

The New Ensign

No. 66 February 2014

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Another False Flag Psycho-Op - This Time In France



From a French Resident: “based on my private sources, for as you know, I am a resident of France and have many friends in high places in Paris and elsewhere: not only do I believe that the Paris circus was not done by the Muslims, I actually know for a certainty that it was not.

This whole event was organized in Brussels. Even **Ahmed Merabet**, the first French policeman purportedly shot dead, is actually not a Muslim at all: his real name is **Avigdor**, and his brother’s name is **Maloch**, recently changed to **Melek**—all of them crypto-Jews in the service of Israeli intelligence, i.e., Mossad agents.

Avigdor is in Buenos Aires right now, and will remain there for six years, a standard operating procedure with those deep in Israeli psyops. After this he will resurface with a new identity, by which time of course the populace will have forgotten all about the Paris attacks.

The amount such players get paid. It is 666,000 euros or dollars, depending on the country the event is staged in. The amount may seem random and insignificant to you, but once you know how important numerology and signs are to the Kabalistic Jews, things begin to make sense.

Moreover, the number SIX features again, you will notice, as that is the number of years during which the commandos carrying out the operation are not allowed to resurface. They have to lie low for six years, living lives of impeccable respectability under their newly invented personas. When they finally emerge into the full light of day, it is never in the country where they performed their original theatrics”.

It should also be noted that the Charlie Hebdo, satirical magazine, although referred to as French, is in fact a Communist Jewish company.

This psycho purpose could include stifling “the growing European sympathy for the Palestinians,” and countering “the rising opposition in Europe to more Middle Eastern wars,”

Praise Yahweh! The enemy’s deceits are being exposed!


Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

Contents

	Page
The Earth is The Lord’s _ Arnold Kennedy	3
Strangers, Pilgrims and Israel_ Arnold Kennedy	3
Misconceptions About Josephus - Pastor Eli James (8)	9
The Lord’s Prayer - Pastor George Udvary	15
Harold Stough Notes - Did Lead Poisoning Bring Down Ancient Rome	17
The Marijuana Conspiracy (Part 4) - Doug Yurchey	18
57 Years Ago: U.S. And Britain and Syria	22
Give The White Man His Due! - Peter Anthony	24
Churchill’s Last Secretary Dies - T Stokes	26
Stonehenge and The Sabbatical Law	28
Letters & Views	31
Ancient Stone Technology - Will Hart	35
The Death of T. Stokes - Chris Cooper	36
Fifty Years of Oppressive Rule - Roger Root	38

The Earth Is The Lords" (6) & Strangers, Pilgrims And Israel By: Arnold Kennedy



FINAL COMMENT.

AT the end of the last verse above, the word "whole" as in "whole earth" is not in the Masoretic Text. The word is simply "erets" and the Septuagint

supplies "Israel" (genitive). Thus, this refers to the earth (land) of Israel.

This study started with:

Psalm 24:1 "The earth is the LORD'S, and the fullness thereof; the world, and they that dwell therein".

We looked at "the world" = "tebel" as being a localized land area and "the fullness" has reference to what Joseph's son Ephraim would become – (Genesis 48:19 where it is translated as "multitude"). This passage goes on to say:

V3-6 "Who shall ascend into the hill of the LORD? or who shall stand in his holy place? He that hath clean hands, and a pure heart; who hath not lifted up his soul unto vanity, nor sworn deceitfully. He shall receive the blessing from the LORD, and righteousness from the God of his salvation. This is the generation of them that seek him, that seek thy face, O Jacob. Selah.

"The hill of the Lord" is found only here and agrees with Psalm 2:6, "Yet have I set my king upon my holy hill of Zion". The references to this being God's dwelling place cannot be missed, such as Psalm 76:2, "...and his dwelling place in Zion".

"Generation" here is "dowr" and is a word approximating "the circle of life" as it applies to the one particular posterity. This posterity is

described in this passage (as always) as being that of "Jacob".

The land promised to Jacob is the "earth" that is "The Lord's". For ever!! Selah!

The End OS17858

Strangers, Pilgrims And Israel

IN THE OLD TESTAMENT there are Scriptures that certainly look as if they are saying that non-Israelite strangers could become circumcised, keep the Passover, the Laws of Moses and thus become as *one born in the land*. This is the matter which is being questioned. The immediate necessity is to look at the word *stranger* and similar words like *foreigner*, *sojourner* and *alien*. In both the Hebrew of the Old Testament and the Greek of the New Testament there are many different words loosely translated as *strangers*, *foreigners* and *servants*, etc and this is the problem. Our translators [this includes the NIV] have had no system of consistent rendering of any of these words. That there are *strangers* who are Israelites and *strangers* who are not Israelites, is very obvious.

IN THE OLD TESTAMENT there are eight words which are translated as *stranger*, *strangers*, *foreigner*, *sojourners* or *aliens* and some clarification is necessary. Without this clarification we have translations which make the Bible appear contradictory and inconsistent.

IN THE NEW TESTAMENT there are ten words which are variously translated, so that it is clear that each word in the original has a different meaning. Some of the New Testament quotations are from Old Testament origins and therefore they show a close alliance between the two languages.

WHAT ARE THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF STRANGERS?



The most commonly misunderstood word is *ger*, which is translated as “stranger(s)” 86 times out of the 92 times it occurs in the Old Testament. The meaning of this word might be summarised as being an Israelite who lives

apart from the main body of Israel. That is, living among, or in the land, of other races. The important fact is that this stranger is an Israelite by race.

It is not hard to find instances where the translators have translated the same Hebrew word, in the same context, into two different English words. This makes immediate nonsense of those verses when taken as translated.

Following are the main Hebrew words translated *stranger, foreigner, sojourner*, etc. The comments include a summation of the meaning of the key terms and the status of the people covered by those terms in the eyes of the Law. The summations are based on usage of the word in Scripture, as shown throughout this chapter. The words are:

H4033 *maguwr* (noun) from 1481 in the sense of lodging; a temporary abode; by extens. a permanent residence: - dwelling, pilgrimage, where sojourn, be a stranger. For example: Gen 17:8, *the land wherein thou art a stranger*. It is used of the places where Abraham, Isaac and Jacob dwelled in their travels or pilgrimage. We can use the term *dweller*.

H1616 *ger* (noun) a guest; by implication a foreigner: alien, sojourner. For example, Gen 15:13, *Know of a surety that thy seed shall be a stranger in a land that is not theirs*. A person of one’s own blood or race who is visiting in the district and is not known to the residents of the area. Israelites who were not present at the covenant sanctification ceremony in Mount Sinai (such as the descendants of Judah’s son, Zerah). Moses named his son, Gershom, be-

cause he was visiting from Egypt and Canaan (as opposed to being an exile). The *gerim* have equal rights before the law and can accumulate wealth in Israel. We can use the term *kinsman-visitor* (to show the genetic connection).

H2114 *zuwr* (verb - used as a participle with the force of a noun) to turn aside (espec for lodging); hence to be a foreigner, strange, profane; spec. to commit adultery: (come from) another (man, place), fanner, go away, strange (-r, thing, woman). , *land*).

H8453 *towshab* (noun) from 3427 For example, Num 16:40, ... *no stranger which is not of the seed of Israel*. The basic thought is of non-acquaintance and non-relatedness. A complete alien; no racial connection. In our context, one who is not an Israelite, Shemite or Hebrew. This person has no rights or protection under the Law and be killed on sight if found near the Temple. We can use the term *alien*.

H5236 *nekar* (noun) from 5234. Foreign, or (concr) a foreigner, or (abstr.) heathendom: - alien, strange, stranger. For example, Gen 17:12, *And he that is eight days old shall be circumcised among you, every man child in your generations, he that is born in the house, or bought with money of any stranger, which is not of thy seed (not of your own immediate family). Refers to what is foreign to a family, tribe, nation*. Hence the son of a stranger refers to the son of a foreigner and these are half-caste Israelite (see Isa 56:3, *Neither let the son of the stranger, that hath joined himself to the LORD, speak, saying, The LORD hath utterly separated me from his people: neither let the eunuch say, Behold, I am a dry tree*). In this context, we can use the term *foreigner*. (Compare Gen 35:2 which reads ... *put away gods, the foreigners which are in the midst of you ...*)

H5237 *nokriy* (adjective) from 5235. Strange, in a variety of degrees and applications (foreign, non-relative, adulterous, different, wonderful): alien, foreigner, outlandish, stranger. For example, Judges 19:12, *And his master said unto him, We will not turn aside hither into the city of a stranger, that is not of the children of Israel; we will pass over to Gibeah*. Compare with Ruth 2:10, *Then she fell on her face, and bowed herself to the ground, and said unto him, Why have I found grace in thine eyes, that thou*

shouldest take knowledge of me, seeing I am a stranger? - a foreign person with respect to Boaz and his people because Ruth had come from a different Israelite tribe.



Similarly, a strange woman as opposed to one's wife referred to an adulteress. In our context it describes one who is not of Israel but is a Shemite or Hebrew versus an alien (*zawr*). Harlots, in Israelite times, were typically not Israelite women, but were quite similar in appearance because they were in a broadly related genetic line.

Thus it refers to Hebrew people with whom marriage is forbidden - Canaanites, Moabites, Ammonites, etc and with whom Israelites were always led away to other gods. These people had no rights or privileges in Israel. In this context, we can use the term *foreign (one, person)*.

A dweller, (but no outlandish); espec. (as distinguished from a native citizen and a temporary inmate or mere lodger) resident alien, foreigner, inhabitant, sojourner, stranger. For example, Lev 25:6, *And the sabbath of the land shall be meat for you; for thee, and for thy servant, and for thy maid, and for thy hired servant, and for thy stranger that sojourneth with thee.* Compare 1 Ki 17:1 *And Elijah the Tishbite, who was of the inhabitants (towshab) of Gilead, ...* The majority of the references are to a **temporary, landless, wage earner, hence, not naturalised**. In our context, the lowest order and, basically, had no rights other than access to the cities of refuge. The children of the towshab could be bought as a perpetual servants, without prospect of redemption, and could not hold any position of authority. In this context we can use the expression *temporary resident*.

Each of these terms apply their meanings according to their context and hence can be used of Israelites as well as other people. For example, Abraham described himself as a sojourner to the sons of Heth [Gen 23:4]. However, our interest is the use of these terms with reference to the status of non-Israelites within Israel. By

way of example, let us look at one of the standard Scriptures used by universalists:

Exodus 12:19 Seven days shall there be no leaven found in your houses: for whosoever eateth that which is leavened, even that soul shall be cut off from the congregation of Israel, whether he be a **stranger** [kinsman-visitor], or born in the land.

This verse makes perfect sense when we realise the stranger in this case is, in fact, an Israelite but not one who was present in Mount Sinai at the time of the formal covenant ceremonies. Compare this with:


Ex 12:43-49. And the Lord said to Moses and Aaron, *This is the order of the passover. There shall be no **stranger** [the AV text is deficient here; the Hebrew reads: no son of a stranger - ben nekar - son of a foreigner; a half-caste] eat thereof: But every man's servant [bondservant] that is bought for money, when thou hast circumcised him, then he shall eat thereof. A **foreigner** [a foreign person] or a **hired servant** [H7916: a man at wages by the day or the year - Not the same as the bond servant, above] shall not eat thereof. In one house shall it be eaten; thou shalt not carry forth ought of the flesh abroad out of the house, neither shall ye break a bone thereof. All the congregation of Israel shall keep it. And when a **stranger** [kinsman-visitor] shall sojourn with thee, and will keep the passover to the Lord, let all his males be circumcised, and then let him come near and keep it, and he shall be as one born in the land: for no uncircumcised person shall eat thereof. One law shall be to him that is homeborn, and unto the **stranger** [kinsman-visitor] that sojourneth among you.*

In the AV version of this quotation there are four categories of people mentioned in regard to the ordinance of the Passover and it looks as if the stranger mentioned as forbidden at the beginning of the verses is suddenly allowed to partake at the end of the verses. However, the translations do not reveal that there is a fifth category, the kinsman-visitor, who is the one is allowed to partake if the males of his family are circumcised.

Let us look at some of the variations in the English translations of these verses:

[a] The NIV calls the first stranger an alien, and the second one a temporary resident which is not correct – the half-caste is not an alien and the kinsman-visitor is not the same as an alien who is temporarily living in the country.

[B] The RSV calls the first a foreigner and the second a stranger – the first one is wrong and the second one is only partly right; the kinsman-visitor is a stranger, but it does not reveal the racial connection that is present in the Hebrew word.



[C] The Living Bible actually calls the second pair of strangers “foreigners” which is so far off the mark that it is completely mis-leading.

The sincerity of the translators is not the issue here, but there are important implications for how we view the New Testament verses on similar subjects. In the New Testament we still have *pilgrims and strangers, aliens and foreigners*. We have been taught or have presumed certain things about these words, but the right teachings are, in fact, the same as are presented in the Old Testament. The New Testament is based on the Old Testament and *it is written* [in the Old Testament].

If certain of the “strangers” in the Old Testament were Israelites by race, might not certain of these strangers still be Israelites by race in the New Testament?

STRANGERS IN THE NEW TESTAMENT.

In the New Testament we also find a variety of words translated as “strangers”, “foreigners” “aliens” and “pilgrims”. As the translators did not understand the differences between the different terms for strangers in the Old Testament, it should not surprise us to find the same confusion in the New Testament. There Greek words translated stranger, pilgrim or sojourner are *allogenes, allotrios, apallotrioo, epidemeo, xenodocheo, xenos, parepidemos, paroikeo, paroikia, paroikos and philonexia*. With reference to Strong, Thayer and Vine, the words that are relevant to this chapter are:

G245 allotrios (adjective) from G243; another’s, that is, not one’s own: by extens. foreign, not akin, hostile - alien, (an-) other (man’s men’s) strange(-r). Belonging to another (oppo-

site of *idios* - one’s own); foreign, strange; hence **not of one’s own group, family, nation, kingdom**; an alien, an enemy. Matt 17:25, Heb 11:34. This is the equivalent of the Hebrew *nekar*. We can use the term *foreigner*.

G526 apallotrioo (verb) *apo* (from) plus *allotrios*. to estrange away, that is (pass. and fig.) to be non-participant: alienate, be alien. To alienate, estrange; to be shut out from one’s fellowship and intimacy. To be rendered alien, to be alienated; the condition of unbelievers is **presented in a threefold state of alienation** (a) from the commonwealth of Israel (b) from the love of God (c) from God Himself. Hence, to be shut out from one’s group. Eph 2:12. There is no obvious equivalent for this word in the Hebrew terms above. We can use the term *estranged*.

G1927 epidemeo (verb - used only twice; participle) to make oneself at home, that is, (by extens.) to reside (in a foreign country): - [be] dwelling (which were) there, stranger. **To be present among one’s people, in one’s city or one’s native land**; to be a sojourner; of a foreign resident, among any people, in any country. Acts 2:10. This is the participle equivalent of the Hebrew *ger*. We can use the term *visiting*.


G3581 xenos (adjective) foreign (lit. alien, or fig. novel); by impl. a guest or (vice-versa) entertainer: - host, strange(r). A foreigner, a stranger; alien (from a person or a thing); without the knowledge of, without a share in; new, unheard of; one who receives and entertains another hospitably; with whom he stays or lodges, a host. Strange; denotes a stranger in the sense of an unknown person of the same group (such as people of the same race). Matt 27:7, Acts 2:10, 17:21, Eph 2:19, Heb 11:13. There is no equivalent for this word in the Hebrew terms above. We can use the term *stranger*.

G3927 parepidemos (adjective used as noun - *para*: from; expressing a contrary condition; *epidemeo*: to sojourn and *demos*: a people) from 8344 and the base of 1927. An alien alongside, that is, a resident foreigner: - pilgrim, stranger. One who comes from a foreign country into a city or land to reside there by the side of the natives; a stranger; sojourning in a strange place, a foreigner; in the NT metaph. in reference to heaven as the native country, one who sojourns on earth. Sojourning in a strange place away from one’s own people. Used of those to whom Heaven is their own country and who are

sojourners on Earth. Denotes a sojourner, an exile; used of OT saints. 1 Peter 1:1. As this term is related to *epidemeo* above, it is the equivalent of the Hebrew *ger*. We will use the term *visitor*.

G3940 *paroikia* (noun) See *paroikos*. Foreign residence: -- sojourning, as strangers. A dwelling near or with one; a sojourning, dwelling in a strange land; metaph. the life of a man here on earth is likened to a sojourning. This is the equivalent of the Hebrew *towshab*. We will use the term *temporary resident*.

G3941 *paroikos* (adjective) having a home near, that is, (as noun) a by-dweller (alien resident): foreigner, sojourn, stranger. Dwelling near, neighbouring; in the NT, a stranger, a foreigner, one who lives in a place without the right of citizenship; metaph. without citizenship in God's kingdom; one who lives on earth as a stranger, a sojourner on the earth; of Christians whose home is in heaven. One who dwells in a place. 1 Peter 2:11 - as sojourners (*paroikous*) and aliens (*parepidemos*). This is the equivalent of the Hebrew *towshab*. We can use the term *temporary resident*.



The New Testament, therefore, has a similar variety of words as has the Old Testament in this area, so we can no longer presume

that all strangers and foreigners [as translated] are non-Israelites. Comparisons must be made from the Old Testament foundation in the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets.

There is a certain relationship conveyed by one pair of words used in the Old Testament which always compares with the same sentiment conveyed by a similar pairing of words in the New Testament. These New Testament phrases are derived from the Old Testament, so there is a link between them.

Ps 39:12 *Hear my prayer, O Lord, and give ear to my cry, hold not Thy peace at my tears, for I am a STRANGER [ger: kinsman-visitor] with thee, and a SOJOURNER [towshab: temporary resident], as all my fathers were.*

Gen 23:41 *am a STRANGER [ger: kinsman-visitor] and a SOJOURNER [towshab: temporary resident] with you.*

Lev 25:23 *For ye [are] STRANGERS [ger: kinsman-visitor] and SOJOURNERS [towshab: temporary resident] with me.*

1 Chron 29:15 *We are STRANGERS [ger: kinsman-visitor] before thee and SOJOURNERS [towshab: temporary resident]*

1 Peter 2:11 *Dearly beloved, I beseech, as STRANGERS [paroikos: temporary resident] and PILGRIMS [parepidemos: visitors].*

Heb 11:13 *These all died in faith ... and confessed that they were PILGRIMS [xenos: stranger] and STRANGERS [parepidemos: visitors] on the earth.*

Eph 2:12 *Being ALIENS [apallotrioo: estranged] from the Commonwealth of Israel, and STRANGERS [xenos: stranger] from the covenants of promises.*

Eph 2:19 *Now therefore, ye are no more STRANGERS [xenos: strangers] and FOREIGNERS [paroikas: temporary residents] but fellow citizens with the saints and of the household of God.*

Here we have a selection of Scriptures from both Testaments in which there are parallel words. In the Old Testament references, the paired words are *ger* and *towshab* in each case. While both terms are used to define race, it is essential to determine the context in which they are used to verify which race is under discussion.

In Hebrews 11:13 the pilgrims and strangers are Israelites because the book is written to the Hebrews; those whose Fathers had been given the Law of Moses. In the other New Testament verses, it is not clear, at first glance, that they are Israelites. But, when we examine the Greek, we find similar terms - ones that identify Israelites in each context. And it is easy to verify that each reference is, indeed, to Israelites. These paired words do not teach that there are two groups of peoples, but rather that they all are Israelites in two different situations. When David said that he was *a stranger and a sojourner* [Ps 39:12], he was one person who was two things. *Strangers and aliens* are not necessarily two completely dissimilar groups of people in Ephesians 2:12 and Ephesians 2:19. In this case they are one group of people who are two things. This follows exactly the same pattern as presented in the Old Testament.

When we compare what David is saying in Psalm 39:12 with what Peter is saying in 1 Peter 2:11, we find a common distinction. David refers to *all my Fathers* who were, of course, Israelites. Peter is addressing *the Elect* and not others. This book of Peter is written to *strangers [parepidemos: visitors] scattered*. Note this well; there is no way around it! This cannot be spiritualised to make it refer to some non-Israelite multi-racial church! Both David and Peter are saying they have no kinship with the races among whom they (Israel) are temporarily living (that is, for the duration of their lives). We find a remarkable affinity and agreement between both Testaments. In both, Israel is totally exclusive. It is now even more difficult to insist that these so-called “gentiles” are non-Israelites!

Before we leave this subject of strangers, let us consider another very significant Scripture.

John 7:35 ... will he go unto the dispersed among the Gentiles [Hellenes: Greeks] Greeks and teach the Gentiles [Hellenes: Greeks].

As we know, the *dispersed among the Greeks* relates to the *Lost sheep of the House of Israel* whom Jesus says He came for **only** [Matt 10:6] Those *lost sheep* were the only ones the disciples were instructed to visit. Come now and let us reason together. Could the disciples go to proclaim the Gospel of the Kingdom to a race they could not find because they were *lost*? They were not so lost that they could not be found, were they? [*Lost*, in this context, has to do with Israelites put aside for punishment].

To read the parables of Jesus in the light that these *lost sheep* are the House of Israel is enlightening! The lost sheep are never non-Israelites! When we come to the regathering of those “strangers” who are scattered, how could it ever be a regathering of any other than that exclusive race of Israel who were scattered in the first place?

When we look again at the 1 Peter 2:9 we find these particular strangers [of 1 Peter 1:1] were:


a) Chosen ... [Isaiah 41:8 ... *Jacob whom I have chosen*]

b) Royal Priesthood ... [Isaiah 61:1 , Hosea 4:6 etc.]

c) An Holy Nation ... [Deut 14:2]

Please note that “nation” is singular in this context Some may still wish to deny these “holy” (set-apart) people still exist as being different from all other nations. But Peter knew they still existed when he wrote to them.

A peculiar people [Exodus 19:5 , Deut 26:18 , Psalm 135:4].



Israel and Judah were taken into their respective captivities because of their continued disobedience under the Law. Following the captivities these people moved away from Palestine because God had cast them out of that land. On top of being scattered, they also lost all

knowledge of their law, which means they lost the rituals for reconciliation with God. They were lost as members of the eternal Kingdom of God. However, as a nation of people they did not cease to exist (Jer 31:36,37 and Jer 33:17). Jesus came for these people because, by making the ultimate sacrifice, they no longer needed the Levitical Law as the means of reconciliation with God. They could “go direct” by prayer in Jesus name, because He is now the Mediator for the individual Israelite. It was still limited to Israelites because:

[a] Only they had broken the Law.

[b] Only they had the indwelling spirit that needed to save from eternal death.

The physical location of the Dispersed Tribes was well known up to the time of the destruction of the Temple in 70 AD. After that, with no Temple in Jerusalem as a focus for ceremony for those who wished to make the journey, the whereabouts of these people was forgotten over time. Nevertheless, the existence of the sun, the moon and the stars says the nation of people still exists today. The words of Jeremiah’s prophecy are quite definite and cannot possibly apply to a “church”.

As a separate matter of prophecy, the nation of

Israel would always have a monarchy ruling over them, from the site of the official throne. This is sometimes confused with 1 Peter 2:13 which refers to the people respecting the authority of the King. This is a different matter – the teaching throughout the New Testament, even for the Judeans, is to obey the civilian authorities of the day, (render unto Caesar, etc), and not to foment social upheaval. This directs us to stand up for what is right when formal opportunities and mechanisms are available, but not to incite social unrest by going outside the formal system.

When we go to the book of Hebrews and consider these *pilgrims and strangers*, the *Fathers* and the “Patriarchs” are prominent. God who spoke to these Fathers by the Prophets, *hath in these last days spoken unto us by His Son*. We can pretend all we like that the children are now spiritual children, but the Bible still insists that the New Testament is only made with the House of Israel and the House of Judah [Heb 8:8]. How would these Houses be spiritualised? The quoted prophecies are those made to Israel! Israel is still just as exclusive, today!!

The End OS17801

Misconceptions About Josephus, The Judean (8)

Or, How To Tell the Difference Between a Jew and an Israelite!

By Pastor Eli James



back to Rusterholz:

Who was Josephus anyway? What if Josephus was actually a member of a sect whose teachings actually undermine those of our God and

absolutely loath Jesus? Well read on.

The Life Of Flavius Josephus [In these following points, Marco is quoting Josephus. – Eli:]

"(1) The family from which I am derived is not an ignoble one, **but has descended all along from the priests;** ..."

[I am not going to accuse Mr. Rusterholz of deliberate character assassination, but the first point of this argument is a classic case of sloppy work, because it can lead to the false conclusion that the word ‘priest’ as used here can only mean "Pharisee," when it actually means Levites, as Josephus himself attests.

*In this item, **Item 1**, he fails to give a complete quotation, thus leaving an open-ended invitation to all sorts of conjecture. Here is the complete quotation: "The family from which I am derived is not an ignoble one, **but has descended all along from the priests;** and as nobility among several people is of a different origin, so with us to be of sacerdotal dignity, is an indication of the splendour of a family. Now, I am not only sprung from a sacerdotal [sacred, by implication, holy seed of Israel – Eli] family in gener-*


*al, but from the first of the twenty-four courses. And as among us there is not only a considerable difference between one family of each course and another, I am of the chief family of that first course also; and, further, by my mother I am of the royal blood; for the children of Asmoneus from whom that family was derived, had both the office of the high priesthood and the dignity of a king for a long time together." -- from his autobiography, **The Life of Flavius Josephus.***

*Josephus goes on to give more details of his hereditary background, naming his Judahite ancestors, but this quotation should suffice for detail. What is important for your consideration, dear reader, is that **only pure-blooded Judahites were recorded in the temple genealogies.** No foreigners were so recorded This makes him a fully heritable Judahite, as he says, of noble blood. Thus, there were no Pharisees among them, because the Pharisees came from outside of Judah, from Idumea. **So, if you think that Josephus is an impostor, then you are going to have to refute all of these claims and show, point by point, that he is a liar.** It is one thing to say that a man is a liar. It is another to refute his statements.*

Of course, Marco Rusterholz is not the only person who doubts the genuineness of Josephus; but that doubt has only come about because of Jewish deceptions. With respect to their priesthood, their main deception is the false equation of the Pharisees as comprising the hereditary priesthood of Judah!!! Their

main racial deception is the pretence that they are either Judah or Israel. Add to this numerous literary deceptions, and you begin to understand how Talmudic Judasim has been able to impersonate Judah and Israel for the last two thousand years.

One very simple, deceptive, literary device is called an interpolation. **An interpolation is a deliberately false translation.** The Jews use this device in dozens of ways, some of which have already been discussed.



When the **VERY TITLE** of his own Book has been interpolated by very clever Jews, then we naturally assume that Josephus was a Jew, for the simple reason that his works are entitled "Antiquities of the Jews" and "Wars of the Jews," and virtually everybody in the world refers to him Josephus, the "Jewish

historian." **But Josephus himself very clearly tells us that he is a JUDAHITE of the House of Judah having both Levitical, priestly blood through his father and the royal blood of Judah through his mother.** This is certainly not true of any modern Jew; and it was not true of any Jew at any point in history because the Jews are **IMPOSTORS**, not real Judahites. Furthermore, if the Jews are Judah, then why has the Davidic Dynasty died out in them, when Yahweh tells us that David's descendants shall rule upon earthly thrones as long as there is day and night? (Jer. 33: 17, 20-21.)

Now, the Jews have convinced both scholars and laymen that Josephus must be a "Jew" because the Jews themselves call Josephus a "Jew." They claim him as one of their own. Well, they, the very executioners of Christ, call Jesus a "Jew" too, don't they? Yet, they refused to accept Him as their Redeemer! Why? Because Yahshua Messiah was not a "Jew" by any definition of the word, and the Jews know it.

Was Jesus a Jew by religion? Absolutely not. He was the world's most vociferous denunciator of their religion. Was Jesus a Jew by race? Absolutely not. He was a pure-blooded Judahite, not a half-breed or an Edomite (Sephardic) or Khazar Jew. If you accept the Jewish definition of the word 'Jew,' then opposites are posited as identical, and the whole world is deceived. The

rabbis have invented these word-games for us and we play along in absolute ignorance of how the game is played!! By rabbinical logic, Jesus was a traitor to Judaism and to Judah...and too many of our people today still believe this Jewish fable. The reality is that the Pharisees were an impostor priesthood that rejected **OUR MESSIAH IN OUR NAME!!!!** They pretend to be of the same race as Yahshua to give themselves credibility in the eyes of the deceived masses.

Thanks, but **NO THANKS!!!** No Jew deserves the credit of belonging to the family of Yahshua Messiah! They are the husbandmen who killed the heir in order to seize his inheritance.

The next time some duped Christian walks up to you and says, "My boss is a Jewish carpenter," tell him, "Show me a Jewish carpenter! Don't hold your breath while trying to find one."

Because we have fallen for the Jewish trick of equating Judahites with Jews, then we confuse Jesus with one of them and we also confuse Josephus with being one of them. I am hoping that this is clear because we are discussing multiple layers of deception; and the deception begins with false definitions created by the impostor himself. **The rabbis of Judaism are the absolute masters of the deceptive use of words and pictures;** and with their influence and money they have fooled us into believing both their gross and subtle distortions simply by using deceptive definitions, titles and captions. (By the way, this is exactly how the modern practice of Law has been corrupted as well. Of all ethnic groups, Jews comprise the greatest number and percentage of lawyers. "Shysters" is the common term for Jewish lawyers. Would you like to know what Jesus thinks of lawyers/scribes? Do your own research!!!)

The only reason we have for believing that Josephus was a Jew is the fact that Jews themselves claim he was. Following their lead, which is nothing other than a historic brainwashing process, we falsely equate True Judahites with the Impostor Jew. Like Jesus before him, Josephus was a pure-blooded Judahite, not a mongrelized Jew. And as a descendant of the First Course of Temple servants, he could only be a Levitical Judahite. From my study of this era, it is apparent to me that the Twenty-Four Courses was an area of Temple service that the scribes and Pharisees were not able to infiltrate or overthrow, because it was a hereditary service done exclusively by Judahites, who never

referred to themselves as "Jews." That designation is falsely imposed upon them by the distorters of history, the Talmudic Jews.



These Temple "volunteers" of the Twenty-Four Courses spanned the gamut from rich to poor, but they were Judeans whose family genealogies were recorded in the Temple records. What many Christians fail to understand is that the Judahite people were **forced** to accept the authority of the scribes and Pharisees, who were by the Roman legions; and the Judahite people never accepted Herod as one of them. This is why Herod attempted to have the genealogical records in the Temple destroyed, because he knew he could not prove his Judahite ancestry. And this was true of all of the impostors who occupied Judea in that day. It is important to understand that these Pharisees were a sect and not the official priests of the Judeans. Their authority was forced upon the people of Judah from outside, just as the Zionist Neo-Kahns in the Bush administration have been thrust upon the American people, even though they are a small minority of the population.

WE have the Truth, but **THEY** have the Money. As long as the economy holds up, they can purchase the allegiance – and the lip service or the silence – of the televangelists -- the lawyers -- the doctors -- the teachers -- the pulpit masters -- and the "journalists."

Have you ever wondered what qualifies so many Jews for the trade of Sports talk radio? They positively dominate the scene even though the Jews, as an ethnic group, have the least knowledge and experience in sports. But it's a BUSINESS! Oy vey!

What the Herodians and Pharisees did to Jesus Christ then, the Zionists are doing to the whole of White Christendom today. First, they killed our KING, now they are trying to kill US. Just because 2,000 years has passed doesn't mean that they have changed their strategy! In total ignorance, the People of the Lamb lie down prostrate while the Wolves in Sheep's Clothing silently kill them in the name of Zionism. Indeed, while not being able to tell a wolf from a true shepherd, Christians volunteer to be slaugh-

tered in wars arranged by the Jewish Money Power.

The actual titles of the works of Josephus should read "**Antiquities of Judah**" and "**Wars of Judah**." (I can accept "Antiquities of Judea" and "Wars of Judea" as well, because the Judahites of those days understood who the **REAL JUDEANS** were and who the Edomite impostors were, just as Paul did; and it is imperative that **WE** understand that distinction as well.) Because the rabbis of deception have falsely equated these two words ('Judah' and 'Jew') in our mind-box of false associations, we are not able to think that a Judahite and Jew are a different species entirely. **WE** are Adam-man. **THEY** are the Serpent people, more subtle than any beast we have ever encountered...

The **REAL DICHOTOMY IS** Judahite versus Jew. The Jewish False Dichotomy is "Jew" versus "Gentile." Most Christians have no idea that the so-called "Gentiles" (from the Greek 'ethnos,' meaning "race" or "nation") of Scripture are almost always the Israelites of the Dispersion, those who were carried away captive ("lost") in 745 BC by the Assyrians. **No Jew ever underwent that experience.** The real meaning of the word 'Gentile' is "RACE," namely, our race, not the Jewish people. The Jewish definition of the same word is "non Jew." Which are you going to believe -- the original Hebrew and Greek-speaking authors or the Pharisees who have deliberately changed the meanings of these words?

A side note to this Assyrian Captivity is the fact that most of the House of Judah was taken away later by Sennacherib (II Kings 18:13); and these Judahites were added to the body of the "Lost Sheep" of the House of Israel. Thus, **ALL TWELVE Tribes** (James 1:1) were scattered abroad and are represented as the "Lost Sheep," but the remnant of the two-tribed House of Judah was re-established in Judea after the return from the Babylonian Captivity.

Once we stop accepting Jewish definitions of our words and Jewish versions of our history, then we will understand Scripture. Again, I am not unfairly singling out Marco Rusterholz. I am simply using his writing in order to convey the nature of the deception and to explain what is going on in our brainwashed minds. The vast majority of our people have been subjected to this simple technique of interpolation. Interpolation and impersonation go hand in hand. It's about time we understand how it works and

understand how thoroughly we have been deceived by the rabbis. – Eli]

[Now, back to Rusterholz quoting Josephus, -- Eli:]

(2) "... in order to know my opinion about the accurate understanding of points of the law; (10) and when I was about sixteen years old, **I had a mind to make trim of the several sects that were among us** . These sects are three:— The first is that of the Pharisees, the second that Sadducees, and the third that of the Essenes, as we have frequently told you; **for I thought that by this means I might, choose the best, if I were once acquainted with them all** ; ... So when I had accomplished my desires, I returned back to the city, being now nineteen years old, **and began to conduct myself according to the rules of the sect of the Pharisees**, which is of kin to the sect of the Stoics, as the Greeks call them."

[Now, Marco Rusterholz expects us to accept his thesis that Josephus is a Pharisee because he studied with them. But, even in the passage quoted, Josephus clearly tells us that the very reason he studied with them was to find out what they teach so that he might decide which sect is the best. Mr. Rusterholz assumes, without proof, that Josephus had committed his life to them from that point on! -- Eli]

(5) "... yet did I go out of the temple again, after Manahem and the principal of the band of robbers were put to death, **when I abode among the high priests and the chief of the Pharisees;**"

*[Josephus tells us that he "abode," in the past tense, giving no indication that he still "abides" with them after those days. **The implication by Josephus is that his association with the Pharisees was temporary and it now is over.** This is the opposite of what Mr. Rusterholz implies. He would have us believe, "Once a Pharisee, always a Pharisee." This same sentiment is expressed by the Anti-Paulians within CI, who say virtually the same thing about Paul!!! Their intentions are good, but their understanding of the actual history is incomplete, at best. When one group is impersonating another, we cannot rely on the surface readings of the story. We have to look and see who is instigating all of this madness! Once you find the instigator, you will see the Jew or his agent hard at work!!! And True Israel and True Judah are always the victims!!*



In paragraph 6 of his autobiography, Josephus tells us this – and please note the deceptive use of the word 'Jew' (an interpolation for either 'Judean' or 'Judahite' as the context will usually determine) which does not distinguish between

*those Judahites who opposed the Pharisees and those who were compelled to follow them. Speaking of the Scythopolans in their war against the Syrians, Josephus tells us: "...for when the Jews [sic, Judahites], their enemies, came upon them from without, they forced the Jews [sic, Judahites] that were among them to bear arms against their own countrymen, which it is unlawful for **us** to do..." Josephus is clearly identifying with the Judahites who were forced to fight in these wars. These battles were instigated by the various traitors of Judea who were working with the Idumean usurpers, the real Jews. Josephus points out that this is a violation of the Mosaic Law, not the Pharisaic Law, which even Elizabeth Dilling should know exploits the very people it pretends to protect!!! In Paragraph 4, Josephus tells us that he tried very hard to put an end to these wars because his people were being destroyed as a result. Josephus is trying to be a peacemaker and his own modern descendants are accusing him of being a conniving Jew!!!*

Jewish leadership, on the other hand, has always displayed a cynical lack of regard for the suffering of their own people. Judaism has no regard for anything but the success of their commercial imperialism; so, if a few Jews are sacrificed to achieve the goals of the Oberjuden (Jewish overlords), so be it. Their history in the Twentieth Century and now this Century abundantly confirms this. Because of Jewish deception, Rusterholz cannot read these passages in Josephus objectively. He has concluded that Josephus is Jew because "everyone says he is" and he cannot discern the deception.

At the end of Paragraph 6, Josephus affirms the fact that the Judeans did not voluntarily make war with Rome but that they were pushed into these wars by various connivers. Who might those connivers be? The Jews are still contriving wars for us today, as Afghanistan and Iraq were clearly contrived by the Neo-Kahns, the modern-day Zionist agents of today's Phari-

sees, who operate behind the scenes of the Bush regime. Our people are woefully ignorant of how the Jews infiltrate our governments and hire traitors to destroy our own nations. This is a very old, very sad, oft-repeated story. – Eli]



(12) "... Now, as soon as I was come into Galilee, and had learned this state of things by the information of such as told me of them, **I wrote to the sanhedrin at Jerusalem about them, and required their direction** what I

should do. "

So ole Josephus is actually a member of, and from a long lineage of, a sect that promotes the Tetragrammaton and, as we shall prove later, whom are a bunch of God haters and Jesus killers. Well that gives him a heap of street 'cred' when it comes to the practices of the enemies of true Israel. But it does not give him any credibility at all when it comes to Israelite priestly practice!

[Rusterholz is correct in saying that the Jews are a bunch of God-haters and Jesus killers, but he doesn't know the half of it!!! He is absolutely wrong when he states that they are the same people who worship Yahweh!! This he has absolutely wrong!! That was the Levitical priesthood, NOT the Pharisee-Jews!!! Rusterholz apparently makes no distinction between the hereditary Levites and the Pharisees!! That's like not making a distinction between the Chicago Cubs and the Chicago White Sox when they play against each other!! Why bother keeping a record?

*Concerning the passage he quotes from Josephus, what Rusterholz fails to mention is that Josephus goes on to say, "...I told them that I was sent there by the people of Jerusalem as a legate, together with these other priests, **in order to persuade them to demolish that house which Herod the tetrarch had built there, and which had the figures of living creatures in it, although our laws have forbidden us to make any such figures...**" So, here we have Josephus acting in accordance with the Law of Moses and our friend Rusterholz condemns him for it because he automatically assumes that Josephus is a Pharisee and an evil agent of the Sanhedrin. But Josephus clearly explains that he is acting against the Idumean, Herod, who is a pagan*

usurper! It is Herod who is the Babylonian Jew, not Josephus!!

Not knowing the difference between a real Judahite and the impostor Jew, Rusterholz lumps all these people together and assumes that anything Josephus does is no good! What he does not understand is that the Sanhedrin, the ruling Council, of that day was composed of loyal Judahites, disloyal Judahites and usurper Jews! The Jews have always been our worst enemies, and they cover their crimes by pretending to be us and acting in our name! Jerusalem and Rome were the cities of Jewish intrigue then just as London, New York and Washington are the centres of Jewish intrigue today. Then and now, the Jews always act in the name of the nations they have infiltrated and corrupted. Rusterholz is not able to sort this out because he doesn't know the difference between a Jew and Judahite. – Eli]

This next part is from the beginning of the book from where the 'sacred name' types like to quote ... 'Wars 5:5:7' "...A mitre also of fine linen encompassed his head ... about which there was another golden crown, in which was engraved the sacred name: it consists of **four vowels** ."

In *The Jewish War - Book I - Preface . section I*; "I, Joseph, the son of Matthias, by birth a Hebrew, a priest also, and one who at first fought against the Romans myself, and was forced to be present at what was done afterward, [am the author of this work .] "

REMEMBER:- Josephus was a Priest of the Pharisees – he was **NOT** a Priest of the **WORD!** He was **NOT** a Priest, disciple or apostle of Jesus! His rituals and practices were those of the Pharisees!

[I think I have shown by my objections that Rusterholz is wrong about Josephus and the 'cult' of Yahwists!! He has all of this history and religion confused. Here, in my opinion, are the facts: 1.) Yahweh is the Elohim of Israel, not the g-d of the Jews. 2.) Josephus is a Judahite who once had taken instruction from the Pharisees in order to find out what they taught. 3.) I don't think Josephus even claims to be an active priest. He received such training but his life became that of a historian and politician. Based on the skimpy evidence presented by Josephus, Rusterholz tries to condemn him by using his own words against him. I was brought up Catholic and I turned to Christian Identity. I'm sure there is not a Catholic in this world who, read-

ing what I write, still considers me to be a Catholic!!! Rusterholz would assume that I am still a Catholic, even though I left the Church at the age of seventeen! Neither was Josephus a perpetual Pharisaic Jew. – Eli]



Sure Josephus was a Hebrew (unmixed ?) [OK, Marco, this innuendo is very JEWISH of you! Two can play at this game!! Eli], but there is no mention of him being an Israelite. [Hogwash! You just don't understand that his genealogy makes him an Israelite of the House of Judah! – Eli] Remember in the book of John chapter 8 the scribes and **Pharisees** were involved in a number of discussions with Jesus. For the most part the purpose of the discussions was to trap him into doing something for which they could have an excuse to kill him. In verse 33 they (the Pharisees) said ... " They answered him, we be Abraham's seed, **and were never in bondage to any man.**" Thus they were not Israelites at all as all of Israel had been in captivity to the Egyptians! [Marco, Excellent point! On this we are 100% agreed. But what has this got to do with Josephus other than your claim that he is one these same Pharisees? – Eli]

Furthermore; Jesus says to the Pharisees in verse 19 "... **Ye neither know me, nor my Father:** if ye had known me, **ye should have known my Father also.**"

Josephus doesn't know squat about the Father nor anything about Jesus. The reality was that as a member of the Pharisees living in and about Jerusalem, many years after the death of Jesus had caused the temple to be rent in two, he was **NOT** a follower of anything but Rabbinical tradition! As Finkelstein said, Pharisaism became modern Judaism. They are one and the same. [Marco, your general points about Judaism and Pharisaism are absolutely correct, but they do not apply to Josephus because you have incorrectly assigned to him permanent membership

in a sect/group that he had actually left behind. – Eli]

Furthermore; the WORD of our Father has more to say of the sect known as the Pharisees. "I know that ye are Abraham's seed; but ye seek to kill me, because my word hath no place in you. I speak that which I have seen with my Father: and **ye do that which ye have seen with your father** . They answered and said unto him, Abraham is our father. Jesus saith unto them, **If ye were Abraham's children, ye would do the works of Abraham.** But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham. Ye do the deeds of your father. Then said they to him, We be not born of fornication; we have one Father, even God. Jesus said unto them, **If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God;** neither came I of myself, but he sent me. Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word. **Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do** . He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: **for he is a liar, and the father of it.**"

[Very good, Marco, I agree that Jesus says these things about the Pharisees in the 8th Chapter of John, but your claim that Josephus was one of them is erroneous. You are failing to make true distinctions among the people of those days because you do not recognize the subtlety of the Great Impersonation that was going on then and is still going on today. – Eli]

Ok then; true Israel must now be able to see that Josephus' dress claims have no merit to us as Israelites. He is talking of a practice used by the priests of the Pharisees! We have proof that they do **NOT** know the Father, our God – "I am who I am" [This is a fascinating comment since **YH-WH** means "**I AM that I AM.**" -- Eli], **NOR** our kinsman redeemer - Jesus. His writings are of an historical interest **ONLY**. The practices of the priestly types he talks of in ' Wars 5:5:7' have nothing to do with true Israel! The practices of true Israel, and their garb, are laid out in the bible and there is no mention of this addition to the dress of true Israel in Deuteronomy chapter 28, chapter 29 nor in Leviticus chapter 8. Thus the argument used by the 'sacred name' crowd is another Pharisee lie!

Marco Rusterholz

[Mr. Rusterholz would have us read Josephus as if it were a lot of Jewish disinformation, thus it is "of a historical interest **ONLY.**" **I DISAGREE WITH THIS COMPLETELY.** I see Josephus as a Judahite historian who gives us the straight dope about the days in which he lived. Once you drop the assumption that Josephus was Jew, then his distinctions between Judahites and Idumeans are quite revealing and understandable. **If Josephus were, in fact, a Jew, he would have done everything possible to HIDE THESE DISTINCTIONS.** Marco's assumed posture of animus against Josephus has clouded his ability to read Josephus for the accurate history he has provided.

Mr. Rusterholz places great weight on his assumption that name of Yahweh was a Jewish, not an Israelite, affair. He would have us rewrite Exodus 3:15 and all of the Scriptures that tell us to praise His Name and publish His Name. He thinks we're all dupes of the Pharisees. His concern I perceive is genuine and is well taken, but it is very clear to me who is being duped, and it is not the Yahwists!! **WE are not teaching that the Jews are Judahites and we are not promoting any Jewish theology!! Quite the opposite!** I hope I have clarified some very complex issues. Yah bless you all, Pastor Eli James]

The End OS17698

The Lord's Prayer By The Late Pastor George Udvary



There isn't an adult Christian in this world who didn't learn to pray this beautiful prayer, also called by its Latin name "**Pater noster**," meaning '**Our father.**' It is short and complete, covering everything that a Christian should pray about to God. It is the prayer of all prayers, the most perfect of all. Only a few people know that this is actually the only prayer that Jesus (Yahshua) taught us to pray. It is translated into every language in this world where Christians can be found.

Like some other parts of our Bible, this prayer also has translation errors, which has been carried through to other languages, as well. When people pray they recite those flaws, not thinking about the meaning of those words since it has become so commonplace a prayer. Even the clergy have been praying this way for centuries. Because these errors are practiced universally, we feel obligated to call it to the attention of Christianity.

So, what seems to be the problem with the Lord's Prayer? Well, to start with, the prayer says God's name should be hallowed, therefore His name should be ushered in up front, but Yahweh is not even mentioned. Also, somewhere around the 16th and 17th century, generations all over the world stopped praying this prayer according to the way it was translated in **Matthew 6:12** and similarly in **Luke 11:4**. Jewish influence managed to substitute a couple words in the Lord's Prayer from "**forgive us our debts as we forgive our debtors,**" to the current form of praying to God: "**forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive those* who trespass against us.**" However according to the scriptures and the Saviour's own word, we should "**forgive**" only our own brethren*, based on **Luke 17:3, James 5:19-20, etc.,** where the key word is 'brethren'. A more proper way, to pray this part would be: "Forgive us our trespasses, as we forgive those "brethren" who trespass against us."

This sounds good but to most Christians who belong to a denominational and racially-mixed church, praying this way would create great concern. But this shouldn't be overlooked. While it is true that all of us are sinners, and are admonished to forgive or we will not be forgiven (**Matt. 6:14, Luke 6:37**), it is also true that we are not told to forgive anything from the Christ-haters.

A closer look should reveal a clever form of deceit here, which points a finger at the "money-changers", most likely the ones who made those

changes because "they" would not let any debts just be wiped out and forgiven. They hate this prayer, thus the reason for demanding in recent years to stop praying any prayer in public. We Christians are hated because we keep track of their hypocrisy. They consider Christians a thorn in their side. (**Ezk. 28:24** and **John 15:18**).



Although the subject is prayer, hate still plays a huge role here. David the Psalmist, was favoured by Christ Yahshua, because He said in **Psalms 139:21&22**, "Do not I hate them, O Lord, that hate Thee? And am not I grieved with

those that rise up against Thee? I hate them with a perfect hatred: I count them mine enemies." Yahshua blessed David saying: "I have found David the son of Jesse, a man after my heart" Thus He honoured David by choosing to be born into his bloodline for His earthly life. (**Acts 13:21, 23**).

There are a few more points that must be mentioned about "**The Lord's Prayer**." It has two versions, in the KJV alone, the longest version in Matt. 6:9-13, and the shorter in **Luke 11:2-4**. Then there are a few dissimilarities according to the many different translations of the Bible. Unfortunately in both passages we find these mistakes— "**Lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.**" Is there anything inaccurate here? Sorry to say the answer is— yes. **Yahweh our Eternal God and Father would never lead anyone into temptation**, A quick check into the scriptures guides us to the correct the translation of the above quotes, **using Matt. 26:42** that says, "Watch and pray, that ye enter not into temptation---" and in **James 1:13** "**Let no man say when he is tempted, I am tempted of God; for God cannot be tempted with evil: neither tempted He any man.**" We also have Yahshua's words in **Luke 22:40**: "And when he was at the place, he said unto them, "**Pray that ye enter not into temptation.**"

It might be a good idea to change your prayer habit by replacing these mistakes with the right translations: "**and let us not enter into temptation, but deliver us from evil:**" Or, Ferrar Fenton

gave us a great compensation on this: "**for you would not lead us into temptation, but deliver us from its evil, for thine is the Kingdom, and the Power and the Glory forever. Amen!**"

We find a very small error in Matthew 6:10, the word "**in earth**" should be "**on earth.**"

It is also applicative to point out that the scribes and translators introduced quite a few errors or shortcuts, which are easy to overlook. A comparison of the two gospels will reveal some surprising differences or inconsistencies. For example, starting with the most complete version— **Matthew 6:13**, it ends with: "**...For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory for ever. Amen.**" This phrase is completely left off in **Luke 11:4**, which ends the entire prayer with: "**And forgive us our sins; for we also forgive every one that is indebted to us. And lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil . . .**" This is significant because this part is based on the Old Testament at Daniel 2:37. A bit more strange to read verse **9 of Matthew, chapter 6**, and realize that something is missing. **The Name of Yahweh has been left out** without mention. One may wonder why?

The original form of the "**Pater Noster**", or the **Lord's Prayer**:

Mat 6:9: *After this manner therefore pray ye: Our Fathe which* art in heaven, Hallowed be Thy name.*

10: *Thy kingdom come. Thy will be done in earth, as it is in heaven.*

11: *Give us this day our daily bread.*

12: *And forgive us our debts, as we forgive our debtors.*

13: *And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil: For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory for ever. Amen.*

Luke 11:4 And forgive us our sins; for we also forgive every one that is indebted to us. And lead us not into temptation; but deliver us from evil*. Note* here that after the last word "evil", the prayer abruptly ends, leaving off the ending---- "**For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, for ever. Amen.**" This is how the

gospel of **Matthew 6:13** ends and it should. What is also strange, that the other two gospels, Mark and John, does not give the prayer. Just wonder why not? Also it is right to mention here that **1 Chronicles 29:11** is probably what Yahshua used as a basis to construct His prayer. We present to you the carefully researched version of "*The Lord's Prayer*"— with corrections.

*The word which and who are interchangeable in the Greek language, (using only the word *oho*), but not so much in English. Both have the same ID # as 3588 (or 3739). See Strong's Concordance, pg 50 and 52.

The Lord's Prayer

**Our father Who art in heaven,
Hallowed Be Thy name, Pahweh
Thy Kingdom come.**

**Thy will be done on earth, as it is in
heaven.**

**Give to us this day our daily bread.
forgive us our debts as we forgive our
brethren's debts*,**

**And let us not enter into temptation, but
deliber us from the evil ones**:**

**For thine is the Kingdom
and the power, and the glory, for ever.**

Amen

* George Udvary chose for some reason to retain the word Trespass.** Another source suggests was in the original.

NOTE: This article is from Pastor George Udvary's "Christian Israel Biblical Reference Manual Vol. 1. Copies of this excellent book can still be obtained from Pastor Eli James at:

<http://www.anglosaxonisrael.com>

The End OS28175



Harold Stough Notes Did Lead Poisoning Bring Down Ancient Rome (From A Science Paper Cutting - Author Thomas Sumner)

WHEN IN ANCIENT ROME, don't drink as the Romans do. High-born Romans sipped beverages cooked in lead vessels and channelled spring water into their homes through lead pipes (pictured). Some historians argue that lead poisoning plagued the Roman elite with diseases such as gout and hastened the empire's fall.

Now, a team of archaeologists and scientists has discovered just how contaminated Roman tap water was. The team dredged sediment downstream from Rome in the harbour basin at Portus, a maritime port of imperial Rome, and from a channel connecting the port to the Tiber River.

The researchers compared the lead isotopes in their sediment samples with those found in preserved Roman piping to create a historical record of lead pollution flowing from the Roman capital. **Tap water from ancient Rome likely contained up to 100 times more lead than local spring water**, the team reports online today in



t h e
*Proceedings of
the National
Academy of
S c i e n c e s .*
While the lead
contamination
w a s

measureable, the team says the levels were unlikely high enough to be harmful, ruling out tap water as a major culprit in Rome's demise.

The team's thousand-year historical record included noticeable changes in lead pollution from Rome following major events such as the Gothic Wars in 535 C.E., Byzantine repairs to abandoned Roman aqueducts in 554 C.E., and the mid-9th century Arab sack of Rome. The team says this timeline can support historians studying the changing character of Rome and Portus during the turbulent post-empire years.

The End OS21815

The Marijuana Conspiracy (Part 4)

The Real Reason why Hemp is Illegal

Doug Yurchey



I THINK THE WORD 'DRUGS' should not be used as an umbrella-word that covers all chemical agents. Drugs have come to be known as something **BAD**. Are you aware there are **LEGAL** drugstores?! Yep, in every city. Unbelievable. Each so-called drug should be considered individually. Cannabis is a medicine and not a drug. We should **DARE** to speak the **TRUTH** no matter what the law is.

In 2005, this writer penned 'The Real Reason Marijuana is Illegal' and it became (by far) my most popular article. Translated into Italian, French, Spanish, Russian, Swedish, Bulgarian and even Japanese, the responses were overwhelming. Its premise was 'marijuana' became illegal **NOT** because it was a danger to the mind and body. No, the real reason is that Big Business wants us to use petrochemicals and fossil fuels. They have little interest in **NATURAL** solutions. **THEY** have suppressed the truth concerning cannabis and purposely created the 'menace of marijuana.' Why? So they remain high profiteers while destroying our environment in the process.

Once people read the news of what has been kept from them, the truth, the reactions were outrage and disbelief at our federal authorities. Hemp used properly for all of its **INDUSTRIAL** purposes, as it was always meant to be utilized, could...?

SAVE THE WORLD!

We need not cut down another tree. We need not have to rely on Oil Companies anymore.

So many people wrote to me and said the suppression of hemp is 'insane.' Surely, governments know the potential of pot. They understand how cannabis hemp could solve the energy crisis; they know biodegradable plastics

could be produced from the hemp plant; they realize it would be a safer, cleaner world filled with (inexpensive) quality products...if only we were federally given the green light to **INDUSTRIALIZE HEMP!** Governments are well aware of the **MEDICINAL** value for 'weed.' New cloths, papers and plastics would be super durable. They could easily create nutritional **FOOD** products for a starving world. **BUT**...when did a better mousetrap ever see the light of day?

Now, with soaring gas prices bleeding us for every dollar inflating all prices, we need a cheap (renewable) fuel and energy source more than ever. Imagine hemp gas. The price of it would not be sky high since we have a limitless supply of hempen energy. Federal (guarded) hemp fields could be farmed and directed to produce a cheap/clean gasoline. Hemp fibers and oil were utilized during WWII for rope-moorings, parachutes and lubrication. Why not use hemp gasoline **NOW** when we are at another critical point in time? Why does hemp technology remain clandestine?

Why is there a war in the Middle East over oil? Do you really think it's a coincidence that George Bush is an oilman and remains steadfast against bringing our troops home? Hemp petroleum is the way to alleviate us from dependency on foreign oil. That is a wonderful dream, cannabis as the means to bring our troops home!

Email replies to the original article mainly asked **WHY?** Why don't the authorities appreciate the profits to be made from hemp products? Money rules the world, so why don't the industrialists make it legal? Tax hemp, regulate it, make it legal not for altruistic purposes but for their own high profits! The planet and its people would be much healthier. Why the **HELL** isn't this done?

There are no easy answers. Generally, my reply to these readers scratching their befuddled heads was 'secret societies.' We are not educated on how the world really functions. We grow up watching movies and television where the good guys always win. We are trained to think that our government is good and out for the benefit of all. Films portray the heroes as CIA, FBI, NSA

agents or obedient soldiers and police. We are given the false hope that governments care. Please do not be so naïve as to think this is true.

Power was never in the hands of the people. It is almost foolish to think that we, the general masses, control anything. Do you really think petitions work or writing to your congressional representative?

The truth is governments only care about themselves; we are their enemy and they need to control us (because we have the numbers) any way they can...with religion, bogus science, television, news, propaganda, commercials or via the abolition of **MARIJUANA**.



Instead of **THEM** industrializing hemp to save the planet...they have banned it. They have created a monster out of the very thing that is a precious gift to the world. NO, it does not make sense at all. However, it is understandable in the insane, Bizarro, backwards world that we live in. Apparently, any good thing is always destroyed. (Ever hear of Nikola Tesla?) Why? The answer must be **POWER** and **CONTROL**. The 'haves' do not want to give anything to the 'have-nots.' These are policies from the Dark Ages; they remain alive and well to this day. Research Secret Societies and you might understand.

They invented the monster of **MARIJUANA**. ('If we do not have an enemy, they will create one'). They had to change the word since the world would never have been turned against **HEMP**. For thousands of years going back to the wisdom of the Egyptians, hemp was employed for the betterment of the human race. Enter 'marihuana' in the 1930's; just when farm machinery improved that would have made hemp the first 'billion dollar crop!'

Have we grown up enough to see that they have pulled the wool over our eyes? We can no longer afford to be silent lambs. We have to speak up loud and strong and do what we can to spread the truth. What can we do? Share the

information, wake people up to the true facts and suppressed knowledge concerning hemp. Eventually, when the pro-hemp people become the large majority, then, the world will change for the better. In the end, we will win.

A very important aspect of those email responses to my original article came in the form of personal stories. These testimonials answer the question: Does hemp help or hurt? Now, this writer could not please everyone on such a controversial subject. Not all the emails contained high praise. There were those anti-hemp fanatics spouting rhetoric from every commercial from the 'Partnership of a Drug-Free America.' Some stated it causes 'lung cancer' as they tried to educate me on the 'toxins' in marijuana.

Well, there are no toxins in hemp. I would love to tell Judge Judy, who is always yelling for someone to take a hair follicle test, the reason why THC stays in your system for so long. (And it's 'hemp' not 'marijuana'). If it were a toxin, a poison or some foreign substance, the body would immediately reject it and expel it. The body does **NOT**. It knows THC, the active ingredient of hemp, is **GOOD**. As mentioned before, there are essential fatty acids in hemp seeds. There are other sources in nature for these essential acids, but eating hemp seeds is a great source of (important) proteins. Our own bodies tell us the truth. Shouldn't we listen to the truth within ourselves rather than the garbage broadcasted over television?

Seriously, the pro emails compared to the con emails ran 100 to one. For every one who blasted me, calling me names (these were from mainly young people)...there were about a **HUNDRED** administrators, educators, some scientists, activists and average people who related their (positive) private stories to me. Many claimed that normal medical procedures and doctors failed them miserably. The **ONLY** relief from their illnesses was illegal hemp. Here is a peek into some of the best and positive emails over the last two years. They are anonymous and edited, but they are real with the date I received them:

6/20/05 I was diagnosed with an AVM (Arterio Venous Malformation), a neuro-illness that causes me to have fits. On my second appointment with my neuro surgeon we went through the list of narcotics I was using at the time (marijuana). With that she gave me a sly look and said 'off the record Mr. _____, this will

actually reduce your blood pressure and can only be beneficial for your epilepsy. But I didn't tell you that.'

6/23/05 I took a college class in drug use, it was about street drugs, not pharmaceutical drugs. I learned that, at one time, marijuana was legal and was prescribed by MDs and dispensed by pharmacists for a variety of health problems – you know: HTN, insomnia, glaucoma, asthma, etc. I was told that the government decided to impose a \$25 tax on MDs who prescribed it and a \$35 tax on pharmacists who dispensed it. In protest, both groups elected to stop dealing with it. In response, Pres. Harriman included marijuana in his Narcotics Act of 1914: It was called the Harriman Act. They lumped it in as a class 4 narcotic meaning that there was no medical use for it, even though it's not a narcotic and no one had any indication that it was harmful in any way. In the class, we were shown pictures, taken during the early part of the century, of children smoking a joint...which, we were told, they could purchase for 5 cents, OTC, at any pharmacy, perfectly LEGAL – they were called 'muggles.'

6/18/05 You didn't mention the most important reason why there is a continuing battle against legalization of marijuana, that is because it actually is used to treat sick people with pain successfully and with no serious side effects, something the legal drug industry hasn't accomplished with all their patented expensive crap they call medication. They don't want to lose their chokehold on the billions of dollars they make with this phony medication that could be replaced with an herb anyone can grow in their backyard.

6/18/05 I have been smoking pot since 1962 and I am now 83. I wish I had started when I was a teenager. Good for you.

6/18/05 I have a lot of respect for cannabis as I have used it medically for a spinal injury for several years recently, when all else failed, as doctors gave me narcotic drugs to relieve pain,

and these drugs never worked and I was in such severe pain even with their drugs. Cannabis was the only pain reliever that worked. I have done a bit of research myself and found that cannabis is listed under a narcotic and this must be taken off the list that the United States has placed it as narcotic as opium, heroin, cocaine is totally ridiculous and bogus. I feel that if it was taken off the list and proved to the DEA, FDA, or whoever else...that it isn't a narcotic then we can get some headway on this wonderful, helpful plant. If it wasn't for cannabis I wouldn't have healed...The AMA is the worst, the most horrendous of all, because they encourage the doctors to prescribe drugs that lead to the deterioration of the body. Yes, it is a conspiracy, from the beginning, that the corporations don't want hemp to be grown. If they did, the logging industry would be wiped out. I live in southern Oregon and it is the biggest industry here...

6/19/05 I'm a 41 year old victim of Cystic Fibrosis, a genetic disease that kills people usually around 32 years old. I have the worst type of genetic mutation but still alive at the amazement of my Doctor. The reason? Maybe **HEMP!** I use it in a moderate way, around 2-3 times a week, usually eating it, and the benefit of that medicine is very good for me. Cystic Fibrosis kills people by pneumonia.


An effect of hemp is drying mucus secretion so it kills bacteria by starvation. Even better: an antioxidant property of hemp that prevents lung tissue degradation. Another characteristic of Cystic Fibrosis is destruction of the digestive system, especially pancreas, stomach, liver and intestine. That is appetite inhibiting. I'm often eating because of holy hemp. It avoids me from losing too much weight and helps my defence against the constant lung infection. If my doctor knew the truth, he'd surely start hemp harvesting for sure!

6/20/05 I am a Disabled Veteran who spent 17 years taking the VA's Medication. I moved to Arizona; and a Pima Indian Medicine Man gave me an 'herb' to use as a tea to lower my blood pressure. Within 3 weeks it had gone from



210/146 to 130/70. Obviously, I quit the Government Medication and I now participate in the interesting hobby of home gardening of herbal cures for the ills of mankind! By the way, just so you don't think I'm 'a dooper,' I served 8 years in the USAF, 2 years as a Palm Beach County FL Police Officer and then 17 years as a Paramedic. I have a Masters of Theology degree from Indiana Wesleyan University. Thanks for having the guts to publish THE TRUTH.

6/23/05 Doug, I also referred Montel Williams to your article about hemp 'marijuana' because he has multiple sclerosis and wants to legalize marijuana for medicinal purpose since it seems to help ms folks with pain. Since he has a TV talk show, I thought maybe he would give your article some publicity.



6/30/05 I am bipolar and when I smoke I am calmer than I am on my pills. I also have fibromyalgia and when in pain I grab my trusty friend Pot; it helps me function and eat. If I didn't have it, I would be dead years ago. Some days I can hardly make it down the stairs because of the ache and pains in my joints. Also, I suffer from severe migraines where I can't even open my eyes because I am light sensitive then my head pounds. I have been on many narcotics from vicodin to oxycottin and they all make me feel worse than smoking a damn joint. Only in America would they make a plant so beneficial to humankind, the most dangerous 'drug' in all the land. If I didn't go score I would be in pain and would never be able to walk out to get my mail. My fiancé gets upset since I can't work but she knows I can't help it. I want to work. I want to be the breadwinner of the family, but physically I cannot maintain standing for more than a few moments before I feel like I am passing out because of the pain. I went to numerous doctors and told them that pot helps

me...all of them said since I live in Ohio, they cannot do anything for me besides narcotics...but I cannot smoke a joint...that is rubbish in my book.

7/31/05 I don't know if you have thought about this before, but after all the research I have done, it is my opinion that JFK was assassinated over hemp. He was a known user for his back pain, he fired Anslinger as soon as he got into office and it was said he was going to legalize it in his 2nd term. He never made it to his 2nd term. Maybe you can dig a bit more on your end and see what you come up with to support this theory of mine. It makes sense, don't you think? Keep educating people one day at a time...it's our only shot.

11/18/05 The actual truth that the oil giants do not want anyone to know is that most 'non producing' oil fields (for example, Derrick Island off Louisiana) have been found to have mysteriously refilled themselves after closing them down. This is because the vast majority of oil is not biotic but abiotic. The planet's crust produces billions of new gallons of crude annually and this is refilling the fields pumped out in almost every case. That is why Saudi Arabia just doubled the estimate of its known reserves over its predictions of 10 years ago. The wells keep refilling themselves due to planetary mechanics. Biotic oil is a discredited theory. There was never enough biomass in any prehistoric period to produce the amount of petroleum we have already consumed on this planet to date.

1/7/06 The most important health benefits come from smoking (or otherwise consuming) hemp/cannabis plant material which contains high levels of the active ingredients (THC, etc.) The higher the level of active ingredients, the more medicinal and beneficial it is. This is highly effective medicine, with no negative side effects, which is being denied to people in desperate need because of a pack of lies!

12/10/06 Weed is unprocessed and natural, as opposed to other processed drugs. I have eaten hemp seeds and have even had hemp oil and see the unspoken benefits from them even before I was planning to research the subject.

12/10/06 Maybe by the time I retire I can smoke a joint in peace, not fearing the Police and my government. But, other times it just seems hopeless, but I refuse to believe that money is the most powerful force in our Universe...there must be some room for truth.

12/16/06 I am a recreational therapist working for a state operated drug treatment centre. If I were to speak in these terms, I doubt I would remain in favour of those above me.

2/2/07 As a medical marijuana user for many years, I would not be here without it. I was a vegetable on the prescribed medication and don't remember 2 whole years of my life while I was on morphine. Now I live a full and active life, running a business and bringing up a family. Is it too late to change views? I do hope not because it has so much to offer.

Above are some of the best comments from hundreds of replies. My article was far from perfect. I thank those more informed on plants than myself that told me there are other plants that physically reveal their sex such as the holly plant. Many criticized my belief that cannabis had an extraterrestrial origin. That conclusion came out of decades of studying our ancestors of prehistory. My studies have shown a completely new genesis for the human race where we have lost great knowledge. Cannabis Sativa and all of its infinite and vital uses may be evidence that some great intelligence (with a plan) manufactured it. 'Chance' cannot account for its abundant purposes. To some people, the existence of hemp might be proof of the

existence of GOD! My articles explore multiple controversies and truths that will never be aired on television:

Writing about hemp, answering the emails and the entire Internet experience has taught me that there are all kinds of people. You cannot please everyone. What was 'brilliant' to one person was contemptible to another. People 'think' they know the truth, but the truth is they only know what they have been programmed to think. Very few people do independent research. That's too much work; they prefer sitting back, watching TV and letting others do their thinking for them. They do not **QUESTION** what has been handed them. But, if they did explore other possibilities outside of the norm...if they dreamed of a different world...and entertained the idea that we have been lied to by our leaders...maybe we would learn a little and come closer to the **FREEDOM** that we all rightfully deserve. A cleaner, safer planet will happen. It may not be soon, but it will happen in time...

Dedicated to V for Vendetta and those with the courage to change the world for the better!

The End OS21750

57 Years Ago: U.S. And Britain Approved Use Of Islamic Extremists To Topple Syrian Government



BBC reports that – in 1957 – the British and American leaders approved the use of Islamic extremists and false flag attacks to topple

the Syrian government:

Nearly 50 years before the war in Iraq, Britain and America sought a secretive “regime change” in another Arab country... by planning the invasion of Syria and the assassination of leading figures.

Newly discovered documents show how in 1957 **[former Prime Minister of the United Kingdom] Harold Macmillan and President Dwight Eisenhower approved** a CIA-MI6 plan to stage fake border incidents as an excuse for an invasion by Syria's pro-western neighbours,

and then to “eliminate” the most influential triumvirate in Damascus.

Although historians know that intelligence services had sought to topple the Syrian regime in the autumn of 1957, this is the first time any document has been found showing that the assassination of three leading figures was at the heart of the scheme. In the document drawn up by a top secret and high-level working group that met in Washington in September 1957, Mr Macmillan and President Eisenhower were left in no doubt about the need to assassinate the top men in Damascus.

Mr Macmillan ordered the plan withheld even from British chiefs of staff, because of their tendency “to chatter”.

Driving the call for action was the CIA's Middle East chief Kermit Roosevelt, grandson of former president Theodore Roosevelt.



K e r m i t
Roosevelt had a
proven track
record in this
sort of thing.
According to the
New York
Times, he was
the leader of the
CIA's coup in
Iran in 1953,
which – as
subsequently
admitted by the
CIA - used false

flag terror to topple the democratically elected leader of Iran.

More importantly, Syria also had control of one of the main oil arteries of the Middle East, the pipeline which connected pro-western Iraq's oilfields to Turkey.

The report said that once the necessary degree of fear had been created, frontier incidents and border clashes would be staged to provide a pretext for Iraqi and Jordanian military intervention. Syria had to be “made to appear as the sponsor of plots, sabotage and violence directed against neighbouring governments,” the report says. “CIA and SIS should use their capabilities in both the psychological and action fields to augment tension.”

The plan called for funding of a “Free Syria Committee” [hmmm ... sounds vaguely familiar], and the arming of “political factions with paramilitary or other actionist capabilities” within Syria. The CIA and MI6 would instigate internal uprisings, for instance by the Druze [a Shia Muslim sect] in the south, help to free political prisoners held in the Mezze prison, and stir up the Muslim Brotherhood in Damascus.

[Background: Governments from Around the World – Including Western, Islamic, Asian and African Nations – ADMIT They Carry Out False Flag Terror]

Is it purely coincidence that the U.S. has heavily armed Al Qaeda Muslim extremists in Syria (and see this), and trained the jihadis who later became ISIS?

Regime change in Syria was not a once-off plan. Neo-conservatives also planned regime change in Syria more than 20 years ago ... in 1991.

The West Has Been Arbitrarily Breaking Up Middle Eastern Countries for 100 Years.

The Western powers agreed 100 years ago to arbitrarily divvy up the Middle East, without regard for historical boundaries.

Neo-conservatives in the U.S. and Israel have long advocated for the balkanisation of Syria into smaller regions based on ethnicity and religion. The goal was to break up the country, and to do away with the sovereignty of Syria as a separate nation. (The same goal has long applied to Iraq and other Arab states as well.)

In 1982, a prominent Israeli journalist formerly attached to the Israeli Foreign Ministry allegedly wrote a book expressly calling for the break up of Syria:

All the Arab states should be broken down, by Israel, into small units

Dissolution of Syria and Iraq later on into ethnically or religiously unique areas such as in Lebanon, is Israel's primary target on the Eastern front in the long run.

In any event, it is well-documented that – in 1996 U.S. and Israeli Neocons advocated: Weakening, containing, and even rolling back Syria

Michel Chossudovsky pointed out last month:


Destabilization and political fragmentation in Syria is also contemplated: Washington's intent is no longer to pursue the narrow objective of “regime change” in Damascus. What is contemplated is the break up of both Iraq and Syria along sectarian-ethnic lines.

And the following map prepared by Lieutenant-Colonel Ralph Peters (retired colonel of the U.S. National War Academy) in the Armed Forces Journal in June 2006 shows a balkanised Syria and Middle East:

The End OS21810

Give The White Man His Due!

By Peter Anthony



TODAY, if one is to believe the constant anti-white, hate-filled and bigoted Establishment propaganda, the white man is the greatest source of evil and unrighteousness in the world. Waves and waves of this propaganda spread the lie that whites are always the victimizers—but never the victim—and have meant nothing but ill towards the "always benevolent races," which have surrounded her lands. As the propagandists teach, Whites—especially those who would preserve their race—are the lowest form of life on earth, to be despised and persecuted, rooted out and exposed as heretics if they do not toe the Multi-Cultural Party line. With their constant encouragement of race-mixing and cover-ups of genuine cases of anti-white genocide throughout history (the Bolshevik revolution, Stalin and the bloody work of his Jewish controllers, deportations and murders of millions of Germans after WWII, etc.), it is quite clear to growing numbers of thinking people that the Establishment seeks the destruction of the White race.

This article is meant to grant them their wish, to suppose that whites had never graced the lands of this planet with their creativity and intelligence. Where would the establishment be? This effort will merely scratch the surface of white creativity. Indeed, it would take hundreds of pages to detail it all.

Throughout history, no other people have contributed to the betterment of mankind—of all races—than the White race. The "Aryan mind" has been the source of genius, the prime cultivator of technology, and the driving force of progress. Aryans have always been the source of High Culture.

There is the work of Archimedes, inventor of the lever and pulley; the Archimedean screw, used to lift water for irrigation; the catapult; and the concepts of hydrostatics and buoyancy, among others. Many other ancients have been responsible for the basic inventions that all succeeding generations have drawn upon, from the wheel to basic math and science concepts. Would you throw it all away? The breadth of work by Italian Leonardo da Vinci nearly stuns the mind. His almost workable plans for such revolutionary concepts as the movable bridge, construction crane, a flying machine, the parachute, and other ideas, became realities due to other White contributions to the fulfilment of these ideas.

Driving a car or other vehicle, on land or water is enjoyed by nearly all. But for the contributions of Germans such as Karl Benz, Gottlieb Daimler, and Wilhelm Maybach to automobile technology, how far would we go? Daimler and Maybach were the first to invent an internal combustion engine light enough to power an automobile; and that they did, though only with three wheels at first. They had perfected a motor bicycle as far back as 1885; a four wheeled car in 1886, and by 1926 had combined with motor vehicle pioneer Karl Benz to form Mercedes-Benz, "Mercedes" being Karl's daughter.

German Rudolf Diesel invented the economic and efficient diesel engine. Men like brothers Charles and Frank Duryea built the first successful gas power automobile on American shores. Vincent Bendix's "Bendix-drive" made hand-cranking an engine obsolete. Henry Ford, the father of mass-production, not to mention white patriot and grand exposé of the Jews, made his Model-T available to the masses and produced the first V-8 engine. Countless others have made critical contributions to modern automotive technology.

How about the works of other Germans? Rudolf Bunsen invented the gas heater called the Bunsen

burner, used in tens of thousands of laboratories and schools, for learning and developing a multitude of ways to benefit our lives. Johannes Gutenberg, as early as the 15th century, developed a movable type mould, first mass-producing the Bible, then other books. The Jewish author of 'Germany Must Perish' surely did not take into account Gutenberg's contribution to the publishing of his vile and vindictive book of genocidal hatred. Elias Howe invented a practical sewing machine in 1846. Karl von Sauerbronn developed the first bicycle as early as 1816. The Siemens brothers pioneered electric railways and lighting, deep-sea telegraph cables, an open-hearth steel-making process, and greatly improved electroplating procedures. These Germans, and many thousands more, have contributed much to your way of life.


We all enjoy the ready availability of clothing. Would you do without the works of Sir Richard Arkwright, who, as early as 1769, developed a water-powered spinning machine? Edmund Cartwright and Samuel Crompton also made critical advances in weaving technology. Other Whites have also contributed to the development of the textile industry, which we all benefit from.

Enjoy flying? Would you do without the Whites who invented the airplane, who developed it through its infant stages? The Wright brothers, Samuel Langley, Glenn Curtis, and others began the process. Frenchman Henri Coanda, developed the first airplane to run on a jet propulsion system and, in an entirely different vein of inventive genius, developed a way to convert salt water to freshwater by using the energy of the sun. Multitudes of Whites have contributed to these amazing industries. It would take volumes to detail them all.

How about feeding the masses—not only of our own country—but other nations? Where would we be without John Deere's basic, revolutionary steel plow? Cyrus McCormick invented a reaping machine which is largely credited for the 19th century revolution in agriculture. Eli Whitney invented the cotton gin which helped the South stand on her own two feet. Michael

Cudahy developed cold-storage for meat packing, quite a revolutionary and healthy concept. There are many more where those came from. We not only feed many other nations, but give them machinery and teach them so they may one day provide for themselves. Would they have come up with these things on their own? Thousands of years of their bleak history say otherwise.

Whites have also done wonders for the fields of communication. Would you prefer that Samuel Morse had never invented the telegraph, or Morse code; or that Alexander Graham Bell had never invented the telephone? Edwin Howard Armstrong discovered a concept known as frequency modulation. Those of us who are technologically illiterate know this as FM radio. Walter House Brattain, John Bardeen, and William Shockley developed the transistor, and shared a Nobel Prize for it. Italian Guglielmo Marconi invented the wireless telegraph. Lee De



Forest improved wireless telegraphy, and revolutionized radio broadcasting with his invention of the triode vacuum tube, an item which is now basic to long distance radio and television communication. Nicholai Tesla also made dramatic improvements in the field of radio

communication.

How about that inventor of inventors; White man extraordinaire, Thomas Alva Edison, who—to this day—is unsurpassed in the number of U.S./International Patents issued. He and his White staff invented and improved on many of our staple inventions, such as motion pictures, telephone transmitters, typewriters, electronic generators, the mimeograph machine, and phonograph. He and Tesla invented such modern necessities as the electric light bulb. Enjoy flipping a switch and reading at night? You've got White men to thank.

Here are just a few of the many other Whites who have contributed to invention. Robert Fulton built the first steam-powered river boat, and helped develop early submarine and canal technology. Enjoy taking and looking at photographs? We have pioneers such as Edwin Land and Belgian-American Leo Hendrick Baekeland to thank. After Englishman Dr.

Dunlop's initial discoveries Charles Goodyear perfected the process of vulcanised rubber, thus allowing for longevity of tires. Percy Spencer invented the microwave oven. Nicholai Tesla and Willis Carrier both made critical contributions to the air-conditioning process which makes all of our lives easier today. Many Americans cannot imagine what life would be like without air-conditioning, and they've got White men to thank.

Peter Cooper built the first American steam locomotive, the Tom Thumb. William Burroughs developed the first adding and calculating machines, forerunners of many, many things to come, including the cash-register. Let's not forget those White men who developed early computer technology; like Vannevar Bush, who built the first analogue computer in 1930, Blaise Pascal, Charles Babbage, George Boole, and countless others who have contributed to this modern marvel that has touched all our lives.

What would life be like without all of these inventions? Better? Would any of the above exist? Well, don't be a hypocrite. Throw away all your technology! Go build a lean-to in the woods and try, just try, to live like the primitive cultures. See if you can. Remember what has happened to every nation . . . when Whites have left. What if there were no White people on the face of this world? Would you now destroy the White race?

There are other inventions and inventors, countless others. This paper has only scratched the surface, and has not even made an effort to delve into the enormous White contributions to art and cultural history. White history is indeed glorious, and it is time White children started looking into it. It is high time we gave the White man his due!

The End OS

Churchill's Last Secretary Dies T Stokes



The death of Sir Anthony Montague Browne on April 1, 2013 aged 89, who was the last secretary to Winston Churchill leaves me free to set the record straight on a few misunderstood aspects on Churchill's premiership.

Sir Anthony, who was a likeable man with a very dry sense of humour, joined Churchill's team in 1952 but from that vantage point was able to gain unique insight into what had happened in the years before. Apart from having access to all his private correspondence and discussions, Sir Anthony differed from Churchill's bodyguards and secretaries in that he was chosen by the Treasury after a short political and psychological assessment,

Sir Anthony said many times Churchill was more worried about being assassinated by his own

people than the enemy, for taking them into another needless war.

Churchill's stated reason for Britain entering WWII over Poland was another one of history's great falsehoods. The real motive had more to do banking interests.

Adolf Hitler was adamantly opposed to Communism and was supported in this by Britain and the USA, right up until he threw out the bankers. At that point Churchill was manoeuvred into power by the bankers to bring Germany back under their control.

No matter that a world war followed that left millions dead or that the Soviet Union was left in control of most of Eastern Europe. As far as the bankers were concerned Churchill had fulfilled his task. For far from being a great leader, he was simply a servant of the money powers who had helped them reassert control over Europe.

Sir Anthony had a better grasp of this than Churchill. In fact Sir Anthony was to say Churchill had a poor grasp of politics and international intrigue, and would concentrate on relatively minor matters to the exclusion of the bigger picture. On his own initiative, Sir

Anthony presented Churchill with the most important papers and ideas leaving Churchill's subordinates to deal with less important affairs.



Without Sir Anthony's (above) scrutiny and prioritisation, Churchill could have been easily have been side tracked and bogged down in less important tasks.

Nonetheless, Churchill still made key decisions, for better or for worse. During WWII one such was the Allied bombing campaign of German civilians and despite what the history books may say, this was not Bomber Harris's brainchild.

Sir Anthony claimed that Lord Cherwell had more influence on Churchill than almost anyone else and it was Cherwell together with Churchill who had masterminded taking the war to the wives and children of German soldiers. In this too Churchill was fulfilling the bankers' wishes. For the money-powers wanted the German factories intact to later seize as war reparations.

So civilians had been targeted instead in an effort to undermine Germany's war morale.

Moreover, according to Sir Anthony Churchill had also implicitly sanctioned General Eisenhower's campaign of genocide against German Prisoners of War, in which more than a million and some estimate as many as 9 million German POWs died.

Churchill's quote, "A prisoner of war is a man who tries to kill you and fails, and then asks you not to kill him." was cited by Lawrence Burgis as proof that Churchill knew what Eisenhower planned to do at the war's end.

Nor should we forget that Churchill was loathe to feed and house hundreds of thousands of German prisoners and refugees. For Churchill it was easier and more cost effective to bomb and

burn them in their homes, or order the RAF to strafe refugee columns, as they did.

This alone has prevented the wartime bomber crews ever getting any medals.

Lord Cherwell was said to spend more time with Churchill than even Rothschild or Churchill's wife and Lord Moran, Churchill's doctor often wondered if she really knew real Churchill's sexual tastes.

Quite possibly she didn't as Jock Colville was known to bring him prostitutes. While Sir Anthony was to admit Churchill liked to visit Morocco the homosexual hideaway of the time where young boys were easily available.

As with so many of history's victors, the less savoury aspects of Churchill's past have been airbrushed out of the official record.

After Churchill's resignation in 1955 Sir Anthony was suddenly brought back from the Foreign Office to be Churchill's private secretary to discuss the blossoming Suez crisis. When Nasser demanded payment from the international community for using the Suez Canal, the Israelis demanded that Britain go in and take it for them.

Meanwhile, Churchill seemed unconcerned as the crisis unfolded.

Instead he fell and broke a hip while drunk in the South of France and Sir Anthony described him as laying there like a drunken stag and joked with Churchill's doctor Lord Moran that it should stop his political meddling.

After the broken hip the RAF flew them both back to London and when Churchill died on 24 January, 1965 Montague Browne signed the death certificate.

Sir Anthony would tell the story of how while at a gambling casino, Churchill was spotted by singer Frank Sinatra, who came past with his group and made a big thing of recognising Churchill and shaking his hand profusely, saying "I always wanted to do that" to his little entourage.

Whereupon Churchill turned angrily to Sir Anthony and said "who the hell was that"?

The End OS21860

Stonehenge; The Aubrey Circuit And The Sabbatical Law And Calendar



THE Stonehenge Observatory situated on the Salisbury Plains of Southern England, remains to most modern researchers, one of the foremost mysteries of [British](#) antiquity. It is far too often hastily concluded by researchers, that we will never truly know what the site was constructed for; but this is a view, far too constrained by the thinking of those who seek to fit the Universe into their ability to comprehend it, rather than seek to expand their comprehension to be able to understand the Universe.

It is possible that; like the recently unlocked and revealed mathematical "[Bible Code](#)" which has been known about for centuries by great pioneer-explorers of the unknown, like [Isaac Newton](#), but never actually deciphered and interpreted, until recent decades when the computer was invented; Stonehenge is encoded using technology or technologies that mankind has not yet realized and, like The Bible, will unfold and grow as people's comprehension and understanding expands to enable them to absorb and utilize the higher technologies for their benefit, and not their destruction, as technologies in this day-and-age are often misused, by rich; powerful Edomites to exalt their own personal wealth and status to enslave Jacob-Israel, and other nations as foretold in the Scriptures. First of all, we must learn [unselfishness towards our brethren](#) and how to live as one, in the limited state we are presently in; before we can expect to excel into the realms of real technology - into the technologies of the greater Universe, which already does [exist as One](#).

Although Stonehenge is a very sophisticated standing stone circle whose entire workings have not yet become apparent, there are aspects of it which conform exactly with and are instruments of discernment of the ancient and basic Sabbatical Calendar System, as was described in The Law, which was handed to Moses at Mt.

Sinai, by The King and Ruler of The Universe, for the whole world to be able to live by, in peace and harmony, as One, with Him and the rest of The Universe. The Law is recorded in the first 5 Books of The Holy Bible - Genesis; Exodus; Leviticus; Numbers and Deuteronomy, the original Scrolls of which are kept in [The Ark of The Covenant](#), which is by far the Greatest Treasure on Earth, and is concealed in a hidden subterranean Mergech (tomb) at The Hill of Tara, Co. Meath, Ireland; awaiting the Great Day of Revealing.

The Sabbatical Calendar system was encoded at Stonehenge, on the outer-most circle at the site, known as the Aubrey Circuit, with its 56 posts, representing counting positions. The Aubrey Circle was also used for lunar cycle calculations, but to simplify the explanation of the workings of the Sabbatical Calendar, a single 7-pointed star, with star-ray points at every eighth post position, is used.




Figure 1. Stonehenge.
(Blue circle denotes Aubrey Circuit)

Figure 1: The 7-pointed star used for calculating the Sabbatical Calendar on the Aubrey Circuit at Stonehenge. The system was built around the 13-month lunar year, with each month being exactly 28 days in duration (364-days).

In this system there was a deficit error of close to 1.25 days per annum, when compared to the true solar year. Rather than disrupt society by making annual corrections to the calendar, the system was allowed to run for 7 years until the Sabbatical year. At the termination of the 7th year, there was a festival of 8 days, in which the

calendar was corrected before the beginning of the next year, and the cycle then recommenced.

Deuteronomy 15:1 *At the end of [every] seven years thou shalt make a release.*

15:2 *And this [is] the manner of the release: Every creditor that lendeth [ought] unto his neighbour shall release [it]; he shall not exact [it] of his neighbour, or of his brother; because it is called the "I AM"'s release.*

31:10 *And Moses commanded them, saying, At the end of [every] seven years, in the solemnity of The Year of Release, in the Feast of Tabernacles,*

31:11 *When all Israel is come to appear before the "I AM" thy God in the place which He shall choose, thou shalt read this Law (God's Laws of Liberty) before all Israel in their hearing.*

31:12 *Gather the people together, men, and women, and children, and thy stranger that [is] within thy gates, that they may hear, and that they may learn, and fear the "I AM" your God, and observe to do all the words of this Law:*

Under this age-old system originating in the Middle-East (*Mt. Sinai*), each 7th day was a Sabbath, each 7th month was a Sabbatical Month (equalling 28 Sabbath Days), each 7th year was a Sabbatical Year (equalling 13 Sabbatical months) and the 7th Sabbatical Year was special, inasmuch as major correction occurred then, before commencement of the 50th or Jubilee Year.

Exodus 20:8 *Remember the Sabbath day, to keep it holy. It is NOT wrong to do GOOD deeds on the Sabbath. The Sabbath was made for man. Man was not made for the Sabbath.*

20:9 *Six days shalt thou labour, and do all thy work:*

20:10 *But the seventh day [is] the Sabbath of the "I AM" thy God: [in it] thou shalt not do any work, thou, nor thy son, nor thy daughter, thy manservant, nor thy maidservant, nor thy cattle, nor thy stranger that [is] within thy gates:*

20:11 *For [in] six days the "I AM" made heaven and earth, the sea, and all that in them [is], and rested the seventh day: wherefore the "I AM" blessed the Sabbath day, and hallowed it.*

Leviticus 16:29 *And [this] shall be a Statute for ever unto you: [that] in the seventh month, on the tenth [day] of the month, ye shall afflict your souls, and do no work at all, [whether it be] one*

of your own country, or a stranger that sojourneth among you:

16:30 *For on that day shall [the priest] make an atonement (at-one-ment) for you, to cleanse you, [that] ye may be clean from all your sins before the "I AM".*



Leviticus 25:1 *And the "I AM" spoke unto Moses in mount Sinai, saying,*

25:2 *Speak unto the children of Israel, and say unto them, When ye come into the land which I give you, then shall the land keep a Sabbath unto the "I AM".*

25:3 *Six years thou shalt sow thy field, and six years thou shalt prune thy vineyard, and gather in the fruit thereof;*

25:4 *But in the seventh year shall be a Sabbath of rest unto the land, a Sabbath for the "I AM": thou shalt neither sow thy field, nor prune thy vineyard.*

25:5 *That which groweth of its own accord of thy harvest thou shalt not reap, neither gather the grapes of thy vine undressed: [for] it is a year of rest unto the land.*

25:6 *And the Sabbath of the land shall be meat for you; for thee, and for thy servant, and for thy maid, and for thy hired servant, and for thy stranger that sojourneth with thee,*

25:7 *And for thy cattle, and for the beasts that [are] in thy land, shall all the increase thereof be meat.*

25:8 *And thou shalt number seven Sabbaths of years unto thee, seven times seven years; and the space of the seven Sabbaths of years shall be unto thee forty and nine years.*

25:9 *Then shalt thou cause the trumpet of The Jubilee to sound on the tenth [day] of the seventh month, in The Day of Atonement shall ye make the trumpet sound throughout all your land.*

25:10 *And ye shall hallow the fiftieth year, and proclaim Liberty throughout [all] the land unto all the inhabitants thereof: it shall be a Jubilee unto you; and ye shall return every man unto his possession, and ye shall return every man unto his family.*

25:11 A jubilee shall that fiftieth year be unto you: ye shall not sow, neither reap that which groweth of itself in it, nor gather [the grapes] in it of thy vine undressed.

25:12 For it [is] The Jubilee; it shall be holy unto you: ye shall eat the increase thereof out of the field.

25:13 In the year of this jubilee ye shall return every man unto his possession.


25:14 And if thou sell ought unto thy neighbour, or buyest [ought] of thy neighbour's hand, ye shall not oppress one another:

25:15 According to the number of years after The Jubilee thou shalt buy of thy neighbour, [and] according unto the number of years of the fruits he shall sell unto thee:

25:16 According to the multitude of years thou shalt increase the price thereof, and according to the fewness of years thou shalt diminish the price of it: for [according] to the number [of the years] of the fruits doth he sell unto thee.

25:17 Ye shall not therefore oppress one another; but thou shalt fear thy God: for I [am] the "I AM" your God.

One of the functions of the 56 Aubrey posts was to count out a full Sabbatical cycle, such that all would be correct and running true before the outset of a Jubilee year.



The Aubrey Circuit worked like this:

a). The cycle commenced in the space between post 56 and 1, where the "Slaughter Stone*" is situated, just inside the Avenue, which is located at the North-East side of the Stonehenge site. Each post was assigned a value of 364 days (52 weeks - 13 lunar months of 28 days each - 1 year).

* Shown in the foreground of the photo at top of this article.

b). The count would move clockwise through 7 spaces and 7 posts, culminating in a passage of 7 years or 2548 days. This meant that an error of 1.25 days had built up each year, for 7 years (based on the rise of Sirius... 365.25 days) and the calendar was now 8.75 days in arrears - 8 full days and 3/4 of a day.

c). The 8th space acted as a division between one Sabbatical Year period and the next and the 8th post (the first star-ray point) was assigned a "catch-up" value of 8 days which was added to the 2548 days already accrued. The new Sabbatical Year, therefore, commenced on the 2556th day with only .75 of a day of deficit error. The process was then duplicated through each span between the 7 star-ray points, with posts 8, 16, 24, 32, 40, 48 and 56 all providing 8 intercalary days each to correct the calendar before completion of the Circuit and commencement of a new Sabbatical period.

d). At the end of the 7th Sabbatical period (49 years) the last of the 8 intercalary days were added at the post 56 position (the 7th star-ray point), plus an additional 5 days (13 days total). The 5 additional days were the result of the .75 of a day of deficit (based on the Sirius Year count of 365.25 days) per Sabbatical Year period x 7 such periods (5.25 days actual). The .25 of a day beyond 5 days was sacrificed to render the 49 year average at 365.245 days per annum. The precise figure sought after was 365.242 days (Mayan Year) and the margin of error, after 49 years, was around 4 minutes and 17 seconds... based on nothing more than counting posts.

A major check and balance system for precise reckoning existed in observing the Equinox [rises](#) and sets, but the 56 posts could be used to teach initiates the mathematical basis of the Sabbatical cycle concept and to keep-track of the progress of the Sabbatical Calendar position.

The Sabbatical Calendar system, being built-into Britain's country-side, is another one of the many clues that proves that the British peoples are Israel; and just cannot be completely hidden away, by the "System's" hierarchy, who wish to hide the Truth from the sheeple, in order to control and enslave them, with their illegal (under God's Perfect Laws of Liberty) and

Satanic legislation, which is designed to make themselves (the Edomites) richer and everyone else poor and slaves to them.

When the [Israelite peoples](#) of the world (the White Caucasians.) find the Truth, that they are all the literal descendants of God's servant Nation, Israel, who were given The Perfectly Just Legal System by The Ruler of The Universe, that ensures total freedom and prosperity for all, and in which any alteration or legislation is strictly prohibited and punishable by death; they then have all the ammunition that they need, to [fight](#) and win the [class-war](#) and win back their, and the rest of the world's, freedom from the oppression and injustice, that the whole world is presently suffering from, under the unjust legislation that the Edomites New World Order is using to deceive and [enslave](#) them all.

ONLY the TRUTH can set you free.

Fighting, [using the Truth](#), to have God's Laws and Judgments reinstated as the only Law on Planet Earth, is the **ONLY WAY** to defeat the New World Order and Unite the whole world in Peace, as One; as it is [God's Way](#) and His Will, and therefore He WILL be 100% with you, guiding you every step of The Way and helping you to fight and win, to bring True Freedom and [Justice for All](#) and an end to these dark times of oppression; injustice and dearth.

...And then, when we have found True Peace and Unity on Planet Earth, we can then progress into the realms of real learning and real technology and accelerate towards becoming [One with the rest of The Universe](#)...

The End OS21822

Letters And Views



A Police State: "From The Dictionary"

Is a **STATE** in which the government

exercises rigid and repressive controls over the social, economic, and political life of the population. A **POLICE STATE** typically exhibits elements of **TOTALITARIANISM** and **SOCIAL CONTROL**, and there is usually little or no distinction between the **LAW** and the exercise of **POLITICAL POWER** by the **EXECUTIVE**.

Any similarity with The City of London & Washington D. C.???"

The Constitution & The Monarch

Sir ___, Thank you for your reply to Clive Jones which he passed to me. However I must point out that Lord Templeman was wrong however a distinguished Judge he purported to be. Thomas Pitt Taswell-Langmead BCL OXON Professor of Constitutional law and History university College London was quite specific in his History

of English Constitutional History. Stevens and Haynes. Bell Yard Temple Bar, 1896 where he states clearly that the 1689 Bill of Rights removed no authority from the King it merely restated the current position and in fact returned the authority of the King to what it had been before the Tudor's and the Stuart's had usurped the Throne.

A simple reading of the Bill of Rights will not produce any transfer of authority from the King to the House of Commons as the elected chamber. Even reading between the lines it does not transfer any authority from the King as the Anointed Sovereign to the lower house.

This is a clear attempt on the part of the lower house to continue its power grab which it has been on since at least 1420 when in return for voting money for the King they were given the sole right to initiate legislation.

King George III fought a twenty year running battle with the House of Commons over where ultimate Sovereignty lay, with him as the anointed King or with the House of Commons as the elected house. After an impassioned speech by Lord Chatham (Pitt the Elder) the house voted and the King won the vote. Since that vote no other vote has been taken in the House of Commons so by your own houses

vote full Sovereignty lays with Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II. Any rejection of her absolute Sovereignty as our anointed Queen constitutes a clear act of treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act and the Common Law of England.

Her Majesty is much loved by the common man not just in England but half the world, any attempt to remove Her Majesty's undoubted right to full Sovereignty by even such an august house as the Commons is likely to bring about the union of three things lampposts rope and necks. Hemp I feel sure will not grace your neck as nicely as a silk tie, but hemp is the appropriate neck dressing for treason. **Respectfully submitted, Albert Burgess.**

Has The Queen Committed Treason?



Our Head of State was central to the events of 1972/3, and the question of whether she discharged her Constitutional role fully at that time, is unclear, and surrounded by lingering doubts.

Heath's signing of the Treaty of Rome and subsequent treaties required the Royal Assent, though the overwhelming view would be, that she was entirely blameless, but to make this clear once and for all, she needs to make it the subject of a Royal Commission, where the facts are examined in the most minute detail, and if necessary, wrongdoers put on trial.

This would eventually require an explanation of how : trading agreement Common Market, evolved into: sovereignty relinquishing European Union, and why cost of membership was entirely omitted from the public debate on the run up to Jan 1st 1973.

It is important to bear in mind, that the Lisbon Treaty becomes absolute on November 1st.
Yours truly, Peter Mchugh

German Stealth Technology Used in WW2 Is Still Classified

Sir ____, I was watching a documentary about the Japanese super-submarine from WW2. It was a sub with a hangar on its deck which could launch 3 planes. It was conceived by Yamamoto who wanted 18 built to terrorise American cities. But when he died momentum was lost. They never made it into battle and the two they produced were captured by the US Navy.

But ... Here's the kicker. These Japanese subs were coated with an asphalt-type of sonar absorbing coating to make them partly stealthy. The Japanese got the formula from the Germans who invented it. The documentary says that this WW2 German stealth formula is "still highly classified" to this day!!!!

The American navy scuttled both Jap submarines so that they would not have to show them to the Russians.

In the 1950's the US produced a class of submarine called Regulus and it had a hangar on the top that looked exactly like the Jap super subs. Out of the hangar came a very plane-like looking cruise missile which launched from the top of the sub.

The Jap design of two subs bolted together stopped the problem of the sub tipping over from the heavy hangar on the top.

On YouTube you can find Regulus class US subs launching those plane-like missiles from the hangar and it looks very impressive.

So the story goes ... The Americans learned how to launch cruise missiles from the Jap super subs and blah blah this led to modern launching of icbms from American subs.

Not!! I have seen film and photo footage of German U-boats in WW2 successfully firing rockets from a submerged U-boat straight up like modern US subs!

You can slice it how you like it ... But the Germans were way ahead of everybody. The Germans were leaving everyone in the dust!! And they will do it again ... **Mark my words!!**
Yours truly Jack

Immigration Past & Present

To Ma Dame_, A delightful view of your office "slightly faded Victorian" in the Mail today. The death threats you received after supporting immigration. Due to peculiar circumstances I was down and out in London and working as a kitchen porter. A colleague was a Mr. Britain, who had worked as such since 1946, when he left the Army. He was better off then on £6.10.0d a week than with the £150 we were both earning. The large influx of foreign cheap labour has given our lower paid fellow countrymen good cause for grievance. The only beneficiaries are those such as Trust House Forte. This result was reported in the Mail recently.

I did not watch "Meet the Romans" for there is a fable that they civilised us. Mother and I knew a classically educated lady working in Leeds Museum who often said "Rome civilised us". I bought A New Military Dictionary by a "Military Gentleman" published in 1759 (our year of victories) and read Caesar's Gallic Wars. He had to explain to the politicians in the Senate why he lost so many soldiers in his attempts to invade Britain, so he wrote it in the third person. He would have to hire the ships to Britain to cross the Channel, probably with the point of a sword, so his excuse that nobody knew about these strange tides is not true.

Caesar admits that our chariots were better than his. Formula 1 racing Cars may have foreign engines but the car itself is made in Britain. We are still making the best chariots in the world.

Caesar tells us that our larger ships had iron chain anchor cables. The art of making these was lost in Roman occupied Britain, for these savages decimated the men, killing one in ten. The men who could make large chains were murdered and the art was lost until 1813. "But Rome civilised us"---

One of Nelson's frigates had 4 of her hold space taken up by rope anchor cables. When these were replaced by chain cables far more space was available for food and water barrels. Only a small fraction of the space was used for the Powder Room. As we see a warship is actually a cargo ship and her cargo is death.

A chariot has been found in Denmark dated 50 B.C. The axle had roller bearings when I understood they had been invented for aero-


engines!!! At that time Europe was covered with wooden roads. Some hundred yards have been found in Ireland, a rare national treasure. So rapid transport was possible before the Romans came, the horses aided by roller bearings.

In Roman Occupied Europe and Britain, the Romans replaced the wood with heavy stonework for military reasons. Wooden roads can easily be torn up and destroyed. With stone roads the legions could march rapidly when the revolted people "rebelled against Rome. **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

To be Continued

The Saga of "Treason Act 1795". By Anne Palmer, 8.3.2005

The Saga of "Treason Act 1795" The Treason Act was accidentally repealed in full instead of just the removal of the Death by Hanging Clause. (Can you really believe that?) It was never rectified and it was never replaced by a new Treason Act.



As many of you are aware, I trail certain debates in our Parliament and also, from time to time, the European Parliament, and so it was on 17th November 2004 in a reply to Lord Tebbit's question, "Whether, and if so by what statutory provision, treason remains a criminal offence?" [HL, 4921] to which the Baroness of Scotland replied, "Treason remains a criminal offence under the treason Acts of 1351, 1702, 1795 and 1842, and the Treason Felony Act 1848".

Glaring out at me, and for very uncomfortable personal reasons was the 1795 Treason Act which I now know was repealed during the Crime and Disorder Act 1998, having heard this

from the Judge sitting on a case of Misprision of Treason in the Walsall Court, September 2004.

I immediately wrote a letter to Lady Scotland with a copy to Lord Tebbit, and as always with a letter that concerns our Constitution, made absolutely clear it was an open letter. (In other words, it is not just MY Constitution)

The reply came from the Home Office, which as well as the points quoted by Lady Scotland during the debate, was this particular one, “The 1795 Act outlawed plots to kill, maim, imprison etc the Sovereign, his heirs and successors. In modern practice, such acts would be covered by conspiracy law which was placed on a statutory footing by the Criminal Law Act 1977. The maximum sentence for conspiracy is the same as the offence the defendant conspired to commit. For example, a person convicted of conspiracy to murder or conspiracy to commit another serious offence for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment, could be sentenced to life imprisonment for the conspiracy too.”

In September 2004, Derek Bennett, (UKIP) attended the Magistrate’s Court in Walsall in an attempt to bring charges of Misprision of Treason against certain members of Government who intend to incorporate the proposed EU Constitution into our system. The use of the constitutional 1795 Treason Act thwarted his attempt because unbeknown to many (even Baroness Scotland in the House of Lords), it was repealed in the 1998 Crime and Disorder Act.

The 1795 Treason Act was last used by Rodney Atkinson and the late Norris McWhirter in the Misprision of Treason case at Hexham (Northumberland) Magistrates Court 9th September 1993. It was obviously the right Treason Act to use for the number of charges placed before the Court at that time, and would remain so now if still “active”. It therefore was not repealed in 1998 simply because ‘it had not been used for many years’. **It had been. It was also used by many people who did not know of its repeal, trying to prevent the Nice Treaty from being ratified, in the year 2000 and 2001 and again by Derek in 2004 against the EU Constitution.**

My reply from the Home Office also stated, “despite an extensive search of our records, we have not been able to ascertain why the 1795 Act

was itself repealed at that time (All the officials who worked in this Unit during the passage of the Crime and Disorder Act have since moved on so we have not had the benefit of their knowledge)”. I find it difficult to comprehend that such an important department can muddle along in such a fashion!

Lord Tebbit raised a similar question in the House of Lords, on 7th March 2005 and there was still no satisfactory answer and no one seems to be fully aware of why the whole Act was repealed in what was mainly a Bill to do with youth, in fact the debates go from debating the “effect of child’s silence at trial” to “abolishing the death penalty for treason and piracy”. (31st March 1998) The switch makes no sense.



Lord Stoddart questioned whether, when becoming an EU Commissioner the making of an Oath to the European Union having previously made an oath of allegiance to the Queen, was treasonable, to which the Baroness of Scotland replied, “My Lords, I

hesitate as always to give any disappointment to the noble Lord, but I have to tell him that the EU constitution is, unfortunately, not a treasonable document”. Quite right of course, for on its own it is not a treasonable document, however, incorporate it into our system, it would automatically override our own Constitution, (and states so in the Constitutional document) which, according to R v Thistlewood 1820, to destroy the constitution “is an act of treason”. Whereas it was established in 1932 that “No Parliament may bind its successors” etc, etc.

There are those that believe our entry into the European Community in 1972 was unlawful, even though it was a “Treaty”. The Treaty however, gave Community law supremacy over our law. There is the added problem that the people were also deliberately misled during the 1975 referendum campaign in an effort to persuade the people to vote to “remain in the European Community”. Lord Denning ruled

(Macarthy's Ltd V Smith) that "ultimate sovereignty still rests with Parliament: Community Law prevails only because Parliament wants it to prevail. Etc". Government's belief that Parliament is still sovereign and can come out of the now European Union by simply repealing the European Communities Act will end if ever the EU Constitution, signed by the Rt Hon Anthony Blair, is ratified.

Although there is an exit clause in the EU Constitution, it would require the agreement of the remaining 24 Countries to allow us to withdraw. Do not hold you breath for that to happen. They need us, far more than we need them for that to happen.

No Government has the right to sign our Country away to be governed forever, (or for an unlimited period) by anyone other than our own Government that we the people elect and whose sworn oath of allegiance by all British subjects, is to the Crown and this Country at all times.

As there appears to be no apparent reason why the recently used 1795 Treason Act has been repealed, I now suggest that the Treason Act 1795 be re-instated, for there may well come a time when it is needed in the future. **Yours Truly, Anne Palmer.**

The End OS21872

Ancient Stone Technology

By
Will Hart



There are many more significant and pressing questions about human history and the archaeological ruins that litter the planet than have or are being asked. Unfortunately, established scientists do not care or dare to address these issues. This is becoming critical because they are promulgating and protecting false theories and speculations, which could well bury the truth within a generation or two.

It is utterly absurd to claim that the ancients used primitive tools, methods and knowledge to produce very sophisticated results that we would have difficulty reproducing today with modern technology.

I am a professional investigative reporter and I have delved into this matter thoroughly. It takes a very heavy duty crane to lift an object weighing more than 100 tons. The highest rated cranes are in the 300-ton capacity and they are a specialty

piece of equipment. The crane that lifts the space shuttle is rated to 450-tons and it had to be custom made. I live within 25 miles of one of the largest copper mines in the country. It takes an Earthmover to transport a 200-ton + load.

That earthmover is so large that it is not allowed on the highway. Anyone that believes the ancients quarried, lifted, transported and precisely cut, fitted and hoisted megalithic blocks of stone in place is uninformed about the practical challenges. The ancient Peruvians and Egyptians lacked wheeled-vehicles, pulleys and they only had stone tools. Let me see one of these theorists test their ideas in the real world. I can guarantee their speculations will prove false.

In fact, such tests have been attempted in Egypt several times with disastrous results. Several teams have tried to duplicated what Egyptologists have claimed were the tools and methods at the ancient's disposal without success. The most recent was an effort sponsored by NOVA, filmed and supervised by Mark Lehner and other prominent Egyptologists. They utterly failed to quarry a rather small 35-ton block of stone intended to be used as an obelisk. The dolomite hammer they say the Egyptian quarry workers used could not do the job. They failed to move the obelisk after calling in a bulldozer to quarry it. They had a truck transport it instead.

The list goes on. Every major piece of an archaeological site that has needed to be moved has posed a major challenge even using modern equipment. We have simply been and are being lied to and I can prove it. In fact, I would love to be challenged. A Japanese team tried to build a scale model of the Great Pyramid in the 1970's


using ancient methods. They completely failed at every step and had to call in trucks and helicopters. The finished pyramid was mess. They could not achieve any precision using modern technology.

The End OS21783

The Death of T. Stokes - May 23, 2013

Chris Cooper

THE EX-INTELLIGENCE OPERATIVE AND ANALYST ANTHONY THOMAS TREVOR-STOKES HAS DIED AGED 93.



K **KNOWN AS T STOKES** he came up the long hard way and never achieved the rank many said he deserved, always an advocate of democratic freedoms he wanted much less secrecy and did not see why things like the House of Commons menu should be an official secret.

A supporter of real news activists, his outspokenness for sharing the aims and goals of the intelligence world with the public and often engaging their help as watchers and informants made him many internal enemies but brought him massive public approval, with his catchphrase “trust the people”.

Stokes believed that NWO Communism could only be defeated by educating the public that the

Soviet soft socialism plan was the thin end of a very sinister wedge.

One of his remits was the protection and care of Winston Churchill’s wartime close colleagues and secretaries after the war.

British intelligence wanted Britain to stay out of WWII and so Churchill replaced all the key figures in order to get the country into the war, having the popular intelligence chief Vernon Kell murdered by his own fireplace.

Churchill’s used black magicians in WWII, many of whom were known personally by Stokes who himself studied occultism, and was also was one of three men who exposed Churchill’s murder of Neville Chamberlain the most popular Prime Minister ever.

However, it was the Michael Gordon case which brought him to prominence.

Gordon was an unusual recruit for the intelligence world, he was quite low I Q, awkward, unfit, overweight and self conscious, he failed most tests, yet was chosen for special training and sent to Russia to recruit dissidents in the nuclear weapons arena, he was caught and died under torture.

Stokes maintained he was chosen especially to fail as all he was taught was false and should have come out under torture giving the Soviets a pack of false leads and fake intelligence, but Gordon never spoke and died without revealing any of his fake data.

The intelligence hierarchy is of vital importance to a foreign power and is known as the Or/Bat or order of Battle, and knowing this the whole apparatus can be unravelled so Gordon had been instructed to name people actually outside of the service.

Stokes wanted the British news media to cover Soviet murders of British nationals, which usually appeared in the British news media as deaths through car crashes, skiing accidents, drug overdoses or falling from high balconies during parties.

Both Peter Wright of *Spycatcher* book fame, along with T Stokes were alleged to have secretly briefed the Daily Express Defence correspondent Chapman Pincher on anything they wanted revealed through the newspapers, both believed that every operation against the Soviets up until the late 60's failed because of high level traitors. Stokes was able to penetrate through London's Jewish taxi driver community into Mossad, and along with James Rusbridger who was murdered, claimed that Lord Rothschild was the major spy of WWII, and also claimed that since the Russian revolution of 1917 the Soviet Union was run by Rothschild appointees who colluded together with the British Rothschild's to bring about wars and conflict for financial gain.

In the early seventies crisis when the Soviet navy had Britain surrounded by nuclear subs, no one knew whether this was an exercise or the real thing. The Russians wanted to see how the British responded in a security flap. Stokes trounced them by calling in all retired officers and giving them two weeks work on half their old pay and put them to work laying false trails, generally muddying the waters and allowing small snippets of info to get to the Russians that the British were pro-active and taking hard line military control of the situation, this is known as chicken-feed release.

In 1973 Stokes was sent to meet a dissident Jewish nuclear physicist who wanted to pass information to the West about the horrific Israeli nuclear arsenal, when the documents were passed in the Moscow art gallery he was seized and after a quick trial next day he was sentenced to 2 years in prison. He did not help himself by constant escape attempts and after injuring two guards was given 6 years at Svetmagorsk on the Siberian border. In 1977 he was exchanged for the Communist activist Brian Edward Mitchell and brought home, weighing just 5 stone he had been severely burnt by having petrol thrown over him and set alight while asleep in his cell.

Physically and mentally shattered he claimed he had been betrayed to the Russians, and began to whistle blow about Soviet criminal activity in Britain, this angered the British authorities who accused him of being an angry and bitter man, and a big falling out ensued which never healed.

With acknowledgement to Chris Cooper Investigations

Read all of T. Stokes Articles here:

http://thetruthnews.info/articles_by_T_Stokes.html

Editor's Note. Since a number of T. Stokes articles have been published in The New Ensign including this issue, it was therefore thought our readers would be interested in this writer's background.

The End OS21874

Steven Books

**League Enterprises
49, Lodge Lane
Grays
Essex
RM17 5RZ**

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>



Pastor Alan Campbell can now be heard on Eurofolkradio. For details click [HERE](#)

Fifty Years of Oppression

by Roger Roots



WHEN Germany was defeated in 1945, conditions were set for an extended totalitarian state maintained by the continual suppression of political dissidents. Military occupation by the unchallenged Allied conquerors, combined with the continuous agitation of the world's powerful Jewish community, have made Germany the single greatest violator of human rights in Europe.

Some facts that are not widely known about Germany:

Any "anti-Semitic" statements or expressions critical of Jewish power, political influence or control of media is punishable by up to five years in prison.

Any denial of the "Holocaust" is now punishable by up to five years in prison, (That is to say, any denial of the official hollywood version of the Holocaust—and only that which was perpetrated upon the work camp internees of the Germans, not the Germans themselves).

Modern Germans can be jailed for showing any reverence for the soldiers who fought or died for the Nazi government during WWII. To this day, no sizeable monument exists anywhere in Germany honouring the millions of Germans who gave their lives in the defence of their country.

The post-war East German Stasi (East Germany's notorious secret police force) deployed twice as many agents as the Nazi Gestapo did in a population five times as great. Thus the proportion of Stasi agents to Gestapo agents was no less than 10 to 1.

Unlike the despotic Communist regime of East Germany, the Nazi government was elected to power by its own people, and was only defeated by a world in arms. The East German regime was crushed by its own people.

The Nazi government was a profound proponent of high literacy and involvement in political issues among the German population. Hitler

himself zealously pushed programs to increase library use and regarded public libraries as an important institution. A public library was provided for every village of 500 or more and even soldiers in the field were provided easy access to library service. The current Germans, on the contrary, are greatly ignorant of contemporary issues and the actions of their government.

Although the Nazi government is often criticised for its censorship of Communist books and reading materials, far more books are banned today by the German government than were ever banned under the Nazis. At any time during Nazi Germany, a German could purchase most major international publications at any metropolitan newsstand, including The New York Times, The Washington Post, The London Daily News, and other papers. Germany's highly literate, multilingual population could freely read virtually any such materials. Foreign journalists who were extremely antagonistic to Hitler and Nazism, such as William Shirer, were allowed to live freely in Germany while writing hostile news stories about the Nazis even after the war began.

The modern German government actually forbids a person from drawing a swastika in public, publishing material with a swastika, or giving a salute with a raised hand. Any expression of favourable attitudes toward the vanquished German government of 1933-1945 can bring on heavy fines and jail terms.

Even Hitler's best-selling book *Mein Kampf* is now banned from publication or sale, along with any other writings which are declared "neo-Nazi" in philosophy by the German government.

The modern German government may actually ban musical recordings based on their political message, and has done so on dozens of occasions.

Groups which "act against the constitutional order or the concept of international understanding" can be banned outright by the German government. By some accounts, over 100 political parties have been banned by the post-war regime—far more than were ever banned under Hitler.

The End OS21888

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the **ukcolumn**
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)


Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)



**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps


P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew