The New Ensign

No. 45 May 2013

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,



S we go to press, signs of the emerging totalitarian state are now plain for all to see in the Israelite nations of the west, particularly so in the UK and USA.

In the UK, legislation is now in place to gag the press, but of course its not the mainstream media who will be affected, as they are the propaganda agents for the Government string pullers, but rather the many independent publishers such as the UK Column, Sovereign Independent and many others too numerous to mention here are the ones they really have in their gun sights.

Common Law, based on the Scriptures has now almost been replaced by Talmundic Law disguised as Civil or Contract law in the UK or UCC (Unified Commercial Code) in the USA. Juries are being done away with and it is planned to do away with them entirely in the very near future.

The UK's once unarmed police are now almost a para-military organisation and just like the former USSR and East Germany police make calls on people in the early hours of the morning who have dared to expose the misdeeds of Ministers and MPs. Not only that, they are no longer a public service but a registered private company and are mainly concerned with protecting the establishment and acting as revenue collectors by way of fines and tickets.

The situation in Cyprus where their government is robbing peoples savings will soon be coming to the UK. The Bank of England is already talking of implementing negative interest, which amounts to the same thing!!

We take comfort from Christ's words: "And when these things begin to come to pass, then look up, and lift up your heads; for your redemption draweth nigh" - *Luke; 21: 28*. Praised be the name of Yahweh.

Editor thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents	Page
Churches Borrowing Money from Outsiders (2) - Arnold Kennedy	3
The Exclusive Covenants of Covenant - Pastor Eli James Part 7	5
The 2 Seeds of Genesis 3:15 - Lee Mange Part 9	10
Harold Stough Notes - Tower of London	13
Secret UK Government Report Reveal Vaccines to be a Total Hoax	15
The Pyramid of Giza and The Sacred Cubit	17
God's Dialectic - John Trotter	19
Letters and Views	21
Death and Vaccination = Eustace Mullins	27
Nuremberg The Crime That Will Not Die - Part 2 Ernst Zundell	31
NOW Plans Exposed	36

Churches Borrowing Money From Outsiders, I.E. From Banks (Part 2) Arnold Kennedy



THE CHURCHES TODAY DO NOT WANT TO FULFIL THE LAW AS JESUS DID



problem about what "fulfil" means, they refuse to see borrowing as a sin. When God's people lend on usury themselves, they have to put it right by exercising "true judgement" as this verse shows.

Ezekiel 18:5-8 But if a man be just, and do that which is lawful and right, And hath not eaten upon the mountains, neither hath lifted up his eyes to the idols of the house of Israel, neither hath defiled his neighbour's wife, neither hath come near to a menstruous woman, And hath not oppressed any, <u>BUT HATH RESTORED</u> <u>TO THE DEBTOR HIS</u> PLEDGE, hath spoiled none by violence, hath given his bread to the hungry, and hath covered the naked with a garment; He that hath not given forth upon usury, neither hath taken any increase, that hath withdrawn his hand from iniquity, hath executed true judgment between man and man.

There is much against lending on interest to 'brothers' by God's people. God's own do not borrow or lend to brothers upon usury. They may lend to others on usury.

Exodus 22:25-27 If thou lend money to any of my people that is poor by thee, thou shalt not be to him as an usurer, neither shalt thou lay upon him usury. If thou at all take thy neighbour's raiment **TO PLEDGE**, thou shalt deliver it unto him by that the sun goeth down: For that is his covering only, it is his raiment for his skin: wherein shall he sleep? and it shall come to pass, when he crieth unto me, that I will hear; for I am gracious. Leviticus 25:36 Take thou no usury of him, or increase: but fear thy God; that thy brother may live with thee.

Deuteronomy 24:10-13 When thou dost lend thy brother any thing, thou shalt not go into his house to fetch **HIS PLEDGE.** Thou shalt stand abroad, and the man to whom thou dost lend shall bring out **THE PLEDGE** abroad unto thee. And if the man be poor, thou shalt not sleep with his pledge: In any case thou shalt deliver him the pledge again when the sun goeth down, that he may sleep in his own raiment, and bless thee: and it shall be righteousness unto thee before the LORD thy God.

Job 22:6 For thou hast taken *A* **PLEDGE** from thy brother for nought, and stripped the naked of their clothing.

Job 24:9 They pluck the fatherless from the breast, and take *A* **PLEDGE** of the poor.

Amos points out this is worshipping another God, i.e. "their god".

Amos 2:8 And they lay themselves down upon clothes laid **TO PLEDGE** by every altar, and they drink the wine of the condemned in the house of <u>their god.</u>

ONE CHURCH CANNOT BE GUARANTOR FOR ANOTHER CHURCH'S DEBT

Proverbs 22:26 *Be not thou one of them that strike hands,* or *of them that are sureties for debts.* To do this is to remove the landmarks.

Proverbs 22:28 *Remove not the ancient landmark, which thy fathers have set.*

"Striking hands" is about contracting debt at interest. Job expressed words about mockers who so indulge: Yes, they are mockers !!

Job 17:2-7 *Are there not mockers with me? and doth not mine eye continue in their provocation? Lay down now, put me in a surety with thee; who*

is he that will **STRIKE HANDS** with me? For eyelids. Deliver thyself as a roe from the hand thou hast hid their heart from understanding: therefore shalt thou not exalt them. He that fowler. speaketh flattery to his friends, even the eyes of his children shall fail. He hath made me also a byword of the people; and aforetime I was as a tabret. Mine eye also is dim by reason of sorrow, and all my members are as a shadow.

Note well these two proverbs.

Proverbs 11:15 *He that is surety for a stranger* shall smart for it: and he that hateth suretiship is sure.

Proverbs 17:18 *A man void of understanding* striketh hands, and becometh surety in the presence of his friend.

WHAT TO DO IF FOUND TO BE IN DEBT



When we sin in ignorance, God forgives, but only if there is repentance.

Acts 3:17-19 And now, brethren, I wot that THROUGH IGNORANCE YE DID IT, as did also your rulers. But those things, which God before had shewed by the mouth of all his prophets, that Christ should suffer, he hath so fulfilled. **REPENT YE THEREFORE**, and be converted, that your sins may be blotted out, when the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord; And the times of this ignorance God winked at; but now commandeth all men every where TO REPENT:

Seek to escape from the situation.

Proverbs 6:1-5 My son, if thou be surety for thy friend, if thou hast stricken thy hand with a stranger, Thou art snared with the words of thy mouth, thou art taken with the words of thy mouth. Do this now, my son, and deliver thyself, when thou art come into the hand of thy friend; go, humble thyself, and make sure thy friend. Give not sleep to thine eyes, nor slumber to thine

of the hunter, and as a bird from the hand of the

From the verses below we see what appears to be borrowing from necessity but we see God has no 'shadow of turning' in this area

Nehemiah 5:1-5 And there was a great cry of the people and of their wives against their brethren the Jews. For there were that said, We, our sons, and our daughters, are many: therefore we take up corn for them, that we may eat, and live. Some also there were that said, WE HAVE *MORTGAGED* **OUR** LANDS, VINEYARDS, AND HOUSES, THAT WE MIGHT BUY CORN, BECAUSE OF THE **DEARTH**. There were also that said, **WE** HAVE BORROWED MONEY for the king's tribute, and that upon our lands and vineyards. Yet now our flesh is as the flesh of our brethren, our children as their children: and, lo, we bring into bondage our sons and our daughters to be servants, and some of our daughters are brought unto bondage already: neither is it in our power to redeem them; for other men have our lands and vinevards.

Although the borrowing of money here was because of drought and also to pay tax demands, it could not be justified because God still judged them for disobedience. They had become servants to the lenders!

Nehemiah 5:9-10 Also I said, It is not good that ye do: ought ye not to walk in the fear of our God because of the reproach of the heathen our enemies? I likewise, and my brethren, and my servants, might exact of them money and corn: I pray you, LET US LEAVE OFF THIS USURY.

When we have found ourselves with the millstone of servitude through borrowing by what appears to be necessity, our object must be repentance, i.e. do what ever we can to get out of all debt and be right with God. If it means trading down, selling up and spending on essentials only, so be it. Anyone or any church can prove God in this area if the heart is broken and the intent to obey God from the heart is found.

Some make the excuse that a house mortgage is not a debt provided instalments are paid on time, but this is deception. The debt is still there. Christians question however they could build a family home without a mortgage. In a true Christian community all would get together to give according to the need. Men would *"know that ye are my disciples if ye have love for one another"*. People would be drawn by what they see of the Spirit of God working in the saints.

Acts 5:13-14 And of the rest durst no man join himself to them: but the people magnified them. And believers were the more added to the Lord, multitudes both of men and women.)

But today men see no difference between themselves and church-goers because church-goers conform to this world like non-Christians. The injunction to "*be not conformed to this world*" is ignored. Borrowing to provide amenities to attract people can never work, because it is contrary to what Scripture says attracts. There will be no, "*no man durst join them*"; this is what is designed for a true Christian community.

Acts 4:32 And the multitude of them that believed were of one heart and of one soul: neither said any of them that ought of the things which he possessed was his own; but they had all things common.

THEY DID NOT HAVE CHURCH BUILDINGS, they met "from house to house". If God is not providing to satisfy the demands of local bodies, building maintenance or anything else, then it is time to quit that building and either rent space in a school hall, buy a barn or have house church with those who want to lean on God rather than on the arm of flesh [i.e. banks].

Banks want their money. When what is collected in assembly goes to pay banks, it cannot be used to meet the needs of the poor and needy (this must be within the group only as it was in the early church). Thus the collection is misused. This is sin! No sinning church can prosper.

Churches can have their revival meetings, visiting evangelists, "miracle crusades", exhortations to pray and pay more [really to pay the banks], substitute "worship" for obedience and they can dance all they wish like the prophets of Ba'al on Mt. Carmel, but without obedience it all is in vain. Those seeking God with all their hearts should quit association with those churches that continue to disobey and fail to trust God.

The End OS17798

The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant (Part 7) Documenting The Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation By Pastor Eli James



"One Blood"

CTS 17:26 is another verse that is commonly cited by those who opt for the unity of the races doctrine. This verse

says: "He has made from one blood every nation of men to dwell on the face of the earth." This verse does not mean that all races have the same blood genetically, anymore than the statement, "there is one flesh of men" (1 Cor. 15:39), means all races have the same skin. Daily observation of the different skin pigmentations tell us this is not the correct interpretation of 1 Cor. 15:39. Also, medical science has well established that the various races do not have the same genetic makeup of blood (see, Races and People, by Dr. William Boyd, 1955, p. 145). Furthermore, the word 'blood' is not in the original Greek text. It was added by the translators. Most Bible commentaries on this verse state that, "The best texts omit the word 'blood'."

MORE TAMPERING WITH TEXT BY THE TRANSLATORS!

Even if the word was originally there, it does not change the meaning of the Greek word *ANTHROPOI* ("men"), which was only applied to White men by the Greeks. Therefore, the true meaning and intent of Acts 17:26 is this: "He has made from one every nation of Adamkind to dwell upon the face of the earth." Paul is actually referring to Noah, the one man from whom all of the White nations of the world have descended. His audience understood what he was saying. They were not multiculturalists!

The passage at Acts 17:18-32 is addressed to certain Epicureans and Stoics of the Dispersion. This group would have included both White Japhethites as well as Shemites, going all the way back to the table of nations in Genesis 10, all of which were White Adamites. Verses 28 and 29: "For in Him we live and move and have our being; as certain also of your [Epicurean and Stoic] own poets [philosophers] have said, Forasmuch then as we are the offspring of God [through Adam and Noah], we ought not to think that the Godhead is like to gold, or silver, or stone, graven by art and man's device [as the heathen nations do!]."



Paul is telling these Adamites that they should not imitate the heathen nations. This is Old Testament theology, folks.

Arnold Kennedy has done the most thorough In other words, we are not to show favouritism research on this passage. His document, entitled, to an Israelite person because of wealth, status, "Of One Blood' - Acts 17:26," goes into the rank, position, within our own society. subject of the word 'haimatos:'

"However, the word 'blood,' Greek 'haimatos,' as in the Stephens' text and the King James non-Israelites never comes up. Obviously, we

Version, is omitted by practically all authorities. Bullinger states, 'The texts omit 'blood,' and Scofield agrees with, 'Blood is not in the best manuscripts.' Four of six editors of the Stephens' text (A.V.) omit the word. It is also omitted by the following: Wescott and Hort, Panin, the Concordant Version, the Diaglott, Douay Version, the vulgate, Revised Version, the Nestle text & Revised Standard Version, and by Ferrar Fenton. Moffat has 'from a common origin.""

"NO RESPECTER OF PERSONS" HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH RACE

It is true that Paul spoke these words in Acts 10:34, but Judeo theology is completely in error when suggesting that these words mean that we should "respect" the people of other races. The expression, "no respecter of persons," has nothing to do with the subject of race. That is a modern misrepresentation of biblical reality. The phrase is also taken out of context. The phrase always refers to rich versus poor, strong versus weak, within Israel. Since we are not to associate with non-Israelites, except on a national basis, with our leaders negotiating with their leaders, we are not expected to defer those of another race in personal matters that should not even occur, were we obeying Yahweh's Law of Segregation ...

The fact is that Yahweh does not have equal regard for all races. Israel is the apple of His Eye. We are His Chosen People, and no other people has He regarded as He regards us. It might be said that we are His "special project," the posterity that is to redeem this planet against the anti-Christ and his fallen angels.

The first occurrence of the phrase in Scripture is at Lev. 19:15, where only Israel is being addressed, since Moses is giving us the Law: "Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment: thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honour the person of the mighty but in righteousness: but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbour."

Since Israel is to dwell alone and separated from the other races, the question of deferring to are not to mistreat strangers, but the strangers of that knoweth Thee besides Israel?" - II Esdras, other races are not even supposed to be among 3:30-32. See also Romans 9:4-5. us in order to disrespect them.

In Gal. 3, Paul's soliloguy about neither male nor female, Judean or Hellene is also strictly within the nation of Israel, because both the Greeks and Romans had numerous Israelites living within those territories, as Josephus clearly attests. Josephus was one of them! Paul is simply telling the Israelites that they all have been forgiven, regardless of rank, status, sex, or circumcision.

THE LAW WAS GIVEN ONLY TO ISRAEL



Moses and the Israel. saying, "Take heed, and hearken, O Israel; this day you are become the people of Yahweh Elohim. You shall therefore obey the voice of wife." Yahweh vour

command you this day."

Psalm 103:7: "Yahweh made known His ways to Moses, His acts to the children of Israel."

Deut. 4:7-8: "For what [other] nation is there so great, who has El so near to them, as Yahweh Elohim is in all things that we call upon Him? And what nation is there so great, that has statutes and judgments so righteous as all this law, which I set before you this day?" All scholars agree that the Mosaic Law was delivered to Israel and only Israel.

Psalm 147:19-20: "He showeth His word unto Jacob, his statutes and His judgments unto Israel. He hath not dealt so with any other nation."

Ezra agrees: "For I have seen how thou [Yahweh] sufferest them sinning, and hast spared wicked-doers: and hast destroyed [the evildoers of] thy people, and hast preserved thy enemies...I do not remember how this way may be left: are they then of Babylon better than they of Zion [Israel]? Or is there any other people

Clearly and unambiguously, the Bible recognizes only True Israel as His People. No other people qualifies; and only Israel was given the Mosaic Law.

ANTHROPOS (MAN)

The Greek word 'anthropos' is the common word for a male person of the Greco-Roman world, just as the Greek word 'gyne' is the common Greek word for a woman. This fact is proven by the way in which 'anthropos' is used **Deut.** 27: 9-10: in the Septuagint. For example:

priests spoke to all **Deuteronomy 17:5**. "Then you shall bring out that anthropos or that woman, and you shall stone them with stones"

> Deuteronomy 22:24. "They shall be stoned with stones, and they shall die, the young woman, because she did not cry out in the city, and the anthropos, because he violated his neighbour's

Elohim and do His Isaiah 4:1. "Seven women shall take hold of one commandments and His statutes, which I anthropos, saying, we will eat our own bread, and wear our own raiment; only let your name be called upon us, and take away our reproach."

> Jeremiah 51:7: "to cut off anthropos and woman of you, infant and suckling from the midst of Judah..."

Now, for the New Testament:

Matthew 19:5 "Therefore shall an anthropos leave his father and mother, and hold fast to his wife." (also in Ephesians 5:31)

Matthew 19:10 "If such is the case of an anthropos with his wife, it is better not to marry."

I Corinthians 7:1 "It is good for an anthropos not to touch a woman."

Since the law was given exclusively to Israel, these statements, using the word anthropos, cannot apply to any other group. Furthermore, neither the Greeks, many of whom were Japhethites, nor the Israelites of the Greco-Roman world, considered non-Whites to be anthropos of their own race. The Greeks and

Romans never considered the other races to be part of this COSMOS (world-order), which was run exclusively by *ANTHROPOS* (White, Adamic Man). Non-whites, whether of Oriental or Black origin, were never accorded citizenship status until much later in Greek and Roman history. In both cases, the half-breed offspring of Free Whites and non-White slaves were not given citizenship status until these cultures were in the throes of fatal decline. From this history, which includes myriads of statues and artwork of Whites only, we know that the Greco-Roman world was an exclusively White *anthropos* world. This reality is assumed by and reflected in the language of the New Testament.

There is simply no doubt that the Greco-Roman world was both Hebrew and Indo-Aryan:

It is common to speak of "civilizational ideas," but do they exist? For example, are the doshas of Ayurveda peculiarly Indian since they are a tripartite classification that is basic to the Vedic system of knowledge? Plato introduced a similar system based on three humours into Greek medicine, with a central role to the idea of breath (*pneuma* in Greek). But this centrality of breath (*prana* in Sanskrit) is already a feature of the much older Vedic thought.



So do we agree with Jean Filliozat (1970) that Plato borrowed the elements of the wind, the gall, and the phlegm, from the earlier tridosha theory, and that the transmission occurred the Persian via empire?

Others claim that any similarities between the Indian and the Greek medical systems must be a result of the shared Indo-European heritage and what may appear to be Indian is actually Indo-European. Dumezil's demonstration that tripartite categories operated elsewhere in the Indo-European world supports this latter view. Dumezil argued that all Indo-European religions have three hierarchical functions: sacred sovereignty, force, and fecundity, represented by the categories of brahman, rajan (or kshatra), and vish.

Religious and political sovereignty is conceived as a dual category: the magician-king and the jurist-priest. In India, this duality is in the roles of the rajan and brahman; in Rome, of rex and flamen. Even the names are similar!

In his *Mitra-Varuna, Dumezil* (1948) shows that the magician-king (Varuna in India or Romulus in Rome) initiates in violence the social order that the jurist-priest (Mitra in India or Numa in Rome) develops in peace. Magical sovereignty operates by means of bonds and debts, whereas juridical sovereignty employs pacts and faith. This pattern is repeated in time: in the cult of Christ as the "son" he is the "intercessor" and savior juxtaposed to the avenging, punishing father."

There is similarity between the Indian and the Greek religions as also in the society sketched in the Mahabharata and Homeric poems. Metempsychosis [reincarnation] is known in both places. The imagery of the "world-egg," so central to Vedic thought, is described in the later Orphic legends. According to Rawlinson (1975), "the resemblance between the two legends is too close to be accidental." – Indic Ideas in the Graeco-Roman World, by Subhash Kak, PhD.

All of the nations named in Genesis 10 were exclusively White. The rulership of these nations remained exclusively White, even after non-White slaves were brought in, after military subjugation. In no way did any of these Adamic civilizations treat race-mixing as an acceptable practice. Indeed, the Hindu caste system is a holdover from Aryan dominionism in India. Racial exclusivity was, quite simply, the norm, not the exception, in the White, Greco-Roman world. No anthropologist, except for Jewish deceivers, has ever stated otherwise.

By the time of Christ, most of the Greeks of the Greco-Roman world were actually Japhethites and Israelites of the Dispersion, and most of the Romans were pre-Dispersion Israelites of the House of Zarah-Judah, as Rome, Troy, Spain and Britain were settled by Zarah-Judah and Dan even before the Exodus; and it was only the Dispersed Israelites of the Greco-Roman world who had any interest in going to Jerusalem for any Israelitish feasts. Nor would any non-Israelites be allowed to attend these Feasts. This is just as true of the Magi, who were of the Parthian (Pharez-Judah) nation, as it was of the

various "nations" represented at Pentecost in the Book of Acts. The Parthians were a blend of Persian (Aramaic Shemite) Whites and Saxon Whites. They were ruled by kings descended from Pharez and by priests of the house of Levi. The Scythians were a blend of Japhethites and Israelites who were not ruled by Pharez-Judah. Their territory was north of Parthia, well into Russia, and extended far into the East, even into China (the Tocharians) and was well established in the eastern and northern parts of Europe (as the Veneti, Frisians, etc.). The Veneti were Japhethites (Slavs), who were the traders that delivered goods into Venice from the rivers of eastern Europe and Russia.



All of these nations were White, from top to bottom; and they all considered non-Whites to be inferior races. When these White tribes confronted Mongolians or Africans, there was war, not integration. This is the racial COSMOS that the Israelites were brought up in; and the Apostles display this racial reality when they are confronted by non-Israelites.

At Acts 2:22, Peter addresses the assembly with the words, "Ye men of Israel." These men were White, Adamic Israelites who had been scattered by the various dispersions and had settled in the various parts of the Greco-Roman world. Only such persons would even be allowed into the congregation of Israel. Indeed, the death penalty was enforced for any non-Israelite who tried to enter the Temple. The plaques stating this death penalty warning still exist on the Temple walls.

In Apion 2.8; 103-109, Josephus describes the Temple grounds. The Temple had four courts, each with restrictions on who could enter. The outer court was open to all, including non-Israelites, except menstruating women. The second court permitted only Israelite men and non-menstruating Israelite women. The third court permitted only Israelite men. Finally the nations are considered as being part of the Bride fourth court was restricted to priests who were of Christ. There is simply no way that the word

properly attired for the performance of their priestly duties. Only the High Priest dressed in his regalia could enter the inner sanctuary (adytum), the holy of holies.

The question for the Jews and Judeos is this: At what point were all of these segregationist rules suspended? And by whom? Nothing like this was ever done by either Jesus or Paul. Rather, the integrationist philosophy of the Jews and Judeos is a figment of their hyperactive imaginations and their anti-White, anti-Christ agenda.

At Acts 1:6, the Israelites assembled at Jerusalem realized that they are being confronted by the risen Christ. They ask Him, "Will You at this time restore again the kingdom to Israel?" Notice, they do NOT ask, "Will you restore the kingdom to all races of the world?" Since this is the NEW TESTAMENT, and it was AFTER The Resurrection, there is no question that the Apostles entertained any notion that the covenants were to be promised to anyone other than Israel. That notion is a purely modern, that is, apostate, notion. It is only because of the dilution and perversion of the original Greek and Hebrew text that the modern apostasy of universalism and miscegenation is saleable to a gullible Caucasian Christian.

You will note that Paul only speaks to the Romans as being capable of being "grafted in" precisely because they were Israelites, not "Gentiles," in the Jewish sense. They were still children of the Covenants even though they had left the main body of Israel BEFORE the Exodus but AFTER the Covenants were made with Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. Only because they were part of Caucasian Israel could they be "grafted" back into the fold. This is also the true meaning of the vision Peter had concerning clean and unclean "food." Acts 10:28 clearly states that the meaning of this vision is about MEN (anthropos), not food; and these men are Israelites of the Dispersion being equated with the Judahites of Judea. Jer. 31 is again verified.

Rev. 21 is about the Bride of Christ and the coming of the New Jerusalem. This New Jerusalem is the Lamb's wife. The Lamb's wife is described as being the "twelve tribes of the children of Israel." (Rev. 21:12.) No other 'Israel' can be redefined to mean "all races of Second Coming of Yahshua the world." Yet, this is what the false priests of concomitant with the Restoration of True Israel.. the modern apostasy all teach. Rev. 21 and 22 are simply stating the fact that Dominion Mandate of Gen. 1 will be established upon the

Messiah,

To be continued

The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (9) **By Charles Lee Mange**

Appendix No. 2 Questions Raised And Answered



THE study of Genesis 3:15 as provided in this booklet has no doubt raised and answered other questions in your mind about the third chapter of Genesis. All of the following thought provoking questions are answered by the Study of Genesis 3:15. This verse is the key that unlocks your understanding of not only this chapter, but all of the Bible and History for the past thousand years of historical events upon this earth. The following questions, all of which pertain to what took place in the Garden of Eden have been answered in the discussion of Genesis 3:15 if you have spiritual discernment to perceive the TRUTH OF JESUS CHRIST. Think on each of the following questions, and then review the meaning of Genesis 3:15 and see if this does not fall into a solid perspective of Divine Truth. One final word, all of the endless discussion of the events taking place in Genesis Three will be resolved only when you nail down the two seeds (seed of the serpent) and (Seed of the Woman) in Genesis 3:15. 1. You have not identified the serpent of Genesis Three and you know why he was more subtle than any beast of the field which

Yahweh God had made and you understand his power and purpose for being in that Garden.

2. You now understand the difference between Creation and Pro-Creation. Only God can create, the serpent could only procreate.

3. You now understand why the serpent was able to converse in a very intelligent manner with the woman Eve.

4. You now understand why the woman Eve was not to touch nor eat of the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. Genesis 3:3.

5. You know now what the serpent meant when he told the woman "your eyes shall be opened, (how were they to be opened?) and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil." How could they be as gods? By the act of pro-creation which in time became the very embodiment of all pagan mystery Religions of the Babylonian World system. Sex became an object of worship in all pagan Religions.

6. You now understand why the woman saw that the tree was pleasant to the eyes and a tree to be desired and a tree that would make one wise. Genesis 3:6

7. You now understand what the fruit of this pleasant tree was and you now know what Eve did when she took of the fruit of this tree and did eat and then gave also to her husband. Genesis 3:6

8. You know now why the "eyes" of both Adam and Eve were opened because you understand what they did Genesis 3:7

9. You now understand the Genesis Three is concerned with Original Sin as distinguished from sin in the general sense.

10. You now understand why Adam and Eve made themselves aprons of fig leaves and why they covered that particular portion of their body with an apron made of fig leaves. Genesis 3:7

11. You realize now why they became ashamed of their nakedness and why previous to this act they had not been ashamed. Genesis 2:25 and Genesis 3:10-11.

12. You now understand what the woman meant when she said: "The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat." First she was beguiled, seduced, and or deceived and then she did "eat". The sin began in the heart and was consummated in the act of the flesh. The beguiling was in the heart and mind and the "eating" was the act which resulted from the deception or beguiling. (James 1:14-15) (II Cor. 11:1-3) (Pro. 30:20)

13. You now understand why the coming of the Messiah in the personage of Jesus Christ necessitated the Virgin Birth. Why the Virgin Birth? The Study of Genesis 3:15 answers that question.

14. You know also why the sign of the Abrahamic Covenant was the circumcision of the flesh (why circumcision? Genesis 3:15 answers this question) and you also know why circumcision of the heart (where sin begins) was a requirement of the New Covenant.

15. You now understand why God multiplied Eve's sorrow in conception (Genesis 3:16). In the light of Genesis 3:15 you know what the judgment is all about.

16. It is now evident why God multiplied sorrow in childbirth as revealed in Gen. 3:16. The truth of Genesis 3:15 has made this punishment a very righteous act of judgment.

17. It is clear to you why the desire of the woman was made to be to her husband, Genesis 3:16 Who had the woman Eve desired before? Genesis 3:15 has answered this question for you.

18. Your understanding of the Trees in the Garden of Eden is beginning to be clear. By this time you have already discerned that the evil race or seed of the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil, which was the fruit of this tree, is that wicked seedline that John the Baptist said, referring to this seed as a tree, that it would be only be handled by them "...that are of full age,

"...hewn down, and cast into the fire..." Read Matthew 3:7-12 and again read Matthew 13:24-30 and Matthew 13:37-43. The tares of the Matthew Ch. 13 are the same wicked seedline as the chaff in Matthew 3:12 and the tree as in Matthew 3:10. See also Luke 3:9.



19. Your understanding is now clear as to who the Tree of Life represented in the Garden. Every Bible Scholar of any repute will acknowledge that Tree to be Jesus Christ. Who then did the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil represent? Remember it was the fruit of this tree which was forbidden. Who was the only other creature on the earth who was in a position to know both good and evil? Who created Lucifer or the serpent? Who planted this tree in the earth? God was the creator of the serpent but because Lucifer rebelled against God, he lost his estate and in the role of Satan, in the Garden of Eden, he first beguiled or deceived the woman and then the act that caused all creation to groan was committed.

20. The knowledge (or Fruit) of Good and Evil was that of Pro-Creation. When Eve was beguiled. Satan told her of Pro-Creation. She was first beguiled and then she did eat or participate with Satan in the act of Pro-Creation. Their eyes (Adam and Eve) were opened because of sin, original sin, which is the transgression of the Law. Pro-Creation was the Fruit of that Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. This was the Fruit which this evil tree did bear and Satan gave Eve of that fruit.

You can now read the Bible and have understanding because you have seen the light of Genesis 3:15. This is strong meat and it can

even those who by reason of use have their of this age it becomes only more apparent that senses exercised to discern both good and evil." Hebrews 5:12-14. By exercising your sense of spiritual discernment, and moving forth into the meat of the scripture, you have the flood of light that Genesis 3:15 throws on the Bible, the record of History, and the present world struggle between Christ and anti-Christ, between good and evil, between the seed of the serpent and THE SEED OF THE WOMAN. You are now of full age, you are standing in deeper truth and the revelation of scripture is clear because you have used and exercised your spiritual discernment to perceive TRUTH. You are an armed Christian, armed with the knowledge that will one day set White Christian Israel free, and will crush the head of the Serpent Race, and when that Head is crushed, the body of that snake, that race will die! And that is precisely what John the Baptist predicted in Matthew 3 and Jesus Christ stated in Matthew 23. You are now fulfilling Isaiah 28:9-13. You are building line upon line, and precept upon precept for you are moving into the deeper truth of Jesus Christ and His Word and because of this, you now have "eyes" to see and "ears" to hear what the times are all about. You have spiritual discernment to see beyond that which the average student of the Bible sees. You are beginning to unveil the mysteries of the Kingdom spoken of by Jesus Christ in Matthew 13.11

THE BIBLICAL EXEGESIS OF SATAN

"And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him." Rev. 12:9



The Biblical position on the existence of a personal of Satan is clearly portrayed in the Holy Scriptures of truth. critical А exegesis of the Holy Scriptures, both Old and New Testament. sets forth in no uncertain terms, the literal existence of a personal Satan who is adversarv of the

Yahweh. As we move to the final consummation

men would seek to deny the existence of a Satanic being who stands opposed and in opposition of this age it becomes only more apparent that men would seek to deny the existence of a Satanic being who stands opposed and in opposition to Jesus Christ, the Kingdom, and the Children of Light. The closer we move in time to the ending of this age, and the beginning of the Kingdom Age, the more deception you can expect to observe in this world. Perhaps the final end of all human deception will be Satan's ability to con man into believing that he (Satan) does not exist. Satan's most clever act since the Garden of Eden betrayal of our Adamic Parents will be to convince men that he does not exist. As we move toward the final ending of this age, it is expected that men will not only see to deny Jesus Christ but to also deny that arch enemy of Jesus Christ whom the Holy Scriptures declare to be Satan. The final glorification of Satan will come when men not only deny their personal existence but when they proclaim themselves to be god, in human form, with absolute knowledge, and will seek to rule this world through Satan's religion, which is humanism.

The need for a good look at the Biblical Viewpoint of Satan has been increased in this ending of the age with the growth and proliferation of evil and darkness on every hand. Today the occult powers of darkness, headed up by Satan, are everywhere being expressed. Witchcraft, the occult, and related works of evil and darkness are gripping men and governments throughout the world. Witchcraft and demonic forces of wickedness are visiting high places in America and throughout the world. In this time of unprecedented satanic evil and darkness it is imperative that the children of light take a careful look at Satan and the entire occult world of darkness. Never in the history of man, has it become more necessary to guard against the spirit of deception than it is today. The warning of Jesus Christ in Matthew 24:4,24 states "Take heed that no man deceive you...For there shall arise false Christ's, and false prophets, and shall shew great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect." May this Biblical Exegesis of Satan arm you with the necessary truth avoid the deception now rampant in this nation, and especially behind the pulpits of our land.

THREE VIEWPOINTS OF SATAN

In order to understand the Biblical Viewpoint of Satan and his works, it is first necessary to lay to rest some of the more popular beliefs currently embodied in the theology of the modern Baal Church World. In observing what the modern theological position is on Satan we find three prevailing schools of thought. First, we have the amillennial viewpoint of Satan which presents Satan and God as being almost coequals with one another. One god is good and the other god is bad. These people, primarily because of the clergy, see Satan as a supernatural, omniscient, omnipresent, being who is everywhere on earth at once and at the same time present in hell torturing millions of living dead. This premillennial viewpoint of Satan is not what the

Bible Teaches and can seek no place in true Christian Thought. The third position taken is that of the postmillennial church world which sees Satan as almost nonexistent, in many cases as not existing, and for all practical purposes a Satan who does not really oppose God since they see the whole world culminating under Jesus Christ without His personal return. Again, this is not the Biblical Viewpoint of Satan and must be discarded from our Christian Theology built upon the Holy Bible. Let us examine each of these three positions now in more detail to expose the faulty teaching in each of the amillennial, premillennial and postmillennial positions of thought with respect to Satan.

To be continued



Harold Stough Notes The Tower of London

HE first structure on the site was a motte-and-bailey castle, which was started not long after William the Conqueror became king in 1066, the castle was built on the old Roman walls, which once formed the corner of Londinium. The first stone building on the site was the White Tower, which was commissioned by William the Conqueror in 1078 and completed in 1097. Gundulf, who was made bishop of Rochester in 1077, oversaw the building of the tower to its completion. It was a bastion of Norman power, towering 90 feet over the capital city. The White Tower has changed surprisingly little from that time, but other buildings and towers have grown around it, so that there are now around 20 towers, and a mix of different buildings dating from different periods of history.

Many of the towers once held prison cells, and the White Tower once held torture chambers within its crypt. Tower Green outside the White Tower was reserved for Royal executions, while Tower Hill served as the public execution place for all the other traitors. Over the centuries the tower has performed diverse royal functions, it has been a prison, palace, observatory, menagerie, place of capital punishment and a side of powerful people. If anywhere could lay museum.



Ghosts and Hauntings

With all the blood, death and intrigue the Tower of London has been involved with in its 900-year history, there is little wonder that it has the reputation as one of the most haunted places in Britain. There have been literally hundreds of executions on Tower Hill, from claimants to the throne, political activists and petty criminals. Many of the towers have also served as prisons, and places of misery for people on the wrong

claim to a host of tortured souls it would be the executed in 1536, after Henry VIII had become Tower.

E. L. Swifte, who was a keeper of the Crown In 1864 a sentry is said to have challenged a Jewels in the 19th century, recorded one of the most interesting and fullest descriptions of a haunting within the tower. He and his family were sitting at a candlelit dinner in his room in the Martin Tower in 1817, when his wife spotted something on the other side of the room. She cried out in alarm and Swifte turned round to see a cylindrical object resembling a glass tube, filled with bubbling blue fluid. The strange apparition started to move and came round behind his wife, who was still sitting at the table. She cried out that it had tried to grab her, and Swifte let fly at it with a chair, which passed straight through the object. The cylinder then receded backwards and disappeared.

Swifte was also a confidant in another ghostly oft quoted sighting; apparently a sentry on guard in what is now the Martin Tower, witnessed the apparition of a bear coming from out of the Jewel Room. He stabbed at it with his bayonet, which passed through the apparition and embedded in a door, whereupon the bear promptly disappeared. The sentry died a few days later, possibly of shock, but he had already confided in Swifte and another sentry who verified his story. The sighting has been dated to January in the year 1815 or 1816.

The Bloody Tower was the scene for the infamous disappearance of the two princes; Edward V (12) and Richard Duke of York (10), who are thought to have been murdered in 1483 on the probable command of the Duke of Gloucestershire, who was to be crowned Richard the III. According to one story, guards in the late 15th century, who were passing the stair in the Bloody Tower, spotted the shadows of two small figures gliding down the stairs. These figures were identified as the ghosts of the two princes. In 1674 workmen found a chest that contained the skeletons of two young children, they were thought to be the remains of the princess, and were given a royal burial not long afterwards.

Ann Boleyn is said to be one of the most enduring ghosts of the Tower, she haunts the vicinity of the White Tower, the King's House, Tower Green, and the chapel of St Peter and Vincula, where her headless body was interred Guy Fawkes echoing through the tower, as they in an narrow case under the floor. She was did when he was tortured before being hung

tired of her.

headless figure thought to be Ann Boleyn, his bayonet passed straight through her, and he fainted in shock. He was saved from court martial for being asleep at his post, on the word of other guards, who said they had witnessed a similar occurrence. In another account a Captain of the Guard is said to have seen a light source coming from within the locked empty Chapel Royal in the White Tower. He climbed a ladder to peer down into the chapel, and witnessed a procession of people in ancient dress, with an elegant woman walking in front of them. He recognised the slender figure as Ann Boleyn from portraits that he had seen.



Sir Walter Raleigh makes an appearance now and again, and has been seen as recently as 1983 by a Yeoman Guard on duty in the Byward Tower. The same apparition was also seen a year and a half later by

a different sentry in the same area. Sir Walter is said to wander the tower as he did when he was imprisoned, he was not as restricted in movements as some of the other prisoners during his incarceration.

The bungled execution of Lady Salisbury is said to be enacted on Tower Green, on the anniversary of her execution in 1541. She ran from the block in hysterics with the axe man chasing behind her. She was finally felled with a number of heavy blows from behind, the whole bloody scene is said to be replayed in full.

Lady Jane Grey, the 9-day queen, is also said to appear on the anniversary of her death on the 12th February 1554. She has been seen on the Salts Tower, although it is difficult to reason how you would recognise one royal figure from the next.

Other ghostly traditions include the screams of

drawn and quartered, the ghost of Lord There are many more intriguing stories and Northumberland who was executed in 1553, and legends about the tower, which we will explore various other apparitions and shades from its at a later date. bloody history.

The End OS21219

Secret UK Government Documents Reveal Vaccines **To Be A Total Hoax** Ethan A. Huff



F you have children, you are more than likely already aware of the official U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) "Immunization Schedules," which today recommend an astounding 29 vaccines be given between birth and six years of age, including yearly flu shots, as well as another five to 16 vaccines between ages seven and 18 (http://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/schedules/). But a recent investigative report compiled by Dr. Lucija Tomljenovic, Ph.D., uncovers more than 30 years of hidden government documents exposing these vaccine schedules as a complete hoax, not to mention the fraud of the vaccines themselves to provide any real protection against disease.

Though her paper focuses primarily on the British health system's elaborate cover-up of the dirty truth about its own national vaccination program, the tenets of the study's findings still apply to vaccination schedules in general, which are typically designed for the purpose of serving corporate interests rather than public health. Government authorities, it turns out, in an ongoing bid to satisfy the private goals of the vaccine industry, have deliberately covered up pertinent information about the dangers and

ineffectiveness of vaccines from parents in order to maintain a high rate of vaccination compliance. And in the process, they have put countless millions of children at risk of serious side effects and death.

You can access Dr. Tomljenovic's full paper here:

http://www.ecomed.org.uk/wpcontent/uploads/2011/09/3-tomljenovic.pdf

Hiding The Truth And Covering Up Data **To Encourage Vaccine Compliance**

Through several Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests, Dr. Tomljenovic was able to obtain transcripts of private meetings that were held between the Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization (JCVI), a socalled "independent expert advisorv committee" that makes recommendations to the government about vaccine policy, and various British health ministers over the years. And after poring through this plethora of information, which had previously been veiled from public view, Dr. Tomljenovic made some disturbing discoveries.

The JCVI (Joint Committee on Vaccination and Immunization) made continuous efforts to withhold critical data on severe adverse reactions and contraindications to vaccinations to both parents and health practitioners in order to reach overall vaccination rates which they deemed were necessary for 'herd immunity,' a concept which ... does not rest on solid scientific evidence," explains Dr. Tomljenovic in the introduction to her paper.

"Official documents obtained from the U.K. Department of Health (DH) and the JCVI reveal that the British health authorities have been engaging in such practice for the last 30 years, apparently for the sole purpose of protecting the national vaccination program."

These are some strong accusations, but the information Dr. Tomljenovic gathered speaks for itself. Not only did the JCVI routinely ignore questions of safety as they came up with regards to the ever-expanding vaccination schedule, but the group actively censored unfavourable data that shed a "negative" light on vaccines in order to maintain the illusion that vaccines are safe and effective. Beyond this, the JCVI regularly lied to both the public and government authorities about vaccine safety in order to ensure that people continued to vaccinate their kids.



JCVI was fully aware of MMR vaccine dangers as early as 1989, but covered them up.

Beginning on page three of her report, Dr. Tomljenovic begins outlining the sordid details of meetings held as early as 1981 where the JCVI clearly engaged in fraud, cover-up, and lies about vaccines to protect the vaccine industry, not children, from harm. Minutes from these meetings reveal that the JCVI actively tried to cover up severe side effects associated with common vaccines like measles and whooping cough (pertussis), both of which were clearly linked at the time to causing severe brain damage in a substantial percentage of the children that received them.

Of particular concern was how the JCVI handled unfavourable data on the controversial MMR vaccine for measles, mumps, and rubella. 10 years before Dr. Andrew Wakefield published his study on MMR in The Lancet, JCVI was already fully aware that the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC) had identified a clear link between MMR and vaccine-induced meningitis and encephalitis. But rather than come forward with this

information and call for further safety assessments on the vaccine, the JCVI instead censored this critical information from the public, and blatantly lied about the safety of MMR for years.

"The extent of the JCVI's concerns with the implications of scientific assessment of vaccine safety on vaccine policy explains why they were opposed to any long-term surveillance for severe neurological disorders following vaccination," writes Dr. Tomljenovic. "Instead of re-evaluating the vaccination policy, at least until safety concerns were fully evaluated, the JCVI chose to support the existing policy based on incomplete evidence that was available at that time."

In other words, the JCVI was more concerned with protecting the reputation of the dangerous MMR vaccine, as well as many other questionable vaccines, than with protecting children from sustaining serious injuries as a result of getting the jabs. As far as the MMR vaccine is concerned, this critical piece of information not only reinforces the legitimacy of Dr. Wakefield's findings from 10 years later, which were illegitimately declared to be fraudulent by the establishment, but also illustrates just how painfully long this scam has been taking place.

Vaccine Companies Urged To Manipulate Data Sheets, Skew Safety Studies To Promote Vaccines

If this is not bad enough, Dr. Tomljenovic also drudged up copious amounts of information on the JCVI's long-time habit of encouraging vaccine companies to deliberately alter their data sheets in order to make dangerous and ineffective vaccines appear safe and effective, in accordance with their recommendations. When the JCVI's guidance contraindications for MMR, for instance, did not match those of the vaccine's manufacturer, JCVI apparently instructed the manufacturer to alter its data sheets to avoid "legal problems."

Similarly, the JCVI's official policy was to cherry-pick unreliable studies to support its own opinions on vaccines rather than rely on independent, scientifically-sound studies to make vaccine policy recommendations. Once again, the JCVI's position on the safety and effectiveness of MMR is an excellent example ironic inaccuracy in light of how scrutinizing the of this, as the group flat out ignored legitimate MMR studies in favor of industry-backed junk studies like the infamous 2005 Cochrane Review, which technically proves nothing about the alleged safety of MMR because the 31 studies it evaluated did not even meet the group's basic methodological criteria.

"Over the years, the JCVI has consistently promoted the MMR vaccine as safe, based on studies that have been proven to be either irrelevant, inconclusive, or methodologically questionable," explains Dr. Tomljenovic, adding that the JCVI routinely chose to rely on flawed epidemiological studies that only identified "association" rather than "causation," a rather

establishment typically is of studies that contradict its own positions.

The eye-opening, 45-page paper goes on to explain how vaccine schedules were established through the calculated downplaying of vaccine safety concerns and the over-inflating of vaccine benefits; the promotion of dangerous new vaccines into the pediatric schedule through deception; the discouraging of vaccine safety studies: and the widespread follow-up brainwashing of the public through manipulation and scientific sleight-of-hand tricks. - With acknowledgement to Natural News -8th Jan 2013

End OS21228

The Great Pyramid Of Giza And The Supremacy Of **The Sacred Cubit Doug Krieger**



FTER extensive reading of so much of this material on the measurements of the Great Pyramid of Giza – we at the Tribnet have been deeply frustrated in that the measurements of the Sacred Cubit are virtually nowhere to be found aside from our humble material, and those of the late and brilliant David Flynn.

Therefore, we are disclosing personally to you just the tip of the iceberg when it comes to Giza and, in particular to Cheops. For if the Great Pyramid of Giza is the ultimate Altar Unto The Lord At The Border (Giza) Of Egypt (Isaiah 19:19-20) - then shall not the GPG expend those energies to declare submission - not to the **Egyptian royal cubit** – but to the 2.1-Foot / 25.20" Sacred Cubit of the Ancient of Days?

For the Earth's supernatural 7-day Creation was meant to, among other things, reflect upon the astronomical fact that each day's 360° spin/rotation of the Earth for 7 days/one week equates to $360^{\circ} * 7 = 2,520^{\circ}$ or the divine fractal of the Sacred Cubit's dimension of "252" or 25.20".

The same can be said of Jericho's encirclement seven times on the seventh day and of the "palm of a hand sent from Him" upon the wall spelling out King Belshazzar's demise monetary writing clearly understood: Mene (1,000) + Mene (1,000) + Tekel (20) + Upharsin (500) = 2,520 - "MENE: God has numbered your kingdom, and finished it; TEKEL: You have been weighed in the balances, and found wanting; PERES: Your kingdom has been divided and given to the Medes and Persians" (Daniel 5:24-28).

Likewise, we will under separate mail send you our article connecting Giza, Stonehenge, the Mayan Long Count Calendar, the Bush and Clandon Barrow Lozenges (golden plates found in South-western Britain), the New Jerusalem and, you guessed it, the UNION JACK - that will floor you! But first....

It is our hope that this brief but, hopefully, convincing article on the Sacred Cubit's intrusion into ancient metrology will have the desired effect of penetrating the thinking of your audience – but, allow us the courtesy to declare Since there are 6 faces to any cube; therefore 12 the Greatness of the Lord in the Land of the Pyramids as follows – for the Ra became but the creature, more than the Creator – nevertheless, the Sun of Righteousness shall arise on that Great Day of God (Malachi 4:1-2)...indeed the Great Pyramid of Giza bears the insignia of the Sun of Righteousness in totality!



The Base Edge of the Great Pyramid of Giza is 756 Feet / 2.1 Feet (The Sacred Cubit) = 360 Sacred Cubits.

The New Jerusalem's measurements, again, are:

12,000 Furlongs or 12,000 * 660 Feet = 7,920,000 Feet / 5,280 Feet (1 Mile) = 1,500Miles 1500 * 12 Edges of a Cube = 18,000 Miles - therefore the "18" of the New Jerusalem and of course, the 144 Cubit Wall of the New Jerusalem (Revelation 21:16-17) which is in point of fact 144 * 2.1 Feet = 302.4 Feet which is a fractal or 1/10th) of the base perimeter of Giza, i.e., 756 feet * 4 (square perimeter) = 3,024 Feet.

And by eliminating zero to the 12,000 furlongs we have 12 on each of the 12-edged cube for her "length, breath, and height are equal" and she is "laid out as a square...its length is as great as its breadth" (Revelation 21:16-17).

(less zeroes) * 12 (less zeroes) = 144 * 6 facesof a cube = 864 which, again, is the foundational fractal of the diameter of the Sun @ 864,000 miles.

144 * 18 = 2,592 which is the fractal of the Great Precessional of the Constellations at 25,920 Years.

Let us reflect upon Giza's measurements:

Base Edge of the GPG:

756 feet * 4 (square perimeter) = 3,024 Feet * 3 (cubed) = 9,072 Feet - and since this "object" is half of an Octahedron – we must, of necessity, double the configuration:

9,072 Feet * 2 (top and bottom of the Octahedron = 18,144 or 18...144 which are the two primary numbers of the New Jerusalem - i.e., the "18" of her perimeter and the "144" for her wall.

But – since the Sacred Cubit has been given such short shrift - allow us to expose the GPG for Who He is once and for all!

At 18,144 Linear Feet – top and bottom of the cubed Octahedron ...

18,144' / 2.1 Feet (the Sacred Cubit) = 8,640 Sacred Cubit and this "864" is the Sun of Righteousness – therefore, the Great Pyramid of Giza via the Sacred Cubit is the promise of the Sun of Righteousness Who shall arise on the Great Day of God – yet future!

By combining the "18" and the "144" of the New Jerusalem as factors as in 18 * 144 = (the product of) $2592\ 2592+\ 864=\ 3456$ or $3\ldots 45\ldots 6$ and herein is the "36" - the Eternal God as in 360 degrees in a circle without beginning or ending and "45" which is the "Time of Blessedness" found in Daniel 12:12 - Blessed is he who waits and comes to the 1,335th Day which is 45 Days beyond the 1,290 days of desolation (1335 less 1290 = 45 days).

Likewise: The standard cubic measurement: 12 * 12 * 12 = 1,728 (Noah Ark's Dimensions or 2,728 Sacred Cubits less 1,000 SC = 1,728 SC) *2 = 3,456 (or 18 * 144 = 2592 + 864 = 3,456).

To be continued

God's Dialectic Isaiah 55:8-13;Jeremiah 42:3. John T. of Winmalee

AS an introduction to this article the following definitions are provided. These definitions will hopefully help to explain the humanist/socialist view of history. DIALECTICS: Any method or argument or exposition that systematically weighs contradictory facts or ideas with a view to the resolution of their real or apparent

DIALECTIC: The art of arriving at the truth by exposing the contradictions in an opponent's argument or beliefs and overcoming them. The process, formulated by Hegel, of reaching the truth or the absolute through change, whereby a proposition or idea (thesis) is transformed into its opposite (antithesis) and preserved and fulfilled by it; the combination of the two being resolved in a higher form of truth (synthesis); the ultimate synthesis, being for Hegel, the mind or thought.



DIALECTICAL MATERIALISM: The Marxist interpretation of reality, viewing matter as the primary subject of change and all change as the product of a constant conflict between opposites arising from the internal contradictions inherent in all things; these contradictions being resolved at higher levels and fresh contradictions arising. This theory has been applied to various areas of thought and scholarship, and especially in history.

DIALECTICS: Any method or argument or exposition that systematically weighs contradictory facts or ideas with a view to the resolution of their real or apparent contradictions. The Marxist doctrine, adopted from Hegel, of the process of change through the conflict of opposing forces, but asserting that matter, not mind is the primary reality.

HISTORICAL MATERIALISM: The Marxist theory, part of dialectical materialism, which states that society arises fundamentally from an economic base, and that it is characterised by a conflict of classes that will eventually result in a classless society.

MATERIALISM: From a philosophical point of view it is the theory or doctrine that physical matter in its movements and modifications is the only reality and that everything in the universe, including thought, feeling, mind and will can be explained in terms of physical laws. It is also the theory or doctrine that physical well-being constitutes the greatest good and highest value in life. Materialism can also be defined as an excessive devotion to worldly, rather than spiritual concerns, and especially to the acquisition of material possession.

May be the common saying, "Don't worry, be happy", arises out of the definition of Materialism. It can be seen from the above that materialism replaces the first commandment and therefore our possessions become deified in varying degrees. There is nothing wrong with having valuable possessions as long as they do not replace God in our lives. Do not forget that many of the characters in the Bible who were God fearing were very wealthy. What did God do for Job in the final outcome? (Job 42:9-17). The socialist defining of what is wealth according to Historical Materialism is that all private property is to be abolished and eventually the State will own everything. But according to the Bible all wealth belongs to God and as sojourners on this planet we can be blessed as we share in that wealth.

THESIS + **ANTITHESIS** = **SYNTHESIS**: Conflict of interests coming together those results in a synthesis or apparent solution. 22) we have not, despite the teachings of many History is apparently made up of these on-going conflicts with the resulting on-going synthesis or apparent solutions.



The process of Democratically electing another political party after an election is such a process of arriving at an apparent solution. It is my view that such manufactured processes give the opinion that the outcome is the correct solution. It is believed by those behind the scenes that one day mankind will eventually arrive at the final solution which is a manmade utopia. After this there will be no further need for a conflict of ideas. This Godless approach to understanding the process of history will be never arrived at, for the God of holy writ has other plans.

As I began to write this article, the year 2012 was about to pass into history. Again the past year showed the on-going failure of mankind to achieve the expected dreams, even with help of the Socialist One World United Nations. Unfortunately 2013 will be no better except for those who turn to God's Word and His Son for our Salvation and Redemption.

The above point of view is not negative but a realistic analysis of the worldwide situation. Whilst history has provided short time highlights in advancing civilisation, these improvements have in no way established upon this planet a society that reflects in any way the phrase "THY KINGDOM COME". In fact history has followed the curses that have arisen from the choosing of the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. This includes the false teaching that we have acquired immortality (Genesis 3:4-5). The Bible is very clear that we are dead (asleep) when we die (Ezekiel 18:20; John 11:11; Romans 6:23). It is the High Calling that we seek after (Philippians 3:14), not going to Heaven when we pass from this earth. Even though Satan said that we would become as "gods" (Genesis 3:5,

occult leaders.

The Bible clearly states that God foresaw the outcome of deception in the Garden of Eden and it is for this reason that He had planned the death and resurrection of His Son so as His creation and His wife would be redeemed Because the forbidden Tree was taken it is true that within human nature there is both good and evil even though the heart of man is contemptible (Mark 7:21-23). We have been assured that the Tree of Life which was not forbidden and yet rejected will be made available to those who are the Over Comers as mentioned in Revelation 2:7.

Before that time we are to walk in the Truth (Psalm 26:3, 86:11) and that Truth is found in Christ who is the Word (John 1: 1-5; 1 John 5:7). At a time yet future, God will expose the false teaching of the worldly. He will use their own words to expose and confound their evil intent (Matthew 12:34-37) and in so doing overcome their Satanic spiritual plans (1 John 3:8). These thoughts are reflected in the first sentence of the definition of DIALECTIC. This is God's Dialectic .It is fortunate for us that God's plans are not influenced by man's ways that are built upon the sand (Matthew 7:26).

In conclusion the year 2013 will present itself as in the past, but we who look to a Covenant keeping God will find peace in His Word and in the promise that He will return (Acts 1: 1-11) to establish upon this earth a Righteous Kingdom that will be based upon His Eternal Laws. May that day come quickly.

The End OS21229



Letters And Views



The UK Chilcot Inquiry - Iraq War Illegal

Sir,___I would be grateful if you would bring to the notice of your readers this item I came across in the Global Research, Washington Blog Jan. 2013:-

The UK Cameron government is blocking publication of their "official" report on Iraq war until perhaps 2014 or later, according to the UK's most popular newspaper website.

Perhaps this delay is in part because the Blair government was advised before the war by all 27 attorneys in their Foreign Affairs Office that war on Iraq was unlawful. That would mean armed attack on Iraq would be an unlawful War of Aggression, with identical criminal implication on US armed attack on Iraq.

Unlawful war requires US military to refuse all war orders and arrest those who issue them (more documentation here).

Public understanding that current wars "on terror" are not even close to lawful would end these wars. War law forbids all armed attack unless under attack by another nation's government.

As I wrote in 2010:

All the lawyers in the UK's Foreign Affairs Department concluded the US/UK invasion of Iraq was an unlawful War of Aggression. Their expert advice is the most qualified to make that legal determination; all 27 of them were in agreement. This powerful judgment of unlawful

war follows the Dutch government's recent unanimous report and UN Secretary General Kofi Annan's clear statements.

This stunning information was disclosed at the UK Chilcot inquiry by the testimony of Foreign Affairs leading legal advisor, Sir Michael Wood, who added that the reply from Prime Minister Tony Blair's office to his legal department's professional work was chastisement for putting their unanimous legal opinion in writing.

Sir Michael testified that Foreign Secretary Jack Straw preferred to take the legal position that the laws governing war were vague and open to broad interpretation: "He took the view that I was being very dogmatic and that international law was pretty vague and that he wasn't used to people taking such a firm position."

Mr. Straw's opinion is an Orwellian lie of the crystal-clear letter and spirit of the UN Charter that outlawed wars of choice in 1945. The UN Charter forbids all use of force except when explicitly authorized by the UN Security Council, or in a narrow definition of self-defence upon an armed attack by another nation's government. This is arguably the single most important and clear law on the planet, the victory of the generation who sacrificed during World War 2, and damning criminal testimony for anyone in government to claim that this law is vague.

Violation of the laws to prevent war, a War of Aggression and a Crime Against Peace, are also arguably to worst crime a nation can commit.

UK Attorney General Lord Goldsmith testified he "changed his mind" against the unanimous legal opinion of all 27 of the Foreign Office attorneys to agree with the US legal argument that UN Security Council Resolution 1441 authorized use of force at the discretion of any nation's choice. This testimony is also criminally damning: arguing that an individual nation has the right to choose war violates the purpose, letter and spirit of the UN Charter, as well as violates 1441 that reaffirms jurisdiction of the Security Council in governance of the issue. This Orwellian argument contradicts the express purpose of the Charter to prevent individual nations from engaging in wars. A two-minute video of his mincing testimony is below as he pretends that war is still a lawful foreign policy option.

Moreover, the US and UK "legal argument" is in further Orwellian opposition to their UN Ambassadors' statements when 1441 was passed that this did not authorize any use of force:



John Negroponte, US Ambassador to the UN (left): This resolution contains no "hidden triggers" and no "automaticity" with respect to the use of force. If there is a further Iraqi breach, reported to the

Council by UNMOVIC, the IAEA or a Member State, the matter will return to the Council for discussions as required in paragraph 12.

Sir Jeremy Greenstock, UK Ambassador to the UN:

We heard loud and clear during the negotiations the concerns about "automaticity" and "hidden triggers" — the concern that on a decision so crucial we should not rush into military action; that on a decision so crucial any Iraqi violations should be discussed by the Council. Let me be equally clear in response... There is no "automaticity" in this resolution. If there is a further Iraqi breach of its disarmament obligations, the matter will return to the Council for discussion as required in paragraph 12.

The Chilcot inquiry was initiated from public outrage against UK participation in the Iraq War, with public opinion having to engage a second time to force hearings to become public rather than closed and secret. The hearings were not authorized to consider criminal charges, which is the next battle for UK public opinion.

Concentrated US corporate media will not report the Chilcot inquiry "emperor has no clothes" facts and conclusion that the current US wars are unlawful. The US Senate Church Committee revealed CIA infiltration of US corporate media to misinform the American public to support US political agendas.

The cost of these unlawful wars is over a million Iraqi lives above those expected to have died in pre-war conditions and \$3-\$5 TRILLION in long-term US taxpayer costs (that's \$30,000 to \$50,000 per average US household of \$50,000 annual income; do the math to figure your family's share).

US Senate and House Committee investigation has shown through all disclosed evidence that all of the justifications for war with Iraq were known to be lies at the time they were presented to the public. You are an irresponsible citizen if you do not verify these easily-understood facts from the disclosed evidence. A colluding corporate media for unlawful wars is a lame excuse for inaction when the facts are in front of you now. **Yours truly MC**.

The UK Constitution

Sir____,Way back 8/9 years I was writing to the newspapers and MSM saying that, this country was following the pattern of events in Germany in the 1920s/30s which led to the rise of Hitler, and how the 10 steps to fascism were already well on the way to being achieved.

It has been the English Constitution and it's laws that have stood in their way, and hopefully it will be the English Constitution which will eventually stop the New Roman Empire/Fourth Reich/NWO.

The English Constitution was set up to stop the Vatican gaining political power in our country, banning as it did Roman Catholics i.e. the Vatican from our political arena.

Sadly our forefathers in 1829 forgot the lessons history had to teach us, regarding the Thirty Years Religious wars in Europe, which ended with the signing of the Treaty of Westphalia 1648, because they passed the Catholic Relief Act 1829 after much pressure (George III refused royal assent in 1800 because it would violate his Coronation Oath, but it was later passed under of all people Sir Robert Peel and the Duke of Wellington), and this was the first brick removed from the people's protections given us in 1688/9 with the Declaration and Bill of Rights by William and Mary, which was Treason against the people, the Coronation Oath, and the 37th Article of the Church. Over the last few years Catholic MPs have been Intrigued, I decided to go to the Danish Embassy launching Private Members Bills to destroy the Act of Settlement which stops Roman Catholics from gaining the Crown, Edward Leigh being one.

In History every would be dictator has managed to push through an enabling Act (to take complete control), Hitler pushed his through the Reichstag under armed threat, Stalin used Article 58 of Lenin's Criminal Code to declare people enemies of the state' who were arrested and sent to gulags, Tony Blair pushed the Civil Contingencies Act 2004 through parliament while the civil war demonstrations against the Hunting Act were in progress.

The march of the zealots of the New Roman Empire/Fourth Reich is in full swing in this country, with help from Common Purpose and the Frankfurt School, spreading poisonous propaganda and demonising and denigrating sections of society to make them 'untermensch' for the coming final push.

If you are unlucky enough to have lost your job and are on benefits, if you are chronically ill or disabled and are unable to work, if you are mentally ill, if you are old, if you are fat, if you smoke, if you drink, if you take drugs, if you cost the country money - in short if you are a "useless eater", then you could be a target, just as the unfortunate Jews were in WWII.

They have long ago started the propaganda, to change people's views of the people they want to eliminate for reduction of the population.

This is why it is so important that we try hard to make our actions successful. Yours Truly, Jane

The Eurination of The Queen

Sir , Eurination is the correct English term for the resurrection of the Roman Empire of which the leader of this ghostly revival is the Pope. It will collapse like the previous empire; for some Europeans are not of Israelite descent.

It must be twenty years ago when there was an article about the Queen in the Daily Express. She had made all other crowned heads of Europe Knights of the Garter, so that when the Eurination was fully formed she would be Empress of Europe.

and make further inquiries. Arriving at Sloan Square I noticed that there were no Sloan Rangers about. But this is quite natural as it as it was only 11am and the ladies will have been busy in the small and not so small hours of the morning in charity work rendering financial assistance to the owners of nightclubs.



The Danish Embassy - London

I entered this elegant Embassy. The reception room was large, the ceiling at least 20ft. High and warm and welcoming. The reception desk was to my right, with two young ladies one a year or two older than the other. "Good morning ladies, I wish to make enquiries about your Queen Marguerite". "Yes." The younger one chatting upstairs behind the desk clattered down in her high heels and handed me a 4 page leaflet about the Queen. "Thank you, that will be useful (it was very useful later). "But what I really want to know was, when did our Queen make your Queen a Knight of the Garter."

Instantly the room became an icebox. "I don't know", snapped the elder, "see your own Central Office of Information".

With a bowed head, this guilty party slithered out of the room. In the sunshine again I smiled at this anomaly between two members of the Great Trade Union of Kings (not available to lesser mortals like presidents only politicians anyway) and said to myself. "So that is what the Queen of Denmark thinks of the Queen of England."

Reviewing this event sometime later I realised that I had made a serious error of omission. Following my smile I should have gone straight back in and said to the ladies, "thank you ladies future possibility to defend themselves by the for telling me something very important. But of course I always have an excuse, I was not trained to use diplomatic language like the ladies.

Note: The term Eurination first appeared in Punch some years ago. This magazine was so British that the enemy destroyed it as they have done to many British things!

Another interesting voyage for this ancient mariner. Yours truly, AM

Last Letter From Herman Goering **To Winston Churchill** (From our Midland Correspondent)



Mr. Churchill. You will have the satisfaction to survive me and my comrades in misfortune. I do not hesitate to congratulate you on this personal triumph and the finesse with which you have accomplished it. You have gone to

great expense in order to secure this success, for vourself and Great Britain.

Should I believe you sufficiently naive as to consider this success anything more than a show, detrimental to the Great German Empire—a performance for the peoples and their Jewish and Bolshevist confederates, which were maneuverer by you into the war-then my statement to you during the last hour of my life would, also in the eyes of posterity, be squandered upon an undeserving one.

My pride as a German and as one of the foremost responsible German leaders forbids me to lose even a single word in a dispute of worldhistorical importance on the disgraceful lowliness of the methods employed by the victors as far as these proceedings concern my own person.

However, as it is the obvious and announced intention of their administration of the law to throw the very German people into the abyss of illegality and to rob them once and for all of a

removal of the responsible men of the National Socialist state, I have to add a few words to the historic subject of the verdict, premeditated by you and your allies.

I direct these remarks to you, since you are one of the best informed ones regarding the true underlying reasons for this war and the possibility of avoiding the same; in at least a manner bearable to the European future; and yet refused your testimony and your oath to your own high court of justice.

Therefore, I shall not fail, while there is still time, to call you before the tribunal of history and direct my statements to you, because I know that this tribunal will expose you some day as that man who, with ambition, intelligence and energy has thrown the fate of the European nations under the heels of foreign world powers.

In you I identify before history the man who had the ability to bring Adolf Hitler down and his political accomplishments; the man who will, however, be unable to raise the shield protectively against the invasion of Europe by the Asiatics.

It was your ambition to justify the Versailles Treaty regarding Germany. It will prove fatal to you that you succeeded. You personified the hardened obstinacy of your old gentry; and you also personified the stubbornness of its old age, opposing the last gigantic effort of the rejuvenated German power to decide the fate of Europe in the steppes of Asia in order to safeguard the same in the future.

Long after my responsibility in the future development of events has found its objective judge, it will be put to your account that the past bloody war has not been the final one which had to be fought on the Continent for the very possibility of the existence of its nations.

You will have to answer for the fact that the bloody war of yesterday will be followed by a still greater one and that the European nations will not rule at the Volga, but at the Pyrenees mountains.

It is my fervent wish that you may at least live to see the day on which the world, and the western nations in particular, will become aware of the bitter truth that it was you and your friend Roosevelt who sold the future to Bolshevism for a cheap personal triumph over nationalistic Germany. This day may come sooner than you like, and you in spite of your advanced age, will be vigorous enough to see it dawn bloody red over the British Isles. war could not be avoided because the politics of Great Britain—under the influence of your person and of your friends of like opinions in all



I am convinced that it will bring you all those unimaginable terrors which you escaped this time through the good luck of war, or through the abhorrence of the German conduct of war, to a complete degeneration of the methods of fighting of peoples of the same Race. My knowledge regarding the kind and amount of arms and of projects from us, which—thanks to your military assistance to the Red Army—have fallen into their hands, enable me to form this supposition.

There is no doubt that you—according to your habit—soon will write good memoirs and you will write them the better, as there is nobody to hinder you to tell and suppress what you like.

You believe it very clever to have submitted this historic truth to the craftiness to a handful of ambitious junior lawyers in order to have it changed into an expedient dialectic treatise, in spite of your awareness as a Briton as well as a statesmen, that with such means the problems of existence of peoples could not be solved and judged; neither in the past nor in the future.

I have an only too well grounded opinion of your power and the cunning of your intelligence to credit you with believing the vulgar propaganda with which you motivated the war against us and with which you have had your victory over us glorified in a fantastic show.

I state here with great emphasis as one of the highest military, political and economic leaders of the Great German Empire the following: This

war could not be avoided because the politics of Great Britain—under the influence of your person and of your friends of like opinions in all fields—persisted constantly to hinder the life interests and the most natural development of the German people; and—filled with the senile ambition to uphold the British hegemony—preferred the Second World War to an understanding, as we on our side had tried time and again to bring about, beneficial to both of the most prominent nations of Europe.

I declare herewith once more and most emphatically that the guilt of the German people in this war—into which they were forced by you consists solely in trying to end the eternal difficulties to their national existence, which you artfully instigated and continued.

It would be useless to go with you into any dispute over the causes, the conditions of restraint and the motives which led during the course of the war to the political and military complications and which your lawyers knew so well to use in a one-sided manner at the cost of the National Socialist regime.

The devastated regions of the European culture and robbed treasures give still today testimony of your embittered despair with which a great and proud people yesterday, with unparalleled readiness to make sacrifices fought for its existence. Tomorrow they will testify that alone the overpowering might, led by you in the field, was able to cause the subjection and deprivation of their rights. The day after tomorrow the Russians will bear witness to the betrayal which surrendered Europe to the red Asiatics.

The Germany which you conquered will take revenge on you through its downfall. You have neither produced a better politic nor shown a greater courage than we. You have not won the victory due to better qualities or superiority of your strength or strategy, but merely after six years with the predominance of your allies.

Do not believe your victory to be all that which you tell the world. You and your hand will soon harvest the fruits of your political art. What you as an experienced Cynic will not admit toward us—namely that our fight in the East was an act of urgent self-defence, and not alone for Germany but for all of Europe; and that the Germans fighting this war, which you constantly condemned, therefore was justified.

This, your ally and friend of today, Stalin, will soon prove to you and the British Empire.

Then you will experience what it means to fight Today I regret my and the National Socialist this enemy and you will learn that necessity knows no law; also that you can neither fight him successfully with treaties in law nor with the weight of Great Britain and her European dwarfs.

You have stated to the German people that you were primarily concerned with the restoration of their democratic mode of life. But you have not said a single word that you want to restore to them the sensible foundations of living, which have been denied to them these past 25 years.

Your name stands under all essential documents of this epoch of British want of comprehension and jealousy towards Germany. Your name will also stand under the result with which this epoch of Germany's liquidation has challenged history in lieu of Europe's existence.

My belief in the vitality of my people cannot be shaken. They will be stronger and live longer than you. However, it distresses me to know that judgement of this with an easy mind to posteri-

defenseless in your power, they now also belong to the luckless victims, who thanks to your success, do not approach a progressive future of work for the realization of their common aim, set forth by intelligence for the western peoples, but are driven towards the

greatest catastrophe in their common history.

I do not wish to argue about outrages, which you rightly or wrongly ascribe to us and which neither agree with nor [?] the German peoples comprehension; neither do I wish to talk about those atrocities which have been committed on your part and on the part of your allies towards millions of Germans; for I know that you have made under this pretext the entire German people the object of a collective outrage of a proportion never known in history.

I also know that you would not have acted differently regarding your treatment of Germany without this pretence, because since 1914 you have striven for nothing less than the destruction of the German empire.

This, your historic goal denies you the office of a judge over the avoidable and unavoidable consequences caused by your unremitting intentions and which were welcome to you as subsequent proof for the justification of your actions.

Government's greatest mistake, the fateful error to believe in your discernment as a statesman.

I regret to have trusted you with justiciability recognizing the world-political necessity of a peaceful and progressive (prosperous) Germany for the existence of a flourishing England. I regret that our means did not suffice to convince you at the last moment that the liquidation of Germany would also be the beginning of the liquidation of Britain's world power.

We began to act—each one according to his own law, I in line with the new one, for which this Europe was already too old-you holding on to the old one, for which this Europe is no longer any more important enough in the world.

I shall know how to approach my end in the absolute conviction as a German National Socialist and considering everything else, to have been a better European than you. I leave the

> ty. I hope sincerely that you will belong to this world for a long time to come as fate might grant you—as it has to me-when you decline to leave the posterity also a truth. Sincerely, Herman Goering.

> **PS** Within a few hours of penning these words, Hitler's heir was dead by his

END OS21237

QUOTES

Across the centuries, our brother Abel has lain in blood which we drew, and shed tears we caused by forgetting Thy love." —Pope John XXIII, A Prayer (1960), cited in VICARS OF **CHRIST**

"The most effective way to destroy people is to deny and obliterate their own understanding of their history." -George Orwell



own hand.

Death and Vaccination By The Late Eustace Mullins



HE urgency of my vaccination was not that there was any epidemic then raging in the city of Roanoke, nor has there been one in the ensuing sixty years. The urgency was that no child shall be spared the ministrations of the Cult of Baal, or forego sacrifice on the altar of the child molesters. The Medical Monopoly cannot afford to have a single pupil escape the monetary offering to be paid for the compulsory vaccination, the tribute of the enslaved to their masters.

London From comes alarming an observation from a practitioner of excellent reputation and long experience. Dr. Herbert Snow, senior surgeon at the Cancer Hospital of London, voiced his concern, "In recent years many men and women in the prime of life have dropped dead suddenly, often after attending a feast or a banquet. I am convinced that some eighty per cent of these deaths are caused by the inoculation or vaccination they have undergone. They are well known to cause grave and permanent disease to the heart. The coroner always hushes it up as 'natural causes.' "

You cannot find any such warning in any medical textbook or popular book on health. In fact, this writer was able to locate it in a small volume buried deep in the stacks of the Library of Congress. Yet such an ominous observation

from an established medical practitioner should be as widely circulated as possible, if only to be attacked by those who can refute its premise. At least it cannot be attacked by the Establishment as quackery, because Dr. Snow is not attempting to sell some substitute for vaccination, but merely warning of its dangers.

Another practitioner, Dr. W. B. Clarke of Indiana, finds that "Cancer was practically unknown until compulsory vaccination with cowpox vaccine began to be introduced. I have had to deal with a least two hundred cases of cancer, and I never saw a case of cancer in an unvaccinated person."

At last, we have the breakthrough for which the American Cancer Society has been searching, at such great expense, and for so many years. Dr. Clarke has never seen a case of cancer in an unvaccinated person. Is not this a lead which should be explored? With such an impetus, the ACS could once again get the telephone banks ringing in the fund-raising drives, to initiate positive research as to the possible connection between vaccination and the incidence of cancer. Somehow, we suspect that ACS will not follow this lead. It would also look well etched in stone above the imposing entrance to the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, "I never saw a case of cancer in an unvaccinated person." However, it is unlikely that the High Priests of Modern Medicine will be able to give up one of the Four Commandments. It will be necessary for an outraged public to bring pressure to bear to abandon the modern ritual of sacrificing our children to Baal in a five thousand year old ritual called, in its modern version, "compulsory immunization."

In the land where freedom rings, or is supposed to ring, it is even more surprising to find that every citizen is compelled to submit to a compulsory vaccination ritual. Here again, we are speaking of a civilization which is now being visited by two plagues, the plague of cancer and the plague of AIDS, yet compulsory vaccination offers no protection against the plagues which threaten us. It is goodbye whooping cough, goodbye diphtheria Perhaps the most telling comment of Dr. and hello AIDS. The Medical Monopoly is searching desperately for some type of extracted lymph." Could there be some "immunization" against these plagues, and no doubt will eventually come up with some type of "vaccine" which will be more dreadful than the disease. From the outset, our most distinguished medical experts have proudly informed us that AIDS is incurable, which is hardly the approach we expect from those who demand that we accept their infallibility in all things to do with medicine.

Another well-known medical practitioner, Dr. J. M. Peebles of San Francisco, has written a book on vaccine, in which he says, "The vaccination practice, pushed to the front on all occasions by the medical profession through political connivance made compulsory by the state, has not only become the chief menace and the greatest danger to the health of the rising generation, but likewise the crowning outrage upon the personal liberties of the American citizen; compulsory vaccination, poisoning the crimson currents of the human system with brute-extracted lymph under the strange infatuation that it would prevent smallpox, was one of the darkest blots that disfigured the last century."



to the fact that cowpox vaccine was one of the more peculiar "inventions or discoveries of the Age of Enlightenment." However, as I have pointed out in "The Curse of Canaan," the Age of Enlightenment was merely the latest program of the Cult of Baal and its rituals of child

Dr. Peebles refers

sacrifice, which, in one guise or another, has now been with us for some five thousand years. Because of this goal, the Medical Monopoly is also known as "The Society for Crippling Children."

Peebles' criticism is his reference to "bruteconnection between the injection of this substance and the spread of a hitherto unknown form of cancer, cancer of the lymph glands? This type of cancer is not only one of the most commonly encountered versions of this disease; it is also one of the most difficult to treat, because it rapidly spreads throughout the entire system. A diagnosis of cancer of the lymph glands now means a virtual death sentence.

If we suppose that physicians such as Dr. Snow and Dr. Peebles are trumpeting nonexistent dangers when they write of vaccination, we have only to look at the court records of many cases around the country. Wyeth Laboratories was the defendant in a case in which a Wichita Kansas jury recently awarded \$15 million in damages to an eight year old girl. She incurred permanent brain damage after receiving a diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus vaccine. Michelle Graham received the immunization at the age of three months, and incurred severe brain damage which left her permanently incapacitated. Her lawyers proved that the damage was solely attributable to the vaccine, although Wyeth's lawyers attempted to deny this.

Because of the financial prospects, physicians are demanding earlier vaccination for children each year. The Vaccination Committee of the American Academy of Paediatricians recently demanded that the age for children to receive flu vaccine be lowered from the previous twenty-four months to eighteen months. They are promoting a new version of flu vaccine which was said to have been tested on children in Finland.

In an article in Science, March 4, 1977, Jonas and Darrell Salk warn that, "Live virus vaccines against influenza or poliomyelitis may in each instance produce the disease it intended to prevent ... the live virus against measles and mumps may produce such side effects as encephalitis (brain damage)."

If vaccines present such a clear and present danger to children who are forced to submit to them, we must examine the forces which demand that they submit. In the United States,

as the solution for all infectious diseases by such government agencies as the Center for Disease Control in Georgia, by HEW, USPHS, FDA, AMA and WHO. It is of more than passing interest that the federal agencies should be such passionate supporters of compulsory use of vaccines, and that they also should go through the "revolving door" to the big drug firms whose products they have so assiduously promoted, throughout their years of service to the public. It is these federal agents who have drafted the procedures which forced the states to enact compulsory vaccination legislation which had been drafted by the attorneys for the Medical Monopoly, to become "the law of the land." In the dim reaches of the past, when Americans were more protective of their now-vanishing freedoms, there was sporadic opposition to the threatened outrage which a dictatorial central government sought to impose on every child in the United States. In 1909, the Senate of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts introduced Bill No. 8; "An Act To Prohibit Compulsory Vaccine. Sec. 1. It shall be unlawful for any board of education, board of health, or any public board acting in this state, under political regulations or otherwise, to compel by resolution, order or proceedings of any kind, the vaccination of any child or person of any age, by making vaccination a condition precedent to the attending of any public or private school, either as pupil or teacher."

No doubt this legislation was drafted by a physician who was well aware of the dangers of vaccination. Even in 1909, the Medical Monopoly was strong enough to bury this bill. It was never submitted for vote. However, the peril of even one state legislature foiling their criminal conspiracy caused the Rockefeller Syndicate to concentrate on perfecting an instrument for controlling each and every state legislature in these United States. This was achieved by setting up the Council of State Governments in Chicago. Its ukases are routinely issued to every state legislator, and such is its totalitarian control that not one legislature has ever failed to follow its dictates.

Edward Jenner (1796-1839) "discovered" that cowpox vaccine would supposedly inoculate persons against the eighteenth century scourge of smallpox. In fact, smallpox was already on

vaccines are actively and incessantly promoted the wane, and some authorities believe it would have vanished by the end of the century, due to a number of contributing factors. After the use of cowpox vaccine became widespread in England, a smallpox epidemic broke out which killed 22,081 people. The smallpox epidemics became worse each year that the vaccine was used. In 1872, 44,480 people were killed by it. England finally banned the vaccine in 1948, despite the fact that it was one of the most widely heralded "contributions" which that country had made to modern medicine. This action came after many years of compulsory vaccination, during which period those who refused to submit to its dangers were hurried off to jail.



Japan initiated compulsory vaccine in 1872. In 1892, there were 165.774 cases smallpox which there, resulted in 29,979 deaths. Japan still enforces compulsory vaccination; however, since

it is a militarily occupied nation, its present government can hardly be blamed for submitting to the Rockefeller Medical Monopoly. Germany also instituted compulsory vaccination. In 1939 (this during the Nazi regime), the diptheria rate increased astronomically to 150,000 cases. Norway, which never instituted compulsory vaccination, had only fifty cases during the same period. Polio has increased 700% in states which have compulsory vaccination. The much quoted writer on medical problems, Morris Beale, who for years edited his informative publication, Capsule News Digest, from Capitol Hill, offered a standing reward during the years from 1954 to 1960 of \$30,000, which he would pay to anyone who could prove that the polio vaccine was not a killer and a fraud. There were no takers.

Medical historians have finally come to the reluctant conclusion that the great flu "epidemic" of 1918 was solely attributable to

the widespread use of vaccines. It was the first war in which vaccination was compulsory for all servicemen. The Boston Herald reported that forty-seven soldiers had been killed by vaccination in one month. As a result, the military hospitals were filled, not with wounded combat casualties, but with casualties of the vaccine. The epidemic was called "the Spanish Influenza," a deliberately misleading appellation, which was intended to conceal its origin. This flu epidemic claimed twenty million victims; those who survived it were the ones who had refused the vaccine. In recent years, annual recurring epidemics of flu are called "the Russian Flu." For some reason, the Russians never protest, perhaps because the Rockefellers make regular trips to Moscow to lay down the party line.



The perils of vaccination were already known. *Plain Talk* magazine notes that "during the Franco-Prussian War, every German soldier was vaccinated. The result was that 53,288 otherwise healthy men developed smallpox. The death rate was high."

In what is now known as "the Great Swine Flu Massacre," the President of the United States, Gerald Ford, was enlisted to persuade the public to undergo a national vaccination campaign. The moving force behind the scheme was a \$135 million windfall profit for the major drug manufacturers. They had a "swine flu" vaccine



No Entrance - Swine Flu Danger

which suspicious pig raisers had refused to touch, fearful it might wipe out their crop. The manufacturers had only tried to get \$80 million from the swine breeders; balked in this sale, they turned to the other market, humans. The impetus for the national swine flu vaccine came directly from the Disease Control Centre in Atlanta, Georgia. Perhaps coincidentally, Jimmy Carter, a member of the Trilateral Commission, then planning was his presidential campaign in Georgia. The incumbent President, Gerald Ford, had all the advantages of a massive bureaucracy to aid him in his election campaign, while the ineffectual and little known Jimmy Carter offered no serious threat in the election. Suddenly, out of Atlanta, came the Centre of Disease Control plan for a national immunization campaign against "swine flu." The fact that there was not a single known case of this flu in the United States did not deter the Medical Monopoly from their scheme. The swine breeders had been shocked by the demonstrations of the vaccine on a few pigs, which had collapsed and died. One can imagine the anxious conferences in the headquarters of the great drug firms, until one bright young man remarked, "Well, if the swine breeders won't inject it into their animals, our only other market is to inject it into people."

The Ford sponsored swine flu campaign almost died an early death, when a conscientious public servant, Dr. Anthony Morris, formerly of HEW and then active as director of the Virus Bureau at the Food and Drug Administration, declared that there could be no authentic swine flu vaccine, because there had never been any cases of swine flu on which they could test it. Dr. Morris then went public with his statement that "at no point were the swine flu vaccines effective." He was promptly fired, but the damage had been done. The damage control consisted of that great humanitarian, Walter Cronkite, and the President of the United States, combining their forces to come to the rescue of the Medical Monopoly. Walter Cronkite had President Ford appear on his news program to urge the American people to submit to the inoculation with the swine flu vaccine. CBS then or later could never find any reason to air any analysis or scientific critique of the swine flu vaccine, which was identified as containing many toxic poisons, including alien viral protein particles, formaldehyde, residues of chicken and egg embryo substances, sucrose, theimorosal (a

derivative of poisonous mercury), polysorbate and some eighty other substances.

Meanwhile, back at the virus laboratories, after Dr. Anthony Morris has been summarily fired, a special team of workers was rushed in to clean out the four rooms in which he had conducted his scientific tests. The laboratory was filled with animals whose records verified his claims, representing some three years of constant research. All of the animals were immediately destroyed, and Morris' records were burned. They did not go so far as to sow salt throughout the area, because they believed their job was done.

To be continued

Nuremberg: The Crime That Will Not Die (Part 2) **By Ernst Zundel**



Being Defence Counsel?

OW let's look at the defendants' rights to get the lawyer of their choice

- a sacred right in most civilized countries. What do you think that meant in those hysterical, lawless days in post-war Germany? Which lawyer could afford to side with a "Nazi monster"?

Many years later, my own lawyer was sometimes accused during my own trials in peaceful, democratic Canada for "being too closely associated" with me, the accused, by media commentators, other lawyers and even, occasionally, a judge who showed the intolerance rampant against a vilified accused by those in contemporary society who have the fate of accused people in their hands.

Imagine what courage it must have taken for those Nuremberg defence lawyers - who also were fathers of children, husbands to wives - all glad to have survived the war, all of them trying to build new careers out of the rubble of defeated, devastated Germany in 1946. It took

Who Would Risk much more than guts. It took real dedication to a principle and a love of justice few in today's society could claim to have or hold.

Who Would Defend Doomed Men?

Let's say you were a lawyer of such heroic traits. The Allies, more often than not, could declare you a "Nazi" as well, putting you in the class of "criminals," since the Nazi party was declared a "criminal organization" by the conquerors. Most of the mental elite of Germany had been members of the National Socialist Party, and almost all had gone to war and, chances were, had been severely wounded or even killed.

Those who survived, were really persona non grata. They came back from a devastating war and found themselves not only criminalized but deprived of their civic and human rights by cruel conquerors who all the while kept on prattling incessantly in their propaganda about



the wonderful Allied New Order.

Chief Justice Harlan Stone - a Jackson Critic

If, against tremendous you odds, finally found yourself screened, interrogated, and accredited as a may be morally right, as was the sequestration lawyer at the Nuremberg Trials – what did you face, in fact? Let's take a cold, hard look at this so-called International Military Tribunal. How righteous and noble that sounds! A label like that can hide many a sore. That Nuremberg sore is still running.



Here is what Nuremberg was:

It was not an "international military tribunal" at all. It was not even international in composition. The victors, instead, sat in judgment over the vanquished.

Justice Harlan Fiske Stone, who was then the Chief Justice of the U.S. Supreme Court and Justice Jackson's [the Chief American Prosecutor at Nuremberg] boss in that role, had this to say while speaking to a reporter for Fortune Magazine, as quoted in Harlan Fiske Stone: Pillar of the Law, Alpheus Thomas Mason, The Viking Press, p. 715:

"For your information, but not for publication as coming from me, I would like to advise you that the Supreme Court had nothing to do, either directly or indirectly, with the Nuremberg Trials, or the governmental action which authorized them. I was not advised of Justice Jackson's participation until his appointment by the Executive was announced in the newspapers.

"So far as the Nuremberg trial is an attempt to justify the application of the power of the victor to the vanquished because the vanquished made aggressive war," (Stone) explained, "I dislike extremely to see it dressed up with a false facade of legality. The best that can be said for it is that Asian or Latin American countries. American it is a political act of the victorious States, which civilian judges to a large extent made up the core

of Napoleon about 1815.

But the allies in that day did not feel it necessary to justify it by an appeal to non-existent legal principles. As a practical matter, it seems to me that the difficulties and uncertainties of saying who is the aggressor under the conditions which produce modern war should make us hesitate to lay down for the future a principle which would always require that question to be answered by the victor.

"All wars are in fact aggressive. The real source of authority is the powers of the victors over the vanquished.

"It would not disturb me greatly (...) if that power were openly and frankly used to punish the German leaders for being a bad lot, but it disturbs me some to have it dressed up in the habiliments of the Common Law and the constitutional safeguards to those charged with crime. It looks as though we were committing ourselves to the proposition that the outcome of every war must be that the leaders of the vanguished must be executed by the victors."



Did Justice Jackson Shame the U.S. **Supreme Court by Judicial Lynching?**

That was the reality. Judge Jackson, handling the prosecution of Nuremberg's most important trials, was a man with presidential ambitions who needed a high profile carved out of a self-serving stage. The Nuremberg Trials were to be the launching pad for his presidential race.

The Nuremberg Court was not selected from, or composed of, judges of the neutral Swiss, or the neutral Swedes, or some more distant African,

of the Allied judges – not military career officers, who might have had some understanding and compassion for what the military leaders and the civilian government under extreme war-time conditions lived through.



They could have undoubtedly had a greater appreciation of why some of the wartime measures were undertaken by Germany in the desperate days of the war. The "liberal country club"-experienced set of small town American judges could not.

Furthermore, the Allied victors blatantly carried on their war against the Germans by other means long after the shooting had stopped – not by bombs and bullets but this time by falsely "diagnosing" psychologists or, worse, by giving torturers a free hand: cynical and brutal investigators who could, and frequently did, mistreat, beat, whip, starve, suffocate, and mutilate their prisoners into giving confessions and statements which were as cruelly extracted as were the confessions from witches during the disgusting witchcraft trials of the Dark Ages.

The injustice of the Nuremberg Trials was testified to not only by Harlan Fiske Stone, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States, but also by Iowa Supreme Court Justice Charles F. Wennerstrum, a man of the Midwest, who sat on one of the tribunals trying lesser alleged Nazi war criminals after the war.

Wennerstrum pointed out in a celebrated and controversial interview given to a reporter of the Chicago Daily Tribune that frequently the interrogators and some of the prosecutors were Jews who had fled Nazi Germany and came back in Allied uniforms to torment and seek revenge on the National Socialists who had wanted to

expel the Jews from European living space because they considered them harmful to the war effort and to Western European civilization. Here is how the article described the rabble that came to post-war Germany to settle private scores, as seen through Justice Wennerstrum's eyes, after he quit in disgust:

"If I had known seven months ago what I know today," [Wennerstrum] told friends as he packed to leave for America, "I would never have come here. The initial war crimes trial here was judged and prosecuted by Americans, Russians, British and French with much of the time, effort and expenses devoted to whitewashing the Allies and placing the sole blame for World War II upon Germany.

"What I have said of the nationalist character of the tribunals," the judge continued, "applies to the prosecution. The high ideals announced as the motives for creating these tribunals has not been evident.

"The prosecution has failed to maintain objectivity aloof from vindictiveness, aloof from personal ambitions for convictions. It has failed to strive to lay down precedents which might help the world to avoid future wars.

"The entire atmosphere here is unwholesome. Linguists were needed. The Americans are notably poor linguists. Lawyers, clerks, interpreters and researchers were employed who became Americans only in recent years, whose backgrounds were embedded in Europe's hatreds and prejudices. . . (Chicago Daily Tribune, 23 February 1948)

In other words, the Allies supplied the interrogators, most of them Jews – as some of the victims, who had had a lifetime of experience in dealing with Jews and thus recognized them, have stated. Those of us who are German and can speak German can easily discern the ethnicity of some of the accusers by their mere accents and patterns of speech, even in radio broadcasts and news reels.

Most of the "evidence" in the trials was "documentary," selected by the Allies from the large tonnage of captured records. The document selection was made by the prosecution. The defence had access only to those documents which the prosecution considered material to the case and were made available to the defence. The others as a primary documentary source to this Allies could choose to release, hide, or destroy any documents which did not fit their post-war strategy or plans at Nuremberg.

Furthermore, the Allies admitted elsewhere that their Propaganda Ministries and Intelligence Services had previously forged Nazi stamps, Nazi passes, Nazi passports, orders, ID cards etc. which fooled the Nazis many times because they were so perfect and over which the Allied propagandists gloat to this day. It does not take a great leap of the imagination to surmise what these same Allied Government agencies, their personnel and forgers of documents could do now with all the captured genuine German document-producing facilities, the captured type writers, rubber stamps and tons of letter heads of all sizes and description and of any National Socialist organization you care to mention.

Even setting aside questionable "documentary" evidence, let's look at some of the accused's "testimony" - how it was extracted, and what it really means.

Like vile exclamation marks, at the heart of the Nuremberg Tribunal stand certain words: "Genocide" "Gas chamber" "Six million." These words, and the embedded moral judgment, were derived largely from the admissions and affidavit of one man, Rudolf Hoess, [not to be confused with Rudolf Hess!] the one-time war-time Kommandant at Auschwitz.



Rudolf Hoess was the Allies' most important witness. His affidavit and his testimony were q u o t e d extensively both by the prosecution and in the judgment of the IMT at Nuremberg, as well as by the press. It was his testimony which

laid the foundation and validated the claim of the "extermination of millions of people by gas at Auschwitz." Hoess's "confession" is heavily relied upon by historians like Raul Hilberg and

day.

It is true that Hoess witnessed at Nuremberg to horrendous "atrocities," and he also confirmed the "truth" under oath of an affidavit which he agreed to sign for the prosecution. In it, he confessed to having given orders for the gassing of millions of victims.

This affidavit was in English, a language he did not speak or understand, according to family members.

We now know from the book Legions of Death that Rudolf Hoess was beaten almost to death by Jewish members of the British Field Police Force upon capture and badly mistreated thereafter, until he gave this very devastating "testimony" and "affidavit" used by the Allies propagandists ever since.



Editor's note: We are grateful to our Bavarian correspondent for the derivation of the name of Nuremberg which is as follows:

The name is of ancient origin and is derived from "nor" (stony rock) and Berg (Moutain) upon which stands a castle overlooking the 1000 year old city of Nürnberg (Nuremberg in English).

Steven Books

League Enterprises 27. Old Gloucester Street London WC1N 3XX

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

vww.stevenbooks.co.uk/index.php?route=pr oduct/category&path=341



curred in American society in the past 20 years situation, at least in the United States in 1969 or so, and who have looked retrospectively to and the few years thereafter, and then recall the earlier history of the United States, and indeed, kinds of changes which have occurred between of the world, and come to the conclusion that then and now, almost 20 years later, I believe there is a conspiracy of sorts which influences, you will be impressed with the degree to which indeed controls. major historical events, not the things that were planned to be brought about only in the United States, but also around the have already been accomplished. Some of the world. This conspiratorial interpretation of his- things that were discussed were not intended to tory is based on people making observations be accomplished yet by 1988. [Note: the year of from the outside, gathering evidence and con- this recording] but are intended to be accomcluding that from the outside they see a conspir- plished before the end of this century. There is acy.

Their evidence and conclusions are based on brought out. evidence gathered in retrospect. I want to now describe what I heard from a speaker in 1969, Anyone who recalls early in the days of the which in several weeks will now be 20 years Kennedy campaign when he spoke of progress ago. The speaker did not speak in terms of in the decade of the 60's": That was kind of a retrospect, but rather predicting changes that cliché in those days - "the decade of the 60's." would be brought about in the future. The Well, by 1969 our speaker was talking about the speaker was not looking from the outside in, decade of the 70's, the decade of the 80's, and thinking that he saw conspiracy, rather, he was the decade of the 90's. Prior to that time, I don't on the inside, admitting that, indeed, there was remember anybody saying "the decade of the an organised power, force, group of men, who 40's and the decade of the 50's. So I think this wielded enough influence to determine major overall plan and timetable had taken important events involving countries around the world. In shape with more predictability to those who

HERE has been much written, and addition, he predicted, or rather expounded on, much said, by some people who have changes that were planned for the remainder of looked at all the changes that have oc- this century. As you listen, if you can recall the a timetable; and it was during this session that some of the elements of the timetable were

control it, sometime in the late 50's. That's speculation on my part. In any event, the speaker said that his purpose was to tell us about changes which would be brought about in the next 30 years or so, so that an entirely new world-wide system would be in operation before the turn of the century. As he put it, "We plan to enter the 21st Century with a running start." [emphasis supplied]

EVERYTHING IS IN PLACE AND NO-BODY CAN STOP US NOW

HE said, as we listened to what he was about to present, "Some of you will think I'm talking about Communism. Well, what I'm talking about is much bigger than Communism!" At that time he indicated that there is much more co-operation between East and West than most people realise. In his introductory remarks, he commented that he was free to speak at this time. He would not have been able to say what he was about to say, even a few years earlier. But he was free to speak at this time because now, and I'm quoting here, "everything is in place and nobody can stop us now." He went on to say that most people don't understand how governments operate and even people in high positions in governments, including our own, don't really understand how and where decisions are made. He went on to say that people who really influence decisions are names that for the most part would be familiar to most of us, but he would not use individuals' names or names of any specific organisation. But that, if he did, most of the people would be names that were recognised by most of his audience. He went on to say that they were not primarily people in public office, but people of prominence who were primarily known in their private occupations or private positions. The speaker was Dr. Richard Day, a doctor of medicine and a former professor at a large Eastern university, and he was addressing a group of doctors of medicine, about 80 in number. His name would not be widely recognised by anybody likely to hear this. The only purpose in recording this is that it may give a perspective to those who hear it regarding the changes which have already been accomplished in the past 20 years or so, and a bit of a preview to what at least some people are planning for the remainder of this century, so that they would enter the 21st Century with a flying start. Some of us may not enter that Century. His purpose in

telling our group about these changes that were to be brought about was to make it easier for us to adapt to these changes. Indeed, as he quite accurately said, "they would be changes that would be very surprising, and in some ways difficult for people to accept," and he hoped that we, as sort of his friends, would make the adaptation more easily if we knew somewhat beforehand what to expect.

PEOPLE WILL HAVE TO GET USED TO CHANGE

SOMEWHERE in the introductory remarks he insisted that nobody have a tape recorder and that nobody take notes, which for a professor was a very remarkable kind of thing to expect from an audience. Something in his remarks suggested that there could be negative repercussions against him if it became widely known that indeed he had spilled the beans, so to speak. When I first heard that, I thought maybe that was sort of an ego trip, somebody enhancing his own importance. But as the revelations unfolded, I began to understand why he might have had some concern about not having it widely known what was said although this was a fairly public forum where he was speaking. Nonetheless, he asked that no notes be taken, no tape recording be used. This was suggesting there might be some personal danger to himself if these revelations were widely publicised.

Again, as the remarks began to unfold, and heard the rather outrageous things that were said. I made it a point to try to remember as much of what he said as I could and to connect my recollections to simple events around me to aid my memory for the future, in case I wanted to do what I'm doing now - recording this. I also wanted to try to maintain a perspective on what would be developing, if indeed, it followed the predicted pattern - which it has! At this point, so that I don't forget to include it later, I'll just include some statements that were made from time to time throughout the presentation. One of the statements was having to do with change. The statement was, "People will have to get used to the idea of change, so used to change, that they'll be expecting change. Nothing will be permanent." This often came out in the context of a society where people seemed to have no roots or moorings, but would be passively willing to accept change simply because it was all they had ever known. This was sort of in contrast to generations of people up until this time where certain things you expected to be, and remain in place as reference points for your life. So change was to be brought about, change was to be anticipated and expected, and accepted, no questions asked. Another comment that was made from time to time during the presentation was. "People are too trusting, people don't ask the right questions." Sometimes, being too trusting was equated with being too dumb. But sometimes when he would say that "People don't ask the right questions," it was almost with a sense of regret as if he were uneasy with what he was part of, and wished that people would challenge it and maybe not be so trusting.

THE REAL AND THE STATED GOALS



ANOTHER comment that was repeated from time to time, particularly in relation to changing laws and customs was, "Everything has two purposes. One is the ostensible purpose which will make it acceptable to people and second is the real purpose which would further the goals of establishing the new system. Frequently he would say, "There is just no other way, there's just no other way!" This seemed to come as a sort of an apology, particularly at the conclusion of describing some particularly offensive changes. For example, the promotion of drug addiction which we'll get into later.

POPULATION CONTROL

HE was very active with population control groups, the population control movement, and population control was really the entry point into specifics following the introduction. He said the population is growing too fast. Numbers of people living at any one time on the planet must be limited or we will run out of space to live. We will outgrow our food supply and will pollute the world with our waste.

PERMISSION TO HAVE BABIES

PEOPLE won't be allowed to have babies just because they want to or because they are careless. Most families would be limited to two. Some people would be allowed only one, however outstanding people might be selected and allowed to have three. But most people would be allowed to have only two babies. That's because the zero population growth rate is 2.1 children per completed family. So something like every 10th family might be allowed the privilege of the third baby. To me, up to this point, the words 'population control' primarily connoted limiting the number of babies to be born. But this remark about what people would be 'allowed' and then what followed, made it quite clear that when you hear 'population control' that means more than just controlling births. It means control of every endeavour of an entire world population; a much broader meaning to that term than I had ever attached to it before hearing this. As you listen and reflect back on some of the things you hear, you will begin to recognise how one aspect dovetails with other aspects in terms of controlling human endeavours.

REDIRECTING THE PURPOSE OF SEX

WELL, from population control, the natural next step then was sex. He said sex must be separated from reproduction. Sex is too pleasurable, and the urges are too strong, to expect people to give it up. Chemicals in food and in the water supply to reduce the sex drive are not practical. The strategy then would be not to diminish sex activity, but to increase sex activity, but in such a way, that people won't be having babies.

CONTRACEPTION UNIVERSALLY AVAILABLE TO ALL

THE first consideration here was contraception. Contraception would be very strongly encouraged, and it would be connected closely in people's minds with sex. They would automatically think contraception when they were thinking or preparing for sex, and contraception would be made universally available. Contraceptives would be displayed much more prominently in drug stores, right up with the cigarettes and chewing gum. Out in the open rather than hidden under the counter where people would have to ask for them and maybe be embarrassed. This kind of openness was a way of suggesting that contraceptives are just as much a part of life as any other items sold in the store. Contraceptives would be advertised and also dispensed in the schools in association with sex education!

SEX EDUCATION AS A TOOL OF WORLD GOVERNMENT

HE sex education was to get kids interested early, making the connection beand tween sex the need for contraception early in their lives, even before they became very active. At this point I was recalling some of my teachers, particularly in high school and found it totally unbelievable to think of them agreeing, much less participating in, and distributing of contraceptives to students. But, that only reflected my lack of understanding of how these people operate. That was before the school-based clinic programs got started. Many cities in the United States by this time have already set up school-based clinics, which are primarily contraception, birth control, population control clinics. The idea then is that the connection between sex and contraception introduced and reinforced in school would carry over into marriage. Indeed, if young people when they matured decided to get married, marriage itself would be diminished in importance. He indicated some recognition that most people probably would want to be married, but this certainly would not be any longer considered necessary for sexual activity.

TAX FUNDED ABORTION AS POPULATION CONTROL



NO surprise then that the next item was abortion. And this, now back in 1969, four years before Roe vs. Wade, he said, "Abortion will no longer be a crime." Abortion will be accepted as normal, and would be paid for by taxes for people who could not pay for their own abor-

tions. Contraceptives would be made available by tax money so that nobody would have to do without contraceptives. If school sex programs would lead to more pregnancies in children, that was really seen as no problem. Parents who think they are opposed to abortion on moral or religious grounds will change their minds when it is their own child who is pregnant. So this will help overcome opposition to abortion. Before long, only a few diehards will still refuse to see abortion as acceptable, and they won't matter anymore.

"People will be given permission to be homosexual," that's the way it was stated. They won't have to hide it. In addition, elderly people will be encouraged to continue to have active sex lives into the very old ages, just as long as they can. Everyone will be given permission to have sex, to enjoy however they want. Anything goes. This is the way it was put. In addition, I remember thinking, "How arrogant for this individual, or whoever he represents, to feel that they can give or withhold permission for people to do things!" But that was the terminology that was used. In this regard, clothing was mentioned. Clothing styles would be made more stimulating and provocative. Back in 1969 was the time of the mini skirt, when those mini¬skirts were very, very high and very revealing. He said, "It is not just the amount of skin that is exposed that makes clothing sexually seductive, but other, more subtle things are often suggestive." Things like movement, and the cut of clothing, and the kind of fabric, the positioning of accessories on the clothing. "If a woman has an attractive body, why should she not show it?" was one of the statements. There was no detail on what was meant by 'provocative clothing', but since that time if you watched the change in clothing styles, blue jeans are cut in a way that they're more tight-fitting in the crotch. They form wrinkles. Wrinkles are essentially arrows. Lines which direct one's vision to certain anatomic areas. This was around the time of the 'burn your bra' activity. He indicated that a lot of women should not go without a bra. They need a bra to be attractive, so instead of banning bras and burning them, bras would come back. But they would be thinner and softer allowing more natural movement. It was not specifically stated, but certainly, a very thin bra is much more revealing of the nipple and what else is underneath, than the heavier bras that were in style up to that time.

To be continued.

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [Listen to audio clip] \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/

Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am EST

http://www.republicbroadcas <u>ting.org</u>/



Christogenos Fridays 8pm EST- 1am GMT Saturday

http://www.talkshoe.com/t c/30258



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site: http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm





FOR THE REAL NEWS

http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT) http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talk Cast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc

Announcements

The Christian Defence League New Christian Crusade Church PO Box 25 Mandeville, LA 70470. USA. Tel. No. +1 6017498565



The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.

The New Ensign Can be contacted by e-mail thenewensign@gmail.com

Previous Issues are archived at

newensign.christsassembly.com



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Watch this space for future events

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey Wirral CH45 7QZ Telephone 07813 529 383 Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk