that his human sponges were sufficiently filled out, he began to wring them with a fierce tenacity, with a cruel grasp, with a murderous grip, that only John was capable of. Many a Jew was tortured to death, in order to discover his supposed riches; whilst many more were thrown into dungeons for the same purpose.

That sovereign's Gentile subjects must also have felt severely the effects of that remorseless pressure, as may be inferred from the 12th and 13th clauses in the Magna Charta which instrument, by the bye, was drawn up at Bury St. Edmund's they are the following: " If any one have borrowed anything of the Jews, more or less, and died before the debt be satisfied, there shall no interest be paid for that debt, so long as the heir is under age, of whomsoever he will hold; and if the debt fall into our hands, we will take only the chattel mentioned in the charter

or instrument. If any one shall be indebted to the Jews, his wife shall have her dower, and pay nothing for the debt; and if the deceased leave children under age, they shall have necessaries provided for them according to the tenements of the deceased, and out of the residue the debts shall be paid, saving however the service of the Lord."

On the death of John, the Jews experienced an interval of respite from persecution, on the part of the state. Happily, the government of the country, during the minority of Henry III, fell successively into the hands of men of distinguished ability and virtue.

As soon as the Earl of Pembroke entered upon his exalted office, as guardian to the youthful King, he adopted measures for the special relief and protection of the persecuted Anglo-Hebrews. Many individuals amongst them were exonerated from the burdens which had been previously imposed upon them; and numbers were immediately liberated from imprisonments, to which, upon various pretences, they had, under the late King, been condemned. Writs and letters patent were issued, directed to the principal burgesses of each of the towns where the Jews resided, commanding that they should be held secure from any injuries, both as to their persons and their properties; and particularly that they should be guarded against any violence from the hands of the crusaders. In addition to these measures, a confirmation of the charter, which the Anglo-Hebrews had obtained in the beginning of the late reign, was granted; by the terms of which most important privileges were accorded to them, and their persons and estates were shielded from violence. At the same time, with the confirmation of their former charter, the Jews were further exempted from the jurisdiction of the ecclesiastical courts.

Hubert de Burgh who, upon the death of the Earl of Pembroke, succeeded to the administration of the government, continued his predecessor's humane deportment towards the Anglo-Hebrews. During the fifteen years that those ministers, respectively, wielded the sceptre of this land, no instances are recorded of any acts of violence having been committed against the Jews. On the contrary, we are informed that many unlooked-for privileges were lavished upon them.

The protection thus extended to the remnant of the scattered nation in this land, again inspired them with confidence; those who had survived the atrocious oppressions of the last reign, began once more to accumulate wealth; and numbers of their co-religionists were induced once more to come over from the continent, and settle in this country.

The clergy, it would seem, took umbrage at the privileges which the Jews enjoyed, and resolved to attempt, by an exercise of ecclesiastical authority, to counteract the effects of the protection which had been afforded by the measures of the government. Stephen Langton, Archbishop of Canterbury, in conjunction with Hugo de Velles, Bishop of Lincoln, published a general prohibition, by which all persons were forbidden to buy anything of the Jews, or sell them any victuals or necessaries, or to have any communication with them. The primate, moreover, promulgated at his provincial synod the following edict:

"That the Jews do not keep Christian servants; and let the servants be compelled by ecclesiastical censure to observe this, and the Jews by canonical punishments, or by some extraordinary penalty contrived by the diocesan. Let them not be permitted to build any more synagogues, but be looked upon as debtors to the churches of the parishes where they reside, as to tithes and offerings.

"To prevent, moreover, the intimacy of Jewish men and women with Christians of either sex, we charge by the authority of the General Council, that the Jews of both sexes wear a linen cloth, two inches broad and four fingers long, of a different colour from their own clothes, on their upper garment, before their breast; and that they be compelled to do this by ecclesiastical censure; and let them not presume to enter into any Church."

The Jews appealed to the Crown for protection, and obtained relief. Directions were sent to the sheriffs of the different counties and cities, to prevent the prohibitions being enforced; and orders

were given to imprison all persons who, by reason of the commands of the Church, refused to sell provisions to the Jews.

When Henry III., at the age of sixteen, in the year 1223, assumed the reins of the government himself, the conduct of public affairs appeared under a different aspect. From henceforth the Jews, in place of the security which they had previously enjoyed, were subjected to ceaseless violence and arbitrary exactions. This monarch began by seizing the whole of the property of any Jew who admitted the divine character of the Judaism proclaimed from Calvary, as well as that from Sinai, and thus joined the Christian Church. It is a pleasing consideration, that notwithstanding such cruel anti-Christian conduct, on the part of a nominal Christian king, there were Anglo-Hebrews of great celebrity who hazarded everything in obedience to conviction and conscience, and became Israelites indeed. Those thorough-going Jews I am not disposed to pity; they counted the cost of their confessing the faith, and gloried in the bargain which they had made. But those Jews who were neither convinced nor conscious of the incompleteness of their Judaism are to be pitied by every feeling heart. Scarcely a year in the long reign of Henry III. was allowed to pass without heavy taxes, to an enormous amount, being exacted from the Anglo-Hebrews. Those taxes were enforced by imprisonment, by seizure of property, and the persons of wives and children. Punctuality of payment was secured by compelling the richest Jews to become securities for their respective communities, under the above-named penalties.

In the year 1232, the king having taxed the anti-Christian Jews to the amount of 18,000 marks, and having robbed the Christian Jews of their all. his majesty was moved, it is said, by the wailing and gnashing of teeth, which the purgatorial fire wrung from his tormented sire the most cruel oppressor of his Jewish subjects determined on establishing a home for those Jews who sacrificed everything to their convictions of the divine character of the New Testament, where they had board, lodgings, and the means of instruction.[20] Be it known, however, that the king was no loser by the establishment-the house itself was, on some pretext, taken from a Jew, John Herberton by name and he took care to indemnify him self more than enough by the exorbitant imposts which he put upon the Jewish community from time to time. In these days, when the spoils of the old times are being restored to the representatives of the spoiled, and when Hebrew-Christians are being daily added to the Church, it would be but an honest act to restore the property, under trustees, to the representatives of the Anglo-Hebrew Christians of former days.[21]

The Jews of East Anglia were at that time exceedingly rich, and suffered proportionately whenever the king was in need of money. As an illustration, let me name the year 1235 the year in which Henry spent a great deal on his sister Isabella's marriage to the Emperor of Germany, as well as on his own contemplated marriage with Eleanor of Provence. Seven of the most opulent Jews of Norwich were accused of circumcising a little boy of that city. The accused were brought before the king himself, whilst he was celebrating his nativity at Westminster. The poor Jews were condemned to be drawn and hanged, and, of course, their property to be confiscated; and thus were the king's wants supplied for that time. That charge, of circumcising little Gentile boys, against the Jews, became a source of lucrative income to the needy Church and State of that period. One of the most famous mock trials of that reign took place in 1240, when a very rich Jew of the city of Norwich, Jacob by name, was accused of stealing a small Gentile boy from his parents and circumcising him. I cannot enter here into a detailed account of that cause célèbre.[22] Sufficient to say, that after the case for the prosecution had ominously broken down, notwithstanding the hard perjury of certain witnesses, four very opulent Jews were condemned to be dragged by horses' tails, and then hanged. The populace who, as usual, waited for an opportunity to rob and plunder, set fire to the houses of the Jews, and reduced them to ashes. So barefaced were those murderers and robbers, that when the sheriff of Norfolk ventured to interfere on behalf of the sufferers, they complained to the king of the sheriff's interposition.

In the very next year the Anglo-Hebrew communities throughout the kingdom were startled by writs to elect a certain number out of their respective congregations, to meet the king at a

Parliament at Worcester, iii order, as the writs ran, "to treat with the king both concerning his own and their benefit." Many of the oppressed people began to entertain sanguine hopes that the king was about to pass a "gracious and generous" measure in their behalf; but to their dismay they soon found that the bill which the king proposed was "severe and sweeping," in other words, it was a bill of "pains and penalties." The purport of his majesty's most gracious preamble was that the king wanted money, and that of the bill that the Jews must raise him twenty thousand marks. That convention is called by the chroniclers of the time *Parliamentum Judaicum*. East nine representatives to that singular Parliament; namely, Cambridge six, Isaac ben Samuel, Jacob ben Deusestra, Aaron ben Isaac Blund, Josce de Wilton, Dyaye ben Rabbi, Levi ben Solomon; and Norwich three, Henne Jurninius ben Jacob, Deucresen Dyaya de Manecroft, and Dure de Resing. The other Jewish communities in East Anglia must have dwindled away by this, both as regards numbers and wealth, and were not in a position to send deputies to that extraordinary Parliament. A melancholy monotony, as regards the Anglo-Hebrews, pervaded the fifty years' English history after that Parliament, when the sacred unmixed race were finally banished from this then inhospitable country, by the mixed races who subjugated it. The history of the Anglo-Hebrews, during the last jubilee of their residence in Britain, may be summed up in three words robbery, torture, and murder; varied now and then in the ferocious barbarity of the inhuman robbers and murderers.

As has been hinted, the Anglo-Hebrews, the oldest settlers in this island, were ruthlessly banished from this country in 1290. The expulsion was accompanied by atrocities on the part of the banishers the comparatively new settlers the thoughts of which make one's blood run cold.

Very scanty indeed are the vestiges of the historic Anglo-Hebrews in this realm. Antiquarians and archaeologists have now and then brought to light some fragments belonging to some monuments of the ante-expulsion Jews, which though comparatively trifling in themselves, are yet endowed with solemn interest to the thoughtful student of Jewish history. It is difficult now to point out clearly the ancient Hebrew houses, whether public or private; for though Edward I. ordered a strict inventory to be made of all the Jewish estates, with the design, as he promised, to convert them all to pious purposes, yet nothing was more remote from his royal intentions. The inventory was indeed made, and an auction too, but the proceeds were converted to anything but pious purposes. The English Justinian squandered away the money in a most reprehensible manner, without a single penny having been applied to those pious uses of which the devout king talked. Whole rolls full of patents relative to Jewish estates are still to be seen in the archives of the metropolis, which estates, together with their rents in fee, pensions, and mortgages, were all seized by the king. Besides those Jewish records on parchment, there are some in stone, namely, a few Christian churches which were formerly Jewish synagogues; also some streets and walks, which are distinguished by the names of Jewry, Jews' Way, Jews' Walk, Jewin Street, Jews' Walk, Jews' Mount, &c., &c.

One of the most interesting relicts of the historic Anglo-Hebrews, in pretty good preservation, is found in the town of Bury St. Edmund's, namely the present police station.[23] It was known by its original possessors and founders as *תשט תרתק*, "the Synagogue of Moses." It was, no doubt, a Jewish place of worship, and so named, either because it was dedicated to the service of Almighty God, as prescribed by Israel's deliverer from Egypt, or because the founder was an Anglo-Hebrew whose name was Moses. The modern Jewish synagogue at Ramsgate was founded by a Jewish baronet, well known for his extensive philanthropy, and goes amongst the Jews by the name of "Sir Moses' Synagogue."

On examining the one at Bury St. Edmund's, I found it to correspond, in its architectural details, with the oldest existing synagogue in Europe, that of Prague. The rabbi's, or the founder's house probably the founder was one of the early rabbis of the Bury St. Edmund's Synagogue was not only contiguous to it, but communicated with the synagogue. After a careful survey of the adjoining places, I have come to the conclusion that the whole of the south side of the square of the market-place belonged to the synagogue establishment, and premises; including seminary,

official residences, baptistries, &c., &c. in fact, a sort of Hebrew abbacy of Bury St. Edmund's. According to the Jewish ritual males and females have to perform, at sundry times, certain ablutions by immersion. Every synagogue is provided therefore with baptistries, in its immediate vicinity, for that purpose. I happened to visit the synagogue of Rotterdam in the course of last year, and four such baptistries were pointed out to me. On examining the premises adjoining the police station at Bury St. Edmund's, I found an old well, which was evidently one of the baptistries, as well as traces of others, plainly vestiges of their primitive Jewish use.

The synagogue proper consisted of the ground floor; the centre was occupied by the nft'Q/'Bimah," a square raised platform where the law was read on Sabbaths, feasts, festivals, Mondays, and Thursdays; the east end was dedicated as the ark, in which the scrolls of the Pentateuch were deposited, in front of which was a raised platform, for the Aaronites to stand upon on certain grand festivals, when it is their office to pronounce the sacerdotal benediction, as prescribed in Numb. vi. 2326. From that platform was also delivered an occasional discourse. In front stood the nine-branched candlestick, for the celebration of "the Feast of Dedication."^[24] There was a niche by the side of the ark, in which was placed a lamp, ever burning, in accordance with Levit. xxiv. 14. The west end, below the "Bimah" was apportioned to strangers and mourners; the rest was occupied by the regular male members of the congregation. Beyond the west end arches there must have been an ante-room, or portico, which contained the laver, the different alms' chests, &c. Over the portico there must have been a latticed gallery, to ascend which a staircase would be required, for the female members of the community. The floors of the synagogues of Orthodox Jews were considerably below the level of ordinary houses, to which the worshippers descended by a flight of steps, conformably to Psalm cxxx., which begins with the words, " Out of the depths have I cried unto Thee, O Lord!" The synagogue under review must have been very deep; it evidently had no windows. Be it remembered that the historic Anglo-Hebrews had been often prohibited, under severe pains and penalties, making their supplications audible, lest the sensitive ears of the Gentile Christians of those days should be irritated. This synagogue must have been illuminated by day, as well as by night, by artificial light.

There ought to be also traces of a tower, from whence the moon, at a certain age, was monthly apostrophised in the form of a short service. In the places where the Jews are numerous, that monthly service is solemnised out of doors, when the moon is between seven and fifteen days old.^[25]

In connection with this archaeological specimen in stone, I have to bring under your notice another one in bronze, which I believe to have been one of the vessels which once belonged to the synagogue of Bury St. Edmund's. I regret very much that it is out of my power to exhibit the relic itself, but I venture to hope that Lady Pigot's drawing of it, enlarged from a small pen and ink sketch preserved amongst the MSS. in the British Museum,^[26] and my humble description of it, will give some idea of its character and probable use. I ought to tell you that her ladyship's drawing is considerably larger than the original vessel was; the latter measured eight quarts.

About two hundred years ago, as a fisherman was dragging a brook in the county of Suffolk, probably the Lark, he nearly broke his net by some heavy capture. On landing it, he discovered that he had fished up a curious vessel, upon three legs, which had on its outside cincture certain characters which were Greek to him. Let me in the first place state the reason why I think that the vessel was found in the immediate vicinity of Bury St. Edmund's. When the fisherman secured his prize, he sold it immediately to the Rev. Dr. John Covell, then Master of Christ's College, Cambridge. Now, Dr. Covell was a native of Horningsheath, or Horringer, and educated in the Grammar School of Bury St. Edmund's. He often visited the places in which he was born and bred, whenever vacation from his various duties afforded him an opportunity to do so. I do not think that I am wrong in supposing that the vessel was found and bought on one of the doctor's visits to this neighbourhood.

The acquisition proved a very perplexing study to the Master of Christ's College. He knew sufficient of the Hebrew alphabet to be aware that the inscription on the cincture of his purchase was not Greek. For all that, the inscription was a most inexplicable philological problem to the purchaser. His own University seemed, at that time, destitute of a single Hebrew scholar who could help him to a solution of his problem. I find among the MSS. in the British Museum two letters addressed to Dr. Covell, evidently in answer to queries about that very vessel; one by the then Marquis of Northampton, who was a dabbler in Hebrew antiquities, and another by a Mr. Isaac Abendana, a learned Jew of Oxford. But as the doctor would not trust the vessel out of his keeping, and as his delineation of it, and its inscription, was far from lucid, his correspondents' conjectures were anything but enlightening. With them I will not trespass upon the attention of my indulgent audience at present; their proper positions are as footnotes or appendices.[27]

At the doctor's death, in 1722, the vessel was purchased by the then Earl of Oxford. His lordship did according to the light which he possessed the proper thing; he sent the vessel to Oxford, in order to have the inscription deciphered and explained by Mr. John Gagnier, the Professor of Oriental

Languages in that University.[28] We are indebted to that Orientalist for a tolerable copy of the letters of the inscription, but we owe him nothing either for his grouping, or for the explanation of the same; they only serve, as circumstantial evidence, to prove how little knowledge of eastern languages was then required from a Gentile Professor of Oriental Literature. I am pleased to think that there are several Hebrew scholars amongst my hearers,[29] I shall therefore read the inscription, in the first instance, in the original. For the large diagram of the inscription, I am also indebted to Lady Pigot's skill in Hebrew calligraphy. It consists, as you must perceive, of the names concerned, the principal personage being described in two rhymed couplets, one short and one long, and closes with a line which explains the object of the vessel.

Of which the following is a literal translation: "The offerer is Joseph, the son of Rabbi Yechiel Sancto, (the memory of a righteous man, who is holy, is to be blessed,) who answered and questioned the congregation as he thought proper. That he may behold the face of Ariel, with the writing DATH YEKUTHIEL. And may righteousness deliver from death."

I must trouble you with a few annotations ere I make the purport of the inscription intelligible. First about Rabbi Yechiel Sancto, שןךק, or Sancto, was evidently a family surname, and of old standing at Bury St. Edmund's. It will be remembered that in an earlier part of this paper, an individual of that name was mentioned as having been fined for taking the sacred vessels of the abbey as security for a loan.[30] Rabbi Yechiel is a well-known name in the Talmudical literature of the middle ages, and frequently quoted in the addenda to the Talmud, termed TOSEPHOTH. The Rabbi Yechiel named in the inscription, I consider to have been one of the later rabbis of the synagogue of Bury St. Edmund's, as the first couplet,

**"Who answered and questioned
The congregation as he thought proper,"**

evidently implies. This rabbi was the author of a work on the Pentateuch, under the title of DATH occupied the chair when the paper was read, and there were, besides, several other Hebrew Scholars amongst the audience.

YEKUTHIEL, or "the Law of Yekuthiel". Yekuthiel being a name by which Moses is known in Rabbinical writings. With the MS. of that work the author was determined to undertake a pilgrimage to Jerusalem, to submit it to the Masters of his people in the Holy City not an uncommon occurrence in the middle ages which I clearly discern in the second couplet,

"That he may behold the face of Ariel, [31]
With the writing of Dath Yekuthiel." [32]

That is, that the pious pilgrim may enjoy a safe and prosperous journey and attain his object, his son Joseph made the offering of that vessel to the synagogue of which his father was chief. But for what use or purpose was that vessel intended. The last line answers the question,

"And righteousness delivereth from death;"

which, with the post-Biblical Jews, means " Almsgiving delivereth from death." [33] Such is the inscription on every alms' receptacle to be found in the porticos of every well-ordered synagogue. I have noticed last year, in the course of a continental tour, that inscription on the vessels placed in the porticos of the synagogues, for the reception of alms. This vessel, therefore, I conclude was placed in the portico of the synagogue of Bury St. Edmund's, for the reception of votive offerings from the members of the congregation, for the safety of their pilgrim rabbi; which offerings were intended to be forwarded for the benefit of the poor Jewish saints at Jerusalem. When the banishment of the then Anglo-Hebrews took place, the unhappy exiles either buried or sunk in rivers and brooks many of their valuables and sacred things, that the articles might not fall into the hands of the rapacious Anglo-Gentiles. Hence the finding of that vessel in a Suffolk river. The lid which belonged to it has never been heard of as yet. Time may yet bring both to light I am, moreover, led to conjecture that the expulsion of the Jews from this country took place between that rabbi's departure for Jerusalem and his return to Europe. On reaching the latter, he had learnt the calamity which had befallen his people in England, and after spending some time in Paris, where he disputed with a certain Hebrew Christian, Nicolaus by name, whom I shall presently introduce, he took up his abode at Prague, where his family had probably taken refuge. He. and his posterity after him, whilst preserving the name Yechiel, assumed the surname "Yerushalmy," that is, De Jerusalem. On examining some of the epitaphs in the ancient Jewish cemetery of Prague, I found one which marks the burying-place of a Rabbi Yechiel ben Joseph De Jerusalem, dated 1598. The late Chief Rabbi of Prague, Solomon Jehudah Rappoport, a man of vast antiquarian learning, remarked in his Hebrew biographical essay, on some of the celebrities whose remains rest in that cemetery, that Rabbi Yechiel was descended from a very ancient Jewish family, whose ancestor came from Jerusalem a long time ago, and settled at Prague. Many of his descendants are even now men of mark. One of his scions was ennobled by the late Emperor of Austria, and assumed the name of Baron Salemfels.

Let me just mention the vicissitudes of the Suffolk vessel, as far as I could trace it. My young friend, Mr. Arthur Pigot, put a query respecting its whereabouts in the " Notes and Queries." The question elicited the answer that "at the dispersion of the antiquities belonging to Edward, Earl of Oxford, on March 8, 1741–2, there was a bell metal Jewish vessel upon three legs, purchased by Rawlinson for 15s." Another friend, Mr. Bernal Osborne, who takes an interest in the discovery of the vessel, wrote to me a short time ago, " I have seen Sir Christopher Rawlinson, who is a descendant of the antiquary in whose possession the bronze vessel was traced. It appears some of the effects of this Dr. Rawlinson were sold at his death, but he left his pictures, books, MSS., to St. John's College, Oxford. Whether the vessel was sold or left to the college, I could not

ascertain." Probably one of the results of this meeting may prove the discovery, if it still exists anywhere, of that most interesting relic of the historic Anglo-Hebrews of East Anglia.[34]

Besides those vestiges of the scattered nation amongst you in bygone days, in stone and brass, there are vast numbers in flesh and blood. Strange as it may sound, it is yet true, notwithstanding the twofold persecution to which the "Israelite indeed" was subjected, on his repenting of his former rejection of the second part of Judaism, and accepting the dictum of the Deliverer greater than Moses; I say, notwithstanding the double persecution which awaited those Nathanaels the loss of all things to the king, and the loss of personal safety amongst his brethren after the flesh many members of the historic Anglo-Hebrews' synagogues courageously braved, with Pauline fortitude, every danger, and boldly maintained that Christ was the end of the law for righteousness to every one that believeth. Considerable numbers, on the other hand, joined the Church to save themselves from a dire and dreary exile, when the edict of expulsion was about to be put into effect. I trace the descendants of those historic Anglo-Hebrews in the names and physiognomies of the so-called "true-born Englishmen." I see vestiges of them in every assembly I have an opportunity of observing. Others may think what they please; but I consider that it is an infinitely higher honour I mean for people who seek honour one from another, in consideration of pedigree to be able to trace one's descent, be it ever so remotely, to the sacred race, than to the equivocal races of Saxon, Dane, Norman, Batavian, &c., &c. De Foe's satire has the sting of stern truth when he apostrophises the bragging Briton in the uncouth lines:—

"Thus from a mixture of all kinds began
That het'rogenous thing, an Englishman.

Fate jumbled them together, God knows how;
Whate'er they were, they're true-born English now."

There is one name in particular amongst the historic Anglo-Hebrew believers in both covenants which deserves a passing notice. It is a name which once rang through the halls of learning all over Europe, during the transition of the Church from a deformed to a reformed state; a name which furnished opportunity for more than one Latin pun. It is the name of Nicolaus de Lyra. Both Roman Catholics and the early Protestants gave to that erudite and learned writer the credit of Luther's illumination. Pflug, Bishop of Naumberg, had improvised the couplet: —

"Si Lyra non lyrasset Lutherus non saltasset."

A Protestant scholar played upon the name to the same tune, but with a variation, and made the couplet run thus:

"Nisi Lyra lyrasset Totus mundus delirasset."

Wickliffe has also profited much by De Lyra's writings, he used them frequently when translating the Bible. Those writings were formerly very famous. Pope, in giving the catalogue of Bay's Library, in his "Dunciad," finds :—

"De Lyra there a dreadful front extend."

I believe that I am in a position to solve a biographical problem which has hitherto defied those interested in such matters. I believe the celebrated "Israelite indeed" was an East Anglian, a native of Lynn. The writers of biographical dictionaries, copying one another, thus begin their sketch of that celebrity: "So called from the place of his birth, Lyra in Normandy," &c., &c. I never could discover whether there is, or ever was, such a place as Lyra in Normandy; nor have the gazetteer makers and guide-book compilers succeeded in making the discovery. But what I did discover is this, that De Lyra himself, in the title page of one of his works, gives England as

his native country. There can be but one opinion, that he must have been better informed on this subject than his biographer L'Advocat who lived about 500 years after De Lyra who, because Nicolaus happened to have been at Paris for some time, and was known by the surname of De Lyra, made a Frenchman of the illustrious stranger.[35] Bishop Bale, himself a native of East Anglia, who flourished about a century after Nicolaus De Lyra positively states that the great harbinger of the Reformation was an Anglo-Hebrew Christian.[36]

Now, I find in an old history of Norfolk, that about the same time that the great author I am speaking of flourished i.e., the latter part of the thirteenth and the first part of the fourteenth century there flourished at Lynn a learned monk, who was a native of that town, and was known as Nicolaus of Lynn. That book tells me that that monk was a very learned man, a great scholar, a great divine, a great mathematician, an astronomer, and a great musician; that he was educated at Oxford, and that he belonged to the Franciscan order. Exactly the same is affirmed of Nicolaus de Lyra. If there were two such persons, then Oxford must have been the Alma Mater of remarkable twins, christened by the same name! I do not believe in the coincidence. There was only one Nicolaus at Oxford, my "Israelite indeed," but he was one and the same with the Nicolaus of Lynn, and by reason of his musical proclivities, his friends and admirers turned De Lynn into De Lyra. I have no doubt in my own mind that the eminent AngloHebrews I have just spoken of, namely Rabbi Yechiel and Father Nicolaus the former an anti-Christian Jew, and the latter a Christian Jew met at Paris towards the latter end of the thirteenth century, when a fierce theological dispute took place between them, the result of which was a small Hebrew volume by the unbelieving Jew, couched in the most intemperate and blasphemous language.[37]

De Lyra was not the only Jew of high attainments about that time, who found out that the law without the gospel was but Judaism unveiled. In the same century there flourished in Spain a Rabbi Solomon Halaywee, a native of Burgos, and founder of the cathedral in that place. He is known in ecclesiastical history by his baptismal name, as Pablo de Santa Maria, Bishop of Carthagen. A contemporary Spanish poet said of him " that he possessed all human learning, all the secrets of high philosophy; he was a masterly theologian, a sweet orator, an admirable historian, a clear and veracious narrator, one of whom every person spoke well. He continued:–

"Twas my delight to sit with,
him Beneath the solemn ivy tree
To hide me from the sunny beam
Beneath the laurel's shade, and see
The little silver streamlet flowing:
While from his lips a richer stream
Fell, with the light of wisdom glowing
How sweet to slake my thirst with him!"

I cannot close this paper without remarking on the great change of feeling towards the sacred race in civilized Christendom. It was truly said by an eminent living Anglo-Hebrew, " In exact proportion as we have been favoured by nature, we have been persecuted by man. After a thousand struggles; after acts of heroic courage that Rome has never equalled; deeds of divine patriotism that Athens, and Sparta, and Carthage have never excelled; we have endured fifteen hundred years of supernatural slavery, during which every device that can degrade or destroy man has been the destiny that we have sustained and baffled."

Yes! baffled! the very sees, whose Archbishops and Bishops had once fulminated anathemas against the Anglo-Hebrews, are at this present moment filled by prelates nominated by an Anglo-Hebrew. The present Primate of all England, the Bishops of London, Lincoln, and Peterborough bear rule by the appointment of the ex-Prime Minister of England; and the present Prime Minister is beholden for his seat, in the House of Commons, to the superior influence of his colleague, a modern Anglo-Hebrew, David Salomons; and he who has had the honour to

address you, and to whom you have listened with such patient indulgence, has the privilege to belong to the same race.

Notes

1. *Psalm CII. 24-28.*

2. *"The Jews in Great Britain."*

3. *Genesis xii. 1, 2.*

4. *"The Jews in Great Britain."*

5. *See Appendix A.*

6. *See Appendix B.*

7. *See Appendix C.*

8. *The 146th paragraph of the "Canonical Excerptiones" of Archbishop Ecgbright runs thus: "A Laodicean Act. That no Christian presume to judaize, or be present at Jewish feasts." To which Johnson, in his collection of Ecclesiastical Laws and Canons, adds, "By this, one would suppose there were in this age Jews in the north of England. The following is the 149th paragraph of the same "Canonical Excerptiones": "A Canon of the Saints. If any Christian sell a Christian into the hands of Jews or Gentiles, let him be anathema: for it is written in Deuteronomy, c If any man be caught trafficking for any of the stock of Israel, and take a price for him, he shall die." Johnson's Collection of Ecclesiastical Laws*

9. *"Omnes terras, et tenementas, possessiones, et eorum peculia, quae reges Merciorum, et eorum Proceres, vel alii fideles Christiani, vel Judaei dictis Monarchis dederunt."*

10. *22. De Judaeis. "Sciendum quoque quod omnes Judaei ubicunque in regno sunt sub tutela et defensione Eegis ligea debent esse, nee quilibet eorum alicui diviti se potest subdere sine Eegis licentia. Judaei enim et omnia sua Eegis sunt. Quod si quispiam detinuerit eos vel pecuniam eorum, perquirat Eex si vult tanquam suum proprium." Spelmari's Concilia Decreta, Sfc., vol. i., p. 623.*

11 *The wording of the charter is pretty much the same as that of Edward the Confessor. See note on preceding page.*

12. *This looseness of talk, "this confusion of ideas," is owing its existence to the great ignorance which prevails respecting the grandest chapter in the history of the world even that relating to the ushering in of the Christian dispensation. That wonderful chapter tells us that Jewish believers in Christ were first called Christians, (Acts xi. 26,) and not Gentile converts to the Christian religion. The latter eventually monopolised the name as well as the promises made to the "Israel of God." (Gal. vi. 16.) The Apostle evidently means, by "Israel of God," Jewish followers of Christ Jesus. As for residuary Israel those who oppose themselves to the "New Covenant" dispensation "He that is holy, He that is true, He that hath the key of David, He that openeth and no man shutteth, and shutteth and no man openeth," emphatically and positively denieth them the appellation "Jews: (Rev. ii. 9; iii. 9.)*

13 *See Appendix D*

14 *A. friend of mine, the Rev. J. J.. Raven, of Yarmouth, who has lately visited Burgh Castle, in the course of a letter thus adverts to the above monastery: "The remains of the Priory now form part of the Rectory out-buildings. There is very little left the base of a short flint wall, of which*

the upper part is later, containing, however, one interesting stone, an arch-stone of the Norman period, with a double moulding, zigzag and cable. Now there is no part of the present church to which this stone can be referred, though there is a great peculiarity about the chancel, which may lead to some theory It might be worth while to see whether this moulding exists in Moyses' Hall."

15. It is problematical whether the Jews would have advanced much upon that withered arm, but in the eyes of the members of the abbacy it was of great value, on account of its healing virtues. At the dissolution of the Abbey of Bury St. Edmund's, these relics, which the monks had in great esteem, were found, viz.: the sacred remains of King Edmund, enshrined; the same king's shirt, entire; certain drops of St. Stephen's blood, which were shed out of his body when he was stoned; some of the coals on which St. Lawrence was broiled; certain parings of the flesh of divers holy virgins; a sinew of St. Edmund in a box; several skulls of ancient saints and martyrs among which was that of St. Petronill, which the people believed would cure all the diseases of the head by applying it to the aching part; St. Edmund's sword, and St. Thomas of Canterbury's boots; St. Botolph's bones in a coffin, which the monks made the people believe would procure rain when carried in procession in a time of drought; certain wax candles, which being carried lighted round their corn-fields in seed-time, no darnel, tares, or any noisome weeds would grow among the corn that year; with many others which, by the relation of the monks, would work wonderful effects.

16. Sir Walter Scott.

17. His personal appearance, as well as his character, seems to favour the supposition. Jocelin of Brakelond describes him "of middle stature, having an oval face, a prominent nose, thick lips, clear and very piercing eyes, ears of nicest sense of hearing, lofty eyebrows having a few grey hairs in his reddish beard, with a few grey in a black head of hair, which somewhat curleda man remarkably temperate, never slothful, well able and willing to ride or walk till old age came upon him and moderated such inclination." Respecting the abbot's kinsmen, the same chronicler says, "He had not, or assumed not to have had any relative within the third degree. But I have heard him state, that he had relations who were noble and gentle, whom he never would in any wise recognise as relations; for, as he said, they would be more a burden than an honour to him, if they should happen to find out their relationship."

18 See Appendix E.

19. See Appendix F.

20; See Appendix GK

21 See Appendix H.

22. See Appendix I.

23. A considerable portion of the east end of the original building was cut off about a century ago, to widen a lane or street. For further particulars, see Appendix J.

24, A festive anniversary, under the name of קדושה, or "Dedication," has been ordained in the house of Israel, by Judas Maccabees, to commemorate the inauguration of the Temple which was restored by him. To the present time, throughout the Jewish world, this festival is observed for eight days, beginning with the 25th of the ninth month; which generally falls about the end of December. The great feature of the commemoration is the ceremonial of lighting lamps or candles in the following manner, immediately after sunset, for eight successive evenings: The first night one lamp or candle is lighted; the second night two; the third night three; and so on,

till the eighth night. In most synagogues there is a nine branched candlestick for the purpose, the ninth light, or lamp, serving as the illuminator of the respective one, two, three, &c.

25. Since the above was written, my attention has been directed to Mr. Hudson Turner's "Domestic Architecture of the Middle Ages," and Mr. Tymms' "Hand-book of Bury St. Edmund's," which works indirectly confirm the opinions I have formed from personal observations. See Appendix J.

26. See Frontispiece.

27 See Appendix K.


28. Mr. Gagnier was a Frenchman, which may account for his ingeniously improvising the name of a town, in default of knowing the meaning of certain Hebrew words. (See Appendix L.)

29. Lord Arthur Hervey, the present Bishop of Bath and Wells, occupied the chair when the paper was read, and there were, besides, several other Hebrew Scholars amongst the audience.

30. See p. 27.

31. Ariel is a term used for Jerusalem. See Isaiah xxix. 1.

32. The words לא יחונן and לא יחזרה occur in a couplet, being part of a poem recited in the synagogue, on the Festival of the Law, called הקדוש הקדוש. The couplet runs thus:



יה שבת מטפפל כהואל
ויקדש שביעי כבכתב יקותיאל :

"Yah rested from work as He deemed proper, And sanctified the seventh day, as [it is recorded] in the writing of Yekuthiel. A work under the title of קהי גאיהימי, the burden of which was an exposition of the 613 precepts, supposed to be inculcated in the Pentateuch, was actually published in 1696, (by a strange coincidence, the very year in which the vessel was discovered,) at Zolkiew, by Siiskind ben Solomon Yekuthiel. Whether the published work was an original one, or an appropriation of the work of our Eabbi Yechiel, will remain a problem. The latter supposition is not without instances in the history of Hebrew works. An example of it was afforded in this country, when a certain Jew published a Hebrew Commentary on one of the Prophets, under his own name, which was the work of an author long since dead and buried, and little known to Biblical students in England no, not even to the well-read Mr. Zedner, late of the British Museum, who was betrayed to father the work upon the plagiarist.

33. For Professor Gagnier's interpretation, as given by Tovey, see Appendix L.

34. See Postscript.

35. The "Nouvelle Biographie Generale," published in 1860, is indeed circumstantial on the subject, the notice of the celebrity under review begins as follows: "Lyra (Nicolas de) exegete theologien frangais, ne vers 1270, a Lyra, bourg situe pres d'Evreux, mort a Paris, le 23 October, 1340." But who does not know the facility with which a certain class of French literati coin names. As an instance, see Appendix L.

36. See Appendix M.

37. It is preserved by "Wagenseil in his Tela lynea Satana. It shows of what spirit anti-Christian Jews are made, and how unchangeable is the hostility of the unbeliever towards the believer.

POSTSCRIPT

AFTER the Paper had been read, approved of, and commended, a short discussion ensued, the principal burden of which was the disputing on the part of the Rev. J. J. Raven, Head Master of Yarmouth Grammar School the purpose of the bronze vessel (pp. 46 53.) as I described it. He resolutely maintained that he saw one like it, of about the same date, at the York Museum, with a Latin inscription, which purported that the vessel was intended as a mortar for compounding drugs, and he therefore conceived such to have been the use of the vessel which I described. In vain did I appeal to the purport of the Hebrew inscription of the vessel which I brought under notice, Mr. Raven clung to his conception with exemplary parental tenacity. However, our difference of opinion only tended to produce a cordial friendship between us. The following extracts from letters on the subject, which he has subsequently addressed to me, may be perused with interest, on account of the hints which they suggest.

Yarmouth, 29th July, 1869.

I am very desirous of tracing the history of the bronze vessel found in a Suffolk river, of which you produced a drawing at the meeting of the Royal Archaeological Institute, at Bury, last week. Whether it be a receptacle for alms, according to your view, or a mortar for compounding drugs, according to mine, it is clearly amongst the earliest specimens of bronze casting in England. I understood you to say that it was in the collection of the second Harley, Earl of Oxford, and that it may possibly be in the hands of the Pesident and Fellows of St. John's College, Oxford. When you elicit the truth as to this conjecture, I shall be greatly obliged if you will let me know. In the meanwhile I have a request to make, which I can only justify on the ground of my long and obscure labours in the history of bell casting, &c.[1] It is, that you would let me have a copy, or tracing, of the smaller sketch of this vessel, which you exhibited, and of the inscription upon it. I think of having a photograph taken of the mortar in the York Museum, of which I spoke in the discussion after your paper, and I much wish to compare the outline, position of inscription, and general -bearing of the two vessels. I fear that the vessel itself has perished. That at York was accidentally saved from the furnace in 1811, at Birmingham, by Rudder the bell-founder, and presented to Mr. Blunt, a surgeon in the town, whose collection was sold in 1835, when a Mr. Kenrick, of West Bromwich, bought it for a large sum, and presented it to the York Museum. The inscription on it is:

**MORTARIV: SCJ - TONIS - EWANGEL
DE - INFIRMARJA - BE - MARIE - EBO.**

And on the lower rim;

**FE - WILLS - DE - TOVTHORP - ME
FECIT - A.D. - M.CCC.VIII.**

It weighs about 76 lbs., and stands, I should say, some 18 in. high. The history of the Harleian vessel may serve to connect the Anglo-Hebrews with the early history of founding in England. The only name of a founder discovered by me which has any hint of Jewish origin is Symon de Hatfelde, who appears to have flourished about the beginning of the fourteenth century, and I fear we cannot put much faith in the Symon, as the "Norman thieves" were rather fond of the name.

Yarmouth, 2nd August, 1869.

I am greatly obliged to you for sending me full particulars of the history of the vessel fished up in a Suffolk stream in past days, and to Lady Pigot for the sketch of it. On further examination, I begin to grow dissatisfied with my own theory. The neck is narrowed, and the lower part of the bowl too much expanded for the mortars with which I am familiar. At the same time, the fact

of its being made of bronze, with solid handles and feet of stoutness, would seem to indicate that it was intended for some purpose requiring unusual strength and durability; and as my York specimen is dated 1308, and Eabbi Sancto lived before that time, it may be the form of an older style of mortar. I will write to York for a photograph, and send you a copy, but the effect of it will not be to strengthen my case at all. I really do not know, however, why anything but English obstinacy should prevent my acknowledging that your theory is as likely to be correct as any other. I am beginning to quake upon another point whether the vessel was cast at all, whether it was not rather the work of the smith than the founder. If the inscription were in high relief, it would make my mind comfortable again; but there is no way, I suppose, of eliciting this point. The reason for my doubting as to the vessel coming from a mould is the claw-like ending of the handles they look so like riveting. I noticed the other day, at Hardwick, a bronze Etruscan jug with the lower end of the handle of something the same form, and loose, which would hardly be the case with a handle cast to the vessel; but at the upper end I could detect no trace of a joint. I managed to put a quiet question to Professor Churchill Babington about it; but he would not enter into the technology of the vessel. In the sketch which you kindly sent me, there is a distinct joint between the handles and the band which passes round the vessel connecting them. I dare not go further than express my suspicions as to the vessel ever having come from the mould.

Yarmouth, 6th October, 1869.

I put myself into communication with Mr. Fairless Barber a short time ago, on the subject of Yorkshire metallurgy; and the result has been a photograph of a vessel found at Wharnccliffe, of the history of which he could tell me nothing. I enclose it for your acceptance, worthless as I fear it is. I must hunt up a certain Minor Canon of York, who was at Cambridge with me, and get a photograph of the York mortar for you; I am convinced that I am in the wrong about your vessel, and when I lecture on Bells, at Bury, at Christmas, all well, I will openly recant that my wicked error in canonical form.

Postscript Notes


1. *Mr. Raven read a most interesting Essay on "The Church Bells of Cambridgeshire," on the same day that I read my Paper. This has just been published, in a handsome volume, at Lowestoft, by Samuel Tymms.*



APPENDIX A

See page 6-7

An eminent Cornish scholar of last century, who devoted a great deal of his time to prove the affinity between the Hebrew and the ancient Welsh languages, observed, "It would be difficult to adduce a single article or form of construction in the Hebrew grammar, but the same is to be found in Welsh, and that there were many whole sentences in both languages exactly the same in the very words." From two columns of quotations which that writer adduced I give the following as examples, and shall translate them according to their signification in the ancient Cornish:



* ברוך אתה יהוה אלהינו מלך העולם:

Baruch wytti id el-eini maslog y-hwylma.

Seat of increase art Thou, Supreme, our intellectual Power.
Possessor of the space of revolution.

: מניני על אלהים: —Ps. vii. 11.

Maigen-i hwyl elyr.

My protection is from the intelligences.

—Ps. xxiv. 10. מי הוא זה מלך הכבוד יהוה צבאות הוא מלך
הכבוד מלח:

*Py yw-o sy maeloc y-cavad I-A-YW-VO mawyod yw-o ma-eloc
y-cavad. Sela.*

Who is He that is possessor of attainments? I THAT AM
HIM of hosts, He is the possessor of attainments. BEHOLD.

Now, if the aboriginal Britons knew not the Jews, where
could they have got hold of such whole Hebrew, purely
Hebrew, sentences?

B.—See page 14.

ודעו כי שלח אנוסמוס קיסר בעצת אנמוניטוס חברו בבל
ארצות ממשלתו עד מעבר לים תודו ועד מעבר ארץ בריטאניא
והיא ארץ ים אוקיאנוס ויצו את כל מקום אשר בו איש או אשה
מודע היהודים עבד או אשה לשלחם הפשיס בלא פדיון במצות
הקיסר אנוסמוס ואנמוניטוס חברו:

C.—See page 15.

הקיסר אנוספי היה איש חסיד וירא אלהים והיה עושה משפט
ועדקה ואותב ישראל: ומה שכתוב בראש ספר שבט יהודה
שקיסר אנוספי עשה הרג רב ביהודים הלא המניד כחש לו
כי לא מצאתי רשו מזה בכל הקרוניקים שראיתי מימי: אדרבא
בכל ספרי זכרונותיהם גם ביוסיפון פרי מ"ז כתב שהיה אהב
מאזן לישראל: גם בספר מ"ז כתב שהקיסר הזה שלח כתב חרות
ליהודים בכל ארצות ממשלתו למורה עד מעבר לים תודו
ולמעבר עד מעבר ארץ בריטאניא (היא מדינת אנגלאטירד
הנקרא בלי"א ענגלאנד):

* The first sentence of almost all Jewish thanksgivings to this very day.

APPENDIX. D

See page 8. Hollingshed relates the following episode:

"The king being at Bhoan on a time, there came to him divers Jews, who inhabited that city, complaining that divers of that nation had renounced their Jewish religion, and were become Christians; wherefore they besought him that for a certain sum of money, which they offered to give, it might please him to constrain them to abjure Christianity, and to turn to the Jewish law again. He was content to satisfy their desires. And so receiving their money, called them before him, and what with threats, and putting them otherwise in fear, he compelled divers of them to forsake Christ, and to turn to their old errors. Hereupon the father of one Stephen, a Jew converted to the Christian faith, being sore troubled for that his son was turned a Christian, (and hearing what the king had done in like matters,) presented unto him sixty marks of silver, conditionally that he should enforce his son to return to his Jewish religion; whereupon the young man was brought before the king, unto whom the king said, 'Sirrah, thy father here complaineth that without his licence thou art become a Christian: if this be true, I command thee to return again to the religion of thy nation without any more ado.' To whom the young man answered, 'Your Grace, (as I guess,) doth but jest.' Wherewith the king being moved, said, 'What! thou dunghill knave, should I jest with thee? Get thee hence quickly and fulfil my commandment, or by St. Luke's face, I shall cause thine eyes to be plucked out of thine head.' The young man, nothing abashed thereat, with a constant voice answered, 'Truly I will not do it; but know for certain, that if you were a good Christian you would never have uttered any such words, for it is the part of a Christian to reduce them again to Christ which are departed from Him, and not to separate them from Him which are joined to Him by faith.'

"The king, herewith confounded, commanded the Jew to get him out of his sight. But the father perceiving that the king could not persuade his son to forsake the Christian faith, required to have his money again. To whom the king said, he had done so much as he promised to do, that was, to persuade him as far as he might. At length, when he would have had the king deal further in the matter, the king, to stop his mouth, tendered back to him half the money, and kept the other himself. All which increased the suspicion men had of his infidelity."

Appendix E

Dr. Jost, in his "Geschichte der Israeliten," vol. vii., p. 119, in common with all anti-Christian Jews, (see Apropos Essay,) betrays all the venomous partiality which characterises the enemies of "truth and justice, religion and piety." That Jewish historian takes upon himself, without any reason whatever, to assert that "den Anlass dazu gab ein getaufter Jude, ohne Zweifel durch seine Schuld, von seinen ehemaligen Genossen auf offener Strasse verfolgt turde." "The occasion for it was afforded by a baptized Jew, who without doubt, [!!!] through his own fault, was persecuted in the open street by his former co-religionists."

Appendix F

The following three charters are of so remarkable a character, that I deem them to be worthy of re-production, in their original entirety.

(1)

Rex omnibus fidelibus suis, et omnibus et Judeeis et Anglis salutem. Sciatis nos concessisse, et pcesenti charta nostra confirmasse, Jacobo Judeeo de Londiniis Presbytero Judseorum, Presbyteratum omnium Judseorum totius Anglise. Habendum et tenendum quamdiu vixerit,

libere, et quiete honorifice, et integre; ita quod nemo ei super hoc molestiam aliquam, aut gravamen inferre praesumat. Quare volumus et firmiter prsecipimus, quod eidem Jacobo quoad vixerit, Presbyteratum Judaeorum per totam Angliam, garantetis, manuteneatis, et pacifice defendatis. Et si quis ei super ea foris facere praesumpserit, id ei sine dilatione (salva nobis emenda nostra de forisfactura nostra) emendare faciatis, tanquam Dominico Judaeo nostro, quem specialiter in servitio nostro retinuimus. Prohibemus etiam ne de aliquo ad se pertinente ponatur in placitum, nisi coram nobis, aut coram Capitali Justiciario nostro, sicut charta Regis Richardi fratris nostri testatur. Teste S. Baethoniens, Episcopo, &c., Dat. per manum Huberti Cantuariensis Archiepiscopi, Cancellarii nostri, apud Rothomagum 12 die Julii an. Reg. nostr. primo.

(2)

Johannes Dei Gratia, &c. Omnibus fidelibus suis ad quos literse praesentes pervenerint tarn ultra mare quam citra. Mandans vobis et praecipiens, quatenus per quascunque villas et loca Jacobus Presbyter Judaeorum, dilectus et familiaris noster transierit, ipsum salvo, et libere, cum omnibus ad ipsum pertinentibus, transire et conduci faciatis; nea ipsi aliquod impedimentum, molestiam, aut gravamen fieri sustineatis, plus quam nobis ipsis et si quis ei, in aliquo, forisfacere praesumpserit, id ei sine dilatione, emendari faciatis.

Teste Willelmo di Marisco, &c. Dat. per manum Hu. Cantuar. Archiep. Cancellarii nostri apud Rothomagum 31. die Julii anno Reg. nostr. primo.

(3)

"Johannes Dei gratia, &c. Sciatis nos concessisse omnibus Judaeis Anglise et Normaniae, libere et honorifice habere residentiam in terra nostra et omnia ilia de nobis tenenda quae tenuerunt de Rege Henrico, avo patris nostri; et omnia ilia quae modo rationabiliter tenent in terris et feodis, et vadiis et akatis suis: et quod habeant omnes libertates, et consuetudines suas, sicut eas babuerunt tempore praedicti regis H. avi patris nostri, melius et quietius et honorabilius. Et si querela orta fuerit inter Christianum et Judaeum, ille qui alium appelaverit ad querelam suam dirationandam, habeat Testes, scilicet legitimum Christianum et Judaeum. Et si Judaeus de querela sua breve habuerit, breve suum erit ei testis. Et si Christianus habuerit querelam adversus Judaeum, sit Judicata per pares Judaei. Et cum Judaeus obierit, non detineatur corpus suum super terram, sed habeat haeres suus pecuniam suam et debita sua, ita quod non inde disturbetur, si habuerit haerem qui pro ipso respondeat, et rectum faciat de debitis suis et de forisfacto suo. Et liceat Judaeis omnia quae eis apportata fuerint, sine occasione accipere et emere, exceptis illis quae de ecclesio sunt et panno sanguinolento. Et si Judaeus ab aliquo appellatus fuerit sine teste, de illo appellatu erit quietus solo Sacramento suo super librum suum, et de appellatu illarum rerum quae ad coronam nostram pertinent, similiter quietus erit solo Sacramento suo super Eotulum suum. Et si inter Christianum et Judaeum fuerit dissentio de accomodatione alicujus pecuniae, Judaeus probabit catallum suum et Christianus lucrum. Et Liceat Judaeis quiete vendere vadium, postquam certum erit, eum illud unum annum, et unum diem tenuisse. Et Judaei non intrabunt inplacitum, nisi eorum nobis, aut coram illis qui turre nostras custodierint, in quorum ballivis Judaei manserint. Et ubicunque Judaei fuerint, liceat eis ire ubicunque voluerint, cum omnibus catallis eorum, sicut res nostrae proprie; et nulli liceat eas retinere, neque hoc eis prohibere. Et praecipimus quod ipsi quieti sint per totam Angliam et Normaniam de omnibus consuetudinibus et Theoloniis et modulatione vini sicut nostrum proprium catallum. Et mandamus vobis et praecipimus quod eos custodiatis, et defendatis, et manu teneatis, et prohibemus nequis contra chartam istam de hiis praedictis eos in placitum ponat super forisfacturam nostram; sicut charta Eegi H. patris nostri rationabiliter testatur. Teste T. Humf. filio Petri Com. Essex. Willielmi de Meerscal. Com. de Pembr. Henr. de Bohun Com. de Hereford. Robert de Turnham, "Willielmo Brywer, &c. Dat. per manum S. Well. Archidiacon. apud Marleberg, decimo dei Aprilis Anno Eegni nostri secundo. Charta 2 John, n 49.

The above gracious charter might well have been considered a fabrication, had the following one not been added soon.

Judsei Anglise dant Domino Eegi M. M. M. M. marc, pro Cartis suis conformandis, et missse fuerunt Cartse Gaufrido filio Petri et Stephano de Pertico, ut eas faciant legi eoram se, et eoram Dom. Londoniensi et Norwicensi Episcopis, et cum acceperit securitatem de illis quatuor mille marcis reddendis, tune eis illas cartas eoram prsedictis liberet oblata 2 Fo. M. a.

Appendix G

This was the first royal interest taken in the conversion of the Jews. Individual cases of interest in the spiritual welfare of the house of Jacob were to be met with in earlier times than those of Henry III., even in John's time. In 1213, Richard, the then Prior of Bermondsey, built a house for the reception of Hebrew Christians, which he called "The Hospital for Converts." A much earlier institution for the same purpose was founded at Oxford, and flourished for a considerable time.

Whilst scarcely a vestige of those institutions which were organised by private individuals can now be traced, the vestiges of the royal one stand out to the present day, in bold relief, and seem to demand examination as to the legitimacy of the transfer which has been inflicted upon the *Domus Conversorum*. A brief sketch of the vicissitudes of the institution up to the present time may not be altogether uninteresting.

The royal idea seemed to have found favour with some of the prelates of that period. Ten years after the idea was carried out, the then Bishop of Winchester endowed it with one hundred pounds, a considerable benefaction, as money was then valued. In the year 1248, a very rich Jew of London, Constantino Ahef by name, had the misfortune to be convicted of felony, whereby he forfeited all his houses, lands, tenements, &c., to the crown. The king bestowed the forfeitures upon his best establishment.

When Edward I. succeeded to the throne, he ordered that every Jew who made an avowal of THE FAITH, and possessed more property than he absolutely required for the maintenance of himself and family, should hand over the surplus to the fund of the House of Converts. The same king also made over to that institution all the fines to which the Jews might be subjected for the seven years following his accession. He also granted to the said "House" the poll-tax which was levied on the Israelites, and all deodands that might come to the crown from similar sources. The establishment was put on a more business-like footing. He remodelled the management thereof, and insisted upon proper accounts being kept of all the revenues belonging to the House, as well as of the outlays in its behalf. Those accounts were periodically to be rendered to the royal exchequer. Should a balance be realised, the same was to be applied towards the improvement of the fabric, and towards the promotion of further means for the service of God.

After the expulsion of the Jews, in 1290, the usefulness of the Institution gradually declined, and the fabric fell into comparative decay. A report to the same effect was made in 1310, which, brought about a thorough repair of the house, the chapel, cloisters, and tenements, but it unfortunately also paved the way for the future misappropriation of the property, and the diverting it from the object of the charity, which the royal founder had in view. The Wardenship of the "*Domus Conversorum*" was annexed to the Mastership of the Rolls. It is true that when the conjunction of the functions took place, it was stated that no transfer of the revenues of the institution was contemplated, but rather "to secure the care and preservation of the House of Converts, with its edifices, chapel, enclosure, and recent buildings;" but the spoliation eventually followed for all that.

It is a fact well worthy of notice, that there were some Hebrew-Christians in this country between the periods of the banishment and return of the Jewish people. There is no consecutive chronicle

of them during that period, but detached accounts are now and then met with which warrant the affirmation. For instance, in the thirtieth year of Edward III., we read of one John de Castell, who was admitted into the "*Domus Conversorum*" by the following writ: "The king to his beloved chaplain, Henry de Ingleby, the guardian of our House of Converts, in our city, London, sends greeting. Because we wish that John of Castell a convert from the Jewish religion, who lately came into our kingdom of England may have such support in our said house, from our alms, as others of the same sort have had in the same house before his time. We command you to admit the same John into our house, and that you cause him to have from that house the prescribed allowance for one convert. The king being witness at Westminster, on the first of July." We also read of a Jew, William Pierce by name, who was converted to Christianity in the fifth year of Richard II., and had a daily allowance of twopence from the funds of the "*Domus Conversorum*." In the following reign of Henry IV., we read of a Jewess, Elizabeth, the daughter of a famous Rabbi Moses, who, having embraced Christianity, had a pension allowed her from that fund, of "one penny a day above the usual allowance." The endowment was recognised and made available as late as the year 1686, when two Hebrew Christians received pensions out of the property. It is somewhat suggestive, that notwithstanding that a goodly number of the house of Israel were added to the Church in this country during the eighteenth century, no instance is on record that claims were made on the endowment on the part of the Jewish believers. It shows that the members of the synagogue who had joined the Church were men of considerable wealth. It is also curious to find that in 1738 the royal exchequer granted out of the endowment, an annual allowance of five hundred pounds, for maintenance of "converts from Popery." The crowning achievement of misappropriation was effected in the first year of the reign of Her Most Gracious Majesty, when an Act of Parliament (1 Viet. c. 46) was passed, which converted the whole of the Hebrew-Christian estates into Crown property. It is a very puzzling act, and might afford ingenious Chancery lawyers a grand theatre for the exhibition of legal skill. The preamble of the bill states that the Bolls' estate was formerly "the site of the House or Hospital of Converts, or Converted Jews," and that the hereditaments thereto belonging had been granted by Edward III. to the Bolls' Office. The fact, however, is that Edward III. only assigned those estates to that office IN TRUST for carrying out the object of the endowments.

I never can pass through Fleet-street without casting a wistful glance towards the archway which leads to the chapel which originally belonged to the "*Domus Conversorum*" Very often indeed have I loitered about the sanctuary itself, with a yearning heart that it might be restored to its original object. It has still its ancient and strong walls of flint and cement, in the same style of building as the white tower of the Tower of London; an upper portion of it having fallen in ancient times, has been replaced by modern brickwork. This stands in the Rolls' Court, and the chapel is still in use for divine service, for the benefit of lawyers and others in the neighbourhood.

Appendix H

Some thirty years ago a statement of the particulars contained in the preceding Appendix was drawn up and submitted to a London solicitor, but he gave his opinion that it was then too late to agitate the subject, as the Act of Parliament had settled it for ever. recent repeals of Acts of Parliament by other Acts of Parliament would seem to lead one to believe that if it were too late then to agitate, it is not too late now. All honour is due to the Rev. W. Gray, the worthy Principal of the flourishing *Domus Conversorum*, Palestine Place, Bethnal Green, for having had the courage to initiate a new agitation. He has kindly permitted me to make use of the following reply which he received from Mr. J. S. Brewer, of the Public Record Office, to a letter which he had addressed to that gentleman on the subject:

PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE, 24th May, 1869. [COPY]

DEAR SIR, The Bolls' Estate was originally given for the conversion of the Jews, as early as the reign of Edward I., but as the cause did not prosper, it was converted to its present purposes by Edward III. Even if it had not been so, all the property was given up to the Government in

the life of the late Lord Langdale. And the Treasury now pay 5000 a year to the Master of the Rolls, with the allowance of 600 a year for a house, and 220 a year for the Rolls' Chapel, including the salaries of reader, Preacher, and Organist, and all other expenses. I am afraid, therefore, that the hope of receiving the endowment to which you refer in your letter to me, is not very cheering. Your proper course would be to submit the case to the Lords of the Treasury.

Yours truly, (Signed) J. S. BEEWEE.

With all due deference to Mr. Brewer's opinion, I should venture to suggest to the large body of Hebrew Christians in London, to petition, petition, petition, until even a Parliament which "feared not God nor regarded man" restored that property to its original purpose, spiritual and temporal.

Appendix I

The Monkish historians tell us that it proved a case of such difficulty that the posted was thought proper to be returned to parliament. Parliament could not decide. Indeed, the strangeness of the accusation would have puzzled any body of men to decide. Four years were allowed to elapse before the charge was brought, and the principal witness was a little boy of about nine years of age, who stated that when he was about five years old he was playing in a certain street; the Jews allured him into the house of one Jacob, where they kept him a day and night, and then blindfolded him, and circumcised him. Yet strange to say, with his eyes blinded, and amidst the confusion of so painful an operation, the youthful boy was able to notice several minute particulars, which he narrated, but which certainly never had any existence, inasmuch as the particulars he related to have taken place after the circumcision have no connection with that rite. In addition to the boy's unlikely story, there were no symptoms of any kind that witness had ever gone under such an operation. Under such circumstances, and with such unsatisfactory evidence, the poor Jews would doubtless have been honourably acquitted, but as this calumny originated, in all probability, with the ecclesiastics, they could not brook disappointment, and contrived therefore to become accusers, witnesses, and judges themselves.

The bishops accordingly insisted upon the matter being tried in their courts, and as soon as the charge was dismissed by parliament, as incapable of being proved satisfactorily, the professing ministers of Christianity, who stated that the boy was circumcised in derision and contumely of their Lord and Master, determined to take the law into their own hands. They maintained that such questions belonged exclusively to the jurisdiction of the church, and that the state had no right to interfere. Baptism and circumcision, they argued, being matters of faith, the ministers of that faith had, therefore, alone the right of deciding cases of that kind. The poor Jews were therefore once more dragged before a judge and jury, who were most inimical to them, whose avaricious affections were set on their hard-earned riches. One can easily guess the result of the judgment-seat, and the fate of the unfortunate Norwich Jews.

William Raleigh, Bishop of Norwich, acted as judge: the archdeacon and the priests as witnesses, who deposed on oath that they saw the boy immediately after he was circumcised, and that there were then all the signs that such an operation had been performed upon him. Why and wherefore the archdeacon and priests kept it so long, the judge did neither ask nor care. How it came to pass that the signs had, in the short space of four years, totally disappeared, the judge did not investigate. A certain Maude also deposed, in confirmation of the charge, that after the boy had been taken home, the Jews called upon her to warn her against giving him any swine's flesh to eat. The Jews in Great Britain, pp. 231 4.

Appendix J.

Mr. Hudson Turner, in his "Domestic Architecture of the Middle Ages," and after him Mr. Samuel Tymms, gives the following account of Moyses' Hall:

The police station, or Moyses' Hall, called also the "Jews' House," or the "Jews' Synagogue." A singular specimen of a dwelling-house of the end of the eleventh or beginning of the twelfth century, and one of the most interesting remains in the town.

In plan, the building is nearly square, measuring in round numbers about fifty feet either way. The ground floor is vaulted and divided into three alleys, by ranges of three arches of stone, springing from either round or square pillars, having Norman capital bases. The arch-ribs of the western alley are semicircular; in the others they are early pointed. The western division differs from the others, too, in being of greater width; the space between pillar and pillar being about sixteen feet, while in the others it is less than eleven feet. These differences in form and size, coupled with the fact that the western range has been in comparatively modern times dis severed from the others, and made to form part of the adjoining inn, have led some to suppose that they must have originally belonged to distinct though conjoined tenements; but this notion was satisfactorily set aside a few years since by the discovery of the original staircase to the upper floor, in the first arch between the western and middle alleys, with its perfect well, lighted by two email apertures, one pointed and the other square, and having a doorway into each alley. On the west side the vaulting was, within the memory of persons still living, eight feet deeper than at present, and the descent was by a small staircase from the present staircase. It appears originally to have had no windows on the ground floor.

On the upper, over the eastern vaultings, are two good transition Norman windows, each of two lights, square headed and plain, under a round arch, with moulding and shafts in the jambs, having capitals of almost early English character. It is a good example of the external and internal details of windows of this date.

It will be observed that internally the masonry is not carried up all the way to the sill of the window; by this arrangement a bench of stone is formed on each side of it. The other part of the house has a perpendicular window, which may have replaced a Norman one.

The sculpture under this window, representing the wolf guarding the crowned head of St. Edmund, is worthy of notice. The upper part has been too much altered to enable us to make out exactly what it originally was; it may have been a tower, of which the upper part is destroyed, or it may have contained a doorway.

The fireplace is in the wall of partition on the first floor, and not towards the street, as in the Jews' house at Lincoln; but this fireplace is not part of the original work, though it probably replaced an older one. The principal entrance to the house would appear to have been on the east side. The present east wall is no part of the genuine building, but was erected in 1806. That tradition should have assigned the name of the "Jews' House " to this building, and also to the two tenements of the Norman period at Lincoln, is a fact not without significance, and worthy of attention. Being the wealthiest members of the community, it is not unlikely that the Jews constructed substantial habitations, as much for the security of their persons and property as from any other motive.

It is certain that in all early deeds relative to the transfer of tenements once held by Jews, those tenements are usually described as built of stone. It was not till the thirteenth century that the Israelites were subjected to that longcontinued system of oppression and exaction which terminated in their expulsion from the country by Edward I., in the year 1290. That expulsion was accomplished in a manner so sudden and violent, that the memory of it was likely to be strongly impressed on the popular mind, and, indeed, to remain so impressed in any place where substantial monuments of their former residence still survived. This house is mentioned in the will of Andreus Scarbot, 1474, as the "ten. Auquet ' Regis, vocat' Moyses Hall." It was the residence in 1514 of Eichard Kyng, a benefactor to the town.

The Guildhall feoffees bought the hall about 1614, and converted it into a workhouse and house of correction. In 1721 it was a hospital or workhouse for thirty boys and girls, who were clothed in blue, faced with yellow; but on the consolidation of the two parishes for the government of the poor, in 1740, the hospital was removed to the workhouse.

The building is now used as a police station. In 1858 it was repaired from designs by GK GK Scott, Esq., principally by subscription. The changes rendered necessary in the outside repairs, have been carried out in a style more in harmony with that of the ancient building; the low-pitched gable having been replaced by one of greater elevation, and the Italian turret which crowned its summit, has given place to a plain square substantial one of oak, covered with shingles, and terminated by a vane adopted from the former one.

Warton, in his "History of English Poetry," speaks of a magnificent stone synagogue extant, at Bury St. Edmund's, in his day.

Appendix K

The then Marquis of Northampton, in the course of a letter to Dr. Covell, dated " Castle Ashby, August 26, 1696," thus alludes to the vessel: "The Rabbinical porridge-pot is a great mystery. I can conceive it nothing but what is carried about in the synagogues in imitation of the pot of manna,[1] whose form is not very different from the description of this, as may be seen on the shekel, one of which, if I remember, you have by you, and several are exhibited in Walton's Prolog, to the Polyglott. I guess this because " [Here follows a maudlin attempt to explain the transcript of the inscription, which neither the copyist nor the marquis could read. The latter then proceeds,] " To me the whole result of this groping in the dark seems to be this, that the dedicator had made a visit to the Holy City, (the merit of which is as much amongst them [the Jews] as the Papists to Loretto, or the Mahommedans to Mecca,) and upon his return dedicated this vessel to his church. How far I am from the mark I can't tell; but this is all the light I can gain, at this distance, from the thing itself," &c., &c.

The following is an extract from Isaac Abendana's letter to Dr. Covell, dated " Oxon, October 9th, 1696:" "As to the picture of the pot, it is a hard matter to conjecture with any certainty without some farther circumstances that may clear it. First, whether there was anything in when found ? Whether it had any cover ? The name of the place where it was found ? If you can satisfy me thereof, may be will conduce much toward the finding out something. In the meantime I have set down as I can. I do not know of any vessel that is used at present in our synagogue but these: a vessel for the priests, or of the seed of Aaron, to wash their hands, when they go to bless the people; secondly, a vessel to go about the synagogue to collect alms. There is sometimes made such a vessel to preserve the ashes of some eminent man that died a martyr for his religion, and so it is difficult to know for which of these uses it was intended. I leave you to conjecture the most probable of the two last," &c., &c. [Here follows a poor attempt at an analysis of a nondescript inscription.]

1. *The above item of information will astonish the Jews; it will be so new to them, like many other Gentile interpretations of Jewish customs and manner* APPENDIX. 81

Appendix L

The Latin interpretation of the inscription, by Mr. Gagnier: —

Votum.
Josephi filii τοῦμακαρίτου Rabbi Jechielis,
Memoria Justi τοῦμακαρίτονρον fit in Benedictionem,
Qui reddit id, quod cominodato acceperat,[1]
Synagogso Kahwelensi;[2]

Quatenus mereatur videre faciem [3]Arielis
In coetu,[4] in Lege [5]Jekutielis;
Et Justitia liberabit á Morte.

The following brief account of De Lyra is given by Bishop Bale in his "Illustrium Majoris Brittanise Catalogue."

Nicolaus Lyranus ex Judsoorum genere Anglus; atque Hebrseorum Rabbinos in literis Hebraicis ab ipsa pueritia nutritus, illud idioma sanctum ad unguem, ut loquuntur, novit. Qui mox ut frequentasset scholas publicas, ac minoritarum quorundam sincerioris judicii audisset conciones; abhorrere coepit a Talmudicis doctrinis, atque ita a tota sua gentis insania stultissima. Conversus ergo ad Christ! fidem, ac regenerationis lav aero lotus, Franciscan orum families, se statim adjunxit. Inter quos scripturis sanctis studiosissimus ac longa exercitatione peritus, Oxonii et Parisiis, cum insulsissimis Rabbinis, qui plebem Judaicum vana Messise adventuri pollicitatione lactaverant disputationibus et scriptis, mirifice conflictavit. Denique contra eorum apertissime blasphemias, utrumque Dei testamentum diligentiori examine et elucidatione explanavit. Si in plerisque, ut ei a multis imponitur, deliravit, tempori est imputandum, in quo fere omnia erant hypocritarum nebulis obscurata. Meliorem certe cseteris omnibus per earn setatem navavit in scripturis operam. De verborum simplicitate non est quod conquerentur homines, cum a vocabulis eestimanda non sit seterni patris veritas. Prseclara scripsit opuscula, ut prsedictus Tritemius habet, quibus nomen suum celebriter devenit ad posteritatis notitiam. Doctor Martinus Lutherus, in secundo et nono capitibus in Grenesim, se ideo dicit amavisse Lyranum atque inter optimos posuisse quod pree cseteris interpretibus diligente fuerit historiam prosecutus. Claruit A.C. 1337, quo Daniele exposit, ac Parieis demum obiisse fertur.

Notes

1. *Ignorance of the technical phrase for Rabbinical expositions and disquisitions dictated the above translation.*

2 *Kahwel, nomen urbis Provincie Volhyniee, in Polonise.[6]*

3 *'Ariel, i.e., Leo Dei, nomen Altaris Templi Hierosolymitani.*

4. *The interpreter had here mistaken the grouping of the letters; instead of reading, as he ought to have done, כחכב חד he read חכב חדכ hence the eccentric translation.*

5. *Jekutiel, i.e., Expectatio Dei, sic appellatur populus Judaicus adventum Messiso, de quo in precibus suis quotidie dicunt: Venial cito in diebus nostris. [7]*

6. *This is an ingenious invention, in the absence of a better knowledge of the Hebrew language; else the otherwise learned Frenchman would have translated the word לאןחכ according to its import.*

7. *Nor was Mr. Gagnier more successful here; the information respecting the Jews calling the coming of the Messiah Jekutiel, is by no means reliable.*

AN APROPOS ESSAY.

WHATEVER opinion the intelligent reader may have formed touching the manner in which the subject, in the preceding pages, has been treated; he must have come to one conclusion only respecting the matter, which has been brought in bold relief, under consideration in those pages. Every sober, serious, thoughtful reader must admit that the subject matter is prolific of manifold suggestions. It suggests an indissoluble connection between sacred and secular history; especially as regards the chronicles of the nation and people of Israel. It suggests that the best and shortest

method by which to put to silence shallow-minded sceptics, would-be philosophical freethinkers the so-called rationalistic theologians of the present day is to recommend the modern disciples of Spinoza, or Voltaire, a more critical study of the annals of Israel ancient and modern than their masters, just named had ever enjoyed.[1] It suggests that Israel is a sort of KOSMOS, that the history of the nation is as peculiar as the people themselves. PECULIAR in the Bible sense, and in the modern secular sense. It suggests that in whatever country the scattered seed of Israel had been sown I use advisedly a prophetic idiom[2] there it not only took deep root, but when it sprang up into a tree, with wide spreading branches, the latter became so entwined with the branches of the native trees, that to describe the growth of the one without that of the other, would prove an imperfect, and therefore unreliable description. It suggests the truth and the applicability of it to all times of the great apostolic dictum, "Even so, then, at this present time, there is a remnant according to the election of grace.[3] The Jewish people bitter and intolerant as their national hostility has hitherto been to the terms of the NEW TESTAMENT, or COVENANT have never been without some of "the Israel of God." [4] In the darkest and gloomiest hours of the Christian Church at Rome, there was a Saul, or Paul, who could boldly stand up and triumphantly ask, "I say, then, Hath God cast away His people!" Answer, "God forbid! For I also am an Israelite, of the seed of Abraham," &c., &c., [5] i.e., "I am a living and moving argument against the preposterous supposition."

I might contrive to gather in a very large harvest of suggestions, for the fecundity of the subject matter is in this respect almost illimitable, but let every reader be his own reaper. There is only one suggestion more that I wish to name, as the starting-point of this little Essay; namely, that the annals of the Anglo-Hebrews provide as fine a field for a Niebuhr as the History of Rome ever proved. The Tower, the Chapter-House, Westminster Abbey, the Public Record Office, &c., &c., [6] abound with materials for such a literary enterprise. But a stubborn question arises. "What class of Her Majesty's subjects, in this country, can present so candid, impartial, and critical a Niebuhr at once so equal to the task, and disposed to do justice to every portion of the Jewish nation in this country 1 The Gentiles, or the Jews themselves"? "It may be answered by the former: .

"Of course we have the men equal to the task. Look at our Stanley, our Froude; they are only representatives of large classes, of our able historians, in Church and State." I reply,

"Neither your Stanley nor your Froude fair representatives though they be of large classes of your able historians in Church and State possess the peculiarly requisite qualification for writing the history of the dispersed of Israel, since the dismemberment of the nation. To write such a history, it is necessary to be conversant with the whole range of Hebrew literature, since the close of the canon of Scripture. Very often a single sentence only, may be detected in a scarce Hebrew volume, which gives the clue to the solving of a perplexing historical problem. Such an acquaintance neither a Gentile Stanley, nor a Gentile Froude possess."

"Not a Stanley! Did he not publish a sparkling "History of the Jewish Church"!"

"Yes, yes; that was the Jewish Church of the Old Testament. His materials for it were made ready to his hand, in the existing translations of the Hebrew Scriptures, as well as other auxiliaries, such as Ewald's productions, &c. Even with all those accessories, he fell into many grave errors, by reason of not possessing a critical knowledge of the original. A specimen error I pointed out in a note to an article which I wrote for the 'Scattered Nation,' for January 1866."

"Cannot the Anglo-Hebrews themselves, who, undoubtedly, have many learned men amongst them, produce a historian equal to the task of writing the annals of the Jews in this country!"

Hitherto, whilst the English Jews have distinguished themselves in various literary and scientific pursuits, they have not attempted a history of their people in this country. I cannot conceal my conviction that a Niebuhr-Jewish historian cannot be found in any of the synagogues of Europe.

The Jewish people of the present day may be thus classified, (1) Talmudical, (2) "Reformed," and (3) Christian. The antipathy which exists between the two former, towards one another, is almost as virulent as that between Ultramontane Roman Catholics and Hibernian Orangemen. They cannot speak of one another with impartiality, much less write so. They brand each other with the most disparaging stigmas. Such persons as are in the habit of reading the Jewish newspapers and periodicals, published at home and abroad, will endorse the truth of my statement. Let me be thoroughly understood, I am speaking of the writing members of those two sections. There are many noble exceptions, in either body, to specimens of which I shall presently allude; but those exceptions for reasons that I shall anon make plain do not trouble themselves about controversial subjects, or Jewish history.

Whilst the former two sections of the "House of Israel " are at constant feuds amongst themselves, they invariably coalesce in vilifying the last-named, and smaller, section of their people, namely, the Christian Jews. Implacable virulence, and deadly hate, marks the attitude of the two former towards the latter. Their organs in this country, as well as on the continent, breathe uncharitable denunciations against the "remnant according to the election of grace." Yet a historian of the annals of the Jewish nation would have to notice the existence of that remnant it is on the increase every day! Would either the Talmudical Jew, or the "Reformed" Jew, treat them with candour and justice? Certainly not. Let any one peruse the Jewish Weeklies in this country, and he will see the gratuitous and frenzied obloquy heaped, by their penmen, upon the Christian Jews; those the scribblers repeat, unabashed, over and over again, after the falsehood of their statements has been exposed a hundred times. Just like so many Codruses and Welsteds, whom Pope, the prince of English satirists, appropriately described in the following lines:—

"Who shames the scribbler? Break one cobweb through,
He spins the slight, self-pleasing thread anew;
Destroy his fib or sophistry; in vain,
The creature's at his dirty work again.

Pull ten years slandered did I e'er reply?
Three thousand suns went down, on "Welsted'e lie!"

"The remnant according to the election of grace," may change the first three words of the last-quoted couplet into " Full eighteen hundred years." Such has been the experience of " The remnant," from the nation's Scribes, Pharisees, Sadducees, and Priests, ever since the Redeemer began to call sinners to repentance. Many a time did the fourfold hostile element of unbelief coalesce to crush out THE FAITH from the midst of the nation; but THE FAITH has been leavening the nation now more, now less ever since it was promulgated, and never more so than at this present time. The above-named coalition was never more rampant, more fierce, more reckless in their libellous statements against " the remnant," than since the beginning of this century. The historians which either the Talmudical, or the " Reformed" Jews have since produced have proved themselves partial, narrowminded, unscrupulous, unjust, and unreliable, when they spoke or wrote of Christian Jews.[7] It will be long ere the virulent attack is forgotten, which the Jews of London made upon Mr. Samuda, at the late general election; simply because he dared to be obedient to the dictates of his conviction and conscience, and own the divine claims of Israel's New Testament as well as the Old. Well might a Gentile Christian have exclaimed, " Ah! what a fate for Christians, if such Israelites as scribble in the Jewish papers swayed the sceptre of political authority!

I have named this Essay APROPOS, for more reasons than one; the most apparent one will be found in the several digressions, which occurred to my mind, as I was carried along in the train of thought on the main subject, but I considered them at the same time apropos to the grand junction. There is an episode in the life of the late Dr. M'Caul, the most faithful and true Gen

tile-Christian-friend that the Jewish nation has ever had, which seems to me to be relevant to the topic under review.

Whilst Dr. M'Caul resided at Warsaw, a very learned chief rabbi, upwards of fifty years of age, from, a neighbouring town, called upon him, and solicited further instruction in the Christian religion; as he was convinced that Judaism without Christianity was only " the shadow of death." On entering into conversation with the enquirer, Dr. M'Caul found him a man of great learning, having a soul deeply solemnized, a spirit profoundly devout, and a heart breaking to be at peace with God. No Gentile knew the Jews better than Dr. M'Caul did, he therefore said to his visitor:

"My good rabbi, you know the animosity which your people evince towards one of themselves who returns to the teaching of the Bible, with regard to their Redeemer. You know that they will not scruple to accuse you of the most heinous crimes, and inconsistencies, the moment that they find out that you are feeling your way back again to the fold of Israel's Shepherd."

"Yes," rejoined the sorrow-stricken rabbi, "I thought of that too. I know that, though to-day I am esteemed by my people as one of the saints of the earth, to-morrow when the step which I am determined to take shall transpire my name shall be cast out as evil, and all manner of false accusation will be hurled against me. I have, however, provided against THE FAITH being sullied on my account. Here is the means of rebutting any attempt against my character. I told my people that I was about to resign my post, and remove to Warsaw. As I was a stranger in the Polish metropolis, I asked the heads of the congregation to testify to my religious and moral character, according as they conscientiously thought of me. Read what they say, and keep the paper by you."

Dr. M'Caul read the testimonial, numerous and influentially signed; it endorsed the high opinion which he had formed of his visitor, and Rabbi Abraham Jacob Schwartzberg became one of his catechumens, preparatory to receiving the sacrament of baptism. When the leading men, of the rabbi's ex-congregation, heard thereof, they came to Warsaw, sought out Dr. M'Caul, and overwhelmed him with hideous charges of dishonesty, immorality, and impiety, against their former pastor. To whom the Christian minister quietly rejoined, "Possibly Rabbi Abraham Jacob Schwartzberg is as disreputable as ye represent him to be; but in what repute do ye hold the men who signed **THIS TESTIMONIAL!**" He suited the action to the word, and held up the instrument before the eyes of the accusers, that they might see their own names. Of course they left the house covered with shame and confusion of face. But did the slanderers cease their revilings] No; they circulated a report that their late rabbi had gone mad.

One word more about Schwartzberg. He lived for upwards of twenty years after his admission into the Church by baptism; he never laid aside his long national dress, he mixed amongst his brethren, notwithstanding their cruel ill-treatment, and lovingly preached the Gospel to them. The Jews labour under a sort of hallucination, that every Hebrew-Christian, on his death-bed, recants his Christian profession of faith, by repeating the words, "Hear, O Israel, the LORD our God is one LORD;" the Jews of Warsaw were therefore on the qui vive when the vital spark in that venerable "Israelite indeed" was about to quit the mortal frame. They crowded the dying saint's chamber. "What were his very last words on earth to be"? "Brethren, you wish to know in what faith I am dying! If every drop of blood in me were vocal, endowed with speech, each such drop would cry aloud that I am dying full of joy and peace, believing in the redemption of Israel, through the Lord Jesus Christ." He spoke no more on earth after that.[8]

"Blush, Calumny! and write on his tomb, If honest eulogy can spare thee room, Thy deep repentance!"

The Jewish Codruses and Welsteds are very fond of telling their readers what certain " Christians "think of " the remnant." Let me put them in possession of the view of " the remnant " touching this part of the story. As for the opinion which the spiritually ignorant, i.e., the mere nominal

Gentile "Christian," forms of the Hebrew Christians, picked up from some anti-Christian Jew, it is to the maligned a matter of perfect indifference. Those "Christians" can as much understand the soul's conversion, as the born blind can comprehend the beauty of the colours of the rainbow, or as the born deaf can appreciate the melody and harmony of the " Creation," or as the poor demented maniac can understand the connection between the subject and predicate of a logical syllogism. Those "Christians" are probably altogether ignorant that:—

"Twas a Jew that shed His blood
Our pardon to procure;
'Tis a Jew that sits above,
Our blessings to secure."

The fact would probably be new to those " Christians" that Hebrew Christians were moved by the Spirit of God to pen the sublime records contained in the New Testament. Such "Christians" may well sit at the feet of anti-Christian Jews, and learn of them how to revile "the Israel of God."

Those Jewish masters, along with their Gentile disciples, affect to sneer at Hebrew Christians, because the latter have renounced the religion which was invented by the Talmudists, and withal so repugnant to sound reason, revelation, and common sense in which they were born.[9] It is a pet, though a very silly, phrase, with such sapient philosophers, that a man ought to die in the religion in which he was born, be it ever so untenable; and that, notwithstanding that revelation has made known ONLY ONE, "Holy, Just, and Good!" Were the aboriginal Britons wrong in having given up their hideous and murderous form of idolatry for " the glorious Gospel of the living God V In what condition would now the British sages have been, if all their ancestors had died worshippers of Odin, or Thor 1 Were Copernicus and Galileo wrong in accepting a different creed, with respect to the solar system, from that in which they were born, bred, and educated 1 No, no; principles are not necessarily sound, simply because our forefathers espoused them; nor are creeds inevitably tenable, or orthodox, because they were instilled into our minds from our cradles.

The goodwill which the Hebrew Christians value they possess. The spiritually-educated Gentile Christians know full well that the former were the founders of the Christian Church. They are well aware that the " Israel of God " are, at the present moment, most important witnesses for God's truth in the midst of a perverse and gainsaying generation, and they treat them accordingly. Let me just adduce an illustration. Last year was held a very influential meeting of the clergy of the deanery of East Chester, at Gateshead, under the presidency of the Archdeacon of Durham. There were about thirty clergymen present; the subject for consideration was the "Convocation of the two Provinces." Who was selected to draw up a paper on the important question ? We were informed through the public press, metropolitan and provincial, that the Rev. Samuel Asher Herbert, Rector of St. James's, Gateshead, " read an able and interesting paper upon the defects in the constitution of Convocation of the Church." The paper was unanimously adopted by the meeting of learned and Christian gentlemen; and those who have read the Brochure must pronounce it a masterpiece.[9] Who is the Rector of St. James's, Gateshead! An Anglo-Hebrew Christian grandson of the founder of the Bedford synagogue. He was introduced into the Christian Church, by the sacred ordinance of baptism, in 1836, at the ripe age of twenty-three; the officiating minister being the catechumen's uncle, himself a former rabbi of Bedford. Upwards of twenty persons from that single family are now consistent members of the Christian Church.

A worthy relative of mine, at Paris, has published this year a clever work, entitled " Eighteen Centuries of Christian Prejudice,"[10] being an appeal on the part of the Jews to the Gentiles, against the long and deep-rooted hatred which the latter entertain towards the former; as well as a protest against the unjust calumnies with which the Gentiles aspersed the Jews during those long eighteen hundred years. Mutatis mutandis, it would be an apposite title for an appeal, on

the part of " the remnant," to the residuary of the Jewish nation, on the same score which my Jewish kinsman pleads with Gentile Christians.


Let me now, after this long, though apropos digression, return to the principal topic of the Essay. Every well-informed scholar must maintain that neither the representatives of the Talmudical, nor those of the "Reformed" Jews, are qualified to write an unprejudiced history of the Anglo-Hebrews, or of the Hebrew nation anywhere. I would go a step farther, and maintain that the eighteen centuries of prejudice has disqualified the representatives of the residuary I mean the Christ-rejecting Jews, to write soberly, critically, with a "literary conscience," on any subject which appertains, directly or indirectly, to their system of theology. As an illustration, I need only mention the notoriously highly-coloured and romantic article, which appeared a couple of years ago in the " Quarterly Review," under the title Talmud. I dare say, I may be reminded of one of Seneca's old " saws," "*Gallus in suo sterquilinio plurimum potest.*" But I venture to affirm that no bantam has ever had the assurance to make such a jubilant noise, over so unsavoury a heap notwithstanding the few-and-far between grains which may be scratched out of it as some Jews have made over the Talmud since that article was published. The marvel is, that many sceptical Gentile "Christians" should take the writer's *ipse diosit* for sober truth.

"Then where is the Niebuhr for an ANGLO-HEBREW HISTORY to be found?" I answer, fearlessly, amongst Anglo-Hebrew Christians. The majority of those men have passed through a discipline which fits them for the critical examination of the most complex questions. They were bom and bred in a system which they were led to hold as utterly incontrovertible; by a process of most scrutinising enquiry, and searching examination of evidence pro and con, they have at last arrived at the conviction that the Judaism which they had hitherto professed, was not only defective, or incomplete, but also fearfully corrupt. So strong was their conviction, that they braved the greatest losses that a Jew can possibly experience, even the severance of the nearest and tenderest ties. Nothing short of the most irrefragable and overwhelming evidence could have induced them to become obedient to **THE FAITH** in the covenant completed on Calvary. The structure of the Hebrew Christian's mind is such as to refuse to take in any theory, or statement, on trust; it must weigh everything in the justice balances; it must try every thought in the most refining crucible. The Hebrew Christians are, moreover, jealous of their nation's honour; they take every opportunity to point out that which is great, good, and noble amongst the members of the Jewish community. Though the latter revile, the former bless; the latter persecute, the former bear patiently; the latter defame, the former live down.

" But we are constantly assured, by the Jews themselves, that only the poorest and most ignorant of their nation change their religion, and that from sordid motives." There can be no doubt that there are some poor and ignorant Jews in the Christian Church, as well as in the Jewish synagogue, and possibly, now and then, an unprincipled Jew, as well as an unprincipled Gentile, trades upon religion; but such are rare instances indeed amongst Hebrew Christians. Though Christianity does not profess to improve a poor man's temporal prospects, it professes, and carries the profession into effect, to improve the ignorant and wicked man's mental and moral character. The young woman, Esther Lyons, whose case attracted lately so much attention, is now a far better educated person than she was whilst in her unhappy home, at Cardiff. Such cases, however, as I have said, are but very seldom to be met with amongst Hebrew Christians. Their Jewish enemies try to persuade you, Gentile Christians, that the rare exception is the rule. Be on your guard; take not the communications of your informants, on that subject, as emanations from oracles of truth. The enlightened, better educated, and liberal-minded Jews do not treat those calumniators as oracles of truth they feel an irresistible shrinking from the touch of such bigots, though they, now and then, hold out a reluctant hand to the slanderers. The enlightened, well informed Jew is no bigot, no railer, no false accuser. There are noble and impartial spirits amongst the Jews everywhere, who, with praiseworthy liberality, do justice to such of their brethren as have seen reason to recognise the Divine authority of the New Testament.


I will illustrate this, my statement, by a couple of quotations from Jewish works of this present century.

The late Rabbi Isaac Beer Levinsohn, of Kremnitz, in Russia, in his well-written Hebrew work **Ephes Damim**, purporting to be a series of conversations, at Jerusalem, between a patriarch of the Greek Church, Simmias by name, and a chief rabbi of the Jews, Abraham Maimonides by name, concerning the foul charge against the Jews, of using Christian blood, which was then revived. Rabbi I. B. Levin sohn puts the following candid confession into the mouth of Maimonides:



The majority of converts now-a-day are from the nobles of the children of Israel; and are generally learned in various languages and sciences, or wonderfully wealthy And with difficulty can now-a-day a convert be found, who is either unlearned, or uninformed."

That northern rabbi's sentiment found an echo in the mind of a rabbi in the east, in the very place where anti-Christian Jews were so fearfully branded, at Smyrna. (Rev. ii. 8 10.) I happened to be, in 1848, in " the queen of the cities of Anatolia" known in the days of yore as " the crown of Ionia," " the ornament of Asia." I found the Jews numerous there, learned, and generally well-to-do. I paid a visit to their chief, and really great, Rabbi Chayim Palagi. That master in Israel received me cordially. In the course of a long interview, we conversed on various subjects touching the state of parties, and their respective conditions, amongst the Jews in Europe. I particularly dwelt on the three classes into which the Anglo-Hebrews are ranged, Talmudical, "Reformed," and Christian. I asked the venerable man whether he had heard of the interest which the AngloHebrew Christians took in the sufferings of their anti-christian brethren at Damascus, when the latter were accused, a few years ago, of murdering a Roman Catholic priest, in order to secure his blood for the Passover festival 1 The question put the rabbi in the very best of humours, for he had an opportunity of referring to a work of his, which he had published on the subject, in the shape of a sermon. He spoke in the same breath of Sir Moses Montefiore, and of Mr. Pieritz now Rector of Hardwicke, diocese of Ely the Anglo-Hebrew Christian who personally pleaded the cause of the persecuted Jews before the pacha. The rabbi owned that the latter did more than the former for the exculpation of the Hebrews from the foul calumny. He went up to one of his bookshelves, and took down a volume it was his published sermon, which he dedicated to Sir Moses Montefiore and read out the following passage:



"And even the many of our wise men who have changed their religion, does any one of them believe in this thing]" &c., &c..

" This will convince you," said R. Chayim Palagi to me, " that I have great respect for Jewish Christians. I do not deny that many wise and many learned are to be found amongst them. May I ask you to accept this volume, as a memento of sincere friendship on my part 1" Of course I accepted the book.[11]

The late Sir J. L. Goldsmid, a Jewish baronet, when addressing a meeting, on the 28th of May, 1844, respecting the Jewish Literary and Scientific Institution, said, " I will just mention a fact which has just come to my knowledge, that out of the one hundred and forty-two professors in the Berlin University, fourteen of them are Jews, certainly converted ones, but still Jews." The candid Jew everywhere acknowledges that the change of sentiment, for the better, amongst Gentile Christians, towards the Jewish community, is owing, in a great measure, to the pleadings of Hebrew Christians in its behalf.

Such exceptional Israelites as the three examples which I have just adduced, are neither scarce nor uncommon. Unfortunately, however, those enlightened and liberal-minded Jews do not care to become historians of their nation. There are many things in the annals of the people of which free thinking Jews I use the term not in an opprobrious sense have reason to be ashamed. They know, moreover, the enormous capacity for abuse which bigotry possesses and employs. Not having gone through the school and discipline of most Hebrew Christians, they have not the courage of the latter. They feel somewhat like the late Duke of Wellington, when he said to Rogers, " I should like to tell the truth, but if I did, I should be torn to pieces, here or abroad." Indeed there are many highly respectable Jewish families, in this country, who have joined the Church, and keep the fact an inviolable secret. The Nicodemus type of Hebrew Christians was at all times to be found amongst the Jewish people.

At the close of the year 1862, when I resided in Huntingdon, I was invited to London to baptize privately a whole Sephardim family, consisting of twenty-two members aged father and mother, six sons, three daughters, four daughters-in-law, and seven grandchildren. The interesting catechumens particularly stipulated that the sacrament should be administered to them under the seal of secrecy, as they had an irresistible antipathy to publicity. As a clergyman of the Church of England, I could not minister in another man's parish without the permission of " the powers that be." I was obliged, therefore, to put myself into communication, on the subject, with the then Bishop of London the present Archbishop of Canterbury and the Rev. H. Howarth, Rector of St. George's, Hanover Square. To both those authorities I have made known all the particulars of that most interesting case. My communications to them were, respectively, dated November 24th, 27th, and December 1st, 1862.

There are certain impertinent persons amongst the anti-Christian Jews, who have the assurance to ask for the publication of the names of the Hebrew Christians in England! What for ? That they might indulge in coarse abuse against some of the best men, and most consistent Christians, when a parliamentary election shall again take place. To vilify other Hebrew Christian candidates as they have maligned Mr. Samtida!!!

There are, however, many eminent Hebrew Christians, whose moral prowess is invincible. "Truth against the world," though only recently adopted by the Laureate, has been their motto for upwards of eighteen hundred years. A reliable, sound, critical, and unbiassed history of the Jews, either in this land or in other lands, can only be got from the pens of the learned amongst the " Israelites indeed." A college for such men not the bantling of a society a chartered college, under the government and chancellorship of distinguished Anglo-Hebrew Christians, might prove the nursery of the purest literature and soundest science.

How do I propose to raise the money for the required fabric and endowment! "By Act of Parliament! Repeal the Spoliation Act of *Anno Primo Victoria Regine, cap. XLVI*. Let the vast accumulated property of the "*Domus Conversorum*," in Chancery Lane, and Fetter Lane, be restored to its proper object; and a well-endowed college with its chapel, and residences for professors, students, porters is ready to hand. The new Public Record Office would not be a bad college to begin with. Why not add "Sion College" to it!

"When the Hebrew Christian, Sir Francis Palgrave, was appointed Master of the Rolls, some facetious humourist, at the time, put a question in the "*Notes and Queries*," to the effect, "How long since was it that the property of the ' Domus Conversorum ' had been restored to its original

purpose"? When I read it, I could not help observing, "Many a true word spoken in jest." Some may probably be disposed to treat this, my proposition, as a jest. Let me assure my readers that I am thoroughly and soberly in earnest.

Is there no cause for courageous earnestness in the matter! Nay, has not the time arrived for Anglo-Hebrew Christians to be the most outspoken, of all her Majesty's subjects, in this land! There is no department in the realm whether it be political, ecclesiastical, civil, literary, or commercial which some Hebrew Christians do not adorn. The "Israelites indeed" have their representatives in the Senate, at the Bar, in the Church, on the Exchange, and in the Mart. The arts and the sciences count the children of " the remnant" amongst their most genuine supporters. Thank God, the Anglo-Hebrew Christians are ornaments to every profession, quality, or trade with which their names happen to be associated.

Notes

1. *The most erudite, philosophical, and masterly exposure of Voltaire's attacks in his Philosophical Dictionary and other works upon the Hebrew Scriptures and nation, has been published, just a century ago, under the title of "Letters de quelques Juifs, Portuguais, Allemandes, et Polonais." The work has gone through many editions, in the original, since it was first published. In England, or rather in Ireland, a very indifferent translation of it appeared soon after the first original edition was published, and has been out of print a few years short of a hundred. A new translation of the last French edition, edited with ability, so as to embrace the sceptical lucubrations of recent years, would prove a most valuable defence of THE FAITH, at this present day. I am glad to say that Lady Pigot has courageously undertaken the task of TRANSLATOR and EDITOR.*
2. *Hosea ii. 23.*
3. *Rom. xi. 5.*
4. *See Note* p. 22.*
5. *Rom. xi. 1.*
6. *Mr. J. Burt, of the Public Record Office, is now engaged upon a catalogue, for the printer, of the ancient deeds in all the above-named archives; he assures me that the Hebrew Shetars are both numerous and interesting.*
7. *As a specimen, see Appendix F*
8. *A portrait of that Christian Israelite appears as a frontispiece to the first edition of my "Fundamental Principles of Modern Judaism Investigated."*
9. *I am revising and enlarging the last edition of my "Fundamental Principles of Modern Judaism Investigated." It will appear under the more comprehensive title, "The Whole Plan of Post-Biblical Judaism."*
10. *"Dix-huit Siecles de Prejuges Chretiens," Par Leon Hollamdersky.*
11. *"A Pilgrimage to the Land of my Fathers." Vol. II., pp. 159, 160.*



**THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE
CHURCH**

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE

**"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the
Word of the Lord from Jerusalem"
(Isaiah 2:3)."**

