

The New Ensign

No. 75 November 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Moscow Strikes A Devastating Blow Against Gog In The West



President Assad Visits Putin in Moscow

Russia's success in attacking ISIS terrorists (Israel Secret Intelligence Service) which the West is itself claiming to be fighting, is a devastating blow to London and Washington.

The West has been falsely claiming that they were fighting ISIS, while covertly financing this organisation including providing tactical support and now have egg on their face. For this reason they can't complain about Russia attacking ISIS but instead lamely claim that the Russians are bombing civilian targets while at the same time they themselves are bombing Syrian infrastructure.

The NWO is being thwarted and is running behind schedule in its scheme to obtain absolute control of the whole world, it has already failed to start WWIII through its machinations against

Iran, the Ukraine and in goading Russia into attacking through Europe.

While there is no world wide hot war at present we have to remember that there has been a quiet war going on against true Israel since the end of World War II - See "***Quiet Weapons for Silent Wars***". This document can be read or downloaded free of charge [HERE](#)

While the white Israelite nations are being distracted with trivia through the controlled media, the occupying army continues flooding in unabated. The EU is making available huge sums to accommodate this influx of third world "immigrants" which is having a particularly devastating effect on indigenous Germans who mainly rent their properties. Many are being thrown out onto the streets by landlords wishing to profit from the more lucrative rents available to accommodate refugees.

These events that we are witnessing today all point to the final battle and "The Great Day" when Yahweh returns and His enemies will be disposed of for ever. Praise Yahweh!

Editor
thenewensign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents	Page
The Fallacy Of Millennialism (Part 3) - Pastor Eli James	3
In The Name of Yahweh - Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock	7
The Mysterious Tribe Of Dan - F. W. Dankenbring	11
Harold Stough Notes - Sheela-na-gig in Wivelsfield Church, Sussex, UK	14
Divine Compassion - John Trotter	15
Letters and Views	17
Sir George Downing After Whom Downing Street, is Named	24
The Mystery of The "Q" Ships - T. Stokes	26
Those With Cholesterol Live Longer - Paul Fassa	27
A History of Magna Carta	29
The Serpent Seedline - Sherry Shriner	32
Conspiracy	37

The Fallacy Of Millennialism (3)

By Pastor Eli James



The handwriting was on the wall: The HRE was at an end.

BY releasing the Jews from their ghettos, Napoleon had opened up the

bottomless pit, which contained all of the evils of the Babylonian, Jewish debt-usury system. Rev. 20:1 states that the angel which restrained Satan had a "great chain" in his hand. It is an interesting fact of ghetto history that most of the Jewish ghettos, strewn about in Europe, had curfews placed upon them. The Jews could come out of their ghettos during daylight hours to do certain kinds of business, but usury was forbidden. Most of these ghettos had gates which were raised and lowered with great chains, symbolizing their confinement in the ghetto. The Jews would have to return to their ghettos before nightfall, before the gates were shut. Otherwise, they would be liable to prosecution.

So, we can easily see how this "shutting up" and "loosing" of Satan is directly related to the establishment of the Jewish ghetto system by a "great chain" and its eventual removal. The House of Rothschild was founded in the ghetto of Frankfurt, Germany. It is this monetary beast, let loose from the Frankfurt ghetto, which today controls the economy of the whole world, utilizing their hereditary control of international banking as a means of world conquest. This same beast created the United Nations Organization, which speaks in the name of "peace," but which makes war for profit. It is this Beast, which is currently deceiving the whole world (Rev. 12:9). It was Napoleon who opened the door for the House of Rothschild and their Jewish banker friends to take over Europe with their nefarious banking and war-mongering practices.

This is what the thousand years in the bottomless pit is all about. It has already happened. It is NOT an event that takes place after the Judgment Day!

The reign of the Eighth Beast is the "little season" of verse 3, from the establishment of the 5 Rothschild banks in Europe until the Judgment Day. All of these things were fulfilled during the reign of Napoleon. Now, Satan having been loosed from his chains, he has used the House of Rothschild to deceive the nations ever since. In 1815, the Rothschilds tried to exploit the Congress of Vienna in order to set up their dream of a global financial empire. The delegates of the Congress of Vienna were lavishly entertained in the homes of Jewish bankers, who had become fantastically rich during the chaos of the Napoleonic Wars. These Jewish bankers realized that the Congress of Vienna was a great opportunity to hawk for customers. Mayer Rothschild, ever dreaming of revenge against Christian Europe, saw the Congress as an opportunity to control the economies of the nations. Using such a centralized medium of finance, the Jews could gain influence on the national and international policies of the nations.

"Vienna, Congress of, international congress held in Vienna, September 1814 to June 1815, to reestablish peace and order in Europe after the Napoleonic Wars. The congress met at the Apollosaal built by the English-born Jew, Sigmund Wolfsohn, and the delegates were often entertained during the course of the proceedings in the salons of Jewish hostesses, such as Fanny von Arnstein and Cecily Eskeles. *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, Vol 16, 1972, p. 132.

You can bet that, while these Jewish hostesses were serving Matzo ball soup, the Jewish bankers were offering government officials loans of all types, with usurious strings attached! Realizing what power such a Congress could give organized banking, the Rothschilds kept organizing more such events, which eventually resulted in the League of Nations. When that failed, due to America's rejection of the plan, the United Nations was finally established by the Rothschilds on June 26, 1945. Alger Hiss, a Soviet-Zionist spy, worked on the UN Charter while Nelson Rockefeller, a capitalist-Zionist banker, arranged for its headquarters to be set up in New York City. The Rockefellers donated the prop-

erty, but the American taxpayer has been paying for this Satanic Trojan Horse ever since.



The House of Rothschild has been deceiving the world with its One World Government designs since the demise of the Holy Roman Empire. There is no doubt that this is the "little season" of

Verse 3. As Mayer Amschel Rothschild put it: "Give me the power to issue a nation's currency and I care not who makes its laws." The UNO makes greasing the palms of the world's bureaucrats very easy, for they are all assembled in one place perpetually. He who controls the world's bank accounts determines how the money is spent. Once the people of the world understand who this devil is, they will be amazed. Considering how mythology and movies condition us to imagine the devil as a fearsome, snarling beast, with a pointed tail and horns on his head, it will be somewhat anti-climatic to realize that he is merely 5 foot, 3 inch hunchback with varicose veins, named Rothschild!

"They that see thee shall narrowly look upon thee, and consider thee, saying, Is this the man that made the earth to tremble, that did shake kingdoms, that made the world as a wilderness, and destroyed the cities thereof?" - Isa. 14:16-17.

Satan's Last Stand

Now, given this understanding of Rev. 20:1-6, let us reread Verses 7 & 8:

7 And when the thousand years are ended, Satan will be loosed from his prison

8 and shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog, and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom is as the sand of the sea.

This is the Battle of Armageddon. It is phase two of the conflict that occurs in Rev. 19:19-21. If the post-Tribulation Millennialists are correct, they must put the Battle of Armageddon AFTER the Judgment Day; but the Book of Ezekiel clearly tells us that this battle will occur as part of the "latter days," which, according to all of

the prophecies of the End Times, are those events which will occur just before the Day of Judgment. We can see that this battle is brewing right now (Jan., 2009), getting ready to come down upon us in these latter days! People are already talking about World War III as an inevitability. The storm clouds are gathering. We can see that all the nations of the world are in great economic distress; and this type of economic distress invariably leads to war!!! The election of another Zionist agent, Barak Obama, has guaranteed that nothing will change.

Gog is the SynaGOG of Satan, the Jewish religion and its world Empire of Debt-Usury. Here, the Eighth Beast is directly connected to Gog and Magog. If the Millennialists are correct in their interpretation, then Gog and Magog would have to make their appearance a thousand years after the Tribulation and Judgment; but we know that Gog and Magog represent Jewish Supremacism and the New World Order, headquartered in the United Nations, conceived and financed by the House of Rothschild. But, prophetically, the Eighth Beast and the False Prophet have already been cast into the lake of fire and permanently destroyed. (Rev. 19:20.) With these two entities having been destroyed, what earthly vehicle would Satan have to "go out and deceive the nations" again?

With the Kingdom having been set up immediately after the Judgment Day, do you really think that Jesus Christ and the citizens of the Kingdom (such as Yours Truly!) would be fooled again by this system? Fie!

Lacking any knowledge - or pretending not to know! - about the Jewish nature of the 8th Beast, they pull a rabbit out of a hat and say, "Aha! The Millennium will occur a thousand years after the Tribulation!" Again, fie!

The True Chronology

Let's revisit the two possible interpretations of the Millennium from the Introduction above:

Taking the descriptions from Rev. 19:10 to Rev. 20:10 as a cohesive story, we have two possible interpretations:

There are two Judgments with a period of one thousand years intervening; or

2.) There is only one Judgment, with the events of Rev. 20:1-7 representing a flashback to the days before the Great Battle between the people of Israel versus Gog and Magog. (Rev. 20:8-10.)



Now that you have a solid historical backdrop of the "little season," the Post-Trib Millennium does look more like a rabbit pulled out of hat! Rather than interjecting a

1,000-year period between the simultaneous judgment of the Beast and False Prophet versus the judgment of the Dragon, Rev. 19:10 - 20:10 is one historical event. It is not a continuous chronology. Rather, Rev. 19 is telling the story of the Beast and False Prophet, which, being the earthly institutions of Mystery Babylon and denominational Judeo-Christianity, will be destroyed first.

The international banking system (Mystery Babylon) is collapsing as we speak. This international crash will cause all kinds of social chaos, including food riots and energy shortages. As they gradually awaken to the fact that Mystery Babylon is falling, the masses will bitterly complain against the international bankers, who are responsible for all of this economic chaos. As a direct result of this economic collapse, denominational Christians will finally wake up and realize that their respective priesthoods have been lying to them about Mystery Babylon and the False Prophet. The public is becoming aware that most of these international bankers are Jewish, and the BIG LIE that the "Jews are God's chosen people" will no longer be tenable, since it is becoming obvious that the International Jewry is the world's biggest mafia organization.

The Christian Zionist pew-warmers will be the last ones to figure out who the 8th Beast is, if they ever do!

World opinion is finally turning against the Jews, partially because of the recent brutal attacks upon the civilians in Beirut and Gaza, and because of their continuously inhumane treatment of the Palestinian people. While the Kosher Press has kept the public in the dark about the brutality of the Jewsraeli State, the internet has been graphically showing the world how dastardly evil the Jewsraeli State really is. One recent

survey has indicated that so-called "anti-Semitism" doubled worldwide between 2007 and 2008. The world is finally catching on to the Jewish charade; and those Jews, who used to support Zionism unquestioningly, are now seeing the handwriting on the wall. The masses are once again murmuring against the Jews for their exploitative economic practices.

By exploiting America's wealth, the Jews have bled us dry; and with have nothing left to give, but gall!

The world-wide Zionist Lobby is being seen for what it really is: a brutal economic dictatorship. The reality is that Zionism is an international mafia organization, which, by exploiting the Jewish people's desire for a national homeland, has used this idea (Zionism) instead to promote its world empire. And this is all being done according to the blueprint known as the Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion. Forgery or not, the Zionist Mafia has instituted every Protocol, planet-wide, just as that document has outlined. As the old saying goes, "If the shoe fits..." The Jews can deny it all they want. Their lies are falling on increasingly deaf ears!

Along with this general awakening, the sheeple of Judeo-Christianity are also awakening to the fact that their pulpitmasters have been co-conspirators with this brutal world dictatorship. (Ezek. 22:23-31.) Indeed, the myth that "the Jews are God's chosen people" has been ceaselessly proclaimed by their high priests and low priests.

The Jews repeatedly have told us that, "Those who bless Israel will be blessed." Well, America has blessed the International Jew with billions upon billions of dollars in foreign aid. Harry Truman was the first head of state to recognize the abomination of desolation, when it was created on May 15, 1948. He did it even quicker than Stalin, who was next in line. How has America been blessed during this time of unqualified support for the Jewish State?

Here is the historical record, right after we began blessing the Israelites:

General MacArthur complained that he had to inform the UN about his troop movements before they were implemented. The head of the UN Security Council was Soviet General Constan-

tine Zinchenko. [For the full details of the Rothschild/Roosevelt betrayal of China and Korea to the communists, please see [here](#). This meant that the communists were fully informed of every move the Americans would make. When General MacArthur defied these rules at Inchon, his Army had a decisive victory over the communists. What was his reward? He was removed from command by Harry Solomon Truman, who, like Federal Dictator Rosenfeldt, was taking his orders secretly from the Zionists, who were in control of both sides of the military struggle throughout WWII. (The later Cold War was just part of the charade!)]

Just as the Rothschilds have financed both sides of all military struggles since the Napoleonic Wars, the Rothschilds staged the Korean War in order to sacrifice Christians on the altar of their World Dictatorship and also to give some bite to their newly established Paper Tiger, the United Nations Organization! There is a reason why it is called "The Theater of War."

America got involved in a no-win war in Korea, which was actually staged by the Rothschild front, the United Nations Organization. America had no business getting involved in Korea. The whole situation was a set-up by the UN. The Rothschilds were testing the waters, to see how much they could get away with. The war was sold to the American people as a "war against communism." But, our military was prevented from attacking North Korea itself, which was declared off limits by the UN. What kind of a war is this?

We got involved in a second no-win war in Vietnam. Again, thousands of American lives were wasted in destroying that little nation, again without a victory against the communists. The ruse, again, was "anti-communism." In reality, the Zionists were simply using "anti-communism" and "patriotism" as the carrot to lead deceived Americans into the Zionist meat grinder.

In the meantime, Lying Butcher Johnson, a committed Zionist with numerous ties to the Jewish underworld, instituted the not so "Great Society," which was nothing more than communism in the guise of "Civil rights" and welfare statism. The welfare state is nothing else than communism by an attractive-sounding name. So, while Lying butcher Johnson and his Zionist

friends were sacrificing American lives on the altar of "anti-communism," they were implementing communism right here in America via the welfare state!! Pretty clever, eh? Loads of Jewish "anti-war activists" protested the war (after getting their conscientious objector status approved!) and implemented communism here in America while non-Jewish Americans were fighting and dying for what they thought was "anti-communism"! In addition, Johnson's Not-So-Great Society opened a Pandora's Box of left-wing ideology, polluting America by legitimizing all kinds of anti-Christian ideologies, including homosexuality, feminism, liberalism, atheism, abortion, pornography, "free love," the drug culture, and all other kinds of deliberately conceived pestilence. These are the "fornications of Mystery Babylon."

The Seventies produced the AIDS epidemic, the "Me Generation," and an increase in socialistic government during the Carter years.



The Eighties produced the disgusting output of MTV, nihilism, forced vaccinations, corporate takeovers of small businesses and a general decline in moral standards. The Kosher Media began to produce sit-coms with homosexual heroes and heterosexual nitwits as the main characters. The nationalized public schools produced a nauseating array of anti-Christian curricula, with the result that our children have been indoctrinated into accepting Mystery Babylon's fornications. The purpose of all of this indoctrination is to destroy God's foundational unit of society: the nuclear family.

The Nineties produced ever more nihilism, liberalism and fornication, with a major economic collapse occurring around the year 2,000. The first Gulf War killed a lot of people, but it did not eliminate Saddam Hussein.

The ought years ('00- '09) of the New Millennium are producing nothing but economic, civil, military and social chaos, with the federal government giving hand-outs to non-citizens from Mexico while the American taxpayer has to foot the bill for his own dispossession! This is a blatant betrayal of the nation's trust. 9-11 was staged by the Zionists in order to conjure up support for more military misadventures in the Middle East. Now we are embroiled in another war staged by the Zionists, so that they can make the Middle East safe for their illegitimate Jewish State. The obvious goal of the Zionists in the Middle East is to take the oil away from the Arabs, so that they will become economically impotent. Add to this the fact that Muslim nations forbid usury, and you have two fantastic reasons why the Zionists are staging wars between Muslims and Christians: two enemies are eliminated by a single stroke!

The Jews keep telling us that all of these things are necessary and good!!!

Deut. 28 lists all of the curses that would befall us if we disobey Yahweh's Laws. These curses began happening to America the moment that we recognized the Abomination of Desolation, the Jewsraeli State! It is simply undeniable that this sad record has been instigated by Mystery Babylon and the innocent people of the world have been victimized by all of this deception.


So, the question is this: "How have we been blessed by blessing the Jews?" The true answer to this question should pierce the hearts of all Christian Zionists, because there is only one true answer to this question, namely, that America has been cursed for blessing the Jews. Deut. 7:2 says "Thou shalt make no covenant with them," meaning His enemies the Canaanites and Edomites, who survive today as the Jewish people, who pretend to be Judeans and are not but the Synagogue of Satan. (Rev. 2:9 and 3:9.) Paul repeated this warning when he said, "Be not unequally yoked with UNBELIEVERS." (II Cor., 6:14.) What is a Jew, if not an unbeliever in Yahshua Messiah? From the day that Truman recognized the Jewsraeli State, America has precipitously declined, morally, spiritually, intellectually and religiously.

If we want to save ourselves, we must disengage ourselves from the genocidal Zionists, once and for all.

By supporting the Zionist antichrists, the West has allied itself with the devil, and America has suffered a concomitant, disastrous decline. This is how we have been "blessed for blessing the Jews."

OS22050 - to be continued

In The Name of Yahweh By Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock



The New Ensign is grateful to Andrew Carrington-Hitchcock for permission to reproduce extracts from his excellent and unique book "In The Name of Yahweh", which should be on every identity believer's bookshelf.

Creation to 2000 B.C.

Genesis 1:1 – In the beginning Yahweh (God) created the heaven and the earth.

Millions of years ago, heaven and planet earth is created by who many people are taught is God, but whose name actually is Yahweh.

Genesis 1:26 – And Yahweh (God) said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.

Genesis 1:27 – So Yahweh (God) created man in his [own] image, in the image of Yahweh (God) created he him; male and female created he them.

Hundreds of thousands of years ago, after the dinosaurs have lived, died and gone into extinction, the first men and women are created.

Genesis 2:7 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) formed man [of] the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.

Genesis 2:8 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there he put the man whom he had formed.

Between 7,000 and 8,000 years ago another man is created, but this time by Yahweh forming him from the dust of the ground and breathing His breath of life into his nostrils, so he would become a living soul. This man becomes known as Adam.



Genesis 2:15 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) took the man, and put him into the garden of Eden to dress it and to keep it.

Genesis 2:16 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) commanded the man, saying, Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat:

Genesis 2:17 – But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.

Genesis 2:18 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) said, [It is] not good that the man should be alone; I will make him a help meet for him.

Genesis 2:19 – And out of the ground Yahweh (the LORD God) formed every beast of the field, and every fowl of the air; and brought [them] unto Adam to see what he would call them: and whatsoever Adam called every living creature, that [was] the name thereof.

Genesis 2:20 – And Adam gave names to all cattle, and to the fowl of the air, and to every beast of the field; but for Adam there was not found a help meet for him.

Genesis 2:21 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and he took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh instead thereof;

Genesis 2:22 – And the rib, which Yahweh (the LORD God) had taken from man, made he a woman, and brought her unto the man.

Genesis 2:23 – And Adam said, This [is] now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man.

This man is given the job of tending the garden and told he can eat of every tree apart from the tree of the knowledge of good and evil.

He is named, “Adam,” which is translated from the Hebrew word, “aw-dawm,” and means of ruddy face or to show blood in the face. By this definition it would appear that Adam was a white man as no other races have a complexion that enables them to do this.

We can also see that Adam became, “a living soul,” when Yahweh breathed the, “breath of life,” into him.

When looking for a help meet for Adam, there is found none comparable to him, why not one of the females already created by Yahweh in Genesis 1:27?

Simple, because they did not have that specific, “living soul,” which was unique to Yahweh’s creation of Adam, they did not have the breath of life breathed into them by Yahweh, they were not given the responsibility of tending and keeping the garden, and they were not of ruddy face.

Therefore Yahweh had to create a woman for Adam, hereafter known as Eve.

Note that Yahweh did not form Eve of dust from the ground and breathe the breath of life into her, instead he took a rib from Adam who he had already created, providing us with the important

genealogical chain that whilst Adam was created of Yahweh, Eve was created of Adam.



What the churches teach is that Adam and Eve were the only two people on the earth and every white race, black race, oriental race and mixed race came from them.

This is genetically impossible and there is absolutely no archaeological findings to sustain

this claim.

Indeed to date there has been no discovery of white race skeletons beyond 7,000-8,000 years old, yet in Western Africa, they have black skeletons that carbon dating has proved to be 300,000 years old.

Skeletons from other races such as the Chinese have been carbon dated at over 200,000 years old.

Recently the 4,000 year old preserved corpses of white race men and women have been discovered by archaeologists in the Xinjiang province of China, and can be found on display in the Provincial Museum of Ürümqi.

The Xinjiang province where they were found spans 1 million square miles and borders on where the Bible indicates the garden of Eden to have been located.

Scientists baffled by the discovery of these ancient white race corpses, and who consider themselves far too intellectually superior to study the Bible, have assumed these white race people migrated westward from Europe into Asia.

However as the scriptures demonstrate, this white race people had actually not travelled far from where their race was born, approximately 3,000 years beforehand.

Interestingly this actually provides physical evidence to the accuracy of what is told in the

Bible as opposed to the, “theory,” of evolution, which like the churches teaching that everyone came from Adam, is supported by no archaeological or genetic evidence whatsoever.

As an aside, and going back to Genesis 2:23, the Jewish Talmud, regarded by religious Jews today as their most revered holy book from which they get their law, states of Adam in section Yebamoth 63a:

“What is meant by the scriptural text, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh? This teaches that Adam had intercourse with every beast and animal but found no satisfaction until he cohabited with Eve.”

Genesis 3:1 – Now the serpent was more subtle than any beast of the field which Yahweh (the LORD God) had made. And he said unto the woman, Yea, hath Yahweh (God) said, Ye shall not eat of every tree of the garden?

Genesis 3:2 – And the woman said unto the serpent, We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden:

Genesis 3:3 – But of the fruit of the tree which [is] in the midst of the garden, Yahweh (God) hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die.

Genesis 3:4 – And the serpent said unto the woman, Ye shall not surely die:

Genesis 3:5 – For Yahweh (God) doth know that in the day ye eat thereof, then your eyes shall be opened, and ye shall be as gods, knowing good and evil.

Genesis 3:6 – And when the woman saw that the tree [was] good for food, and that it [was] pleasant to the eyes, and a tree to be desired to make [one] wise, she took of the fruit thereof, and did eat, and gave also unto her husband with her; and he did eat.

Genesis 3:7 – And the eyes of them both were opened, and they knew that they [were] naked; and they sewed fig leaves together, and made themselves aprons.

Firstly who is the serpent? He is Satan who goes on to deceive the whole world. See:

Revelation 12:9 – And the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him.

Returning to the passage from Genesis, we can see that it is Satan who deceives Eve, by telling her she could eat of a tree that Yahweh said not to eat of.

Eve succumbs to Satan's temptation and then encourages Adam to eat of the tree also.

Immediately knowing they have done wrong of some kind, when their eyes were opened, Adam and Eve sew fig leaves together to cover up their nakedness.

An important point here, is that trees in the Bible are regularly used as an allegory for race as in:

Matthew 3:10 – And now also the axe is laid unto the root of the trees: therefore every tree which bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.

This passage concerned John the Baptist preaching that all races would be judged by their deeds, and punished accordingly.

Genesis 3:8 – And they heard the voice of Yahweh (the LORD God) walking in the garden in the cool of the day: and Adam and his wife hid themselves from the presence of Yahweh (the LORD God) amongst the trees of the garden.

Genesis 3:9 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) called unto Adam, and said unto him, Where [art] thou?

Genesis 3:10 – And he said, I heard thy voice in the garden, and I was afraid, because I [was] naked; and I hid myself.

Genesis 3:11 – And he said, Who told thee that thou [wast] naked? Hast thou eaten of the tree, whereof I commanded thee that thou shouldest not eat?



Genesis 3:12 – And the man said, The woman whom thou gavest [to be] with me, she gave me of the tree, and I did eat.

Genesis 3:13 – And Yahweh (the LORD God) said unto the woman, What [is] this [that] thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat.

Quite simply Yahweh confronts Adam and the woman, Adam blames the woman for his disobedience to Yahweh and the woman blames the serpent.

Here we can see the start of the blame culture. Adam has yet to discover what being a man is, by trying to blame Eve, and Eve has yet to accept personal responsibility by blaming Satan.

Satan did not force either Adam or Eve to eat of this tree, Yahweh clearly told them both not to eat of it, yet they both decided to disobey Yahweh by taking the words of another over His.

Adam and Eve knew they had done wrong otherwise why would they have sewn fig leaves together to cover their nakedness in Genesis 3:7 and then hidden themselves from Yahweh in Genesis 3:8.

To be continued

Details of how Andrew Carrington Hitchcock's books can be purchased click on the avatar below:-



A Talk With Alan Campbell



Listen to Alan Campbell Talking with Pastor Eli James on the last Sunday of each month at 4pm UK time on Eurofolk Radio.

<http://eurofolkradio.com/>

The Mysterious Tribe Of Dan

William F. Dankenbring



What happened to the tribe of Dan? Why are they left out of the 144,000 of Revelation? History reveals some fascinating facts about the powerful tribe of Dan and their perambulations and migrations in ancient times, as well as where they are on the earth, today!

ONE OF THE SONS OF JACOB was a youngster by the name of Dan, which means "judge." He was the son of Rachel's handmaid Bilhah (Gen.35:25). Dan became the ancestor of one of the twelve tribes of "Israel," the name God gave to Jacob after wrestling with him (Gen.32:28). Jacob, when he was growing old, prophesied of Dan, "Dan will provide justice for his people as one of the tribes of Israel. Dan will be a serpent by the roadside, a viper along the path, that bites the horse's heels, so that its rider tumbles backward. I look for your deliverance, O Lord" (Gen.49:16-18). The King James Version has this last verse, "I have waited for thy salvation, O Lord."

A serpent, when it slithers and crawls, leaves a trail or track in the sand. Even so the tribe of Dan would leave signs or "waymarks" showing where it had journeyed. They tended to leave their "name" behind as an identifying sign! We read in Joshua: "But the Danites had difficulty taking possession of their territory, so they went up and attacked Leshem, took it, put it to the sword and occupied it. They settled in Leshem and NAMED IT DAN after their forefather" (Josh.19:47).

For some reason, as this prophecy states, Dan must "wait" for God's salvation -- even longer than the other tribes. In the book of Revelation, when Christ tells the apostle John that He will

choose 144,000 special servants during the last days from the twelve tribes of Israel (Rev.7:1-8), and chooses 12,000 out of each tribe, it is interesting to note that He gives Joseph a double portion -- that is, a portion for Ephraim (Joseph) and a portion for his brother Manasseh (Rev.7:6, 8) -- but Dan is not mentioned at all! Why is this? Part of the answer may be contained in the book of Judges.

The tribe of Dan still had not settled down and occupied their "inheritance" in Canaan (Judges 18-2), so they sent five warriors forth to explore the land. They found a Levitical priest of the Lord in the house of a man named Milcah, and enquired whether God would bless their endeavor. He said yes. They journied forth and found a city Laish dwelling securely and prosperously, went back and urged their tribe to attack it, and 600 Danites sallied forth. But on their way, they set up camp in a place they named Mahaneh Dan (there they go again!).

Jud.18:12), and then came to Milcah's house again.

Notice what happened: "The six hundred Danites, armed for battle, stood at the entrance to the gate. The five men who had spied out the land went inside and took the carved image, the ephod, the other HOUSEHOLD GODS and the cast IDOL while the priest and the six hundred armed men stood at the entrance to the gate. When the men went into Milcah's house and took the carved image, the ephod, the other household gods, and the cast idol, the priest said to them, 'What are you doing?' They answered him, 'Be quiet! Don't say a word! Come with us and be OUR FATHER AND PRIEST. Isn't it better that you serve a tribe and clan in Israel as priest rather than just one man's household?' Then the priest was glad" (Jud.1:16-20).

The tribe of Dan was the first tribe of Israel to plunge into pagan IDOLATRY! Over the centuries, they have become steeped in idolatry and image worship -- so much so that even during the Great Tribulation, they will be slow to repent of their sins and to come out of the prevalent end-time idolatry of Roman

Catholicism, which numbers over 900 million adherents around the world, today.

Characteristics of Dan

Dan was born to Bilhah about 1737 B.C. (Gen.30:1-9). He was a rambunctious youth, and multiplied greatly during the sojourn of the Israelites in Egypt (Exodus 1:7-9, 12). When Moses led the children of Israel out of Egypt, about 1483 B.C., the tribe of Dan which accompanied him numbered some 62,700 men old enough to make war, 20 years old and upward (Numbers 1:38-39). Dan outnumbered Manasseh, the son of Joseph by almost 2 to 1, and outnumbered the children of Ephraim about 3 to 2. His descendants were very prolific.

When Moses gave his final blessings to the children of Israel, he said of Dan, "And of Dan he said, Dan is a lion's whelp: he shall leap from Bashan" (Deut.33:22). Notice! Dan would be a vigorous, warlike, tribe of warriors. He would not sit solitary, and be content but would "leap" forth, and journey forth, by leaps.

In the book of Judges, we learn another trait of this tribe. In the song of Deborah and Barak, during the time of the Judges, the song asks, "Why did Dan remain in ships?" (Judges 5:17). Or, "Dan abode in ships." The tribe of Dan was a mighty SEA-FARING tribe, which loved to sail the seas.

History tells us more about these ancient Danites. At the same time Moses led the children of Israel out of Egypt, with the kingdom of Egypt left in shambles, some chose not to follow Moses into the land of Canaan. About 1500 B.C., a last flowering of megalithic culture occurred. On the island of Sardinia in the Mediterranean Sea, a tower-building people sometimes called the Shardana emerged, and soon dominated Sardinia and then invaded Corsica and the Balearics, and ruled them for about a thousand years.

These were ancient Danites! Their very name identifies them! They gave their name to their island, Sar DINia, and their very name SharDANA, proves who they really were. In



Hebrew, the term "sharon" means a level "plain." It comes from the root word yashar, meaning "to make straight or even, right, pleasant, prosperous." The "Shardana" were nothing more than the "prosperous DANites," the tribe of Dan! The term "sar" or "shar" in Hebrew means "chief," "prince," "ruler," from which such words as "Tsar," "Caesar," "Kaiser," etc., derive. The "Shar-Dana," then, were the chiefs or princes of DAN!

The Mysterious Shar-Dana

The Shardana were a tribe of warrior-farmers -- just like the tribe of Dan! Remember, Dan was prophesied to be a "lion's whelp" -- a warlike tribe.

Say Alastair Service and Jean Bradbery in Megaliths and their Mysteries of the Shardana: "They were farmers and warriors, and in each of their dominions they developed quite new sorts of monument particular to each island. The designs and structural techniques appear to be rooted in the earlier European megalithic tradition. But their Giants' Tomb, Taula, Navetas, Nuraghi and other forms are an impressive original finale to the long story of megalithic architecture. That story finally ends with the roman conquest of Menorca in 23 B.C." (p.19).

The "Tower people," or Shardana, or tribe of Dan, conquered Corsica around 1400 B.C., the same time Israel entered the Promised Land under Joshua. Their occupation lasted until 800 B.C., or possibly as late as 500 B.C. (about the same time Assyria took Israel into captivity, 718-721 B.C., or near the time of the Babylonian captivity of Judah in 587 B.C.).

Dan -- A Serpent's Trail

In the division of Palestine among the twelve tribes of Israel, after the Exodus from Egypt, Dan received his portion in the very north. As Dan was unable to secure and conquer much of its inheritance, due to spiritual weaknesses, they felt hemmed in and constrained to migrate and conquer elsewhere, which is one reason they captured Laish and renamed it "Dan." Their

inheritance was near the cities of Tyre and Sidon, famous home ports of the Phoenicians. Dan, who "abode in ships," made common concourse with the Phoenicians, intermarried with them, and established colonies throughout the Mediterranean region.

In the downfall of the northern kingdom of Israel in 718-721 B.C., the inland portion of the tribe of Dan was carried into captivity with the other tribes composing the northern Kingdom, led by Ephraim. This portion of Dan was taken into captivity beyond the Euphrates River, into Assyria, and when the Assyrian Empire fell in the seventh century B.C., they migrated through the Caucasian Pass, just north of the Caucasus. This was known as the land of the "Sarmatians," and the pass was called the "Sarmatian Gate." The name Sarmatia was obviously derived from the name Samaria, which was the capital of the northern kingdom of Israel. These people called themselves the Scoloti, but the Greeks called them Sythians.

These people continued migrating to the northwest of Europe and eventually many of them settled in the British Isles, and are the "Scots" of today.

The chief tribe of the northern kingdom of Israel was Ephraim, the youngest son of Joseph. Even after Ephraim was carried away into captivity, and never returned, God's heart still yearned for him. God said through the prophet Jeremiah:

"Is not Ephraim my dear son, the child in whom I delight? Though I often speak against him, I still remember him. Therefore my heart yearns for him, declares the LORD. SET UP ROAD SIGNS, PUT UP GUIDEPOSTS. Take note of the highway, the road that you take. RETURN, O Virgin Israel, return to your towns. How long will you WANDER, O unfaithful daughter?" (Jer.31:20-22, NIV).

As the Israelites migrated through Europe, they fulfilled this prophecy, and left "ROAD SIGNS" and "GUIDEPOSTS" along the way, so that we can trace their route! The chief tribe to do this was Dan, because of their proclivity to leave the name of their ancestor "DAN" everywhere they went.

In Hebrew there are no vowels, so the name Dan is written DN, or its Hebrew equivalent. Thus

words like Dan, Din, Don, Dun, Den, or Dn, correspond to the name of Dan.

Just west of the Black Sea, ancient geographers designated a region by the name of Moesia, which means the land of the "Moses-ites." These people revered a person whom they called Zal-moxis. "Zal" signifies "chief," so this person, "chief Moxis" or "leader Moxis" was actually "chier MOSES," the man of God who led Israel to the promised land, and whom these people remembered as their original leader. The tribe of Dan also passed through this region, and the surrounding territory, leaving its name in Mace-DON-ia, and the Dar-DAN-elles, and to the north by the river DAN-ube. In the territory of Sarmatia (or Samaria, meaning the Israelites), were located the rivers DN-iper, DN-ister, and the DON.

Professor Totten declares:



"There is no grander theme upon the scrolls of history than the story of this struggle of the Anglo-Saxons westward. The very streams of Europe mark their resting places, and in the root of nearly all their ancient names (Dan, or Don) recall the sacred stream Jor-dan

river of rest-- from whose hands, so far away, as exiles, they set out. It was either the little colony of Dan, obeying its tribal proclivity for naming everything it cap- turied (Jud.18:1-29) after their father, or else the mere survival of a word and custom; but, none the less, it serves to TRACE these wanderers LIKE A TRAIL.

Hence the Dan-ube, the Dan-ieper, the Dan-iester, the Dan-au, the Daci and Davi, the Dan, the Don, the U-Don, the Eri-don, and the THOUSAND OTHER dans and dons of ancient and early geography, down to the Danes in Dan-emerke, or 'Dan's last resting place' (quoted in Allen, Judah's Sceptre and Joseph's Birthright, p.263-64).

Denmark, the name of the modern country in Europe north of Germany, means, literally, "Dan's mark." Its people are called "Danes." In fact, because at one time Denmark ruled all the surrounding region, the whole region took its

name from them the ScanDINavian peninsula! Clearly, here are remnants of the people of DAN, who migrated westward overland from the Caucasus to their present location in northern Europe!

However, other Danites, who dwelt or abode in ships, and who associated themselves with the sea peoples of Tyre and Sidon, fled westward through the Mediterranean when northern Israel fell. Early Danites fled Egypt at the time of the Exodus, and migrated through SarDINia, and left their trail along the sea-coasts of the Mediterranean. Thus Dan, who was a "lion's whelp" who would "leap from Bashan," leaped all the way to Ireland, where historians explain that the early settlers were known as the "Tuatha de Danaan" -- literally, the "tribe of Dan." The Greeks called them the Danoi, the Romans called them Danaus.

In Ireland, today, we find their customary evidence -- their place names -- in abundance. Such names as Dans-Lough, Dan-Sower, Dan-

Monism, Dun-dalke, Dun-drum, Don-egal Bay, Don-egal City, Dun-glow and Lon-don-derry, as well as Din-gle, Dun-garven and Duns-more, which means "MORE DANS." Of course, the most famous Irish ballad of all time is the song, "Danny Boy." It should be plain that the country of Ireland is replete, filled with names which derive from the ancient patriarch of the Hebrews -- DAN, the son of Jacob! It should be plain that the ancient Danites settled in Ireland, and most of them dwell in that land, today.

There is also a river Don in Scotland, and another in England. These countries, also, show the evidence of the presence or passing of the tribe of Dan, who migrated with the other tribes of the northern kingdom of Israel, especially the tribe of Joseph (Ephraim and Manasseh). Here we find such names markers, or "guide posts" as God called them in Jeremiah -- as Dun-dee, Dun-kirk, Dunbar, Dunraven, E-dinburgh, and Lon-don.

OS13373 To be continued



Harold Stough Notes

Sheela-na-gig in Wivelsfield Church East Sussex

THREE ARE THESE TWO FIGURES in the porch of this Church, they were carved by the early Christians for a symbol to ward off evil. Christians in the early part of this Church's history knew exactly what they were up against, for the spirit world was not hidden from their eyes, unlike today where Christianity has become so de-spiritualised.



The first carving would remind evil spirits on entering this Church of their end, how that they would be bound and thrown into the pit of fire.

Sheela-na-gig are frequently found on churches all over Europe and it is commonly said that they are there to keep evil spirits away. They are often positioned over doors or windows, presumably to protect these openings.



The second carving of a Sheela-na-gig, would remind the unclean spirits of their end.

Wivelsfield Church



Archangel Michael - Slaying The Serpent

The Green man was named in 1929 by Lady Raglan. The man's is surrounded by foliage, and foliage sprouting from its mouth, nose, eyes, or ears. It can sometimes also be a face entirely composed of leaves.

The Green Man, also called Jack-of-the-Green

The Green Man

or leaf man, represents most certainly the tree angel spirit.

The green man or angel of the foliage, was put in Churches as a sign to ward off evil. Early Christians were not naive to the spiritual realm as most Christians are today.

The End OS22117

Divine Compassion John Trotter - Winmalee, Australia



IN A WORLD that is showing no sign of recovery, we have to at times pause and smell the flowers. It might be necessary to pack the car and go for a drive into the countryside. You may not even a read a book, instead you disconnect yourself from the world that can at times almost drown you. Matthew 6:34 says, "Do not therefore fret about tomorrow for the morrow has its own trouble. The care of each day by itself is quite enough". To retain your sanity this short interlude of escapism is necessary. This is especially true when you have few friends who really understand what you believe. Even the books you read are not commonly read and cannot be considered like that of comic scripts. To help put the ship of state on an evil keel you have to always return to the Scriptures for eternal hope.

It is my view that there is little left in this world where we can find comfort, other than what is written in the Scriptures wherein lies our hope (Romans 15:4). It is true that the beauty of God's creation provides proof of design and incomprehensible wonder like that of the snow flake. As the Scriptures say, "The fool hath said in his heart, there is no God" (Psalms 14:1).

From Genesis to Revelation there are a number of themes that can be associated with true Israel. One of these themes is the "Hope of Israel". This hope is expressed in different ways , namely the Redeemer and Saviour as the Hope of Israel, but also in the hope that Israel as a nation would be healed and salvation come out of Zion (Psalm 53:6). The Hope of Israel is best described in one word "Restoration".

This restoration can only take place within the belief that God is Sovereign over all His creation and that of His election process. The Sovereignty of God is not only hardly spoken of today but there is more belief today centred on the false idea that we find Jesus. There is also a tendency within the minds of many to believe that God cannot do certain things. This is becoming more apparent as society adopts the political correctness viewpoint, which espouses the following questions, "How can God have

favourites? Aren't we all equal? Don't we have a free will to do what we like? Aren't we in control of our own destiny?" Many other questions are asked to try and stop or at least delay the inevitable demise of all that man stands for. The mind of God is now in contest with the mind of man, which has now been deified according to the New Age gurus.


The phrase "I will cause" occurs many times in the Scriptures. It becomes obvious that God is bringing about His plan and purpose no matter what man does. God will even use His enemy to fulfil His plan and purpose. Even if true Israel loves lies more than the Truth or is willing to give up her inheritance for worthless promises, God is quite capable of raising up Israelites from the stones beneath our feet (Matthew 3:9). All this God can do just to fulfil His plan of Restoration for Israel.

The theme of the Remnant saves the day as stated in Micah 7:18. Isaiah 1:9 says, "Except the Lord of hosts had left unto us a very small remnant, we should have been as Sodom, and we should have been like unto Gomorrah". Jeremiah 23:3-4 states that God will gather His remnant out of all countries. Shepherds shall be set up over them and they shall fear no more. That day is still future. Israel is God's heritage who has been scattered amongst the nations (Joel 3:2). The history of the Old Testament is very much a sad reflection of Israel's disobedience. Even the best of men would have given up and sought another method or system to establish some semblance of stability in society. But as it says in Isaiah 55:8, "For my thoughts are not your thoughts, neither are your ways my ways, saith the Lord".

One of the mysteries of the Bible is that from the foundation of the world, God had put in place a way of escape, through the death of His own Son. Yet in all this plan, God did not go back on His Word, neither did He break any of His own Laws, that He expected Israel to obey. The utter inability of man to install a righteous Kingdom is shown by the Biblical fact that the Laws are to be finally written into our hearts by a merciful and compassionate God. This aspect of the Law is a core aspect of the New Covenant with the House of Israel and the House of Judah (Hebrews 8). As regards the "chosen" they will have no say in the role that has been set down for them. There will be only a willingness from

the heart to follow. If we are the clay then the potter can do what He likes (Jeremiah 18).

One could say a lot more on the patience and compassion of a God who is not only the Creator and Designer of many universes, but also can patiently wait and plan for the most insignificant event to occur. I think at this moment of Isaac, Captain James Cook's cabin boy. It was Isaac who touched our land first and took the captain ashore. Most would say, "So what".



Micah 7:18 asks a question: "Who is a God like unto thee

Ferrar Fenton expresses these verses in the following way, "What God would forgive sin like You? Pardon faults that have broke His estate. Not retaining His anger for ever; For He feels it delight to be kind! He returns and has mercy on us, who will our frailties subdue, and cast all our faults to the depths of the sea! Giving truly to Jacob the promise to Abraham, As He swore to our fathers, in days long ago!"

During these times of increasing mayhem we must make the time to study the Bible and find peace in a compassionate God. It will be only then that the words of 2 Timothy 2:15,16 will become more relevant. **The End OS22116**

**Steven Books
League Enterprises
Suite 3, 3rd. Floor
148 Cambridge Heath Road
London
E1 5QJ**

For books by identity authors – Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter and Richard Porter plus many other subjects and difficult to obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

Letters And Views



The Curse of The Coburgs

Sir—, I found this very interesting item in “The Rise & Fall of The House of Windsor” by A. N. Wilson, published in 1993.

The Kaiser, more good humouredly, asked if he could attend a performance of The Merry Wives of Saxe-Coburg-Gotha.

What is perhaps less generally discussed is the Royal Family's entitlement even to the Saxe-Coburg inheritance. This would perhaps be of interest only to pedantic genealogists, were it not for the curious story of the Curse of the Coburgs.

Both Albert and Victoria came of the Coburg line. Albert's father was the brother of Victoria's mother (the Duchess of Kent). Their brother, Ferdinand, married Antoinette de Kohary, the only child of Prince Joseph Kohary, Chancellor to the Austrian Emperor and a member of one of the richest families in Hungary. The Coburg estate was entailed in favour of the male line, but Prince Joseph persuaded the Emperor to issue a 'filiation' order, which bestowed on Antoinette the benefits of a son. The male members of the Kohary family resisted in vain, and one of them the monk Brother Emericus Kohary, went to a churchyard in Darmstadt at midnight. And solemnly read out the curse according to the ritual of the *Manuale Exorcisorum*. ‘Then verily shall I pray to the Lord Almighty to visit the sins of the fathers upon the children to the third and fourth generation of the Coburg line.’

The efficacy of this malediction may be assessed if we pause to follow the Coburg line through the four prescribed generations and see how they

fared. One could fill a book with the names of the descendants of the House of Saxe-Coburg, which was in effect the nursery for most of the Coburg line.

The efficacy of this malediction may be assessed if we pause to follow the Coburg line through the four prescribed generations and see how they fared. One could fill a book with the names of the descendants of the House of Saxe-Coburg, which was in effect the nursery for most of the Royal Families of Europe in the nineteenth century. But even allowing for the selectivity of the following account which traces only the more immediate relations of the present Duke of Edinburgh, the reader will feel compelled to acknowledge that the breed has been singularly unfortunate since the monk uttered his curse.

In the first generation, Prince Albert died of typhoid fever at the age of only forty-two. His wife and cousin, Victoria, had unknowingly inherited haemophilia from her Coburg mother, also called Victoria, and therefore passed on this fatal disease (which is 'carried' on the X chromosome and therefore can be borne quite unconsciously by females) to the Royal Families of Spain, Bulgaria, Greece, Germany and Russia. The Portuguese Royal Family, also inheritors of the Coburg line and of the monk's curse, were almost entirely wiped out by the same disease which killed Prince Albert, typhoid fever.

But let us limit our pursuit to the line which eventually produces Prince Philip. The next generation—the second generation of the monk's curse—is that of Princess Alice, who married Louis IV, Grand Duke of Hesse and the Rhine, in 1862. Like her father, she was destined to die young (only thirty-five) of diphtheria, caught from the lips of a dying infant daughter. She did not die, however, before producing a good crop of children, all of whose lives would have surely gratified the malicious Kohary monk, had he lived to witness them. Most famous of them was Alix (aleksandra Feodorovna), last of the Tsarinas, who perished with her husband, Tsar Nicholas II, and all her children at the hands of the Bolsheviks at Ekaterinburg in 1918. Her sister, Ella Feodorovna, a Grand Duchess of Russia, also died at the hands of the Bolsheviks—she was thrown live down a mine shaft at Alapevsk in Siberia, her husband having

been assassinated in Red Square thirteen years before, during the Russo-Japanese war. Their other siblings included Frederick ('Frittie'), who died at the age of three by falling out of his mother's bedroom window (not before his haemophilia had been diagnosed), and their sister little Princess Marie, who died of the black diphtheria which also killed her mother. There was also Princess Victoria of Hesse and the Rhine, who in 1884 married Louis Prince of Battenberg, who changed his name to Mountbatten in the same year, 1917, that George V changed the Royal House to that of Windsor and was granted the reassuringly British sounding title Marquess of Milford Haven. The former Princess Victoria of Battenberg, later the Marchioness of Milford Haven, Prince Philip's grandmother, lived to see the birth of her great-grandchildren, Prince Charles and Princess Anne, before dying in 1950. It might therefore be supposed that she had bypassed the curse of the monk.

But presumably, for a curse to be fully satisfying to the malice of its perpetrator, there must be some unfortunates who survive in order to

relations at a stroke and which left a permanent scar on his nature. In 1937, Prince Louis of Hesse announced his engagement to the Hon. Margaret Campbell-Geddes; the wedding was to be held in England. Prince Philip of Greece, aged sixteen, went down to London for the wedding, and awaited the arrival of his Hesse relations at Croydon airport. November 16 was a clear sunny day as their aeroplane took off from the Continent, and it was only when they approached Croydon that a freak mist gathered.

The plane crashed into a chimney and was smashed to pieces. All the crown jewels were lost, as were many members of the family — Hereditary Grand Duke George Donatus, his wife, his two young sons, Louis and Alexander, the Dowager Grand Duchess Eleonore, and so forth. Only the baby of the family, Johanna, was left behind. She died of tubercular meningitis two years later, aged three. Some weeks later, while Prince Philip was still reeling from the shock of this multiple bereavement, his favourite sister Cecile was killed in another air crash, this time over Brussels, aged twenty-six.

The Kohary monk extended his curse to the 'third and fourth generation' of the Coburg line. If we take it that Victoria and Albert were the first generation, then the fourth (limiting our studies specifically to the direct ancestors of Prince Philip) comes to an end with his mother, Princess Alice, a melancholic nun who was to be seen drifting sadly about the corridors of Buckingham Palace dressed in her religious habit. Perhaps her piety and prayers were offered in reparation for the Curse of the Coburgs. If so, they could not prevent the monk's little coup de grace, the assassination of her brother, Earl Mountbatten of Burma, in the summer of 1976 on a yacht off the coast of Ireland.

It might be supposed, as readily as it is to be hoped, that the curse of the Kohary monk has now spent its force. The four generations specified in his ritual commination have now been born, and even those who are unable to believe in such things on rational grounds must concede that, since the churchyard utterance, the



witness the calamities and misfortunes befalling its most direct victims. The Marchioness of Milford Haven lived long enough to see the disastrously unhappy marriage of her daughter Alice (mother of Prince Philip) to Andrew, Prince of Greece and Denmark. She also witnessed one of the most extraordinary consequences of the monk's malice, which wiped out most of the adolescent Prince Philip's

descendants of the House of Coburg have had immoderate ill fortune.

Note: The young couple were married wearing full mourning, with Lord Mountbatten as best man and Von Ribbentrop, the German Ambassador in London, signing the register. Princess Margaret Mountbatten (the former Miss Campbell-Geddes) returned to Germany with her young husband and five coffins. ‘Everybody was crying,’ she recalled, even when they were doing the Nazi salute, they were still crying.’ Tim Heald, “*The Duke. A Portrait of Prince Philip*” (1991) p. 49

Some will have wondered, when they read—or heard—the claim by the Princess of Wales that she had ‘lived before’, whether by some cruel quirk of destiny she had walked the earth before in the person of the Kohary monk, and whether, in her falling out with her husband, she threatened to undermine what had appeared, until she married Prince Charles, to be an institution of unshakeable durability. Others will dismiss all talk of curses or reincarnation as mere fantasy: but they will think that monarchs, like maledictory monks, belong to a vanished age. To such as these, the march of historical change is not to be resisted.

The gradual dissolution of the class structures in modern Britain and the undermining of its Parliamentary sovereignty and independence by European federalism perhaps make the notion of a constitutional Monarch seem a trifle anachronistic. In time, and given a crisis—so such forward-looking minds would conceive—the House of Windsor will have to go. Just as the huge proportion of their cousins among the royalties and high nobilities of Old Europe have been swept away by history, so, it is imagined, will they. If France elects a President and Germany elects a President—why shouldn’t Great Britain? In which case, without the violence which disposed of their cousin the Tsar, the House of Windsor might—like so many of their German cousins—retreat to their large houses and their obscure lives, and allow history to march on without them.

Those who believe this moment of history to have arrived are still very much in the minority in Britain, but they have gathered in numbers and momentum. Even a decade ago, the only British people who regarded themselves as

republicans were almost all recognisable eccentrics — the sort of people who would also believe in reforming the English spelling system, or who would doubt the authorship of Shakespeare’s plays. Something happened during the 1980’s which changed all that. A carefully thought out republicanism is still a minority fad in Britain, but it has gained ground and gravitas. And one of the people responsible for that is the woman who cast her personality so forcefully on the decade between 1979 and 1989: the Right Honourable Margaret Thatcher.

From, Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.

Department of Constitutional Affairs's



Guide to The UK Constitution

Sir— Your book Inside Britain A Guide to the UK Constitution, starts out wrong from the cover. There is no UK or British Constitution. There are two constitutions in Great Britain The most important is the English Constitution , by the grace of King Edward III the Scots King David who had been captured in battle by King Edward was allowed to return to Scotland to rule Scotland as a vassal King to King Edward. King Edward allowed King David to keep Scottish law.

On page 7: You state it was never formally stated that the King or Queen must agree to any proposal of new law passed by both Houses of Parliament. This is simply untrue, Parliament is a tripartite agreement the Commons originate law, the Lords vet the law and dependent only upon their conscience they send it back for amendment, reject it or give it their assent, the bill then goes to the King or Queen who according to their conscience will reject the bill or give it the assent. If the King or Queen refuses the assent the bill is dead and there is no power on earth that can change that. Sir Mathew Hale

Chief Justice in his Prerogatives of the King 1713 deals with this subject.

Joseph Chitty in his book A Treatise on the Law of the Prerogatives of the Crown and the Relative Duties and Rights of the Subject 1820 Describes the prerogative to grant the assent or reject a bill as a high and incommunicable prerogative. This must by definition outlaw the automatic assent. OH DEAR Parliament are making it up as they go along. Along with ministers use of the prerogative which is given by the people to the sovereign for their exclusive use and only ever in our best interests.

It has become accepted practice for the King or Queen to ask the leader of the party with the most seats to form a government. Accepted practice is not law, the King or Queen can if they so choose appoint an unelected Cockney orange seller to form a government.

On page 8: You state Magna Carta 1215 is one of the oldest written documents that has shaped the UK Constitution. Magna Carta 1215 was a reissue of the Charter of Liberties 1100, which was itself a reissue of the laws of William I, which was a reissue of the laws of Edward the Confessor, which were themselves a reissue of the first book of English Constitutional Law the Dome promulgated by King Alfred the Great in 886. Magna Carta 1215 was not a law as such it was a contract between King John I and his subjects as a contract between the King and the subject it is beyond the reach of Parliament. So cannot be amended or repealed legally.

We are a Monarchy we do not have citizens only subjects.

You state the UK Parliament is supreme. this is not true, Queen Elizabeth II is by law, the

Common Law of Kingship as given by Sir John Fortescue Chief Justice (left) in 1420 in his book On the Laws and Governance of England as well as the 1559 Act of Supremacy, and by Parliamentary vote on the 8th March 1784 when a vote was taken on where ultimate Sovereignty lay, with the Lawfully anointed King George III or



with the House of Commons as the elected House. The King won the vote and by Parliamentary vote absolute supremacy lays with Queen Elizabeth II as our lawfully anointed Queen.

The Common and Constitutional law are higher law with the ability to strike down any bill which has passed through Parliament which is against common right and reason, which is repugnant or impossible to perform. Chief Justice Sir Edward Coke 1628. Far from having no special significance they are the most important laws we have and all are beyond the legal reach of Parliament.

On page 9: You state the separation of powers is not as clear cut as in countries with a written constitution. Firstly that is just not true, the executive Parliament make law, but have no say over how the police or courts implement that law. The police and courts are not answerable to parliament but to the law and only to the law. Using always as their guide the Common and Constitutional higher law.

Our rights and responsibilities are very well taken care of by our Common and Constitutional law. Far from needing the Human Rights Act we gave it to mainland Europe whose record on human rights was appalling, the French Revolution and Nazi Germany being cases in point. Once again we are subjects not citizens.

All of our rights are absolute King Alfred the Great Hanged 40 Judges who conducted unfair trials. Our right to a fair trial, freedom of expression and assembly cannot be withdrawn. Kings who have tried this have been killed, William II (Rufus) Shot with an arrow through the heart. Edward II Killed with a red hot poker up his back passage Charles I his head was removed, and James II was forced to flee the Kingdom.

On page 10: You state Magna Carta was an agreement drawn up between King John and the Barons. This is not true Magna Carta 1215 was drawn up by Archbishop Stephen Langton based on the Charter of liberties 1100 and placed before a meeting of the estates of England then presented to King John to sign. The estates of England are the most authoritative law giving body in the Kingdom they comprise the Lords Spiritual, the Lords Temporal and the



Commonality of England who were at Runnymede in their thousands. The estates of England can and have fired and hired our Kings the last time was in Jan/Feb 1689 when they effectively fired King James II and hired William III and Mary II. The only time we have Crowned a King and Queen as our lawfully anointed Sovereigns.

Our membership of the European Union is now and always was illegal under our Common and Constitution Law. As a result all EU Treaties are treasonable acts by the government ministers who signed them.

On page 57: Citizenship of the European Union. If you are a subject of Queen Elizabeth II YOUR only duty of loyalty is to Her Majesty and her Kingdom we cannot without seeking naturalisation in another country owe any other duty, If we do seek dual Nationality our first loyalty under international and English law is to England and its Queen. The Maastricht Treaty purports to make Queen Elizabeth II a citizen of Europe, this is a constitutional impossibility the only being above England's Kings or Queens is God. This was decided by a meeting of the Estates of England meeting in May 1366 Select Documents of English Constitutional History Macmillan 1921.

On page 64: You state the Queen cannot be prosecuted. Neither the Sovereign nor anyone else in England is above the law. Chief Justice Henry DeBracton 1250 ruled the King is beneath no man but he is beneath God and rules England as Gods Lt. and according to Gods Laws, and he is beneath the law for it is by the law he becomes King. I would point out King Charles I was put on trial and executed for his crimes. Crown immunity is a myth put out by government. In England we generally work on the assumption the King is perfect and can do no wrong. So when wrong occurs we hang the Kings wicked and evil advisors. The King cannot by law grant

a dispensation from a penalty for an offence unless the King is the only Victim, Queen Elizabeth I forgave the Earl of Essex a personnel treason against her but removed his head when his treason was against her subjects. Patterson J refers in Stockdale vs. Hansard 1837.

Our forefathers chose to elect our Kings and this is the case today, up until James II a Knight in full armour would ride into Westminster Abbey and throw a mailed gauntlet on the floor saying are there any foul and base traitors here who deny this Prince's right to be Crowned King? If there are I will meet you outside.

The law on Kingship is both common law and custom, custom being any law which has been in use with the approval of the people from before time of memory. Sir Edward Coke set this at 1190 some 400 years earlier so any law older than 400 years is the custom and practice of England and is immune from repeal. In the beginning our forefathers who were no slouches decided the King must stand above his subjects in land money and splendour and resources were made available to the King. It was decided the King could not dispose of any money or land because that would diminish him as King. As a result Queen Elizabeth II is not permitted by the common law of Kingship and the custom and practice of England to do anything to diminish the Crown. So she cannot give away the Crown Estates or the Crown Jewels nor can she give anyone else the right to use the Royal Prerogative because to do so would diminish the Crown and that she is refused permission to do by law. I would refer you to Sir John Fortescue CJ By the same token she cannot allow herself to become a citizen of Europe or any where else because that would place some one or some thing over her and that would diminish her as Supreme Governor of England answerable only to her subjects and God.

The Queen - What Exactly is Her Job?

Queen Elizabeth II is supreme governor of England, all her other titles supremacies prerogatives and superiorities stem from the fact she is first and foremost the Queen of England.

Constitutionally the sovereign is head of state and has the final say on all laws passed by parliament, the sovereign has the absolute right

to accept a bill passed by both houses or to reject or return the bill for amendment. The sovereign is the fount of all law in this Kingdom. There is no other Kingdom or person on the face of this planet to whom the Kings of England take 2nd place. Not now not ever.

Or at least that is the constitutional position, the facts as they are today are very different, since 1714 the House of Commons has been on a power grab, they claim as the elected house sovereignty lies with them and not the Crown.

THEY ARE WRONG. Sovereignty lies as it always had with the Crown. This was confirmed after a vote in the house after King George III had fought a 22 year running fight with the commons as to who was sovereign him as King or the commons as the elected house, the King won the vote on the 8th March 1784 and was confirmed as a fully sovereign King by the House of Commons who withdrew their claim to be sovereign. Indeed any other result would have hanged them all for high treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act. By imagining the death of the King as a fully Sovereign King.

We are told by parliament that the last time a bill was rejected by the sovereign was in 1707 when Queen Anne rejected the Scottish Militia Act. This is far from the truth Queen Victoria refused a bill on homosexuality because it contained references to lesbians on the grounds she did not believe women could engage in such activity, the bill had to be rewritten with all reference to lesbianism removed before it received the assent. King Edward VII refused what became the 1911 Parliament Act because it was unconstitutional and removed a protection from his subjects.

Since 1960 the Royal Assent has been granted by a committee of 5 Barons appointed by the government of the day to give what has become known as the automatic assent.

How Did We Reach This Sorry State?

It started a long time ago in 1609 when the House of Commons first tried it on when they wrote to the House of Lords claiming to be the Knights, Burgess's, and Barons of the High Court of Parliament. The House of Lords replied saying they would never accept the commons as Barons and without them they were no court.

Next in 1667 the House of Commons told the House of Lords they could not amend a money bill a ten year argument between both house

ensued until in 1677 the House of Lords agreed not to amend any money bills, this was the start of the problems we have today.



In 1714 Queen Anne (above) died and King George I came to the Crown he spoke no English and so unlike all previous Kings and Queens he did not attend parliament or cabinet meetings so the government of the day in the commons were left to do as they liked. King George II spent his entire reign complaining that his ministers were Kings in his Kingdom and he was discouraged from attending parliament or cabinet meetings, we know that King George III fought back and in part reversed that trend. On the 8th March 1784 a vote was taken in Parliament and the King won the vote, so Queen Elizabeth II is the fully sovereign Queen of England.

We have dealt with Queen Victoria and King Edward VII. When King George V came to the Throne he was told by a government minister that he kept all his prerogatives but could not use any of them unless he had the backing of a government minister, when the King accepted this it was the final nail in the coffin of England. At the same time Asquith put through the 1911 Parliament Act which purported to remove from the House of Lords their ability to reject a bill, so we now have a situation where Asquith a Fabian prime minister had usurped the Royal Prerogative a clear act of high treason contrary to the 1351 Treason Act and a clear act of the subversion of the constitutional arrangements of Parliament with the 1911 Parliament Act a clear case of high Treason against the Constitutional arrangements of Parliament at English Common Law. As was the 1999 House of Lords Act which removed the hereditary Peers from their rightful place in Parliament. Every Parliament since 1911 has been an unlawful assembly and all laws


passed by it are void under English Common Law.

Denizens

Blackstone commentaries on the laws of England 1768 and Chitty 1820

Naturalisation Denisation

When some one comes to England and applies for British nationality and it is granted, they place themselves in a difficult position because



under the law their first loyalty is to the country of their birth. If this country went to war with the country of their birth they should in law return to that country if they remain here they commit an act of treason against the country of their birth. If they return home and support their original country they commit an act of treason against us.

If they have children here those children take the nationality of the father so if the father originally came from India the child is Indian. If an English man lives in Russia and marries a Russian woman any child they have is English. If an English woman goes to Russia and marries a Russian man any children they have are Russian.

If a person comes here and is naturalised British they receive most though not all the rights of a natural born subject they can buy and sell inherit or leave property, what they may not do is sit in either House of Parliament, sit on the Privy Council, receive any order of Nobility or hold any office of public trust. (in short they are never to be trusted) The Sovereign is forbidden by the common law of Kingship from ever changing that law.

The object of that law is to keep England under the safe governorship of an English King and the indigenous people of England.

The oaths from the Act of Supremacy 1559 and the Bill of Rights 1689 both major constitutional law state.

No foreign prince, person, state or potentate, hath or ought to have any power, superiority, supremacy or authority ecclesiastical or spiritual within this land.

With this oath in mind it makes no sense to allow any naturalised subject to hold any public office, and most assuredly we must at all costs remove all Denizens from every office of public trust and do it now. We can start by not voting for anyone but a natural born subject at the next election and all other elections. Write to the chief Officer of your police force and ask him to arrest those natural born subjects who have placed denizens in offices of public trust for treason, write to your MP if he is a natural born subject demand he upholds the law, if he is a denizen order him gone in the name of the law. **Yours respectfully,**
Albert Burgess

Change The Faces

Sir—Below is a typical poster or post seen on many of these so-called Truth sites. But this is the power of deception. These three characters on this poster are just puppets.



Those below should be the type of faces on the poster:




Yours truly, RPS, Norwich, UK.

The End

Sir George Downing After Whom The Famous Downing Street is Named

From Our Sussex Correspondent



Sir George Downing

George Downing was born in Ireland in 1623 (an official genealogy says he was between 1622-4). He was a nephew of John Winthrop who was one of the founders of the Massachusetts Bay Colony. Downing went to America to study, being one of the very first graduates of Harvard College.

D. Jones in his "Secret History" says Sir George Downing, a man of obscure birth, and more obscure education, which he had received in part in New England. He had passed through many offices in Cromwell's army, of chaplain, scout master, and other employments; and at last obtained great credit with the usurper, and under that countenance married a beautiful lady of very noble extraction.

On returning to England he joined the rebellion against King Charles I and served Oliver Cromwell as the organiser of a network of spies. During the Commonwealth period after the execution of King Charles, Downing held office in the Treasury and was one of those who tried to persuade Cromwell to declare himself king, but without success.

Downing was in Holland as an ambassador when Cromwell died and his son Richard failed to continue the Republican dynasty. Sentiment in England had changed, leading to the enthusiastic welcome given to the restored King Charles II.

This clearly presented a danger to someone who had been a loyal supporter of Cromwell.

That Charles should have been so unwise to have reinstated a spy for the Jews in Amsterdam, was no doubt due to the Cabal's influence over him. The Cabal gets its name from a group of nobles that were the "power behind the throne". Hence: **Clifford, Arlington, Buckingham, Ashley, and Lauderdale, who at this time were called by way of peculiar distinction, or reproach, the CABAL from the initial letters of their names.** However, Downing was able to persuade the new king that he had mended his ways and would be as loyal to him as he had been to Cromwell. He also had some secret documents in his possession that he was happy to hand over to the king as proof of his good intentions.

The trick worked, even to the extent that Downing was knighted, given his old Treasury job back, and also granted a tract of land near St James's Park that includes the present site of Downing Street.

While in Holland, one of Downing's roles had been to track down royalist enemies of the Commonwealth. Now that he was the king's man he proceeded to betray several of his former colleagues and friends who had escaped to Holland. These were men who had signed Charles I's death warrant and whose own lives were now forfeit. By arranging their deportation back to England, Downing was condemning them to death.



The Queen visiting No. 10 Downing Street

Further honours came Downing's way, including a baronetcy, a seat in Parliament and considerable wealth. He died in 1684.

Samuel Pepys considered Sir George Downing to be “a perfidious rogue” and it is not difficult to see why, although Pepys was also a loyal government servant under King Charles II. Nobody loves a turncoat, especially one who was willing to betray his former friends and cause their deaths in order to gain power and wealth for himself.

However, it was on his land that the street was laid out that contains some of the most important buildings in London, and the name has never been changed. Some might say that the twists and turns that government ministers perform in their day-to-day business is being done in a place that is well named, given the slippery character of the man whose name the street bears to this day!

George Downing was also influential removing the power of issuing money away from the monarch and his government as mentioned in D. Jones in his “Secret Histroy”:-

But some time had been unavoidably lost upon the bill of supply, not from any reluctance to grant so large a sum, which, as I said before, was voted with the utmost readiness, but from the **insertion of a new clause to alter the mode of issuing money from the exchequer**, which gave rise to much debate, and proved in its consequences so mischievous, that it will not be unfit to set down a particular account of it.

In order to give the intended clause the most plausible, and popular shape, they contrived it so artfully, as if all the money, to be raised by the bill for supply was to be applied to those ends only for which it was granted, and to no other purpose whatsoever, by what authority soever. When this strange proviso was moved for by Downing, upon the second reading of the bill, the solicitor-general and many others were so alarmed at the seeming restriction it imposed even upon the king himself, that they would never have suffered it to be committed, if they had not been assured by Mr. Coventry, that it was brought in by the king's own direction, and for purposes well understood by his majesty.

This was Sir George Downing, a man of obscure birth, and more obscure education, which he had received in part in new England. He had passed through many offices in Cromwell's army, of chaplain, scout master, and other employments; and at last obtained great credit with the usurper,

and under that countenance married a beautiful lady of very noble extraction.

But some time had been unavoidably lost upon the bill of supply, not from any reluctance to grant so large a sum, which, as I said before, was voted with the utmost readiness, but from the **insertion of a new clause to alter the mode of issuing money from the exchequer**, which gave rise to much debate, and proved in its consequences so mischievous, that it will not be unfit to set down a particular account of it.

In order to give the intended clause the most plausible, and popular shape, they contrived it so artfully, as if all the money, to be raised by the bill for supply was to be applied to those ends only for which it was granted, and to no other purpose whatsoever, by what authority soever. When this strange proviso was moved for by Downing, upon the second reading of the bill, the solicitor-general and many others were so alarmed at the seeming restriction it imposed even upon the king himself, that they would never have suffered it to be committed, if they had not been assured by Mr. Coventry, that it was brought in by the king's own direction, and for purposes well understood by his majesty.

That the seat of the British Government should be seated in a road named after such a character speaks volumes! Downing Street is known round the world. It is to be doubted that many people could name the road in which the prime minister of say France, Germany, Holland or Austria resides, but many could name the road where the British prime minister resides!



The coat of arms of the Downing family, says it all, with the prominent dragon on it.

The End OS22089

The Mystery Of "The Q Ships"

T. Stokes



HMS Stock at 160 feet long, was sunk off the Devon coast on July 30 1918 but the circumstances were very odd and still not clear, Stock had the appearance of a merchant vessel and the Royal Navy crew were disguised as Merchant Navy men, a crime in wartime to be in combat out of uniform which carried the death penalty, and what were known as "Prize Rules" which carried strict codes of combat, and were based on the old pirates stealing Prize cargoes.

For some of the following story I wish to thank an old WWI sailor in a care home some years ago.

The Mystery of HMS Stock, one of the Royal Navy's top secret "Q ships" appears to have been solved, the British newspaper the Daily Telegraph gives a slightly one sided article on this mystery ship in its January 27 edition.

The HMS Stock was sunk in what became one of the most celebrated encounters of WWI

More than 90 years later a team claims to have found the vessel and will put forward their findings at the International shipwreck conference in Plymouth this week.

The Admiralty if it has something to hide will always list the ship as sunk elsewhere on maps and charts, but the diving team led by a former corporate banker found the ship 8 miles from its stated position.

The Stock was one of the secret Q ships which broke all the rules of war, there were 200 of these decoy vessels designed and built to lure German submarines to the surface, the rules dictated that submarines had to surface and search merchantmen and place their crews in "a place of safety" lifeboats were one such place, but as the submarine surfaced it was highly vulnerable above the water and the Q ships would then with its concealed guns blast the submarine out of the water.

The Stock was attacked by the submarine said to be a UB80 which then surfaced possibly to drop a life raft for the crew, but as the ship went down they utilized the "panic party scam" a group of disguised sailors started to row away from the stricken ship, the submarine moved in closer and the ship revealed its weapons and opened fire from almost point blank range, in three hits the periscope was blasted off, then the whole conning tower and the third blast ripping into the hull, amazingly the submarine managed to limp back to port where Captain Lieutenant Max Vieberg registered a complaint to German Naval heads which would later lead to different rules on engagement.

Churchill in WW II bought a batch of rusting old hulks from the USA, different figures say 50 ships and some 100 ships, kept in store especially for WW II, he emptied the prisons and put them on the Russian convoys, because the Brits were reading the German Enigma codes we knew which ships would be sunk in any area, so many of these ships were sent as decoy ships or DQ SHIPS, to allow other ships we wanted kept safe alone, this a horrendous Churchill war crime has gone unnoticed.

However, while the HMS Stock slowly sank its crew were rescued by trawlers.

These Q ships were Cargo steamers or trawlers with big guns concealed under dummy lifeboats or hidden under fake funnels or awnings and nets, they often carried cargoes of wood to make them harder to sink, today just one is known to

have survived; the HMS President which rests on the Thames.

The British were harassed around their coasts in the Cold War by heavily armed Russian trawlers converted to spying boats, but what could they say when they had done the same thing?

After the war Captain Auten was secretly given the Victoria Cross, but later published a book "**Q boat adventures**" which was made into a silent film in 1928 called "Q ships" in which Captain


Auten played himself, after the war Auten worked in the US film industry and owned a hotel and a cinema in Bushkill Pennsylvania, and then in W W II he returned to the navy to direct convoys across the Atlantic from New York.

The name Q ship was said to be from where the first prototypes were built, in Queenstown now known as Cobb in the Republic of Ireland, but the term lives on in "Q cars" the name for unmarked or hidden police vehicles.

The End OS22113

Those With High Cholesterol Live Longer – Birth Of The Cholesterol Myth

Paul Fassa



First let's clear the air on good and bad cholesterol. There's no such thing. Cholesterol is cholesterol. And it's a vital substance for hormone production, the first step of creating vitamin D3 from sunlight, and it's the cellular building substance for cell walls throughout the body and especially the brain and nervous system.

But there are two different densities of the lipoproteins that act as carriers for cholesterol to be deposited where needed: Low Density Lipoproteins (LDL) and High Density Lipoproteins (HDL).

As far as arteriosclerosis (arterial hardening) goes, cholesterol is often a blamed innocent bystander drawn to help patch or repair arterial damage from inflammation. A growing number of medical scientists have pointed to sugar and HFCS (high fructose corn syrup) as the true sources of arterial inflammation that brings lipoprotein cholesterol carriers to the inflamed arterial walls for emergency patching.

Nevertheless, the conventional medical "wisdom" that still prevails considers high cholesterol as a death sentence that's executed with heart attacks. This is held in concrete by almost all of mainstream medicine, all of mainstream media, and even too many alternative health writers.

It just won't go away, even as more and more medical literature and cardiologists are seeing it

differently and debunking the cholesterol scam. And this means dangerous statin drugs are still prescribed. Well, they do lower cholesterol don't they? Yes they do. But what if cholesterol is proven as vital for health, especially among older folks. And what if more heart disease related deaths occur among people with low cholesterol than people with high cholesterol? In other words, people with high cholesterol live longer.

A Sampling of Cholesterol and Statin Studies from Around the World

Beatrice Golomb, a scientist at the University of California in San Diego, has been sponsored by the National Institutes of Health to investigate the effects of statins on mental function in 1,000 patients. It is understood she has recorded a number of problems ranging from memory lapses to changes in personality.

Comment: MIT scientist Dr. Stephanie Seneff's research points to statins as a leading cause of Alzheimer's and other neurological maladies such as Parkinson's and MS. Think about it, if

your cholesterol count is reduced, how are your brain cells and nervous system going to protect themselves and create new cells as older ones die off, a natural process called apoptosis.

Cancer cells are not programmed for apoptosis, which is what makes them continue expanding and clustering into tumours. Two basic cancer approaches involve necrosis, killing cancer cells from external influences, or inducing programmed apoptosis.

Last year a **study of almost 150,000 people in Austria** found that those with the lowest cholesterol were more likely to die of cancer.

Andrew Clark, a cardiologist at Castle Hill hospital in Hull, was the co-author of an international study of 417 heart failure patients that showed those with highest cholesterol levels actually lived longer.

Study: Relation between high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and survival to age 85 years in men (from the VA normative aging study, published in the American Journal of Cardiology, April 2011).



AVVA (Veterans Administration) study of over 650 veterans in the VA health system who were enrolled a couple of decades ago into this epidemiological survey that followed them from ages around 65 to 2008 when most would have reached 85. They concluded that those with higher cholesterol counts were most likely to reach age 85.

Here's the intro to a recent Japanese four man research team

High cholesterol levels are recognized as a major cause of atherosclerosis. However, for more than half a century some have challenged this notion. But which side is correct, and why can't we come to a definitive conclusion after all this time and with more and more scientific data available? We believe the answer is very simple: for the side defending this so-called cholesterol theory, the amount of money at stake is too much to lose the fight.

Comment: Statin drugs are Big Pharma's biggest seller with revenues of around \$30 billion (plus) annually. Their efficacy has only vaguely proven to work among those who have heart disease or have experienced a heart attack. **But statin prescriptions are written as a preventative for those who have high cholesterol counts or are simply getting older.**

The risks far outweigh the benefits for those folks without actual heart disease histories with the following black box side effects: Higher cancer risk, liver damage, confusion and memory loss, increased blood sugar levels and predisposition to diabetes 2, which is often a precursor to heart disease. Studies have shown that statins also reduce CoQ10, a vital enzyme for heart health. Talk about irony!

Then there is muscle deterioration and weakening to add. But the worst side effect is not really a side effect. **It's from what statins are designed to do.**

Many epidemiological studies show an association with lower life spans among people with high cholesterol and people with low cholesterol die younger. This article presents only a handful of those studies. You can [find more here](#).

Remember, cholesterol has many important physiological functions, including vitamin D3 from sunlight, hormone and CoQ-10 production, and of course brain and nervous system cell walls thrive on cholesterol.

The End OS22122

A History of Magna Carta (Part 2)

From Our Welsh Correspondent



Henry's favourite child. It wasn't. John was only 19 at the time, and an Ireland which was torn by civil war was not the place to learn statesmanship.

The Irish resented the presence of the English, more so because of the policies John was charged with implementing. Had John been older and wiser, he might have brought peace and settled the disputes which had torn the country apart. External rulers were sometimes invited in to do just that. But that had not been Henry's plan. John often acted immature, but he also accomplished a great deal. His primary goal was to place power and land into the hands of those loyal to Henry. He did this. His popularity declined as did his funds and when he ran out of cash, he returned to England. He might have returned but by then the situation in England was stressed.

Henry II, to raise money for the Crusades and keep good relations with the Church, had increased taxes. The treasury was already stretched by the defence of his territories in France. Domestic problems within the family had continued. Henry probably wanted John close to him. It didn't help. In 1189, his son Richard aided by Philip II Augustus of France, again attacked him.

This time Henry lost and made a humiliating peace with Richard. As part of the deal he made Richard heir to the English throne. Two days later, Henry II died a natural death at the age of 56 and Richard became Richard I. That left John out in the cold. He married the same year, but he held only a few castles and titles, but no real rights.

IN 1185 HENRY II sent his youngest child, John, to be Lord of Ireland. John is nicknamed Lackland because when all of the duchies and provinces were parcelled out, he inherited nothing. Ireland seemed a good solution for this,

Here's the situation so far:

- Henry has established a rule of law, trial by jury, and a bureaucracy.
- Henry has alienated the Church and had to make major concessions to prevent interdiction and excommunication.
- For the moment, the Church had managed to strengthen its position and popularity.
- Taxes had increased drastically and most of the money sent to Jerusalem or spent on actions in France.
- France is biting at the heals of England due to the amount of territory held by the English king.
- The family is much simpler now, both young Henry and Geoffrey have died. There is only the young and assumed to be ineffectual John.

Richard I

Richard I (called Lionheart) wasn't very interested in England. He had spent his life in France and his rebellions against his father had been to wrest control of, and gain the income from the French territories from his father. He was a soldier, above all. His manner of administration in France was to gather together some knights and a small army and pound on rebellious areas in his domains. It was effective, but didn't win him popularity contests.

Rather than staying in England and building the country and continuing his father's work, Richard I decided to use the money raised for the Crusades and additional money he raised by selling off anything that wasn't tied down and go off to the Holy Land to fight some real battles. There is no glory for a soldier in sitting in a castle doing paperwork. He was good at military strategy and enjoyed it. He was clever enough to realize that if he left John and Philip II of France behind, he would have nothing to return to.



Crusaders attack a castle. The man behind the wounded soldier wears a crown, and his hauberk bears the three lions of England.

He convinced Philip II to join him on the Crusade and made John promise to stay out of England for three years. In return he gave John additional estates and titles. He appointed people to be in charge of his various holdings and with Philip II set off with his army. To make a long story short, he was very successful in his campaigns until Philip II decided that he had to go back to France and abandoned him. Richard I simply didn't have the manpower to recapture Jerusalem and at that point turned back to go home. He was shipwrecked, captured in Austria, and held for ransom.

In the meantime, back in England, John had not been sitting on his hands. Richard I was away for years. John plotted to take over and did manage to take over as regent. That part of the modern Robin Hood picture of the situation was fairly accurate. But notice that the punitive taxation was actually Henry and Richard's doing. When Philip II returned he joined in an alliance with John to replace Richard I.

This plan looked more hopeful on news that Richard I had been captured and was being held for ransom. It must have been tempting for John to just let history take its course. But Eleanor, his mother, was still alive and still a major power. She raised much of the money for the ransom and John ordered additional taxes to be raised to pay for it. The ransom was enormous, 150,000 marks in silver. It was at least as large as the amount raised by the tithe of 10% for the Crusades in the first place. In 1194 the ransom

was paid and Richard I returned home. John was forgiven but removed from government.

Richard I immediately set off for France to fight Philip II to regain his lands. To do so, he had to raise yet more money for his army. It took four years to drive Philip II out and suppress rebellious areas. Most of the money was raised in England to fight this war. It is difficult to collect taxes in areas under someone else's control. By 1199 Richard I was in pretty good shape, having defeated the French and secured his territory, but when fighting a minor skirmish he was wounded in the shoulder and developed gangrene. His mother, Eleanor was at his bedside. Before he died, he designated John as heir to the throne.

- Richard I had secured the French lands, but in the case of might makes right, it only applies to your lifetime.
- Relations with the Church should have been better, Richard won major battles on the Crusades and signed a treaty with Saladin that made it easier for pilgrims to visit Jerusalem.
- But he didn't defeat Saladin and came back without riches to replace the treasury.
- Taxes have increased drastically both for the Crusade and the ransom.
- The French campaigns, while successful, strained the treasury and military potential in England.
- The reforms of Henry II made it possible for England to run fairly smoothly in Richard's absences. For ten years the English had found they could get along without a king.

"King John" engraved by Noble after a picture by Wale. Published in History of England, about 1800. Courtesy of Steve Bartrick

John of England

That left John, but it left him in a terrible situation. England was broke, the army was made up of mercenaries and needed to be paid, and Philip II still wanted control of the French territories. To add spice, Arthur, John's nephew

and son of Geoffrey, John's older brother, had powerful supporters in France who backed his bid for the throne. John had to increase taxes yet again, this in a country which had been ravaged by his brother's military needs.

To raise an army he increased the scutage again, the amount of money you could pay to avoid military service. He sold titles and increased the number and types of tax on forest use, trade, and property. He, too, set off for France. He was able to defeat and capture Arthur, but Philip II was another matter. John was no military commander and the support that John got from the French territories was not that offered to Richard I. Richard had essentially been one of them. John lost territories one by one.

In 1201 John sailed to France to put down rebellion among the Lusiganans. In 1202 Arthur was captured as he was besieging a town to try to kidnap Eleanor of Aquitaine. He was delivered to John and died or was murdered in captivity. In 1204 Normandy was lost to the French, but John still had Aquitaine.

In 1205 there were rumours of a planned French invasion of England so John had to increase fortifications. The French didn't invade, instead in 1205 the castles at Chinon and Loches in the Loire Valley were taken. Chinon had been built by Henry II and was his favourite residence. (Later it would become famous for the start of Joan of Arc's career.) John tried to make peace, but treachery interfered. In 1206 John sailed to Aquitaine to defend it and managed to gain back some additional land.

This led to a short truce. By 1209 there was trouble brewing on the northern border and John took a force from Wales to Scotland where he was able to settle the dispute with William, King of Scotland by treaty rather than war. In 1210 he led an expedition to Ireland to hunt down a rebel Baron. This trip to Ireland was more successful and he received homage from various Chieftains. Between 1210 and 1212 he strengthened his relation with both Wales and Scotland but by 1213 he again had to prepare for invasion from France.

Stephen Langton

John was in trouble on all fronts. The long years of Richard's absence, the extreme taxation to

support the Crusade and the ransom, and the continued need to defend and retake the French possessions weakened his position in England. In addition, he was in trouble with the Church. The struggle over church and state had not ended with Henry II.

The pope was intent on maintaining power, so was John. Things came to a head when John tried to appoint a new Archbishop of Canterbury in 1205 and the monks wanted someone else. When the dispute was appealed to Pope Innocent III, the pope took the opportunity to appoint his own man; he chose Stephen Langton.



"The Crown resigned to the Pope's Legate by King John" (in 1213), from History of England, about 1800.

John would not accept Langton and by 1207 the pope threatened interdiction unless Langton was received. Remember that Henry II had been able to create Thomas Becket as Archbishop in two days. The argument was about the power over the Church and who controlled the clergy and the lands and wealth of the Church. In 1208 the pope activated the interdiction on England and John retaliated by confiscating Church property. In 1209, Langton actually came to England but John would still not receive him and the pope responded by excommunicating John. Essentially, the pope had taken away the right to rule, not just his religious salvation.

Throughout the country, the priests would not perform the sacraments other than baptism and confession due to the interdiction. The

excommunication of John meant that he no longer had the divine right to rule and had lost the support of the Church. The Church then swung their support to the French.

"The Crown resigned to the Pope's Legate by King John" (in 1213), from History of England, about 1800.. Courtesy of Steve Bartrick.

In 1212, John surrendered and sent a mission to the pope. As a result, he had to accept Langton, pay 100,000 marks to the Church (more money), and swear allegiance to the pope. Essentially he pledged England to the pope and ruled it as a fiefdom. In 1214 the interdiction was lifted. At that point, John again travelled to France but was defeated at the battle of Bouvines. The French under Philip II capitalized on their win and invaded England, taking Colchester Castle.

Had this not been yet another emergency in a 15 year series of emergencies, John might have been able to repel them. As it was, the Barons

had had enough. They began to rebel and looked to form alliances with France – probably betting that would be the future. There wasn't a lot of faith in John's military talent and the French had landed.

John had been fighting military and religious battles since he came to power. Money was a perennial problem. The coffers were already depleted. Richard's Crusade had had to be paid for and on top of that, the ransom. No profits had been realized by the Crusade, it was a dead loss. Taxes were already high. The scutage had been increased. His challenge of Church authority had failed, and with it another possible source of income. He had cut domestic spending except for fortifications. He had confiscated lands, sold titles, taken what amounted to bribes for certain privileges and generally run rough shod over the niceties of court politics in the interest of efficiency.

To be continued OS22095

The Serpent Seedline: Edomite Jews and the Sons of Cain By Sherry Shriner



THREE ARE SEVERAL DECEPTIONS IN THE CHURCHES today and one of the most dominant is that Zionism is of the Lord, Zionism means Israel, and we are to protect Israel because those who bless Israel are blessed by the Lord, and those who curse Israel are cursed by the Lord. His words about blessing and cursing Israel are very true, but who is Israel? Is Zionism Israel today?

Most Christians hear the word Zionism and think of Jerusalem. And it was a clever ploy of those who worship Satan to confuse the terminology of their political agendas to lull the people to sleep and get them to agree to their policies because they sound good. Zionism is a political agenda, but when Christians hear it they think of Biblical Zion and Jerusalem.

Zionism became a political agenda of the Bolshevik Illuminati to capture the area of Israel for the Antichrist. In fact, their agenda is to rule the world from Jerusalem. When the Battle of Armageddon takes place the Antichrist gathers all the world's armies to Israel to fight against the Second Coming of Jesus Christ. At that time, the Antichrist is ruling from Jerusalem.

The agenda started back when the Edomite Bolshevik Jews financed Hitler's rise to power in Germany to set up the conditions to establish their own Nation of Israel for Illuminati rule and that is exactly what happened with the Nazi Holocaust. Who was behind the holocaust? The

Edomite Jews. Why? Because they wanted to get rid of the Torah believing Jews and establish a Babylonian Talmudic Jewish nation in Israel. And that is what we have today with Zionism. Talmudic Jews ruling, pushing the agenda of the New World Order and Illuminati to set the nation up for the rule of the Antichrist.

Edomite Jews are not real bloodline Hebrews.

In Revelation 2:9 and 3:9 Yahweh says that there are those claiming to be Jews, but are not Jews, and are liars. They are the church of Satan, the synagogue of Satan and are worshippers and followers of him.

And what are they doing today? Conquering the world for the Antichrist to rule from Jerusalem. In every nation, in every major event, the Edomite Jews have been behind it. Although the Edomite Jews dominate Israel today there are a remnant of real bloodline Hebrews there as well.

Look at America today, who is running the White House? The Edomite Jews and Sons of Cain. Who was arrested for treason during WW 2 by Congress for trading with the enemy? Prescott Bush. Who announced the formation of the NWO in America? His son, George Bush, Sr. Who is president of America today? George Bush, Jr. What do the Bush's have in common with Edomite Jews? They are all part of the serpent seedline of the Illuminati.

The Illuminati are at the top of two particular races of people known as the Edomite Jews and the Sons of Cain. And it goes all the way to the top where the Illuminati rule directly beneath the Jesuits. The Jesuits answer directly to the Black Pope. The Black Pope position is the real power position in the Vatican as opposed to the "white" pope position. Most think it is the Pope, John Paul II who rules from the Vatican. He may reside there, but the real power in the Vatican is hidden, and it's in the position known as the Black Pope. Black meaning hidden or secret. Today, Hans Van Kollenbach, holds the title of the Black Pope. And he is second to none on this earth in establishing Satan's rise to power. He is literally Satan's right hand man.

So what do we have today? The false Jews in Israel being called real Jews. And the real "Jews" not even knowing who they are. We are being

led to believe by the churches today that Satan's chosen are Yahweh's chosen people. Now are you ready to scream?

Orthodox, Reformed, and Messianic Jews disown the Jews running Zionism in Israel today. Not only are they Edomite Jews, but they are also known as the Khazar Jews as well. In 692 A.D. the Khazar Kingdom was established.



These were people that had adopted Judaism as their official religion because of the real Jews that lived among them and proselytised them. From that point on they passed themselves off as real Israelites with a right to Palestine as their homeland. They are not lovers of Yahweh but haters of Him. They are of the same ancestry of Esau whom the Lord hated. The offspring of serpents of whom the Lord told them straight out were of "their father the devil." It was those of this seedline that instigated His crucifixion.

They had infiltrated as the Pharisees in Jesus era and still dominate the "Jewish" nation today. And these are the ones the churches believe are the "chosen" ones of the Lord. If they did 5 minutes of research into Israel today they would realize their error. Instead, they keep recycling errors and falsehoods week after week, month after month, year after year.

We are told by our churches that we must support Israel or the Lord will bring His judgment on this nation when it is the fact that we are supporting them that IS BRINGING His judgment on this nation. Israel today is the prophecy of evil figs of Jeremiah chapters 21-24 and the evil fig tree that Yahweh cursed. He wasn't cursing His own people, but those He hates, the serpent seedlines of Esau and Cain.

Jesus said, "Verily I say unto you, If ye have faith, and doubt not, ye shall not only do this which is done to the fig tree, but also if ye shall say unto this mountain, be thou removed, and be

thou cast into the sea; it shall be done." Mountain is often symbolic of government or authority.



The evil fig tree is the false Jews, the chosen people of Satan. He's telling us to rid the earth of the authority of the Edomites and certainly not to

support it. But are we doing? Sending millions of dollars in financial aid and weapons to them yearly. Do you see the irony now? Do you see WHY judgment is coming to America?

If the Edomites are the ones inhabiting Israel today and the ones who call themselves Jews and are not, who and where are the real Hebrews? Scattered. America IS Israel. We are the tribes of Israel scattered all over this country along with the other white nations.

The Hebrews of old were not dark skinned people. Noah was platinum blonde with blue eyes, David was ruddy with red hair. Ruddy meaning he had flushed cheeks, he could blush. Dark skinned people don't blush, neither are they born with blonde or red hair.

Esau is also described as ruddy and hairy. The Israelites back then were a white race. And who are the white races today? I'm not saying this to be racist I'm just telling you how it was and is.

If you read in Ezekiel 37:12-14 & 23 the Jews are not in the land of Israel today, they will not be regathered into the land, and will not be until the Lord returns. So the whole facade of Israel returning to their land in the last days is not true. When the Lord returns He will regather His people from the ends of the earth to the land of Israel and not until then. So who are the ones there now? The imposters.

Haven't you ever wondered why Yahweh hated Esau? Before he was even born? Why would the Lord make such a statement? Because there are many things that He hates and Esau was one of them (Mal. 1:3, Rom. 9:13). He hated the serpent seed.

Cain, The Serpent's Seed

But before we get to Esau, let's talk about Cain. In Genesis 3:15a He said, "And I will put enmity

between thee and the woman, and between thy seed and her seed." Enmity means mutual hatred. He is saying there is mutual hatred between the seedline of Satan's and the seedline of Eve's. So there are two seedlines. The serpent seed started with Cain and Adam's seed started with Seth. No matter how they have tried to cover it up in the KJV, the Dead Sea Scrolls and even verses such as Gen. 3:15 make it clear that Satan had his own seedline and it started back with Eve.

Many of you are hearing this for the first time. Because if you have sat in church programming all of your lives you haven't heard the truth even though it is right there in the Bible. The apple was figurative for sexual relations masked with the terminology "Eve was beguiled by Satan" and until people accept it as the truth it is, they will stay blind to the real war going on today which is between the serpent seedline and the rest of the human race.

Beguiled used in this text is the same as "seduced." Furthermore, Scripture says Cain "was of that wicked one" he was a son of Satan's through the seduction of Eve. The term "of" is the same that means offspring. Neither is Cain listed anywhere in the descendants of Adam which strongly indicates Cain was not his son. The official beginning of the wheat and the tares began with Eve. The wheat AND the tares.

Most church pastors today will pull out Genesis 4:1 which reads, "And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the Lord."

There are many omissions and translation errors throughout the Bible. The Dead Sea Scrolls confirm that Genesis 4:1 was tampered with. In fact the passage should read:

And Adam knew his wife Eve, who was pregnant by Sammael (Satan), and she conceived and bare Cain, and he was like the heavenly beings, and not like the earthly beings, and she said, I have gotten a man from the angel of the Lord.

Now we know that anything of the Lord is confirmed elsewhere. IF Cain was indeed Satan's son, we wouldn't have to count on just one passage to prove it.

In fact there are many: I John 3:12 "Not as Cain, who was of that wicked one, and slew his (half)

brother.." Here the word "of" in Greek is #1537 in the Strong's Concordance. When used implying a person, it means "a son of or offspring."

Compare this with other translations:

The New Testament in Modern English: "We are none of us to have the spirit of Cain, who was a son of the devil.."


Living Bible: "We are not to be like Cain, who belonged to Satan.."

New English Bible: "...unlike Cain who was a child of the evil one.."

New Century Bible: "Do not be like Cain who belonged to the Evil One."

New Jerusalem Bible: "...not to be like Cain, who was from the Evil One.."

And the popular commentaries confirm the same thing:



The Wycliffe Bible Commentary page 1473: "He (Cain) is said to have belonged to the family of the wicked one."

Matthew Poole's Commentary, volume 3, page 936. "Which showed him (Cain) to be of that wicked one, of the serpent's seed: so early was such seed sown, and so ancient the enmity between seed and seed."

Matthew Henry's Commentary, volume 6 page 1077: "It showed that he (Cain) was as the firstborn of the serpent's seed.."

In John 8:44 Jesus was speaking to the Pharisees and proclaimed, 'Ye are of your father the devil..' The term "of" meaning generation, offspring. They were of the physical seed of their father the devil.

Trees are often used as metaphors in regards to people. Jesus was the "tree of life."

We also find:

Mat 3:10 And now also the axe is laid unto the root of the trees: therefore every tree which bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.

Mat 7:17 Even so every good tree bringeth forth good fruit; but a corrupt tree bringeth forth evil fruit.

Mat 7:18 A good tree cannot bring forth evil fruit, neither [can] a corrupt tree bring forth good fruit.

Mat 7:19 Every tree that bringeth not forth good fruit is hewn down, and cast into the fire.

Mat 12:33 Either make the tree good, and his fruit good; or else make the tree corrupt, and his fruit corrupt: for the tree is known by [his] fruit.

Now back to the Garden of Eden. In Genesis 2:16 we read:

And the Lord God commanded the man, saying, "Of every tree of the garden thou mayest freely eat: But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.

In Genesis 3:3 Eve says to Lucifer the snake,

And the woman said unto the serpent, (Nahash), We may eat of the fruit of the trees of the garden: But of the fruit of the tree which is in the midst of the garden, God hath said, Ye shall not eat of it, neither shall ye touch it, lest ye die.

Adam and Eve had been commanded not to eat of or touch the tree of the knowledge of good and evil. In Genesis 3:13:

"And the Lord said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat."

In Strong's, #398 the term "eat" means to eat, also to lay. The term "touch" in Strong's is #5060 which means naga, to touch, also to have sexual intercourse. Both terms had and have sexual connotations to them.

The tree of knowledge was the means to sexual knowledge. Knowledge is to "know." Knowing means to perceive, experience, learn. It is also used as an euphemism of "to know someone"

sexually as when Adam "knew" his wife. The terms eat, touch, and know are often used in Biblical lingo for sexual relations. Lucifer was the way of knowing good and evil. He was the Tree of the Knowledge of Good and Evil because through him they would learn evil, they would perceive evil, and they would experience it.

Who was the tree of life in the Garden of Eden? Yahshua was. Who was the tree of the knowledge of good and evil? Lucifer. How do we 'eat of the tree of life?' We commune with Him. We are never to commune with Lucifer, and in this instance he seduced Eve, impregnated her, and began his own genealogical offspring and seed-line on this earth that is part of us today.

Before Adam even had a chance to consummate his relationship with Eve, she was already pregnant with Lucifer's child, Cain. And that is what the Illuminati bloodlines of the New World Order don't want you to know. They are Cain's seed, of the wicked one. And it is these blood-lines of Cain and Esau working together through the control of vast wealth around the world that are bringing the Antichrist to power.

The Parable of The Wheat and Tares



The parable of the wheat and tares is found in Matthew 13:24-30 and 37-43. Sandwiched in between in verse 35 is the proclamation: "I will utter things which have been kept secret from the foundation of the world." Jesus then revealed the significance of the parable as meaning He (Yahweh) had fathered the good seed (wheat) and that the tares belonged to the wicked one, they had been fathered by Satan.

Verses 24-30:

The kingdom of heaven is likened unto a man which sowed good seed in his field:

But while men slept, his enemy came and sowed tares among the wheat and went his way.

But when the blade was sprung up, and brought forth fruit, then appeared the tares also.

So the servants of the house-holder came and said unto him, Sir, didst not thou sow good seed in thy field? From whence then hath it tares?

He said unto them, An enemy hath done this. The servants said unto him, Wilt thou then that we go and gather them up?

But he said, Nay; lest while ye gather up the tares, ye root up also the wheat with them.

Let both grow together until the harvest: and in the time of harvest I will say to the reapers, Gather ye together first the tares, and bind them in bundles to burn them: but gather the wheat into my barn.

In verses 38-43 Jesus gives us the meaning of the parable:

He answered and said unto them, He that soweth the good seed is the Son of man; The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one;

The enemy that sowed them is the devil; the harvest is the end of the world; and the reapers are the angels.

As therefore the tares are gathered and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world.

The Son of man shall send forth his angels, and they shall gather out of his kingdom all things that offend, and them which do iniquity.

And shall cast them into a furnace of fire: there shall be wailing and gnashing of teeth.

Then shall the righteous shine forth as the sun in the kingdom of their Father. Who hath ears to hear, let him hear.

There were several declarations made in this parable that the churches often ignore.

1. The good seed were fathered by the Son of Man, Son of Adam.

2. The good seed, Adamites are the genetic sons of Yahweh.

3. The tares (Cain's seed) are the Genetic sons of Satan.
4. The enemy that fathered the tares is the same serpent of Genesis 3:15
5. The sons of Adam (wheat) will then inherit the Kingdom after the tares (sons of Cain) are destroyed.

Again, as stated in verse 38, "The field is the world; the good seed are the children of the kingdom; but the tares are the children of the wicked one" This is not only a physical lineage, but a spiritual one as well.

Yahshua told the Pharisees of His day, "...ye are not my sheep.." in John 10:26. In Josephus, Wars 2:8:2 Hebrew Historian Josephus makes it quite clear the Pharisees and Sadducees were not Israelites by birth:


For there are three philosophical sects among the Jews. The followers of the first of whom are the Pharisees; of the second the Sadducees; and of the third sect, who pretends to a severer discipline, are called Essens. These last are Hebrews (Judah) by birth, and seem to have a greater affection for one another than the other sects have.

The real Jews of Jesus's day had absorbed those with contaminated Edomite hybrid blood, and therefore these could claim both Abraham and Isaac as their fathers.

The wheat and the tares will continually throughout time grow and live together until the end of the age when the angels will separate them. What about the flood? The seedline started over again with Ham and his wife's offspring Canaan.

To be continued OS22055

Conspiracy From Our Belfast Correspondent



SOMEWHERE, SOMETIME IN THE PAST, I seem to remember hearing of something called a 'conspiracy theory.' There was no such thing of course. What was, and is, happening is just a process of social evolution. Anyone who accepted this theory that there is some conspiracy being worked out within our poor old world was just a fool. Well, that's what we are told, and it must be right because the government and the media says so.

Who are we poor innocent creatures to contradict such honourable and praiseworthy authorities? We, the citizens, are only the people who pay these people to serve us. What's the world

coming to when the servant - the government can no longer control the master - the people - whom he is supposed to serve, without fear of contradiction.

Well, I must be one of those fools that they talk about. And I'm jolly sure that I'm not on my own. Anyone with half a brain can look back over the last 50 years or so and with an unbiased examination of events, see that successive governments, irrespective of party flavour, have been consistently working to a well prepared programme. When things start to get too hot, then the attention of the people is diverted by changing the party in power, by what the population think is a vote of their own free will, or just by changing the Prime Minister about which we don't even have any say. What we are seeing now in this country, as well as most of the other western Christian nations, is not a process of planned development, but a very carefully laid plan of destruction.

The fact that it has been going on for so long, irrespective of party differences, or what minister happens to control which portfolio, makes it quite clear that we are being controlled by powers far beyond and above the ones we see in Canberra. Mr. Hawke, our erstwhile Prime Minister, went as far as he personally could with

the implementation of this plan. People were starting to wake up that something was wrong.



They were becoming disillusioned with what was happening. So out came the knives, and in stepped our venerable Mr Keating (above). And he's doing wonders for Mr. Hawke's image. The more I see of Mr. Keating, the more I think of Mr. Hawke as a gentleman. I wonder what a future government is going to do when they too run out of money with which to finance our enormous debt, and they find that there are no more public instrumentalities (which the people are supposed to own at any rate), left to sell back to us? Or aren't we supposed to ask such foolish! Questions? Don't ever be fooled by what is going on today. Mr. Keating is the appointed 'hit man' for the world powers, as far as Australia is concerned. The bullets have been in production for a long while. All he now has to do is to fire them. And believe me, when you get that close to the wrong end of a gun, you don't have much option. If there is one thing that we can be absolutely sure of, it is that this process of vilification of our Monarch and our flag, together with the destruction of every vestige of our great Christian heritage, will continue at an ever increasing rate.

And don't think for one moment that the government is the only fly in the ointment. We are seeing an ever increasing number of rat-bags, whom the media, and even the churches, are promoting to authoritative status, being heard all over our land. You can't listen to the radio or the T.V. these days without being bombarded with anti-British songs and 'jokes'. If you write a new song extolling the alleged virtue of changing our flag, you have it made. If you want to make it as a 'comedian,' the top subjects are the Royal

Family and sex. You'll make a fortune. And don't worry about God. He's the biggest joke of all.

We now have new gods. We have a new 'trinity', sports, sex, and gambling. And so it goes on. Even science, so called, defies God as they perfect their genetic engineering skills to such an extent that now they can change fruit and vegetables quite legally. How long before it becomes legal to change humans into what we want them to be? And on the church scene we have this apology for a theologian, who has found some 'cryptic crossword' in the Bible which is presented as 'proof positive' that our Lord Jesus Christ was only a good man, which denies His Virgin Birth, and His resurrection from the dead. This is the rubbish which gets all the publicity. There's no news value in truth. And so the conspiracy against the Truth of God continues virtually unchecked. We are most certainly wrestling against spiritually controlled powers of a strength and nature far beyond what the ordinary person dreams of.

Well the day is almost here when all these purveyors of lies and destruction are going to feel the wrath of God. Little do they realise that there is another 'conspiracy' which is also in operation. But this one is founded and controlled by Almighty God. Under the terms of this conspiracy, the whole world is to come under the benevolent reign of our Lord Jesus Christ, when all the sorrow and suffering which we now experience will be totally destroyed, and true peace and righteousness will fill the whole earth. Come quickly Lord Jesus.

The End OS22136

The Story of Gog & Magog - Part 15 The City of London And Magna Carta
Now in video format on YouTube
Thanks to David James

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=fsDPVi9sfuE>

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
\$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.untoldtales.net>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

**24 hr streaming of items
of interest to identity
believers including live
broadcasts on matters
of national interest and
much more!!**


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare
book reprints in hard copy, reasonably
priced, now available from the Christ's
Assembly web site:

<http://christssassembly.com/literature.htm>



**FOR THE REAL
NEWS**

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




**Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)**

**Live on Eurofolk Radio and
Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and
join in the chat**

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Announcements




[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

CLICK HERE

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE




THE A journal for moral thinking people
FLAME ISSUE 32
50p newsstand
ISSN: 1460 - 1185

1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps
5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps
P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

Britannia
**A WONDERFUL WEB SITE
FOR BRITISH NATIONALISTS**
<http://southendpatriot.blogspot.co.uk>

CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensier
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew