

The New Ensign

No. 50 October 2013

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,



Bodiam Castle - Sussex England

As we go to press, another very successful identity conference held in Sussex, England, has just been concluded, attended by New Ensign readers from the UK, Germany, Holland and the USA.

The basic aim of these conferences is to exchange ideas and information on the current political situation, in the light of Yahweh's word given to us in the scriptures. Many church organisations regard politics as a no go area, but we would remind them that the Bible is 78% political - that is concerning governance.

A main subject of discussion was the lamp stand holder of the Tabernacle with it 7 lamps, which most people will think of as the Jewish Menorah. However, a study of the

Scriptures reveals that it cannot look like the one as is used by the Jews. The design is based on the almond and its flower, Although there is insufficient detail in the Scriptures to know how it exactly looked, our brother Matthew in Bavaria, with his construction skills, is in the process of making a reconstruction - the work done so far was shown to the meeting.

Pastor Eli James addressed the conference on the subject of the 2 witnesses (the 2 olive branches and the 2 candle sticks) which are the 2 Houses of Israel and the Old and New Testament. This tied in well with the conference theme of the lamp-stand.

A more detailed article will be published in the New Ensign on the lamp-stand and 2 witnesses in a later edition.

In the meantime delegates have departed to their respective homes praising Yahweh and looking forward to next years conference somewhere in Europe, venue has yet to be decided.

Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

	Page
Answers to Objections About Israel Being Exclusive - Arnold Kennedy	3
Yahweh's Solar Calendar 2 - Pastor Eli James	6
Harold Stough Notes - The Carnac Stones	11
Robert A Taft and the Nuremberg Trails	12
Letters And Views	14
The Australian Constitution is Invalid	19
Wheat Madness - Carolanne Wright	26
The Woolwich Terror Hoax - Nick Kollerstrum	28
The NWO Plans Exposed	34
The Murderous "Liberation" of France	36
The Exact Location of Jerusalem	37
The Unbearable Shame Of Cameron's 'Torah' Government	37

Answers to Objections About Israel Being Exclusive

Arnold Kennedy



THERE are two opposing views that colour almost everyone's views of things like education, politics, philosophy, law, racism and religion. These two views are essentially Nationalism and Internationalism.

The latter view includes the "Brotherhood of Man" idea that pre-supposes all people are brothers who are the same in God's sight, in all ways. This idea is taught to children at Sunday school in the words, "Red and Yellow, Black and White, all are precious in His sight, Jesus loves the little children of the world". Because this idea is the standard teaching of churches, it is almost universally accepted. Not only is this the teaching of the churches, it is the dogma of World Government, Socialism and the New Age. Thus people are being pre-conditioned to think one thing from four ideologies, each of which oppose the Law of God. It is very hard for a pre-conditioned person to come to think differently from their long-held beliefs, especially when they are hammered with a belief from on every side.

But, for the few who do stop to think about the Bible, they come to see that it is about the one chosen people of Israel, as descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob/Israel, and that other peoples are mentioned only as they have a connection with Israel. They should quickly see that the promises and covenants made by God to Israel could not possibly be fulfilled in any different peoples. Paul does confirm in whom these promises are fulfilled in Acts 13:32-33, "And we declare unto you glad tidings, how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children". There is absolutely no valid evidence of any later change in what is commonly called the New Testament Age.

Through the New Testament there are many topics and words that originate in the Old

Testament. In the Old Testament there are words such as promises, know, elect, called, chosen, seed and variations of them which are generally agreed to refer only to the Israel nation. We have to ask if there is adequate reason to suggest a switch that might allow the equivalent Greek words to apply to some multi-racial church in the New Testament. Churches dream up doctrines and interpretations to circumnavigate these things and people accept these because they have been pre-conditioned to do so.

When presented with anything different, they have varying reactions, and we will systematically look at the most common of these. To use words like deception and another Gospel cannot be done lightly. These are very serious considerations and if the weight of biblical evidence is accepted, then the popular teachings must have cultish elements. The implications of this conclusion are vast and almost devastating to many Christians and churches. It has bearing on missionary activity as well. But, please note well, it has not been said or suggested that all the non-Israel nations should not be made subject to the Law of God. Neither has it been said that they are condemned by God. This matter is a consideration elsewhere. When we come to God so loved the world as taught, traditionalists have try to immediately get around every reference to the exclusiveness of Israel (in both Testaments). They do this to try to change the nation of Israel into some multi-racial church, or otherwise they want to say there are both a national Israel and a Church consisting of non-Israelites despite the obvious contradiction. So they are operating outside of the given foundation of the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets, and thus must be wrong.

God has told us that He will do nothing He does not reveal first to his servants the prophets of Israel. He will fulfil His Word to Israel. Any mention of the exclusiveness of Israel will cause immediate (and common) reactions among Christians who think they know their Bible. Now we can have a look at some of these common reactions. Only brief comments are made on these reactions, because they are all expanded at various places elsewhere in "The Exclusiveness of Israel" by this author.

REACTION ONE [THE MOST COMMON]

“Yes, that is true, but God was speaking to them, and not to us. Now God is speaking to everyone”.

This would be the thought of the majority of church-going people today, and is a thought that is wrongly encouraged. So should we go along with that reaction just because it sounds right? As soon as it is asked, “When in this church age did God’s speaking change from ‘them’ to ‘us’?” there is no answer at all. If this question cannot be answered from Scripture, then it has no basis. It would be profitable for any who would like to retain this particular thought and reaction, to look at the root word *grapho* that is used in the New Testament 194 times. It is used in the expression it is written and refers to the Old Testament Scriptures in reference to Israel. It would be profitable too, to look at written in a concordance where it will be seen afresh that many times the basis of all doctrine is it is written. It is written means “written in the Old Testament” and so these quotes refer to Israel.

If the basis of a belief or doctrine appears to be in the New Testament alone, it must be suspect because it is not written in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets. This is important because there are a number of such ideas that are generally accepted, but which do not have it is written as a basis. In fact it might be said that much of what is debated has no foundation at all in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets. Some traditional teachings cannot present a clear pattern of simple direct statements from the Old Testament to support these views. They rely mainly on fabricated “types”, “shadows” and analogies. Man’s tradition therefore is not established in the mouth of two or three witnesses as is required by Scripture. Israel cannot be changed to mean non-Israel just by making such a statement without having a foundation.

If a New Testament book, written in the ‘Christian age’, [for example, James’ Epistle to the Twelve Tribes] was written and addressed to Israelites, then either the writer was wrong or there would need to have been something that happened since Pentecost in order for men to be able to say, God is now speaking to everyone [meaning every race]. There is no such happening!

REACTION TWO



“Yes, but Israel has now become the church, so all these things belong to the church”.

This says that “Israel” and “The Church” no longer have any connection and that Israel has vanished. The church is supposed to consist of non-Israelites, the so-called “Gentiles”. However, the Hebrew word *goi*, upon which the “gentiles” thought is based, is also used of Israel. So *goi* does not always equate with so-called non-Israel “gentiles”. The whole subject is simplified when we accept what we find when we build upon the right foundation and have the Cornerstone. Eph 2:20 And are built upon the foundation of the apostles and the prophets, The Cornerstone, the prophets and the apostles all agree. Since “apostles” is put before “prophets”, this Scripture is used to say that New Testament apostles have new prophecy and doctrine that was not contained or forecast within the Old Testament. Just to say something like that does not make it fact. In the books of Galatians and Romans in particular, modern teaching says that the Apostle Paul has made a turnaround from what is recorded in Acts where he tells King Agrippa that he spoke nothing other than what was said in the law and the prophets! In Romans and Galatians he is now supposed to be writing to certain so-called Gentiles who are supposed to be non-Israelites. The internal statements show that each letter in the New Testament is written only to Israelites. This is discussed in more detail in “That Unfortunate Word “Gentile”, a chapter of the book, “The Exclusiveness of Israel”.

Let us look again at the Apostle Paul’s famous speech in Acts 13 which was made long after Jesus’ death and resurrection. Here, right in the New Testament age, Israel is still a genetic term. There is still no sign of “The Church” as this is commonly perceived. Consider all the following highlighted words from Acts 13:17-42:

v17 *The God of this people of Israel chose our fathers,*

v23 *Of this man's seed hath God, according to his promise, raised unto Israel a Saviour, Jesus.*

v24 *Of the baptism of repentance to all the people of Israel.*

v26 *Men and brethren, children of the stock of Abraham,*

v32,3 *..how that the promise which was made unto the fathers, God hath fulfilled the same unto us their children,*

v39 *And by him all that believe are justified from all things, from which ye could not be justified by the law of Moses.*



In the last verse we see the first “all” that people most like to generalise to include everyone on Earth. But the “ye”, and the context, nails it down to Israel alone as the ones to whom the Law of Moses was given. All the

highlighted words in these verses give a very specific definition of who is being addressed in the New Testament; it is always to a genetic Israel! We can no longer say that these children of the fathers, (Abraham, Isaac and Jacob), come from other stock. These are the people who had been under the Law of Moses! In the above passage, Paul was addressing those whom he described as MEN OF ISRAEL, who feared God. “The Church” has not replaced Israel, and is formed out of Israel only.

REACTION THREE

“The Gentiles are now adopted into Israel, so the promises made to Israel are now made to everyone who believes in Jesus”.

A short answer to this reaction is not possible because there are so many aspects to cover. These are covered as individual sections on “gentiles”, “the church”, “strangers” and “adoption”, and the promises made to Abraham and his seed, in “The Exclusiveness of Israel”. As mentioned above, the words translated as “Gentiles” in both Hebrew and Greek are also used of Israel. This can quickly and simply be verified by looking at a Young’s Concordance.

REACTION FOUR.

“It is said that the middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile has been broken down so that all are now one in Jesus.”

Here we have another hinge-point of much of what is taught in the evangelical churches today. But, in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets, the partition is found to be between The House of Israel and The House of Judah. It is not between Israelites and non-Israelites [see Isaiah 11:13 Ephraim shall not vex Judah any more]. In all of the New Testament Scriptures quoted above where the exclusiveness of Israel was shown, all the people addressed by Paul, the Apostle to the Gentiles, are clearly shown to be Israelites. All the books of the Bible provide the same evidence. It will be shown that the House of Israel had been “scattered” among the nations and that any pattern showing a gathering or the joining together of Israel with non-Israel races cannot be found in prophecy. What is found frequently in Scripture is the joining of the House of Israel with the House of Judah. The divorced House of Israel is grafted back into the un-divorced House of Judah –[Romans 11:17-24]. Only an olive can be grafted back into an Olive. Note what the word “back” infers; they were together once before, prior to Israel being divided into two kingdoms.

REACTION FIVE

“It is said that the middle wall of partition between Jew and Gentile has been broken down so that all are now one in Christ.”

Here we have another hinge-point of much of what is taught in the evangelical churches today. But, in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets, the partition is found to be between The House of Israel and The House of Judah. It is not between Israelites and non-Israelites [see Isaiah

11:13 Ephraim shall not vex Judah any more]. In all of the New Testament Scriptures quoted above where the exclusiveness of Israel was shown, all the people addressed by Paul, the Apostle to the Gentiles, are clearly shown to be Israelites. All the books of the Bible provide the same evidence. It will be shown that the House of Israel had been “scattered” among the nations and that any pattern showing a gathering or the joining together of Israel with non-Israel races cannot be found in prophecy. What is found frequently in Scripture is the joining of the House of Israel with the House of Judah. The divorced House of Israel is grafted back into the un-divorced House of Judah –[Romans 11:17-24]. Only an Olive can be grafted back into an Olive. Note what the word “back” infers; they were together once before, prior to Israel being divided into two kingdoms.

REACTION SIX

“The seed of Abraham has now become the seed of Jesus it is now a spiritual seed”. The promises were made to Abraham’s seed, but not to Jesus, who came to fulfil them. The promises were made to Abraham and his seed, which is named in Isaac [Gen 21:12]. The promises were

therefore given to the Israel people as a whole. Now, as Jesus was born into Israel, He is regarded as the seed of Abraham and of David [Matt 1:1]. But the promises were not specifically given to Jesus as the ‘one seed’ of Galatians 3:16. And, of course, Jesus had no ‘seed’. The word “seed” is always used in the physical sense in Hebrew and Greek as a collective noun. If Jesus were that one seed, then everyone between Abraham and Jesus would be disinherited, including Isaac and Jacob. Israel then, could not have existed as the seed of Abraham through Isaac and Jacob, if Jesus were that seed. A more accurate translation of Gal 3:16 reads:

And to Abraham and the seed of him the promises were spoken. He says not, ‘And to the seed of thee’ as of many, but as of one. And to the seed of thee which is anointed.

It is the seed of Abraham that is anointed [christ] here, just the same as in the Old Testament, which also talks about “the holy seed”.

To be continued

Yahweh’s Solar Calendar (2)

By Pastor Eli James



Evidence From the Apocrypha

IN searching the Apocrypha for more information on the Calendar, I was hoping to find some statements about moon-reckoning. My expectations were satisfied beyond my wildest dreams, when I discovered this passage, from the **Book of Jubilees**:

*“Thus it is engraved and ordained in the tablets of heaven, and there is no transgression from one year to another. And in this number, **three hundred sixty-four days**, and the year shall be complete, and **the fixed date** of their days and their festivals shall not be corrupted, for everything transpires in them according to their testimony, and they (Israel) shall not miss a day*


*or corrupt a festival. But if they do transgress and do not observe them according to his commandment, then will be corrupted **all their fixed dates, and the years will waver in consequence, and also their times and their years, and they will transgress their ordinances.**” (Book of Jubilees, 6:29-31.) {Translated by E.J. Goodrich, 1888}*

The Book of Jubilees was purportedly composed by Moses, so I will refer to Moses as the author. The purpose of the Book of Jubilees is to categorize the events of Scripture into the Jubilee periods in which they occurred. Thus, the Book of Jubilees forces us to think in periods of 49 years, from Adam to the Judgment Day! (Interestingly, the **Catholic Encyclopaedia** refers to this as a “fanciful arrangement”! Like, they know better than Moses!!!) In the verses quoted above, we are assured by Moses that Yahweh’s Solar Calendar is to be **FIXED**, that

is, the same pattern is to be repeated year after year, without deviation.

Now, observe:

*“For I know, and from now on I shall make it known to thee, and not from my heart, **but thus is written in a book before me and is ordained in the tablets of heaven,** the division of days, that they forget not the festivals of my covenant and walk according to the festivals of the Gentiles [nations, which would include Babylon! – Eli], after their errors and after their ignorance. **And there will be those who will make observations of the moon, for this one (the moon) corrupts the stated times and comes out earlier each year by ten days. And in this way they will corrupt the years and will observe a wrong day as the day of testimony and a corrupted festival day, and everyone will mix holy days with unclean ones and unclean with holy; for they will err as to months and Sabbaths and festivals and jubilees.**” (Verses 33-35.)*



The Book of Jubilees is not without its translational errors, which are essentially the same as those of the Bible, noted above. Moses would not have used the word, ‘Gentiles,’ for example, which is a Latin word. The Hebrew is *goyim*, meaning simply, “nations.” Which

nations must always be derived from the context of the passage.

By any definition, **THE MOON SIMPLY DOES NOT QUALIFY** as a “luminary”! And from the Book of Jubilees, we have Apocryphal prophetic evidence that moon-reckoning would corrupt Yahweh’s Calendar!!!

Those of you who are wondering why I left out Verse 32 need an explanation. First, here is the Verse:

“And all the children of Israel will forget and will not find the paths of the years, and will forget the new moon and the Sabbaths and the

festivals, and in all the order of the years they will err.”

I underscored “new moon” because it should read either “new month” or “month” or, simply, “renewal,” as the Hebrew word, *chodesh*, means “month,” not “new moon,” as discussed above. If this were not true, then verse 32 would be in DIRECT CONTRADICTION OF VERSE 34, which says, “*And there will be those who will make observations of the moon, for this one (the moon) **CORRUPTS THE STATED TIMES...**” **It is obvious to me that Moses is instructing us to take no notice of the moon with regard to the Calendar.** Either Moses contradicts himself when he speaks of observing “new moons” in verse 32, or the translation is corrupt for the same reason that it is corrupt in the Bible.*

There is also the additional problem with the Book of Jubilees in that we have only the *Ethiopic* version, which was translated from a *Greek* version. As far as I know, there is no extant *Hebrew* copy of **Jubilees**. The Goodrich translation is an *English* translation of an *Ethiopic* version from a *Greek* text translated from the original *Hebrew*, so we are three times removed from the original Hebrew; and I am sure that Goodrich was operating under the same Masoretic assumptions as the KJV translators. Thus, we have the false translation of *chodesh*, rendered as “new moon” in almost every instance. Please keep this in mind when reading the Book of Jubilees and any other Israelite text.

I agree 100% with Kenneth Lent that the expression, “new moon,” is a false translation by the rabbis of Viperism (Judaism). Just as their interpolations (false translations) have infected the King James and other translations of the Bible, they have also infected the translations of the Apocrypha.

Hallowing the Sabbath

Exodus 12:2 defines the first month of the year:

“This month shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you.”

Exodus 13:4 further clarifies:

“This day came ye out in the month of Abib.”

From these two verses, we know that the first month of the year is called Abib.

The question remains, which is the first Sabbath Day of the year?

Speaking of the priests whose job it is to cleanse the Temple, II Chron. 29:17 declares:

“Now they began on the first day of the first month to sanctify, and on the eighth day of the month came they to the porch of Yahweh: so they sanctified the house of Yahweh in eight days.”

So, the annual ritual cleansing of the Temple occurred from the *first day through the eighth day of the first month of each year.*

In Exodus 20:11, we are told of the hallowing of the Sabbath Day. The words ‘hallow’ and ‘sanctify’ are simply different English renditions of the same Hebrew word, *qadash* (Strong’s #6942). So, we are told of the hallowing or sanctification of days 1 and 8.

Now, to Numbers 28: 16-17. The language is a little confusing, but once we understand what is being said, we know that the 15th day of the First Month is also a Sabbath.


“And in the fourteenth day of the first month [the day BEFORE the Sabbath] is the Passover of Yahweh. And in the fifteenth day of this month is the feast: seven days shall unleavened bread be eaten. In the first day [of the Feast, meaning the 15th day of the month, which is the same as the first day of the Feast] shall be an holy convocation; ye shall do no manner of servile work.”

So, here we have proof positive that the 1st, 8th and 15th days of Abib are Sabbaths. Now, all we have to do is to continue our count of every seventh day for the next Sabbath.

Earth’s Orbit Around the Sun, 24-Hour Days and the Calendar

Regarding the first day of the year, I cannot find a direct biblical reference to either the Spring Equinox or the first day of Spring, although Scott Vaught has argued that the paleo-Hebrew has the word *abibix*, which means, specifically, the

Spring Equinox. This will be discussed in greater detail later. For the moment, I will simply say that the Spring Equinox is the basic reference point of the Spring Season; and it would only be logical to pick that day as the reference point, or marker day, for the New Year. Vaught, Raber and Russell argue that this day should be the first day of the first month. Pastor Lent and I argue that *abibix* is, in fact, an intercalary day, day #365, which is not counted in the 364-day year, as defined in the book of Jubilees.



Since the year is defined as consisting of 364 days, **there has to be a day which is not counted**, and that would be *abibix*, the very day on which the Spring Equinox occurs. Later, I will provide another reason why this must be so.

On the day of the Spring Equinox (*abibix*), there are equally twelve hours of daylight and twelve hours of night-time (in both the southern and northern hemispheres). Also, the shadow of a sundial would point directly west at sunrise and directly east at sunset; and there would be no sideways shadow at noon. For this reason, the Equinoxes are natural starting points for any system of counting days. So, regarding *abibix* as an Intercalary Day, the very next day will be the first day of the month of Abib (also called *Nisan*), the first day of the 364-day count.

Scott Vaught has argued that the Hebrews did not have names for the months; and I confess that I have to concur with this opinion. Other scholars (in encyclopaedias and elsewhere) have also pointed out that the Hebrews borrowed these names from the Babylonians and other heathen nations. Vaught has stated in correspondence with me that the word *Abib*, especially when preceded by the article *the* in the Hebrew text, means “the Spring Equinox,” not “the month of Abib.” However, for simplicity’s sake, I will use the names of the months as they appear in

the KJV and other sources. But there is no reason why we cannot have a totally numeric system of designation. Hence, Abib 1 would be 1.1. Ziv 2 would be 2.2, etc. It seems to me that having names for the months allows us to keep them separated more easily, as designations such as "Month 1" and "Month 2" could be subject to typographical errors. If someone typed the wrong number for the Month, gross errors would occur.

The Jewish calendar, which is Babylonian in origin, incorporated the new moon into its calendar by waiting for the **FIRST NEW MOON after the Spring Equinox** to start/continue their Sabbath count. But even this is problematic, because the rabbis are trying to force this new moon reckoning into a continuous 7-Day Cycle of Sunday through Saturday; and the New Moon may not occur exactly on the 7th Day (every Saturday), **so there is some fudging going on here.** This fudging actually requires that the Jews have to have an occasional THIRTEENTH MONTH, four times in every 19-year cycle. But the Bible does not record -- nor does the Book of Jubilees -- that the Israelites ever had a thirteenth month. They had twelve months of 30 days each, plus intercalary days that were added to make up for the fact that a solar year (365 & 1/4 days) cannot be divided evenly by the number 7. The Book of Jubilees very clearly states that we are to observe a calendar that consists of "364 days." (Jubilees, 6:29-30.) The function of the intercalary days in the Solar Calendar will be explained later.

Every calendar system has to deal with the fact that an actual year is 365 & 1/4 days long. But 52 weeks of 7 days give us only 364 days. This is why the Julian Calendar had to be rectified by the Gregorian Calendar, as the Julian calendar's first day of Spring would fall earlier and earlier each year, according to their calendar, since that calendar did not account for the extra 1 & 1/4 days it took for the earth to orbit around the Sun. In other words, Spring arrived according to the Spring Equinox every year, but the Julian calendar started each new year 1 & 1/4 days too soon. Farmers eventually realized that planting season and harvest season no longer lined up with their calendar.

In our era, we deal with this problem by adding an extra day every fourth year (February 29). A year that has this extra day is called a Leap Year.

Leap years correct for the fact that earth's orbit is 365 & 1/4 days, not an even number of 24-hour days. The 1/4 day must be factored into the




calendar, or the calendar will no longer accurately reflect the Equinoxes or Solstices.

The Roman Catholic Church, for whatever reason, chose to incorporate moon reckoning for its seasons by choosing the FULL MOON AFTER THE SPRING EQUINOX. This is why the Roman Catholic Easter jumps around the calendar so much. Don't ask me where this idea came from. I don't know and I don't care!!! It's an absurd idea! More moon worship, no doubt!

Once you understand the rules of calculation of Yahweh's Solar Calendar, you will be pleasantly surprised to discover that it resolves the extra 1 & 1/4 day per year problem. The problem for modern society, however, is that the Solar Calendar does not incorporate a perpetual 7-Day Cycle. The fact is that neither the Hebrews of old, nor the Judahites of Christ's time had named days of the week. They just counted the days to get to the next Sabbath. After 364 days, they just added an extra day while waiting for the Spring Equinox to return. The 365th day was usually the day of "the Abibix," the Spring Equinox, except for leap years, when an additional waiting day had to be observed. **There was no such thing as a perpetual Sunday through Saturday reckoning.**

In other words, the 7-day count of the Hebrew Calendar accommodated the actual space-time factors of orbital circulation around the Sun, plus Earth's axis rotation. They simply added an extra day and didn't worry about what its name or number was. In today's world, we try to force nature, and ourselves, to accommodate our perpetual Sunday through Saturday work-week cycle.

The long and the short of these introductory comments is to show that the **TRUE SABBATH IS NEITHER SATURDAY NOR SUNDAY**. Named days of the week were/are not relevant to the actual Hebrew Sabbath reckoning, although there is no reason why we cannot keep these names for the 364-day Solar Calendar. The Hebrew Israelites had their own, unique calendar, which was given to them/us by Yahweh. Had they maintained that Calendar, they would have remained in harmony with Yahweh's scientifically designed seasons.



When we deviate from His seasons and time reckoning, we tend to fall away from Him. That's why He stressed the Sabbath in the Fourth Commandment. The Sabbath Day is not just about resting every 7th day; it is also about synchronizing with the Earth's orbit and rotation. This is called Universal Harmony.

The 91 Days of the Book of Enoch

Chapter 81

1 Now, my son Mathusala, all these things I speak unto you, and write for you. To you I have revealed all, and have given you books of everything.

2 Preserve, my son Mathusala, the books written by your father; that you may reveal them to future generations.

3 Wisdom have I given you, to your children, and your posterity, that they may reveal to their children, for generations for ever, this wisdom in their thoughts; and that those who comprehend it may not slumber, but hear with their ears; that they may learn this wisdom, and be deemed worthy of eating this wholesome food.

4 Blessed are all the righteous; blessed are all who walk in righteousness; in whom no crime is found, as in sinners, when all their days are numbered.

5 With respect to the progress of the sun in heaven, it enters and goes out of each gate **for thirty days**, with the leaders of the thousand classes of the stars; with **four which are added**, and appertain to the four quarters of the year, which conduct them, and accompany them at four periods.

Here, Enoch is defining a month as consisting of exactly 30 days, just as the Bible does. But there are four days added to the year, one at the end of each season (quarter). At Verse 7, Enoch tells us:

7 So that the year is completed in three hundred and sixty-four days.

Here, Enoch and Moses agree that the year is supposed to be 364 days.

11 Four conductors of them first enter, who separate the four quarters of the year. After these, twelve conductors of their classes, who separate the months and the year into three hundred and sixty-four days, with the leaders of a thousand, who distinguish between the days, as well as between the four additional ones; which, as conductors, divide the four.

Here, Enoch has reiterated that we have four seasons, plus four intercalary days. But where and when do we count these four days? Speaking of the angels that are in charge of each season, Enoch says, in Verses 17 and 20:

17 All the days of his influence, during which he rules, are ninety-one days.

20 All the days of his light are ninety-one days.

So, the Book of Enoch explains the seasons and stipulates that each season has 91 days. The point here is that, although each month has thirty days, each season has 91 days. Each year, therefore has four "91st days." These are simply intercalary days that complete the seasons. From the Book of Enoch, there is nothing otherwise exceptional about these days. What it tells us, however, is *where to insert the intercalary days so that we get a year of 364 days with the seasons*

Solar Calendar				
Abibix	91 st Day	91 st Day	91 st Day	91 st Day
Marker Day	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Calendar
Spring Equinox	Solstice	Equinox	Solstice	Ends

divided equally among them. Additionally, we have a year of 52 weeks of 7 days each.

See above how it looks

The next day is the Spring Equinox, the Marker Day that is ignored (not counted among the 364 days) as far as the count is concerned, but which

sets up the Seasons. $364 + 1 = 365$ days. And this cycle repeats until the Leap Year, when the Spring Equinox comes a day later, so there is a 92nd day (intercalary) in the last quarter of each leap year.

To be Continued



Harold Stough Notes The Carnac Stones Another Monument Erected By Our Hebrew Ancestors



The Carnac stones are an exceptionally dense collection of megalithic sites around the French village of Carnac, in Brittany, consisting of alignments, dolmens, tumuli and single menhirs. The more than 3,000 prehistoric standing stones were hewn from local rock and erected by the pre-Celtic people of Brittany, and are the largest such collection in the world. Local tradition claims that the reason they stand in such perfectly straight lines is that they are a Roman legion turned to stone by Merlin or Saint Cornelius - Brittany has its own local versions of the Arthurian cycle. A Christian legend associated with the stones held that they were pagan soldiers in pursuit of Pope Cornelius when he turned them to stone. 234 Most of the stones are within the Breton village of Carnac, but some to the east are within La Trinité-sur-Mer. The stones were erected at some stage during the Neolithic period, probably around 3300 BC, but

some may date to as old as 4500 BC. In recent centuries, many of the sites have been neglected.

Visitors are left to wander up and down the rows of the Menec Alignments just north of the village of Carnac and ponder big questions: who put these stones here, how did they manage to do it (the stones stand up to 3 1/2 metres tall and weigh several tonnes) and, most puzzling of all, why did they go to all the trouble?

Brittany, in the northwest corner of France, has some of Europe's most important prehistoric monuments, ranging from the alignments of Carnac to massive single stones and tombs decorated with elaborate carvings.

While certain types of monuments can also be found in Portugal, Ireland, Scotland and elsewhere, "in Brittany you have a concentration of all the kinds of architecture," says Serge Cassen, an expert on prehistoric megaliths who directs a research lab at nearby Nantes University.

As for standing stones - known as "menhirs" - there is nothing elsewhere on the scale of Carnac, which altogether comprises close to 3,000 stones in several different sets of alignments, says Cassen.

The stones are thought to have been hewn from a nearby granite quarry and brought here by a neolithic civilization over 5,000 years ago - at

least 1,000 years before the building of Stonehenge, England's most famous prehistoric stone structure. But it long seemed "quite impossible" for humans to have accomplished the feat, says Cassen, and so a myth arose that only giants could have created the rows at Carnac.



The interesting thing about the megalith builders is that the peoples who were able to perform these utterly amazing feats of engineering are still, in most circles, considered to be barbarians because they did not build cities, engage in agriculture, develop the wheel, or writing. Yet, they did something that clearly cannot be, and was not, done by "civilized" peoples who did all of those "civilized" things. They had some sort of "power" that we cannot replicate and do not understand.

I would like to speculate here for a moment. The first thing that comes to my mind when I consider the problem of the megaliths is that of what I call "payoff." That is to say, nobody who is human ever does anything without a "payoff," or to put it more generally, for a reason. What could be the reason for the stones? There were clearly a great deal more of them than would be necessary for simple "monumental" or "worship" purposes, or even time keeping, as recent researchers have suggested. They appear to be arranged like the inner workings of some vast global machine whose purpose is an enigma to us. For example, at Carnac in Brittany, 3,000 menhirs formed thirteen parallel lines, sprawled across four miles of the French countryside.

At the same time, could the overabundant presence of these megaliths, their "machine-like" arrangement, have anything to do with the things that are observed to be "lacking" in these peoples, i.e. the signs of civilization: the wheel, agriculture, writing and cities? Might we suppose the reason for the stones and the reason for the absence of evidence of what we, today, call civilization, are identical?

The End OS21442

Robert A. Taft & His Opposition To The Nuremberg Trials By Rodney Martin, Director/Editor World View Foundations



SENATOR Robert A Taft of Ohio was the son of President William Howard Taft. The Taft family figured prominently in Ohio politics. Robert Taft was born in 1889, the same year as

Adolf Hitler.

Robert Taft was described as "Mr. Conservative", but should receive praise for standing up at the time of the Nuremberg Trials and despite his aspirations to run for President, Taft spoke out against the Nuremberg Trials in the immediate post-war frenzy in the United States.

Robert Taft condemned the Nuremberg Trials as victor's justice and for what they actually were, Soviet Style Show Trials, Taft would even go so far as to say as much in a speech on October 6, 1946. Taft decried the Nuremberg Trials for their being conducted under ex post facto laws, in which concurrently, the people who won the war were the prosecutors, the judges, and the alleged victims.

Taft strongly condemned the Nuremberg trials as a violation of the most basic principles of American justice and internationally accepted standards of law in favour of politicised justice, in which so called court proceedings provided cover for vengeance against the defeated Nations former leaders and organizations and institutions. Taft's opposition to the Nuremberg Trials was strongly criticized by Republicans and Democrats, Liberals and fellow Conservatives

alike. Taft's principled opposition to the Nuremberg Trials is most likely the main reason for his loss of the Republican Presidential nomination for president in 1952 to none other than the war criminal Eisenhower.

On October 6, 1946, Senator Taft appeared before a conference on "Our Anglo-American Heritage", sponsored by Kenyon College in Ohio, Taft said:

"In these trials we have accepted the Russian idea of the purpose of trials---government policy and not justice-with little relation to Anglo-Saxon heritage. By clothing policy in the forms of legal procedure, we may discredit the whole idea of justice in Europe for years to come. In the last analysis, even at the end of a frightful war, we should view the future with mom hope if even our enemies believed that we had treated them justly in our English-speaking concept of law, in the provision of relief and in the final disposal of territory."



But even more deplorable, Taft stated were the trials themselves, which ***"violate the fundamental principle of American law that a man cannot be tried under an ex post facto statute."*** Nuremberg, the Ohio Senator insisted, was a blot on American Constitutional history, and a serious departure from our Anglo-Saxon heritage of fair and equal treatment, a heritage which had rightly made this country respected throughout the world. "We can't even teach our own people the sound principles of liberty and justice," he concluded. "We cannot teach them government in Germany by suppressing liberty and justice. As I see it, the English-speaking peoples have one great responsibility. That is to restore to the minds of men a devotion to equal justice under law."

Taft was joined at the time from others across the American political spectrum, liberal Supreme Court Justice William O. Douglas wrote:

"....no matter how finely the lawyers analysed it, the crime for which the Nazis were tried had never been formalized as a crime with the definiteness required by our legal standards, nor outlawed with a death penalty by the international community. By our standards that crime arose under an ex post facto law."

Later, Senator John F. Kennedy, who himself had praised Adolf Hitler in a post war visit to Germany included Taft in his book, "Profiles in Courage" and wrote of Taft:

What is noteworthy is the illustration furnished by this speech of Taft's unhesitating courage in standing against the flow of public opinion for a cause he believed to be right. His action was characteristic of the man who was labelled a reactionary, who was proud to be a conservative and who authored these lasting definitions of liberalism and liberty:

Liberalism implies particularly freedom of thought, freedom from orthodox dogma, and the right of others to think differently from one's self. It implies a free mind, open to new ideas and willing to give attentive consideration....

When I say liberty, I mean liberty of the individual to think his own thoughts and live his own life as he desires to think and live.

This was the creed by which Senator Taft lived, and he sought in his own fashion and in his own way to provide an atmosphere in America in which others could do likewise.



Robert A. Taft died on July 31, 1953.

Letters And Views



At first I thought this was funny.—Then I realized the awful truth of it. Be sure to read all the way to the end!

Tax his land,
Tax his bed,
Tax the table
At which he's fed.

Tax his work,
Tax his pay,
He works for peanuts
Anyway!

Tax his cow,
Tax his goat,
Tax his pants,
Tax his coat.

Tax his tobacco,
Tax his drink,
Tax him if he
Tries to think.

Tax his car,
Tax his gas,
Find other ways
To tax his ass.

Tax all he has
Then let him know
That you won't be done
Till he has no dough.

When he screams and hollers;
Then tax him some more,
Tax him till
He's good and sore.

Then tax his coffin,
Tax his grave,
Tax the sod in
Which he's laid.

When he's gone,
Do not relax,
It's time to apply
The inheritance tax.

Accounts Receivable Tax
Airline surcharge tax
Airline Fuel Tax
Airport Maintenance Tax

Building Permit Tax
Cigarette Tax
Cooking Tax
Corporate Income Tax

Goods and Services Tax (GST)
Death Tax
Driving Permit Tax
Environmental Tax (Fee)

Excise Taxes
Income Tax
Fishing License Tax
Food License Tax

Petrol Tax (too much per litre)
Gross Receipts Tax
Health Tax
Heating Tax

Inheritance Tax
Interest Tax
Lighting Tax
Liquor Tax

Luxury Taxes
Marriage License Tax
Medicare Tax
Mortgage Tax

Pension Tax
Personal Income Tax
Property Tax
Poverty Tax

Prescription Drug Tax
Real Estate Tax
Recreational Vehicle Tax

Retail Sales Tax
Service Charge Tax
School Tax

Telephone Tax
Value Added Tax
Vehicle License Registration Tax
Vehicle Sales Tax

Water Tax
Workers Compensation Tax
Tax (VAT) on Tax.
And Now they want a blooming
Carbon Tax!

STILL THINK THIS IS FUNNY?

Not one of these taxes existed 100 years ago, & our nation was one of the most prosperous in the world... We had absolutely no national debt, had a large middle class, a huge manufacturing base, and Mum stayed home to raise the kids.

What in the Hell happened? Could it be the lying parasitic politicians wasting our money?

Oh, and don't forget the relatively new bank charges.—And we all know what we think of Bankers.

I hope this goes around the UK at least 1000 times!!!

YOU can help it get there. **Yours truly G. H. Cornwall, UK**

The Sinking of The Titanic



The novel *Futility*, an 1898 creation of Morgan Robertson, detailed the sinking of an unsinkable ship, the largest vessel afloat. This imaginary ship, named Titan, collided with an iceberg during April, resulting in a high loss of life because the ship carried too few lifeboats. Fourteen years later, with uncanny similarities, the real ship Titanic re-created what happened in the novel: The two ships had almost identical

names; both ships were designated unsinkable; both were touted as the largest ships at sea; both collided with icebergs in April; both resulted in many deaths due to a shortage of lifeboats.

Plus, both had strikingly similar floor plans and technical descriptions. Benjamin Guggenheim, Isador Strauss, the head of Macy's Department Stores, and John Jacob Astor, probably the wealthiest man in the world were all killed when it sank. Those three men were the main opposition to the creation of the U.S. Fed. By April, 1912, all opposition to the Federal Reserve was eliminated. In December of 1913, the Federal Reserve came into being in the United States controlled by the Illuminati Banksters. Yours Truly, K. H. West Midlands, UK

The Last of the Light Brigade 1891 Rudyard Kipling

Kipling uses his poem to expose the terrible hardship faced in old age by veterans of the Crimean War as exemplified by the cavalry men of the Light Brigade who charged at the Battle of Balaclava

There were thirty million English who
talked of England's might,
There were twenty broken troopers who
lacked a bed for the night.

They had neither food nor money, they
had neither service nor trade;
They were only shiftless soldiers, the last
of the Light Brigade.

They felt that life was fleeting; they knew
not that art was long,
That though they were dying of famine,
they lived in deathless song.

They asked for a little money to keep the
wolf from the door;
And the thirty million English sent twenty
pounds and four!

They laid their heads together that were
scarred and lined and grey;



Keen were the Russian sabres, but want
was keener than they;

And an old Troop-Sergeant muttered,
"Let us go to the man who writes
The things on Balaclava the kiddies at
school recites.

They went without bands or colours, a
regiment ten-file strong,
To look for the Master-singer who had
crowned them all in his song;

And, waiting his servant's order, by the
garden gate they stayed,
A desolate little cluster, the last of the
Light Brigade.

They strove to stand to attention, to
straighten the toil-bowed back;
They drilled on an empty stomach, the
loose-knit files fell slack;
With stooping of weary shoulders, in
garments tattered and frayed,

They shambled into his presence, the last
of the Light Brigade.
The old Troop-Sergeant was spokesman,
and "Beggin' your pardon," he said,
'You wrote o' the Light Brigade, sir.
Here's all that isn't dead.

An' it's all come true what you wrote, sir,
regardin' the mouth of hell;
For we're all of us nigh to the workhouse,
an' we thought we'd call an'tell.

"No, thank you, we don't want food, sir;
but couldn't you take an' write
A sort of 'to be continued' and 'see next
page' o' the fight?

We think that someone has blundered, an'
couldn't you tell 'em how?
You wrote we were heroes once, sir.
Please, write we are starving now."

The poor little army departed, limping
and lean and forlorn.
And the heart of the Master-singer grew
hot with "the scorn of scorn."

And he wrote for them wonderful verses
that swept the land like flame,
Till the fatted souls of the English were
scourged with the thing called Shame.
Of thirty million English that babble of
England's might,

Our children's children are lisping to
"honour the charge they made - "And we
leave to the streets and the workhouse the
charge of the Light Brigade!

From J. B. Belfast

Treason

Dear Editor, In his Saturday column Mr Simon Heffer opened by stating that "...we think of treason as a medieval crime...". I can assure Mr Heffer that some of us do not, as despite the laws against treason being extant, treason is currently as prevalent as it was in medieval times if not more so. Mr Heffer also pertinently and correctly stated that British terrorists committing acts of treason against this nation and causing loss of life should not be charged with murder or with intent to cause loss of life but with high treason, which is at the head of all crime.

Unfortunately Mr Heffer did not go as far as questioning why British terrorists are not being charged with treason, for that is an issue that desperately and essentially needs airing.

The reason is of course simple enough. If the

issue of treason were to be raised and addressed it would inevitably publicly disclose the high treason against this nation that was committed by our own Parliament when it surrendered the nations sovereignty, along with the supremacy of our common law Constitution and the Crown, to a foreign political power. Parliament could then be proved to be an unlawful assembly as it presently most surely is and has so been since 1972, and those who engaged in and have since perpetuated that offence by their involvement in further treaty making with that same foreign power would be exposed and held to account for their wilful disregard of constitutional constraint and for contempt for their sworn oaths of allegiance to the Crown, and through the Crown to the British people.

Perhaps Mr Heffer might patriotically start the ball rolling on the nation's behalf by exposing why our treason laws, not forgetting or overlooking that treason is at the head of all crime, were dropped from the syllabuses of our major law colleges some thirty years ago. **Your Sincerely, Bob Lomas. The Magna Carta Society.**

A Closing Prayer

The Boer nation calls out to the eternal and almighty ELOHIM.
 O Father YAHWEH, we call unto you for your power and guidance;
 To bless our fellow Boers and countrymen with courage and fortitude,
 to remain steadfast in their faith, to remain vigilant and unrelenting.
 That they can overcome the darkest of times which is shortly to befall this besieged nation of Israel.
 Let us celebrate today as a day of thanksgiving and praise to our heavenly Father YAHWEH, knowing that with the everlasting power of the almighty ELOHIM,
 we will prevail over our enemies.
 The blessings and peace of our Lord Jahshua Messiah
 be with all of true Israel.
 AMEN

Yahweh bless,

Neil Erich Koch



Mrs Thatcher An Obituary

Sir, Her latter years were sad. She had lost her mind and could no longer recognise friends and colleagues.

This is in marked contrast to two British Israel friends of mine. The gentleman departed to a better place at the age of 91, physically and mentally fit till the end. And the lady, at 93, she was in her bed for the final month and I expected her to recover, she was also mentally fit and active to the end. "Not lost, but gone before"

Their great age is no surprise, for they obeyed the food laws a subject carefully avoided by the Christian churches!

I was home on leave when Thatcher was appointed leader of the con party. Mother came into my room and told me and said "she must be good, she is a Lincolnshire grocer's daughter." No, rather they have appointed a woman to get the woman's vote at the next general election.

I can understand my mother saying this because grandpa Parkin had a grocer's shop. Previously he had been on the Grimsby trawlers and was fishing for mines in world War I. The trawlers fished in pairs, with a length of wire rope between them. On one dreadful day two trawlers fishing with grandpa's boat were blown up, with the loss of all hands. The family said the stress caused grandpa's weak heart and he died quite a young man. I appear to have distinguished ancestry – grandpa looked very like Mr. Punch.

Punch Magazine had to go, it was too British and our enemies wish to destroy everything British. My paternal grandfather left me leather bound copies of the **Gentleman's Magazine** and **Punch**. The latter for 1860 had the famous curates egg in it and also the picture of a clipper ship down by the head and sinking. On the foretopsil appeared "Who sank the sinking fund?" This was started after our war with Bonaparte.

Our national debt then was £800 million, many zeros will need to be added to get the equivalent in modern quidlets. And our population was only 13 million then. There appear to have been

statesmen in the government at the time for they bought £1,000,000 of bonds ("*Inky parchment blots.*") The interest that would have been due was used to buy more bonds and these would build up from year to year by geometric progression resulting in the abolition of the national debt. This cannot be permitted by the banksters and they will have bribed some politicians to SINK.

We should note that any housewife who organised her finances like any Chancellor of the Exchequer would end up homeless in the gutter. As we can now see Mrs Thatcher did not apply her housewifely abilities to her running of our land and was therefore incompetent, leading to the "government" financial problems today.

The Daily Mail for Tuesday 9.4.13 announced her passing, with a "Special Tribute Edition" with 25 pages of news (actually mostly recycled sycophancy) plus a 12 page souvenir pullout. And a few pages of rejoicing by a twitter of young people led by politicians of the agitator brigade. And for the next few days it was front page News. The reason for these feelings have to be found. I give my opinion below:

There was a full page portrait of our subject on page one titled "The woman who saved Britain". And she was on page 3 also. On the back page she appeared again with her statement of 10-10-75:

"Let me give you my vision: A man's right to work as he will, to spend what he earns, to own property, to have the State as servant and not master - these are the British inheritance. They are the essence of a free economy—and on that freedom all other freedoms depend."

Her vision is very flawed. She says nothing of proper behaviour neither, "do as you would be done by" for "you will be done by as you did". Worst of all she does not mention the spiritual and moral laws of God, the basis of civilisation.

We all have to follow God's laws - the law of gravity, the laws of motion etc. But with one exception - the moral law. We are free to choose - God would not create robots of no more value than any machine.

A famous moral code breaker was Aleister Crowley (previously Edward Alexander) who liked to be known as the "Great Beast" and the "Wickedest Man Alive" His group operated for

some time at Thelema Abbey, Sicily. This was in the 1920's when "anything goes" But not in Italy, they got expelled. He was a product of Cambridge University.



Aleister Crowley (left) started OTO - the *Ordo Templi Orientis*, and wrote books which call for followers to conform to society and the key message of these is "Do what thou wilt, shall be the whole of the law."

Mrs Thatcher's vision does not mention the moral law. It appears that Crowley could have written it himself, as an honest exposition of his opinion. The vision reminds one of the cry of the French Revolution - "*Liberte, Egalite Fraternite*".- Liberty from what?

Mrs Thatcher then was infected with socialism, and very probably the Darwinian disease as well, not yet recognised by medical "science". The poor woman thought she was a conservative! Well, she was a member of the con party. To be as kind as possible to the departed. She appears to have been a member of the mediocre middle class.

This obituary edition has been in preparation for some time. There was an article by Mrs Thatcher's ex son-in-law. He reports that her family was dysfunctional, but they all loved one another. It is therefore no surprise that his marriage to Carol ended in divorce. One mark of a Christian is the ability to play happy families - it is such fun. One wonders therefore if any of the four were Christian.

In the *Mail* for Tuesday 16-4-13 there is an article headed "the mother pitied Maggie." I thought all children loved mother, the kingpin of the family! And here we were told that Maggie was not a blond as we thought, but a brunette. She dyed her hair, a continuous job, almost certainly to please Dennis. **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

Australian Government Charged With Treason

By
Tom Joad



HIGH ranking members of the Australian Government including Kevin Rudd, Julia Gillard and Tony Abbott are facing charges of treason in the Australian Federal Court. The prosecution was brought against Rudd and Abbott as well as the Australian Electoral Commission by Brian Shaw after the Oath of Allegiance and reference to the British Crown were removed from Australian laws. "Mr Kevin Rudd did have actual knowledge of the fact that the Crown and Monarch had been removed without any referendum whatsoever and that Julia Gillard and others had been charged [with treason]." "The defendant (John Howard) within the Commonwealth of Australia during the period 1st January 2004 up to and inclusive of the present date did commit the offence of Common Law Treason..."

Notice To The Australian Electoral Commission By Ian Sganzerla

1. A Federal election for the "Corporation" of Australia will be held on the 7th September 2013, and Australian people are being told that they have to vote, but for **WHO OR WHAT** do we vote?
2. Members of parliament have a **DUTY** to represent the constituents in their electorate, but

when voting in the 'House', they usually vote on "party" lines, so **WHO OR WHAT** do they represent?

3. Since the Commonwealth of Australia, as well as the states of Australia, are **REGISTERED CORPORATIONS** with the US Securities and Exchange Commission (outside of Australia), then for **WHO OR WHAT** are we voting? (Documents readily available)

4. Since the International Monetary Fund (the IMF) control the "money market" and most other things by creating "credit" out of nothing (thin air), while we pay their "loan" repayments with real money via taxes, then **WHO OR WHAT** are we supposed to be voting for? (More taxes?????)

5. Since our Reserve Bank acts independent of Australia to set interest rates at the behest of the IMF, then **WHY SHOULD WE VOTE** for a "system" that allow the foreign owned IMF to steal our substance when we could create our own funds ourselves without paying "interest" to the IMF?

6. Since much of our way of life, foreign policy, wars, environment, immigration, and many other things, are controlled by United Nations (UN) agencies, then **WHO OR WHAT** are we voting for?

7. Charity begins at home, but since the Federal government gives away billions of dollars annually, while 'True Blue' Australians miss out, then for **WHO OR WHAT** are we voting?

8. Since these so called "refugees" (better described economic go getters) get paid far more than what 'True Blue' Australians pensioners receive, while True Blue Australians often struggle or go without, then for **WHO OR WHAT** are we voting?

9. Since the Australian government has become the benefactor of **EVERYBODY ELSE**, by looking after the interests of others ahead of True

Blue Australians, then **WHO OR WHAT** do we vote for?

10. Since True Blue Australians are being conned into a voting “**CONTRACT**” by being fined if they DON’T vote, then WHICH LAW compels anyone to enter any contract against their wish?

11. Since 75% of companies in Australia are foreign owned, and pay only 10 % of the tax, while the other 25% of True Blue Australians pay 90% of the tax, then **WHO OR WHAT** are we voting for?

12. Consequently, since it is against my religious convictions to give energy to any form of “money” corporation or treason, then I reserve my religious rights to NOT vote if I so choose!

13 Since the AEC acts in collusion with the “system” by collecting votes to sanction that system, then will the AEC accept **FULL**

LIABILITY for the collective failures and debt by that system?

14. As the national debt grows at an alarming rate, does voting in an Australian election mean that the people of Australia are entering into a “**CONTRACT**” to be liable for government and IMF debt?

15. Scripture tells us that: “the love of money is the root of all evil” (1Timothy 6:10). Therefore, unless the AEC is able to provide me with a written wet ink hard copy **AFFIDAVIT** to guarantee that voting will **NOT** incur any type of involuntary servitude, **CONTRACT** or **ALLEGIANCE** to a monetary process or system, resulting from voting in state or federal elections, then I reserve my religious rights to **NOT** vote if I so choose. If I have not heard from you by at least one week before the election, then I will take that to mean that the AEC accepts full liability if I do **NOT** vote.

The End

The Australian Constitution Is Invalid

Jim Stone



"It seems quite clear that the constitution can be altered by means other than Section 128 Referendum against, I'm sure, the intentions of the founders and against, I'm equally sure, the expectations of the Australian people." - Tony Abbott MP, Leader of the Opposition in the house.

THE EVENT

On the **14th September 2013**, the Australian people will be casting their vote to elect the 44th Parliament of Australia. Prime Minister Julia Gillard has also called for a Constitutional

Referendum to recognize local government in the Australian Constitution. The Referendum will run in conjunction with the **14th September 2013** Federal Election. Since Federation, only eight out of 44 proposals to amend the Constitution have been approved [*"Legally" that is - [see Referendum dates and results](#)*].

But of what significance do these two events really offer in the face of an Australian Constitution rendered invalid by the conscious and deliberate actions of its own Government?

NONE. ABSOLUTELY NONE.

THE QUIETEST INVESTIGATION IN HISTORY

Evidenced even before the inauguration of the Commonwealth of Australia in 1901 and up to the present day period, the Australian Government has knowingly, intentionally and quite willingly assumed for itself, countless times, the necessary authority to amend the constitution on behalf of the people. This authority is explicitly reserved for the Australian

people and clearly inaugurated under **Chapter VIII, section 128 of the Constitution** which provides that the Constitution may **ONLY** be amended by referendum of the people.



Is there any solid evidence to prove Australia's Constitution is invalid? Yes [Australia - the Concealed Colony]. The evidence you will be shown is the collective result of research and investigation spanning decades, through a domestic and international collaboration of institutions and hundreds if not thousands of individuals. It is overwhelming. It is conclusive and bullet-proof. You haven't heard about it? Neither had I. We will get to that shortly.

On the 9th of June 1999, having completely exhausted ALL possible domestic avenues of rectification, representatives in the name of the Sovereign People of Australia submitted an application and petition to the International Court of Justice at The Hague under Article 36 of the Statute. Despite the extraordinary evidence presented, which established the body masquerading as the Australian Government **DID NOT** represent the Sovereign People of Australia, the submitters of this matter were not granted standing by the International Court of Justice.

It needs to be made **VERY** clear that the investigative research through domestic and international collaboration into the legitimacy of the Australian Constitution and Government which spanned over two decades, has officially concluded. **IT WAS CONCLUDED 14 YEARS AGO.** The supporting evidence IS overwhelming, conclusive and bullet-proof. 14 years and no media coverage.

The only remaining non-violent avenue left to the citizenry of Australia, was to appeal to the

individual members of the international community. Bound by the charter of the United Nations, surely they could guarantee under Articles 2,4,6,102 and 103, as well as various resolutions, the **RIGHT** to self determination...

I'd imagine it would've been quite a task to print off that final 465 page document and despatch copies individually to the delegations of 186 member states of the United Nations as well as to the Secretary General, the Security Council and the General Assembly [*thank you Peter Batten*]. Not one country rejected the documents.

Would you agree that a period of 14 years should be deemed an appropriate amount of time for proactive & positive action to be taken either domestically by the Australian Government or internationally by any one of the 186 member states of the United Nations to, **AT THE VERY LEAST**, initiate and maintain procedures necessary to ensure the **SECURITY** of people residing in the territory of the Commonwealth of Australia up to and until the successful implementation of a constitution that can be agreed upon by the people.

14 YEARS! 14 years and not a mention from the press. Business as usual from the Government. I'm assuming **NO** guarantees from the International Community. As an Australian Citizen what laws am I governed by? What human rights are enforceable on my land? If I travel overseas and run into trouble is my passport valid when my constitution is invalid and recognized as such by 186+ countries?

So here we stand, asking ourselves how the hell we haven't heard this information before? On the **14th September 2013**, once again, we will be in the possession of another opportunity to tow the line and maintain the status quo.

OR

We can join with jimstonefreelance.com and make some noise. Recognizing instantly this story needed publicity, Jim Stone has not hesitated with providing the public space that is desperately needed to shake off the 14 year black-out maintained by corporate media and government. Jim has offered to launch this information from his front page and provide the necessary forum environment to begin unleashing this material. With two months before the **14th September 2013** Referendum were ready to go the full 9 yards:

“..There is going to be a major drive done by this web site to spread word of this and break the barrier to public acceptance..” – Jim Stone

PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT REQUEST

We have two months, fire up the enthusiasm and stoke the activism over this June/July period and engage with other forum members in this discussion. Who knows what we might find? It could be that someone you chat with may hold the answer for taking this information **VIRAL** before the **14th September 2013** Referendum. If your mate is an Aussie, if your friends and family live in Australia spam their inbox with this information. If **YOU ARE** an Australian write to your local newspaper, write to your local radio and TV stations. Send them the most valuable documentation you could provide any Australian – that mind-boggling 465 page bullet-proof pdf report titled, '**Australia – the concealed colony**'. This is a paper militia!

The gauntlet has been thrown down. This is a unique opportunity. The **14th September 2013** holds the space for a referendum to change the Australian Constitution. *WE KNOW NOW THAT THE AUSTRALIAN GOVERNMENT WILL ASSUME THE AUTHORITY NECESSARY TO CHANGE THE CONSTITUTION WITHOUT REFERENDUM WHENEVER IT SO PLEASES.* Lets tell them we know that this referendum is a total joke. That the constitution **IS** invalid by the very actions taken by a corporate [*for profit*] government that has **LIED, MISLED & DECEIVED** the Australian people.

It starts with Australia and beginning is everything.

If you think this can of worms is contained to Australia you are sorely mistaken. Every aspect of life is dominated by International Admiralty Law which is used as the private jurisdiction of the International Monetary Fund - principal creditor in the bankruptcy of essentially every government on earth. The Securities and Exchange Commission was established in 1934, the same year the '**COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA**' was registered. How many other bankrupt nations were registered? It can be shown that the Australian Government was officially incorporated by the Royal Styles and Titles Act of 1973 which replaced the real life flesh and blood 'Queen of England' and her original seal with the office of the 'Queen of



Australia' and the '**Great Seal of Australia**' a trademarked logo registered with the US Patent & Trademarks office [*without referendum*].

I contend that Australia's Constitutional crisis is the Achilles heel of TPTB. You open Pandora's box when this story reaches critical mass with the public. Australia's Constitution is the pebble thrown into the pond 14 years ago. The ripple effect **WILL** touch everyone.

[www.basic-fraud.com]

“..This is without doubt the greatest perpetrated fraud within our modern times, which has continued for decades and definitely will be one of, if not, the largest news & media story ever uncovered. The documented facts on our website will show how the corrupt past and present British and Australian politicians, the judicial systems of two countries, as well as the European Court of Human Rights, have deliberately lied, misled and manipulated the Australian people for their own personal financial gain, greed and political appointments.

We assure you that every claim and accusation within this Website can be easily substantiated and verified with the official evidence, court documents and even Australian and British Government legal records, which we have uploaded for you to do your own research.

For the past 80 years every Australian Politician, Judge, Magistrate, Defence Force Personnel, Federal & State Police Officer, Sheriff, Local Mayor, etc., in other words the people in Authority, have ALL either knowingly or not, committed an 'Act of Treason' against the Australian People, by swearing allegiance and subscribing to an oath to serve Elizabeth II,

Queen of the United Kingdom of Great Britain & Northern Ireland and therefore the government of a Power foreign to Australia.”

A version of this article was first published on jimstonefreelance.com.

Australia — The Concealed Colony Foreword To The Original

This submission establishes that those exercising the power to govern over the Sovereign People of Australia do so without the authority of those same people. Instead, they govern through the application of a current Act of domestic law of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, a power foreign to Australia. This submission also establishes that those individuals exercising this power to govern have all individually sworn and signed an oath of allegiance to a Monarch in the sovereignty of that same foreign power, the United Kingdom.

The authors of this submission, being informed and concerned Australians, believed it reasonable to expect that politicians and members of the judiciary, after having been confronted with the facts of history and the demands of international law, would have declared it both necessary and urgent to create and install a valid instrument to bridge the 80 year legal void resulting from the 1919 change in sovereignty over Australia.

However, because of the outcomes of direct approaches to all high offices, including the entire court system, within Australia, it has become abundantly clear that that which would cause the Australian Government to become a legitimate member of the World Community of Governments is unattainable through civil action within Australia.

When it became clear that the necessary adjustments were "... not matters of municipal law but the law of nations and were not cognisable in (a) court(s) exercising jurisdiction under that sovereignty which is sought to be challenged" an application was made to the International Court of Justice. Despite the convincing argument presented, the sovereign

Australian people submitting the application were not granted standing by that court.




Having absolutely exhausted all other possible avenues of rectification it is now apparent that the only non violent

avenue remaining open to the citizenry of Australia lies with an appeal to the international community who, being cosignatories to the Charter of the United Nations, guarantee the Commonwealth of Australia, under Article 2, paragraphs 1 and 4, as well as various resolutions, the right to enjoy sovereignty over their affairs. That is, the right to self determination, which is the most fundamental of the principles of the United Nations.

THE NATURE OF THE PROBLEM

The problem lies in the fact that as a legal entity the 'Commonwealth of Australia' owed its existence to an Act of the Parliament of the United Kingdom, namely, An Act to constitute the Commonwealth of Australia (UK) 1900.



On the Parliament of the Commonwealth of Australia ratifying the signing of the Treaty of Versailles on October 1, 1919 the Commonwealth of Australia achieved sovereign independent nation status

thus separating itself from the Act of British law, (An Act to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia), the instrument that created it. This

new status immediately gained international recognition.

Later both the United Kingdom and Australia became foundation members of the League of Nations and the International Labour Organisation.

In so doing both Australia and the United Kingdom accepted the authority of international law.

Amongst other things international law dictates that, in the absence of an international arrangement or a reciprocal treaty duly registered with and advertised by the League of Nations and, later, the United Nations, between Member States, the law of one Member State may not be used within the territory of the other Member State.

No such international arrangement or treaty between the United Kingdom and Australia was so created.

Thus, under international law, when Australia achieved independence the United Kingdom Act which created the legal entity, the Commonwealth of Australia and provided the Constitution under which its governing organisation was created became, in the legal sense, redundant.

CHANGE OF SOVEREIGNTY



As a consequence valid sovereignty over the Commonwealth of Australia moved from the Queen (which actually means the Parliament because, under the terms of the Act of Settlement 1701' the Queen is appointed by, and therefore subordinate to, the Parliament) of the United

Kingdom to the Australian people. That is, to the Commonwealth of Australia.

A change in sovereignty necessarily results in a break in legal continuity.

The politicians of the day failed to create the legal instrument necessary to bridge the legal void created through this change in sovereignty. As a result, the internationally recognised sovereign nation, the Commonwealth of Australia has continued to be governed as if, in fact, no change had occurred.

The two sets (one State [Provincial] one Federal) of legislative, executive and judicial structures put in place because of the Constitution contained in the Act and controlled under the eight conditional clauses of that Act of British law, remain in place invalidly.

The States, existing only as administrative structures and being a creation of the Constitution Act ceased (along with their governments) to exist in the legal sense when, on gaining independence, that Constitution Act became redundant.

The federation of States along with the Federal Government, being products of that redundant Act of British law also ceased to exist in the legal sense.

EFFECTS RESULTING FROM A FAILURE TO CREATE A LEGAL BRIDGE TO ACCOMMODATE THE CHANGE IN SOVEREIGNTY

When sovereignty was achieved by the Australian People the Act was not repealed and replaced by a system of government belonging to the Australian people. As a consequence they have continued to be governed under exactly the same colonial law to which they were subjugated prior to independence. It is clear that over time direct day to day British influence has diminished but the powers of subjugation inherent in the Act have remained unaltered and have been assumed by Australian Governments, both Federal and State

Thus, from the time that the Commonwealth of Australia became a sovereign nation, the individuals assuming power in both the State (Provincial) and Federal Governments and

within the judiciaries and bureaucracies have done so without being granted the necessary legitimate power, that is, the necessary authority, by the people.

Instead, they have continued to accept their appointment to positions of power, in accord with the terms, conditions and restrictions defined in the Act, from the Queen of the United Kingdom, that is, the Government of the United Kingdom.

Every Australian Parliamentary Representative Forced To Commit Act Of Treason?



Before they may assume their seat in the Australian Parliament every Member and Senator must swear an Oath or Affirmation to the Monarch in the sovereignty of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland. "There is no provision for any deviation from this constitutional requirement. No Member may take part in proceedings of the House until sworn in." (Parliament Research Office, June 10, 1999). The Oath and Affirmation appear as the Schedule to clause nine of the Act, the Constitution, but because it lies outside the Constitution it may not be altered under the provisions of section 128 of the Constitution. The only authority which may, perhaps, have the power to alter this condition is the owner of the Act, the Parliament of the United Kingdom. However, there exists an argument that since the Act is actually legally redundant no authority may initiate any alteration whatsoever.

Attention was drawn to this unsatisfactory state of affairs when, on June 23, 1999 the Full Bench of the High Court of Australia ruled that the United Kingdom was a power foreign to Australia. This resulted in that Court ruling that Heather Hill, a candidate elected to the Senate, could not occupy a seat because she maintained an allegiance to that foreign power, the United Kingdom.

She had migrated to Australia as an 11-year-old child. She had been granted Australian citizenship but had failed to renounce her British citizenship. There is an irony associated with this. Heather Hill's replacement is, by law (S42 of the Constitution), required to swear and subscribe allegiance to that very same sovereignty, the United Kingdom!

Situations such as this arise, not only because the nation is attempting to function under the invalid Constitution contained in the Act but also because, at times, both the British and Australian governments have attempted to conceal problems through the initiation and invalid implementation of legislation subordinate to, or in addition to, the original Act. Such actions have effectively compounded the invalidity of the governmental structure and the laws being effected in Australia. Even the casual student will realise that the situation has now passed being ridiculous and has become ludicrous.

Australian Residents Lose Civil Rights

Colonial law, by definition, is a law of subjugation. The Act to Constitute the Commonwealth of Australia, being a colonial Act, does not contain any elements of sovereignty or of civil rights. Originally this did not present any undue problems since Australians enjoyed all the privileges of British Citizenship, including entitlement to protection of their civil rights under the full gambit of British law. This state of affairs tended to remain well after the definable date of Australia's independence. But in 1971-72 the situation altered dramatically when the United Kingdom, by way of its 'Immigration and Asylum Act', legislated to declare Australian citizens to be neither British citizens, British subjects, nor British residents and to have no entitlements under British law. However, the Act, devoid of civil rights, remained in place with the

consequent result that at the level of governmental administration the bureaucracy has become even further inclined to summarily impose on and unduly regulate the actions of the individual Australian residents. In an endeavour to maintain a facade of legitimacy those controlling the politico/legal system have, Australia — The Concealed Colony when under challenge, repeatedly resorted to inconsistency and irregularity in the application of justice.

By challenging and testing the system of government through the fullest possible use of the legal system which currently exists in Australia, it has become clear that those individuals who have assumed the responsibilities of high office, including the judiciary, will not initiate the actions necessary to ensure that the Australian people wrest from the United Kingdom complete and rightful sovereignty over their nation.

Many examples exist which illustrate that Australian courts are prepared to compromise truth and justice so that 'current practice' through precedent may be maintained.

Editor: Unfortunately this article reached us too late to be included in the September issue of the New Ensign in time for the Australian elections.

This article shows that both the UK and Australia are not under the Royal Crown, but are under the City of London “Crown” through its committee in the Houses of Parliament known as the “British Government”!!

The End OS21456

Wheat Madness - Is This Popular Grain Provoking Mental Illness?

By

Carolanne Wright




A mainstay in most Western diets, wheat is normally not associated with mental illness. Yet research has shown an intolerance to compounds within the grain can cause major neurological issues, including psychotic breakdowns. Far from a benign food, wheat has been linked with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and even diseases like multiple sclerosis along with Alzheimer's. In the spirit of physical and mental health, many are realizing wheat is not a food to be consumed lightly.

Dangerous triggers lurking

One of the main health-harming culprits is found with wheat germ agglutinin (WGA), a category of lectins. Regardless if the wheat is soaked, sprouted or cooked, these compounds remain intact. Tiny and hard to digest, lectins can accumulate within the body and wreak havoc on physical and mental well-being. WGA is neurotoxic, crossing the blood brain barrier and attaching to the myelin sheath, consequentially inhibiting nerve growth - a serious consideration for those suffering from degenerative neurological diseases such as multiple sclerosis and Alzheimer's. Lectins also destroy the villi in the intestinal tract, creating an inflamed, leaky gut. Since there is a strong connection between the gut and brain via the vagus nerve, intestinal ill-health strongly affects the mind, mood and behaviour. The gut is also considered a 'second brain', pumping out its own source of feel good neurotransmitters like serotonin. If normal functioning of the intestinal tract is hindered, production of serotonin dips along with stable mental states.

As troublesome as lectins are found to be, gliadin in wheat is a worse offender for sensitive



individuals. As reported by Green Med Info, a study published in the journal Psychiatry Research makes the connection between gliadin and states of mania:

"The relationship of the antibodies to the clinical course of mania was analysed by the use of regression models. Individuals with mania had significantly increased levels of IgG antibodies to gliadin, but not other markers of celiac disease, at baseline compared with controls in multivariate analyses ... Among the individuals with mania, elevated levels at follow-up were significantly associated with re-hospitalisation in the six month follow-up period."

Likewise, a study at John Hopkins School of Medicine in Maryland had similar findings:

"Individuals with recent-onset psychosis and with multi-episode schizophrenia who have increased antibodies to gliadin may share some immunologic features of celiac disease, but their immune response to gliadin differs from that of celiac disease."

And let's not forget about gluten, the poster child for celiac disease - and now increasingly, for neurological disorders. Sayer Ji of GreenMed Info believes the ramifications of gluten far surpass the celiac, autistic or schizophrenic individual:

"In the same way that the celiac iceberg illustrated the illusion that intolerance to wheat is rare, it is possible, even probable, that wheat

exerts pharmacological influences on everyone. What distinguishes the schizophrenic or autistic individual from the functional wheat consumer is the degree to which they are affected."

Even when not suffering from overt mental illness or gluten intolerance, it would be wise to pause and consider the unseen consequences of consuming wheat. And decide if it's worth the possible risk to future mental and physical health.

Sources for this article include:

<http://www.care2.com>

<http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=gut-second-brain>

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20471632>

About the author:

Carolanne enthusiastically believes if we want to see change in the world, we need to be the change. As a nutritionist, natural foods chef and wellness coach, Carolanne has encouraged others to embrace a healthy lifestyle of organic living, gratefulness and joyful orientation for over 13 years. Through her website www.Thrive-Living.net she looks forward to connecting with other like-minded people who share a similar vision. (Natural News)

The End OS21427

Steven Books

**League Enterprises
27. Old Gloucester Street
London
WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

The Woolwich Terror Hoax

Nick Kollerstrum



http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=CGWkuyc3MpM#!

A short walk from the Woolwich DLR station takes you to a moving tribute scene, a huge mass of flowers, national flags and various gifts, to a 'Fuselier Guard' whose death became known on 22nd May: Drummer Lee Rigby. Deep are the passions aroused by this death, which have echoed around our world.

We are here dealing with a constructed terror event where nothing is what it appeared to be: actors act their parts and the media report as they are told.

The primal War-on-Terror theme has been powerfully reinforced by this mirage, as 'the Phantom Menace' strikes again.

We here go through the sequence of apparent events, examining a series of what I will call Absolute Physical Impossibilities.

A car ends up on the pavement of a busy street under some trees. Because of the way it is facing it would have had to veer over from the other side of the road, having just emerged from a traffic-lights crossing, somehow waiting for a brief gap in the traffic to cross over – and bump up onto a good 4" pavement, then somehow drive along until, whump! It hit DLR (Drummer Lee Rigby). He was walking away from them just by a traffic sign held up by two posts, wearing a 'Help the Heroes' T-shirt.

First Absolute Physical Impossibility: this could only have been synchronised by an overhead helicopter, to see DLR walking along,

see the gap in the traffic, see the lights changing, and then give the car its instructions. There was no such helicopter.

On the story we are given, there is no way the car driver could have identified DLR, given the brief second or so between possibly seeing him and deciding to drive across the road. And yet the whole point of the story, is that 'terrorists' killed a British soldier.

Had such a car been coming towards him bumping up onto on the pavement, an army lad would have been trained to sense it behind him, and he only needed to spring forward a yard or so, other side of the big traffic sign, to have been safe.

Where you might expect the car to have crossed over the road there is a lamp post, ten yards or so from the afore-mentioned traffic sign, so the car would have had to skirt round that lamp post – then further down is a railing, near the traffic lights. We're talking about Artillery Road, Greenwich where this whole drama was constructed.

Skid-marks on the pavement, coming from the tyres (see below, 2nd picture) – or possibly trails of car oil – point towards that lamp-post, not shown in this image. It could not have happened!

Second Absolute Physical Impossibility: the car had its whole front crumpled up, as if it had hit something, inches from the poles of the traffic sign right in front – yet those poles were untouched, with no scratch from any bump. That has to be a stage setup. You can't see the poles now, they are choc-a-bloc with flowers, messages etc but fortunately Morris Herman ('Morris108') went there early and reported this central fact.

<http://kentfreedommovement.com/video/woolwich-defies-common-sense-morris>

And we are supposed to believe the two 'evil terrorists' then emerged unharmed from the car, one brandishing a meat cleaver and the other a gun? Why slam the front of the car into

something if you have a gun you are intending to use?



On a busy city street, we are supposed to believe that no-one took any photos of this whole sequence of events?

Third Absolute Physical Impossibility: DLR is lying knocked over from the car bump, then one killer starts chopping his head off, causing death. But – there is no blood. Eight pints of red blood should have spurted out onto the pavement.

Picture: You can see two undamaged posts close-up (holding up a traffic sign) – which the car supposedly bumped into. You can see NO BLOOD on pavement. You can also see the lamp-post alluded to (with ‘Signal Timings’ on it) and behind it the railings, so the car would have had to come between these two.

While there is no blood on the pavement, shown by close-up photos of the scene, there is blood seen from an overhead police-helicopter photo. The latter has to be fake. Quoting here Jim Stone:

“When the helicopter flies over, you can see blood where they supposedly dragged their victim from under the bushes to the road. That blood is NOT THERE in the videos shot before the helicopter arrived, and ALL video is AFTER they drag their supposed victim into the road. That’s one obvious set up team blooper. In Hollywood, that’s what is called a continuity error.” (May 24th)

The air photo supposedly shows a trail of blood as the body was dragged out into the road. Murderers usually try to hide themselves after committing the act – but, O no, not this one.

In the Daily Mirror video (part 2, v30 seconds) note various people standing around while the body is heaved out into the road. The road has to have been sealed off in advance – at 2.20 pm on 22 May. That is the only way something could have been dragged into the middle of a busy London road.



All this time there has not been a single photo taken by a civilian passing by.

Fourth absolute physical impossibility The corpse supposedly lies in the middle of the road, with no drop of blood around it – for twenty minutes, while the two perps (or rather, patsies) hang around waiting for the police to arrive. What were they waiting for?

2nd picture: again, not a drop of blood to be seen! This time you can just see the traffic sign which the car supposedly bumped into. Casual conversations are here meant to be going on, after a soldier has been hacked to death: clearly no-one expects the Fuselier guard to come storming out of the nearby barracks!

In no photos can the head / lack of head be seen. The t-shirt appears pulled up to possibly cover over the head.

The army does not arrive! This is right outside their barracks, one of their soldiers hacked to death by a ‘wicked terrorist.’ DLR was supposedly coming from London back to his barracks, so he would have had to carry ID to get in. But, the evening paper said merely that he was believed to be a soldier. Then the identifying was done by his teeth? This is an impossible storyline. We express this as –

Fifth Absolute Physical Impossibility: If DLR was returning to his barracks, he would have to have had some physical ID. Then he would have

been identified and the army barracks told. They would have stormed out. If the army did not come out, after half an hour, it basically means that DLR did not die there – he was already dead.

We see a large white lorry at one end of the road (away from the traffic lights) and a bus containing schoolchildren at the other end, and the road is blocked off for traffic. The bus has been parked opposite the lamp-post alluded to above- there is no bus-stop there.

The Rant

The ‘rant’ by Michael Adibelajo is the most famous image of the whole constructed sequence: the photoshopped blood on his hands, the lady-with-shopping walking by, his MI5 programming.

This was presumably shot well before whatever happened at Woolwich on May 22nd: to quote the perceptive ‘September Clues:’

they probably just filmed the guy as they would an interview, TV show or scene from a movie, on the corner of a regular street, somewhere in London. Onlookers and passer-bys don’t see anything out of the ordinary, just a guy giving an interview on a street corner. ..Blood on his hands added in post production.

You can see the extra red-glowing kerb-lines as his red, red hands move about. See the blurred red coming off his hands and sticking onto the trees behind him!
<http://kentfreedommovement.com/video/woolwich-massacre-deception-exposed-special-edition-22-may-2013> Note no red on his clothes after hacking someone’s head off! (See here for how the fake blood was added) All the normal traffic on this busy road has been blocked off.

There are two different camera angles, ie two persons were standing a few feet in front of M.A. as he ranted at them, filming him. Watch the video as the old lady walks by with her shopping trolley, unperturbed. What did she see? I suggest she saw no red colour on his hands, nor was there any corpse in the road. And why did they not re-film it, after she had ruined the whole effect, turning it into a joke? Answer: M.A. has to have been programmed by MI5 to rant in this manner, which he did very effectively, however it might not have been easy to get him to ‘do it again.’



Sixth Absolute Physical Impossibility: The carelessly-photoshopped ‘blood’ moves around M.A.s hands, fades away & returns, at one point gets stuck onto the trees behind him.

May 25th: A longtime friend of M.A. was in the BBC Newsnight studio, telling how he believed M.A. had been tortured and raped by MI5 agents – the security police turn up during the interview, and arrest him as he comes out. See Nafeez Ahmed’s comments here. His brother worked for MI6 for years.

M.A.’s ex-girlfriend Justine Rigden testified to how he had been ‘lovely’ and just a ‘normal boy’. School friends testify to how he had lived a Western lifestyle, growing up in Essex as a Christian with hard-working Nigerian immigrant parents.

Bad Actors arrive



Twenty minutes after the ‘event’ some police lookalikes arrive, but not in real police cars

(<http://kentfreedommovement.com/video/woolwich-massacre-deception-exposed-special-edition-22-may-2013> Part 2, 4 mins)

--- and are soon firing blanks around the place. One of them is wearing white, trainer-type shoes (5.30 mins) Their arrival is the cue for ‘the terrorists’ to start running towards the police car. How likely is that? These have to be programmed characters. They do not threaten to

attack, but supposedly the police open fire. Note the unlikely way in which a ‘bad actor’ waves his legs about, after supposedly having been shot and lying on the ground (7.20 mins) See the dummy-type human figure dragged into the road by several people (Part 2, 45 seconds)

The Inquest would not comment upon the cause of death. The one thing we need to know from an Inquest, is how long the corpse had been dead. When the court case began of the 22-year old suspect, the cause of death was reported as from ‘multiple injuries.’ If he died from having his head hacked off, why would the inquest not confirm it?

When its all over, ‘The police remove a body bag from the scene:’ this image shows a yellow body bag rather too small, or the body would be quite folded up if it fit into there, and judging by how the two men are carrying it, it does not seem to weigh much. That bag does not have the weight of a human body. This reinforces the impression from the Mirror footage (above) that some sort of dummy was put into the road. That’s why it didn’t bleed. That’s why no pictures show its head, it was not DLR. Whenever DLR died, rigor mortis might by then have set, so it was not convenient to use his dead body.

In a normal murder scene one would expect to see the body lifted onto a stretcher and put carefully into an ambulance, for the post-mortem. It would not be crumpled up into a 3-foot body bag! The men carrying it do not look like police.

How strange that we have heard nothing about a funeral of DLR. One would expect it to be supported by his Fuselier guard regiment – with so many moving tributes on both sides of Artillery road even stretching round the corner, and for this to be given great publicity.

I predict that the two suspects in this case will remain forever silent, we will never be allowed to hear their view of what happened.

‘Queries Over Woolwich Terror Event.’

At 2.50 pm on 22nd May a human torso without blood appeared at a road junction in Woolwich, 200 yards from the Royal Artillery barracks. On

the pavement was a crumpled-up car, the pavement around it being smeared with maybe car oil leaking out. These were certainly impressive props – but, what was the story?

A black man of Nigerian descent, born in South London, appeared to have his right hand smeared with ‘blood’ but none on his shirt and was carrying a machete. He apologised to women for having to witness the dreadful scene.

He hung around and seemed eager to give media interviews to whoever would listen.

The police turned up and shot the two perpetrators: eight shots, but neither were killed. That seemed a decisive action – and reminds one of the Boston bombings where the two patsies were shot, and what it may mean, is that we don’t ever get to hear their story. The police only turned up twenty minutes after the event. (Armed Police are stationed less than 1 mile away from the incident at Plumstead police Station. Lewisham Police Station is less than 3 miles away and is lauded as The largest in Western Europe...) Crazy killers don’t usually hang around, waiting for the police to turn up. Initial reports had the two killed, but then we learnt that they were still alive in hospital.



The Daily Telegraph report on the event pointed out that nothing at all made any sense. Had the two Africans been driving, hit the Army fellow, then got out of their car and hacked his head off? If so that would hardly result in such a crumpled-up car. And, then, where was the head? Then during an interview, as the ‘killer’ was speaking, “I noticed a lady in a blue dress, and pulling a trolley, just casually walking past the man with meat cleaver and the blood soaked hands. It was like she was just out doing a bit of shopping.”

Did two men gruesomely behead a random stranger in broad daylight, with everyone around quite calm – and the only blood to be seen is on

the perpetrator's hand and on his knife? There is no blood on the victims clothes nor blood on the street, despite the fact that these were supposedly very crude cuts and "gruesome hacking". Who walks around and gives an interview with a meat cleaver? Who in their right mind wants to be seen on as many cameras as possible after committing a horrific murder? Then the "horrendous" attack, the two men, who were also in their 20s, stood around, waving knives and a gun, and asked people to take pictures of them "as if they wanted to be on TV or something".

Then a nurse Amanda Donnelly got off a bus and knelt down to feel the pulse of the Army man (supposed to be Lee Rigby, but how was he identified without his head?) lying in the middle of the road. Quote, "When it became apparent Drummer Lee Rigby was beyond their help, they shielded his body from further desecration by his savage attackers....Kneeling at his side, she cradled him gently, seemingly unfazed by



his horrific wounds." The corpse had no head, no blood was pumping out of his neck, and she felt his pulse? The Mail adds, "A pool of blood lay where Drummer Rigby was attacked" – but, that is absent from the pictures.



No Blood: The picture here shown taken from a helicopter seems to show a trail of blood leading into the road. But, compare that with [this close-up picture](#) of the car and pavement: "Once again the scene has absolutely no blood! according to

the aerial shots of the crime scene the blood should be on the first tile in front of the pole.

However as you can clearly see there is no blood." (truthfrequencyradio.com)

The collision lampost right in front of the crumpled-up car [had no dent in it](#).

After 20 minutes, it was the SO19 who turned up – not the ordinary police. The 'Special Operations' cadre are elite army killers. ([Mirror video](#) of the shoot-out)

Here is a [comment by a local policeman](#):

"I asked the on-site police officer in our school:" I bet your briefing this morning at the station was an intense one.

"Officer: I was expecting exactly that, but it was just too odd. I asked why there's no briefing, believing we'd be told which streets to be in and what to look out for, but no. Nothing. It was as if it hadn't happened.

"In fact, the skipper said 'it didn't happen.'

"He told me he didn't recognise any of the officers on his own patch at the scene either nor any of the members of the public, despite always seeing the same people."

The police put up their tent around the scene of the crime, ie covering the headless torso. [They moved it about thirty yards](#), from where it had been set up round the corner, as air-photos showed (see below).

Lee Rigby is surely dead – however: "A post-mortem into the death of Drummer Lee Rigby has formally identified him but failed to [confirm](#) the cause of death, Scotland Yard said." you can't corrupt everyone, and the doctors have not endorsed the idea that he died as stated.

Pseudo-Islamic hate message

The alleged killers are identified as Muslim, with a war-of-civilisation hate-message, according to an ITV News video:

We swear by Almighty Allah, we will never stop fighting you until you leave us alone. The only

reasons we killed this man is because Muslims are dying daily. This British soldier is an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth. We apologize that woman had to see this today, but in our lands our women have to see the same. You people will never be safe. Remove your government. They don't care about you.

The story as reported by Sky News and the BBC was full of anti-Islamic propaganda, even going so far as to claim the “perpetrator” yelled “[Allahu akbar](#),” just before beheading the man.

The people have to be told who to hate – that is the aim of the exercise.

This was rather similar to the messages posthumously-attributed to two of the alleged London bombers.

Peter Eyre's Comments

Mr Eyre is a Middle East consultant, geopolitical analyst, investigative journalist, anti-war activist, let's hear his view:

The gruesome scene of a decapitated actor with no police or paramedics at the scene and no blood, the “offenders are shot by armed police who are in attendance in the background but the murder scene has not been secured!!”

Many awakened “to this amazing Shakespearean play that has unfolded in Woolwich, London where all the actors were so bad they would not even qualify for an interview as an extra in some third rate movie!!”

Eyre asked if police “drag(ged) the (victim's) body around the corner?” [Because of the way the ‘crime scene’ moved around the junction, from one road to the other, NK]

“BBC stated a man wearing a Help the Hero's T Shirt was hit by a car, then attacked and killed with a machete or sword by the car's occupants. So much vivid violence and yet still no blood at the scene! Why? Because the actors had not had time to splash it around before they filmed the scene.”

“(I)t was however added later as the picture (in his article) shows! The blood is only on the pavement and not on the road!! Do you think they attempted to copy the Boston Bombing but somehow did not get it right?” Eyre suggested that blood on a signpost was “Tomato Ketchup!!”

M15 Approach

MI5 had asked Woolwich murder suspect Michael Adebolajo if he wanted to work for them, about six months before the killing, a childhood friend has said. [Abu Nusaybah told BBC Newsnight](#) that his friend – one of two men arrested after Drummer Lee Rigby's murder in south-east London on Wednesday – had rejected the approach from MI5 [He had been tortured](#) and somehow programmed by MI5, his friend alleged on the BBC – and he found himself being arrested by the police immediately after giving this interview, the Guardian reported! (The police would not say why they were arresting him)



Michael Adebowale (22)

The Prime Minister convened an emergency meeting with top advisors and intelligence officials attending – such measures don't commonly follow street killings. UK Home Secretary, Theresa May called an emergency Civil Contingencies Committee (COBRA) meeting with Cameron chairing it. It includes cabinet ministers and high-level security officials. It deals with terrorism and other major crises. COBRA refers to the room where committee members meet – Cabinet Office Briefing Room A, deep below Downing Street. A terrorist alert was imposed.

I noticed next day a long policy speech by Obama, he was trying to get Guantanamo Bay closed and limit drone strikes, (or, that was my impression of it) and he had to allude to ‘yesterday's terror attack’ in London. That

validated the whole War on Terror premise, that's what it was for. Then Russia Today was discussing it: the event echoed around the world.

See police white tent in middle of the road, which was then moved round the corner, to behind the block of flats.

In the immediate wake of the attack the former government anti terror czar Lord Carlisle said it was necessary to revive the bill to monitor all e-

mails and the Internet. His opposite number on the Labour benches said the same.

This was after the 3rd day of 'Islamic' terror (supposedly) in Sweden, which was a very handy synchrony for the war-of-civilization message.

The End OS21440

NWO Plans Exposed (6) By An Insider In 1969

The New World Order Diagram



THE ARRIVAL OF THE TOTALITARIAN GLOBAL SYSTEM

WHEN the new system takes over people will be expected to sign allegiance to it, indicating that they don't have any reservations or holding back to the old system. "There just won't be any room", he said, "for people who won't go along. We can't have such people cluttering up the place so such people would be taken to special places", and here I don't remember the exact words, but the inference I drew was that at these special places where they were taken, then they would not live very long. He may have said something like, "disposed of humanely", but I don't remember very precisely, just the impression the system was not going to support them when they would not go along with the system. That would leave death as the only alternative.

Somewhere in this vein he said there would not be any martyrs. When I first heard this I thought it meant the people would not be killed, but as the presentation developed what he meant was they would not be killed in such a way or disposed of in such a way that they could serve as inspiration to other people the way martyrs do. Rather he said something like this. "People will

just disappear." Just a few additional items sort of thrown in here in the end which I failed to include where they belong more perfectly. The bringing in of the new system he said probably would occur on a weekend in the winter. Everything would shut down on Friday evening and Monday morning when everybody wakened there would be an announcement that the New System was in place.

During the process in getting the United States ready for these changes everybody would be busier with less leisure time and less opportunity to really look about and see what was going on around them. Also, there would be more changes and more difficulty in keeping up as far as one's investments. Investment instruments would be changing. Interest rates would be changing so that it would be a difficult job with keeping up with what you had already earned. Interesting about automobiles; it would look as though there were many varieties of automobiles, but when you look very closely there would be great duplication. They would be made to look different with chrome and wheel covers and this sort of thing, but looking closely one would see that the same automobile was made by more than one manufacturer.

This recently was brought down to me when I was in a parking lot and saw a small Ford - I forget the model - and a small Japanese automobile which were identical except for a number of things like the number of holes in the wheel cover and the chrome around the plate and the shape of the grill. But if you looked at the basic parts of the automobile, they were identical. They just happened to be parked side-by-side where I was struck with this and I was again

reminded of what had been said many years ago. I'm hurrying here because I'm just about to the end of the tape. Let me just summarise here by saying, all of these things said by one individual at one time in one place relating to so many different human endeavours and then to look and see how many of these actually came about. Meaning the changes accomplished between then and now [1969 - 1988] and the things which are planned for the future. I think there is no denying that this is controlled and there is indeed a conspiracy.

The question then becomes what to do. I think first off, we must put our faith in God and pray and ask for his guidance. And secondly do what we can to inform other individuals as much as possible, as much as they may be interested. Some people just don't care, because they're preoccupied with getting along in their own personal endeavours. But as much as possible I think we should try to inform other people who may be interested, and again put our faith and trust in God and pray constantly for his guidance and for the courage to accept what we may be facing in the near future. Rather than accept peace and justice which we hear so much now. It's a cliché! Let's insist on liberty and justice for all.

"No people will tamely surrender their Liberties, nor can any be easily subdued, when knowledge is diffused and Virtue is preserved. On the Contrary, when People are universally ignorant, and debauched in their Manners, they will sink under their own weight without the Aid of foreign Invaders." Samuel Adams For we are opposed around the world by a monolithic and ruthless conspiracy that relies on covert means for expanding its sphere of influence--on infiltration instead of invasion, on subversion instead of elections, on intimidation instead of free choice, on guerrillas by night instead of armies by day. It is a system that has conscripted vast human and material resources into the building of a tightly knit, highly efficient machine that combines military, diplomatic, intelligence, economic, scientific and political operations."

John F Kennedy- SPEECH

THE individual is handicapped, by coming face-to-face, with a conspiracy so monstrous, he cannot believe it exists. The American mind,

simply has not come to a realization of the evil, which has been introduced into our midst . . . It rejects even the assumption that human creatures could espouse a philosophy, which must ultimately destroy all that is good and decent."


FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover, 1956

A silent weapon system operates upon data obtained from a docile public by legal (but not always lawful) force. When the government is able to collect tax and seize private property without justification, it is an indication that the public is ripe for surrender and is consenting to enslavement and legal encroachment."

"War is therefore the balancing of the system by killing the true creditors (the public, which we have taught to exchange true value for inflated currency) and falling back on whatever is left of the resources of nature and regeneration of those resources."

"Until such energy dominance is absolutely established, the consent of people to labor and let others handle their affairs must be taken into consideration, since failure to do so could cause the people to interfere in the final transfer of energy sources to the control of the elite. It is essential to recognize that at this time, public consent is still an essential key to the release of energy in the process of economic amplification. Therefore, consent as an energy release mechanism will now be considered." **Quotes from "Silent Weapons for Quiet Wars"**

The End OS19399



1944: The Murderous 'Liberation' Of France



Caen, France was destroyed by Allied 'liberators'

UNDER temporary, wartime German occupation (1940-1944), life in Northern France goes by peacefully for French civilians. The conduct of the German occupiers is impeccable. Many French women fall in love with German soldiers. But with the Normandy invasion (June 6, 1944), the peace and security of France is shattered into a million pieces.



To support the cross channel invasion, and to then push the Germans eastward, the Allies unleash a ferocious aerial bombardment campaign. Entire towns are mercilessly carpet bombed. Cultural icons and works of art are

destroyed, 65,000 French civilians are killed, 150,000 are injured, and 1,250,000 are left homeless. Incredibly, twice as many French civilians are bombed to death during only a few months, as the total amount of British civilians killed during the entire war! (Of course, these numbers pale in comparison to the 1,000,000 + German civilians who were killed by Allied bombings!)

The "Good Guys" left death and homelessness in their wake.

The horror doesn't end with the bombardment either. The Allied occupation and subsequent economic collapse brings new nightmares for the women of France. Under Allied occupation, American troops rape 1000's of French women, and turn many 1000's more hungry women into sex-for-food prostitutes. To appease the French population, the U.S. Army will eventually hang 130 of its rapist soldiers, a majority of them Black.

It will take years for these areas of France to recover from the tragedy. Such is the glorious "liberation" that Churchill, FDR, and the French traitor DeGaulle have imposed upon France.



The traitor DeGaulle was in Britain while he cheered the bombing of his own people!

Do you want the TRUTH about World War II?



The Reds abused French women who 'colloborated' with German soldiers - shaving them bald!

The End OS21443

The Exact Location of the City of Jerusalem LATITUDE & LONGITUDE



LATITUDE is shown as a horizontal line and is the angular distance, in degrees, minutes, and seconds of a point north or south of the Equator, lines of latitude are often referred to as parallels.

Longitude is shown as a vertical line and is the angular distance, in degrees, minutes, and seconds, of a point east or west of the Prime (Greenwich) Meridian. Lines of longitude are often referred to as meridians.

Distance between Lines If you divide the circumference of the earth approximately 25,000 miles) by 360 degrees, the distance on the earth's surface for each one degree of latitude or longitude is just over 69 miles, or 111 km.

Minutes and Seconds For precision purposes, degrees of longitude and latitude have been

divided into minutes (') and seconds ("). There are 1 minutes in each degree. Each minute is divided into 60 seconds. Seconds can be further divided into tenths, hundredths, or even thousandths. The exact centre of the city of Jerusalem (Yerusbalayim) 31° 47' 00N by 35° 13' 00 E. If we add the numbers we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & 310\ 47'\ 00\ \text{N} \\ & +350\ 13'\ 00\ \text{E} \\ & = 666.00 \end{aligned}$$

This, of course, may simply be a coincidence, but the probability of this happening is over 99,999,999 to one.

The Unbearable Shame Of Cameron's 'Torah' Government

TEN years ago Tam Dalyell, the 'Father of the House' (i.e. the most senior member of the House of Commons in the British Parliament), sparked a huge row by accusing the then Prime Minister, Tony Blair, of "being unduly influenced by a cabal of Jewish advisers"

In an interview with Vanity Fair, Dalyell named Lord Levy (Blair's personal envoy on the Middle East), Peter Mandelson (whose father was Jewish), and Jack Straw, the Foreign Secretary (who has Jewish ancestry), as three of the leading figures who had influenced Blair's policies on the Middle East.

He told The Telegraph: "If it is a question of launching an assault on Iran... then one has to be candid." Blair, he said, was also indirectly influenced by Jewish people in the Bush administration, including Richard Perle, a Pentagon adviser, Paul Wolfowitz, the deputy defence secretary, and Ari Fleischer, the President's press secretary.

Dalyell's remarks were sad and unfounded, said Lord Janner, chairman of the Holocaust Education Trust. "Tony Blair is his own man. He will follow advice if he considers it correct and not otherwise. He has been a good friend of the Jewish people and the Jewish state."

Dalyell was misguided, said Rabbi Dr Jonathan Romain, a spokesman for Britain's Reform

Synagogues. "Concerning Iraq it was crystal clear that Tony Blair was not swayed by popularity or anyone else but by his own deep convictions. It is also obvious that the majority of President Bush's circle are Christian Evangelicals rather than Jews."

Ned Temko, the American-born editor of the Jewish Chronicle, said: "I just think these sort of comments are offensive and are a profound misunderstanding of the way foreign policy is made in the United States or here."

Dalyell also told The Scotsman on Sunday: "Blair and Straw have become far too close to these people and Lord Levy, who is an unaccountable ambassador in the Middle East, is part of this group. They are acting on an extremely Zionist, Likud-nik agenda. In particular I am concerned that some of them are pushing for an attack on Syria, for reasons of Israeli security. "MP Louise Ellman, a member of the Inter-Parliamentary Committee Against Anti-Semitism, said: "This absurd proposition implies a Jewish plot in high places..."

Former Foreign Secretary Sir Malcolm Rifkind, a senior member of Scotland's Jewish community, was rudely dismissive: "We all know that Tam gets bees in his bonnet and eight times out of 10 they are nuts but the other two are brilliant. This is, I'm afraid, one of the nutty ones."

Next day the Guardian reported that Dalyell could face an investigation for inciting racial hatred. Eric Moonman, president of the Zionist Federation, was seeking advice on whether there was a case for referral. "I believe there is," he said.

Today it is obvious that old Tam was neither nutty nor misguided. He joined the dots and saw the danger, as did many others.

"So many Jews that the Tory party should be known as the Torah party"

Meanwhile the Jewish cabal flourishes. A week ago Ian Livingston was handpicked by prime minister David Cameron for the trade minister job. Cameron, who had previously broken with traditional wisdom and appointed the first Jewish ambassador to Israel, was reported by an ecstatic Times of Israel as having now decided to bring

into the government possibly its most committed Jew yet, and certainly its most outspoken supporter of Israel, which Livingston called "the most amazing state in the world."



Livingston is not elected. He's appointed... and created a Lord to make it look kosher.

The newspaper went on to name other top Jewish figures in the Conservative party such as co-chairs Lord Feldman and Grant Shapps MP, senior treasurer Howard Leigh, a member of the Jewish Leadership Council; and former party treasurers Richard Harrington MP and Lord Fink, another member of the JLC.

"There are so many Jews at the top of Britain's Conservative party, Prime Minister David Cameron once quipped, that it should be known as the Torah party rather than the Tory party," crowed the paper.

And to make the Prime Minister feel thoroughly at home in his Torah party a Jewish scholar, after tracing Cameron's ancestry, claimed he could be "a direct descendant of Moses or, at least, a cousin".

Re Cameron, I do not know if you are aware that his mother is Jewish and as Jewish society is matrilineal, that makes him Jewish. **From Colin**

The End OS21453

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>



Christogenos
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the
ukcolumn
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe By Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is
available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction
can now be viewed or downloaded -
the latest addition part 6 covers the
German people in relation to the
migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail

thenewensign@gmail.com

Previous Issues are archived at

newensign.christsassembly.com

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Watch this space for
future events

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey

Wirral

CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383

Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk