

The New Ensign

No. 68 April 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Genocide of The White Race



The subject of the genocide of the White Race has been broached before in this magazine, but we are making no excuses for bringing up the subject again for two reasons:-

- 1) The attack on us is accelerating at an even faster rate.
- 2) To draw our reader attention to an excellent article on this subject recently posted by Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock, author of "*The Synagogue of Satan*".

Andrew's article can be read both on his Syangogue of Satan website and on that of Eurofolk Radio:-

<http://www.andrewcarringtonhitchcock.com/>

<http://eurofolkradio.com/>

For those of our readers not on the Internet, you will be able to read the article in a later edition of this magazine.

Andrew goes into great detail describing the subtle methods being used by our enemy to reduce our numbers which is a multi-pronged attack. To name but a few and not necessarily in the order and priority of the article are as follows:

Immigration, Abortion, The pharmaceutical Industry, Food additives, GMO, Pesticides, Fluoride, Cleaning and other Household products, Reduction of Farmland by set aside and building, Scalar Energy and Microwave transmissions. TV Programming. Chemtrails, Degenerate Music (weakens the immune system) and many others.

Linked to the article are some excellent You Tubes with evidence from many sources, that indeed there is a satanic plot afoot to exterminate our race, but praise Yahweh, he has determined that in fact, it is our enemy that will be totally exterminated!! May that day come soon!!

Editor

thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

	Page
Serious Questions - Arnold Kennedy	3
The Gospel of Judas - Pastor Eli James	5
The Satanic Influence On The Scofield Bible	8
Was Noah's Flood Worldwide? - Pastor George Udvary	9
Killer Voodoo in Britain - T. Stokes	12
Harold Stough Notes - Mystery Of Vitrified Forts Of Scotland	14
To Protect Everyone From Vaccine Killers - Ron Schneider	16
Letters & Views	22
The End Of The Jewish Century	26
Basil Zaharoff - A Name You Should Know - Eustace Mullins	28
The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain (Part 2)	30
False Foundations - Pastor Trent Woodard	32

Serious Questions.

Arnold Kennedy



TH E S E questions concern the Biblical relationship between Israel and the balance of races. In asking these questions, it has been necessary to give some detail in order to understand why these questions are

being asked. Personal attacks are often used to avoid facing up to issues. It is appreciated that these questions will raise further questions, particularly about other races, but these questions are asked because popular interpretations are but mechanisms to get around difficulties regarding race in the Bible. Expressions of racial differences are not expressions of racial hatred or of racial disharmony, but they are Bible facts that cannot be denied.

QUESTION ONE

Considering that the Old Covenant was made with Israel alone, how can we now say that the New Covenant was made with races who did not first have the Old Covenant? How could any other race have a "new" covenant if they never had had an old covenant?

There is there not one direct statement in both Testaments to contradict Hebrews 8:8 [fulfilling Jer. 1:31] to say that the New Covenant is made with any but the House of Israel and the House of Judah.

QUESTION TWO.

There are many, many Scriptures that say God's people [race] are his people [Israel] before they are saved, so where do we get the idea that people become god's people after they are saved?

Isaiah 53:8; *"for the transgression of MY PEOPLE was he stricken".*

Matt. 1:21 *"For he shall save HIS PEOPLE from their sins"*

Luke 1:77; *"To give the knowledge of salvation unto HIS PEOPLE.*

Luke 1:68; *"For He hath visited and redeemed HIS PEOPLE.*

Psalms 130:8; *"And He shall redeem ISRAEL".*

Galatians 4:5; *"To redeem them that were under the Law".*

The words "yasha' ", "yeshuw'ah" and "yesha' [saved and salvation] are used only in relationship to Israel. We find the same with "soteria" and "soterion". {Note: The "Salvation is come to the Gentiles" of Rom.11:11 does not contradict this as is shown in a later question}. "Redeem" and "redemption" also are found only in connection with Israel.

QUESTION THREE

God is described in scripture [KJV] as being the "God of Israel" 201 times, so why are we led to believe that this restriction is not valid, when we still cannot find specific reference to, or pattern of, God being the God of other races?

QUESTION FOUR

Why should we universalise "Israel" to mean all races, either now or in the future?

Ezek. 37:26-28; *"I will make with them a covenant of peace and the heathen shall know that I the Lord do sanctify ISRAEL when my sanctuary shall be in the midst of THEM for evermore".* Even at this prophesied time in the future, Israel is still separated, and the other races know it.

QUESTION FIVE

When the two parties are Ephraim and Judah, why say they are Israel and non-Israel?

Why is mention always only about the House of Israel and the House of Judah?

In the New Jerusalem, why are only Israelites within the city - [see Zechariah], with the other races being outside?

Why are the names of the twelve tribes only upon the gates?

In the parables of the two sticks and of the dry bones are the two parties the House of Israel and the House of Judah, so why do we pretend they are Israelites and non-Israelites?



QUESTION SIX [A group of questions]

[1] Why should we not accept the following statement, which is a racial statement, as it stands?

Lev.20:26 "For I the Lord am holy, and have severed you from other people that you should be mine".

There are many other verses like this, such as those below. When God severed Israel from the other races, there is no indication that this severance was for any limited period, in fact the opposite is shown. This separation is a racial separation, or it might be said that it is racism on the part of God.

[2] If racial statements like this are said to have changed some time later, when was this? The same question applies to the sections below.

Deut. 4, 7-8. "For what nation is there so great, who hath God so nigh to them and what nation is there so great that hath statutes and judgments so righteous as this law, which I set before YOU this day."

This is a verse which establishes the fact that the Statutes ["choq"] and the Judgments [= "mishpat"] connects with Israel in a way that does not apply to other races.

NOTE: This does not mention other parts of the total law, i.e. "Torah", "Mishmereth", "Chuqqah", "Mitsveh" and "Tseqdaqah" which are translated in a mixed up way as ordinances, charges, commands, statutes, and justice, all of which are first mentioned in connection with

Abraham...These other parts existed before what is commonly called, "The giving of the Law"].

Moses, speaking to Israel alone, declares in verse 13 that this does involve The Ten Commandments.

Deut. 4:13 "And He declared unto you his covenant, which he commanded you to perform, even ten commandments and he wrote them upon two tables of stone".

The Old Covenant was made with Israel as a nation alone, even if there was a "mixed multitude" there with Israel at that time. The issue here is not the Law as a total, but the covenant made to the congregation of Israel [cahal], in isolation from the mixed multitude journeying with them [edah].

[3] Why not accept this racial statement... when is it supposed to have changed?

Deut. 4:37 "And, because He loved thy fathers, therefore He chose THEIR SEED after them".

This is a genetic statement! This reference to the seed of Israel continues through the New Testament. It is sometimes presented in connection with the words "called," "chosen," "inheritance", "predestined", "redeemed", "elect", "foreknown" and "purchased possession".

We have to ask if this "seed" of the Fathers is genetic [physical] or spiritual. If it is claimed that this is now a spiritual seed, we have to be able to say when this changed [if we can]. "Thy Fathers" in this verse, as in so many other verses, refers to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, and not just Abraham as those who like to spiritualise the "seed of Abraham" want to insist. We are told three times "In Isaac shall they seed be called" [Gen.21:12, Rom.9:7 and Heb.11:18], and so how can any other race be included?

[4] Why not accept this statement of God's sovereignty?

Deut.7:6 "For you are a holy [separate] people unto the Lord your god. The Lord your God has chosen you to be a special people unto himself, above all the people that are upon the face of all the earth".

This is one of the early Bible statements about the unique exclusive "special people" place of Israel racially among the races on the whole earth. We have to ask if this continues into the New Testament; if not, whatever happened to this special race? Paul records about the Potter who made differing vessels according to His purposes-[Rom.9:20-23], and asks who do we think we are to argue with God. Christians still want to argue and find it hard to believe what the New Testament says about "vessels of wrath" which are "fitted to destruction" and "vessels of mercy" which are "afore prepared for mercy". God did make a decision and a choice upon a racial basis.

If Israel as a seed was to disappear as a race from the Bible, prophecy would forecast this. Paul asks, "Has God cast away His people? God forbid" -[Rom.11:1]. The separation of all Israel into two houses still existed of whom "part" were blinded [V7] but Israel as a whole "hath not obtained...". It is not commonly taught that this separation still exists, but it will exist until Jesus returns - [Isaiah 11 shows the timing factors and the "envy" that continues between the House of Israel and the House of Judah until the re-gathering time].

[5] What authority is there to teach that all races can be "Jacob", or God's inheritance?

Deut. 32,9 "For the Lord's portion is HIS PEOPLE, JACOB is the lot of His inheritance".

"His People" are not every race on earth, as we can see. "People" here is 'am, which is used in the sense of a tribe among other peoples. There is not one clear statement anywhere in scripture to say that any other race than Jacob [Israel] genetically is God's inheritance. "Jacob" is mentioned 24 times in the New Testament and so there is no change to this racial identity.

[6] Why try to change and extend this?

Deut 33,29 "Happy art thou, O ISRAEL who is like unto thee O people saved by the Lord!"

The Apostle Paul concludes his discourse about two parties by saying, "And so shall all Israel be saved"-[Rom.11:6]. The two parties concerned are the House of Judah and the House of Israel, not Israelites and non-Israelites. The "middle wall of partition" was between these two. In truth then, is there any other race "like unto thee"? Does God in fact make a difference between races? He certainly does in all these scriptures. Has our unchanging God changed?

[7] As God is racist, here and elsewhere, why should we not be the same?

Numbers 23, 9 "Lo, this people shall dwell ALONE, and SHALL NOT BE RECKONED AMONG THE NATIONS".

This again presents the separation racially of Israel from the other nations.

To be continued

The Gospel of Judas Pastor Eli James



LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, Skeptics and Friends: Christian Identity has been teaching for decades how the Jews are impostors who claim to have written the Bible and who

claim to follow God's Law. They never did the former and they do not perform the latter. The Bible was written by Israelites and Judahites, not Jews. The Jews are descendants of the Cainite / Kenite / Canaanite / Edomite/Jewish line of descent. These people have always been the


worst enemies of the Israelite line of descent. These two bloodlines have been at perpetual war with each other ever since Genesis 3:15. The Bible clearly teaches this, but since virtually every denomination is under the thrall of Jewish money and influence, no scholar who wishes to retain his worldly reputation and paycheque will dare to reveal the truths of Christian Identity.

Since I am a mere carpenter from Chicago with a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Liberal Arts and Sciences, Double Major in Philosophy and Psychology, I have no such concerns. I just tell it like it is. I have repeatedly stated that Judas was an Edomite Jew, not a Judahite. How do I know this? Jesus Himself told us:

"Have I not chosen you twelve and one of you is a devil?" (John 6:70).

The very next verse confirms your suspicions:

"He spake of Judas Iscariot the son of Simon: for he it was that should betray him, being one of the twelve."



The word 'Iscariot' is not a real surname. It comes from the Aramaic 'Ish Keriath,' meaning "man of Keriath." Keriath was a small village at the southernmost border of Judah, where Judah and Idumea met. Idumea was an Edomite kingdom. If there was any race-mixing going on between these two peoples, it would most likely be in such border towns. Judas was a half-breed Judahite/Idumean Jew; and the reason he betrayed Jesus was because, being a Jew, he could not help himself. He behaved like all of his breed behave: like traitors.

All of the other Apostles were Galilean Benjamites. Judas was the only one from the Kingdom of Judea, which had already become a race-mixed cosmopolitan hodgepodge by the time Judas was born.

The reason why the Jews cannot stand the Gospel of John is because of its merciless portrayal of Jews as liars, hypocrites and murderers. Oh, you were taught that "Jesus was a Jew?" No, He wasn't. He was a Judahite, descended from the Adamic / Sethite / Shemitic / Israelite / Davidic line of descent, just as Matthew, Chapter 1 and Luke Chapter 3 clearly demonstrate. These genealogies are there for a reason: to verify Yahshua's Adamic descent.

The Jews very cleverly use the word 'Jew' to apply anyone they want to use it on. Since only Christian Identity teaches that there is a distinction between Jews and Judahites, we are the only ones who comprehend the nature of this

Jewish deception. The mere fact that the Jewish people pretend to be descended from the line of Judah does not make Jesus a Jew. If someone disguises himself as your brother, does that make him your brother? Better watch out for the dagger up his sleeve.

Armed with this information, you can now appreciate the following news item:

Posted 3/2/2006 10:58 PM

Expert Doubts 'Gospel of Judas' Revelation
By Richard N. Ostling, The Associated Press

NEW YORK — An expert on ancient Egyptian texts is predicting that the *Gospel of Judas*— a manuscript from early Christian times that's nearing release amid widespread interest from scholars — will be a dud in terms of learning anything new about Judas.

James M. Robinson, America's leading expert on such ancient religious texts from Egypt, predicts in a new book that the text won't offer any insights into the disciple who betrayed Jesus. His reason: While it's old, it's not old enough.

"Does it go back to Judas? No," Robinson told The Associated Press on Thursday.

The text, in Egypt's Coptic language, dates from the third or fourth century and is a copy of an earlier document. The National Geographic Society, along with other groups, has been studying the *Judas* text.

The society said Thursday it will release its report on the document "within the next few weeks" but didn't specify whether that would come via a book, magazine article or telecast.

Robinson has not seen the text that National Geographic is working on, but assumes it is the same work assailed by Bishop Irenaeus of Lyons around A.D. 180.

Irenaeus said the writings came from a "Cainite" Gnostic sect that jostled against orthodox Christianity. He also accused the Cainites of lauding the biblical murderer Cain, the Sodomites and Judas, whom they regarded as the keeper of secret mysteries.

National Geographic's collaborators on the translation and interpretation of the text include


its current owner — Mario Roberti's Maecenas Foundation for Ancient Art in Basel, Switzerland — and the Waitt Institute for Historical Discovery in La Jolla, Calif. Rodolphe Kasser, formerly of the University of Geneva, is the editor.

Robinson writes that the journey of the text to Switzerland was "replete with smugglers, black-market antiquities dealers, religious scholars, backstabbing partners and greedy entrepreneurs." In the process, Robinson fears, the fragile text may have been mishandled and parts of it lost forever.

Robinson is an emeritus professor at Claremont (Calif.) Graduate University, chief editor of religious documents found in 1945 at Nag Hammadi, Egypt, and an international leader among scholars of Coptic manuscripts.

He says the text is valuable to scholars of the second century but dismissed the notion that it'll reveal unknown biblical secrets. **He speculated the timing of the release is aimed at capitalizing on interest in the film version of *The Da Vinci Code*— a fictional tale that centres on a Christian conspiracy to cover up a marriage between Jesus and Mary Magdalene.**

"There are a lot of second-, third- and fourth-century gospels attributed to various apostles," Robinson said. "We don't really assume they give us any first century information."



A National Geographic response said "it's ironic" for Robinson to raise such questions since for years "he tried unsuccessfully to acquire this codex himself, and is publishing his own book in April, despite having no direct access to the materials."

National Geographic said it practiced "due diligence" with scholars "to save the manuscript before it turns to dust and is lost forever" and that everyone involved is committed to returning the materials to Egypt.

In *The Secrets of Judas*, (above) a Harper San Francisco book on sale April 1, Robinson will

describe secretive manoeuvres in the United States, Switzerland, Greece and elsewhere over two decades to sell the "Judas" manuscript.

He writes that he was approached about purchasing a group of manuscripts in 1983 and arranged for colleague Stephen Emmel, now at the University of Muenster, Germany, to meet in Geneva with go-betweens for the owner.

Emmel got a glimpse of the text but didn't know it was the *Gospel of Judas* till years later. **He was told the original asking price was \$10 million but it could be obtained for \$3 million, an impossibly high figure for the interested Americans.**

From there, Robinson traces a twisted sales trail through years and continents to this year's impending release.

Emmel is now a member of the National Geographic team along with other former students of Robinson, who hopes his colleagues will be providing solid information about the text's history and location.

Everything makes perfect sense when you have a program that explains who the cast and characters are. If you get the characters mixed up, the plot becomes terribly confused.

The End OS16849

Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

The Satanic Influence On The Scofield Bible

From Our Texas Correspondent

“Certain men, crept in unawares, who were before of old ordained to this condemnation.” Jude 1:4



JOSEPH CANFIELD (Left) in *The Incredible Scofield* provides a clue that helps unravel the mystery which surrounds the Scofield Bible. He states that on the second page of the introduction

to the first edition published in 1909, Scofield acknowledged that he had been influenced by two Oxford Bible scholars, B.F. Westcott and F.J.A. Hort.

Scofield travelled to Oxford University just prior to the publication of his Bible. At the time, these two men were highly regarded authorities on the Greek New Testament. However, the recent controversy over the King James Bible has thrown a flood of light into the dark corners of their lives. The revelations have been shocking. Westcott was a bishop in the Anglican church and Hort a Professor of divinity but both men had abandoned their Christian faith. Westcott formed a club while an undergraduate at Cambridge called Hermes. Hermes is another name for Satan and was bi-sexual or androgynous. These clubs were noted for the intensity of the homosexual relations between its members.


In 1851, Hort and Westcott started the Ghost Society which over the years included some of the most noted intellectuals in England including future Prime Minister Arthur Balfour. Balfour was at the centre of an elite group which had inherited great political power, wealth and social position.

By the time the Scofield Bible was published Hort and Westcott had been active in the occult underground for 60 years. They were leaders in the occult revival of the 19th century and founders of the New Age Movement with Luciferian Helen Blatavsky.

Hort and Westcott were committed Communists. They hated America and democracy. There was

a close tie between their occult group and Marxism in England. And under the leadership of the powerful Arthur Balfour they began working on what has become the one world religion and the New World Order.

A key strategy in bringing about this one world religion involved replacing the King James Version which was standard in their day with a revised text where words were added and removed and meanings changed. Hort and Westcott's New Age Versions have virtually replaced the King James Version today.



Not content with changing God's word, Scofield's credit reveals they also worked to change bible prophecy. Historicism had been the standard for 500 years. But the publication of the Scofield Bible resurrected the old Jesuit futurism with a Zionist twist. Today the policies of our country are dramatically influenced by futurism and Christian Zionism while few have even heard of historicism.

During the years they worked on the revised text they secretly met at night and held séances with their depraved society. These meetings were often held at Arthur Balfour's residence or townhouse. They are acknowledged today as the fathers of modern channeling. Channeling involves inviting a familiar spirit or diving demon into ones body.

Channelers or mediums frequently end up in bondage with the occult. This practice is an

abomination to God who warned that "in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and the doctrines of devils.(1 Timothy 4:1) Men with familiar spirits in the Old Testament were to be put to death.

According to Scofield, the real influences behind the publication of the Scofield Bible were two men who were influenced or possessed by demons. Their motivation was not to glorify God but to bring about a New World Order with a New World Religion based on spiritualism.

The End OS12924

Was Noah's Flood Worldwide? By The Late Pastor George Udvary



THE flood of Noah's time remains a major controversial issue which has seemingly been designed to mislead millions

of Christians regarding their heritage. There are those, including scholars, who understand the creation doctrine and subsequent history up to the time of the flood.

They can perceive how evil set enmity between the existing races—Negro, Mongol, and the multi-cultural—the spiritual race of Yahweh. But most people have a problem understanding exactly where the flood took place and what happened. Many claim that even if the teachings of the creation is true and Yahweh created different major races in the beginning, they were all destroyed in the flood, and humanity was repopulated on the earth from the eight people in the ark. They try to prove that all races originated from one race, i.e., Noah's offspring.

We know that Noah was pure and perfect in his racial distinction (Gen. 6:9), which was a contributing factor for his selection by Yahweh as the seed line for the continuation of the 'chosen' people. Then all of his descendants also had to be pure in their racial integrity. Consequently, all arguments that attempt to establish an "All different races are from the same origin," are proven to be false theories.

Several different interpretations have developed over the years on how the flood occurred, and how extensive it was. Most mainline churches promote the "worldwide flood" theory, a doctrine that is geared to support their false

ideology of the "brotherhood of men." It is a philosophy mixed with its multi-cultural diversity, totally contradicting the biblical creation account, in order to peddle their liberal agenda of desegregation of society and racially integrating them. Of course to make things blend with their own convictions, it required them to deviously distort history, a form of art they have perfected.

Another example is how the Jehovah's Witnesses claim that a "vapour canopy" covered the earth until it had all rained into what became the oceans of the world. Others postulate that the three sons of Noah mysteriously became the fathers of the three major races. Japheth **theoretically** turned from white to yellow and developed wide cheek bones and slanted eyes; his offspring became what we know as the Asiatic-Mongoloid race.

Ham migrated down south and his offspring became pug-nose, slope-headed, and black, hence the African race. But, the most interesting of the three hypothesis is one about the son named Shem. Allegedly, his offspring became Jews, supposedly the third race, who later falsely claimed to be Semites, from Shem. Their racial features (even until this day) are a hooked nose with high cut-out nostrils, beady eyes and usually "floating" (a space between the iris area and the lower lid), curled-under lower lip, low-set ears and/or kinky hair, just to mention a few.

Also, most Jews do not have ruddy-rosy skin as the white race unless they have a lot of Caucasian blood. They may be "white" but that is ghost-coloured white. As generations of time passed, these people shamelessly, but not surprisingly, professed to be the Israelites.

Well, those stories are fairy tales and insulting, yet thousands of churches teach them and millions of church-goers believe them. Such lies

influence our society in a negative way. They want us to believe that the Caucasians were not one of the major races but are the Gentiles (meaning heathen). If one is opposed to their point of view, then they are classed as **anti-Semitic and racist**. No mention is made in churches that these non-historical and undocumented claims are false. Publicly no one dares to state the truth that it is a fact that **the Jews originated in Mongolia and Asia**, and even the Jews do not argue this point.



But, back to the flood, was it or was it not worldwide? The primary reason for different (bastardised) races are still in existence is because **Noah's flood did not cover the whole world** as we know it and did not destroy everybody. If one were to carefully check what the translators have done to this part of the Bible, he might compile a list similar to the following, with six reasons why Noah's flood could not have been world wide.

1.) As one reads the story of Noah's flood in Gen. 7, he should use a Hebrew Dictionary. In verse 12, it is recorded that it rained for 40 days and 40 nights. As a result (verse 19) all mountains were covered with water and verse 20 records that water of 15 cubits (22 1/2 feet) was above the highest mountain. The critical point is camouflaged and hidden in verse 21— "All flesh died that moved upon the **earth**." One must stop here and look up the word "**earth**" which describes where this flood took place. The Strong's Hebrew and Chaldee Dictionary refers to the Hebrew word "*erets*" as a large land, country, ground or field, nations or wilderness, partitively-world.

"Partitively" means: expressing the relation of part to a whole [*Webster Dictionary*]. Thus, what the scripture says is that only a part of that country or **that land** was covered with water up to 22 1/2 feet above the mountain tops, not the whole earth. Genesis 8:4 confirms that the height of Mt. Ararat, the highest mountain in that area was 16,873 feet, approximately 3 miles high, upon which the ark rested when the waters started to recede.

2) While there is reasonable argument that places Noah's flood near the **Tarim Basin**, which is in the **far-East** near Tibet. Therefore we can estimate that the flood took place in the area of the Euphrates and Tigres River Basin and

surrounding mountain ranges because its geographical relation to the mountains of Ararat. The basin is approximately 350 miles wide and 900 miles long. One knows that the flood waters reached 16,873 feet, plus 22 1/2 feet high. We also know from verified archeological and contemporary records that Mount Everest in the Himalaya Mountains has the highest peak of all mountains in the world with the height of 29,028 feet, or six miles high. This fact alone implies the possibility that many thousands of people could have survived for Noah's flood lasted one year and 17 days total. These facts raise many pertinent questions;

3) Where did six-mile deep water disappear to in 150 days if the flood covered the entire earth?

Also notice that the Hebrew word "*erets*" (#776 in Strong's Concordance) used in Gen. 7:21 for "**earth**" is also the same word in the last phrase of Gen. 4:12 when Yahweh informs Cain of his punishment for murdering his brother. But in Gen. 4:14, the first use of the word earth means **Adamah**— Adam's Land' (#127 in Strong's) where the murderer/liar Cain is wailing to Yahweh concerning his punishment— "Behold, thou hast driven me out this day from the face of the earth; and from thy face shall I be hid; but the second phrase in this same scripture uses #776 indicating the entire globe as in Gen. 7:21— ". . . and I shall be a fugitive and a vagabond in the earth; and it shall come to pass, that every one that findeth me shall slay me."

In this instance, the translators succeeded in causing confusion by using the word earth in each of these places when there were two different subjects involved— the flood and the banishment of Cain from Adam's Land.

4) In Gen. 4:16, one learns that **Cain** did not get into a spaceship and leave the **earth**. He only left the area where Adam and Eve lived and went east to the land of Nod, where he found a woman, married her; begot a child named Enoch, and built a city. How could Cain find a woman if Adam and Eve were the only other people in existence at that time according to the Bible? Also, why would anyone build a city for himself, or just for his wife and son? Once again, because Cain wasn't alone, he was able to find a woman from among the "pre-Adamic races.

They were already on earth millenniums before Yahweh ever "formed Adam from the dust of the ground "(Gen. 2:7) Remember, his chronology only goes back approximately seven thousand five hundred years.



5) In Gen. 8:9, it is written that Noah checked to see whether there were dry land on earth. He turned a dove (pigeon) loose to find out if it would return, meaning it couldn't find dry land to rest on. It is known that the best type of dove (or Homing pigeons)

cannot fly more than 500 miles a day without rest. Thus the olive leaf returned by the dove (Gen. 8:11) could only signify dry land within a 250 mile radius of the ark; and in Noah's case, only in the vicinity of the mountains of Ararat. In any case this experiment could not prove whether there was dry land on a faraway land on the other side of the world.

At the same time, consider that if the flood was worldwide, how could God refer to the other side of it? (Joshua 24:2, 3, 14, 15) Instead of only eight surviving witnesses of the flood by Noah's family, there are over 200 authenticated records of it. Amidst many historical accounts, the eleventh Egyptian dynasty continued into the twelfth dynasty in unbroken succession during the time of the flood. It also chronicles it in its records. It is also written in ancient Chinese history that records "swollen rivers and the great flood."

6) Another question often asked is how could Noah accommodate and feed several thousand


animals in his ark for over a year? The size of the Ark would not permit such provision. Noah would have to have at least a hundred Arks to accommodate every species of both genders of God's creation from every distant land, in order to satisfy the claims of many sceptics who believe that the **flood was worldwide**. Also eight people are too few in number to help with such an enormous undertaking. It would not be possible. But it did not have to be; because the **flood did not cover the globe**.

So goes the controversy. As we said before, there is ample reason to believe that those errors in translation mentioned above, were deliberately made to mislead God's people. Undoubtedly the controversy was designed to support the confusion created about the race issue and Adam. How ever the truth will be found out eventually and accepted in the future.

Be aware and search the scriptures.

Note: This article is an extract from Pastor George Udvary's book "Christian Israel Biblical Reference Manual" Vol. I and can now be purchased from Pastor Eli James via his website at:


Anglo-Saxon Israel



The End

Killer Voodoo in Britain

By T. Stokes



THE CHRISTIAN CHURCH has shown the first sign of being united over anything in 2000 years with both the catholic and protestant wings attacking new age beliefs. The late pope himself has tightened exorcism guidelines, for the first time in centuries. In particular astrological and witchcraft practises come in for particular criticism. Many Christians will say this is good news, but is it?

Most witchcraft occurs within the Christian Church itself, and the appointment on 17 June 2005 of John Sentamu (above) Britain's first black archbishop of York, was actually not a religious appointment but a political one.

The European police have been fighting a losing battle with Christian necropopaphagy from women and children being trafficked into Britain from Africa, for both the sex trade and for the witchcraft industry, and Scotland Yard has largely suppressed information on "muti" killings which are a feature of the African Evangelical movement here in Britain. A document on bush meat further speculates on necropophagy or eating the flesh of human beings killed for the table, being rife.

The filming of vicious exorcism rites to expel the devil is believed to be behind many snuff movies, bought at boot fairs; body parts are believed to contain special powers if eaten raw and preferably "live".

The religious belief that intercourse with toddlers can cure AIDS victims is particularly abhorrent, and the introduction of expensive fake horoscopes by priests as proof a child is possessed, is a red herring and entirely untenable.

In Nigeria alone, 80 young people were murdered last year in "Kendoki" Christian evangelical cult attacks.

Both the Anglican and the Evangelical Alliance church bodies excuse sexual searches by saying "evil spirits can enter through young boys willies and bottoms".

The new black Archbishop John Sentamu is aware of the 101 breakaway fundamentalist churches in London alone, in the large African communities, where these African practises called "ndoki" (or kendoki) occur, and strangely the new Archbishop, who was actively involved in two best known cases of black children exported to "relatives" in Britain for spurious benefit claims, and subsequent torture and death, Victoria Climbié and Damilola Taylor, has been silent over the traffic. Even the Russian mafia who run Britain's immigration system have offered advice on child import/exports, which is a new trend away from the abduction and ritual sacrifice of the cities "rough sleepers".

The British court system says, "those who condone or shield child abuse are themselves child abusers"

Please remember the cultural philosophy of African witchcraft is totally different to that of European witchcraft.

The Nigerian born Debbie Ariyo, head of the charity, "Africans against child abuse" gave a comprehensive statement condemning African evangelical witchcraft practises.

And operation Violet, the secret police investigation in which I was an advisor, has been hampered by political correctness from Britain's New Labour, and accusations of racism in its investigation into the closed communities in British churches.

Many insiders feel John Sentamu's appointment is to put a lid on, rather than stamp out every area of child abuse seen by social workers working these last few years in secret, and it is now well known that the Vatican initiative, called "Operation Templeman", was to hide up the huge

numbers of priests in child abuse cases, and in Africa the church would sooner see babies die from Aids in agony, than give preventative birth control.



Catholic interference over the years, with the encouragement of violence in countries such as Ireland, South America, Poland and Africa, has been noticeable, and remember the church was photographed blessing the tanks and planes going to kill and maim those peoples of Iraq.

The Christian church is in danger of collapsing under the many pressures and internal corruptions it faces; the Greek Orthodox church reported recently in the Independent newspaper that certain categories will be banned from their priesthood, including: Magicians, astrologers, actors, usurers, thieves, solicitors and gynaecologists!

There is currently pressure in Britain to strengthen the law for prejudice on religious grounds, and this month July 2006 sees a meeting to reinforce the prejudice laws, so Christians, and in particular Evangelicals, will have to stop the hate campaigns on both new age subjects, and on those in favour of spiritual democracy.

Many of the attacks on Astrology and alternative medicine, and the pushing of the heterophobic agenda and encouraging family dispersal are from extremist Christian sects and Zionists.

Look up on the net the numbers of missing persons in your area each year; it is mind blowing, and a large number are children.

Just last week a top British politician was exposed as what was referred to in the down market dailies as a “keeper of bum boys” and he incriminated several other politicians in high office.

Theologians tell us the miracle of transubstantiation, or the changing of the bread and wine into the body and blood of Christ, where people then consume it, is only a modified version of the same cannibalism seen now in church communities.

So will Archbishop John Sentamu speak out on these matters? Do not hold your breath, the official church of England exorcism guidelines are:

- * Exorcism only by order of the diocesan bishop.
- * Only used in collaboration with a doctors knowledge and medication.
- * Prayer and the sacraments must be given in tandem.
- * No publicity.
- * Good follow up care, especially by family.

The remedy to these crimes will only be found with an open discussion with no doors barred, stopping priest’s charging large monetary sums for needless exorcisms, banning all tortures, rapes, and cannibalistic practises, and to stop protecting priests accused of child rape.

Interpol suggests an overall amnesty for any informers, particularly church insiders.

The Interspiritual forum is a group of non-partisan experts, who give their time for free, and we want the various churches to clean up their act and to be transparent and accountable.

The End OS21868

QUOTE


"Wars are the Jews harvest, for with them we wipe out the Christians and get control of their gold. We have already killed 100-million of them, and the end is not yet." (Chief Rabbi in France, in 1859, Rabbi Reichorn)



Harold Stough's Notes

From A Cutting out of the - Archaeological Journal
1880

Mystery Of Vitrified Forts On The West Coast Of Scotland



Plan of a Scottish vitrified fort

ON THE WEST COAST OF SCOTLAND between the headland, north of Loch Moidart and Arisaig, is a deep inlet of the sea. This inlet, near its termination, is divided by the promontory of Ardnish into two branches, called Loch na Nuagh and Loch Ailort. At the entrance to Loch Ailort are two islands, one of which is called Eilean na Goar.

Loch na Nuagh trends to the east and terminates some four or five miles up, and washes the rocks on which runs the high road leading from Arisaig to Fort William. At the point where Loch na Nuagh begins to narrow, where the opposite shore is about one-and-a-half to two miles distant, is a small promontory connected with the mainland by a narrow strip of sand and grass, which evidently at one time was submerged by the rising tide. On the flat summit of this promontory are the ruins of a vitrified fort, the proper name for which is Arka-Unskel.

The rocks on which this fort are placed are metamorphic gneiss, with indications of trap,

covered with grass and ferns, and rise on three sides almost perpendicular for about 110 feet from the sea level. The smooth surface on the top is divided by a slight depression into two portions. On the largest, with precipitous sides to the sea, the chief portion of the fort is situated, and occupies the whole of the flat surface. It is of somewhat oval form. The circumference is about 200 feet, and the vitrified walls can be traced in its entire length, but are most perceptible at A, B, C, and D. The width of the interior is fifty feet. At one part, A, the vitrified wall is seven feet high and about six feet in thickness.

At C the wall is three feet three inches high and five feet thick, and so continues through the whole of the walls, although they are not all so high. The wall at A appears to have been the termination on the east side of the first portion of the fort, as about twenty-five feet from this is another large mass of vitrified wall, D; the space between these two is without any signs of wall. It almost appears as if this might have been the entrance to the larger fort as well as the smaller, which is situated on the lesser portion of the rock, at E. This smaller fortress or portion of the larger is about 100 feet in circumference, 21 feet in width, and 24 feet in length, and appears to have been divided from the larger fort by a narrow strip, F; but although the line of ramparts may be traced there is no vitrified wall. On excavating at A, below the vitrified wall, we came upon a great mass of large and small boulders, all water-worn, and evidently brought up from the shore to form a foundation on which the vitrified wall rested. This foundation was three feet deep and five feet across, and rested upon the original gneiss rock.

We dug under the vitrified mass, and there found what was extremely interesting, as throwing some light on the manner in which the fire was applied for the purpose of vitrification. The internal part of the upper or vitrified wall for about a foot or a foot-and-a-half was untouched by the fire, except that some of the flat stones

were slightly agglutinated together, and that the stones, all felspathic, were placed in layers one upon another.

It was evident therefore that a rude foundation of boulder stones was first formed upon the original rock, and then a thick layer of loose, mostly, flat stones of felspathic sand, and of a different kind from those found in the immediate neighborhood, were placed on this foundation, and then vitrified by heat applied externally. This foundation of loose stones is found also in the vitrified fort of Dun Mac Snuichan, on Loch Etive.

The other vitrified fort is much larger than that just described, is situated on the island at the entrance of Loch Ailort. This island, locally termed Eilean na Goar, is the most eastern and is bounded on all sides by precipitous gneiss rocks; it is the abode and nesting place of numerous sea birds. The flat surface on the top is 120 feet from the sea level, and the remains of the vitrified forts are situated on this, oblong in form, with a continuous rampart of vitrified wall five feet thick; attached at the S. W. end to a large upright rock of gneiss. The space enclosed by this wall is 420 feet in circumference and 70 feet in width. The rampart is continuous and about five feet in thickness. At the eastern end, A is a great mass of wall in situ, vitrified on both sides. In the centre of the enclosed space is a deep depression in which are masses of the vitrified wall strewn about, evidently detached from their original site.

Separated by a deep depression which is now a wet morass, and nearly parallel to the larger construction, is a smaller fort placed on another flat surface of the island. It is 100 feet in circumference and 25 feet across, entirely surrounded by the wall which in many parts remain in its original state.

One remarkable feature in these forts is their double form; none other previously described, as far as I am aware, having this peculiar character.

The examination of these two forts leads us to the consideration of the different opinions held by previous investigators as to whether:

1st. Were these structures built as a means of defence.

2nd. Was the vitrification the result of design or accident.

3rd. How was the vitrification produced.

On looking at the plates which illustrate this paper and also at the plans of the great forts which illustrate some of these works I have quoted on the east coast, one can only come I think to one conclusion, ---that they were intended for places of defence. The regular design of these walls, their great extent and uniformity, the large area enclosed, all lead to prove that these early people had a design in their construction; and it is a curious circumstance that many of the most important of these works were reoccupied by the conquerors of the original designers, as places of defence.



A vitrified mass from the fort at Knockfarrel, Scotland (Janet & Colin Bord)

The remains of the wooden buildings in the vitrified fort of Dun Mac Uisen-achan, on Loch Etive, are the structures subsequently raised by the Irish conquerors of the builders of the original fort. In the ruins of the building on Craig Phadric, some of the original vitrified walls have been built up in the structures of the second occupation. It is scarcely possible then to believe that these extensive walls, all vitrified, encompassing so large an area, could have been merely the site of beacon fires or that the vitrification was caused by such fires or by those for burnt sacrifices. Another argument against the site being only used for beacon fires is that many of these forts are not placed on the highest points of land but generally at the entrance of some strath or some inland loch.

2nd. Was the vitrification the result of design or accident? No doubt in the first instance the discovery that the stones were fusible was accidental, and was discovered probably as Mr. Williams suggests, by kindling great fires for

burning their sacrifices; but I would also suggest another accidental mode by which this discovery might have been made. The aborigines of every country cooked or rather baked their food in ovens made in the ground.

These ovens were lined with stones, and stones were placed over the object to be cooked, and then heat applied, oftentimes no doubt very intense. It may be that during this process of cooking the stones employed were of that fusible nature which we know exist in parts of Scotland, and that these were round agglutinated in their ovens, and vitrified. Very little acuteness on their part would lead them to apply this discovery to the building or their places of defence.

The investigation of these forts at Arisaig shew with what a regular design the builders worked. First the foundation of the water-worn boulders all brought up from the sea shore, then the stones known to be fusible, and generally brought from a distance, placed in regular layers on the top of the foundation of boulders to the prescribed height and thickness, and then the fire applied

externally, both on the top and on every side; and thus we come to the question,

How was the vitrification produced? As we have seen, various theories have been put forward, but I think we may conclude that in the two forts which I have described, the fire was applied externally and on all sides. This is proved by the internal part of the wall being unvitrified, solely because the heat did not extend so far, leaving the stones in their original condition, or only partly agglutinated, and only not fused because unable to be effected by the fire applied externally.

It is easy to suppose, in the then state of Scotland, that abundant fuel could be obtained from the great pine forests, which combined with seaweed and earth would, with a proper amount of draught, cause heat intense enough to melt or vitrify the fusible material it came in contact with. (pp. 237-241)

The End

World Hero Speaking Up To Protect Everyone From Vaccine Killers

Rob Schneider



THE ELITE behind the Vaccine Industry would have the country (and world) believe that vaccines prevent diseases and that Rob Schneider is a jerk for saying people should have a choice. A choice.

Yeah, what a jerk. People should allow the government to inject ANYTHING into them whenever the CDC, which works for the CIA, which works for the Rockefellers says so.

Look at how great vaccines are at preventing diseases.

1918 20 - 50 million people died from vaccines, and aspirin. The pharmaceutical Industry blamed

it on a virus that didn't exist. Vaccines were deadly.

"If we check back in history to that 1918 flu period, we will see that it suddenly struck just after the end of World War I when our soldiers were returning home from overseas. That was the first war in which all the known vaccines were forced on all the servicemen. This mish-mash of poison drugs and putrid protein of which the vaccines were composed, caused such widespread disease and death among the soldiers that it was the common talk of the day, that more of our men were being killed by medical shots than by enemy shots from guns. Thousands were invalidated home or to military hospitals, as hopeless wrecks, before they ever saw a day of battle. The death and disease rate among the vaccinated soldiers was four times higher than among the unvaccinated civilians. But this did not stop the vaccine promoters. Vaccine has always been big business, and so it was continued doggedly. [Emphasis added.]



"20,000,000 died of that flu epidemic, worldwide, and it seemed to be almost universal or as far away as the vaccinations reached. Greece and a few other countries which did not accept the vaccines were the only ones which were not hit by the flu. [Emphasis in the original.] And aspirin finished people off.

Those who did well during 1918 did not take vaccines.

"At home (in the U.S.) the situation was the same; the only ones who escaped the influenza were those who had refused the vaccinations. My family and I were among the few who persisted in refusing the high pressure sales propaganda, and none of us had the flu not even a sniffle, in spite of the fact that it was all around us, and in the bitter cold of winter.

Everyone seemed to have it. The whole town was down sick and dying. The hospitals were closed because the doctors and nurses were down with the flu. Everything was closed, schools, businesses, post office everything. No one was on the streets. It was like a ghost town. There were no doctors to care for the sick, so my parents went from house to house doing what they could to help the stricken in any way they could.

They spent all day and part of the night for weeks, in the sick rooms, and came home only to eat and sleep. If germs or viruses, bacteria, or any other little organisms were the cause of that disease, they had plenty of opportunity to latch onto my parents and "lay them low" with the disease which had prostrated the world. But germs were not the cause of that or any other disease, so they didn't "catch" it. I have talked to a few other people since that time, who said they escaped the 1918 flu, so I asked if they had the shots, and in every case, they said they had never believed in shots and had never had any of them."

But still the CDC continues with the Myth of a 1918 Virus, because it is essential to sell and the CDC certainly can't say that the homeopathy was a stunning success in 1918, saving the lives of almost everyone treated naturally, or that the Rockefellers controlled the pharmaceutical industry - an off-shoot of the oil companies - got rid of homeopathy hospitals and began to control all medical schools and research institutions, and to promote drugs and vaccines that had just murdered tens of millions.

And the CDC which is run by the CIA which is run by the Rockefellers certainly can't say that after the German pharmaceutical industry's vaccines and aspirin caused the greatest medical catastrophe in human history, and only 25 years later that industry (now called IG Farben which the Rockefellers had half interest in) caused WWII, put Hitler into office, ran the concentration camps and death camps, experimented on people with vaccines, and killed millions 50-70 million more people, just because they wanted to. Hard sell for their products to label them "Nazi-Made, Death-Insured."

Mid 1950's Polio vaccines caused polio. The Rockefellers are behind them and everything to do with them, possibly even causing outbreaks with DDT.

After their double success after WWI and during WWII in murdering between 70 to 120 million people, the Rockefellers and the now 20 times larger Nazi pharmaceutical industry which the Rockefellers had half interest in, immediately after the Holocaust took control of the WHO. Then the Rockefellers became the driving force behind the polio vaccine which came out in the mid 50's.

But Vitamin C had already been proved a CURE for polio in 1949.

Rockefeller, Rockefeller, Rockefeller and the polio vaccine

"The year 1952 marked the worst polio epidemic in the United States - ever. Thousands of children and adults contracted paralytic polio that year. Many died. America demanded swift answers. In response to the outcry, the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis (NFIP) and March of Dimes launched a major public

relations campaign. The answer was a vaccine. There was a problem with the approach, though. The vaccine was touted as the only answer to the “**childhoodcrippler.**” [Emphasis added.]

Vitamin C had cured all cases of polio in 2 days in 1949.

"Where's the connection between the Rockefeller Family, Federal Reserve Bank, and the polio vaccine? As I noted above, the common thread of this concept is financial influence through Rockefeller coffers. This influence directly extends to the National Foundation of Infantile Paralysis.

"In 1955 Time Magazine wrote of the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis blowing the danger of polio out of proportion. But why would anyone blow out of proportion something as serious as polio? Here is a snippet from that article:

“All week the air was full of brickbats for Secretary Hobby and her department, although President Eisenhower defended her (see NATIONAL AFFAIRS). In retrospect, a good deal of the blame for the vaccine snafu also went to the National foundation (for Infantile Paralysis), which, with years of publicity, had built up the danger of polio out of all proportion to its actual incidence, and had rushed into vaccinations this year with patently insufficient preparation.” emphasis added

Medicine: Vaccine Snafu. Monday, May 30, 1955

As a researcher I had to force myself to rethink the implications. I asked myself the question, “If the Rockefellers helped create the Federal Reserve Bank and now manipulate our wealth, are they doing the same through vaccines in order to manipulate our health?”

Delicious Poison

It's a plausible question. In retrospect many health problems have been attributed to the polio vaccine. For example, the cancer-causing Simian Virus 40 (SV40), made in part from ground up monkey kidneys, contaminated the original polio vaccine. Moreover, the polio vaccine manufactured by Cutter Laboratories and Wyeth was actually causing paralysis!

Even the original cause of polio has been questioned. Documented evidence shows neurotoxic pesticides, such as **DDT**, sprayed on crops and livestock as a more likely cause of the polio epidemic. Even the vaccine literature states many things that could cause symptoms indistinguishable from polio. So where does knowing this information leave us? [Emphasis added.]



"Henry Kumm worked at the International Health Division of the Rockefeller Foundation for Medical Research in 1928. During the Second World War, Kumm experimented with larvicides containing DDT, a known neurotoxin often related to polio-like symptoms, to control the spread of malaria in Italy. [21] The pesticide DDT is often implicated as a likely cause of the polio epidemic in the 1950's."

"As touched upon in the article, livestock and crops were heavily sprayed with DDT in the 1950's."

"According to the Medical Archives at John Hopkins, "In 1951, he resigned from the Rockefeller Foundation to accept a position as assistant director of research at the National Foundation for Infantile Paralysis. He conducted field trials in the study of gamma globulin and the Salk vaccine and became the director of research in 1954. Rejoining the Rockefeller Foundation in 1959, Kumm retired as an associate professor in 1964."

Absolutely everything connected with polio has got Rockefeller fingerprints all over it. And Salk, disgustingly, tested his unnecessary vaccine (a cure already existed) on on crippled and deformed children at the D.T. Watson Home for Crippled Children.

The Rockefellers have suppressed patents on renewable energy to protect their oil interests <http://www.scribd.com/doc/21510205/Free->

Energy-Suppression, have forced the country into debt through the Federal Reserve Bank, have caused genocide through their interest in IG Farben, so what are they doing with vaccines? It's a trick question since only disease is worth money.

"The marketplace for the pharmaceutical industry is the human body – but only for as long as the body hosts diseases. Thus, maintaining and expanding diseases is a precondition for the growth of the pharmaceutical industry.

1960's - Tulane University along with the CIA, run by the Rockefellers, weaponised the polio vaccine.

"Haslam believes the top secret experiments Sherman, Ferrie and Baker conducted in 1963 involved irradiating SV-40 virus with a linear accelerator, with the intention of a creating a biological warfare agent. Their hope was to create a mutated virus that would cause a "galloping cancer" that would kill its victim within weeks. It was Ferrie's job to sacrifice the mice, dissect the tumours and identify and extract viruses that seemed the most virulent." 1972 - WHO (Rockefellers) intentionally caused AIDS in AFRICA with vaccines and killed millions.

" ... a 1972 report (Bulletin #47) issued by the World Health Organization [Rockefellers] referred to an immune virus requested which would selectively destroy the Human T Cell System, to be distributed in conjunction with a Nationwide vaccination program "to observe the results". This coincided precisely with the extensive Small Pox vaccination program in central Africa - shortly preceding the outbreak of Aids in Africa, America & elsewhere. The determining factor most common in Aids victims is the breakdown of the T Cell System in the body."

1974 - Kissinger (who works for the Rockefellers) urged sterilizing vaccines, an idea that came straight out of Auschwitz experiments.

National Security Council Document 20506: Implications of Worldwide Population Growth for U.S. Security and Overseas Interests -

This 1974 memorandum drafted by Henry Kissinger led directly to the unleashing of

experimental vaccines on the unsuspecting public. It sighted countries as targets for "initial population reduction experimentation to be implemented around the year 2000". They identified India, Bangladesh, Pakistan, Nigeria, Mexico, Indonesia, Brazil, Philippines, Thailand, Egypt, Turkey, Ethiopia & Columbia for study. 3 million Filipinos ages 12-48 were given a test vaccine that ruined their health. North American Black & Native American Women were each given the same vaccine resulting in sterility rates of 25% & 35% respectively. The directive came from the WHO and was directly tied to Kissinger's report.

1976 - CDC, after one death of unknown source, promoted Swine Flu vaccines which killed 500 and maimed thousands before a lawyer filed suit and the vaccines were stopped. A video by 60 minutes.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=krWEGQXAD3o>



2009 - Swine flu vaccine deaths following massive CDC lies about incidence of swine flu and after CDC put pregnant women first in line (CDC works for the CIA which works for the Rockefellers).

700% increase in foetal deaths.

25,000 time more mercury in vaccines that allow in drinking water.

1 2011 Bill Gates causes widespread polio and deaths in Asia.

Bill Gates paralysed 47,500 children in India in only one year with his polio vaccine which is twice as deadly as wild polio. There is no tally on how many were paralysed and killed in Pakistan but the numbers of children's deaths and crippling was high enough the government wanted to stop the vaccine campaign (the WHO

threatened the country with "saturation" vaccination, and it turned out that the CIA was part of the vaccine teams.

Gates along with the Rockefellers controls the WHO which filed all those polio cases under "non-polio" paralysis and declared Gates causing polio all over India a giant success toward eradicating polio. Trolls on line claim it wasn't polio but "non-polio" flaccid paralysis as the WHO does. They ignore the cases all happened right after Gates' vaccines, in the same area as Gates' vaccines were given, and the more given, the more paralysis. But who cares what name you give it? Gates caused 47,500 cases of paralysis by a new name with his VACCINE.



Bill Gates and 47,500 Cases of Paralysis In India
Fatal Polio Shots in Pakistan.

The Scientific American article "How the CIA's Fake Vaccination Campaign Endangers Us All" admitted the CIA was involved but it left off that Gates' polio vaccines were crippling and killing kids. The article said people in Pakistan and Nigeria had taken to killing vaccine teams that attempted to touch their children. It left off how people across Asia and Africa see vaccines are paralyzing their children, sterilizing their women and that the WHO intentionally infected Africa with AIDS using vaccines and the ebola epidemic was caused by vaccines.

But Rob Schneider is a dangerous man for wanting people to be able to choose whether their kids are crippled or killed by Gates and the Rockefellers or not?

Bill Gates, the Rockefellers, the WHO, the CDC, corporate media and insurance companies, say families should NOT be able to choose whether to risk their children being crippled or killed by vaccines.

Rob Schneider says, parents have the right to say no. And all the while they are pushing a vaccine that is maiming and killing in huge numbers each year in Asia and Africa, the Rockefellers and Gates and their agent the WHO continue suppressing that vitamin C was curing all cases of polio in 2 days in 1949 and vitamin C is a cure for **ALL VIRUSES**, including ebola.

The WHO's approach to disease - Leave kids malnourished and thus more susceptible to disease and fill them with vaccines that cause diseases.

Death disguised as nourishment

An unpublished study by the World Health Organisation (WHO) on a "measles susceptible" (malnourished) group of children showed that the group who hadn't been vaccinated contracted measles at the normal contract rate of 2.4%. Of the group who had received the measles vaccine (MMR), 33.5% contracted measles.

2012 Hidden UK government documents vaccines are a dangerous medical hoax, showing that:

- 1. Vaccines don't work**
- 2. Vaccines cause the very diseases they are said to prevent**
- 3. Vaccines contain dangerous toxins**
- 4. The UK government and the (redacted) vaccine companies colluded to hide these facts from the public and**
- 5 The UK government and the (redacted) companies worked to block safety studies.**

2013 HPV vaccine has killed over 171 girls. The healthiest girls are most at risk.

Physical Activity as a Risk Factor for an Adverse Vaccine Reaction

"We observed that children who appeared to be very healthy prior to receiving the Gardasil HPV vaccine, and were the most physically active following the vaccination (participated in sports, cheerleading, dancing, biking, skating, or other physical activity), suffered the most severe debilitating symptoms, possibly due to the increased distribution of the vaccine throughout their body due to increased circulation from exercise."

"More bad news for young girls who have already received the Gardasil HPV vaccine: It has now been confirmed in a peer-reviewed and published Abstract appearing in the prestigious BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL that the HPV vaccine can result in infertility and loss of menses, years AFTER you received the HPV vaccine. [Emphasis in the original.]

In this particular case, the 16 year old girl received the Gardasil HPV vaccine, and had her whole life ahead of her.

"A more recent peer reviewed and published study of 3 more teenage girls suffering extremely early onset menopause because of the Gardasil HPV vaccine was published on July 31, 2013, confirming that both Gardasil and Cervarix HPV

vaccines have resulted in "Primary Ovarian Failure:"

2014 - CDC coverup of MRR vaccine data exposed. Rockefellers have achieved a potent racist vaccine. The MMR vaccine causes autism at a 340% higher rate for little black boys.

But top of that, there are endless CDC lies to push the MMR Vaccine and the CDC is not just lying about the MMR but ALL vaccines.

"OMG! What would happen if we couldn't get all our scheduled vaccinations, and only got about a dozen vaccines like JAPAN, DENMARK, NORWAY, SWEDEN, FINLAND, or ICELAND????"

The End OS21928

Letters & Views



Battle of Brù na Bóinne a Satirical Poem

And an Englishman, King James
 Fell out and started feuding
 And called each other names.
 It was for the throne of England
 But for some reason not quite clear
 They came across to Ireland
 To do their fighting here.
 They had Scarsfield
 They had Schomberg
 They had horse and foot and guns
 And they landed up at Carrick
 With a thousand Lambeg drums
 They had lots of Dutch and Frenchmen
 And battalions and platoons

Of Russians and of Prussians and Bulgarian
 Dragoons

And they politely asked the Irish
 If they'd kindly like to join
 And the whole affair was settled
 At the Battle of the Boyne
 Then William went to London
 And James went off to France
 And the whole kabouch left Ireland
 Without a backward glance
 And the poor abandoned Irish
 Said goodbye to King and Prince
 And went on with the fighting
 And they have been at it ever since.

From the book - *Ireland Land of The Pharaohs*, by Andrew Power

An Open Letter to Ministers and Elders of the Church

Sir __, In recent months I have been asked the question, 'Who are God's chosen people?' The Bible tells us that they are the descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. This lineage is a genetic lineage and they were known as the Israelites. God gave these people a very strict set of laws to live by which were never rescinded except for the sacrificial ordinances which were the rules governing the ritual blood sacrifice, the need for which was made redundant

when Jesus gave up His life and instigated the New Covenant.

Colossians 2:14, KJV: *"Blotting out the handwriting of ordinances that was against us, which was contrary to us, and took it out of the way, nailing it to his cross..."*

My question is why does modern Christianity teach that this is wrong? The Laws including all the dietary restrictions were never abolished so why are we not taught to observe them today?

Referring back to who were God's chosen people - the Israelites, why are we taught that this included everyone in the world? Jesus had declared in Matt 15:24:

But he answered and said, I am not sent but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel.'

Jesus even instructed his Disciples in Matt 10:6-7: *But go rather to the lost sheep of the house of Israel. And as ye go, preach, saying, The kingdom of heaven is at hand.*

This command can be seen throughout the Bible as the Gospel and Epistle writers preach **ONLY** to the Israelites in whatever country they are found. It is clear that the Israelites are something very special (a peculiar people) when one reads how Jesus treated the Canaanite woman in Matt 22:28. Jesus had no intention of helping this lady as she was not an Israelite but He relented as her faith was great and healed her daughter but it didn't change her status and make her into an Israelite and partake of the promises.

The traditional Christian teaching on who can be saved has been distorted through bad Bible translations to include everyone in the world when it clearly doesn't. The word 'Gentile' is a very good example. We are taught that the Jews are the same as the Old Testament Hebrews (Israelites). This is quite untrue, even Jews themselves admit that! We are taught that the Gentiles (in Greek 'ethnos') are non-Israelites when in fact it can also mean Israelites. This bad interpretation of the Greek word ethnos, which actually means 'of the same group, kinsman or ethnic type', has totally destroyed the special place that God gave to the Israelites. By today's modern standards God was a racist!

I have seen many scriptures being used to support this teaching and every one of them was taken out of context. It was the Israelites that were being preached to and not other people who may have been listening. The idea that

everyone in the world can be saved is completely wrong. This was the hardest thing for me to accept as I know many non-Israelites who consider themselves to be good and devout Christians. However I must accept the word of my creator.

This where it gets even more difficult for traditional Christians. One of the laws that the Israelites were given was that mixed racial marriages were forbidden. They were instructed to keep themselves separate from other races. That is why they were commanded to kill everyone in the land of Canaan that they were commanded to possess. Today this would be called 'genocide' but God makes the rules and we shouldn't question them. As we know many of the Israelites did mix with other races and worshipped their gods. It was for this that God punished them by having them captured and taken into captivity.

Much of this wrong teaching goes from believing that all the peoples of the world are descended from Noah and his family. This again is wrong. The assumption that was made to give rise to this is that Genesis 1 and 2 are the same account of creation. They are not. If you remove the chapter headings, which were never part of the original Scripture, and simply read it as a narrative, it can be seen that there were two separate creation periods. In Gen. 1 everything was created and then in Gen. 2 God didn't create Adam but 'formed' him and breathed life (His Spirit) into him.



Creationists, of which I was one, have got everything wrong because of this assumption about Gen 1 and 2. The world was not completely flooded it was a local flood of which there have been a great many since as testified by the fossil record. That is why Cain had no problem in finding a wife and was worried about how he might be treated by other people who were created in Gen 1.

As church leaders and teachers of God's Word a great deal of responsibility has been placed on you to get it right. I too have the same responsibility to make this truth known so that I do not fall foul of the warning given in Ez 3:17-18

Son of man, I have made thee a watchman unto the house of Israel: therefore hear the word at my mouth, and give them warning from me. When I say unto the wicked, Thou shalt surely die; and thou givest him not warning, nor speakest to warn the wicked from his wicked way, to save his life; the same wicked man shall die in his iniquity; but his blood will I require at thine hand.

I have no desire to take anyone's blood. **Yours truly, Jack Lewis.**

A Letter to David Cameron UK Prime Minister



David—, It is not without reason the people of this Kingdom refer to you as the boy. You curry favour with Islam a barbaric cult, whilst at the same time trying to clamp down on the right of free speech of those who do not either agree with you or think you are

not really nice.

As a father whose son died in my arms I feel it was particularly reprehensible that you should try and use your dead son for political gain. Some thing no truly loving father would or could contemplate.

You have already been shown on international television to know didly squat about Magna Carta a major plank in the constitutions of not only this ancient Kingdom but just about every common law jurisdiction on the international stage. You have demonstrated to the world just what a legal ignoramus Her Majesty's first minister actually is.

Now I am going to take pity on you and try and instil some constitutional knowledge into you, knowledge you should have acquired at university. Instead of which you spent your time along with the other Bullingdon boys who if the pho-

to's are anything to go by do not have half a brain between them, getting pissed. So pay attention to what you are being told and you might avoid being hung of a lamp post.

You purport to hold the Royal Prerogative even though you have not the faintest idea when, how, why or who authorised its transfer from the Anointed Sovereign to your office. So we will start your education there.

The Asquith government stole the prerogative from King George V in 1911 when they also neutered the upper house both crimes of treason the first against the King personally it being contrary to the 1351 Treason Act the second against the constitutional arrangements of Parliament.

The prerogative was granted to the Kings of England by the people in the name of the common laws of Kingship. It grants the King the right to do things but its most important right is to say no to a bill which has passed through both houses. The King says no to a bill purely in accord with his conscience, he does not have to give a reason nor can he be questioned on it. His one over ridding principle is he cannot use the prerogative to harm any of his subjects. Your proposal to limit free speech of those who don't like you, much as I do not. Contravenes the limitation placed on the Kings prerogative that he may not use it to harm any of his subjects. Your proposal is constitutionally illegal and constitutes a treason against the common law arrangements for Kingship.

The prerogative cannot be transferred or loaned to anyone it is gifted to the King by the common law and remains with the King in perpetuity any attempt to remove it from the King constitutes treason contrary to the Common Law and the 1351 Treason Act. A treason you have proclaimed yourself guilty of when you claimed the prerogative was now in your hands.

You keep prattling on about a new bill of rights, We already have the 1689 Bill of Rights which very effectively guarantees all our rights and freedoms. This started at a convention of the estates of England, the highest law giving body in the land authorised to sack Kings and Parliaments. If you attempt to replace the 1689 Bill of Rights which incorporates the Declaration of Rights. You commit an act of treason against

the English Constitution and Her Majesty's subjects. The Declaration of Rights is a contract between the Kings of England and the people and like all contracts is legally enforceable. Article 61 of Magna Carta 1215 gives us the means to enforce both Magna Carta and the 1689 Bill of Rights both are contracts between the King of England and their subjects.

As an aside the prerogative does not extend indeed it is actually forbidden by the common law of Kingship to extend to granting any naturalised subject the right to sit in either House of Parliament, nor can they be ennobled nor receive any honour such as a Knighthood, nor are they permitted to hold any public office in this realm of England. The King is specifically forbidden by law from changing that and what the King cannot by ancient law do Parliament who operate in the Kings Name cannot do. So I would suggest you get busy enforcing that and empty Parliament and every town hall in the country. Before we hang you for that treason as well.

If I were you I would get my legal eagles busy researching the prerogative. Something you clearly know nothing about. The one thing this ancient Kingdom has is an abundance of lamp posts and gallows trees, and a surfeit of people wanting the job of public executioner not a few of whom favour hanging drawing and quartering. Personally I think your head would not improve the appearance of either London Bridge or the tower. **Respectfully submitted, Albert Burgess.**

Assassinations By Induced Heart Attacks

Sir__, Assassinations By Induced Heart Attack And Cancer After nearly 80 years of research and development there is now a way to simulate a real heart attack and to give a healthy person cancer. Both have been used as a means of assassination. Only a very skilled pathologist, who knew exactly what to look for at an autopsy, could distinguish an assassination induced heart attack or cancer from the real thing.

Is death by heart attack, burst aneurysm, of cerebral haemorrhage a "natural cause"? Not if government agencies have found a way to

influence your heart rate, blood pressure, or vascular dilatation. Neurological research has found that the brain has specific frequencies for each voluntary movement called preparatory sets. By firing at your chest with a microwave beam containing the ELF signals given off by the heart, this organ can be put into a chaotic state, the so-called heart attack. In this way, high profile leaders of political parties who are prone to heart attacks can be killed off before they cause any trouble.



....Among the witnesses, important people and conspirators who might have been eliminated by induced heart attack and cancer are: Jack Ruby (died of a stroke due to an undiagnosed form of aggressive cancer, just weeks after he agreed to testify before Congress about the JFK assassination), Clay Shaw, J. Edgar Hoover, Earlene Roberts (Oswald's land-lady), Marilyn Monroe, Slobodan Milosevic (above), Kenneth Lay (former CEO of ENRON – the largest political campaign contributor of Gorge W Bush and Dick Cheney), Matt Simmons, Mark Pittman (a reporter who predicted the financial crisis and exposed Federal Reserve misdoings. Pittman fought to open the Federal Reserve to more scrutiny), Elizabeth Edwards (suddenly diagnosed with cancer while her husband was campaigning against Barack Obama and Hillary Clinton for the presidency of the United States. During a campaign speech to the Council on Foreign Relations in May 2007, Edwards called the War on Terrorism a slogan that was created for political reasons and that it wasn't a plan to make the United States safe. He went further to compare it to a bumper sticker and that it had damaged the US's alliances and standing in the world.), ... enter here the names of every politically outspoken person, whistle blower or witness who died unexpectedly of a heart attack or who quickly died of an incurable cancer. **Yours Truly, KH, UK.**

Brothers in Harm By Captain Bones

"YOUR COUNTRY NEEDS YOU"

Was the call that was shouted
So come join with your "Pals"
And the Germans are routed
Don't be a coward
God is on our side
And if you don't join
Where shall you hide

Never stopping to think
We marched to the war
And by the coming of Christmas
We'll be back on our shore
With the enemy down
There will be freedom for all
"A war to end wars"
Was part of the call

And those who would stay
Under the English weather
Were to become the target
For the Order of the Feather
Well who were they
To sleep easy at night
And walking the streets
While we have to fight

But sorry to say
We were had for the fool
Not by the Gerry
But the British rule
Whose side were they on
We were dying like flies
Our general is a butcher
With evil in his eyes

Pray what is your purpose
You order men to be killed
For an inch of ground
A thousand coffins are filled
There is something a miss
Our deaths were to hasten
What dont we know
The generals a Mason!

Is this just a game
For the all seeing eye
They worship the Beast
So we have to die
The Protocols of Zion
A New Order to build

We giveth our blood
In a foreign field

So who has the plan
For what we stood
The flower of England
Lay dead in the mud
To find out the truth
Behind the Great War
Is the answer we seek
And you know the score

We are all dead
Our names on a grave
For those who are living
Know the enemy to stave
Never fear to question
And see through the lies
If you seek to avenge
Our spirits will rise.

I received this poem from a patriot you might like to read. Remember the football match on no mans land? Clearly the German and British people didn't want to kill each other and were all victims. Watch the very old black + white film "*All Quiet On The Western Front*" that is very realistic and was banned in the run up to WWII as they didn't want people to know its message.
Yours truly, Mike, Lincoln.

Sayings By And About Jews



"When the Jews leave Russia, Communism will collapse there. World Jewry is today relocating to America. Thus the real threat of Communism in America has just begun." ~ **Arnold Leese, a great British expert on the Jewish Problem, 1938**

"The Christians are always singing about the blood. Let us give them enough of it! Let us cut their throats and drag them over the altar! And let them drown in their own blood! I dream of the day when the last priest is strangled on the guts of the last preacher." (**Jewish Chairman of the American Communist Party, Gus Hall**).

The End

The End Of The Jewish Century

From Blog Neues Europa



THE historian, Y u r i Slezkine, of Russian-Jewish origin called the twentieth century the Jewish century. That's right! It started with the „Protocols of the Elders of Zion”. It is completely

immaterial that they were and still are described by Jews as a forgery. To prove that this is the case they would have to present an unaltered original or other author. To this day this has not been done. From our present vantage point, at the beginning of the twenty-first century, instead we can in retrospect verify the step by step achievement of the procedures shown in the protocols. It is about the enforcement of the NWO (New World Order), by the chosen people. It is also about the destruction of Germany.

As early as 1889 plans were being made for the downfall of the three European empires at the Congress, Paris which was also celebrating the centenary of the French Revolution. Records and reports of this Masonic congress reminiscing about the French Revolution are found in writing, and particularly explicit in the 1890 published Christmas edition of the British magazine „The Truth” entitled „The Kaiser’s dream”.

World War I began in 1914 with the murder of the heir to the throne of the aged Austrian Emperor. It ended with the murder of the Russian Tsar and his family, and the fall of the Austrian and Prussian-German Emperor. At the same time the Bolshevik revolution took place in Russia, which in Petersburg alone 1917/18 resulted in a loss of more than 1.3 million inhabitants – by shooting, starvation and whilst fleeing. A Bolshevik orgy of slaying swept through Russia in those days.

In the West, the Entente Powers, in particular President Wilson with his fourteen points, lured the Germans into the so-called peace trap, that is to lay down their arms. It was followed by the

Versailles Diktat and the plundering of Germany accompanied by the deaths of hundreds of thousands of civilians through hunger and unemployment in the ensuing years of peace. However, the spiritual power and the will of the German people to survive were not finally defeated. In 1933 the Third Reich of the National Socialists arose, who identified the real enemy of the world, which in that same year led to a new declaration of war.

From then on the Germans – and not only the government – were spoken of as World Enemy Number One. The mutual destruction of the nation was therefore considered appropriate. This time it was the unsustainable conditions in Poland caused by Versailles which were the reason.

World War II in particular claimed millions of human lives; a war in which almost the whole world fought for 5. years against Germany and its few allies. Till today no peace treaty has been signed.

Most German cities were largely razed to the ground, more than a quarter of German territory was handed over to foreign powers, and the Germans who had lived there for centuries expelled. During this expulsion of about fifteen million Germans – equivalent to the entire population of Scandinavia – some 2.5 to 3 million civilians, mostly women and children and old people, were bestially murdered. Were they ever compensated by the countries that evicted them, or the murderers and bomber pilots held accountable?

Worse than this was the adopted re-education of the German people and being overrun by foreigners in their own country.

Despite all these measures after a relatively short time the Federal Republic of Germany – a product of the victorious powers - became a leading economic power in central Europe. The citizens built their ruined cathedrals and cities again. They integrated the millions of displaced persons and through unprecedented hard work the general prosperity grew once again to the dismay of the globalists.



In Russia at the twentieth Party Congress in 1956 Nikita Khrushchev (left) introduced de-Stalinization. To this day in Russia the current Kremlin leader Vladimir Putin has been trying to clean up

the aftermath of the Bolshevik Revolution that, in addition, poisoned men's souls. His main opponents are the oligarchs of the present day. By comparison, in the Federal Republic of Germany the people with the greatest influence on the masses are the media moguls. They have degraded people to consumers and voting cattle for the elites. In the USSR and particularly after its collapse in the Ukraine, in their pathological greed the oligarchs plundered the rich provinces.

Since the late seventies the Holocaust has taken centre stage in providing moral justification for this ideology of Jewish world supremacy. Its symbol is the millions of Jews gassed in the extermination camp Auschwitz.

However, the symbol has already collapsed on itself as a result of a large number of would-be survivors of this place of horror that have been unmasked as phoney, as well as a number of scientific investigations. There are also the newly published "*Commander's and Headquarter's Orders in the Concentration Camp Auschwitz 1940-1945*" that an official body, the Institute for Contemporary History, has published in Munich. From all this it can be seen that Auschwitz was a labour camp for the production of armaments and not an extermination camp. However, from that it should not be concluded that appalling incidents had not taken place in concentration camps – and that the whole world over – nor that in Auschwitz there were not thousands of dead resulting from a variety of reasons as were happening at the time all over Germany.

But the question arises: "Where were the six million Jews gassed or murdered as is still claimed in the courts and in the media?" These victims are still commemorated on the 27th. January. The German people and the world at large has a right to know where this allegedly greatest crime of the Germans occurred. Neither the legal Paragraph 130 nor the expression

„*Offenkundig*“ (obvious) suffice to explain this. What we need is a public pro and contra discussion especially by experts and scientists if we want to claim to uphold the rule of law and to stand for truth and justice.

A peaceful future in which people live happier than in the Jewish Century, in which all our ecological problems can finally be collectively tackled, responsibly and sustainability, cannot be achieved on the basis of lies, nor under the continued rule of capitalism.

The US, in conjunction with Israel and NATO, are now actually provoking a Third World War. They cannot do this alone, however the globalists have many willing and well rewarded henchmen in their service. But they are and will remain a small minority. Perhaps with this war-mongering they want to divert attention from their big lies?



- **We will not participate!**

- **We want truthful clarification!**

- **Since we live in the Christian West we stand for justice, and forgiveness and tolerance are not alien to us.**

- **We reject the principal of an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth unto the third and fourth generation.**

Only then can we achieve an end to this appalling Jewish century. Will we become aware of our power, the power of the powerless in their great majority and resist by confronting the wiles of the devil and his temptations?

The End OS21946

Basil Zaharoff - A Name You Should Know

Eustace Mullins



(Basil Zaharoff (left) displays the Knight Grand Cross of the Bath which he received in 1919 for selling the munitions that killed 10 million goyim on both sides in WW1.)

Basil Zaharoff, 1849-1936, a Director of Rothschild-owned Vickers that produced guns and armaments in two world wars. "The Zaharoff System" involved selling arms to both sides in a conflict and even instigating conflicts.

Below are two short accounts of the man (*From New History of the Jews*, pp. 92-95).

During the late 19th century, the Jews realized with growing excitement that the moment was approaching to set up their world empire. Jewish international bankers controlled all of the governments of Europe, and they needed only to wreak further havoc on the gentiles before conquering Palestine, for it was their superstition that they could not rule the world until they owned the little patch of desert where they had started out as bandits five thousand years ago.

A typically prominent Jew during this period was Basil Zaharoff, who for fifty years was known as the "Mystery Man of Europe." He is credited with having started many small wars and to have played the leading role in setting off World War I. There was never any real mystery about Zaharoff. His biographers state that he was born Manel Sahar, of Russian Jewish parentage, in the ghetto of Wilkomir, Russia. His parents moved to Constantinople when he was four years old, and at the age of six, he became a brothel tout, leading tourists to houses of prostitution. As a young man, he was a well-known pimp in Constantinople, and at the age of twenty-four,

he fled to Athens after murdering a sailor on the docks during a robbery.

After eking out a dishonest living in Athens for several years, Zaharoff became an armaments salesman for the firm of Maxim Nordenfeldt. The transition from pimp to salesman was a simple one, for government contracts were customarily arranged by furnishing beautiful prostitutes to the contracting officer. Through his talents for pimping and blackmail, Zaharoff was extraordinarily successful at persuading governments to buy his wares, and he soon became a millionaire.

He spent many thousands of dollars to erase his criminal record, but in 1911, his past was revealed when his son, Haim Sahar, a Jew living in Birmingham, England, sued him for part of his fortune. Although Haim proved that he was Zaharoff's son, he got nothing from Zaharoff, who by this time had amassed a fortune of one hundred million dollars.

ROTHSCHILDS

In the 1890's the largest munitions firm in the world was Vickers of England, which was owned by the Rothschilds. In 1897, Vickers purchased the Naval Construction and Armaments Co., and also the Maxim Nordenfeldt Co.

Zaharoff was the biggest stockholder, and the Rothschilds placed him on the board of Vickers. The Jews then loaded up all of the governments of Europe with munitions. The Rothschilds forced the governments to whom they lent money to allot most of it for the purchase of armaments. The stage was set for a world war, and as Werner Sombart, the economic historian, said, "*Wars are the Jews' harvests*".

The Jews began to spew out of their Balkan ghettos, entering England at the rate of 600,000 a year, and the United States at the rate of one million a year. They took over government offices so completely that the English Foreign Office was known as "the Too-Foreign Office", in reference to the great number of Jews with thick accents who filled its ministries. Jews became ministers of finance and justice in many

countries, so that they could control the nations through these positions. The Minister of Finance in France was Klots; in Italy, Luzzatti; in Germany, Dernberg; in England, Isaacs. Of 355 English salaried consular officials, 200 were foreign born, and 120 readily identified as Jews, although the total was undoubtedly higher.

Every European Government was rocked by financial and espionage scandals as the Jews sold state secrets and patents to the highest bidder. When the Gentile, Marconi, invented radio, the Jewish Isaacs family obtained possession of it, and the American branch RCA, was headed by the Russian Jew, David Sarnoff. On March 7, 1912, the English Postmaster, Sir Herbert Samuel, of the Jewish family which owned Shell Oil, and Charles Isaacs, president of Marconi, Ltd., split 100,000 shares of stock as a gift to his brother, Rufus Isaacs, Minister of Finance, and Lloyd George, the Prime Minister. When the scandal broke in the press, not only did Lloyd George remain in office, but, with typical effrontery, the Rothschilds forced Lord Asquith to appoint Rufus Isaacs as Lord Chief Justice of England, with the title of Baron Reading of Erleigh. Rudyard Kipling commented on this appointment,

"Three years ago you would have said that the Marconi scandals and the appointment of the present Lord Chief Justice were impossible."



(left, Trebitsch-Lincoln)

Not only did the Jews control Lloyd George with bribes, but Zaharoff sent his ex-wife over to have an affair with the Prime Minister. An aide of Zaharoff was an Hungarian Jew named

Trebitsch who had come to England, added Lincoln to his name, perhaps in memory of the murdered St. Hugh of Lincoln, [a 13th century "blood libel"] and as Trebitsch-Lincoln, he became a Church of England clergyman and a member of Parliament, while working as an agent for Zaharoff. Trebitsch-Lincoln died during the 1930's as a monk in Tibet... Zaharoff also died during the 1930's, as a multi-millionaire on the Riviera, while planning the

Second World War. Before he died, he had murdered the only person who knew all the secrets of his criminal past, a Jew named Nadel who had been a member of the French Surete, and who had come into possession of documentary evidence against Zaharoff. Nadel blackmailed Zaharoff for ten years, and was finally found dead in his suite on the Riviera with one million francs in cash in a bureau drawer, apparently the last instalment that Zaharoff was willing to pay.

The First World War had broken out on schedule, carefully planned by Zaharoff and the other Jewish munitions tycoons. Tremendous fortunes were made during the slaughter of the Gentiles. During the war, the Jews sang their anthem,

"Onward Christian soldiers,
Marching as to war;
We will make the uniforms,
As we did before."

Notes by Richard Spence (from New Dawn Magazine)

No one was certain, or ever would be, whether Zaharoff was of Greek, Jewish, or Russian origin. He established a special relationship with British interests in the 1870's and that endured, to one degree or another, until his death in Monte Carlo in 1936. In the interim, Sir Basil, as he was later known, earned infamy and vast wealth as the world's paramount arms dealer or, as the less charitable termed him, the "Merchant of Death." Zaharoff later spread his tentacles into ship-building, banking, radio communications and, perhaps most prescient of all, oil. The basis of his business success was what he called the "System." In essence, this involved selling arms to both sides in a conflict and even instigating conflicts when need or opportunity arose.

Zaharoff maintained an official residence in Paris and was rewarded by the French with enrolment in the Legion of Honour. But it was London which gave him an Order of the British Empire and a Knight Grand Cross of the Bath for his special services. Sir Basil was intimately connected with the British-owned Vickers firm and British politicians like future Prime Minister David Lloyd George.

His influence reached its peak during the First World War. According to T. P. O'Connor, "Allied statesmen and leaders were obliged to consult with him before planning any great attack." [To avoid damaging or capturing munitions factories] He was also rumoured to operate a private intelligence service which put the French Surete to shame. His legion of sub-agents allegedly included Sidney Reilly and arch-schemer Ignatius Trebitsch-Lincoln. [Both Jews.]

French investigative journalist Roger Menevee, the first to attempt a biography of Zaharoff, was convinced that not only was he a key British asset, but also was a kingpin in a shadowy "International Oligarchy" which dominated the world's economy. One can only wonder how that connected to Reilly's "Occult Octopus" or to the "High Cabal" alluded to by Winston Churchill. Was Zaharoff a manifestation of the link between British imperial interests and some sort of "Illuminati"? If so, who was running who?

The End OS21944

The "Jewish Question" in 15th and 16th Century Spain (Part 2)

Brian Chalmers



Indicting the Conversos

One prominent 15th-century figure who clearly believed in the validity of the charges made against the Conversos was historian Andrés Bernáldez. He charged these secret Jews with religious heresy and with continuing a separate peoplehood (note his use of the term "tribe"). In the following statement, this scholar sums up the popular accusations at the time of the establishment of the Inquisition:

Those people who can avoid baptizing their children, do so, and those who have them baptized wash them off as soon as they return home ... They follow all the judaical ceremonies secretly so far as they can.

The men as well as the women always avoid receiving the sacraments of Holy Church voluntarily. When they confess, they never tell the truth; and it happened that one confessor asked a person of this tribe to cut off a piece of his garment for him, saying, "Since you have never sinned, I should like to have a bit of your garment for a relic to heal the sick." ... Not without reason did Our Redeemer call them a wicked and adulterous generation. They do not

believe that God rewards virginity and chastity. All their endeavor is to increase and multiply. And in the time when this heretical iniquity flourished, many monasteries were violated by their wealthy men and merchants, and many professed nuns were ravished and mocked, some through gifts and some through the lures of panders, they not believing in or fearing excommunications; but they did it to injure Jesus Christ and the Church. And usually, for the most part, they were usurious people, of many wiles and deceits, for they all live by easy occupations and offices, and in buying and selling they have no conscience where Christians are concerned.

Never would they undertake the occupations of tilling the soil or digging or cattle-raising, nor would they teach their children any except holding public offices, and sitting down to earn enough to eat with little labour. Many of them in these realms in a short time acquired very great fortunes and estates, since they had no conscience in their profits and usuries, saying that they only gained at the expense of their enemies, according to the command of God in the departure of the people of Israel to rob the Egyptians ... (The weren't Israelites so they are trying to justify their actions from the Bible. It was not robbery but recompense for their slave labour. Ed.)

Passion and Bias

Netanyahu makes no effort to hide his passion or his bias. He lives in Israel. He emigrated to Palestine as a child and fought as a member of

Ze'ev Jabotinsky's militant Zionist organization. His son Benjamin is the leader of Israel's ultra-nationalist Likud party, and this book is dedicated "with unrelieved grief" to his son Jonathan, who died leading the Israeli raid on Entebbe. It is difficult to avoid the feeling that Benzion Netanyahu's personal devotion to Jewry is essential to this work and its thesis.



The following quotation (pp. 1085-1086) gives the flavour of Netanyahu's passion for his subject: As we see it, the "hearts" of the Inquisitors - i.e., their mental constitutions - were incurably perverted by the various influences that shaped their thinking and their tendencies. Apart from the religious interests (which no doubt motivated some of its leaders), these tendencies were expressed by the officials of the Inquisition, down to its lowest functionaries and agents, in a blatant disregard for human life; a fervid desire to flaunt power and exercise control over life and death; a capacity for repression that could crush any spirit; a morbid passion for inflicting torture and causing pain that could break all resistance; and apart from all this, a shameless rapacity designed to render the torturer also the inheritor of his victim's goods.

In Netanyahu's view, these opponents of the New Christians are barely recognizable as human. Predictably, he can't resist comparing the Spanish Inquisitors with the German National Socialists of our own century (p. 1084):

... Like the Spanish anti-Semites' hatred of the conversos, the German Nazis' hatred of the Jews so affected their thinking, their policies and decisions that all their activities, in virtually all fields, were influenced in varying measure by that hate. Not only did that odium obsess them, but it overflowed their souls to the point where it needed more objects of torture, exploitation and destruction than the Jewish people could possibly provide.

Clearly this is no dispassionate scholar seeking historical truth, but rather an academic zealot bent on carrying on intellectual warfare, even more than 500 years after the events he discusses. Netanyahu compares Hitler with Spanish king Philip II, each of them with "minds unhinged at least partly by the maddening [anti-Jewish] urges to which we have referred." He continues (pp. 1084-85):

Thus we see how both these developments - the Spanish and the German - which so drastically affected the history of Europe and had their beginnings in those torrents of hate which stemmed from ancient and later anti-Semitism, managed to produce anti-social forces which, driven as they were by their fierce animosities, proved almost impossible to restrain. (In keeping with the currently fashionable spelling of the term, Netanyahu consistently writes of "anti-Semitism.")

Deceptive Scholarship

Netanyahu's suggestion that Spanish hostility toward Jews was unusual or aberrant is erroneous. Animosity toward Jews was the norm, not the exception, in Europe during this entire period. In England, for example, Jews were entirely banned. (They had been expelled in 1290, and were not legally permitted back until 1656, more than three centuries later.) This era of Jewish expulsion encompassed the Elizabethan period and the nation's golden age of culture, which included Shakespeare and Marlowe (each of whom wrote plays dealing with the "Jewish question"). Jews were similarly driven out of many other European lands: In 1492 they were expelled from Sicily and Sardinia, in 1496 from Portugal, in 1541 from Naples, and in 1596 Pope Pius V expelled the Jews from the Papal territories. From the kingdom of France the Jews were expelled in 1306, and again in 1322 and 1394. Jews were banished from Warsaw in 1483.

Essentially Netanyahu proceeds by ignoring scholarship that conflicts with his ideas - by discounting as untrustworthy and biased any sources from the anti-Converso movement or the Inquisition - while at the same time regarding the work of recognized New Christian apologists such as Fernán Díaz and Cardinal Juan de Torquemada, not to mention rabbinical sources, as based on "**fact and logic**" (p. 410) and entitled

to "the fullest and most careful consideration" (p. 443).

This bias can also be found in Netanyahu's earlier book, *The Marranos of Spain*, which baldly states its intention to question the moral basis of the Inquisition, and takes essentially the same position as the present work regarding the Conversos and the sincerity of their Christianity. This earlier work has been subjected to devastating criticism.

His tendentiousness is so transparent, in fact, that one reviewer of the present work, historian Richard Kagan, could only interpret Netanyahu's style of historiography as a reaction to the Holocaust:

Mr. Netanyahu's expansive, highly personal and emotive style carries us back to another era, to a mode of polemical discourse rarely practiced among professional historians today. More poignantly, this book illustrates the lasting intellectual repercussions of the Holocaust on historical scholarship about the Jews.

The general flavour of Netanyahu's methodology can be illustrated by his discussion of Cardinal Juan de Torquemada's writings. Like several other prominent 15th-century figures, Cardinal Torquemada (uncle of Inquisitor General Tomás de Torquemada) was a Converso who rose rapidly in the Church hierarchy and used his position to defend his fellow converts. Netanyahu maintains that Torquemada's writings exonerating the New Christians should be given great weight even though he acknowledges Torquemada's apologetic tendencies whenever the Cardinal discusses the relationship between Christianity and the Jewish people. In one case,


Netanyahu describes Torquemada's distortion of the essential spirit of St. Augustine's writings as part of a programmatic tendency to reject or ignore traditional Christian perspectives in which the Jews are portrayed as damned for their rejection of God.

By engaging in such deceptive scholarship, writes Netanyahu (p. 464), Cardinal Torquemada was able to project an image of the Jewish people that was quite opposed to the Christian view. Obviously, no better way could be found to attain this than to let Augustine speak in his behalf - that is, to quote those passages of his works in which the Jews, regardless of what Augustine was driving at, emerge as a people whose religious history and achievements evoked the astonishment and awe of the great saint and, indeed, cannot fail to excite the admiration of anyone who has a sense for the wondrous and divine.

In trying to show that the Jews are assured salvation, adds Netanyahu (pp. 466, 467), Torquemada "had to resort to strenuous manoeuvring to make the points he thought vital for his case." Netanyahu also concedes that "this kind of manipulation of sources violates modern scholarly norms, and even a medieval critical reader might question its propriety."

Netanyahu shows how Torquemada drastically distorts his sources to produce a deceitfully positive portrayal of Jews. At the same time, though, Netanyahu urges us to accept at face value Torquemada's claim that the vast majority of the Conversos were entirely sincere in their devotion to Christianity.

False Foundations Pastor Trent Woodard



I would like to begin this message with a quote from a movie that really got my attention during it's viewing. The movie series of which held as it's central character, Indiana Jones aka Dr. Vendyl Jones. He made a statement concerning organized religions, he said,

"Religions can be likened to *Rat Poison*, 99% sweet cookie, 1% arsenic... and 1% arsenic kills... Most religions give you 99% truths and feel good messages... but the 1% lies can kill you"

I thought this quote very applicable as I began to think about the false teachings that pervade our Christian churches and Bible

College institutions of today. They have many things correct concerning the scriptures but the whole foundation upon which they base their premise is completely unfounded.



From the very beginning we find the history of creation and the re-creation, and the placing of Adam in the garden that begins the entire foundation “plot” of the Bible. Why these accounts have been turned into a nursery rhyme style, fairy tale, storybook adventure for children I cannot comprehend. These subjects are taught from the pulpits of today’s churches by whore-master preachers to grown adults as if they are still in Sunday school and they need a picture book to understand!!!

When someone teaches that all the races originated from 2 persons, (that being Adam and Eve), and that the downfall of mankind is due to Eve choosing to eat a literal piece of fruit from a literal tree, we establish a basis of falsehood that leads us to a complete and gross misunderstanding of, not only the following chapters in Genesis (which is the foundational book of the Bible), but it also leads to a gross misunderstanding of the remaining 65 books of the Bible.

Most of the time when you bring up the subject of the 6 days of creation to someone they see in their mind a little story, as some book would render it, as the whole earth being created as a beautiful place for 2 people to live in perfect harmony with everything around them...only two people on the entire planet living in paradise... all alone...and all this in ONLY six literal days (as we know them).

When we even bring up the subject of Adam and Eve, most of the time, people’s minds go to a picture book in their head with visions of 2 naked people, standing there talking to a literal snake and pondering whether or not to eat an apple. Seeing a cartoon character, fable, or fairy tale... instead of realizing the severity of this account or its real happenings ...i.e. the partaking of the “*forbidden fruit*”.

To have a correct understanding of the scriptures, it is imperative that we understand it’s foundational truths. We know as Yahshua

himself taught us the importance of a laying a solid foundation. We have Him teaching in Matt. 7:24-27 and He gives an example of laying a solid foundation. Yahshua states:

24 Therefore whosoever heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them, I will liken him unto a wise man, which built his house upon a rock:
25 And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell not: for it was founded upon a rock.

26 And every one that heareth these sayings of mine, and doeth them not, shall be likened unto a foolish man, which built his house upon the sand:

27 And the rain descended, and the floods came, and the winds blew, and beat upon that house; and it fell: and great was the fall of it.”

I don’t know about you, but I want my belief in Yahweh and His word to be Rock Solid. I don’t want a “sandy”, shady, weak, unfounded, false foundation!

James wrote to the 12 “tribes which were scattered abroad” in his letter (quoting from James Ch. 1 vs. 5) saying:

5 If any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth to all men liberally, and upbraideth not; and it shall be given him.

6 But let him ask in faith, nothing wavering. For he that wavereth is like a wave of the sea driven with the wind and tossed.

7 For let not that man think that he shall receive any thing of the Lord. 8 A double minded man is unstable in all his ways.


Do you want to be like a wave in the sea, tossed to and fro? Do you realize that what we believe in our minds, what our faith is based upon, affects our actions? Therefore like James said, we become unstable in all of our ways...not having a true and solid foundation does not cause us to just be a little “off” in some of our ways but James said IN ALL our WAYS!

It is not just a matter of knowing an historical account in the Bible and being able to prove it as being true with correct interpretation for the sake of being a good student, but this foundation that we must understand is a matter of life or death.

Why do I say this is a matter of life or death? Because false doctrine is a killer!

We are to act upon the Word of Yahweh, not just read it. And if we don’t understand what it says,

then we must conclude that we cannot possibly be acting according to what He has said. If we are not acting upon His word, then how can we say we are obeying His word? We cannot!!!



Most preachers and teachers today do not want to address the subject of race for many reasons. One reason is that it is not ‘popular’, if you can have all people attending your church, then obviously

you will have greater numbers, which in turn brings a larger offering, which in turn builds a bigger bank account. Another reason is that we have politically correct preachers today instead of Scripturally correct preachers. And also, for fear of the Jews, they dare not preach the truth concerning race and the satanic seed line that is spoken about in scripture from Genesis to Revelation. We dare not depict them as the one’s who killed Christ. We dare not make a differentiation concerning the different races that are blatantly and obviously different... or we may be called a “racist.”

Like the preacher who heard another preacher state, “I believe all the different races are the same!” The other preacher responded, “Then why did you call them different?”

The best place to start reading a book is at the beginning, would you not agree? How many books do we read and start from the middle half of it? Have you ever bought a book and then flipped to the middle and began to read it from there to the end? You say “That’s silly, if you did that you would not understand fully what is going on.” This is my point exactly. So why should the Bible be any different?

Let’s begin with the creation: The Genesis of things, or the Beginning of things. We find in Chapter 1 of Genesis the verses from 1-23 dealing with the creation of the heavens and the earth. He divides light from darkness and the earth from the sea. Yahweh is indeed a God of division. People today have this concept that division is wrong, that everything is somehow just supposed to dwell together and mix together like soup. Yahweh has always established boundaries throughout His word. A boundary is a divider, is it not?

Speaking of soup: Soup is not a solid food is it? Neither is milk. Isaiah 28:9 tells us “Whom shall

he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine? them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts.”

If you are ever going to grow in the grace and knowledge of Yahweh, and understand His word, and if you are ever going to be strong in understanding, you must at some point in time move on from drinking milk...and begin to eat meat!!!

Paul admonishes those who he wrote to in his letters of the same thing. We must study to show ourselves approved. Many people read the Bible, some read it a lot, but very few actually STUDY it.

Let us continue in our study:

After He creates the heavens and the earth, which is the dry ground and the waters or the seas, we see then that He creates the grass and the trees, the vegetation. Notice he creates everything, kind after kind. We then find that He creates the stars of the heavens and then the fowls of the air and the fish in the sea. Notice once again when creating living things, they are all created kind after kind.

Now, this brings us to the 6th day. This is where most teachers and preachers say that Yahweh “created” Adam and Eve. (Notice the emphasis I placed upon the word “created.” We will find most certainly as we study the scriptures that this was not the record of Adam and Eve being brought into the earth.

Let’s read what it says, verse 26: And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.


27 So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them.

28 And God blessed them, and God said unto them, Be fruitful, and multiply, and replenish the earth, and subdue it: and have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over every living thing that moveth upon the earth.

29 And God said, Behold, I have given you every herb bearing seed, which is upon the face of all the earth, and every tree, in the which is the fruit of a tree yielding seed; to you it shall be for meat.

30 And to every beast of the earth, and to every fowl of the air, and to every thing that creepeth upon the earth, wherein there is life, I have given every green herb for meat: and it was so.

31 And God saw every thing that he had made, and, behold, it was very good. And the evening and the morning were the sixth day.



That concludes the 6th day and the record of creation. For as we read the next verse which begins Chapter 2 we find it FINISHED, And then Yahweh resting on the seventh day. The number 7 is a very significant number throughout the scriptures and it denotes completion. It was complete, it was finished.

2:1 Thus the heavens and the earth were finished, and all the host of them.

2 And on the seventh day God ended his work which he had made; and he rested on the seventh day from all his work which he had made.

3 And God blessed the seventh day, and sanctified it: because that in it he had rested from all his work which God created and made.

We find in our study of the scriptures that Yahweh created male and female at the same time on the 6th day and the original words denote plurality. "Male and female created he THEM."

This is where we will find Adam to be an 8th day creation, or to put it in better terms, he was formed on the 8th day. Just as the number 7 in the Bible denotes completion, the number 8 is the number of "new beginnings."

In verses 4 thru 8 we read:

4 "These are the generations of the heavens and of the earth when they were created, in the day that the LORD God made the earth and the heavens,

5 And every plant of the field before it was in the earth, and every herb of the field before it grew: for the LORD God had not caused it to rain

upon the earth, and there was not a man to till the ground.

6 But there went up a mist from the earth, and watered the whole face of the ground.

7 And the LORD God formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.

8 And the LORD God planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there he put the man whom he had formed.

We see here that "male and female" had already been created prior to Adam.

Now there could be a lot of discussion as to this subject but it is plainly clear in light of the order of creation that the other races were created prior to Adam. Even some mainstream denominational churches teach that there was in fact a Pre-Adamic world and there were Pre-Adamic races.

At this point we must back up to the very beginning in Gen. 1:1 and 1:2 to gain a fuller understanding concerning the original creation.

Something catastrophic happened between verse 1 and verse 2. Why do we know that? Because we know that when Yahweh created things, He created them in order and in a goodly fashion, not in some chaotic state of confusion, not in a state of desolation, not in a state of ruin. We see in verse one that He created the heavens and the earth. When you study this out you will find that the Original creation was made perfect and inhabited. Verses 1 and 2 are not referring to the 6 days of creation. These events happened prior to the re-creation. Then in verse 2 we find that "the earth was without form and void".

The phrase "without form" comes from the Hebrew word "tohu" meaning waste, and desolation. The original Hebrew word used for VOID is "boho" meaning empty and ruined. The word "was" in vs. 2 is from the verb to "to become", not the verb to be, which proves that the earth BECAME a wasteland through a catastrophe. In the proper context, these words used in conjunction shows a chaotic world due to some event.

Well what happened to cause this catastrophic ruin? Was this judgment? What caused this? Why was the earth in this chaotic condition?

Jeremiah gives an account of his vision of this in Jeremiah 4:23-27 : It reads:

"I beheld the earth, and lo, it was without form and void, and the heavens, and they had no light. I beheld the mountains, and lo, they trembled, and all the hills moved lightly. I beheld, and lo, there was no man, and all the birds of the

heavens were fled. I beheld, and lo, the fruitful place was a wilderness, and all the cities thereof were broken down at the presence of the Lord, and by His fierce anger. For thus hath the Lord said, The whole land shall be desolate; yet will I not make a full end.”

We see that there the earth was indeed inhabited with fruitful places, birds and cities which obviously had to have been built by men.

We also read of this event from the prophet Isaiah in the 24th Chapter of Isaiah verse 1. ‘Behold, the Lord maketh the earth empty, and maketh it waste, and turneth it upside down, and scattereth abroad the inhabitants thereof.

Once again we see that it WAS indeed INHABITED prior to the re-creation...i.e. the restructuring, or reformation of the planets surface out of its chaotic state.

Peter addressed the fact that in the last days there would be scoffers who would not believe the true account of Yahweh judging the heavens and the earth. The sad part is he mentions those, not who are ignorant because they don’t have evidence...but rather those who are WILLINGLY ignorant.


2 Peter 3:5-8 “For this they willingly are ignorant of, that by the word of God the heavens were of old and the earth standing out of the water and in the water; Whereby the world that THEN WAS, being overflowed with water perished. But the heavens and the earth, which are now, by the same word are kept in store, reserved unto fire against the day of judgment and perdition of ungodly men. But beloved, be not ignorant of this one thing, that one day is with the Lord as a thousand years, and a thousand years as one day.”

This judgment was due to Lucifer’s rebellion and fall from his glorious state. Due to his rebellion against Yahweh judged the heavens and the earth as a result.

Isaiah 14:12-14 Reads, “How art thou fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, which did weaken the nations! For thou hast said in thine heart, I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my

throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation, in the sides of the north: I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.”

This should bring a fuller understanding of at what point the 6 ages (i.e. an “unspecified amount of time) of creation begin. For at the time of the prior creation and the destruction, we could call this the dateless past.



The six days of creation are not “days” as in a 24 hour period of time. The original denotes the word day as AGE. If we read the Fenton translation, he translated this word as “age” instead of “day.” These are ages, or periods of time of unspecified length. These six ages are not where we begin our chronological time of Adam’s race. It is not until the 8th age that we find Adam and his placement upon this earth.

Once again, we see the number 8 as denoting a new beginning... which is when I believe our current time frame began, and NOT with the beginning of the dateless past.

Continuing, now, on into the subject of male and female who were created during the 6th age...we must look at what they were commanded to do by Yahweh.

Now after he had created “male and female” we find that he told them to REPLENISH the earth. To “re”-plenish something is to re-fill it, obviously you cannot refill something that was not filled in the first place. Once again, this shows that there was a creation even prior to them being created.

Anyone who knows anything about the history, or ancient civilizations, know that the Asiatic or Mongoloid race has been here for hundreds of thousands of years, and the Negroid or black race has been here for tens of thousands of years. We have ancient artefacts showing different races inhabiting various parts of this planet that date back thousands and thousands of years. I have read some estimations of the Negro race having notable history going back some 73,000 to 75,000 years and some that say even longer than this.

The Asiatic races have kept quite old documentation of their history and we have much evidence of their existence and habitation on this earth for hundreds of thousands of years.

Any Bible scholar will pretty much agree that Adam's lineage is traced back about 7,500 years ago...and no further. So how can we say that Adam's race has anything to do with these other races, or their beginnings? We can't, and they don't.

Adam was not created as the other male and female races upon this earth. He was formed and then PLACED in a garden IN Eden. Most everyone has a habit of saying that Adam and Eve were created in the Garden OF Eden. That is NOT what the Bible says. The scriptures clearly state that Adam was formed and then PLACED in a garden IN EDEN. Let's read verse 8 again:

8 And the LORD God planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there he put the man whom he had formed.

Now, this is the first record we have in scripture of Adam's race upon this earth.

We find in Gen. 2 The Adam man, (in singular) formed by Yahweh. This word Adam comes from the Hebrew word "Awdawm." Awdawm defined means: "To show blood in the face." This obviously does not refer to or resemble the dark races who we know are much older than the white race to begin with...and that CAN NOT show blood in the face neither can they blush. Adam was indeed the father of the white race upon this earth...and the white race ONLY!!!

Is Adam's race special just because of the color of their skin? You say that is superficial. You are right. It is not just a matter of skin color. For we know that when you study out the different races, that they are completely different from the skin to the bone. The physical, mental and spiritual make up is entirely different. From the bone structures, to the brain, to the inner workings of the organs, we will find differences. So, you are right in saying it is not just a superficial matter. It is not just skin deep. It is RACIAL...and they are as racially different

from the white Adamic race as the day is different from the night.

The main point is not to say that the white race is more superior because of intellect, which this has been proven, and really does not take a rocket scientist or a historian to see this is true. For the white race has proven throughout history to be intellectually superior that the other races, and all the great empires which were established were developed by the white race only. The main point is not to say that we are culturally superior, which is also happens to be true...but the real difference comes into play when we realize that Adam was the ONLY one in whom Yahweh breathed the breath of life (SPIRIT) into Adam... which caused Adam to become a living soul or a "life containing soul."

Our Father is the one who gave us this life. He is the one who put HIS Spirit inside of us. No other race can say that Yahweh placed a Spirit inside of them...and neither does Scripture!!! No other race can call Him their Father, because he is the "Father" of only ONE race of people...ADAMITES!!!

Luke 3:38 tells us that "Adam was the son of God." Adam was literally Yahweh's son. And if Adam was the son of Yahweh... then it stands to reason that all of Adam's descendants were also "sons of Yahweh"...therefore we, the members of the White Adamic race, are the ONLY ones that can call Him "Abba" Father.

Adam was to be Yahweh's representative upon this earth, to administrate over it...and all of His creations. Another Hebrew word for man other than Awdawm, which Adam was, is the word Enosh. This word implies weakness, both physically and morally, and thus "differing from the more dignified Adam" (Strong's Concordance) and is used in a derogatory manner in scripture. It is always used in reference to NON-Adamic, i.e. NON-WHITE people, those which were pre-Adamic.

To give you an example of how this word differs from the other uses of the word man in the original Hebrew, I will use the original word in the following passages of scripture.



Ezekiel 34:3 reads, “And ye, My flock, the flock of My pasture are AWDAWM, and I am your God, saith Yahweh.” And in Psalm 115:16 we read, “The heavens, even the heavens are Yahweh’s, but the earth hath He given to the children of AWDAWM.

The Enosh were wicked, and are spoken of in Deut. 13:13. “Certain Enosh, the children of Belial, are gone out of their city, saying, Let us go and worship other gods, which ye have not known. “

Then we come to another word that is used in scripture that is “Beast.”

When we read the second chapter of Genesis we find Yahweh looking for a suitable wife for Adam. He brings every beast of the field to see if one is suitable for Adam. Now do we really think that God in His wisdom and knowledge had to consider a cow or a pig in looking for a help mate for Adam? Of course NOT!

When we look to the root words here we find Khah-ee Khaw-yaw. Both denoting living creatures, which would include everything thing that breathes air, from tiny microbes to the 2 legged variety of His created animal, or beast, creation. This could just as well read, “The creature of the field or the creatures in the country or earth.

Gen. 2:7 says, “And Yahweh formed AWDAWM from the dust of the ground, and placed into him a spirit life force and the AWDAWM became a living man with a spiritual life force. It really is just that simple!!! Nothing difficult at all about it!!!

The Khaw-yaw beast of the field or earth or country, are actually the non-white, non-adamic races.

It is unfortunate that as Yahweh defined and classified the different races with distinctive and descriptive terms, the translators lump together all these and indiscriminately call them “men.”

So as we study through history and through the scriptures we will find that Adam was indeed the father of the white race, that he was a son of God whom Yahweh would place here to begin the family of God, His family, His chosen people, the people whom would be given His laws, His promises, and be heirs to His kingdom.

That is why it is so important that we study to find the truth concerning our heritage, and our family. For Yahweh placed us here to be a

special people, a Holy people, above all the rest. We were supposed to, and are supposed to, show Yahweh to the world and enforce His laws amongst His people.

Eve was the mother of all living, yes, all living AWDAWM, all Adam-kind, but not the mother of all races, and certainly not all living creatures. We are a chosen generation, a chosen race. Common sense should tell us that two white parents cannot produce anything but a white child. When our holy seed is mixed with the dark races, it becomes just that...dark. There is no turning back from this sin. Once it is done, it is done...and Yahweh will NOT put a spirit into anything or anyone that is not a pure blooded Adamite!!!



Let us be that holy nation, for we are called to separate ourselves, and come out from among them. Yahweh did not *ASK* us to do this He *COMMANDED* us to do this. What fellowship does light have with darkness? Yahweh is indeed a God of separation, and NOT integration! He is a very discriminating God as you will find by reading and studying the scriptures.

Let us live up to the expectations of our great and mighty Father, and never allow that holy seed which he planted in the earth to become mongrelised by the other races. He never asked us to “get along” with the other races to the point of mixing with them and having them rule over us. This, my friend, is an abomination in the sight of Our Father.

He calls us kings and priests, he calls us special, and he chose us before the foundation of the world. May we learn the history of our father’s, and realize that we have a special place upon this earth, and an enormous responsibility before Him. Let us pray that His kingdom come and may we prepare to be fit for His kingdom as we work out our own salvation with fear and trembling.

Who is Adam? Adam was the father of Our Great Race!

The End OS15496

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the **ukcolumn**
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)


Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps


P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew