

The New Ensign

No. 72 August 2015

This publication is for private circulation only



Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Reader,

Another False Flag?



The Tunisian Sousse Hotels Attack

Again another tragedy has befallen our people when a number of British tourists were gunned down at a popular Tunisian seaside resort last month.

Like many such incidents over the past years, all is not as it first seems as portrayed in the mass controlled media.

It is known in the intelligence community, that this incident was a warning to Tunisia to tow the New World Order's line, or else!

It was a form of pressure exerted on Tunisia to get it to accept a US military base on its soil.

According to retired Algerian Major General Abdelaziz Medjahed:-

1. The United States wants a large new AFRICOM (US Command for Africa) base in Tunisia.

2. Israel wants the Arab countries broken up.

Of course this incident also served another agenda, that is to whip up racial and religious animosities between Muslims and Christians, to further the Edomite agenda to destroy true Israel from off the face of the earth, that is His white Caucasian people.

With the widespread availability of the Internet, more and more people are waking up to their false flag operations, so their well tried template from time immemorial is no longer as effective as it used to be.

They are now becoming desperate and making mistakes for they know their time is short!

For this we praise Yahweh! We look forward to his coming when the tares will be removed and they will be destroyed off the face of the earth!!

Editor

thenewsign@gmail.com

This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.

Contents

Page

| | |
|--|----|
| Serious Questions - Part 5 - Arnold Kennedy | 3 |
| The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras 11 & 12 - Part 4 - Pastor Eli James | 6 |
| The Story Of Laszlo Tokes - Lee Edwards | 10 |
| The Beneficent Racialism Of The Bible | 11 |
| Harold Stough Notes - Coombes Church A Sussex Downland Treasure | 14 |
| The Masonic Handbook Appendix 3 | 15 |
| What Happens To Your Body The Hour After Drinking A Coke? Dr. Art | 19 |
| Massacre Of Verden - 4500 Pagans Killed By Judeo-Christianity - P. Halsall | 20 |
| A People's Runnymede - Robert J. Strutton | 21 |
| Did Mossad Murder Hilda Murrall? - T. Stokes | 27 |
| The Act Of 1871 Formed The Corporation Called THE UNITED STATES | 29 |
| Letters & Views | 33 |
| Sayings By and About The Jews | 38 |

Serious Questions - Part 5

Arnold Kennedy



QUESTION TWENTY-FIVE. WHEN JESUS SENT THE DISCIPLES OUT, WHY DID HE NOT SEND THEM TO ALL RACES?

Mark 16:15 about going into all the "kosmos" and "preaching" [i.e., proclaiming] the gospel to every creature has been quoted. Which "world" were the disciples to go into? This is a fair question. When the disciples were sent to the "Lost sheep of the House of Israel", to whom and to which "world" were they sent? When Jesus said in Matthew 15:24, "I was not sent **EXCEPT** to the lost sheep of the House of Israel", to what race understand. Immediately we have just one exception then "every" and "all" cannot include that exception, or the other exceptions. If an exception is made about the Edomites who cannot find repentance, or of those Jesus said, "Leave them alone", then these cannot be part of the "all" being addressed. Jesus did not preach to certain peoples, as we have seen.

It is recorded that Jesus said ten times, "Who hath ears to hear, let him hear". Consider whether or not this means that there are those who cannot hear. Jesus said to the Edomite leaders of Jewry, "Ye cannot hear my words"-[John 8:43].

QUESTION TWENTY-SIX. WHY CONTINUE TO TEACH THAT ALL RACES ARE THEM SAME IN GOD'S SIGHT WHEN THE BIBLE DOES NOT TEACH THIS?

In saying that Israel is still exclusive racially, in covenant terms, it has to be stated clearly that there is no implied disparagement of all the other non-Israel races. Race is a fact of life and it is also an insistent Bible fact that cannot be denied through both Testaments. But, the Bible is primarily a book about the people of the book, Israel. Israel is declared to be a servant race, not a better race than others. "Servant race", this

means service to God, not to mankind in general. Israel is presented in scripture as a "stiff necked" rebellious people who have a responsibility given to them to demonstrate to the other races the benefits of compliance with the laws of God. The one great difference between Israel and the other races is that God made a covenant relationship between Himself and Israel that He did not make with other races. This made Israel accountable for keeping the covenant relationship. Breaking the covenant brought judgement upon Israel and it was with the same people who had the old Covenant that God made the New Covenant [Heb. 8:8]. If God has not recorded in the Bible His purposes for all the other races in the same way He has done for Israel, no one has the right to presume anything about the non-Israel races that is not stated. The sense that Israel are God's chosen people is by covenant relationship. Israel has a heavy accountability and burden that is not laid upon other peoples.

But, we do have evidence that other races are cared for by God, although there are differences between these various races expressed in scripture. We find a blessing given to Hagar and it is recorded that God heard the cry of her son Ishmael-[Gen. 21:17]. We find things like the repentance of Nineveh through the ministry of an Israelite. Nebuchadnezzar had a lengthening of tranquility, a word that does not relate to salvation, through an Israelite man of God. We have Naaman healed through the prayer of a prophet of Israel. Also, within Solomon's great prayer as found in 2 Chronicles 6:32-33 we find Solomon requesting God concerning the stranger "which is not of Thy people Israel" and asking God to hear and answer their prayers so that they might know God's name and fear him. This was not a statement by God but was a request made by Solomon.

The prayer request was for these strangers to be able to travel to God's house [Solomon's temple] and make their prayers, but this temple was later destroyed. On the other hand we have expressions of God's hatred for certain races such as Edom being called "the people against whom the Lord hath indignation for ever-[Malachi 1:3-4].

In the Bible, God has expressed his purposes for both Houses of Israel as a total singular race. One of God's purposes in giving the statutes and judgements to Israel is expressed in Deut. 4:6, "Keep therefore and do them, for this is your wisdom in the sight of the nations, which shall hear of all these statutes, and say, 'Surely this great nation is a wise and understanding people'. For what nation is there so great, who hath God so nigh unto them, as the Lord our God is in all things that we call upon Him for, and what nation is there so great that hath statutes and judgements so righteous as I set before you this day"?

This verse demonstrates again the separation of Israel from other races, and also one of the purposes God has for Israel.

If we try to say there are no differences in God's sight, then we are unlikely to discern any answers. First of all we must believe God's word, relentlessly consistent as it is. We are told, "By their fruits ye shall know them". We read about "good trees" and "corrupt trees" in Matt. 7:18 and Jesus says that it is impossible for a corrupt tree to ever bring forth good fruit. "*Karpos*" = good means it is intrinsically good. We have to agree or to disagree with Jesus that these differences exist. Fruit is the product of the tree and it bears the same seed as the original tree. The seed in the fruit will produce the same type of tree again!



When the Apostle Paul proclaimed the Message, "as many as were ordained to eternal life believed"- [Acts13:48]. What about those who were not so ordained? What was the basis of being ordained before they believed? In scripture we have those who God "foreknew", that is the same people as He "knew" in the Old Testament. Rom.11:2 confirms this, "God has not cast away His people which He foreknew".

Foreknown and foreordained are the same word. Jesus was foreordained, "before the foundation

of the world"-[1 Peter 1:20], so the time of being "known" was back in the past; so with Israel.

QUESTION TWENTY-EIGHT.
SHOULD WE BE TEACHING THAT GOD IS GRACIOUS TO EVERYONE OR RATHER THAT "GOD WILL BE GRACIOUS TO WHOM HE WILL BE GRACIOUS" THUS AGREEING WITH GOD THAT HE IS INDEED SOVEREIGN?

Romans 9:18. "Therefore hath He mercy upon whom He will have mercy, and whom He will He hardeneth".

God does what He wants. So, is He really gracious to everyone of every race? We are told about the Potter who makes one vessel unto honour and another unto dishonour, and about vessels "fitted for destruction" and a different lot of vessels "afore prepared for glory". It is the Potter who fashions the vessels from the raw materials before they have done either good or evil. Paul asks who we think we are to argue with God?-[Rom. 9:20]. Yet denominations still think God is wrong and want to argue this!

To those who say, "All is now of grace to everyone of every race", listen to the much-loved writings of the well known author Selwyn Hughes in "Every Day With Jesus", in the daily reading for 17th February 1994:

"The word 'grace' is unquestionably the most significant single word in the Bible, I agree. But it must be understood right away that grace is a characteristic of God which is exercised only towards those who are seen as having a special relationship with Him. Nowhere in the Bible is the grace of God ever mentioned in connection with mankind generally, though some theologians frequently use the term 'common grace' [a term not mentioned in the Bible] -the idea that God gives a special form of grace to the whole of mankind which restrains them from being as bad as they could be.

The other day I came across a writer who said, "The creation of the universe was an exercise of grace". I understand that he might have been using the word 'grace' as a synonym for love, [a mistake often made by Christian writers],but strictly speaking the exhibition of grace is reserved for the elect. Selwyn Hughes then quotes Arthur W. Pink as saying:

"Grace is the sole source from which flows the goodwill, love and salvation of God unto His chosen people".



Quoting from "The Best of Spurgeon", Pages 62-3, "Why should not Jesus Christ have the right to choose His own bride?"

"You must first deny the authenticity and full inspiration of scripture before you can legitimately deny election".

"Whatever may be said about the doctrine of election, it is written in the Word of God with an iron pen, there is no getting rid of it. To me it is one of the sweetest and most blessed truths in the whole revelation, and those who are afraid of it are so because they do not understand it. If they could but know that the Lord had chosen them, it would make their hearts dance for joy".

The popular way of dealing with this is to say that the "fruit" are spiritual manifestations apart from anything else. We just cannot change the racial basis of election; the New Covenant opportunity being given to both Houses of Israel-[Heb. 8:8]. We cannot say the Bible is not racist. The mechanism for bringing the other races into subjection is by them witnessing God's blessing upon Israel as Israel obeys God. The principle shown by Jesus is when others witness "that ye have love for one another". When Paul took a contribution from Macedonia it was for the "poor saints" at Jerusalem, not for all and sundry of the poor. Denominations like to extend this beyond the context of "yourselves" because they think that "yourselves" means all races. So feeding the hungry and foreign aid is extended beyond the purposes of God.

In the end, the word of the Lord TO ISRAEL [Mal.1:1] and to THE SONS OF JACOB [Mal.

3:6] is "That they shall be mine, saith the Lord of Hosts, in that day when I make up My jewels -[Mal.3:17]. This is not addressed to any but those of Jacob/Israel who become sons. No one can spiritualise "been", the word for genetic sons. Have a look through the scriptures and see who these "peculiar", "special" jewels are. Psalm 135:4 says, "For the Lord hath chosen Jacob for Himself, and Israel for His peculiar treasure" ..[or jewels]. In the New Testament they are still the same holy, separate, elect, precious, peculiar people.

**QUESTION TWENTY-NINE
HOW CAN IT BE INFERRED THAT
THERE ARE TWO PROPHETICAL
STREAMS, ONE FOR ISRAEL AND ONE
FOR NON-ISRAEL, WHEN THERE IS IN
FACT ONLY ONE PROPHETICAL**

STREAM? If there were two streams of prophecy, one for Israel and one for non-Israel, a mixing, or a natural Israel and a spiritual Israel, or for both "The Church" and "Israel" as in the popular presentation, all would be there. The popular concept of a natural and a spiritual Israel does not exist. As God says He will do nothing without revealing it to His servants the prophets, any change would be found written in the prophets. When God "placed" [= yanach] Adam in the garden it was isolated to him. Yanach is used very often isolating one person or group. e.g Is. 14:1 "I will have mercy upon Jacob, and will yet choose Israel, and set [yanach] them in their own land". We cannot pretend that this means that God will place people of every race each in this particular land that was promised to the fathers of Israel, even if popular or orthodox Christianity likes to say so, [or otherwise to say the land is heaven]. They do this because the separation is now supposed to be by belief only for all races, ignoring "seeds' seed and childrens' children". The popular concept of "the church" cannot be found in the prophets. We must be prepared to not go beyond what is "fitly framed" -[Eph.2]- in the Cornerstone with the foundation of the apostles and prophets, because scripture [the Old Testament] cannot be broken. Jesus says so!

**QUESTION THIRTY.
COULD ASPECTS OF ORTHODOX
CHRISTIANITY BE CONSIDERED TO
BE CULTISH?**

It is necessary to look at something that might sound heretical to many at first.

Could orthodox Christianity be cultish?

Could orthodox Christianity be something that refuses to accept all the Word of God? [Primarily from the pre-conditioning of tradition, not necessarily from insincerity].

Is the "Go into all the world" doctrine as generally taught really based on the Law, the Psalms and the Prophets, or on valid interpretation of both Testaments? It certainly does not reflect the "As ye go preach, saying, the Kingdom of Heaven is at hand". Has orthodox Christianity a wrong slant because it will not believe the prophetic words of Jacob and Moses for Israel in the "last days"? Jesus says that if any will not believe Moses, they cannot understand His words. In Luke 16:31 Jesus goes further and says people would never be persuaded to believe even if one rose from the dead. Believing Moses and the prophets is essential. For this reason alone, many churchgoers can not understand Jesus' words because they will not accept the authority of Moses and the prophets. This is a significant and important issue!

If the "all the world" universalistic doctrine is wrong, then that belief is cultish. This is so even if Martin Luther confirmed what he was brought

up to believe and introduced it into Protestantism and most have followed it since. This one belief is the source of conflict which undermines faith, but it is wrongly inferred "it is what every Christian must believe or he is not a Christian".

The wrong doctrine is summed up in the generalised belief, "Jesus died to save the world" and it arises from, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel to every creature", and "God so loved the world"- These are said to be the best known and the best loved verses in Christendom, but as the "all", "world" and "every creature" are taken wrongly, then Rome has originated the greatest fraud of all time.

Part of this fraud is inferring that 'Israel' and 'The Jews' are synonyms. This is false for many reasons. ["Jew" and "The Jews" do not have the same meaning in the New Testament]. "Jews" is a multi-racial term. It is a lie to say that having a belief makes every one of that belief into a race! It is common to hear people referring to "Jews" when talking about "Israel" in the Old Testament. In the Old Testament the word relates to Judah as part of Israel. Jesus came to save His People from their sins. They were already His people. The gospel is for "the transgressions of my people"-[Is.53:8].

To be continued

The Three-Headed Eagle of II Esdras 11 & 12, An Identity Interpretation (Part 4)

By
Pastor Eli James



“Times of the Gentiles”

ONE of the most misunderstood verses of Scripture is Luke

21:24:

And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations: and Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the Gentiles, until the times of the Gentiles be fulfilled.

First of all, in both the Hebrew (goy) and Greek (ethnos), the best translation is “nation.” The Latin, “*Gentilis*” actually means “kinsmen, or people of the same race or nation.”

Hence, the self-serving Jewish definition of “Gentile” as meaning “non-Jewish people,” is pure fabrication. The Jews have trained us to accept their phony definition, which is THE EXACT OPPOSITE of what the word means in Latin. The fact is that you will NOT find the expression, non-Jew, anywhere in the Bible, even in the translations. How is it possible that the most commonly understood “definition” is never once found in the

Scriptures? The answer is very simple: the word never meant any such thing, until the Jews invented this definition and force-fed it to the goyim. They have trained us to call ourselves “Gentiles.” The fact that this modern definition is never used in Scripture is proof that neither goy nor ethnos ever meant any such thing. It is part of the ongoing Jewish distortion of the Scriptures, including Scofield’s Reference Bible and the teachings of the Zionist televangelists, which are designed to deceive the world into believing that the Jews are Israelites. Read Rev. 2:9, 3:9 and 12:9 to find out who the Jews really are!

So, the CORRECT translation of Luke 21:24 is, And they shall fall by the edge of the sword, and shall be led away captive into all nations: and Jerusalem shall be trodden down of the nations, until the times of the nations be fulfilled. [At present, Jerusalem is trodden down by the **EDOMITE/KHAZAR** nation, as Zionism has always been funded and promoted by the Edomite/Khazar House of Rothschild, who do not have a drop of Israelite blood flowing in their veins! Rev. 12:9 clearly states that this “beast” will deceive the whole world. – Eli]

The TRUE meaning of Luke 21:24 is that the sovereign nation-states of the earth will be replaced by a One World Government. This has actually taken place already, but few people have noticed. Most nation-states are subservient to the United Nations Organization, i.e., “global governance.” The United Nations is another Rothschild front.



When Kaiser Wilhelm II of Germany abdicated in 1918, world power was officially transferred from the old monarchic system to International Finance Capitalism and communism. The Illuminati, Freemasons, and other Rothschild fronts were elated. They had been working for the overthrow of Catholic, monarchic Europe, for nearly 150 years. This had been the Rothschild plan all along.

Here is Kaiser Wilhelm II’s abdication statement, for the record:

I herewith renounce for all time claims to the throne of Prussia and to the German Imperial throne connected therewith. At the same time I release all officials of the German Empire and of Prussia, as well as all officers, non-commissioned officers and men of the navy and of the Prussian army, as well as the troops of the federated states of Germany, from the oath of fidelity which they tendered to me as their Emperor, King and Commander-in-Chief. I expect of them that until the re-establishment of order in the German Empire they shall render assistance to those in actual power in Germany, in protecting the German people from the threatening dangers of anarchy, famine, and foreign rule.

Proclaimed under our own hand and with the imperial seal attached.

Amerongen, 28 November, 1918
Signed WILLIAM

With power now firmly in the hands of the Rothschilds and their puppet states, the British Empire, Soviet Russia and the American Empire, the era of globalism had officially begun.

I have already identified Great Britain as the Centre Head. (I wish to fervently stress that I do not mean either the British Crown or the British people. I mean the British EMPIRE, which is actually Jewish in its nature and operation. The same goes for the other two Heads.) Britain is losing its sovereignty as we speak, with its subsumation under the European Union being imminent. Whatever sovereignty Britain has had in the last four hundred years has been completely controlled by Jewish bankers, ever since the Bank of England was formed in 1694. The Bank of England has dominated Britain’s political and economic life just as the Federal Reserve Bank has dominated America’s political and economic life. And we can fully expect that the American Empire will end in a similar fate, as all three heads will fall to the two little feathers. And this is the purpose of the Illuminati’s plan for World War Three, which the Bible calls the Battle of Armageddon.

Both Dr. Scott and Mr. James Scott Trimm fail to address the identity of the “small nation, full of trouble.” I’m sure that many of you have already figured out what nation that is, if only

from this brief description. Let me quote Howard B. Rand on this subject:

“The Israeli State in Palestine is this ‘small kingdom, full of trouble,’ and the Zionists in control there did “pass over the head” of Communist Russia during the six-day Arab-Israeli war in June 1967, for the Arabs were supported by the Communists, at least in supplying arms and ammunition.” - “Armageddon: Rendezvous With Destiny,” p. 34.

Of course, the intrigues of the Zionists go far deeper than any of these representations of the feathers and heads of Esdras’ Three-Headed Eagle vision. No one can understand modern history without a thorough understanding of the treacherous dealings of the House of Rothschild, which is also the 8th Beast and Mystery Babylon of the Apocalypse. For a thorough understanding of how this beast came into being and how it operates, please see my groundbreaking article, “*The 8th Beast of the Apocalypse.*”

Keeping all of these things in mind, I identify the last three heads as 1.) Centre: the British Empire, 2.) The Soviet Empire, the head on the Left, which was devoured by 3.) the Head on the Right, the American Empire, during the administration of President Ronald Reagan. Ronald Reagan delivered on his promise to bring down the “Evil Empire,” and he did; but the Zionists (“oligarchs”) still hold far too much influence in Russia.



Here are Three Heads in Teheran in 1943:



Franklin D. Roosevelt, Stalin, and Churchill in Teheran, Iran (November 29, 1943).

Here they are at Yalta in 1945: All three of these leaders, although openly thought to be representing their own nations, were, in fact, rabidly pro-Zionist and pro-Communist. Of the three, Stalin was the least disposed toward Zionism. Churchill was the least disposed toward communism. Roosevelt was adamantly in favour of both and did everything in his career as a politician to further the aims of the world Zionist conspiracy. He never once opposed the wishes of the internationalist banker communists. It was the mutual agreements of these three heads, at Teheran and Yalta, that turned Eastern Europe over to communism and paved the way for the Zionists to militarily occupy Palestine, while Zionism was insidiously churning out propaganda to brainwash Christians into becoming pro-Zionist. During WWII, Stalin’s empire could only make war against the free world thanks to Roosevelt’s “Lend-Lease” program. General Patton, knowing this, wanted to annihilate the Red Army, because he knew that the communists had neither their own industry nor supplies. These had all been provided to the Reds by Roosevelt and Churchill and their Jewish bankers.

The Last Two Feathers

That leaves the two feathers that passed over the Right Head, America. The language of Esdras 12:29 treats these two feathers as if they are only one nation. Let’s read these two verses again:

And whereas thou sawest two feathers under the wings passing over the head that is on the right side;

It signifieth that these are they, whom the Highest hath kept unto their end: this is the small kingdom and full of trouble, as thou sawest.

We have already identified the small nation of Pseudo-Israel as one of the feathers. What is this other feather? Since all of the Heads are directly controlled by the Zionists, the other mysterious feather can only be another entity controlled by the House of Rothschild. There is only one power that qualifies: the United Nations Organization. It is actually a very small entity, but its global power is enormous, thanks to the fact that the nations of the world have agreed to give it dominion – determined again, by the power of international Zionist mafia! It was Zionism’s League of Nations that created the 8

under feather nations. Even before WWI, the Zionist bankers controlled all three Heads. WWII resulted in the UN, which was a major goal of the House of Rothschild since 1815! The UN, in turn, created the Israeli State. The UN and the Israeli State are a package deal, both being vital strategic entities of the Anti-Christ. The UN actually CREATED the Israeli State by Resolution 181, on November 29, 1947. When it comes to the Israeli State, the UN is a paper tiger. When free nations are subjected to the police state tactics of the United Nations Organization, globalism and communism always win! The UN has never had any other goal but the destruction of Western Civilization. It talks peace but makes war against the Free World. The UN has routinely used the power of its three banking units, the World Bank, the Bank of International Settlements, and the International Monetary Fund, to manipulate and control nations and the globe. It is simply amazing that otherwise intelligent people never question the legitimacy of this perpetually corrupt, undemocratic, non-representative, tyrannical, dictatorial, anti-Christ organization! But it has all of the APPEARANCE of a representative organization, which it most definitely IS NOT!



The House of Rothschild had been working to create a One World Government since 1815, when the Congress of Vienna gave them the idea. The League of Nations almost succeeded, but the Republican Party refused to endorse the idea. Without the support of

America, the League of Nations collapsed. Since Zionism and the Israeli State are inseparable, it is likely that the UN is the mysterious second feather, and it is obvious that the Bandit State, Pseudo-Israel, is the other feather, “the small nation, full of trouble.” These words describe the Israeli State to a T, as that country has not seen one moment’s peace, since its creation.

Resolution

Now, the final and most important aspect of this entire vision is what happens after all of the

elements of the Three-Headed Eagle vision are destroyed. In my opinion, there is no doubt that this means that, as foreseen in George Washington’s vision at Valley Forge, the REAL AMERICA will break free of its Zionist shackles and smash the power of the Talmudists and Zionists, once and for all. The 8th Beast (the world empire of merchants) and the False Prophet (Zionist-controlled religion) will be cast into the lake of Fire; and the Dragon, Satan himself, will follow shortly after.

The Great Seal of the United States is totally based on Israelite symbolism, as America is the regathering of the Twelve Tribes of Israel, which had Thirteen Tribes the day that Jacob adopted Joseph’s sons, Ephraim and Manasseh, as his own. The original Thirteen Colonies represent the original Thirteen Tribes. The heraldry of all European nations is based on these Israelite symbols, as the website explains: .

According to the Ku Klux Klan, which emerged as a result of the Civil War, the Battle Flag of the Confederacy is also an Israelite symbol, the crossed bars representing the crossed arms of Jacob, as he held them over Ephraim and Manasseh, as he blessed them; and the thirteen stars represent the thirteen tribes, with Levi, the priestly tribe, in the centre.

George Washington’s vision at Valley Forge clearly foresees a great battle being fought on America’s soil. That battle has already begun. As the Bible puts it: “When the enemy shall come in as a flood,” meaning the flood of illegal aliens that world Jewry has invited into our nation in order to destroy us, then a great battle will take place! Unless you have been sleepwalking, all the signs of this Final Conflict are very apparent. Jewry’s (Esau’s) plan for world dominion will fail, because Yahweh guarantees that His Remnant will prevail.

Then will Yahweh’s Kingdom be restored to planet Earth and Adam and Eve’s sin overcome. Here are the words of Esdras once again:

And the lion, whom thou sawest rising up out of the wood, and roaring, and speaking to the eagle, and rebuking her for her unrighteousness with all the words which thou hast heard;

This is the anointed, which the Highest hath kept for them and for their wickedness unto the end:

he shall reprove them, and shall upbraid them with their cruelty.

For he shall set them before him alive in judgment, and shall rebuke them, and correct them.

For the rest of my people shall he deliver with mercy, those that have been pressed upon my borders, and he shall make them joyful until the

coming of the day of judgment, whereof I have spoken unto thee from the beginning.

We can see that this Conflict of the Ages is about to come to its apocalyptic conclusion. The Battle of Armageddon awaits us all. Prepare yourselves! Come quickly, Lord Yahshua! Amen!

The End OS21960

The Story Of Laszlo Tokes Lee Edwards



You've probably heard of Vaclav Havel of Czechoslovakia and Lech Walesa of Poland, both Nobel Laureates and heroes of the Cold War who precipitated the collapse of communism in Eastern Europe a quarter-

century ago.

But how many of us know the story of Laszlo Tokes, a Protestant pastor who in December 1989 stood up to the Romanian communist despot Nicolae Ceausescu and sparked a people's revolution that freed Romania from a tyranny the equal of the Soviet dictator Joseph Stalin?

In the spring of 1989, while other Soviet satellites were challenging their communist leaders, Romania remained in the iron grip of the totalitarian Ceausescu regime. Few dared to speak out against "President" Ceausescu and his Stalinist secret police, the Securitate.

A notable exception was 37-year-old Laszlo Tokes, the assistant pastor of the Hungarian Reformed Church in the Transylvanian town of Timisoara. The theme of his sermons was simple but arresting: "We do not have to support the dictatorship and the dictator Ceausescu." No one had dared utter such a public challenge.

Ordered to stop preaching, Tokes refused. Directed to leave the apartment in which he and his family were living and move to a distant isolated town, he refused. In November, attackers armed with knives broke into his church apartment, but Tokes and friends fought

them off. In mid-December when an eviction order was issued, members of Tokes' congregation began a vigil outside his lodging, and a human chain formed around the block.

By the evening of Dec. 15, 1989, the crowd had increased to several thousand, including students, Romanians as well as Hungarians, Orthodox as well as Protestants. They began singing "Wake up, O Romanian!," a song banned in 1947 by the communists. They shouted, "Down with Ceausescu!" and "Down with Communism!"

Two days later, on direct orders from Ceausescu, communist troops fired on a large crowd gathered in the town center of Timisoara, killing hundreds and perhaps thousands of innocents. This cowardly bloody act was the catalyst for a spontaneous people's revolt that quickly spread across the country and to the capital city of Bucharest.

Confident he had the backing of the populace, Ceausescu went to the main square and tried to give a speech listing the socialist "accomplishments" under his rule. To his shock and amazement, he was interrupted by jeers, boos and whistles. Unable to control the crowd, he and his wife Elena fled the city in a helicopter only to be forced down and taken prisoner by a new government. After a short trial by a special military tribunal, Nicolae and Elena Ceausescu were executed on Dec. 25, 1989.

Today, Laszlo Tokes, the courageous pastor who brought down a dictator, continues to speak out for freedom and against totalitarianism as a member of the European Parliament and a bishop of the Reformed Church in Romania. A modern St. George, Bishop Tokes stands ready to battle any force that threatens the faith and the freedom of those whom he represents as pastor and political leader.

So, let us give thanks in this holiday season for Havel and Walesa and Tokes, for all the men and women on both sides of the Iron Curtain — the known and the unknown — who resisted and kept on resisting the evil empire over a span of

40 years so that Marxism-Leninism would wind up where it belonged: on the ash heap of history.

Originally distributed by Tribune Content Agency.

The End OS21903

The Beneficent Racialism Of The Bible

By

Dr. Oren Fenton Potito



Dr. Oren Fenton Potito

It is a terrible fact that many people have become the victims of race hatred and there are many of all races who earnestly desire to know the truth about this subject which is increasingly causing inter-racial tension here in America. There is therefore the greatest need to raise the subject above the ignorance, confusion and dogma with which it is surrounded. Race is a biblical subject. We should therefore approach it with reverence and godly fear. And in the sure conviction in so doing, we shall not be seeking the truth of God in vain.

Race is not an accident, it is of divine origin. The White man did not make the Negro black, nor did he make himself White. Because this is God's doing, there must be some purpose in it and these differences and all that goes with them are to be preserved for this very reason.

This being so, there must also be a way of right race relationship in conformity with what God has ordained. He intends the races to remain separate. He has told us of the steps he took to effect this. He has told us also of the steps he took to check their efforts to come together and has given us copious exhortations to maintain purity of race.

Scientific facts also confirm that it is a sin to mix the races. There can be no such thing as a cross-breed race. Cross-breeds can never breed to a type, even when cross-breeds mate with similar cross-breeds, they produce more cross-breeds. But Almighty God Yahweh is always breeding out their offspring in the direction of both races. So much so that in the words of an

anthropologist: "Despite universal miscegenation, that is the interbreeding between dissimilar race groups, primitive racial types may still be recognized." Thus in this sin, man is working against the declared purpose of Almighty God which is manifested also in this act of nature.

Almighty God has ordained that all his creatures should only breed "after their kind." The very fact that the birds, beasts and fishes, which get very mixed up, are made to conform to His will, are to give us proper reverence for his word. If we seek Almighty God's prophetic word upon our racial problems today, we have to recognize that He has committed Himself to work out a very beneficent purpose for mankind through a racial agency. This purpose is guaranteed in the unconditional and covenanted understanding He made with Abraham and which therefore is absolutely immutable. "In thee shall all the families of the earth be blessed."

The bible is the story of Almighty God's special dealings past, present and future with One Race in order that by the training he would give them through the centuries and after being hidden in the world for a time they would attain to world leadership and become his instrument of blessing in various ways to all mankind. The chief of which being to bring the Christian faith to the world.

We unknowingly have followed in this pathway of history, seen in the prophetic vision that god gave to the prophets. It follows from this, that this promised Christian Israel nation of blessing must be in a dominating position in this evil world if it is to exercise its beneficent function to every corner of it. The mission to which Almighty God has called us as a nation, is therefore, the racialism of beneficence.

The promised blessings began to be realized in marked degree in the days of the British and German Empires. When they put down strife, disease, saved millions of lives, brought the blessings of peace, and above all, the Gospel of Christ.

The best example of dissimilar race groups living in peace, with each other, was when the Aryans ruled India. Racial segregation was preached, the Indians did the same. They kept to their racial groups, and the regiments of the Indian army had to be recruited according to the racial groups. Segregation with good will and the beneficent administration worked great blessings for India. Segregation was a constructive principle of the fellowship which we enjoy with other races. Each living with their own race in their own countryside and for their mutual benefit.

We are now learning that racial integration is destroying the good relationships we once enjoyed with other races under segregation. What Almighty God has covenanted to do must come to pass. Our great error in trying to do good to other races is in trying to integrate these races with ourselves. Let a lady of mixed-blood now give her testimony. She lives in the West Indies and treats the subject from the experience of life. She writes as follows:

"Dear Sir, I hope this letter reaches you. I would like you to know, when you stated: 'I believe that the Scriptures prophesy tragedy when the races mix' gave me the greatest uplift I have had for many years. You see, although it pains me to admit it, I am one of those people described as nearly white and I know the unhappiness of our kind. I therefore think it is sin to bring people into the world who are burdened with complexes which is the consequence of race mixture. Which in turn, give rise to positive anguish to their extremely sensitive minds.

I believe it to be the work of the devil and have been praying for a long time that God would reveal this fact to the world. I shall continue to pray for you and all those who believe in keeping the races as God had made them. And I hope that

you'll pray for us who have been so sinned against. We try to forget it, but it remains the hidden cancer in our minds. I have had the courage to write to you because I sincerely believe it is a sin against God for spoiling what He made good and against mankind for bringing unhappy, or I should say perhaps, not perfectly happy people into the world by mixing the races. I think the government ought to send out a questionnaire among the mixed-race people to find out just how satisfied they are with their situation. There is a lot that needs to be disclosed concerning the mind of the imperfect race of half-breeds. One thing they do know it is, that it is not true that blacks and whites are equal." End of quote from the lady's letter.

The gravest of all warnings comes from our Lord. He was speaking of a judgment that is yet to come and now near at hand. Upon the sin of race-mixing which suffered the judgment of God at the time of the flood. We should note His words very carefully. He said, "As the days of Noe were, so shall also the coming of the Son of man be. For as in the days that were before the



flood they were eating and drinking, marrying and giving in marriage, until the day that Noe entered into the ark, and they knew not until the flood came, and took them all away; so shall also the coming of the Son of man be."

In the days before the flood, we are told, they ate and drank together. This was not a sin. They also got married as a result of this social intercourse. Certainly it was not a sin to get married. By referring us to what happened before the flood, our Lord clearly indicated the sin—different races were involved. "And they took wives of all which they chose", so no race was barred. This was racial inter-breeding, and as a result we are told, "all human flesh had corrupted His way upon the earth" and "the earth was filled with violence."

We are further told concerning their progeny, "God saw that the wickedness of man was great in the earth and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart were only evil continually." For this reason God said, "Behold, I will destroy

them with the earth." This is the very solemn warning our Lord gave us of the conditions he would find when He would come in supernatural glory to judge the world. Because our Lord foreknew the facts of our day, we cannot question the warning He has given us.

The question is therefore clearly before us as to whether we are going to continue to succumb to the satanic forces that are deliberately designed to disintegrate our social order, our religion, our morality, our unity, and cohesion as a nation, or are we going to restore our racial integrity and run true to the will of God in a segregated racialism of beneficence towards all mankind that is required of God's people.

Repatriation must eventually take place. Nothing can stop the fulfilment of what Almighty God has spoken. In telling of the terrible things that will happen in the day of the Lord, when he returns in wrath "to lay the land desolate and to destroy the sinners thereof out of it." He said that "They shall every man turn to his own people and flee every man to his own land."

As Christians, we would say with all sincerity to men and women of every race and creed, and for the good of us all, let us conform our ways to the will of Almighty God and do all possible to preserve a spirit of brotherly charity, one with another. And to do this, we must forbid inter-racial marriage throughout the United States.

As you know, every civilization, invention or achievement of any importance has been accomplished by the White Race due to two facts. First, God breathed his living spirit into you, his physical offspring through Adam. Second, He gave you a large pre-frontal section of the brain which is smaller in the Asiatic and almost non-existent in the Negro. It is this section which allows you to think in the abstract. The Negro can score fairly well with the simpler questions on an I.Q. test but when it comes to the abstract questions he is hopelessly lost.

God's Spirit allows you to subjugate physical love for spiritual. Your love for your wife and family goes far beyond the physical. I only ask that you approach this subject with an open mind. Use the tremendous reasoning power which God has given only to you--the White man and White woman.

To continue our study we must now look at another word, the word is bastard. B-A-S-T-A-R-D and supposedly means a child of unwed parents. You will find it in your Bible, the 23rd chapter of Deuteronomy, the second verse. "A bastard shall not enter the congregation of Almighty God, even unto the tenth generation." Now if a bastard is a child of unwed parents, would someone please explain, how could there

possibly be a tenth-generation bastard? How ridiculous, how asinine, how absurd.

Most well-meaning Christians accept this explanation only because they just never stopped to think about it. Your dictionary defines bastard as an "impure, imperfect, or inferior product." It also says "a child of unwed parents." However, this is not a definition, it is merely a statement that the word is applied to a child of unwed parents.



The Oxford English Dictionary states a bastard is a "mongrel, hybrid, or inferior breed." Now we are really going from the sublime into the ridiculous. You are supposed to believe that this child is impure, imperfect and inferior because you neglected being married and having children. The word bastard is incorrectly translated from the Hebrew word "mamzer", which means mongrel or mixed-seed. See Strong's Exhaustive Concordance.

Years ago there was no such thing as a marriage license. Parental permission was granted on both sides, and that was that. As man-made laws gradually replaced God's laws, the state started to control your life. If you'd mongrelise with a non-white, whether you get a license or not and

conception takes place, the child is a mongrel or bastard. He is impure, imperfect and inferior physically, mentally and is an abomination in the eyes of Almighty God Yahweh, because the offspring is non-white, a mongrel.

Your bleeding-heart liberals will scream, How terrible, how cruel, it is not the child's fault. Granted, it is not his fault; it's the fault of the two dirt-bags of different races that got together for sex. Yet that does not alter in any way the fact that he is what he is—a mongrel, that is only capable of producing more mongrels. Cruel, perhaps to some, but that is God's divine law and so be it.

No scientist, no Jew psychiatrist, no liberal professor, no minister, no priest, no politician, not even our fog-bound supreme court can improve a child genetically. To the non-whites who may have heard this, it pains me ever so deeply to point out your inadequacies, but I must tell the truth. There is no alternative.

You see we Aryans have to prepare ourselves for our role as leaders in the New Order, under the direction of our Father, Almighty God Yahweh. You do not.

The End OS21336



Harold Stough Notes

Coombes Church A Sussex Downland Treasure Dating Back To Pre-1100 AD



This Church at Coombes was built by the Christian Saxons well over a thousand years ago. This can be read in the doomsday book. It is a little downland treasure. The nave dates from 1100 or before and the chancel was built in the 13th and 14th century. The dedication of the church is no longer known.

On the slope of the Downs at Church Farm, it has served the people of this tiny parish for nearly a thousand years. It is the endeavour of the small regular congregation to maintain this church as a place of Christian worship.

The church contains very important wall paintings of around 1100 depicting the gospel story of the birth of Jesus. Re-discovered only in 1949, these are rare survivals from the time

when our churches were richly decorated, and taught the Gospel to those who could not read through pictures.

We found inside by the window large Saxon sword slashes. Cut into the windowsill (see below). The Saxons used to anoint their swords inside these Churches, asking Christ's blessing for battle. Later on in history the Knights Templar would also anoint their swords in the same way, but always on the outside of the Church.



Now we come to the ancient wall paintings which are painted on the inside walls of the Church. This Church was used by the early Christians, for teaching how to deal with demons and devils. We see a picture of a man being crushed by a huge stone, this picture means God's word will crush the unbelievers. Also there are two pictures of two spirits with wings, one good and one evil. There is also another picture of a winged creature which represents


evil being dealt with by the Church of the living God.



The Interior of Coombes' Church

The End OS22029

Jewish Freemasonry on the Subversion of Church and State From The Masonic Handbook Appendix 3



The Permanent Instruction of the Alta Vendita Masonic Lodge of the Carbonari Against the Catholic Church

THE following is a translation of the full text of this remarkable

document now in the Vatican archives which originally fell into the hands of Pope Gregory XVI and was ordered to be published by both Pope Pius IX (1846-1878) and Pope Leo XIII (1878-1903). It appeared in its full form in, *Grand Orient Freemasonry Unmasked as the Secret Power Behind Communism*, re-titled, *The War of Antichrist Against the Church*, by Right Rev. Mgr George Dillon D.D in 1950 with an Imprimatur and Nihil Obstat. The secret Masonic instruction read as follows:

“**EVER** since we have established ourselves as a body of action, and that order has commenced to reign in the bosom of the most distant lodge, as in that one nearest the centre of action, there is one thought which has profoundly occupied the men who aspire to universal regeneration. That is the thought of the enfranchisement of Italy, from which must one day come the en-

franchisement of the entire world, the fraternal republic, and the harmony of humanity. That thought has not yet been seized upon by our brethren beyond the Alps. They believe that revolutionary Italy can only conspire in the shade, deal some strokes of the poniard to ibirri and traitors, and tranquilly undergo the yoke of events which take place beyond the Alps for Italy, but without Italy. This error has been fatal to us on many occasions. It is not necessary to combat it with phrases which would be only to propagate it. It is necessary to kill it by facts. Thus, amidst the cares which have the privilege of agitating the minds of the most vigorous of our lodges, there is one which we ought never to forget.

The Papacy has at all times exercised a decisive action upon the affairs of Italy. By the hands, by the voices, by the pens, by the hearts of its innumerable bishops, priests, monks, nuns and people in all latitudes, the Papacy finds devotedness without end ready for martyrdom, and that to enthusiasm. Everywhere, whenever it pleases to call upon them, it has friends ready to die or lose all for its cause.

This is an immense leverage which the Popes alone have been able to appreciate to its full power, and as yet they have used it only to a certain extent. Today there is no question of reconstituting for ourselves that power, the

prestige of which is for the moment weakened.

Our final end is that of Voltaire and of the French Revolution, the destruction for ever of Catholicism and even of the Christian idea which, if left standing on the ruins of Rome, would be the resuscitation of Christianity later on. But to attain more certainly that result, and not prepare ourselves with gaiety of heart for reverses which adjourn



indefinitely, or compromise for ages, the success of a good cause, we must not pay attention to those braggarts of Frenchmen, those cloudy Germans, those melancholy Englishmen, all of whom imagine they can kill Catholicism, now with an impure song, then with an illogical deduction; at another time, with a sarcasm smuggled in like the cottons of Great Britain. Catholicism has a life much more tenacious than that. It has seen the most implacable, the most terrible adversaries, and it has often had the malignant pleasure of throwing holy water on the tombs of the most enraged. Let us permit, then, our brethren of these countries to give themselves up to the sterile intemperance of their anti-Catholic zeal. Let them even mock at our Madonnas and our apparent devotion.

With this passport we can conspire at our ease, and arrive little by little at the end we have in view.

Now the Papacy has been for seventeen centuries inherent to the history of Italy. Italy cannot breathe or move without the permission of the Supreme Pastor. With him she has the hundred arms of Briareus, without him she is condemned to a pitiable impotence. She has nothing but divisions to foment, hatreds to break out, and hostilities to manifest themselves from the highest chain of the Alps to the lowest of the Apennines. We cannot desire such a state of things. It is necessary, then, to seek a remedy for that situation. The remedy is found. The Pope, whoever he may be, will never come to the secret societies. It is for the secret societies to come first to the Church, in the resolve to conquer the two.

The work which we have undertaken is not the work of a day, nor of a month, nor of a year. It

may last many years, a century perhaps, but in our ranks the soldier dies and the fight continues.

We do not mean to win the Popes to our cause, to make them neophytes of our principles, and propagators of our ideas. That would be a ridiculous dream, no matter in what manner events may turn. Should cardinals or prelates, for example, enter, willingly or by surprise, in some manner, into a part of our secrets, it would be by no means a motive to desire their elevation to the See of Peter. That elevation would destroy us. Ambition alone would bring them to apostasy from us.

The needs of power would force them to immolate us. That which we ought to demand, that which we should seek and expect, as the Jews expected the Messiah, is a Pope according to our wants. Alexander VI, with all his private crimes, would not suit us, for he never erred in religious matters. Clement XIV, on the contrary, would suit us from head to foot. Borgia was a libertine, a true sensualist of the eighteenth century strayed into the fifteenth. He has been anathematised, notwithstanding his vices, by all the voices of philosophy and incredulity, and he owes that anathema to the vigour with which he defended the Church. Ganganelli gave himself over, bound hand and foot, to the ministers of the Bourbons, who made him afraid, and to the incredulous who celebrated his tolerance, and Ganganelli is become a very great Pope. He is almost in the same condition that it is necessary for us to find another, if that be yet possible. With that we should march more surely to the attack upon the Church than with the pamphlets of our brethren in France, or even with the gold of England. Do you wish to know the reason? It is because by that we should have no more need of the vinegar of Hannibal, no more need of the powder of cannon, no more need even of our arms. We have the little finger of the successor of St. Peter engaged in the plot, and that little finger is of more value for our crusade than all the Innocents, the Urbans, and the St. Bernards of Christianity.

We do not doubt that we shall arrive at that supreme term of all our efforts; but when? but how? The unknown does not yet manifest itself. Nevertheless, as nothing should separate us from the plan traced out; as, on the contrary, all things should tend to it – as if success were to crown the work scarcely sketched out tomorrow – we wish in this instruction which must rest a

secret for the simple initiated, to give to those of the Supreme Lodge, councils with which they should enlighten the universality of the brethren, under the form of an instruction or memorandum. It is of special importance, and because of a discretion, the motives of which are transparent, never to permit it to be felt that these counsels are orders emanating from the Alta Vendita. The clergy is put too much in peril by it, that one can at the present hour permit oneself to play with it, as with one of these small affairs or of these little princes upon which one need but blow to cause them to disappear.

Little can be done with those old cardinals or with those prelates, whose character is very decided. It is necessary to leave them as we find them, incorrigible, in the school of Consalvi, and draw from our magazines of popularity or unpopularity the arms which will render useful or ridiculous the power in their hands.

A word which one can ably invent and which one has the art to spread amongst certain honourable chosen families by whose means it descends into the cafés and from the cafés into the streets; a word can sometimes kill a man. If a prelate comes to Rome to exercise some public function from the depths of the provinces, know presently his character, his antecedents, his qualities, his defects above all things. If he is in advance a declared enemy, an Albani, a Pallotta, a Bernetti, a Della Genga, a Riverola, envelope him in all the snares which you can place beneath his feet; create for him one of those reputations which will frighten little children and old women; paint him cruel and sanguinary; recount, regarding him, some traits of cruelty which can be easily engraved in the minds of people. When foreign journals shall gather for us these recitals, which they will embellish in their turn (inevitably because of their respect for truth), show, or rather cause to be shown, by some respectable fool those papers where the names and the excesses of the personages implicated are related. As France and England, so Italy will never be wanting in facile pens which know how to employ themselves in these lies so useful to the good cause. With a



newspaper, the language of which they do not understand, but in which they will see the name of their delegate or judge, the people have no need of other proofs. They are in the infancy of liberalism; they believe in liberals, as, later on, they will believe in us, not knowing very well why.

Crush the enemy whoever he may be; crush the powerful by means of lies and calumnies; but especially crush him in the egg. It is to the youth we must go. It is that which we must seduce; it is that which we must bring under the banner of the secret societies.

In order to advance by steps, calculated but sure, in that perilous way, two things are of the first necessity. You ought to have the air of being simple as doves, but you must be prudent as the serpent. Your fathers, your children, your wives themselves, ought always to be ignorant of the secret which you carry in your bosoms. If it pleases you, in order the better to deceive the inquisitorial eye, to go often to confession, you are as by right authorised to preserve the most absolute silence regarding these things. You know that the least revelation, that the slightest indication escaped from you in the tribunal of penance, or elsewhere, can bring on great calamities and that the sentence of death is already pronounced upon the revealer, whether voluntary or involuntary.

Now then, in order to secure to us a Pope in the manner required, it is necessary to fashion for that Pope a generation worthy of the reign of which we dream. Leave on one side old age and middle life, go to the youth, and, if possible, even to infancy.

Never speak in their presence a word of impiety or impurity. *Maxima debetur puero reverentia*. Never forget these words of the poet for they will preserve you from licences which it is absolutely essential to guard against for the good of the cause. In order to reap profit at the home of each family, in order to give yourself the right of asylum at the domestic hearth, you ought to present yourself with all the appearance of a man grave and moral.

Once your reputation is established in the colleges, in the gymnasiums, in the universities, and in the seminaries – once that you shall have captivated the confidence of professors and students, so act that those who are principally engaged in the ecclesiastical state should love to seek your conversation. Nourish their souls with the splendours of ancient Papal Rome.

There is always at the bottom of the Italian heart a regret for Republican Rome. Excite, enkindle those natures so full of warmth and of patriotic fire.

Offer them at first, but always in secret, inoffensive books, poetry resplendent with national emphasis; then little by little you will bring your disciples to the degree of cooking desired.

When upon all the points of the ecclesiastical state at once, this daily work shall have spread our ideas as the light, then you will be able to appreciate the wisdom of the counsel in which we take the initiative.

Events, which in our opinion, precipitate themselves too rapidly, go necessarily in a few months' time to bring on an intervention of Austria. There are fools who in the lightness of their hearts please themselves in casting others into the midst of perils, and, meanwhile, there are fools who at a given hour drag on even wise men. The revolution which they meditate in Italy will only end in misfortunes and persecutions. Nothing is ripe, neither the men nor the things, and nothing shall be for a long time yet; but from these evils you can easily draw one new chord, and cause it to vibrate in the hearts of the young clergy. That is the hatred of the stranger. Cause the German to become ridiculous and odious even before his foreseen entry. With the idea of the Pontifical supremacy, mix always the old memories of the wars of the priesthood and the Empire.



Awaken the smouldering passions of the Guelphs (left Order of The Guelphs) and the Ghibellines, and thus you will obtain for yourselves the reputation of good Catholics and pure patriots.

That reputation will open the way for our doctrines to pass to the bosoms of the young clergy, and go even to the depths of convents. In a few years the young clergy will have, by the force of events, invaded all the functions. They will govern, administer, and judge. They will form the council of the Sovereign. They will be called upon to choose the Pontiff who will reign; and that Pontiff, like the greater part of his contemporaries, will be necessarily imbued with the Italian and humanitarian principles which we are about to put in circulation. It is a little grain of mustard which we place in the earth, but the sun of Justice will develop it even to be a great power, and you will see one day what a rich harvest that little seed will produce.



“In the way which we trace for our brethren there are found great obstacles to conquer, difficulties of more than one kind to surmount. They will be overcome by experience and by perspicacity; but the end is beautiful. What does it matter to put all the sails to the wind in order to attain it. You wish to revolutionize Italy? Seek out the Pope of whom we give the portrait. You wish to establish the reign of the elect upon the throne of the prostitute of Babylon? Let the clergy march under your banner in the belief always that they march under the banner of the Apostolic Keys. You wish to cause the last vestige of tyranny and of oppression to disappear? Lay your nets like Simon Bar Jona. Lay them in

the depths of sacristies, seminaries, and convents, rather than in the depths of the sea, and if you will precipitate nothing you will give yourself a draught of fishes more miraculous than his. The fisher of fishes will become a fisher of men. You will bring yourselves as friends around the Apostolic Chair. You will have fished up a Revolution in Tiara and Cope, marching with Cross and banner – a Revolution which needs only to be spurred on a little to put the four quarters of the world on fire.

Let each act of your life tend then to discover the Philosopher's Stone. The alchemists of the middle ages lost their time and the gold of their dupes in the quest of this dream. That of the secret societies will be accomplished for the most simple of reasons, because it is based on the passions of man. Let us not be discouraged then by a check, a reverse, or a defeat. Let us

prepare our arms in the silence of the lodges, dress our batteries, flatter all passions the most evil and the most generous, and all lead us to think that our plans will succeed one day above even our most improbable **CALCULATIONS.**"

To be Continued

What Happens To Your Body The Hour After Drinking A Coke?

By Dr. Art:

ACCORDING to the brilliant scientist that I work with, it also takes 4 hours for the body to regain the oxygen that is replaced by the phosphoric acid in the coke!!! Acid is our Major # 1 health problem!!!

And here are some other shocking facts for you. **One soda per day increases your risk of diabetes by 85%!**

Sugar is actually more addictive than cocaine!

10 MINUTES

Ten teaspoons of SUGAR hit your system. This is 100 percent of your recommended daily intake, and the only reason you don't VOMIT as a result of the overwhelming sweetness is because phosphoric acid cuts the flavour

1. Just one extra can of soda per day can add as much as 15 pounds to your weight over the course of a single year! (Not how to look better Naked!)

2. If you are still drinking soda, stopping the habit is an easy way to improve your health.

20 MINUTES

Your blood sugar spikes, and your liver responds to the resulting insulin burst by turning massive amounts of sugar into FAT.



3. Water is a much better choice, or if you must drink a carbonated beverage, try sparkling mineral water with a squirt of lime or lemon juice.

40 MINUTES

Caffeine absorption is complete; your pupils dilate, your blood pressure RISES, and your liver dumps MORE sugar into your bloodstream.

4. There Is Absolutely No Reason That Your Kids Should Ever Drink Soda.

None, Nada, Zip, Zero.

45 MINUTES

Your body increases dopamine production, which stimulates the pleasure centres of your brain – a physically identical response to that of HEROIN by the way.

5. No excuses. Don't be irresponsible!

The elimination of all soft drinks is a complete no-brainer! So cut the soda and look better naked!

60 MINUTES

You'll start to have a sugar crash. Enjoy.

*taken from various sources including writings by Dr. Mercola

<http://lookbetternakedblog.com/2014/10/04/wh-what-happens-to-your-body-the-hour-after-drinking-a-coke/>

The End OS22024

Massacre Of Verden - 4500 Pagans Killed By Judeo-Christianity

By Paul Halsall



Denmark, but soon returned. In 785 he, along with his people, **was forced to convert to Christianity by Charlemagne.**

On the issue of beheading the historian Ramsay MacMullen notes that in 681 a council of bishops at Toledo called on civil authorities **to seize and behead all those guilty of non-Christian practices of whatever sort.** These massacres were common on both sides throughout **the Christianization of Europe**, with similar events involving pagan Saxons, Germans and Celts and Christians documented in Britain and Ireland.

The controversy over the massacre was linked to disputes among German nationalists about the image of Charlemagne. Some Germans saw the victims of the massacre as heroic defenders of Germany's traditional beliefs, resisting the "foreign" religion of Christianity. Wilhelm Teudt mentions the site of the massacre in his 1929 book *Germanische Heiligtümer* ('Germanic Shrines'). Some Christian nationalists linked Charlemagne with the humiliation of French domination after World War I, especially the occupation of the Rhineland.

THE MASSACRE OF VERDEN, or the Bloody Verdict of Verden, was a massacre of Saxons in 782 near the present town of Verden in Lower Saxony, Germany, **ordered by Charlemagne during the Saxon Wars.**

In 782 A.D. some **4,500 Saxon leaders are said to have been beheaded** for practicing their indigenous Germanic paganism, **having officially, albeit under duress, converted to Christianity and undergone baptism.** The river Aller was said to have been flowing red with their blood. Charlemagne's motives were to demonstrate his over-lordship and the severity of punishment for rebellion.

The effect was that the Saxons lost virtually their entire tribal leadership and were henceforth largely governed by Frankish counts installed by Charlemagne. The Saxon leader, Duke Widukind, had escaped to his in-laws in

Hermann Gauch, Heinrich Himmler's adjutant for culture, took the view that Charlemagne (known in German as *Karl der Große* 'Karl the Great') should be officially renamed "Karl the Slaughterer" because of the massacre. He advocated a memorial to the victims. Alfred Rosenberg also stated that the Saxon leader Widukind, not Karl, should be called "the Great". During the Third Reich the massacre became a major topic of debate. In 1934, two plays about Widukind were performed. The first, *Der Sieger* (*The Victor*) by Friedrich Forster, portrayed Charlemagne as brutal but his goal, Christianization of the pagan Saxons, as necessary. Reception was mixed. The second, *Wittekind*, by Edmund Kiß, was more controversial for its criticism of Christianization. The play saw various disturbances during its run.

In 1935, landscape architect Wilhelm Hübotter was commissioned to build the *Sachsenhain* (German 'Grove of the Saxons') in Verden, a

monument to commemorate the massacre consisting of 4,500 large stones. The monument was used as both a memorial to the event and as a meeting place for the *Schutzstaffel*. The memorial was inscribed to "Baptism-Resistant Germans Massacred by Karl, the Slaughterer of

the Saxons". In the same year the annual celebration of Charlemagne in Aachen, where he is buried, was cancelled and replaced by a lecture on "Karl the Great, Saxon Butcher."

The End OS21925

A People's Runnymede

By
Robert J. Scrutton



WE regret that so many malpractices have to be exposed dealing chiefly with our own country, but it is our own country we wish to see take the lead in simple sanity of living. Rectification cannot be made where the faults are unknown or misunderstood.

By the year 1931 the City of London had obtained almost complete control over money, credit, and industry. Behind the scenes it had been acquiring command of vital industries such as coal, cotton, iron, steel, and agriculture. It now came into the open with these possessions and violated the rule of Law by dictating to Parliament. The Federation of British Industries, which in 1919 spoke for some 18,000 firms and combines, and backed its demands with a capital of £5,000,000,000, boasted quite openly that it advises on the details of the Budget before it is placed before Parliament. This explains why certain amalgamated industries were exempt from income tax and given this advantage over independent industries and traders. So started the growth of those big combines which were to

close down the small trader. They eventually assumed (as Mr MacDonald prophesied and helped to fulfil) economic power which controlled absolutely the life of the people and imposed on them political and economic slavery.

The Government became the puppet of an unelected financial dictatorship.

In medieval times usury and the monopolistic control of national resources and the people's food supplies were illegal. If such laws of common justice prevailed to-day, or if the British Common Law had not been ignored by our politicians, all men would be free, secure, and at peace.

The years saw Parliament begin to rule by proxy. It frittered away its power by appointing non-elected persons to sit on Boards which controlled almost every department of national life. The powers of these Boards were contrary to the British Constitution and Common Law, in that they were allowed to assume parliamentary powers by making their own laws, by appointing their own servants to act as judges in their own case, and to impose penalties upon offenders against their own decrees, and by often preventing the defendant from obtaining redress at the King's Courts of Justice.

A flood of bills was passed in Parliament which virtually gave the money power control over our lives. Some of the bills were:

The Electricity Supply Bill.

The Finance Bill, 1935.

The Industrial Re-organisation (Enabling) Bill.

Licensing Laws.

The Cotton Spinning Industry Bill.

The Coal Mines Bill, 1936.

**Shops (Retail Trading Safeguards) Bill.
Live Stock and Industry Bill.
The Nationalisation of Tithes, Coal and
Mineral Rights.
The Incitement of Disaffection Bill.
The Official Secrets Act.**

**2. That he shall have safety and security to buy and to sell without any unjust exactions or implement.
3. That he shall not be constrained to any unconscientious act.**

These bills were supposed to place industry and agriculture on a sound financial basis. Much of the money used to finance their operations was obtained by taxing the people's food supplies.

The money power through their combines and boards:



Mr Morrison, Minister of Agriculture, created three new Boards, one for Bacon Development, one for Pig Marketing, and one for Bacon Marketing. (In 1938 he took an extra million pounds from the taxpayer to breed half a million less pigs.)

1. Dispossessed men and deprived them of their trades and their right to earn a living;

2. Subjected traders to unjust marketing conditions both as to wares and as to services they had to offer for the general good, and

3. Constrained men by economic fear and force to exploit their fellow-men.

In his book *The New Despotism* Lord Chief Justice Hewart said that permanent Government Departments draft bills which are deliberately confusing. Members of Parliament do not understand them, nor dare they question the suggestion of "experts." Take Mr Morrison's pigs; no one tested his legislation by the one question of interest to the general public: "Will it give us more pigs and provide cheaper bacon?"

Let us examine one of these bills which, to use an apt phrase of Lord Hewart's, imposed their "legal lawlessness" upon the nation in the interests of international finance.

For many years the Labour Party had advocated nationalisation and the elimination of the middleman and small shopkeeper. It was the National Government, however, that adopted these measures. The Labour Party had at least seen them in an altruistic setting, but the National Government nationalised for the benefit of international finance, to the loss of the whole nation.

The Electricity Supply Bill enabled the Government to force the Mayor and Corporation of the City of Oxford to cease supplying its own cheap electricity to the citizens, so that they had to buy electric power from the Wessex Company at a far higher rate. The shares of this company were held by a holding company Edmundson & Co., whose shares are held by Banque Belge pour l'Etranger, the Cushen Trust, the Imperial Continental Gas Company, and the Prudential Assurance Company. Foreigners were granted the right to tax the people of Oxford and Oxfordshire.

The ancient constitutional rights and liberties of the citizen were disregarded or violated:

We have already given examples of the restrictive and destructive operations of the pig, potato, and other boards. English agriculture was sacrificed on the altar of Mammon. Farmers lost their earth. 4,444,000 acres of arable land went out of cultivation, so that the nation was deprived of the ability to feed itself. £400,000,000 of foodstuffs had to be imported, 75 per cent. of which could have been produced in this country. Two hundred years before England exported wheat. Under the new system agriculture suffered more restrictions and penalties than in any other country.

1. That he shall not be dispossessed or impaired in the enjoyment of his property or the exercise of his trade or in any way socially destroyed.

Some years previous to this the oil combines told the British Admiralty to use oil for the Royal Navy. Oil has certain advantages over coal, but the strategical and economic dangers of exclusive oil-firing outweigh completely the technical advantage which could have been retained by alternative firing, as suggested by some Members of Parliament. Except for two small oilfields in the British Empire all sources are foreign-owned. America herself owns 75 per cent. of the world's oil. Every politician knew that in the event of war the Navy would have to convoy oil tankers (and the food which we were not allowed to produce at home) instead of protecting our shores. Now we pay the cost in the Battle of the Atlantic, and in the East, where we defend strategic positions and our pipe-lines.

The Charter which was granted to the Bank of England in 1864, giving it the sole right to create and issue the nation's money, placed the King and Parliament and the people under the yoke of a private international company, whose international directors recognise neither Motherland, patriotism, nor loyalty to the Crown; who never consider the needs of industry nor the people if their own private interests are at stake, and who have time and again financed the enemies of the country that gave them power. International finance, operating through the Bank of England, filched the nation's credit and issued it as a monstrous debt which has bled the people white and plunged the wealthiest nation in the world into poverty.



Charles I warned Parliament "that it was not the place where every hare-brained fellow might propose any laws of his own invention." He might have added, "neither is it a place where a hidden financial government shall pull the strings of puppet legislators, forcing through laws detrimental to national well-being." This practice has imposed upon the people a non-elected super-government, all the more powerful and dangerous because it is hidden, unsuspected, and largely unquestioned. By giving away its right to create and issue money, Parliament took from the people the protection

of Common Law and betrayed them to the usurer. Bishop Burnet, in his History of My Own Time (1693), says "men fought bitterly against the founding of the Bank of England, knowing that the bank would grow to a monopoly. All money would come into their hands; and they would in a few years become the masters of the stock and the wealth of the nation."

Burke's ghost might ask Englishmen: "Where have you placed the real power over moneyed and landed circulation? Where have you placed the means of raising and falling the value of every man's freehold? Those whose operations can take from, or add ten per cent. to the possessions of every man in England must be the masters of every man in England."

The prophecy was fulfilled. It has robbed the House of Commons of effective authority in monetary matters. To quote Bageshot's The English Constitution:

"The principal peculiarity of the House of Commons in financial affairs is nowadays not a special privilege but an exceptional disability. On common subjects any member can propose anything, but not on money—the minister can only propose to tax the people."

No wonder that the House of Commons wasted years wrangling whether or not it could raise sufficient money by taxing cotton gloves to solve the problem of money shortage. No minister had the courage to tell the people: "We cannot do anything about solving your problems because the financial system, and consequently the nation, is in the hands of a private financial company. It forces us to take from you almost £100,000,000 a day to pay interest on your own credit. For its own private advantage it raises and lowers the buying power of your money and the prices of your goods; it controls profits and wages; it determines the fate of commerce and industry by increasing or restricting credits; it forces governments to sanction the wholesale destruction of transport, productive plants, raw materials, and foodstuffs, whilst millions of people starve."

Financial power owns allegiance only to its shareholders; it acknowledges no other authority. It is a power with responsibility to none, with no compassion for humanity, and its sole love is money and power. It has no regard for the safety

of the Realm. Operating from the City of London it lent Napoleon £5,000,000 to fight Englishmen at Waterloo. It gave birth to a new class in English public life, cohorts of the City of London who had no stake in English soil, who for greater profit financed foreign industries and closed down those in the land of their birth, and who sold their country for foreign markets to obtain a higher rate of interest. We give here a few examples of their activities covering all departments of national life.

In 1913, according to the calculations of the League of Nations World Economic Survey, more than £3,250,000,000 of English money was invested outside England. This figure, which we also quote for 1925, would have saved British industry if it had been invested in this country

They lent our gold to Germany so that she might be better prepared against us in 1945.

Mr Noel Baker, M.P., records in *The Private Manufacture of Armaments* the admission of a German newspaper after the war of 1914-18, that "if in the first days of the war the French had penetrated to a depth of a dozen kilometres in Lorraine the war would have been ended in six months by the defeat of



Germany."

But that would have meant the destruction of munitions plants and blast-furnaces which had been captured from the French.

"So," said M. Barthe, in the French Chamber in 1959, "either owing to the international solidarity of heavy industry or in order to safeguard private interests orders were given to our military commanders not to bombard."

British M.P.s have asked: "Who authorised the French and British Forces not to attack the munition plants of the King's enemies?"

The British Forces were prevented by financial interests from using the British Farquhar-Hill

machine rifle. The Proof Master admitted that he had orders to damage the rifle so that it would fail when tested by the Enfield and Small Arms Committee. When the rifle was tested by the Admiralty in competition with other machine rifles it passed with flying colours, whilst the others failed. An order was given for 100,000 of the rifles, but it would seem that "favoured" rifle manufacturers were more powerful than Government authorities. The order was cancelled and to-day our soldiers use the inferior Bren Rifle.

They forced Great Britain back to the Gold Standard with disastrous effects upon our prosperity and world peace. For the profit of money-lending they dictated the terms of the Ottawa Conference and the economic nationalism which helped to plunge Europe into Fascism in 1932. Through Mr Montagu Norman they facilitated the loans which financed German rearmament. On the eve of the present war they hindered our change-over from peace production to production for total war by doubling the Bank rate. Just before the war they floated a loan of £100,000,000 for Hitler. They allowed him to take Bank of England gold from Czechoslovakia to finance the present war. When they sent Montagu Norman, the Chairman of the Bank of England, to Germany for the innocent purpose of playing godfather to the grandson of Schacht, it was to assure that finance would win whichever nation lost the war.

Need we give further instances of the treason, corruption, and legalised lawlessness which have violated every principle of common justice and decency and brought calamity upon our national life? These examples should be sufficient to make every man and woman living under the insecurity of the British Flag demand legislation which will protect them from the machinations and dangers of irresponsible financial powers, inhuman systems, and insincere or foolish politicians.

The principles which we claim should be made law are a modern expression of the British Common Law. If they had been supreme "written" Common Law, instead of "unwritten" Common Law 1 which can be so easily ignored, the harmful government and the evils we have instanced would have been checked at their inception.

If it is morally right to enact laws which prevent

one person from injuring another, then it is equally right that laws should prevent the State or powerful private groups from injuring the community. None can deny this necessity to protect citizens from the power of huge financial organisations, from the devious control of secret interests, the brute force of wealth, and the deceit of political chicanery. Until moral-civic laws define the rights and obligations of British subjects and protect legitimate interests, and penalise individuals and organisations which offend against them, neither men nor nations will live in peace or security.



Supreme Laws must define the duties of Parliament in such a manner that they cannot be misunderstood, disobeyed, or avoided. Before this can be done we must make up our minds what we want to do with our lives and what we want the State to do for us. It is a sad commentary on human intelligence that every institution known to man has its purpose defined by rules or legislation, but the purpose of human life and the object of human society has no legal definition. It has been said by an eminent legal authority that 80 per cent. of our laws were devised for the protection of financial contracts and the advancement of financial interests, but less than 3 per cent. for the protection and the progress of human life. Christ gave life a purpose and came to fulfil its law, but we have ignored it, as we have ignored the British Common Law which sprang from it.

What is the purpose of our lives? On this purpose must depend the purpose of the State. What is the purpose of man and society? Men formed communities for mutual protection and benefit, not to provide a minority with the opportunity to oppress the majority. They came together to enjoy the security, the increase of food, the warmth and shelter, and the increased leisure and amenities which their association made possible.

The State is the people. The life and the wealth and the body of the State are of the people in association. By their organisations and their productive and cultural activities they give the whole of their life to the State; but apart from protection against certain forms of wrongful imprisonment, robbery, fraud, and physical violence they receive very little in return. We are free to practise Christian precepts in society and in business, but the practice of them is often a means of economic suicide. At a General Election we are free to choose between the policies of the political parties but not to choose the things we really want.

It is the natural right of every British citizen to inherit a share in the wealth of the State, but the vast majority have no economic rights whatsoever. They are born dispossessed. They are free to sell their labour and brains if someone wants to hire them; they can engage in business and commerce if they have the necessary capital; they are free to sell within limits. But legal and commercial processes and the monetary system prevent millions of men and women from engaging in gainful occupations, and deny the majority of them a just reward for their labours, and, in so doing, violate the fundamental principle of Common Law and Equity.

The first and only practical purpose of human society is to enable the community to produce as, when, and where required, with the least possible amount of labour for each product, the greatest possible amount of wealth, and to distribute it in such a way as to secure for each individual the greatest possible freedom, security, and leisure, that he may develop his character by the exercise of such virtues, by the creation of such beauties, by the pursuance of such knowledge, and the enjoyment of such happiness as his spiritual qualities, his intelligence, and labours have placed within his power, and for the race, attainment of the highest perfection and glory.

This conception of the ideal State is the only worth-while purpose of human association—it is man's only salvation. This is a hard fact which must first be understood, and then attained by a quickening of the imagination. The pioneers of mankind have all been dreamers who have made their dreams reality by the power of an overmastering ideal behind them. First the conception of human purpose, then the reality.

Our present economic policy, with its false philosophy of the survival of the fittest, has proved itself to be self-destructive. Its material conception has degraded the spiritual nature of man; it has encouraged his worst and stifled his best; it has caused him to use the finest product of his genius to destroy life and has hindered his efforts to nurture it. It has set class against class, master against man, trader against trader, and nation against nation. During the last four centuries it has brought poverty and misery to millions and has cursed this country with 293 years of war.

There can be no doubt as to which is the most practical conception of social life: the materialism which destroys man, or the spiritual ideal to nurture and develop his life. In the countries of dictators social purpose is clearly defined. Every means of publicity is marshaled and directed to the task of instilling it into the public mind.

If social purpose can thus be defined to suppress human personality, it can also be defined to release and expand it. Only the clear vision and the power of this ideal can save man from the false ideologies, pseudo-democracies, and unsound economic theories which are destroying him. Man must be liberated and protected, and his life purpose defined by a written Constitution of the Realm. It can be done by lawful repeals, amendments, and legislation sanctioned by the British Constitution itself. The power to amend and repeal laws has in the past been used to destroy the finer aspects of the British Common Law and Constitution—it must now be used to purify it.

The enactment of the three supreme laws which we have considered in preceding chapters would prohibit the evils of the old order and provide the foundation for the new society we have envisaged. A final supreme Law is necessary to raise the spiritual, mental, and physical problems of human well-being above the factions of party politics and private and monetary expediency, to ensure that social purpose as defined in these laws and their accompanying "Objects" shall be binding upon our legislators.

This final law, like those which have preceded it, is to be found in the British Common Law or

in the formulation of great principles which underlie it. The old maxim we have quoted from The Law Formal should be read again, substituting the word "Parliament" for "King." The principle that the King is under the law has put the law for ever on the side of equity and justice. Parliament took over the administration of the State to ensure that the King would rule under the Common Law, but it has ignored and misapplied the Law it was entrusted to obey more than any King dared to have done.

The forerunners of our Parliament did not leave us in any doubt as to what we should do if the King broke the rules of Law. The most striking clause in the 61st Article of Magna Carta was that in which John was made to admonish the people to rise against him if he broke the Law and again bring him to lawful ways.

This was repeated with great distinctness when confirmed by Henry III.

This legalising of rebellion is the bedrock of our democratic institutions. It was accepted by Parliament. To this extent Parliament was limited; it must not violate the Common Law by allowing party or private preference to deprive the people of their natural right to live a full life and shall at all times protect them from oppression and harmful exploitation. The Constitution makes our duty plain; to follow the example of our forefathers when their rights were encroached upon, by reasserting the basic principles of our ancient Common Law and customs and interpreting them in the language and setting of the modern age and applying them to the problems of the day.

Sufficient evidence has been brought forward to show that under the present economic regime it is impossible for the Government to control the conflicting elements of the system under which we live, or even to foresee the deplorable results arising from their actions. The absence of a basic law of human welfare to which all legislation must conform has left Parliament without a guide through the maze of conflicting problems which constantly afflict the people, and without any criterion of right and wrong by which Parliamentary bills and measures may be tested.



There are many M.P.s who would welcome the enactment of supreme law which would check harmful legislation at its source, and to which they could appeal when in their judgment Parliamentary measures may hurt the nation.

When Miss Megan Lloyd George challenged the Civilians' Compensation Bill (Personal Injuries (Emergency Provisions) Act) on May 1, 1941, as violating the equity of the Common Law of England, she appealed in vain to regulations which it has become customary for the Government to ignore. Neither legislation nor the people can ensure that justice shall be done until the fundamental principles of common human right are defined and established as the inviolable law of the Realm. Both on practical and purely moral grounds there is every reason why we should reaffirm the Common Law in this final supreme Law and Object in such a way that it shall be binding upon our rulers to observe, by defining social purpose and providing guidance in all legislation, so that economic security, the happiness, and the physical and spiritual needs of the people shall be the first claim upon all social, industrial, and commercial effort.

Therefore:

The primary responsibility of the State shall be to ensure that every subject of the Realm shall

be free to contribute to the community according to his ability and shall receive sufficient purchasing power to provide for all his needs; with the object:

To ensure that the aforesaid laws and objects shall receive the first consideration of the legislative authorities.

Preach against the preachers of their own inventions.

They have not mounted to the breaches; they have not defended the walls.. . .

You see deception and divine a lie.

Have you not invented a false vision ?

And divined a lying tale?

Therefore because you speak falsehood and invent a lie,—

I am absolutely opposed to you.

My hand will be against the preacher of false visions

And the diviners of a lie.

They shall not come to the Council of My People,

Because they have deluded My people by exclaiming, "Peace!"

When there was no peace.

Ezekiel.

The End OS21926

Did Mossad Murder Hilda Murrall? **By T Stokes**



NUCLEAR power is an inherently dangerous source of energy, as events at Fukushima recently revealed. So it goes without saying that nuclear weapons are all the more so.

Israel's arsenal has been substantially enlarged since M o r d e c h i a

Vannunu first heroically blew the whistle on it. Nonetheless a Sunday Times article that appeared in the wake of Vannunu's disclosures featured interviews with several Nuclear

Authority policemen about the threat posed by Israel's nuclear arsenal.

Significantly the interviews were omitted and have since been kept under wraps: because what they discussed was considered more inflammatory than Vannunu's disclosures.

More than revealing concerns about Israel's nuclear arsenal suspicions were voiced over the deaths of two prominent anti-nuclear activists.

In April 1985 a Scottish Nationalist SNP activist and lawyer William McCrae who was vehemently opposed to Scotland's involvement in the nuclear industry was found shot dead in his car in the Scottish highlands. McCrae claimed he was under MI5 surveillance and at the time of his death he was due to give

testimony at public hearing on waste processing at Dounray,

In 2005 a lad called Andrew George who was a 16 year old in foster care with learning difficulties at the time of the killing, was found guilty of Hilda Murrall's 1984 murder. But as Hilda Murrell's nephew and former Royal Navy commander, Robert Green writes in his book "A thorn in their side, The Hilda Murrell story" George was obviously not responsible for his aunt's death.



For the British security services have a record of colluding with police to set up naïve and trusting locals, as in the Jill Dando (left) murder, where the killing has all the hallmarks of a professional hit.

Significantly however, for the killings to succeed they first have to be sanctioned by senior figures within the British security establishment.

The general opinion in informed circles is that the killing was authorised by Sir Colin Figures, the Jewish Chief of MI6 who worked closely with Prime Minister Thatcher's security advisor Lord Victor Rothschild. And here it's worth recalling that Rothschild was instrumental during Harold Wilson's years in office for the secret export of Plutonium to Israel.

Rothschild pushed for further British investment in the nuclear industry for his own ends, and he allegedly also helped orchestrate the miner's strike, which crippled the country and put huge numbers on the dole. Defectors have suggested that the Russians assisted the industrial disruption while the murder of those people opposed to the nuclear industry has Rothschild fingerprints all over it.

There are another 6 murders whose names can be found on the Hilda Murrell website, and 4 more unlisted.

Hamish Watt a medical man who examined William McCrae's body told the Aberdeen Journal that he found two bullet holes in the back

of McCrae's head, close together and fired from point blank range: a clear indication of an execution style killing. Despite this and the fact that McCrae's two brief cases were found some distance down the road together with a pistol that was found later even further away, the official verdict was still that of suicide.

Beyond the obvious pointing finger to MI5, who would usually farm the job out, the real killers would appear from other sources to be Mossad. Former MP Tam Dalyell claimed that an MI5 operative told him Hilda Murrell disturbed two men ransacking her house, and although her body was dumped on the Wednesday we are supposed to believe that her body lay there in open land undiscovered until Saturday, despite a search with dogs having started on the Thursday.

The following is taken whole from the Aangirfan website:

The author Gary Murray, also investigated the death of Hilda Murrell, and found at least 14 similarities between McCrae and Hilda Murray:

1. Red Escort observed at scene
2. Activities of interest to M15, Special Branch, Atomic Energy Police
3. About to appear at public hearing dealing with nuclear issues
4. Passionate antinuclear campaigner
5. Under surveillance by Security Services
6. Witnesses overlooked by police investigators
7. Drove/driven to death scene in own vehicle
8. Death scene: quiet country spot outside of town
9. Victim was said to have discovered something specific about nuclear industry
10. Authorities refused Enquiry into death and murder investigation wound up
11. Conflict in official statements about evidence
12. Victim's property broken into and burned down
13. Anomalies concerning nuclear papers
14. Associates and friends attempting to pursue the case become victims of burglary and/or acts of violence or damage to property

Enemies of the State: A Sensational Exposé of the Security Services by a former MI5

Undercover Agent. Gary Murray, Simon and Schuster, London, England, 1993, p210.

As a recently resigned British police chief said; "we have untouchable foreign assassins working this country and the police's hands are tied".

So while our forces are away fighting wars over fictitious Weapons of Mass Destruction, foreign agents are here killing with impunity.

Russian multi-millionaire and mafia boss Ivan Lukaszewski now resident in Britain, says the KGB has much info on this and that Israelis were behind the mass killings of intellectuals, scientists and educated people from the foundation of the Jewish state up to and including Iraq and now Iran.

Not many people doubt now that Princess Diana, Dr. David Kelly, Robin Cook and Christopher

Shale were all murdered to silence them. So it's no coincidence that the popular but outspoken UKIP political leader, Nigel Farage who was challenging Commons speaker John Bercow for his constituency was involved in a plane crash that nearly killed him on election day 2010.

The pilot Justin Adams has subsequently been charged by the police for bragging he would kill the UKIP leader.

Nigel Farage had made two big mistakes: he upset the powerful Jewish lobby by taking a political seat away from puppet John Bercow and he promised to pull Britain out of the EU.

*With thanks to Shrewsbury archives, Aberdeen news reporters, Shropshire meals on wheels, neighbourhood watch papers, Telegraph archives and various others.

The End OS21930

The Act Of 1871 Formed The Corporation Called THE UNITED STATES From Our USA Correspondent



Above: The flag of Washington DC - Note the 3 stars representing the three city states that rule the world - City of London, Vatican City and Washington DC! (The Three Evil frogs of Revelation)

The ACT of 1871 formed the corporation called THE UNITED STATES ~ This is the reason congress is a potted plant and a DEN of traitors!

THE ACT OF 1871

1871, February 21: Congress Passes an Act to Provide a Government for the District of Columbia, also known as the Act of 1871. With no constitutional authority to do so, Congress creates a separate form of government for the

District of Columbia, a ten mile square parcel of land (see, Acts of the Forty-first Congress," Section 34, Session III, chapters 61 and 62). The act -- passed when the country was weakened and financially depleted in the aftermath of the Civil War -- was a strategic move by foreign interests (international bankers) who were intent upon gaining a stranglehold on the coffers and neck of America. Congress cut a deal with the international bankers (specifically Rothschilds of London) to incur a DEBT to said bankers. Because the bankers were not about to lend money to a floundering nation without serious stipulations, they devised a way to get their foot in the door of the United States. The Act of 1871 formed a corporation called THE UNITED STATES.

The corporation, OWNED by foreign interests, moved in and shoved the original Constitution into a dustbin. With the Act of 1871, the organic Constitution was defaced -- in effect vandalized and sabotage -- when the title was capitalized and the word "for" was changed to "of" in the title. THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA is the constitution of the incorporated UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. It operates in an economic capacity

and has been used to fool the People into thinking it governs the Republic. It does is not! Capitalization is NOT insignificant when one is referring to a legal document. This seemingly "minor" alteration has had a major impact on every subsequent generation of Americans. What Congress did by passing the Act of 1871 was create an entirely new document, a constitution for the government of the District of Columbia, an INCORPORATED government.

This newly altered Constitution was not intended to benefit the Republic. It benefits only the corporation of the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and operates entirely outside the original (organic) Constitution. Instead of having absolute and unalienable rights guaranteed under the organic Constitution, we the people now have "relative" rights or privileges. One example is the Sovereign's right to travel, which has now been transformed (under corporate government policy) into a "privilege" that requires citizens to be licensed. (Passports) By passing the Act of 1871, Congress committed TREASON against the People who were Sovereign under the grants and decrees of the Declaration of Independence and the organic Constitution. [Information courtesy of Lisa Guliani,

The Act of 1871 became the FOUNDATION of all the treason since committed by government officials.]

Dove: The following is an expansion and further explanation of the above (an adaptation of Lisa's work, done with her permission), which you may want to read for your own edification. Whereas my Chapter 9 is a time-map of the major Headlines and Land-mines of the 200-years-plus history of America, each subsequent chapter goes into particular details. This section is from Chapter 18, "The Tale of Two Governments, which overall addresses the difference between a democracy and a republic as well as the fact of a federal government and a shadow government practicing under the guise of The Corporation. I'm sure Lisa won't mind your using what you need in order to make whatever point you wish to make in the moment.

The United States Isn't a Country; It's a Corporation! In preparation for stealing America, the puppets of Britain's banking cabal had already created a second government, a Shadow Government designed to manage what

the common herd believed was a democracy, but what really was an incorporated UNITED STATES. Together this chimera, this two-headed monster, disallowed the common herd all rights of *sui juris*. [you, in your sovereignty] Congress, with no authority to do so, created a separate form of government for the District of Columbia, a ten-mile square parcel of land. WHY and HOW did they do so? First, Lisa Guliani of Babel Magazine, reminds us that the Civil War was, in fact, "little more than a calculated front with fancy footwork by backroom players." Then she adds: "It was also a strategic manoeuvre by British and European interests (international bankers) intent on gaining a stranglehold on the coffers of America. And, because Congress knew our country was in dire financial straits, certain members of Congress cut a deal with the international bankers (in those days, the Rothschilds of London were dipping their fingers into everyone's pie). . . . There you have the WHY, why members of Congress permitted the international bankers to gain further control of America. . . . "Then, by



passing the Act of 1871, Congress formed a corporation known as **THE UNITED STATES**. This corporation, owned by foreign interests, shoved the organic version of the Constitution aside by changing the word 'for' to 'of' in the title. Let me explain: the original Constitution drafted by the Founding Fathers read: 'The Constitution for the united states of America.' [note that neither the words 'united' nor 'states' began with capital letters] But the CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA' is a corporate constitution, which is absolutely NOT the same document you think it is. First of all, it ended all our rights of sovereignty [*sui juris*]. So you now have the HOW, how the international bankers got their hands on THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA." To fully understand how our rights of sovereignty were ended, you must know the full meaning of sovereign: "Chief or highest, supreme power, superior in position to all others;

independent of and unlimited by others; possessing or entitled to; original and independent authority or jurisdiction." (Webster). In short, our government, which was created by and for us as sovereigns -- free citizens deemed to have the highest authority in the land - was stolen from us, along with our rights. Keep in mind that, according to the original Constitution, only We the People are sovereign. Government is not sovereign. The Declaration of Independence says, "...government is subject to the consent of the governed." That's us -- the sovereigns. When did you last feel like a sovereign? As Lisa Guliani explained:



"It doesn't take a rocket scientist or a constitutional historian to figure out that the U.S. Government has NOT been subject to the consent of the governed since long before you or I were born. Rather, the governed are subject to the whim and greed of the corporation, which has stretched its tentacles beyond the ten-mile-square parcel of land known as the District of Columbia. In fact, it has invaded every state of the Republic. Mind you, the corporation has NO jurisdiction beyond the District of Columbia. You just think it does. "

You see, you are 'presumed' to know the law, which is very weird since We the People are taught NOTHING about the law in school. We memorize obscure facts and phrases here and there, like the Preamble, which says, 'We the People... establish this Constitution for the United States of America.' But our teachers only gloss over the Bill of Rights. Our schools (controlled by the corporate government) don't delve into the Constitution at depth. After all, the corporation was established to indoctrinate and 'dumb-down' the masses, not to teach anything of value or importance.

Certainly, no one mentioned that America was sold-out to foreign interests, that we were beneficiaries of the debt incurred by Congress, or that we were in debt to the international bankers. Yet, for generations, Americans have had the bulk of their earnings confiscated to pay a massive debt that they did not incur. There's

an endless stream of things the People aren't told. And, now that you are being told, how do you feel about being made the recipient of a debt without your knowledge or consent? "After passage of the Act of 1871 Congress set a series of subtle and overt deceptions into motion, deceptions in the form of decisions that were meant to sell us down the river. Over time, the Republic took it on the chin until it was knocked down and counted out by a technical KO [knock out]. With the surrender of the people's gold in 1933, the 'common herd' was handed over to illegitimate law.

"Our corporate form of governance is based on Roman Civil Law and Admiralty, or Maritime Law, which is also known as the 'Divine Right of Kings' and the 'Law of the Seas' -- another fact of American history not taught in our schools. Actually, Roman Civil Law was fully established in the colonies before our nation began, and then became managed by private international law. In other words, the government -- the government created for the District of Columbia via the Act of 1871 - operates solely under Private International Law, not Common Law, which was the foundation of our Constitutional Republic. "This fact has impacted all Americans in concrete ways. For instance, although Private International Law is technically only applicable within the District of Columbia, and NOT in the other states of the Union, the arms of the Corporation of the UNITED STATES are called 'departments' -- i.e., the Justice Department, the Treasury Department. And those departments affect everyone, no matter where (in what state) they live. Guess what? Each department belongs to the corporation -- to the UNITED STATES. "Refer to any UNITED STATES CODE (USC). Note the capitalization; this is evidence of a corporation, not a Republic.

For example, In Title 28 3002 (15) (A) (B) (C), it is unequivocally stated that the UNITED STATES is a corporation. Translation: the corporation is NOT a separate and distinct entity; it is not disconnected from the government; it IS the government -- your government. This is extremely important! I refer to it as the

'corporate EMPIRE of the UNITED STATES,' which operates under Roman Civil Law outside the original Constitution. How do you like being ruled by a corporation? You say you'll ask your Congressperson about this? HA!! "Congress is fully aware of this deception. So it's time that you, too, become aware of the deception. What this great deception means is that the members of Congress do NOT work for us, for you and me. They work for the Corporation, for the UNITED STATES. No wonder we can't get them to do anything on our behalf, or meet or demands, or answer our questions. "Technically, legally, or any other way you want to look at the matter, the corporate government of the UNITED STATES has no jurisdiction or authority in ANY State of the Union (the Republic) beyond the District of Columbia. Let that tidbit sink in, then ask yourself, could this deception have occurred without full knowledge and complicity of the Congress? Do you think it happened by accident? If you do, you're deceiving yourself. "There are no accidents, no coincidences.

Face the facts and confront the truth. Remember, you are presumed to know the law. THEY know you don't know the law or, for that matter, your history. Why? Because no concerted effort was ever made to teach or otherwise inform you. As a Sovereign, you are entitled to full disclosure of all facts. As a slave, you are entitled to nothing other than what the corporation decides to 'give' you. "Remember also that 'Ignorance of the law is no excuse.' It's your responsibility and obligation to learn the law and know how it applies to you. No wonder the

corporation counted on the fact that most people are too indifferent, unconcerned, distracted, or lazy to learn what they need to know to survive within the system. We have been conditioned to let the government do our thinking for us. Now's the time to turn that around if we intend to help save our Republic and ourselves -- before it's too late. "As an instrument of the international bankers, the UNITED STATES owns you from birth to death. It also holds ownership of all your assets, of your property, even of your children. Think long and hard about all the bills taxes,

and licenses you have paid for or purchased. Yes, they had you by the pockets. If you don't believe it, read the 14th Amendment. See how 'free' you really are. Ignorance of the facts led to your silence. Silence is construed as consent; consent to be beneficiaries of a debt you did not incur. As a Sovereign People we have been deceived for hundreds of years; we think we are free, but in truth we are servants of the corporation. "Congress committed treason against the People in 1871. Honest men could have corrected the fraud and treason. But apparently there weren't enough honest men to counteract the lust for money and power. We lost more freedom than we will ever know, thanks to corporate infiltration of our so-called 'government.' "Do you think

that any soldier who died in any of our many wars would have fought if he or she had known the truth? Do you think one person would have laid down his/her life for a corporation? How long will we remain silent? How long will we perpetuate the MYTH that we are free? When will we stand together as One Sovereign People? When will we take back what has been as stolen from the us? "If the People of America had known to what extent their trust was betrayed, how long would it have taken for a real revolution to occur? What we now need is a Revolution in THOUGHT. We need to change



Steven Books
League Enterprises
49, Lodge Lane
Grays
Essex
RM17 5RZ

For books by identity authors –
 Kenneth McKilliam, Ria Splinter
 and Richard Porter plus many
 other subjects and difficult to
 obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

our thinking, then we can change our world. Our children deserve their rightful legacy -- the liberty our ancestors fought to preserve, the legacy of a Sovereign and Fully Free People."

LEGAL NOTICE: The Author(s) specifically invokes the First Amendment rights of freedom of speech and of the press, without prejudice (UCC 1-308), in this or any document; and it is published for informational purposes only under the rights guaranteed by the First Amendment and all the ratified (by majority) amendments of the Constitution, and The Constitution for the United States of America. ALL rights are explicitly reserved without prejudice. Further information in this email / document(s) can not be used against me in any manner, as it is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521, and is legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee(s), and their correspondents. Access to this document by anyone else is unauthorized, illegal and UNLAWFUL. This notice includes any and ALL deleted emails / documents! Further, I am a Freeborn man with a living Spirit, put here by God, I have accepted The Messiah

and the Salvation that He offered through the Redemption process of His Blood, I have Accepted for Value, that Blood offer through His Life, Death and Resurrection; I have made Him Fiduciary over my Sovereign Soul. I am a Sovereign, Living Spirit "One of the People" sent here to live in a Fleshly Body "Dust of the Earth", Living on the Dry Soil, Domiciled in a place called Planet Earth and Living under the Laws and Commandments of YHWH.....Not being the Subject to Slavery or the Unconscionable Contracts of Undisclosed "Assumptions" "Presumptions", "Adhesive" ,"Invisible" and / or Colour of Law and / or wordsmith. As a Sovereign I have chosen, by reference, to be subject to those laws of YHWH only; Currently accepting allegiance only to the Republic form of government.

Matthew 23:39: Yeshua states: Henceforth, you shall not see me again until you shall say: "Baruch haba be shem, YHWH." "Blessed is He who comes in the name of Yahweh". Amen.

The End OS21938

Letters And Views



Sat Navs

Sir--,New sat navs are appearing in cars in Britain now with cameras in them facing forward onto the roads (as in Russia). Stupid cyclists in the big cities are now also wearing head cams with cameras to monitor other road users and then pass that information to the corporate enforcers.

Most are unaware that sat navs are part of the Orwellian surveillance state and people think they are wonderful gadgets.

In reality they are being used (like your mobile phone) to track your movements and monitor other road users. They have resulted in 'people?' using them rather than using maps and remembering those old wise skills and to be prepared. I have sold furniture to a young couple who refused to come and pay and collect the item because they couldn't travel about 10 miles because their sat nav broke, despite me offering simple directions! These indoctrinated young ones are replacing us who are aware as we die off.

In the future you will be taxed for every mile you do which will all be controlled by satellite (note no tax discs now). Eventually I believe you won't be able to go out of your designated zone. That may be some way off yet but it will come.

I wonder how many people on this email distribution that know some or all of this still use sat nav, thus have become part of if not the problem. To those, I say go and tell your children and grandchildren 'that's its easy with sat nav' or I'm alright jack!

Keep conforming and you and future generations slavery is definitely assured. You can rip your sat nav out and give it the sledge hammer treatment and tell others to do likewise.

Maybe people would stop blaming different groups for all the problems (that aids division) and just go and look in the mirror. Sorry if this email has disturbed your TV programming.
Yours truly, Mike, Lincoln.

Alex Jones Ponders Suicide As His Continued Pro-Jewish Position Results In Sinking Web Rankings



Sir—, Things are not looking good at the central Texas command centre as Alex Jones has managed to slowly transform himself into another fake conservative talk show host. The vast majority of his old audience is gone having been replaced primarily with Fox News viewers, Kosher friendly conservative talk radio listeners and young beta males. Having already embraced Jews like Michael Savage and Matt Drudge who are staples of the fake pro-Jew brand of American conservative media, it is obvious which side he chose. Needless to say, he chose poorly.

It seems as if his popularity has peaked. Due to his inability to expose the Jewish power structure, an increasing number of people have gotten fed up with his constant lies and gross exaggerations about historical events. His crazy and insane antics certainly haven't helped his cause either. He continues to do everything in his power to not talk about the Jewish power structure. If he has to make up insanely bizarre conspiracies he will do so – just to avoid talking about Jews.

Take for example his recent coverage on Barack Obama's Ebola Czar who is a Jew named Ron Klain. Instead of exposing the fact that he's a Jew, Jones decided to focus on how Klain

supports a depopulation plan to kill off Africans. I couldn't stomach listening to his entire show, but he probably tied Klain's appointment as Ebola Czar as some vast government conspiracy to depopulate Africans with the Ebola virus. Even though you can certainly argue that there are population control plans of different varieties, it is obvious that these plans have failed miserably. Blacks throughout Africa can't stop reproducing so whatever plan they have allegedly implemented to depopulate these people hasn't worked very well. **Yours truly, K. H, Midlands.**

Britain on The Brink

Sir—, At last, it seems, someone in the media has found the guts to put pen to paper in truth!

Britain is perched on the edge of an economic precipice that few people are prepared to acknowledge.

The relentless government lying machine would have it that Britain is slowly turning the corner from the financial crisis of 2008. The BBC, of course, and the rest of the mainstream media peddle the same line. A small but hardy group of economists not in the pay of the government or the banking industry are however painting a very different picture of the country's situation.

Not given much air time recently, for example, is the true state of the Royal Bank of Scotland, owner of National Westminster Bank and the crisis torn Ulster Bank.

A new and little talked about study of the bank that brought the UK to the brink of financial ruin reveals that RBS still has a £100 billion "black hole" in its finances due to "five broad areas of alleged criminality and wrongdoing".

Financial journalist Ian Fraser in a devastating new book says: "It now seems impossible that British taxpayers will ever see a return on their £45.5 billion investment in the bank." And he avows that RBS is probably in a worse state than ever it was under Fred 'The Shred' Goodwin known for his extravagant expenditure – he squandered literally billions of taxpayer cash on over-priced acquisitions, Mercedes cars and luxurious office décor. Of course he was handsomely paid off when he had to go.



Criminal

And the landmine that is likely to go off somewhere down the road ahead is that ‘Bail-ins’ are likely to happen at banks that are close to failure in countries (including the UK) that have adopted the Bank of International Settlements bail-in conventions and do not have financial resources to bail-out their banks. This change in the rules means effectively that any money you have saved in a bank belongs to the bank.

Thus, depositors in these failing banks may be subjected to having their money used to save criminally run banks such as RBS and Ulster Bank. Don’t forget, the powers that be have already tested this new form of theft by helping themselves to depositors’ cash in a Cyprus bank and getting away with it scot free. If the banks pull that one off in the UK the top directors will no doubt pay themselves huge million pound bonuses and further super-size their pensions.

Meanwhile Britain’s total indebtedness continues to surge upwards since 2007. Our debt mountain topped £1.387 trillion in 2011, and that is the equivalent of 90 per cent of the entire economy. We can be pretty sure it is still increasing today. And where does the interest on this unimaginable sum go? To the banks and the multi-billionaire families who own them.

Insane

We can be sure that in most cases this David Cameron-led government will make all the wrong decisions whether the issue is the economy, about exiting from the disintegrating European Union, energy – i.e. the fracking issue, immigration policy, food-policy (its craven submission to Monsanto and GM foods) or in foreign policy - for the fact is that 80 per cent of all Tory government MP’s are members of the “Conservative Friends of Israel Group”. There

is no equivalent official group espousing friendship with even one other country in the whole wide world that these people are apparently “Friends” with! Perhaps they just need to sign up with Twitter.

Ordinary people who still possess a functioning mind understandably feel they are going slowly and irrevocably insane. Many feel they can only watch impotently as the madness and corruption in Westminster goes on and on regardless of the real issues that need addressing. Our financial institutions, with government connivance, manipulates markets of all kinds for its own benefit so that more illegally-gained wealth and more power can be stolen from the real, working economy. And for most British people that translates into less wealth, less freedom and a barren future for their children and grandchildren. The road ahead is simply paved with debt servitude for them. See www.sketchnews.co.uk Yours truly, Paul Talbot-Jenkins.

The State of The Country

Sir—, I seriously wonder just how bad things must get before people realize that we have no choice but to reject this regime, and demand truth and justice?

- We have allowed;
- Paedophiles to run amok;
- The theft of our monies and the selling off of our gold reserves;
- 7 treasonous treaties;
- The toxification of our environment;
- Terrorism by our so called public servants;
- Injustices within the judiciary;
- The stealing of our children;
- Cancer remedies to be disallowed;
- Our vitamins and natural remedies to be all but confiscated (Codex);
- Our disabled folk to be denied their entitlements:

- Our old age pensioners to freeze and starve;
- Our lands to be fracked;
- Our ports and public services to be sold off;
- Our farmers to be hit with intentional diseases (foot and mouth etc);
- Our common law to be usurped;
- Our so called public servants to commit war crimes;
- Whistle blowers to be imprisoned;
- Animals treated appallingly and much more!
- How much worse can it get?
- Well we aint seen the worst of it yet... wait until marshal law is imposed under the pretext of the next false flag or man made disaster. Then we will see things that nobody should ever see.
- The concentration camps filled, the microchipping of the survivors of the genocide, extradition to foreign torture camps for the free thinkers and activists, smart cities for the subservient and trans-humanisation for the slaves...

It all goes away when you stand against it and make that happen. **Yours truly, David Robinson**

Attack On The Fens

Sippenhaft Or The Collective Punishment On The Fen Peoples



Sir---, Local people from the Fen area of Britain which encompasses parts of beautiful Cambridgeshire, Lincolnshire and Norfolk have been racially cleansed from the area, by floods of newcomers. Jobs and homes priority goes to foreign nationals and Fenlanders are stigmatised

– but how did this come about and for how long was it planned?

Before WWII when Britain and Germany shared intelligence about Soviet expansion intentions, Hitler was seen as a real good guy.

Hitler raised the living wages of all his people but especially those on the bottom of the pay scale, for the land workers farmhands and agricultural labourers, he instigated a super free holiday on the coast for all workers, he asked for the building of a cheap but reliable peoples car or ‘folkswagen’ and he gave them all free health care with real care not the allopathic stuff we get, and he put the unemployed to work on building proper motorways for the people to travel.

This was the first time the world had ever seen full employment and Germany prospered, but UK land workers were envious of the German pay scales, and in the Fens the English agricultural area there was much envy and when Hitler threw out the bankers and the bankers brought Churchill to power to call a war on Germany, many British farm workers still supported Hitler.

Winston Churchill got wind of this, and the fact that the Queens estate at Sandringham Norfolk in particular had a lot of German supporters, there was gossip that the farm workers were signalling with night time bonfires torches and tractor tyre marks in the earth to German planes and Churchill even planned to bomb his own British farmworkers.

When Harold Wilson was labour Prime minister a plan was implemented which meant the ancient town of Kings Lynn would be redeveloped, this was carried out also during the Conservative government, this saw huge amounts of this ancient town destroyed and sub-standard 60’s developments built instead, much of this was achieved by the funny handshake crowd.

This meant that tourists would come by train to Cambridge the next stop Ely, but not the next one which was Kings Lynn because there was almost nothing left to see, the modernisers had ruined the town, Britain’s top architect Alec Cliften Taylor refused to visit Kings Lynn and described the town as, “wanton vandalism and more damage being done by planners than by the Luftwaffe.”

Winston Churchill's bodyguard Det. Walter Thompson, said "Churchill felt more at risk of assassination from his own people than his enemy" and told Churchill he had relations in Norfolk and that Churchill should hide out the war there. Churchill flew into a rage and told Thompson he would have his revenge on those Norfolk traitors.

The publicity machine says that Churchill spent the war in London, but this was in fact one of his doubles, after refusing to go to Norfolk, Churchill decided to use the huge bunker under Vange Hall in Essex, at the wars end Vange Hall was demolished into the bunker, it was said there were enough empty Brandy and Wisky bottles to build Blackpool tower.

A village church field was found towards the wars end to have a huge swastika cut into the grass by a tractor during the night, the culprits were never caught, but in recent times much anti Churchill graffiti has been uncovered including some at Ely cathedral in the Fens.

Immediately after the war the Norfolk landowner Sir Andrew Fountaine stood as an MP on a ticket to give his land workers economic parity with their German counterparts, the Labour candidate just squeezed in by a very narrow margin, but vote counters said Fountaine actually won by a fair number of votes.

The Referendum Party was set up by banker and asset robber James Goldsmith, to spoil the Conservative vote and allow in Israeli asset Tony Blair who had promised a war on Iraq, one of the seats acquired by New labour was the Kings Lynn seat and this was taken by new labour MP George Turner, and Just as Tony Blair allowed into Britain many Russian mafia billionaires, George Turner was one of those whom Mafia figures Viktor Solmokov and Ivan Lukaszewski said were 'on their payroll'

This meant George Turner allowed swathes of ex-Soviet bloc peoples to flood the Norfolk area, among which were many Russian criminals on fake Polish passports.

So if you wonder why Boston in lincs is now listed as a Russian town, and other places as such as Holbeach and Spalding Polish; it was revenge on the area for supporting Hitler. The strange thing is that parts of Shropshire were far more

supportive of Hitler yet were never punished.
Yours truly, A. L. Boston Lincs.

And Don't They Know It



['they' pertaining to the Jewish Criminal Network]

More and more people are turning to alternative media to find out events of the world

- and don't 'they' know it.

The amount of blogsites and websites that are springing up highlighting the Jewish agenda is increasing weekly

- and don't 'they' know it.

The amount of people who are pissed off big time with their governments, is higher than it ever was before

- and don't 'they' know it.

We are getting far more people attending demos these days

- and don't 'they' know it.

Most people know the main stream media is full of duplicity

- and don't 'they' know it.

The people are beginning to 'finally' get it that something stinks

- and don't 'they' know it.

There is an unstoppable unconsciousness entering this time

- and don't 'they' know it.

They are loosing their absolute control over us
- and don't 'they' know it.

Increasing more people are becoming familiar
with The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion

- and don't 'they' know it.

More people than ever are turning to natural
medicine and traditional home remedies

- and don't 'they' know it.

Most civilians have little or no respect for the
modern-day police officer

- and don't 'they' know it.

Each day people are migrating over to our side
and they will never return to their comatose state

- and don't 'they' know it

There is an ever-increasing amount of people
aware that 'those moozlems' didn't in fact do
9/11 and many know it was 'the Jews'

- and don't 'they' know it.

Many people are becoming aware of the Agenda
21 eugenics plan

- and don't 'they' know it.

We know these supremacist Jews are
increasingly being backed against the wall,
because this time there is nowhere for them to
run

- and don't 'they' know it.

More and more police and troops are waking up
to the fact they have been had, and what their
role really entails

- and don't 'they' know it.

They are losing this spiritual war

- and don't 'they' just know it.

Yours truly, A. L. Penzance, Cornwall.

Sayings By And About Jews

THEN, concerning the persecution theme
and host-alien relationship, Roth wrote:
"Have not Jews been admitted from time
immemorial, freely, kindly, almost happily by
every nation at whose gate they have knocked
for admittance..."

Have the Jews ever had to petition a country for
admission - the first time? Read for yourself the
story of the progress of Jewry through Europe
and America.

Wherever they come they are welcomed,
permitted to settle down, and join in the general
business of the community. But one by one the
industries of the country close to them because
of unfair practices (by the Jews towards
Christians) until it no longer being possible to
hold in check the wrath of a betrayed people,
there is violence and, inevitably, an ignominious
ejection of the whole race from the land.

There is not a single instance when the Jews have
not fully deserved the bitter fruit of the fury of
their persecutors...Jewish history has been tragic
to the Jews and no less tragic to the neighboring
nations who have suffered them. Our major vice
of old, as of today, is parasitism.

We are a people of vultures living on the labor
(of the host nation) and the good nature of the
rest of the world... We come to the nations
pretending to escape persecution, we the most
deadly persecutors in all the wretched annals of
man." (**Jews Must Live, Samuel Roth**)

A Talk With Alan Campbell



**Listen to Alan Campbell Talking with
Pastor Eli James on the last Sunday of each
month at 4pm UK time on Eurofolk Radio.**

<http://eurofolkradio.com/>

IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!


TUNE IN NOW!

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the **ukcolumn**
LIVE

FOR THE REAL NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>




Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

<http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/>

Announcements



[In The Name Of
Yahwey auf
Deutsch - Für
weitere
Informationen, auf
das Bild auf der
rechten klicken Sie
bitte](#)

**The Chronicles Of The
Migrations Of The
Twelve Tribes Of Israel
From The Caucasus
Mountains Into Europe**
By
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

www.anglo-saxonisrael.com

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps


P.O. Box 274,
Hemel Hempstead,
Herts HP3 9EQ
Tel: 07984 775937
www.theflameuk.com
Tel. No. 07984 775937

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensur
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew