

The New Ensign

No. 44 April 2013

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Why Nothing Happened On December 21 2012?



HOWEVER magical the world might be it pales in comparison with what we deserve and what must come. We want the magic of a child; we want to experience without the inhibitions learned; we want that purity that cannot and does not exist in this world; we want the total freedom, and we want it now. The new world has the roots in the old world but it is an entirely different experience. The universe has its rules we as individuals cannot transgress. A change from without is necessary for a change from within.

We can neither disown our inheritance nor abandon our faith in God and his promise of a Golden Age. We make errors, not the universe.

There is a good reason why a big bang did not happen exactly on a dot. When such a thing happens, it is easy to lose faith in upcoming transformation of earth but that is equal to losing faith in God and everything that is good and right. The nature has its own ways of separating right from wrong.

How many of those who expected the fireworks at noon on winter solstice still believe in inevitability of a better future on earth? And how many have eagerly, rejoicing that nothing happened, quickly returned to the old ways??

We must remember that the upcoming change is a total change that requires the total commitment despite all apparent setbacks. Remember also that the night is darkest just before the dawn. If we abandon our faith now, how true were we? It is all or nothing. How many are truly prepared to commit themselves unreservedly to a better and more beautiful reality on this wonderful planet? How true is our desire for God and heaven on earth? Here is my explanation of why nothing happened exactly on 21.12.2012 and why the new world is still going to be born shortly.

We were blinded by paying too much attention to this single magical date. Would not it actually

Contents	Page
Churches Borrowing Money from Outsiders - Arnold Kennedy	5
The Exclusive Covenants of Covenant - Pastor Eli James Part 6	7
The 2 Seeds of Genesis 3:15 - Lee Mange Part 8	12
Harold Stough Notes - Alderley Edge Well	15
Mussolini and Churchill - Marc Rowland (part 2)	16
Only One God - John Trotter	18
Letters and Views	19
Nuremberg - The Crime That Will Not Die - Ernst Zundel	26
The Hidden Government - Proofs of its Existence - Count Cherep-Spiridovich	34
Jewish Quotes That You May Have Missed	36
Chemtrails - Sky Watch Florida	37

be ridiculous if the world ended exactly on 21.12.2012 at quarter past eleven as millions from all walks of life gathered to await it? The calculations and messages of many were not wrong but our understanding and our expectations were.




21.12.2012 was the end of Mayan Long Count. This people who were so precise in every respect did not make a mistake – we did in expecting that the end of the world will happen exactly on the day when their calendar ends. This date only indicates that the bridge has been crossed and there is no way back. The end of the world is imminent. It is God's will, not human that it be so. The will of God plays out as a natural law that Mayans and many others in ancient past knew well yet we forgot it because we forgot God too. There is no need to blame our ignorance on God or Mayans. When we lost connection with God, we lost all of the knowledge and sense too. Remember it is not the universe or earth that comes to an end but the world as we know it. Earth remains but the world changes. Such periodic changes that alter material and spiritual make-up of all life on earth happen in regular intervals. That is God's will because it is the law of nature on a grand cosmic scale.

The world did not end immediately, which does not mean it will not do so in a very short space of time. Earth, like every massive body has inertia – a resistance to change. It takes time for a heavy material body like earth to react to a new energetic and spiritual reality that was introduced on 21st of December. It is difficult to tell how much time exactly in absence of empirical evidence. Unlike Maya and other ancient people, we are groping in the dark. We can speculate but cannot have certainty that people of the past had. We know that it is the wobble or precession of

earth that triggers such transformation and Mayan calendar was a pure precessional calendar, which means that in some way its end also announces the end of the world but not in the way we expected it to happen on the dot. We were all focused too much on that single date to see the greater picture, and now when winter solstice has come and gone we think it was all false. It was not false. It is us who do not understand, not them being false.

We missed something important – a fact that it is the end of a calendar, end of a cycle, not an actual end. It is an end in abstract terms, not in reality. For example – we know that earth spins full circle in 23 hours and 56 minutes yet because of synodic/sideral difference a day is 24 hours long. Every day the sun rises (or sets) about 4 minutes after the cycle of rotation of earth has spun its 360 degrees. In a strict sense every day a new day arrives 4 minutes later then it should if a day is just one full cycle of earth's spin. Because earth not only spins on its own axis but also orbits around the sun, this orbital extends a daily cycle for one degree (4 minutes) so that a day is 24 hours. It is similarly with a period of one year. The earth's true orbital is 360 days yet an actual year last 365.25 days because of tug of war between lunar and solar gravity (primarily). There is an additional 5 days and an additional 1/4 days added to a 360-day year due to the larger cycles of earth. A true calendrical year is exactly 360 days long as all ancient people knew, but an actual year is 365,25 year which is a combination of at least 3 different cycles. Then there is sidereal year and there is a tropical year – the difference between them being the rate of precession, but the precession is not the only long cycle of earth. The cycles are without the number, every successive cycle being longer than the one before. These longer cycles modulate and extend the shorter cycles, so that those cycles are not an exact 360-degree curvature but rather a spiral seen as a slight eccentricity of a perfect circle when seen on a two-dimensional plane. Every orbital, every motion is slightly eccentric or oblate due to this relativistic space/time difference. Even earth or any other cosmic body is not a perfect sphere but slightly oblate. It is this eccentricity, this relativistic difference that is the crux of the matter. I wrote about this extensively on the websites as well as in my book. This gap, this delay, this difference is what makes the world. We exist in that space/time gap. If all was in

perfect symmetry then opposite forces will cancel each other out and nothing would exist. It is the asymmetry that separates space from time and creates reality as we know it. It is of utmost importance to understand that nothing in nature is perfect and nothing happens on a dot, then how can earth suddenly jump at the very moment of an exact solstice? Solstice is an indication that the mechanism has been put into motion but we will see the effect of it only when inertia of earth is overcome, which will take some time.



The precession, which pushes earth's own spin backwards, lasts about 20.500 years, while the so-called perihelion advance pushes earth's orbit forward and it last just over 400.000 years. It is because of that advance of earth's orbital that calendrical end of precession does not correspond with an actual end, because regression of rotation and advance of orbital act in opposite directions – one reverses the earth's spin, the other advances its orbital, and since the orbital is an increment in the daily cycle, it also advances the earth's own spin. It is for that reason that most sources quote the precessional wobble as a 26.000-year motion but that is a combined motion of those two great cycles; the precession alone is a theoretical 21.600 years (perfect curvature) or an actual 20.500-year period (eccentric curvature). Just as the earth's orbital motion prolongs earth's day, so does the orbit's slow advance over 432.000 years (perfect curvature) prolongs the earth's precession (very slow backward rotation), so that calendrical end (21.12.2012) does not correspond with an actual end. Everything in nature functions this way, with a slight delay. Nothing happens on a dot. Nothing is perfect.

Mayans were precision freaks. Their calendar indicates the exact end of a cycle, not the end of

the world itself, which must occur with a slight delay. How much the end is actually delayed can be only a matter of conjecture in absence of empirical evidence. My guess is that the actual end will occur 14.4 days after winter solstice, i.e. around 4th of January when earth is in its perihelion (closest point to the sun) or alternatively 18 days after solstice, i.e. around 8th of January. If that does not materialise then it can be any time, sooner rather than later. In any case we are not far off from the actual end – I believe it is a matter of days rather than years, but as we lack the wisdom and experience of our forefathers, then only God knows. As long as we have faith in higher power and better future, nothing is ever lost. Everything happens for a good reason.

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

"Whenever a people... entrust the defence of their country to a regular, standing army, composed of mercenaries, the power of that country will remain under the direction of the most wealthy citizens." Anonymous 'framer' of the US Constitution Source: Independent Gazetteer, January 29, 1791

Steven Books

**League Enterprises (SB)
27. Old Gloucester Street
London
WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Churches Borrowing Money From Outsiders, I.E. From Banks

Arnold Kennedy



WHAT *STRANGER (A FOREIGNER) THOU*
w e *MAYEST LEND UPON USURY; but*
a r e *unto thy brother thou shalt not lend upon*
concerned with *usury: that the LORD thy God may bless*
is borrowing *thee in all that thou settest thine hand to*
money at *in the land whither thou goest to possess*
interest-[Heb. *it.*

daneizo], not
other forms of
'borrowing', i.e.
Heb *Sha'al.*

Lending without interest is commended,
but usury is denied.

Exodus 22:25 *If thou lend money to any
of my people that is poor by thee, thou
shalt not be to him as an usurer, neither
shalt thou lay upon him usury.*

The principle against borrowing at interest
is established under the law, and is
expressed clearly in the following two
verses.

*Deuteronomy 15:6 For the LORD thy
God blesseth thee, as he promised thee:
and thou shalt lend unto many nations,
but **THOU SHALT NOT BORROW**;
and thou shalt reign over many nations,
but they shall not reign over thee.*

*Deuteronomy 28:12 The LORD shall
open unto thee his good treasure, the
heaven to give the rain unto thy land in
his season, and to bless all the work of
thine hand: and thou shalt lend unto many
nations, and **THOU SHALT NOT
BORROW.***

*Deuteronomy 23:19-23 Thou shalt not
lend upon usury to thy brother; usury of
money, usury of victuals, usury of any
thing that is lent upon usury: **UNTO A***

Thus there is a clear distinction between
God's people and those who are not.
God's people are not to borrow on usu-
ry, (i.e. to pay interest) from anyone at
all, Christian or otherwise.

There is ample confirmation in the New
Testament, e.g.

Romans 13:8 OWE (opheilo) **NO MAN
ANY THING**, *but to love one another:
for he that loveth another hath fulfilled
the law.*

The reason for this is stated

*1 Cor. 7:23 Ye are bought with a
price; be not ye the servants of men.*

*Proverbs 22:7 The rich ruleth over the
poor, and the borrower is servant to the
lender.*

As soon as money is borrowed the bor-
rower becomes a servant to the lender
and is thus becoming disobedient to the
Lord and thus is under judgement, espe-
cially financially.

As Jesus expressed it:

*Matthew 18:26-30 The servant therefore
fell down, and worshipped him, saying,
Lord, have patience with me, and I will
pay thee all. Then the lord of that servant*

was moved with compassion, and loosed him, and forgave him the debt. But the same servant went out, and found one of his fellow servants, which owed him an hundred pence: and he laid hands on him, and took him by the throat, saying, Pay me that thou owest. And his fellow servant fell down at his feet, and besought him, saying, Have patience with me, and I will pay thee all. 30 And he would not: but went and cast him into prison, till he should pay the debt.

That is, do not borrow and have no such trouble.



When a church borrows from the bank, trust in God is misplaced. Man becomes the source of supply rather than God. A bank is not God and any borrower on usury is not of God because of God's condemnation of usury.

Philippians 4:19 But my God shall supply **ALL YOUR NEED** according to his riches in glory by Christ Jesus.

“Need” here is given by Strong as *ch-reia* =1. necessity, need.. 2. duty, business.

Jesus pointed out the necessity of having enough on hand to finish building a structure (*purgos*) **BEFORE**

STARTING TO BUILD. A Christian person or organisation thus cannot bor-

row at interest to do the job.

Luke 14:28-30 For which of you, intending to build a tower, sitteth not down first, and counteth the cost, whether he have sufficient to finish it? Lest haply, after he hath laid the foundation, and is not able to finish it, all that behold it begin to mock him, Saying, This man began to build, and was not able to finish.

EXAMPLES FROM THE “IT IS WRITTEN” FROM THE OLD TESTAMENT

Remember that Jesus says that if we do not believe Moses and the Prophets, we cannot understand His words, and so this cannot be dismissed as, “that’s Old Testament”..

Isaiah 24:1-3 Behold, the LORD maketh the earth empty, and maketh it waste, and turneth it upside down, and scattereth abroad the inhabitants thereof. And it shall be, as with the people, so with the priest; as with the servant, so with his master; as with the maid, so with her mistress; as with the buyer, so with the seller; as with the lender, **SO WITH THE BORROWER;** as with the taker of usury, so with the giver of usury to him. The land shall be utterly emptied, and utterly spoiled: for the LORD hath spoken this word.

Here is demonstrated God’s judgment against borrowing. All prosperity finishes. The “land” is emptied.

Consider Elisha and the widow in her need.

2 Kings 4:6-7 And it came to pass, when the vessels were full, that she said unto her son, Bring me yet a vessel. And he

*said unto her, There is not a vessel more. And the oil stayed. Then she came and told the man of God. And he said, Go, sell the oil, and **PAY THY DEBT**, and live thou and thy children of the rest.*

Elisha could have done what churches do, that is borrow money to satisfy a need, but there would be no supply from God. Elisha knew the widow's problem that stemmed from debt. The solution of her trouble was to have the debt paid.

When we have that same intent, God honours us when we honour Him; it is only by trust demonstrated by obedience that God pours out His blessings. If we do not immediately have the means to eliminate a debt at interest but intend to do all and everything we can about it, then God who looks upon the heart will assist us to conform to His will.

To be Continued

The Exclusive Covenants Of Covenant (Part 6) **Documenting The Bible's Commandment of Racial Segregation** **By Pastor Eli James**



Some Common Misperceptions of Paul's Epistles Corrected

IN this section, I will deal with some of the horrible translations of the King James Bible. King James "authorized" this version with the explicit intention of negating the influence of the Geneva Bible, and other versions, which were not approved by the Anglican Church. His motivation was to unify church and state under himself, so as to have authority over both. Englishmen who did not buy into his version were severely persecuted. In fact, the Pilgrims and Puritans were Britishers who fled away from the tyranny of King James. The Bible they brought with them to America was the Geneva Bible. They had no use for the "Authorized Version." It was in response to this ecclesiastical tyranny of King James, still fresh in the memory of the Founding Fathers, that they created the so-called "Separation Clause" of our Constitution, which states that, "Congress shall make no law with respect to the establishment of religion or the free exercise thereof."

Space and time do not permit a thorough analysis of the deliberately universalistic and spiritualised passages contained the New Testament of the KJV. A few important pericopes will be considered.

ROMANS, CHAPTER 9

Because of the false definition of the word 'Gentiles,' many Christians falsely believe that Jesus came to redeem non-Israelites. Contrary to this notion, Paul, in Romans 9 is actually confirming the exclusivity of the Covenants (Rom. 9:3-5) and confirms that Esau is excluded from the Covenants (Romans 9:7-15. "Esau I have hated."). Romans 9 is actually a confirmation of John 8, where the racial distinction between Israelites and Edomites is declared. The Israelites are the "vessels of mercy," (Verse 23) while the Edomite Jews are the "lump of dishonour." (Verse 21.)

The correct translation of Verse 24 is this: "Even US, whom He has called, not of the Judeans only, but also of the Race [ethnos]?" Verse 25 confirms that he is speaking exclusively about the race of Israel.

ENDLESS GENEALOGIES

The genealogies that Paul criticizes at I Tim. 1:4 and Titus 3:9 are NOT references to Israelite genealogies. Paul is here criticizing the endless genealogies among the Greeks and other Dispersed Israelites. The Dispersion (James 1:1) consisted of many estranged Israelites. "I am not sent but unto the estranged [or exiled] sheep of the House of Israel." - Matt. 15:24. Many of these estranged Israelites had adopted heathen practices and claimed to have descended from the "gods" of the various nations within which

they lived. This is what Paul is criticizing in these passages. He is not challenging the genetic code of True Israel. In fact, Paul goes out of his way to assert that he is an Israelite of the Tribe of Benjamin. (Romans 11:1-2.)

The reference in Titus 3:9 and 1 Timothy 1:4 in which Paul denies the need to search out one's own genealogy, does not conflict with Isaiah's call to Israel. Herod the Tetrarch had ordered the burning of those genealogical records of Israel which were kept in the archives of the Temple, to protect his own rulership. He himself was an Idumean, and therefore he feared any investigation into ancestral records. At that time, of course, the Pharisees were frantically trying to retrace their genealogies in order that they could continue to boast about their ancestry. Paul was also well aware of the class distinction, which would arise when the "heathenised" Israelites, having lost all their records in the captivity, would be joined to the Assembly.

This discussion of endless genealogies shows that the subject is not the genealogies of the Israelites, but the genealogies of the pagan Greeks:

Some even claim that people who believe in British-Israel "... give heed to fables and endless genealogies, which minister questions, rather than godly edifying which is in faith:" (1 Tim 1:4; Titus 3:9). Is this true?

Answer: First, the Bible is loaded with genealogies. All one has to do is look into the books of Genesis, Numbers, 1 Chronicles etc. and even the New Testament Gospels to see all the genealogies of the people of Israel, and the world in Genesis. Doesn't this contradict what Paul is saying? Absolutely Not!

The genealogies that Paul is speaking of are not the Biblical genealogies, but are, "...found in Philo, Josephus and the book of Jubilees, by which the Jews [sic, Judahites and Israelites - Eli] traced the descent from the Patriarchs and their families, and perhaps also to Gnostic 'genealogies' and orders of aeons and spirits. Amongst the Greeks, as well as other nations, mythological stories gathered around the birth and 'genealogy' of their heros [hence Paul's reference to 'fables']. Probably Jewish 'genealogical' tales crept into the Christian communities" (Vines Expository Dictionary,

p.262). Clearly these are not the Biblical genealogies Paul was speaking of. Josephus "appeals to the priestly registers and is proud of the royal descent of his mother; he shows that even the priests residing in Egypt had their sons registered authentically in Jerusalem, so as to safeguard their priestly prerogatives (C. Apion., I, vii)." (The Catholic Encyclopedia, under article "Genealogy"). Philo, "to the various stories and fables told about Moses and the Patriarchs" (ibid).



As for the Gnostics, "[These were] genealogies of spirits and aeons, as they called them, "Lists of Gnostic emanations" [Alford]. So Tertullian [Against Valentinian, c. 3], and Irenæus (left) [Preface] ...Endless" refers to the tedious unprofitableness of their lengthy genealogies (compare Tit. 3:9).

Hence, Paul's condemnation of genealogies concerns the existing mythical genealogies of the Dispersion as well as those of the emerging anti-Gospel sects, whose "genealogy" was traced back to the Gnostic aeons. Not all of the Gnostic sects were anti-Christian, but most of them added ideas and ideology that were either spurious or anti-Gospel. Thus, serious scholarship proves that Paul has no intention of negating the genealogies of Matthew and Luke. Nor do these passages have anything to do with denying the racial genealogy of the Israelites, past, present, or future.

CIRCUMCISION VERSUS UNCIRCUMCISION

Contrary to the doctrine of the Judeos, Paul, in Romans 2-4, is NOT asserting that the "uncircumcision" refers to "Gentiles," or non-Israelites. Rather, Paul is distinguishing between the Israelites of the House of Judah, then living in Palestine (the "Circumcision"), versus the Dispersed Israelites (House of Israel) scattered throughout the Greco-Roman world (the "Uncircumcision").

Remember that the rite of biblical circumcision was made exclusively with the posterity of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. No other peoples are

included in this Covenant. The Circumcision are the Israelites who still practiced circumcision, as the Levitical priesthood still existed in Judea, but it had been commingled with Edomite Pharisees. The Dispersion, adopting the customs of the nations in which they lived, stopped practicing circumcision. Therefore, the Israelites of the Dispersion are the Uncircumcision. This is what Paul means by his usage of these two words. Paul is trying to explain that both groups of Israelites, circumcised or not, were forgiven for their past sins at the Cross. Because that promise was given exclusively to the Progeny of the Chosen People, it has nothing to do with any other people, nor can any other people claim the benefits of inheritance. We have here another example of expert word twisting at the hands of the KJV translators, who, by conveying the notion that “Gentiles” are non-Israelites, make the Gospel of none effect.

The history of the laws of inheritance proves that no one but those specifically named in the Will (Testament) can be a party to the Will. No outsiders are ever invited to the reading of the Will. It is none of their business! The New Testament is the story of the delivering of the Will to its intended recipients, namely, the two Houses of Israel. (Jer. 31.) But most Israelites are still clueless that this Will even applies to them. The Epistles of Paul document his strenuous efforts to 1.) explain to the Uncircumcision that they are a Party to the Covenants and 2.) explain to the Circumcision that their forgiveness is a direct result of the unconditional promise to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob that all of these direct descendants will get this inheritance, whether they practice circumcision or not, whether they live in or outside of Judea. Thanks to the poor translations of Paul’s writings, as found in the KJV, Paul’s words have been universalised; but this universalisation is totally contrary to the specificity of the Covenants themselves.

Paul, throughout his Epistles, is constantly explaining to members of both Houses that the unconditional promise to forgive Israelites of their sins (via the sacrifice on the Cross) applies to both categories (“Houses”) of Israelites, the circumcised and the uncircumcised. Since the Judeos know nothing of the actual history of the Dispersion, they are forced to make wild speculations that defy the categorical

imperatives of Covenant Theology. There is **no way** that Paul is extending the terms of the Covenants, Promises and Inheritance to anyone else. Paul did not have such authority. Paul did not claim such authority; nor did he do any such thing. The idea that Paul universalized the terms of the covenants to all peoples and races is pure balderdash. It is a total distortion of the Gospel. The devil himself could not have created a more devious, anti-Scriptural doctrine. Actually, he did create it.



Remember that Jeremiah 31 prophesied that the New Covenant would be made to **both houses**. Thus, the two houses have been reunited, as also prophesied by

the Two Sticks prophecy of Ezekiel. Christ’s Passover sacrifice on the Cross **did not** unify all races, as the apostate churches claim today. No Israelite in Scripture has ever made such a claim. This claim is totally contrary to the entire history of the covenants, promises and inheritance, which are exclusive to the posterity of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. There is simply no doubt about this. When Abraham placed his son, Isaac, on the sacrificial altar, Isaac’s progeny were thus being dedicated to Yahweh. Only Isaac’s descendants can qualify for this promise. “In Isaac shall thy seed (progeny, descendants, posterity) be called.” Only the Anglo-Saxons have ever been called by Isaac’s name. This is a very specific branch of the Adamic Race. The rest of the world will be blessed as long as they bless us. They will be cursed if they curse us. Because the Jews have successfully trained the world to bless them and curse us, we have patent evidence of why the world is going to hell in rapid fashion. This deluded world is blessing the progeny of Satan. The beginning of America’s precipitous decline into moral degradation began the moment that President Truman recognized the Bastard State of Israeli, that illegitimate, hybrid child of Khazar and Edomite parents, who claim descent not through the patriarchs of Israel but through their hybrid mothers.

There is a direct, causal relationship between Truman's recognition of that Luciferian State and the chaos that we have descended into since. Since then, American Israel has allowed all sorts of non-Whites to enter into our borders, thus violating all the laws of segregation that Yahweh has commanded us to follow. **Israel is to dwell alone.** We cannot dwell alone in an integrated society.

Jesus Himself declares that, when He returns to judge the nations, He will **separate** them, not integrate them: "When the Son of Adam shall come in His glory, and all the holy angels with Him, then shall He sit upon the throne of His glory: And before Him shall be gathered all nations: and He shall **separate them one from another**, as a shepherd divides sheep from the goats." - Matthew 25:31-32.

Since the Jews reckon their genealogy through the various mothers of their breed, most of whom have little or no Israelite blood, they cannot possibly be the Israel of Scripture. This matriarchal reckoning is totally contrary to the **Patriarchal** reckoning of genealogy of True Israel, which is amply documented in the Bible.



Paul confirms the law: "But we know that the law is good." Jesus was "born of a woman, according to the Law." (Gal. 4:4) This means that His genealogy is purely Adamic, through the patriarchs. His mother, Mary, was also of royal blood, being descended from David. Joseph, his legal father, or "stepfather," was also a descendant of David. Therefore, Yahshua's genealogical descent is totally in conformity with Hebrew law and the Covenants. His genealogy is **perfect**, just as Noah's was. Mary's genealogy is reckoned through her father, Heli, at Luke 3:23. Heli was Mary's father and became Joseph's father-in-law. Since the expression, "father-in-law" was not in common usage, verse 23 simply declares Joseph to be the "son of Heli." Jesus said, "I am the **Root** and the

Offspring of David." (Rev. 22:16.) Because Mary was a descendant of David, so was Yahshua.

It is amazing that the Jews claim that Jesus could not have been the Messiah because He did not have an earthly father – while they themselves reject the patriarchal lineage!!! This is nothing but incredible sophistry and hypocrisy! But the prophecy concerning the Messiah simply states that He will be the offspring of David. And David's genetic code was transmitted to Yahshua through Mary. That is the bottom line. Furthermore, who better than the Holy Spirit could be your father?

From Wikipedia, which is a Jewish-owned service, we are told, under the heading of "Who Is a Jew?" According to Halakha (Jewish law), only a convert or a child born to a Jewish mother is counted as Jewish. A child with a Jewish father and a non-Jewish mother is considered a non-Jew.

So, who are the Jews to criticize Christ's genealogy when they themselves admit that they do not follow a patriarchal reckoning? Hypocrisy, thy name is Judaism!

ISRAEL, THE ANOINTED PEOPLE, BOTH JUDAH AND ISRAEL

"And many of the people believed on him and said, When Christ [Messiah] cometh, will he do more miracles than these which this man hath done? The Pharisees heard that the people murmured such things concerning him; and the Pharisees and the chief priests sent officers to take him. Then Jesus said unto them, Yet a little while am I with you, and then I go unto him that sent me. Ye shall seek me and shall not find me: and where I am thither ye cannot come. Then said the Jews among themselves, Whither will he go, that we shall not find him? will he go unto the **dispersed** among the Gentiles (Nations) and teach the Gentiles?" – John 7:35.

This verse proves that even the rabbis of His day understood that the Dispersed Tribes were scattered throughout the Greco-Roman world. The Judahite scribe, Josephus, tells us — "wherefore, there are but two tribes in Asia and Europe subject to the Romans, **while the ten tribes** are beyond Euphrates till now, and are an immense multitude, and not to be estimated by

numbers.” Antiquities of the Judahites, Book XI, chapter V, Para. 2.

II Esdras 13:39-46: “Those are the Ten Tribes, which were carried away prisoners out of their own land in the time of Osea the King, whom Salmaneser the King of Assyria led away captive.”

The Book of James opens with this dedication: “To the **twelve tribes scattered abroad greetings.**”

Psalm 20:5-6. “WE will rejoice in Your salvation...The Lord saveth His anointed.” Jesus is the Savior, not the one to whom salvation was promised. Get it?

Psalm 28:8-9: “Yahweh is **their** strength, and He is the saving strength of His **anointed**. Save your people and bless Your Inheritance: feed **them** also, and lift **them** up forever.”

II Esdras 711: “Even so also is Israel’s portion. Because for their sakes I made the world; and when Adam transgressed my statutes, then was decreed what now is done.”

I John 2:27: But the anointing which ye have received of him abideth in you, and ye need not that any man teach you: but as the same anointing teacheth you of all things, and is truth, and is no lie, and even as it hath taught you, ye shall abide in him.

These examples prove conclusively that the word ‘anointed’ does not always refer to the individual, Christ Jesus. The KJV often mistranslates ‘anointed’ as referring to the person of Yahshua, when it in fact refers to the **anointed people**, the whole house of Israel, meaning all twelve tribes. It clearly does not refer to Yahshua in Gal. 3:28.

2 Cor. 1:20-22 “Now He who establishes us with you in Christ and has anointed us is God, who also has sealed us and given us the Spirit in our hearts as a guarantee.”

Paul is directly referencing the promise of the New Covenant in Jer. 31. Here is the true promise of salvation, as it applies to True Israel: Only those Israelites of Adamkind, through the redemption at the cross and the restoration of His Remnant at the Second Coming, will inherit

immortal flesh bodies, protected by the Holy Spirit. This is the true meaning of “salvation,” for Israel and the White Race. The other races, which were indeed created by Yahweh Elohim, will continue to exist; but they will no longer inhabit our lands. The Law of Segregation will be forever enforced. Hybridisation will henceforth be a capital offence and will be punished accordingly. Here is how Isaiah puts it:

“Therefore I will shake the heavens, and the earth shall remove out of her place, in the wrath of Yahweh of hosts, and in the day of His fierce anger. And it shall be as the chased gazelle, and as a sheep that no man (#120, *awdawm*) takes up: they shall every man (#376, *iysh*, mortals of all races) turn to his own people, and flee every one into his own land.” - Isa. 13:13-14.

The Blacks will go back to Africa. The Orientals will go back to China. The Mexicans will be sent back to Mexico. The Jewsraili Viper State will be wiped off the face of the map; and we Adamites will keep the lands that Yahweh has given to us. And there will be peace and prosperity everywhere, after Adamkind gets restored to the condition intended for our parents, Adam and Eve. There will be no more race-mixing! Praise Yahweh!

Matt. 25:32-33 confirms Isa. 13:13-14.

Since only Adamkind was promised dominion, these other races will have to submit to our dominion, as stated in Gen. 1:28-30. Even for them, their best days are ahead of them, because the Perfidious Jew, the hybrid race of the fallen angels, will finally be wiped off the face of the earth. Is this a radical teaching? This is what the Bible teaches, in no uncertain terms!!! **To be continued.**



The Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15 (8)

By Charles Lee Mange



Appendix No. 1 Glossary Of Terms

THE following terms are all vital to the understanding of What happened in the Garden of Eden. You should carefully study and research each word as it appears in

Genesis Chapter Three. Each of these words is loaded with meaning and must be carefully examined under the light of the original Hebrew and historical usage.

1. TREE: Note carefully the usage of this word in Scripture. Note first that three different types of implications are involved in the usage of this Word in Genesis Chapter Two & Three. **First:** The natural, physical tress the grew out of the ground and were to be used for food. **Second:** The Tree of Life. **Third:** The Tree of Knowledge of good and evil. If the first type of trees were in the “natural” what did second two trees represent? For definite clues to this question you should carefully study the usage of the word Tree in the Bible. Note: Carefully read the usage of the word Tree in each of the following scriptures and you will find the answer to 1) The meaning of the Tree of Life and the meaning of the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil. Psalms 1:3, Psalms 92:12, Proverbs 3:18, Proverbs 11:30, Isaiah 56:3, Her. 11:16, Ezekiel Ch.17, Daniel Ch. 4, Ezekiel Ch. 31, Matthew 3:10, Matthew 7:17-19, Matthew 12:33, Luke 3:9, Luke 6:43-44, Romans 11:17-24, Revelation 2:7, Revelation 22:2-14. You will note that the word **tree** is used to describe people in either singular or plural form. The word tree also carries a racial meaning as in the words of Jesus Christ in above named references to the Gospels. The Tree of Life is identified as Jesus Christ. What personage was represented by the Tree of Knowledge of Good & Evil? Please be consistent! If Jesus Christ was life who was the author of Death? Satan if you will believe it, was symbolized by the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil.

2. EAT. This is a very important word with profound meaning in this particular study. The word Eat has several shades of meaning in the original Hebrew and one of the Hebrew meanings is that the word eat means to lay. See Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance to the Bible under #398. Notice that in Genesis 3:3 the woman Eve told the serpent that she was forbidden from touching or eating of the tree. What was Eve forbidden to touch? What was she forbidden to eat? Now let us carefully notice the usage of the word eat in the following passages of Scripture. Genesis 3:6, Numbers 25:2, Proverbs 30:20. The word eat means to lay (among other uses) and Proverbs 30:20 describes an adulterous woman whose lusting involved illicit sex. What did God mean when He said that Adam & Eve could not eat of the tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil? Remember: The word eat carried different shades of meaning in the original Hebrew. It was one type of eating for the natural trees producing food, it was another type of eating to partake of the Tree of Life and it was still another kind of eating that was involved with the Tree of Knowledge of Good and Evil.

3. FOOD: This is a very interesting word and comes from #3978 Maakal in the original Hebrew. See Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible under #3978 and #398. The interesting thing about the use of the word food in the original Hebrew is that this word food comes form the same root meaning (#98) as the word Eat. In this sense the usage of the word food in Genesis 3:6 is interwoven with the use of the word eat... which in Genesis 3:6 means to Lay. The food of this tree of knowledge of good and evil was illicit sexual involvement with Lucifer.

4. FRUIT: This is a very important word and it is absolutely imperative to understanding the Genesis Three. First: The word Fruit is Periy #6529 in the original Hebrew from #6509 Parah. A Prim. Root; to bear fruit, (Lit. or fig.) bear, bring forth (fruit), (be, cause to be, make) fruitful, grow or increase. See Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. The word fruit conveys the idea of offspring or progeny. Bear fruit, be fruitful involves

offspring. Look up the word fruitful or fruit as it pertains to seed or children in the Scriptures. Webster's 1828 Dictionary describes one of the meanings of Fruit as follows: "Offspring, young, as the Fruit of the Womb, of the Loins, of the Body." What type of fruit did the woman partake of in Genesis 3:6? This fruit was the seed of Satan. It was sexual involvement with Satan and resulted in the fruit or offspring, as evidenced in Genesis 3:15.

5. DESIRE: Notice the use of the word desire or desired as used in Genesis 3:6 and Genesis 3:16. What did it mean for the woman to desire the fruit of the tree of knowledge of good and evil? First: The word desire is from #8669 (See Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible) and means stretching out after; a longing. What did Eve long for in Genesis 3:6? What was the desire for? Random House Dictionary of the English Language defines Desire as "Sexual appetite or a sexual urge." What did Eve desire? Why did God tell Eve that her desire would be to her husband Adam in Genesis 3:16? In Genesis 3:6 Eve's desire was to have sexual intercourse with Satan. This act is what the serpent, that (old enchanter) beguiled Eve into doing. You cannot evade the sexual connotation of the word desire.



6. WISE: Notice that Genesis 3:6 says that the tree was "pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make one wise..." How could eating of the fruit of this forbidden tree

make one WISE? Random House Dictionary of the English Language defines wise as having the power of discerning and judging properly as to what is true or right. Satan had previously tried to deceive Eve into believing that if she would "eat" (Genesis 3:5) her eyes would be opened and she would be as a god, knowing good and evil. The use of this word wise carries far reaching implications. The pagan idea that has permeated all Babylonian Religions is that wisdom came through the power of sexual

involvement, hence the worship of sex became everywhere manifested in pagan religions. This was the lie of Satan, the lie that through pro-creation, the act of sex, the woman Eve could become Wise. Hence men began to worship not the "creator" but the "creature" as described by St. Paul in Romans Ch. 1. The wisdom of the serpent was a lie! The lie that pro-creation was God! That wisdom was not in the Almighty Creator Jesus Christ but in the power of pro-creation. This explains why we have the Virgin Birth of Jesus Christ, it was carried out without the boundaries of pro-creation. You now know what the word wise implied in Genesis 3:6. The belief, the (lie of the serpent Eve) was that one could become wise through the power of "god" of pro-creation. The embodiment of prostitution in many of the pagan Babylonian religions was the lie of the serpent that sexual power was to be worshipped as God, hence the worship of sex became saturated in all pagan religions. The worship of sex was the primary reason for the total degeneration and debasement of the seven nations of Canaan. Where and why did men first use the symbol of phallus as an object of worship? The phallus, representing an image of the male reproductive organ, was used as a symbol of the generative power in procreation and became an integral power of the religious worship and ritual of ancient festivals or religious celebrations as is found in Dionysus or Bacchus. The lie of the serpent was that wisdom could be discovered in sexual union and from that day forth, all pagan religions have worshipped sex as an object or as God.

7. PLEASANT: The word pleasant is very important in this theological controversy of Genesis Three. The word pleasant comes from #8378 Taavah (original Hebrew) and means a longing, by implication, a delight, dainty, desire, greedily, lust (ing) pleasant. See Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. Notice that the tree in Genesis 3:6 was pleasant to the eyes. This word is tied directly to our previous discussion of the word desire and wise. When Eve saw that the tree was pleasant to the eyes...it involved the cardinal sins of "lusting after the flesh"...the "lust of the eyes"...the "pride of life"...as described in I John 2:16. You now know what it means when it declares in Genesis 3:6 that the tree could make "one wise".

8. TOUCH: The word touch is used in Genesis 3:3 by the woman Eve. What did Eve mean when

she said that she could not touch the tree of knowledge of good and evil? Let us look at the original Hebrew and define the word Touch. See #5060 (Nawgah) naga, meaning to lie with a woman. See Strong's Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. The Hebrew meaning of the word touch has wide and profound implications. It is directly related to the lie of Satan, that pro-creation, would make Eve as a god.



9. NAKED: What does the use of the word naked mean? First: Note the use of his word in Genesis 2:25. Both Adam and Eve were naked and were not ashamed prior to the act of original sin in Genesis Three. What made them ashamed in Genesis 3:8-11? What did Eve and then Adam do that made them ashamed of their nakedness? Why did they hide themselves? What was the sin that they had committed? What did they do to suddenly become ashamed of their naked bodies? Why did they make themselves aprons of fig leaves? What part of their body did the apron cover? Why did they hide or cover this portion of their nakedness? When you examine the word naked in the original Hebrew and its usage in scriptures you will understand why.

The word naked as used in Genesis 2:25 comes from a different word than does the word naked as used in Genesis 3:7-11. Why the difference in the original Hebrew meaning of this word? Here is your answer. In Genesis 2:25 the word naked is from #6174 arawn meaning nude, either partially or totally: naked. There was nothing wrong with the state of nakedness before the original sin of Genesis Chapter three. The word naked as used in Genesis 2:25 from 6174 had not evil or bad implications. Now, how about the use of the word naked in Genesis 3:7-11. It comes from #5903 "eyrom" coming from the root word #6191 meaning aram, which is a prim. Root; prop. To be (or make) bare; but used only in the Derogatory sense...to be cunning...deal

subtly, the use of the word naked in Genesis 3:7-11 implies something derogatory. What had Adam and Eve done that make them ashamed of their nakedness? The use of this word naked is directly involved with the lie of the serpent to the woman Eve, that pro-creation, sexual involvement with the serpent would make her as a god.

The attempt to cover the reproductive organs with an apron made from fig leaves indicates the nature of the original sin committed in the Garden of Eden. If they sinned with their eyes by reading or looking at something why did they not make themselves blindfolds? Why did they cover themselves with aprons? Because they were now ashamed. The Dominion Mandate (Gen. 1:28) was lost. Now let us notice the use of the word naked as it appears in Exodus 32:25. Why were the people naked in Exodus 32:25? What were they doing? See Exodus 32:6. What does the use of the word nakedness mean as used in Leviticus chapters 18 and 20? The nakedness of these two chapters involves sexual union or the act of Pro-Creation. Note the use of the word nakedness in Ezekiel 16:36-37. What was involved in this nakedness?

Please remember that in the case of Adam and Eve you must be concerned with two types of nakedness; First: The act of procreation, sexual union between Eve and the serpent, had brought about loss of the Dominion Mandate (Gen. 1:28) By ADAM man. They became ashamed of their physical nakedness, hiding themselves, and covering their reproductive organs with aprons of fig leaves. Second: Before the fall, Adam and Eve dwelt in bodies of light. God made them coats of skin after the act of the original sin. Pagan Religions of ancient Babylon took this from the Truth of God's Word, and corrupted it into the Clothing of the Gods like they did with the virgin birth and many other Bible Truths, and it did in time become a part of their pagan religious worship ritual. We cannot be sure what type of clothing God made for Adam and Eve. We do know that Genesis 3:21 says that God made them "...coats of skins.." It is true that Adam and Eve lost their righteousness and became spiritually naked in the sight of God but remember it as their physical nakedness that caused them to hide from God, be ashamed of their nakedness, and cover their reproductive organs with fig leaves.

10. SEED: This word is vital to understanding Genesis chapter three and this one word seed was primary reason for the writing of this booklet. Since it has been thoroughly discussed elsewhere in this booklet I will not repeat the information here. Remember that the word seed used about 275 times in the Holy Bible when traced into the Original Hebrew word comes from #2233 “Zera” meaning fruit, plant, sowing time, posterity from #2232 Zera meaning to bear and conceive seed. (Emphasis Ours). See Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. The use of this word seed must be carefully traced throughout scripture. If you do not do business with the word seed as used in Genesis 3:15 you are in deep trouble throughout the remainder of the Holy Bible. You cannot evade the truth of this word seed in Genesis 3:15. When this word is used in other portions of Scripture as in St. Matthew 13:24 it is from the Greek #4690 and means SPERMA...something sown, i.e. seed (including the male sperm) by implication, offspring. Seed Strong’s Exhaustive Concordance to the Holy Bible. Jesus Christ said that the enemy sowed seed in the field while Adam man slept. (see the Parable of the Tares in St. Matthew 13). The seed of Genesis 3:15 was the seed sown in the act of pro-creation, first between Lucifer and the woman Eve and then between Eve and the man Adam.

These words are all vital to your understanding of what really happened in the Garden of Eden. Do not allow yourself to be a part of those foolish Virgins in Matthew 25:1-13 who forgot to take oil in their lamps. The knowledge of what happened in the Garden of Eden, the discernment of the **Two Seeds Of Genesis 3:15** is fundamental to the establishment of all understanding of the **Bible & Of History**. Without the oil of the Holy Spirit to give you discernment and understanding you may try to enter into this age without this oil, without the knowledge of the two seed lines, and **without this knowledge**, you will be unable, incapable, of understanding the Holy Bible and the unfolding of History. May Jesus Christ give you “eyes” to see and “ears” to hear the **Truth of Genesis 3:15**. This my dear Christian Soldier is the **seed plot of the Bible and of the unfolding history of this planet for the last six thousand years**. Of the more than 200 times the word seed is used in scripture look up and read the following scriptures: Psa. 58:3, Psa. 69:36, Psa. 71:6, Psa. 89:4, Psa. 89:4; Psa. 89:29, Psa. 89:36, Psa. 105:6-10, and Psa. 109:13, Especially Isa. 57:1-5.

To be continued



Harold Stough Notes

Alderly Edge

Well And Legends

Alderley Edge has been a sacred site for many thousands of years and has many legends attached to it. King Arthur and his men are said to sleep somewhere beneath the sandstone cliffs.

A local story tells of a farmer who was on his way to Macclesfield Market to sell a fine white mare. Along the way he met a Wizard who offered to buy the mare for a good price. The farmer refused hoping that he could get a better price for such a fine animal at the market. Once at the bustling market it seemed as though he had been bewitched, not a single offer was made for his mare although lesser animals where sold quickly for good prices. Dejected the farmer returned home and came across the



The well and carving are on the North side of a ridge along the B5087.

same wizard who again offered to buy the horse. The farmer agreed and the wizard led him through a woodland to a steep sandstone cliff face. The wizard touched the rock with his staff and the rock parted with a thunderous sound to reveal a huge cavern. Inside the cavern rows and rows of warriors lay in deathly sleep.

Every warrior bar one had a horse standing next to him. The wizard proffered the farmer a bag of golden coins for his horse and the farmer fled out of the cavern through the wood and home. He never saw the cavern or the wizard again.

A natural spring is situated in the wood below the sandstone cliff which is carved with a bearded face and the following words:

**Drink of this and take thy fill,
for the water falls by the wizards will.**

The date of the carving and the text are unknown but the spring has been known as a wishing well for many years.

Directions: The well and carving are on the North side of a ridge along the B5087.

The End OS21216

Mussolini & Churchill

Their Little-Known Personal Correspondence Before and during World War II (Part 2)

By Marc Roland

A LONG with gold bullion, the Italian Communist Party would have also received the Mussolini papers. A partisan known only as “Gugliemo” took possession of all the Duce’s effects and dutifully handed them over to his superiors. They knew Stalin wanted his Italian comrades purged, as he had been doing to high-ranking party functionaries everywhere, so, to curry favour with the West, they gladly handed over the compromising documents to Churchill. Having thus easily obtained the originals, he spent the rest of his time in Italy hunting for any and all facsimiles. Aware that he was trying to track down every scrap of the missing records, agents in the British Foreign Office notified him during 1954 that copies of his wartime correspondence with Mussolini were still circulating in Italy. He scribbled over the report, “They are all forgeries,” and returned it to the British Foreign Office. His choice of words was revealing.

“Forgeries” signifies bogus copies of originally genuine documents. If the whole lot was fake, he might have described the controversy as “fraudulent.” In any case, he seems to have satisfied himself that by the mid-1950’s all his incriminating letters had been accounted for and destroyed. He would appear to have done a very thorough job of it, and the only copies which may still survive are allegedly in the possession of the Cavalieri family, whose members refuse to disclose them.



Churchill undoubtedly sent communications to his Italian nemesis long before and during World War II. Less clear was the specific nature of their contents. Mussolini hinted at various “agreements” concluded between the two statesmen. Surely something more than a few political indiscretions would have been needed to so utterly persuade Mussolini that the documents he possessed could alter his fate and that of the post-war world, while sending Churchill on a long, personal quest to gather up every trace of their existence.

The enigma of Mussolini’s documents boils down to a pair of alternative conclusions: Either they never existed— at least in the sense that they were paradigm-shattering materials—or Churchill succeeded in recovering and destroying virtually all of them, including their copies. That the Duce possessed at least some records he deemed uniquely important is beyond

question. What precisely they contained, however, cannot yet be established with absolute certainty until either authenticated reproductions or the documents themselves come to light. Until then, the letters exchanged between both men remain one of the unanswered questions of World War II.



What Happened to Mussolini's Family?

Most of Mussolini's family survived the war, and they were not shunned. In fact they were quite successful and some of Mussolini's grandchildren are active in Italian politics today. Shown are his wife Rachele and their five children: Edda, Vittorio, Bruno, Romano and Anna Maria. Edda was the couple's oldest child, in 1910. Her parents married in December 1915. After the family moved to Rome she married Galeazzo Ciano, count of Cortellazzo and Buccari. Her husband was appointed Italian consul in Shanghai. The family moved back to Italy in 1932, where Ciano became minister of foreign affairs. Vittorio Mussolini (born in 1916) became a pilot for the Italian air force during the Italo-Ethiopian War II, the Spanish Civil War and World War II. When peace came, he became a film critic and producer, and editor for the journal Cinema. In 1962 he married Anna Maria Scicolone, sister of Sophia Loren. They had two daughters, Alessandra and Elisabetta. Today, Alessandra Mussolini is a mother of three and leads a right-wing political party. Vittorio died of kidney failure in Rome in 1997. Romano was born in 1927. After the war, Romano was a musician playing jazz. By the 1960s he had formed the Romano Mussolini All Stars, one of Italy's foremost jazz bands. He died in Rome in 2006. Youngest daughter Anna Maria was born in 1929 and had polio as a child; she limped slightly as a result. She married entertainer Giuseppe Negri. They had two daughters, Silvia

(1961) and Edda (1963), both of them active in Italian politics representing neo-fascist parties. Anna Maria died in 1968. Bruno grew up to be another pilot and was considered a "serious" one. He died in 1941 test piloting a new four-engine Italian bomber.

Communist partisans in Italy killed many a Christian. Fr. Don Umberto Pessina was murdered in what became known as the "triangle of death"—a zone around the cities of Reggio Emilia, Ferrara and Modena. In this zone the Reds slaughtered 21 Christians, including a seminarian, age 16, Rolando Rivi, kidnapped and murdered on April 13, 1945, just because he was wearing a cassock. The boy, forced to dig his own grave, was shot in the head by Giuseppe Corghi, commissar of a Red unit. Also horrifying were, to mention just a few, the murder of Don Ernesto Tale and his maid, the killing of Don Giuseppe Tarozzi, the murder of Don Luigi Lenzini, 60, whose skull the partisans smashed with rifle butts during the night of July 25, 1945, and the death of Don Francesco Venturelli, murdered during the night of January 15, 1946.



Above Mussolini with Catholic Officials in the Vatican

Mussolini's relationship with the Catholic Church and the Vatican was rocky, at best. Here he is shown (centre, front, with top hat) with several of his ministers and Catholic officials in the Vatican.

(This article is based on an extract from a new book, *Mussolini's War*, Helion Publishing, Ltd., UK, 2010, available in the U.S. at www.amazon.com)

The End OS21207

Only One God

John Trotter - Wimmalee Australia

Exodus 20:3-6; Acts 17:23

THE main theme of this short article is the matter of : Are there other “gods” or are these “gods” only the imagination of man? To help these imaginations to become a reality in our mind we make images or idols to give some reason for worship.

From the outset the Bible is very clear that there is only one God with a capital “G”, and that God is the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. The following verses are a selected few to show this basic teaching: Deuteronomy 4:35, 2 Samuel 7:22, Psalm 86:10, Isaiah 43:10, 44:6, 45:18, 1 Corinthians 8:4 and 1 Timothy 2:5.

The question still remains: Are there “gods” with a small “g”? The 1st Commandment (Exodus 20:3) says “gods” not God. One can infer from this that there is only one God, but that there are many “gods”. I am not convinced that Farrer Fenton is correct when he writes “There shall be no other God to you except Myself”.

In Genesis 3:5 we read that Satan promised Eve (and her descendants) that she would become as “gods” but not as God. It is in the regards of “knowing good and evil” that we become as “gods”. It is also true that man has been deified through the philosophy of humanism. But again all this is in our mind and thoughts. The condition of our thoughts are clearly shown in Psalm 94:11 and Matthew 15:19.

I might be wrong but it appears to me that these other “gods” do not exist in any form other than in the imagination of man. To help this imagination become a reality we make with our hands an image or idol of what that “god” personifies. In Acts 17:23 we have a reference to an altar with the words “TO THE (an) UNKNOWN GOD”. It appears that this God was also worshipped. But as we know the Athenians worshipped many “gods”, many of whom were imaged after nature or characters in history. The French author and philosopher, Voltaire once said : “If God did not exist, it would be necessary to invent Him”. As one can not invent God , what man has done , via his imagination, is to make idols that have become substitutes .

The worship of Baal in the Old Testament, being another name for Lord, expressed via its many forms , a number of characteristics of God . Baal also means master and husband which are 2 characteristics that God had in relation to Israel.



Strong (1822–1894)

There grew over a period of time some confusion over this imaging. It is like looking into the mirror and seeing an image of yourself and then turning that image into an idol that is then worshipped.

When you look up the word “imagination” in Strong’s, the word is used almost exclusively in a twisted , lustful and obstinate manner (Strong’s 8307).

These idols that man makes, to reflect his religion is nothing but a reflection of the iniquity that God pours out upon the people of Israel, unto the 3rd and 4th generation. In the light of the above it is of interest that the phrase “before me” in Exodus 20:3 is better expressed “over against me” or “against His face”.

As the crisis in the world deepens , more people will turn to these “gods” that are but a reflection of lustful thoughts. The New Age Movement with its many functions are reintroducing the “gods” of the ancients. The end result will be such that the faith once delivered unto the Saints will be hardly heard of except by a very few.

The “gods” of man’s imagination will be like the vapour that appears like our lives and then vanishes away (James 4:14). The outcome for man’s search for his divinity will also be like the vapour and finally only Jesus the Christ will be worshipped who is the Image of the God of Abraham , Isaac and Jacob (Col. 1:15).

The End OS21217

Letters and Views



Russians Came to America's Rescue, Once Again

The great enemy of the American Redneck and the Leftist intelligentsia, Russia, is at it again, and why I really do not know. Regardless of how many times we have done it, and we are ever slow to learn, we only get bitten in the process for it. Ever will a scorpion remain a scorpion and it is time we learned that lesson.

From being the first nation to recognize the American nation, to being the one force that kept Europe out of the US Civil War, by placing Russian warships in NYC and San Francisco harbours (that was a monumental mistake in my opinion), to various aids and assistance, such as being the first nation to call and offer condolences AND any needed aid after 911 and the first and only other monument to those slain in 911 (911 and the Enemy Who Mourned For America), Russia has garnered nothing but misfortune every time.

From the US funding and supporting the overthrow of the Tsars and the murderous Soviet take over in the Civil War. To the US monetary support of the Soviets after they went bankrupt (why the SU owed \$48 billion to the US in 1990), to the out right theft of everything not nailed down to the continuous Jihad on our southern borders sponsored by those same American elites.

And in return?

In return we offered aid and support, to those who have hated us, murdered us, stolen from us and continue to threaten us from every angle, not just with nuclear mass murder but with the slow genocide of Jihadism.

Case in point, Russia Delivers Blankets to Sandy Homeless

At about 1 o'clock Wednesday morning two airplanes landed at John F. Kennedy International Airport in New York City, two Il-76s to be exact, military great cargo freighters from Russia delivering humanitarian aid to those affected by superstorm Sandy.



(Above) At about 1 o'clock Wednesday morning two airplanes landed at John F. Kennedy International Airport in New York City, two Il-76s to be exact, military great cargo freighters from Russia delivering humanitarian aid to those affected by superstorm Sandy

The aid mission was authorized by Russia's Emergency Situations Ministry and involved the delivery of over 50 tons of supplies and aid which was accepted by the US Federal Emergency Management Agency.

And lest you think this is a one off occurrence, Katrina stands in point:

Was one of the first countries to offer assistance. Up to four jets were placed on standby at the Ramenskoye Airport near Moscow as early as August 30, including heavy Ilyushin Il-76-TDs with special evacuation equipment, medical equipment, a water-cleansing system, a BK-117 rescue helicopter and two special cars; and a passenger IL-62, which brought 10 coordinators and 50 rescuers, as well as 6 tons of drinking

water. On September 6, the Bush administration gave its approval.

And for all this, we are treated as the enemy, our allies are overthrown, bombed, murdered. We are ridiculed. Our women portrayed as whores. Our Church as somehow not Christian, even though it is the font of all Christianity. Our culture as that of wanton drunks and Neanderthals.

Even as we, as good Christians help those who hate us, these hate mongers lash out.

Ever it is the way of the Christian to minister to those who drown in their own evil. **Yours truly, Stanislav Mishin**

Queen's Jubilee Flotilla is over but will the waters ahead remain calm?



Sir___,The recent Queen's Diamond Jubilee Flotilla was one of the largest flotillas ever assembled on the Thames when over one thousand rowed boats, working boats and pleasure vessels of all shapes and sizes were beautifully dressed with streamers and Union Flags but could this possible be the last such event we see on this historical river?

Having once served in the armed forces for Queen and country I have now started to view more recent events as a turning point in the way the United Kingdom is being steered and more importantly who is at the helm!

We have seen over the last few decades the Government of the United Kingdom take this country down a path of self destruction and the disintegration with its power base now almost totally controlled by the European Union:

We have seen massive cutbacks in the military budget which has almost changed our Navy, Army and Air Force from a "Mini Super Power" into a fragmented military that may well not have the ability to defend our own country.

I believe this massive reduction to be a well planned programme by the New World Order to make the United Kingdom and other members of the European Union totally dependent on NATO for its defence which I have always maintained to be the military arm of the New World Order.

Our ancient constitution and what few remnants of the original Magna Carta and Bill of Rights still remain clearly state the Queen and each successive Prime Minister and Government has progressively been carrying out an "Act of Treason" against this country and its citizens.

English Bill of Rights 1689 states "And I do declare That noe forreigne Prince Person Prelate, State or Potentate hath or ought to have any Jurisdiction Power Superiority Preeminence or Athoritie Ecclesiastical or Spiritual within this Realme Soe helpe me God."

The final stages of this act of treason was signed away by ex Prime Minister, Gordon Brown who on the 1st January 2009 signed away the remaining 20% of power and handed Westminster to the European Union without the consent or knowledge of the citizens of this country.

Treason is the most serious of all British crimes. It still merits life imprisonment and with each passing year there will be little chance to bring these British traitors to justice or even having the ability to get out of this current EU dictatorship.

I would again remind you all that this goes beyond the European Union and goes back to its parent company the New World Order.

It is they that have created the current world financial meltdown and it is they that plan to destroy both Britain and the EU by whatever means they so choose. As far Britain is concerned they have already destroyed the industrial base of the United Kingdom, the British economy the military base, the judiciary, the political system and finally now working on the deliberate breakdown of the family unit.

In the next decade we will see the destruction of every sovereign state within Europe and the disintegration of the United Kingdom whereby it is conceivable that one will not be allowed to call oneself English, Scottish, Irish or Welsh.

Let's look briefly as to who has been responsible for these "Acts of Treason" against the citizens of this country and those they are supposed to serve as our servants. The list of traitors according to the severity of their crime:

Her Majesty the Queen. Has committed five acts of treason signing EU treaties that abolish our nation and is the only reigning monarch to have broken her "Coronation Oath."

Ex Prime Minister, Edward Heath. Committed an act of treason by passing the 1972 EU Communities Act, which is the enabling act to abolish our nation. He then lied in his White Paper and in his speeches this Act would not abolish our sovereignty. He started the entire illegal EU process. The fact he was a lifelong member of the Deutsche Versicherungs Dienst (DVD) intelligence department was not discovered until his death.

It's very important to understand the legal basis for treason. Firstly it has always been the most serious crime on the statute book, worse than murder. Treason has long been the only crime punished by "hanging by the neck until dead." Murderers only get life. The definition of treason is "a crime that undermines one's government" or "the offence of acting to overthrow one's government." What Heath did was the ultimate act of treason, not just undermining our nation, but abolishing it. If a court case had been brought, he would have got the ultimate penalty.

Margaret Thatcher committed Treason with the Single European Act. She is the only Prime Minister who now regrets signing it. She's still guilty – a murderer who apologises only has a mitigating circumstance. She'll remain a traitor until her death.

John Major committed Treason with the Maastricht treaty; he also sold our main military and nuclear port, Devonport Dockyard, to Dick Cheney's Haliburton Corporation for peanuts, his bribe was to be European MD of the Bush family's Carlyle Weapons group, and \$1 million pa for life.

WAR CRIMINAL



WAR CRIMINAL

Studholme lodge 1591).

Tony Blair Committed three acts of treason, with three EU treaties. He was also an enthusiastic implementer of EU laws disguised as British laws. Blair was the chief manufacturer of the EU police state in Britain (Scottish rite 33rd degree mason of

Gordon Brown carried out the ultimate act of treason when he signed the Lisbon Treaty on the 1st of January 2009 handing over control of this country to the European Union which forms one small part of the New World Order master plan. Each of the six treaties that were signed are completely illegal under the British Constitution (which is written, every word since Magna Carta), our 1689 Bill of Rights, our treason laws, and under our common law. It is unforgivable that the Queen, her Ministers and our Parliament have committed the criminal act of treason by signing these treaties, and broken our laws to abolish our nation. The EU will always be illegal in Britain. However, once the EU has complete power and control it would be extremely difficult to remove it from power.

I guess one should be asking the question how can this be turned around. Believe it or not it is never too late to reverse this trend but in order for it to gain any momentum one would have to convert the many Members of Parliament into a different mindset. It is they that eventually pull the strings and it is they that must understand that it will only be a matter of time before Westminster will no longer exist!!

These MPs now need to understand the EU has the constitution (the six treaties) of a dictatorship, the laws of a police state, and when enforced, its 120,000 regulations will close most of our 4.5 million small businesses, make most of their 13.5 million employees unemployed,

It is those MP's that may not even realise that once Westminster is eliminated it is they that will become the greatest threat to the EU and accord-

ingly, as with any dictatorship in power, they are the greatest threat to take it out of power. All dictatorships in the past have eliminated that threat. Inside the EU, the lives of MPs will be even worse than our own; at best, they are likely to be institutionalised and held against their will. As they say what goes around comes around but by that time it will be too late to change the course of history and we ourselves will also become enslaved to the New World Order State!!



The newly formed state will have the power to imprison anyone permanently with no rights whatsoever, no access to courts, and no outside communication, with psychiatric treatment as its main weapon i.e. mind control, electric shock, sensory deprivation, forced injections of drugs, and other torture techniques as “cures.”

Around 45 million British people in polls are against the abolition of our nation, and with the little European voting that has been allowed, it seems clear over 200 million of its victims don't want the EU. Unfortunately we may well never be given the choice. We were all promised a referendum on the EU but ex PM Gordon Brown took that promise away from us all when he signed the Lisbon Treaty. It may well fall upon every citizen to act themselves by forming a peaceful and meaningful mass protest.

There are ways to stop the EU – Nationally, we need a General Strike, or a mass blockade of Westminster to stop our criminal MP's and Queen breaking our constitution and laws for the final time. It is possible that a general strike could eventually lead to a prosperous future. A blockade of a million or so determined citizens could throw the EU clean out of Britain, to escape its oppression, poverty and wars completely, and to give ourselves a bright, free and prosperous future. It is so important for you all

to understand the urgency in grasping this country back from the talons of the New World Order.

The New World Order has for many decades been planning its destructive disintegration of the world economy and been actively at work here in the UK in carrying out massive fraud against the people of this country. This fraud has and continues to amount to billions if not trillions of pounds each and every year. It is only by such action will the citizens of the United Kingdom be in a position to stop them forever.

Remember it was the politicians who collaborated with large corporations to defraud the people.” That is exactly what we have seen while we've been in the EU. In 2008, the government agreed to give the banks two thirds of our taxes (£450 billion) to bail them out from their greed and incompetence and it was Gordon Brown who also gave almost the entire “Gold Bullion” stock from the vaults of the Bank of England to the Central European Bank at below cost value!!

The only cure available at this time would be for the formation and support of a new good bank, owned by the British people, and to transfer all our bank accounts across to it. No public money in the future should ever be given to any banks to prop them up for their own fraudulent practices. If at any time in the future they should get into financial difficulty then it is up to their own bad management that decides their own fate!!

The abolition of Britain is illegal under the British Constitution, and the criminal acts of the Queen and her Ministers have included the worst acts of treason in history. They secretly repealed the treason laws in 1998 (hidden in s36.3 of the Crime and Disorder Act) to save their own necks. The criminality of our ministers and parliament won't save us – the EU's Constitution will automatically abolish the British one, and they will have got away with the greatest crime in a thousand years.

Since 1972 five European Union treaties have been signed abolishing our nation. As this is illegal under the British Constitution, our nation needed to be undermined with the methods listed below. The EU is succeeding exclusively through subversion by British traitors from inside the UK.

The EU has the laws of a police state, and a constitution that hands absolute power to unelected dictators; it specifically hands all military power (and that includes the nuclear weapons of Britain and France,) to these dictators. It is the current EU system that will eventually abolish the nations of Great Britain and England and all other sovereign states within the EU...

All those involved have all committed treason, and prosecutions were pending. Tony Blair's risk was the full force of the law for signing the Amsterdam Treaty amongst others. But in a stunning abuse of power, Tony Blair secretly repealed the treason laws, hidden in the Crime and Disorder Act, and the Queen signed it in 1998, saving both their necks.

It would not be fair to miss out on other political figures who have carried out such acts of treason namely:

John Prescott, John Reid, Peter Mandelson, Alan Johnson, and many other ex and current cabinet ministers. Who's allegiance switched their loyalty to the European Union in the 1970's; they've implemented the EU's Frankfurt school subversion, and the 111,000 EU regulations that are criminalising us all. Took control of the Labour party away from patriotic traditionalists.

Others to add to the same list of traitors would be Ken Clarke, Douglas Hurd, Michael Heseltine, Geoffrey Howe, Chris Patten, Francis Maude, David Cameron etc. Pro-Europeans who have seized control of political to impose their own hidden agenda and ignoring the wishes of their voters. In doing so they have sabotaged their own duty of care towards their constituents and wiped out the true meaning of democracy.

The leaders of our three political parties get their orders from the European Union, not the British electorate, whom they neither serve nor care for. They've created a one party state: the three parties have almost identical policies, and all agree on the abolition of Britain by the EU and the formation of a New World Order:

I can recall that throughout British history all traitors sailed down the Thames to a point known as "Traitor's Gate" which was a massive archway off the River Thames at the Tower of London. Many Kings, Queens, Political Elite and others have historically entered the same location

to meet their doom and so one could clearly ask the question "Should the current Queen of England and other Senior Politicians" follow the same fate?

If one was to rely totally on the Bill of Rights 1689 the answer would be most certainly yes, but as we live in a democracy it is only you the people. **Yours truly, Rex Poulton.**

Windsor **From Our Midland Correspondent**



English: habitational name from Windsor in Berkshire, Broadwindsor in Dorset, or Winsor in Devon and Hampshire, all named from an unattested Old English windels 'windlass' + Old English ōra 'bank'.

FOREBEARS: Windsor is the surname of the present British royal family, adopted in place of Wettin in 1917 as a response to anti-German feeling during the World War I. The original surname of Edward VII (and hence of George V up to 1917) was Wettin, his father, Prince Albert, being Prince Wettin of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha. The family took the name Windsor from the place in Berkshire, England, where Windsor Castle is a royal residence. There is unlikely to be any royal connection for American bearers, however: the name was an ordinary English habitational surname for centuries before this event.

Hong Kong

Sir __, The lease of the New Territories on the Chinese mainland was coming to an end. But why did politician Margaret Thatcher give the Communist government of China the Islands of Hong Kong? There was no necessity to do so for these had been ceded to Britain for all time after the first Opium War, a great disgrace in British history.

The reason is that Hong Kong is a fortress of the Capitalist system with the appurtenances appertaining thereto—the racing track, the stock exchange and banks. The banksters wished to operate in China and the only way to do it is to

give them Hong Kong with safeguards. In her negotiations with the Chinese Mrs Thatcher did a splendid job for the banksters and their system entered China now diseased with Capitalism, which is bad as Communism.



Why did the Chinese leaders accept Hong Kong, with safeguards, which would infect their empire with Capitalist disease. They were Communist, but, first and foremost politicians. When they smelt the bankster's money and the possibility of bribes to pass "laws" for the benefit of the banksters their communism evaporated and they gave Madam Thatcher all the guarantees they wanted. Old Hong Kong hands were disgusted with the betrayal of the Queen's loyal Chinese subjects in Hong Kong. Another great disgrace.

The army education corps were making a film of Kung Fu fight between a Chinaman and a British squaddie. According to the script the squaddie was to fall before the Chinaman. This exercise had been costed, it was the last day of the filming and the light would soon begin to fade. The scene had to be got into the can now. The squaddie and the Chinaman faced each other. The Chinaman was making his magic Kung Fu passes with his hands, as was the squaddie to conceal his intentions. When the opponent was in range he kicked the Chinaman in a vital part, for all our squaddies are excellent street fighters. The Chinaman collapsed and was in hospital 3 days. The education officer was very angry. He had the squaddie arrested and placed in custody. This infuriated the man's commanding officer, who went to see the Governor, Chris Patten, who laughed heartily when he signed the order for release, as I did when I heard the story.

All British Squaddies are gentlemen and here is the proof. When he was released our squaddie did the right thing—the Chinaman was sick in

hospital, so he sent him a bunch of flowers, such are the British.

The story has caused laughter for many people, but indicates a very serious situation. The Fabian Society told us a century ago they were going on a long march through the institutions. Their long march through the blatant biased corporations is highly visible today. With the assistance of the I.T.A. —Initial Teaching Alphabet, many illiterates are now leaving school. Another successful long march.

The case of the Education Officer indicates that the Fabians have completed their march through the army education corps. He is a very strange person, how could anyone believe for a second that a squaddie would fall before the foe? "Orders"! Is he really British?

The other scoundrel is Mrs. Thatcher. Her actions were not British—is she a member of some society associated with the Fabians? But as the Conservative party are anti-British, perhaps the Fabians are an offshoot of them!!

All scenarios must be considered. **Yours truly,
An Ancient Mariner.**

**The Prime Minister
Rt. Hon. David Cameron Esq.
LONDON**

Dear Mr Cameron

So we commence the New Year with yet further disparity in your statement at conference and the truth which comes out today concerning increase in rail fares.

I could understand it being unavoidable for you to give a wrong message at times but the fact it now becomes a regular occurrence ensures I believe you to be another Tony Blair – deceitful and a liar.

I listened in amazement the other day to you telling the electorate how our debt had fallen by a quarter. As you did not expand upon what debt you were speaking of, like me, most would immediately think "national debt". Most, unlike me, would not instantly see that this could not be. The national debt is rising. The phraseology used was meant to mislead. It took Mr Milliband to come on air and rectify what we had been told. You are a disgrace in so much you seem unable to tell the truth and be honest when delivering..

Today we read where Civitas says foreign aid target is a “con job” and accuses you of using billions of pounds of taxpayers money to rebrand the party and cement the coalition. Just what is it with you Sir? You appear completely out of touch with reality.

Recently I had cause to walk through Manchester late at night. This is something which I must suggest you do, maybe even stopping to converse with some of the poor souls bedding down in doorways and on pavements. Like me you will find not all are alcoholic or drug users. The one young man of around 23, blond, blue eyed with his small dog, was a heart-breaking tale. Why are we not using the billions given away abroad – all misused- towards our own?



Mr Cameron I must advise you that my new year wish is to see you depart from office and be replaced by a man who has this country's welfare at heart. Besides the fact we will shortly not be able to afford the benefits which will accrue to the multitude entering our shores – and presumably the benefits paid out to children in their homelands will increase rapidly - we will also find it difficult to use our roads. Should you care to journey around Wythenshaw, Manchester, you will find conditions akin to

Zimbabwe. The money given to South Africa where Mr Zuma is spending the equivalent on building his residence back at his village would be better spent in repairing our tarmac.

We commence another year with you in charge and one has to wonder what we shall now be subjected to. What other diversion will you come up with whilst the Gay Marriage subject proceeds. I have yet to discover what this is about other than diversion as being attached to the gay community I can happily state around 98% of that community do not favour your idiot idea. I contend you deliberately attempt to cause further social unrest for that is all you will achieve. So come on Mr Cameron, let us have your latest idiot scheme to divert attention to your devious intent concerning the EU. I have asked you directly if you are a Bilderberger without reply. I have asked why Kenneth Clarke – on the Bilderberg Steering Committee – is not removed, yet again without reply. I bet you dare not give us an honest answer.

Mr Cameron may we see sudden change this year. May we for once have less of your attempt to deceive, less of this attempt to please the world, less of your prevarication over a referendum which you must know is unlawful under our Constitution and a repeal of the 1972 Act – the only lawful way to deal with the EU question. Once removed from what is a complete illegal participation you will be able to negotiate , not renegotiate. Stop playing the fool with us all. **Sincerely, Derek Hill.**

PS If the reply to this is anything like the disgraceful one received to my last communication I intend to publish it in the media to show just what one may expect from your office. I did copy it to several of your party MPs and two replied with caustic comment. They shared my view of it. The Tory MPs start to see in their leader what most of us now do. **DH**

**The Editor of
the New Ensign
can be contacted
by e-mail at
thenewensign@gmail.com**

Nuremberg: The Crime That Will Not Die

By Ernst Zundel



ON the eve of the 50th Anniversary of the Nuremberg Trials, it is appropriate that I share with my English-speaking readerships a few reflections pertaining to those trials.

I'd like to start with a revealing and thought-provoking quote coming from none other than Nahum Goldman, long-time president of the World Jewish Congress, in a book entitled *The Jewish Paradox*.

Apart from my encounter with the survivors of the concentration camps after the liberation, I only returned officially to Germany in order to meet Chancellor Adenauer and open negotiations about reparations. These reparations constitute an extraordinary innovation in terms of international law.

Until then, when a country lost a war, it paid damages to the victor, but it was a matter between states, between governments. Now for the first time a nation was to give reparations either to ordinary individuals or to Israel, which did not legally exist at the time of Hitler's crimes. All the same, I must admit that the idea did not come from me.

During the war the [World Jewish Congress] had created an Institute of Jewish Affairs in New York (its headquarters are now in London). The directors were two great Lithuanian Jewish jurists, Jacob and Nehemiah Robinson. Thanks to them, the Institute worked out two completely revolutionary ideas: the Nuremberg Tribunal and German reparations." (***The Jewish Paradox*, Grosset & Dunlap, 1978, p. 122**)

In the United States, the new specialty channel, Court TV, is treating the whole of the North American continent to a Special about Nuremberg – a television hatefest lasting about 15 hours in total length. Likewise, the Canadian Broadcasting Corporation, Radio Division, recently aired a sequel using static-distorted, crackling old short-wave newscasts from the proceedings in Nuremberg in 1946.

Once again, newsreel commentators regurgitate ad nauseam all the disgusting, lying testimony of perjurers and con artists, along with the sad "testimony" frequently tortured out of Germany's military and political leaders.

I can only call these broadcasts "spreading of hate," a crime in Canada under its hate laws against an identifiable ethnic group, namely the Germans.



Nuremberg Trial Site

The current German vassal state established by the Allies in post-war Germany – a state whose roots and foundations stem out of these disgusting proceedings of Allied vengeance against a vanquished German people – will not defend its own people against this avalanche of hate and lies, so I will try to do it. Be prepared for some food for thought.

It speaks to the tenor of our times that this may be the first time some of my readers may be exposed to a different historical slant on the Nuremberg Trials. We are so habituated to slander and libel that often we don't even notice it or recognize it as such. We are so used to seeing Germany as the convenient and deserving whipping boy for all its "Nazi crimes", we hardly ever give a thought to its creation – or its Godfathers.

Nahum Goldman writes in *The Jewish Paradox*, page 123:

During a meeting of the World Jewish Congress in London, a Russian Jew called Noah Baron, a wonderful man and great idealist (...) talked me into taking an active part by first of all meeting Adenauer. I was very hesitant at heart, because it was no easy matter for me to talk to the Germans again.

And in fact it was eventually my head, and not my heart, which decided me to negotiate. But I laid down a precondition before I would meet the Chancellor to open negotiations: Adenauer had to make a solemn statement to the Bundestag; he must say that although the Germany of those days was certainly not the Germany which had produced Auschwitz (...) it nevertheless inherited the Nazis' responsibilities, and reparations were its duty; he must add that material reparations could not erase the evil done to the Jews by the Germans."

Let's see now how it all began – and evolved – this matter of the "Nuremberg Trials" resulting in such guilt and such enormous sums of reparations squeezed out of a defeated country, Germany, over the last 50 years.



Typhus Ravaged Inmates - No Medical Supplies

When we think of the Nuremberg Trials, we think of Auschwitz, Bergen Belsen, Dachau – places that the Allies "liberated" and where they "found those skeletons" – yielding useful photographic backdrops to justify what was to follow ever since.

Guilt, expertly used, is a terrible weapon, a powerful tool and also a handsome cash cow. There was, in fact, a policy and program locked in place to punish Germany for alleged war time crimes, planned and implemented long before the "crimes" of Nazi Germany were "revealed"

to a stunned, shuddering, horrified world via news reels and sensationalized headlines.

There exist millions of words, and tens of thousands of books, written about the Nuremberg proceedings in response to these alleged crimes – publications in all kinds of languages, all borrowing its footnotes from each other and parroting the post-war Allied propaganda.

A lie repeated six million times, however, does not become the truth by mere repetition. This essay will inspect the pre-conditions and the reasons for the lie.



Palace of Justice

The generations who have grown into adulthood since the end of the Second World War have been allowed little chance to look at the Nuremberg Trials critically.

They have not been allowed access, for instance, the information showing what some important people and personalities at the time thought about the whole disgusting process of using ex post facto laws against a virtually defenceless, militarily defeated and still militarily occupied former enemy.

According to Nahum Goldman, former president of the World Jewish Congress, even during the war, plans were being mapped out with great care and cunning – and the foundation for the lie was being laid.

Long before America agreed to feed its young men into a fratricidal war fought not for American national interests but for the interests of an alien people and a State that did not even then exist, there came into being this **Institute of Jewish Affairs** in New York that cooked up a devilish brew.

Writes Goldman in **The Jewish Paradox**, pages 122-123, addressing this question:

The Institute's (...) idea was that Nazi Germany ought to pay after its defeat. That still required belief in the defeat, at the time when it seemed likely that the war in Europe was lost for the Allies, but like Churchill and de Gaulle, I kept my faith. I never doubted for a moment, because I knew that Hitler would never manage to moderate himself and that his excesses would draw the Allies into the conflict.

According to the Institute's conclusions, the German reparations would first have to be paid to people who had lost their belongings through the Nazis. Further, if, as we hoped, the Jewish state was created, the Germans would pay compensation to enable the survivors to settle there. The first time this idea was expressed was during the war, in the course of a conference in Baltimore.

As we all know and are never allowed to forget, in due time Hitler lost the war. Now it was time to conduct Stalinist type show trials against the defeated German leadership. Was this merely about "punishment"? Think again!

Continues Goldman:

The importance of the tribunal which sat at Nuremberg has not been reckoned at its true worth. According to international law, it was in fact impossible to punish soldiers who had been obeying orders. It was Jacob Robinson who had this extravagant, sensational idea. When he began to canvass it among the jurists of the American Supreme Court, they took him for a fool. "What did these Nazi officers do that was so unprecedented?" they asked.

"You can imagine Hitler standing trial, or maybe even Goering, but these are simple soldiers who carried out their orders and behaved as loyal soldiers." We therefore had the utmost trouble in persuading the Allies; the British were fairly opposed, the French barely interested, and although they took part later they did not play any great part. The success came from Robinson managing to convince the Supreme Court judge, Robert Jackson. (**The Jewish Paradox, p. 122**)

What followed next? Total communications control and news manipulation through censorship!

The Allied powers, by virtue of having established a military government – one might as well call it a military dictatorship, in many

ways more restrictive than Adolf Hitler's state had been – had a tight grip on all channels of communications.



Nahum Goldman at Mid Age

This fact cannot be overstated. From control and supervision of the mail service to the telegraph and telephone systems to radio stations to book, newspaper and magazine publishing houses, the Allies were fully

in charge through a clever "licensing system."

Anyone who did not toe the Allied propaganda line lost his license or had his license suspended as punishment. Journalists lost their accreditations.

Newspapers lost their already very scarce paper or printer's ink allocations or reduced-rate postal shipping privileges. Additionally, Germany was divided into military occupation zones, which were like mini-states, issuing their own passports, food and fuel coupons as well as clothing and stationary ration cards.

If you wanted to travel in occupied Germany from one zone to another in the immediate postwar years, you had to explain to the local military authorities in a written request why you wanted to travel to another zone, whom you wanted to see, and where you intended to stay. You had to request ration coupons for the period of your absence.



Judges and Prosecutors Confer or Conspire

There were other bureaucratic, for the Nuremberg defence team extremely inconvenient restrictions as well – some by

design, some by default. Many trains didn't run on schedule or not at all for lack of coal. Most buildings were unheated. The populace starved. The country was largely without men. There were ruins wherever you looked, misery everywhere – more misery than there had ever been during the bitterly fought war!

I find in my conversations and interviews and even during my court cases that judges, prosecutors and even defence lawyers have not the foggiest idea what life was really like for the defence teams in Nuremberg in 1946-1949.

Today's generation, brainwashed by the high-tech razzle-dazzle of the O.J. Simpson media-feeding frenzy and image glut-out, has not a clue under what circumstances the German defence lawyers worked. Not a clue!

Furthermore, I suspect that the cynical generation of money-grubbing, self-promoting attorneys, prosecutors and judges of today don't give a damn about what was the horrible truth and the reality then. Nonetheless, some of these things must be recorded for history's sake.

Imagine if you told the occupation powers you wanted to go to Nuremberg to testify in defence of Rudolf Hess, Joachim von Ribbentrop, Kaltenbrunner, Göring, Streicher or military leaders like Keitel, Jodl, Dönitz, Raeder or others! If the military man to whom you applied for permission was a Jew in the uniform of Russia, France, America or England, imagine the response! Would he not think the German applicant was still a "Nazi lover" intent on additional "mischief"?



German Cities - Complete Devastation

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out why many people would shy away from getting politically

involved as defence witnesses or experts after having just survived a brutal war, horrendous bombing raids and the raping and plundering hordes of the self-appointed "liberators."

Who would choose voluntarily to expose himself to arrest, beatings, torture etc. – considering the circumstances? It is remarkable that there were defence witnesses at all who came forward and

tried to help those hapless prisoners in Nuremberg.

There are instances of the defence lawyers having located and convinced crucial defence witnesses to testify who were being held as prisoners in Allied prison camps, only to find them – convenient for the prosecution! – getting "lost" in transfers, "lost" long enough until the proceedings had passed the point where their testimony could have been of use to the defence.

These defence lawyers themselves worked against almost insurmountable odds. They sat in cold, wet, bombed-out basements of half-ruined houses with boarded-up windows, working in overcoats, writing with stiffened fingers, wearing hats, scarves and gloves to guard themselves against the cold and creeping dampness.

They were trying to write some text and formulate some argument so that a client, who was daily vilified in the press and on radio, in the news reels and on Armed Forces radio as a despicable monster and a criminal with no human traits, might get a semblance of a defence in those nightmarish, Kafkaesque proceedings called the Nuremberg Trials.



A New Way of Filing Exculpatory Evidence?

Those were truly desperate times for the Germans. The defence was hampered by lack of staff, space, typewriters and ribbons and even carbon paper as well as photocopying facilities and paper supplies. Remember that, in 1945, a photocopy meant exactly what it said.

A photograph had to be taken using special line-film. A negative had to be developed and dried. This negative had to be projected by means of an enlarger onto light-sensitive photographic paper in a darkroom.

It had then to be developed using chemicals not easily available and electric drum dryers using up precious electricity to dry the prints. Electricity was rationed severely to approximately two hours every day, with only so many kilowatt allowed per person.


Try to put yourself in the German defence teams' place, when two dozen lawyers, defending a great number of different clients, were handed a 30, 50, 100 or 200 page document by the prosecution – often this was the only set of a document for all the lawyers – and you had a limited time until court day to study, analyse,

weigh the charges, look for potentially exonerating witnesses, in a bombed-out country where tens of millions were homeless, freezing, and starving.

The old, still existing phone books and city directories were virtually useless, because telephone service was not yet restored in many places and private people hardly ever got a phone approved by the occupation authorities unless you were “essential” – let’s say, like a medical doctor.

To be continued

The Medical Monopoly By The Late Eustace Mullins



THE practice of medicine may not be the world's oldest profession, but it is often seen to be operating on much the same principles. Not only does the client wonder if he is getting what he is paying for, but in many instances, he is dismayed to find that he has actually gotten something he had not bargained for. An examination of the record shows that the actual methods of medical practice have not changed that much through the eons. The recently discovered Ebers papyrus shows that as early as 1600 B.C., more than nine hundred prescriptions were available to the physician, including opium as a pain-killing drug. As late as 1700, commonly used medications included cathartics such as senna, aloe, figs and castor oil. Intestinal worms were treated by aspidium roots (the male fern), pomegranate bark, or wormseed oil. In the East

this was obtained from the flowers of santonin; in the Western Hemisphere it was pressed from the fruit and leaves of chenopodium.

Analgesics or pain relievers were alcohol, hyoscyamus leaves, and opium. Hyoscyamus contains scopolamine, used to induce "twilight sleep" in modern medicine. In the sixteenth century, Arabs used colchicum, a saffron derivative, for rheumatic pains and gout. Cinchona bark, the source of quinine, was used to treat malaria; chaulmoogra oil was used for leprosy, and ipecac for amoebic dysentery. Burned sponge at one time was used as a treatment for goitre; its content of iodine provided the cure. Midwives used ergot to contract the uterus. Some two hundred years ago, the era of modern medicine was ushered in by Sir Humphry Davy's discovery of the anaesthetic properties of nitrous oxide. Michael Faraday discovered ether, and Wilhelm Surtner isolated morphine from opium.

Until the late nineteenth century, doctors practiced as free lance agents, which meant that they assumed all the risks of their decisions. The poor rarely encountered a doctor, as medical ministrations were generally confined to the rich and powerful. Curing a monarch could bring great rewards but failing to cure him could be a fatal mistake. Perhaps it was the awareness of the personal risks of this profession which gave rise to the plan for monopoly, to level out the risks and rewards among a chosen few. The attempts to build up

this medical monopoly have now created a modern plague, while the resolve to maintain this monopoly has cost the public dearly in money and suffering.



Almost five centuries ago, one of the first attempts to set up this monopoly took place in England.

The Act of 1511, signed into law by King Henry the Eighth, in England, made it an offence to practice physic or surgery without the approval of a panel of "experts." This Act was formalized in 1518 with the founding of the Royal College of Physicians. In 1540, barbers and surgeons were granted similar powers, when the King granted approval of their company. They immediately launched a campaign to eliminate the unauthorized practitioners who had served the poor. Apparently there is nothing new under the sun, as much the same campaign has long been underway in the United States. This harassment of doctors who served the poor caused such widespread suffering in England that King Henry the 8th was forced to enact the Quacks Charter in 1542. This Charter exempted the "unauthorized practitioners" and allowed them to continue their ministrations. No such charter has ever been granted in the United States, where a "quack" is not only an unauthorized practitioner, that is, one who has not been "approved" by the American Medical Association or one of the government agencies under its control, but he is also subject to immediate arrest. It is interesting that the chartering of quacks is not one of the features of English life which was passed on to its American colony.

In 1617, the Society of Apothecaries was formed in England. In 1832, the British Medical Association was chartered; this became the impetus for the forming of a similar association, the American Medical Association, in the United States. From its earliest inception, the American Medical Association has had one principal objective, attaining and defending a total monopoly of the practice of medicine in

the United States. From its outset, the AMA made allopathy the basis of its practice. Allopathy was a type of medicine whose practitioners had received training in a recognized academic school of medicine, and who relied heavily on surgical procedures and the use of medications. The leaders of this brand of medicine had been trained in Germany. They were dedicated to the frequent use of bleeding and heavy doses of drugs. They were inimical to any form of medicine which had not proceeded from the academies and which did not follow standardized or orthodox procedures.

Allopathy set up an intense rivalry with the prevalent nineteenth school of medicine, the practice of homeopathy. This school was the creation of a doctor named Christian Hahnemann (1755-1843). It was based on his formula, "similibus cyrentur," like cures like. Homeopathy is of even greater significance to our time, because it works through the immune system, using nontoxic doses of substances which are similar to those causing the illness. Even today, Queen Elizabeth is still treated by her personal homeopathic physician at Buckingham Palace. Yet, in the United States, organized medicine continues its frenetic drive to discredit and stamp out the practice of homeopathic medicine. Ironically, Dr. George H. Simmons, who dominated the American Medical Association from 1899 to 1924, building that organization into a national power, had for years run advertisements in Lincoln, Nebraska, where he practiced, which proclaimed that he was a "homeopathic physician."



Clinical trials have shown that homeopathy is as effective as certain widely prescribed arthritic drugs, and also having the overriding

advantage that it produces no harmful side effects. However, the accomplishments of homeopathy have historically been given the silent treatment, or, if mentioned at all, were greatly misinterpreted or distorted. A classic case of this technique occurred in England during the devastating outbreak of cholera in 1854; records showed that during this epidemic, deaths at homeopathic hospitals were only 16.4%, as compared to the death rate of 50% at the orthodox medical hospitals. This record was deliberately suppressed by the Board of Health of the City of London.

During the nineteenth century, the practice of homeopathy spread rapidly throughout the United States and Europe. Dr. Hahnemann had written a textbook, "Homeopathica Materia Medica," which enabled many practitioners to adopt his methods.

In 1847, when the American Medical Association was founded in the United States, homeopaths outnumbered allopaths, the AMA type of doctors, by more than two to one. Because of the individualistic nature of the homeopathic profession, and the fact that they usually practiced alone, they were unprepared for the concerted onslaught of the allopaths. From its beginning, the AMA proved that it was merely a trade lobby, which had been organized for the purpose of stifling competition and driving the homeopaths out of business. By the early 1900's, as the AMA began to achieve this goal, American medicine began to enter its Dark Age. Only now is it beginning to emerge from those decades of darkness, as a new, holistic movement calls for treating the entire physical system, instead of concentrating on one affected part.

A distinctive feature of the AMA's allopathic school of medicine was its constant self-advertisement and promotion of a myth, the myth that its type of medicine was the only one which was effective. This pernicious development created a new monster, the mad doctor as a person of absolute infallibility, whose judgment must never be questioned. Most certainly, his mistakes must never be mentioned. As Ivan Ilyich has pointed out in his shocking book, "Medical Nemesis, the Expropriation of Health" (1976), not only has the effectiveness of the allopathic school of

medicine proved to be the stuff of mythology, but the doctors have now brought new plagues into being, illnesses which Ilyich defines as "iatrogenic," causing a plague which he terms "iatrogenesis." Ilyich claims that this plague is now sweeping this nation. He defines iatrogenesis as an "illness which is caused by a doctor's medical intervention." Ilyich goes on to define three commonly encountered types of iatrogenesis; clinical iatrogenesis, which is a doctor-made illness; social iatrogenesis, which is deliberately created by the machinations of the medical-industrial complex; and cultural iatrogenesis, which saps the peoples will to survive. Of the three types of iatrogenesis, the third may be the most prevalent. Advertisements for various medications call it "stress," the difficulty of surmounting the problems of every day life which are caused by the totalitarian government and the sinister figures behind it, who operate it for their own personal gain. Confronted with this monstrous presence, which intrudes into every aspect of an American citizen's daily life, many people are overcome by a feeling of hopelessness, and are persuaded that there is nothing they can do. In fact, this monster is extremely vulnerable, because it is so greatly overextended, and when attacked, can be seen to be a paper tiger.

QUACK ADVERTISEMENT OF THE BOSS OF THE AMERICAN MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

This advertisement appeared in the Lincoln, Nebraska, newspapers years before he

obtained his mail order diploma from Rush Medical College. In this license "Doc" Simmons represents himself as a homeopath. He grew more ambitious in his later advertisements and claimed to be a "licentiate of Gynecology and Obstetrics from the Rotuuda Hospitals, Dublin. Ireland". Note the humbug "Compound Oxygen" Cure.



Despite the AMA's frenetic claims of improving medical care, records show that the state of American health is declining. During the nineteenth century, it had shown steady improvement, probably because of the ministrations of the homeopaths. A typical disease of the period was tuberculosis. In 1812, the death rate from tuberculosis in New York was 700 per 100,000. When Koch isolated the bacillus in 1882, this death rate had already up-to-date. It was also the headquarters of the German allopathic school of medicine in the United States. Flexner, born in Louisville, Ky., had studied at the University of Berlin. The president of the Zionist Organization of America, Louis Brandeis, also from Louisville, was an old friend of the Flexner family. After Woodrow Wilson appointed Brandeis to the Supreme Court, Brandeis appointed himself a delegate to Paris to attend the Versailles Peace Conference in 1918. His purpose was to advance the goals of the Zionist movement at this conference. Bernard Flexner, who was then an attorney in New York, was asked to accompany Brandeis as the official legal counsel to the Zionist delegation in Paris. Bernard Flexner later became a founding member of the Council on Foreign Relations, and a trustee of the Rockefeller Foundation with his brother Simon.

Simon Flexner had been appointed the first director of the Rockefeller Institute of Medical Research at its organization in 1903. Abraham Flexner joined the Carnegie Foundation for the

Advancement of Teaching in 1908, serving there until his retirement in 1928. He also served for years as a member of Rockefeller's General Education Board. He was awarded a Rhodes Memorial lectureship at Oxford University. His definitive work was published in 1913, "Prostitution in Europe."



Abraham Flexner (left) submitted a final report to Rockefeller which apparently was satisfactory in every way. Its first point was an emphatic agreement with the AMA's lament that there were too many doctors. The Flexner

solution was a simple one; to make medical education so elitist and expensive, and so drawn out, that most students would be prohibited from even considering a medical career. The Flexner program set up requirements for four years of undergraduate college, and a further four years of medical school. His report also set up complex requirements for the medical schools; they must have expensive laboratories and other equipment. As the requirements of the Flexner Report became effective, the number of medical schools was rapidly reduced. By the end of World War I, the number of medical schools had been reduced from 650 to a mere 50 in number. The number of annual graduates had been reduced from 7500 to 2500. The enactment of the Flexner restrictions virtually guaranteed that the Medical Monopoly in the United States would result in a small group of elitist students from well to do families, and that this small group would be subjected to intense controls.

What has the Flexner Report cost the average American citizen? Some recent statistics throw light on the situation. The New York Times reported that in 1985, the cost of health care per person in the United States was \$1800 per year; in England, \$800 per year; in Japan, \$600 per year. Yet both England and Japan rank higher on the scale of quality of medical care than the United States. Compared to Japan, for instance, which has a higher living standard than the United States, but which furnished its citizens with quality medical care for \$600 per person each year, comparative medical care in the United States cannot be valued higher than \$500 per year per person. What is the \$1300 per

person difference? It is the \$300 billion per year looting of the American public by the Medical Monopoly, in overcharges, criminal syndicalist activities, and the operations of the Drug Trust.

To be continued in the next issue of the New Ensign with Eustace Mullin's chapter on Vaccinations .

The Invisible World Government Proofs Of Its Existence

Major General Count Cherep-Spiridovich



said not "others," but "different", which means "not the kings, ministers or other similar men", but absolutely "different", possibly even of a different race. Who they are must be known first of all and only then can we control them and establish peace! Not before.

Bismarck, the Iron Chancellor, perceived the existence of invisible forces, but could not or did not wish to formulate them, and tailed them "imponderabilia" (Powers which no one could fathom).

The existence of the Hidden Hand was stated by Lamartine. "We wish to break every kind of yoke yet there is one that is unseen, yet that weighs on us. Whence comes it, where is it No one knows, or at least, no one tells. The association is secret, even for us, the veterans of secret societies" (Mazzini to Dr. Breidenstein).

What imbeciles or criminals are the Gentiles, who are upsetting the Christian Monarchs in order to serve the Jewish.

To prove to my readers, how indispensable this book is, and also to make clear the existence of the Judeo-Mongol Invisible World Government, known as the Hidden Hand, I shall mention the most vital declarations of the great political leaders.

All that amazed the brainiest heads of humanity will be an "open secret" to my readers.

Why France and Russia went to war in 1812 has been a puzzle. It was the Hidden Hand that organized it.

Disraeli-Lord Beaconsfield, wrote (1844, "*Coningsby*," p. 252): "The World is governed by very different" personages from what is imagined by those who are not behind the scenes," i.e., not by Kings and Ministers. Disraeli

"Beyond the Masons and unknown to them, though formed generally from them, lay the deadly secret conclave, which nevertheless used and directed them for the ruin of the world and their own selves." (Mr. George F. Dillon in his "*The War of Anti-Christ with the Christian Civilization*," p. 72).

But who is the head and of whom consists the secret conclave, which leads these misled Masons? It is the Hidden Hand. "The French provinces complained that they had to receive their revolutions by mail from Paris," (Robert Mackenzie in his "The 19th Century").

"The 'popular' revolution was only the camouflage of foreign conspiracies" (Saint Just, the terrible companion of Robespierre, the only

man of his gang who kept his courage till his head fell under the guillotine).

Yes! All the revolutions were prepared by the Hidden Hand, with criminals freed from jails, and by all kinds of bribed agents and adventurers headed by provocateurs of foreign powers, interested in checking the then strongest powers: France and Russia. Today the United States is the strongest.

"Casimir Perrier (President of the Council of Ministers and practically the Dictator of France in 1832) talked of the 'mysterious' vexations that filled his political life. He had often been forced to bend beneath a power superior to his own" (Louis Blanc in his "History of Ten Years," 1830-1840, p. 611).

What was this "superior and mysterious power?" It was not the King, who was C. Perrier's friend. Was it not the same Hidden Hand that humiliated and overthrew his grandson 60 years later, when in turn he became President of France?



From John Reeves' *The Rothschilds*

"History does not recollect another instance of such unparalleled success, of such immense fortunes won in such a short time by sheer force of intellect rising superior to all adverse

circumstances. The firm (of the Rothschilds) startled the world like the flash of a meteor. . . . The more one considers the marvellous manner in which it won its way to fame and fortune, the more incredible the story seems. . . . From being dealers in old coins, the founder of the family and his sons rose to be "friends" of the government of every nation" (Mr. John Reeves in his "*The Rothschilds*," p. 2-3).

But he explains nothing, as nobody does, except me. "In times of revolution, authority remains with the greatest scoundrels" (Danton, leader of one of the worst revolutions, "*French Revolution*," by Mrs. Nesta Webster, p. 390).

"This mighty revolution, which is at this moment preparing in Germany and of which so little is as yet known, is developing entirely under the auspices of Jews, who almost monopolize the professorial chairs of Germany" (Disraeli in his "*Conningsby*," p. 250).

"In the movement of Vienna in the month of March, 1848, the people played no part" (Blaze de Bury in "*Germany as it is*," p. 122).

"Other than Gambetta, no man has less deserved the high role, that the HAZARD of the popular revolutions made him play, to the misfortune of France," (Henri Dutrait Crozon in his "*Defense Nationale*," see "L'Action Francaise," November 11, 1920).

Nothing is left to HAZARD in the revolutions and there are no "popular" revolutions, but all the revolutions of the past, as of the future (also in the United States) are prepared and precipitated by the same HIDDEN HAND, unfortunately ignored here.

"Wherever revolution breaks out, it is managed by the Jews" (Ch. Wibley). G. Hannotaux, French Minister for Foreign Affairs, confessed that "**mysterious forces**" were ruling politics and "muddling the cards of diplomacy," ("*L'Europe et les Balkans*").

Count A. de Mun, French Academician, member of the Chamber of Deputies, after fifty years of study asked: "What are those mysterious X-es, which are creating all the events?" Sazonoff, the Russian Minister for Foreign Affairs, has stated that many events (foretold by me) were quite "unexpected". **The End OS21059**

Jewish Quotes That You May Have Missed From Our Midland Correspondent



BERNARD LAZARE, taken from 'Anti-Semitism, Its History and Causes': "Thus, it would seem as if the grievance of the anti-Semite were well founded; the Jewish spirit is essentially a revolutionary spirit, and consciously or otherwise, the Jew is a revolutionist. The tendencies of his nature and direction of his sympathies made it inevitable that the Jew should play an important part in the revolutions of history; and such a part he has not failed to play".

THEODOR HERZL, taken from "The Jewish State". Herzl is often regarded as 'The Father of Modern Zionism': "When we sink, we become a revolutionary proletariat, the subordinate officers of all revolutionary parties; and at the same time, when we rise, there rises also our terrible power of the purse".

THE JEWISH CHRONICLE, April 4, 1919: "There is much in the fact that Bolshevism itself, in the fact that so many Jews are Bolshevists, in the fact that the ideals of Bolshevism at many points are consonant with the finest ideals of Judaism".

RABBI LEWIS BROWNE, taken from "Stranger than Fiction": "It was little wonder that the Churchmen, came to speak of the whole liberal movement as nothing but a Jewish plot".

CHAIRMAN ZINOVIEFF (real name Apfelbaum) of the Communist 3rd International, entered into the US Congressional Record, December 13, 1923: "We have exterminated the property owners in Russia. We are going to do the same thing in Europe and America".

BERNARD LAZARE, taken from "Anti-Semitism, Its History and Causes": "The Jew detests the spirit of the nations in the midst of which they live".... "The Jew is necessarily anti-Christian, by definition, in being a Jew, as he is anti-Mohammedan, just as he is opposed to every principle which is not his own".

MAURICE SAMUEL, taken from "You Gentiles": "In everything we are destroyers -- even in the instruments of destruction to which we turn for relief...We Jews, we, the destroyers forever. Nothing that you will do will meet our needs or demands..."

THE AMERICAN HEBREW, September 10, 1920: "The Bolshevist revolution was largely the outcome of Jewish idealism. What it so powerfully contributed to accomplish in Russia, the same historic Jewish qualities of Jewish mind and heart are tending to produce in other countries".

PROFESSOR ALBERT EINSTEIN, quoted in 'Collier's', November 26, 1938: "Anti-Semitism is nothing but the antagonistic attitude produced in the non-Jew by the Jewish group... The Jewish group has thrived on opposition and on the antagonism it has forever met in the world... The Jew who abandons his faith... remains a Jew".

BERNARD BARUCH, Chicago Tribune, September 25, 1935: "I believe national pride is a lot of nonsense".

RABBI BERNARD FISCER, taken from 'Talmudic Chrestomatia': "A childish weakness of grand style is the name I would give to the love of one's country".

THE JEWISH WORLD, September 22, 1915: "No one would ever dream of claiming that the son of a Japanese or an Indian is an Englishman under the pretext that he was born in England; the same argument applies to the Jews".

RABBI STEPHAN S. WISE, Herald-Tribune, June 13, 1938: "Hitler was right in one thing. He calls the Jewish people a race, and we are a race".

JEWISH WORLD, December 14, 1922: "The Jew remains a Jew even though he changes his religion; a Christian which would adopt the

Jewish religion would not become a Jew, because the quality of a Jew is not in the religion but in the race. A Free-thinker and athiest always remains a Jew".

BENJAMIN GITLOW, former Chairman of the Communist Party-USA: "In the racial civil war the communists envisage, Negroes will be in the front ranks, the shock troops of the communist revolution".

ISRAEL COHEN, taken from "A Racial Program for the Twentieth Century", and entered into the US Congressional Record, 1957, page 8559: "We must realize that our Party's most powerful weapon is racial tension. By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mould them to the program of the communist party. In America, we will aim for subtle victory. While inflaming the Negro minority against the whites, we will instil into the whites a guilt complex for their exploitation of the Negroes. We will aid the Negroes to rise in prominence in every walk of life, in the professions and in the world of sports and entertainment. With this prestige, the Negro will be able to intermarry with the whites, and begin a process which will deliver America into our hands."

The End OS21200

North Florida Skywatch ForbiddenKnowledgeTV Alexandra Bruce

http://www.youtube.com/watch?feature=player_embedded&v=78rKNor4T0w



It begins with footage taken from the cockpit of a FedEx aircraft, where two United States Air Force KC-135 Tankers, spraying thick plumes of material from their wing ducts pass within 2,000 feet of the FedEx craft. The FedEx pilot immediately requests a different altitude from Air Traffic Control, only to be met with a *third* near-miss collision - with yet another USAF KC-135 Tanker, spewing tons of stuff from its ducts.

IF you have been on the fence about the existence of Chemtrails, this video will settle the issue for you, once and for all.

Clearly, Air Traffic Control was oblivious to the presence of these three USAF tankers, which were operating at commercial altitudes. This may have happened if the military planes had

their transponders turned off. Also, it appears that the Air Force planes were not detectable by radar.

This shocking episode is followed by footage taken by a passenger of a commercial jet, showing a tanker clearly dumping a thick substance which does not resemble a contrail.

This footage is followed by a sequence of even more amazing shots of unbelievably thick plumes of stuff being dumped by Air Force jets, accompanied by serious daredevil flight manoeuvres.

Hands down, this is the best chemtrails footage that I have ever seen!

The following is a transcript of the titles which appear in this clip:

- FedEx aircraft in dangerous collision heading with appears to be two KC-135 Tankers.
- Separation: less than 2,000 feet
- Pilot: "FedEx 5034 with request. FedEx 5034 is requesting flight level 340 [34,000 feet]."
- Second KC-135 Tanker on collision heading.
- FedEx 5034 descends to 34,000 feet, only to discover a third KC-135 Tanker at altitude.
- Conclusion: the KC-135 Tankers were operating at civilian altitude with no transponder.
- The control tower had no idea the Air Force tankers existed and no radar system was available to warn the FedEx pilots of danger.
- Video of tanker by passenger in a commercial air jet aircraft.
- Cockpit video of chemtrails sprayed at altitude cleared by ATC [Air Traffic Control] for commercial traffic.
- Another unexpected encounter
- Again it appears ATC had no knowledge of USAF aircraft in the skies.
- Extensive chemtrail activity.

- A new experimental compound?

- Tanker climbs out to altitude cleared for commercial traffic. - Tankers spraying above and below altitude cleared for commercial traffic.



**Bizarre chemtrails. New formula?
Toxic Chemicals & Metals in Chemtrails:**

Aluminum Oxide Particles
Arsenic
Bacilli and Molds
Barium Salts
Barium Titanates
Cadmium
Calcium
Chromium
Desiccated Human Red Blood Cells
Ethylene Dibromide
Enterobacter Cloacal
Enterobacteriaceae
Human White Blood Cells-A
Lead
Lithium Salts and Chemtrails
Mercury
Methyl Aluminum
Mold Spores
Mycoplasma
Nano-Aluminum-Coated Fiberglass
Nitrogen Trifluoride
Nickel
Polymer Fibers
Pseudomonas Aeruginosa
Pseudomonas Florescens
Radio Active Cesium
Radio Active Thorium
Selenium
Serratia Marcsens
Sharp Titanium Shards
Silver
Streptomyces
Stronthium
Sub-Micron Particles
Sulfer Dioxide
Unidentified Bacteria
Uranium
Yellow Fungal Mycotoxins **END**

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>




Christogenos
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the
ukcolumn
LIVE

FOR THE REAL
NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

Announcements

The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church
PO Box 25
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.


**The New Ensign
Can be contacted
by e-mail**

thenewensign@gmail.com

**Previous Issues
are archived at**

newensign.christsassembly.com

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de

**Lawful Rebellion
Meetings
Reclaim Our Sovereignty**

**Watch this space for
future events**

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey

Wirral

CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383

Email info@thebcgroup.org.uk