

# **MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT JOSEPHUS, THE JUDEAN**

**Or, How To Tell the Difference  
Between a Jew and an Israelite!**

**By  
Pastor Eli James**



# **MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT JOSEPHUS, THE JUDEAN**

## **Or, How To Tell the Difference Between a Jew and an Israelite!**

### **By Pastor Eli James**

*January 22, 2006.*

#### **Introduction**

(To my family and friends in Christian Israel: I wish to posthumously dedicate this article to my e-buddy and colleague, Arnold Kennedy, who was taken from us December 28, 2006. Arnold and I almost had personal contact when he visited the Eastern United States a couple of years ago. He made it as far west as Cincinnati and I made it as far east as Toledo (from Chicago); but we just couldn't find the time for a face to face meeting. One thing we had in common was our belief in the Exclusiveness of True Israel, namely that the Anglo-Saxon/Caucasian peoples are the True Descendants of the Twelve Tribes of Israel, although Arnold was reluctant to call himself a 2-Seedliner.

Some of you may have followed our ongoing dispute as to whether ANY JEW can count as an Israelite or a Judahite. Arnold felt that the Bible could be understood even if we accept that some Jews were Judahites. I have taken the opposite point of view, saying that, under no circumstances, can any Jew be counted as an Israelite or as a Judahite. This article, in fact, was composed with Arnold's perspective in mind. I'm sure that Yahweh – a name which Arnold also did not accept as the Name of Our Creator (one of our other disputes) -- will arrange for him to read this article from some place in heaven.

In my last email to Arnold, I told him that every boxer needs a good sparring partner to practice against. He accepted the statement as a compliment, which is how it was intended. Arnold forced me to sharpen my arguments, so that we were not just arguing semantics but legitimate cultural differences between Jews and Israelites.

Aside from our Scriptural dispute, Arnold had given me, and us, every reason to believe that his surgery was a success, his health was improving and that he would be back with us at full strength. That was not to be, however. Despite our disagreements over Scriptural interpretation, I have only one thing to say: Arnold, you are a very gracious person in addition to being a gentleman and a scholar. See you in the Kingdom. – Eli)

Children of Israel, as we Anglo-Saxons come back into remembrance of our True Heritage as Israelites and Judahites, we must get an accurate perspective of our historical roots. Our modern Identity Crisis strikes at the very heart of yesterday's false genealogy, which states that the Jews are of Shemitic descent. While this false Jewish genealogy has received world-wide recognition, OUR True ancestry as the Children of Israel has been deliberately obscured by the Kosher establishment. The Great Impersonation of Judah and Israel by the Jewish people is the root cause of the chaos in this world, as the unending violence of the Israeli State attests. By claiming to be "God's Chosen," the Serpent Seed are able to commit murder and treachery on a monumental scale. The Destroyer pretends to be an angel of light. The Accuser blames all others who are innocent; and the world courtesies in ignorance before the Wolf in Sheep's Clothing. Little Red Riding Hood doesn't know any better. Furthermore, she has been purposefully given an image of low self-esteem, thanks to a barrage of constant criticism and even catcalls.

Little Red Riding Hood needs some encouragement!

We are now called upon to clarify and defend our historical roots. As I have been trying to explain to those who yet fail to grasp reality, our historical roots cannot be separated from our genetic heritage, our unique, Spirit-enabled, Yahweh-formed Genetic Code. We have been divinely ordained and blueprinted by our Father in heaven with specific DNA; but an enemy has been trying to steal from us the very genetic integrity that makes us who we are. That enemy goes by various names: Nachash, Cain, Kenite, Canaanite, Edomite, Idumean, Pharisee, rebbe, Zionist, Jew. The enmity between Anglo-Saxon Israel and the Jewish Serpent Seed was prophesied according to Gen. 3:15.

As a historian, I see so clearly how the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic and Caucasian peoples have created civilization as we know it, and how the Jewish people have perverted, subverted and destroyed everything we have ever built. This history has been repeated so often as to be a series of re-runs on the boob tube; but the electromagnetic effect of the waves emanating from the television screen only serve to deaden one's senses and one's intellect, such that it either puts you to sleep through boredom or it succeeds in arousing your earthly lusts. In today's commercial reality, there is no room for Spirit, no room for Truth, no room for Dissent, no room for the Ten Commandments in the courthouse, no room for unwanted babies, no room for baby Jesus at the inn...and no longer any room for Christ in the Christmas Season. Human experience, thanks to the Babylonian Talmud, has become theatre, par excellence.

Until True Israel awakens to her Identity as Yahweh's Chosen People, the chaos of this world will only deepen. It will become so deep and terrifying that only the most spiritually, physically and mentally girded warriors will be able to survive the Holocaust that looms on the horizon. In the final analysis, WE, the Anglo-Saxon, Celtic and Caucasian peoples are the only Biblical descendants of the Nation of Israel, and, furthermore, we are NOT to be confused with the weavers of that tangled web of confusion called Talmudism, spun by the Jews. They should NEVER be confused with Moses and the prophets. We have been victimized by a 2,000 year-old game of identity theft. It's about time we awaken from our present amnesia and get to work on the Kingdom.

From this perspective, it is important to realize that Our Literature, from the ancient past up to this moment, spanning the gamut from the ancient bards of Britain, the Brahmins of India, the Hebrew prophets, through Plato and Socrates, Copernicus, Galileo, Newton, and poets such as Shelley, Keats, Byron, and Goethe, and composers such as Beethoven, Mozart, Wagner and Bach, reveals our True Spirit. No other Race has the genetic capacity to produce such works of profound and sublime imagination. Yet, the liberals and Jews among us say that we should just throw this all away and race-mix ourselves out of existence!!!!

### **The Great Impersonation**

When others impersonate us, or when we are forced to behave against our genetic code, we lose our sense of Identity and fall into perdition, which is where our enemy wants us to go. It is absolutely vital for all White/Adamic/Hebrew Israelites to understand that we have a Doppelganger, an Impersonator, who perpetually seeks to rule over us by pretending to be us. This master manipulator is known as the Jew. The Jew is the world's most intelligent chameleon. He makes his living by taking on the colours of the host nation, mimicking the host population, and then subverting and destroying the host at the first opportunity.

In the words of Samuel Roth, a remonstrant Jew, "Our major vice of old, as of today, is parasitism. We are a people of vultures living on the labour and the good nature of the rest of the world." – **Jews Must Live**, p. 18. From the Jewish perspective, Samuel Roth is a stool pigeon, spilling his guts about the hypocrisy and evil that saturates the Jewish environment. Rare is the Jew who reveals Jewish trade secrets, especially rabbinical trade secrets, but Roth does exactly this.

The VERY FIRST LESSON we (Christian Israel) must learn is to not trust the Jewish version of our own history. The Jewish version of history, the Hoaxacaust included, is replete with diabolical deceptions and rabbinical fabrications, all cleverly designed to get us to believe that they are the ones favored by Yahweh. The Jew does not always LIE. Rather, he always plots to DECEIVE. In order to be a good liar, you have to start by making a certain number of either true or plausible statements in order to gain your victim's interest and confidence. And, as members of the world's OLDEST PROFESSIONAL LIAR'S CLUB, all rabbis are highly skilled professionals, with 2,150 years of accumulated doctrine (most of it very secret, such as the so-called "Oral Law"! ) at their disposal, specializing in psycho-manipulative techniques that have been successfully employed in suppressing all manner of cohesive thought, especially with regard to history and the relationship between Judaism and Christianity.

Few Christians understand that "Judeo-Christianity" is a very recent phenomenon. Jewish mastery of theatre has painted a false history, stating that Judaism and Christianity have something in common, when even a cursory reading of the Bible explains that the early Christians were constantly being persecuted by the Jews. There was NO SUCH THING as a "Jewish Christian" in those days. You were either one or the other. Yes, there were Judahites who became Christians. But, no! Jews did not become Christians. That is an oxymoron, because the Jews of that day were Edomites, not Judahites; but this is exactly the point of confusion that the rabbis perpetuate, i.e., the confusion of Idumeans with Judahites. The Jews had their own religion, Babylonian paganism, also known as Pharisaism, and none of these became Christians. Jews PRETEND to convert, but they never do.

Paul himself, a Judean Judahite from the tribe of Benjamin, was so deluded by rabbinical thought control techniques that he actually participated in the executions of his own people while working for the Pharisees. So, how did these diametrically opposed doctrines (Judaism versus Christianity) get blended into one? This was accomplished only recently through the medium of the "image of the beast": the "boob tube," television, in the 1960's, with the advent of the televangelists, White traitors who are employed by the anti-Christ to deceive their own people into following the Jews into perdition.

In the 1950's and early 1960's, before commercial television became thoroughly Judaized, race-mixing was a Universal Taboo. Until this time, Jews and Christians, historically, had NOTHING IN COMMON. They lived in separate Universes and they only came in contact with one another at the bank or the market place. To paraphrase Rudyard Kipling: Jews were Jews and Christians were Christians and ne'er the twain would meet. In fact, the rabbis of Judaism INVENTED the ghetto, in order to keep their flocks of Jews contained and within their grasp. Since the Jews would not and could not assimilate into Christian culture, the ghetto was mutually agreed upon arrangement. And since the Jews rejected Christ, Christians would have nothing to do with them. Amazingly, the modern Judeo-Christians are utterly ignorant of such historical facts. Because their pulpit masters completely avoid preaching historically truthful sermons, they actually believe that Judaism and Christianity have had some mutual regard for the last two thousand years! On the contrary, the host people (always Christians) were to be found in their churches. The parasites (always Jews) were in their separate synagogues ...no dialogue, no conferences between Christians and Jews, no "Jews for Jesus," nothing in common whatsoever. All of these ecumenical movements are of very recent invention, deliberately designed to deprive Christians of the their true religion and their true heritage. Spiritually, Christianity and Judaism are like heaven and hell, because Christianity has always preached that we should be truthful, but Jews are taught that it is legitimate to lie on behalf of their own people and culture. All Jews are taught this and far too many act accordingly. As Samuel Roth proves in his exposures of Jewish life, the temptation to cheat and deceive is too great given the fact that their priesthood demands it as part of their Talmudic teachings.

With television and the other entertainment media, operated and financed by the anti-Christ Jews and Zionists, truth has been thrown out the window, and the blatant propaganda coming

from the Judeo-Christian pulpits has jaundiced even the most sensitive Christians, turning them into rabid Zionists, calling for the blood of all the Jews' "enemies." Judeo-Christianity, a hybrid religion created by massive application of Twentieth Century propaganda techniques, has become the mind set of the Christian West. It is a rabid (rabbinical) cancer of the brain. Brain surgery is required to cure the patient.

To get a grasp of the intellectual disconnect these people have in their brain circuitry, one minute they teach that "God loves everybody," the next minute they teach that "All Muslims must die to make way for the Jews." And we're the ones who get accused of "hate." But, no one said that religion has to be a rational exercise.

### **Techniques of Rabbinical Deceit**

The essence of rabbinical deceit is to contrive a series of true statements which, nevertheless, are calculated to lead us to a false conclusion and, therefore, to a false view of reality. In addition, they are masters of intermingling fundamental truths with diabolically clever half-truths. These half-truths seem very innocent to the unwary; but acceptance of these half-truths, over time, enables the parasite to infest the host and drives the process of disease unto death.

Their masquerade as Israel and Judah is nothing short of an all-out assault on the fabric of history. For them, literary intrigues are child's play. That's what makes Jews such good comedians. Deceit and comedy are very close relatives; but one is deadly, the other is not. But even comedy, as practiced by Jews, has the effect of portraying the parasite as a harmless dolt, thus causing us to drop our guard. The decades of moral relativism and perversion are no joke, however. Realize that before we accept perversion, we first laugh at it. Then, lacking the resolve to refute it, we laugh with it.

Jewry has a number of literary tricks up their collective sleeves. It is important that we review some of the more important ones so that we can understand just how we are currently being brainwashed.

#### **1. Word Manipulation and Semantic Engineering**

A. The utilization of addendums and interpolations, thus inventing fictitious definitions that were never intended by the original authors. A careful study of Strong's Concordance reveals numerous examples of words whose definitions have been changed gradually over the centuries or added to suit the Jewish/Zionist agenda.

B. Insertion of modern concepts and ambiguous vocabulary into ancient history, thus falsifying history. Example: Replacing the word 'Judah' with the word 'Jew.'

C. Control of dialogue and debate by setting up "experts" in presumably opposing camps, while freezing out real opposing opinions.

#### **2. Constructing Fiction from Fact**

3. Setting themselves up as the "final authority" of Scriptural debate, even though they deny the Torah with their Talmud!!!! Thus, ancient Truth becomes modern Pharisaism, and nobody seems to notice or care!

4. A deep satisfaction derived from deceiving non-Jews. This is an especially sinister quality of the rabbinate that they never fail to transmit to their flocks of goats. This

is a genetic endowment and it goes all the way back to Nachash and Cain in the Garden of Eden.

The key to understanding this process of using word manipulation to distort history and Scripture is that the originator of the deceptive statement has a far different definition of certain key words and concepts than you do; but, of course, you don't know this. A modern and well-known example of this technique can easily be demonstrated by the use of the seemingly innocent word 'peace.' This word is used very frequently by both Jews, communists and pulpit masters. (Pardon the redundancy. Even though there are non-Jewish communists, they have always been and are the total dupes of the Jewish communist leaders at all times.) The gullible will read the works of communists and actually believe that the communists employ the same definition of "peace" as most God-fearing people do. But no, the real meaning that the communists keep to themselves is "the dictatorship of the proletariat," which is nothing other than the imperialism of the Bolshevik commissars, rule by terror. There can be no "peace" that is enforced by the point of a bayonet. But to the communist mentality, that is what they mean by "peace." To the pulpit master, "peace" is what happens when Zionism has achieved total dictatorship of the planet.

In the same vein, liberals always claim to be motivated by "compassion." This kind of compassion is responsible for the extermination of 60 million innocent children who were yet in the womb. Instead of calling it "killing," it is called "choice." Liberalism is responsible for the censorship phenomenon that is called "Political Correctness." It is responsible for the "free love" era of booming sexually transmitted diseases. This is what they call "compassion." The communist term of "choice" is "liquidation."

A more recent example is the word 'neo-con.' Everybody assumes that it is short for 'neo-conservative,' or "new conservative." But the fact is that there is NOTHING CONSERVATIVE ABOUT THE NEO-CONS. They are actually Jewish Marxists who adopted the strategy of the Marxist Professor Leo Strauss of the University of Chicago. Strauss' strategy was for his Marxist students to infiltrate the Republican Party while pretending to be "conservatives." He instructed them to play conservative while redirecting the Republican Party towards Zionism. Strauss had students such as Paul Wolfowitz and Richard Perle. These are the men who have shaped the policies of the Bush Administration. They are Marxist-Zionist Jews who masquerade as "conservatives." Here is a link to a brief article on Leo Strauss and his version of communism that Americans believe to be "conservatism": <http://www.alternet.org/story/15935>

Fair-minded Christians cannot conceive of such mass-scale, well-orchestrated campaigns of deception. Since Christians are basically honest – and they assume that Jews are as well – they assume that a "Neo-Con" is some sort of a Conservative.

George Orwell tried to enlighten us about this stratagem in his book, **1984**. The slogan "War is Peace" conveyed both the irony and the subterfuge from the regime of Big Brother. Today, it is called "The War Against Terror." The only problem is that it is the Terrorists themselves who invented that slogan. Since George Orwell already used "War is Peace," they had to come up with a new one.

Judaism is itself replete with such deceptively used words, 'Israel,' 'Gentile,' 'Judah,' 'Jew,' 'Diaspora,' 'Torah,' 'Neo-Con,' and 'Shalom' included.

### **Communism Is Judaism for the Masses**

Ronald Reagan understood that kind of deception used by the communists, and he acted accordingly. His critics – usually Jews -- still hate him for bringing down the Soviet Union, that literal and formal empire of deception and terror.

The main difference between communism and Judaism is that Judaism is religious deception, par excellence. Communism is what happens to non-Jews when the Jews have taken total control of society. It is their religion of deception that is cited where Luke tells us "Beware the leaven of the Pharisees, which is hypocrisy." (Luke 12:1) This is what Yahshua Messiah called the "tradition of the elders" or "the traditions of men." What White Christendom has failed to decipher is the extent to which Judaism is a tradition of deception masquerading as the Mosaic Law. This is why I have defined Judaism as "**Satanism wearing the Mask of Moses.**" I am hoping that by the time you have finished reading this article, you will appreciate the validity of this definition. By constantly equating the word 'Jew' with the word 'Judah,' the rabbis of Judaism/Talmudism/Satanism have fooled the entire world, including their own flock of goats, into believing that they are or were the Judahites of the nation of Israel. By inventing and reinforcing these select buzzwords, the Jews have held us in a hammerlock of guilt, tripping us up every time we try to escape their mental grip.

Judaism is the original MATRIX OF DECEPTION. Zionism is Judaism with religious clout. Communism is Judaism with political clout. What an extremist thing to say! The buzzword is designed to make you react in an EMOTIONAL manner, preventing you from hearing the rest of the "extremist's" rhetoric! Of course, once you know what the buzzwords are, your knee is less likely to jerk!

But, be careful, if you keep reading, you might become an "anti-Semite" – even though the Jewish people have less Shemitic blood in them than the Palestinians! Those who escape the hammerlock are often thrown out of the ring and taken to jail for daring to refute their enormous edifice of lies. That's what the ADL is for. Those who persist in challenging Jewish fables and Jewish tactics often wind up dead. That's what the Mossad is for. Censorship and murder in the name of G-d!

Most Judeo-Christians will view my definition of Judaism as excessively harsh. On the contrary, my view of Judaism is in perfect accord with that of Jesus Christ, who was neither a Jew nor a rabbi. Christians who think that Jesus was either of these have not read the Scriptures with a clear mind (nor have most of these "Christians" even read the Scriptures, period, clear-minded or not, superficially or not), having left the reading and interpreting to the pulpit masters of the false denominations, who, by the way, get their instructions from the very same rabbis who invented Judaism. Since the world's academics are likewise either deceived or intimidated by the Jewish Thought Police, they also have absorbed the sloppy thinking promoted by these very same deceivers, as if they were the final authority on Scripture.

Or, to put it bluntly, modern Judeo-Christianity has allowed the Devil to interpret Scripture for them. Even the most erudite scholars have fallen for these deceptions because they do not understand the true nature of Judaism, nor do they understand the history of the period between the Return from Babylon until the time of Christ.

The rabbis teach that Judaism was brought back from Babylon by Judahites. The reason they teach this lie is to create the impression that their tradition is of Judah. But the people of Judah never practiced Judaism. They practiced the Mosaic Law. Read these last two sentences again, for in them is the historical fact. The rest of this article will be devoted to explaining the significance of these two facts. By juxtaposing Judaism and Mosaism together in a false rendition of history, the rabbis have equated opposites.

### **Some Historical Perspective**

When Judah was subjugated and carried away captive to Babylon, they were a multitude. During this 70 years of captivity, some Judahites became Babylonians. Most of those who returned to Judea did not. The books of Ezra and Nehemiah clearly tell us that the Return was a very powerful REVIVAL of the Mosaic Law, not a departure from it. This is a point that

virtually all scholars have wrong, thanks to Jewish deception. The Prophet Daniel was one of those captives. By refusing to eat the cuisine of the Babylonians, he incurred the wrath of the king, Nebuchadnezzar. But Yahweh saved Daniel for his adherence to Yahweh's Law, and Daniel became Nebuchadnezzar's most trusted advisor!!!! Thank you very much! Contrary to what many believe, Daniel, Jeremiah, Ezra and Nehemiah NEVER adopted ANY BABYLONIAN CUSTOMS. They stayed faithful to Yahweh and Moses.

The handful that returned to the land of Judah from Babylon were clearly those who honored their Judahite/Israelite/Mosaic heritage the most. This is contrary to what the Jews teach, for they teach that these Judahites brought the Babylonian Talmud with them. That is a diabolical lie, a lie which is designed to fool us into thinking that the Judahites of Judea accepted Babylonianism when, in fact, Babylonianism did not creep into the priesthood until the Pharisees arrived on the scene much later (around 150 B.C.). The point of this deception is to give the Jews credibility for their Talmudic doctrine, which they attribute to True Judah, so therefore they must be True Judah also, since the two groups practiced the same doctrine. NO, they DID NOT! Talmudism has always been an EXCLUSIVELY JEWISH AFFAIR. True Judah never followed the rabbis because there were no rabbis in those days.

The rabbis' main purpose is to cast confusion and obfuscation upon True History, so that they can claim Judahite heritage as their own.

As will be shown in this discussion, Talmudism did not rear its ugly head until the last days of the Hasmonean Dynasty, a Dynasty which was targeted by the Talmudists for a coup. Or, to use a Jewish slogan, "When your enemy is down, kick him harder."

Here is the significant point: By claiming that Judaism existed among the Judahites of Judea, they deflect our attention away from the fact that Judaism was begun by the Edomites who surrounded Herod, the Idumean. They want you to believe that Judaism is equivalent to Mosaism. And they want you to believe that Judaism is a continuation of Mosaism. The only way you can believe that is if you know nothing about Judaism.

The crucial period between the Return of the Judahites from Babylon to the time of Christ is referred to by scholars as the "Intertestamental Period," so-called because the events of the time are not recorded in either the Old Testament or the New Testament. But if you count the Apocrypha, which were originally a part of the King James Bible of 1611, this designation is seen to be clearly deficient, because the Books of Maccabees, Tobit, Judith, and Baruch give us many details of what happened after the book of Malachi and before the Gospel of Matthew. It was not until 1885 that the Apocrypha were deleted from the King James Bible. These books provide us with a wealth of information that prove that the Judahites of Judea were endeavouring to remain true to their Race and to their Religion, modern interpretations notwithstanding. Do you think that some particular group might have had an agenda in deleting these books?

Why were these Books deleted? Whose decision was it to delete them?

The total number of references to the Apocrypha in the margins of the original King James as printed in 1611 is 113. Of this number, 102 are in the Old Testament. The New Testament passages with references to the Apocrypha are as follows:

<b>Mat 6:7</b>	<b>Ecclesiasticus 7:14</b>
<b>Mat 23:37</b>	<b>2 Esdras 1:30</b>
<b>Mat 27:43</b>	<b>Wisdom 2:15-16</b>
<b>Luke 6:31</b>	<b>Tobit 4:15</b>
<b>Luke 14:13</b>	<b>Tobit 4:7</b>
<b>John 10:22</b>	<b>1 Maccabees 4:59</b>
<b>Rom 9:21</b>	<b>Wisdom 15:7</b>

**Rom 11:34    Wisdom 9:13**  
**2 Cor 9:7    Ecclesiasticus 35:9**  
**Heb 1:3      Wisdom 7:26**  
**Heb 11:35    2 Maccabees 7:7**

*If Matthew, Luke, John and Paul saw fit to quote from the Apocrypha, shouldn't you know about it?*

Only when we have an accurate view of our own history can we see through the historical deceptions of the rabbis and their patsies, the Catholics (the first Universalists), Mainstream Protestants, the Fundamentalists and the Christian Zionists, all led by their goat-herders, the televangelists, the aptly misnamed "God Squad" of deception. This Devil's Advocacy Team has infected Christianity to the point of near oblivion, distorting both our history and our religion to where modern Christianity and the Christianity of the Apostles have become diametric opposites. Of course, this opposition is the point that I hope to prove in this article. I will let you decide whether I am "rightly dividing the Word."

### **The Exclusive Covenants**

There is NO POSSIBLE WAY that what is today called "Judeo-Christianity" can be resolved with Biblical Christianity. Biblical Christianity is based on the EXCLUSIVE COVENANTS that Yahweh, Our Elohim, made with the Children of Israel. There is NO OTHER ENTITY or persons involved in these contracts between Yahweh and His Chosen People (the linear descendants of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob). No third parties, such as the "Evangelical Church" or "the Jews" or "Spiritual Israel" or "Judeo-Christianity" has any right to claim any legal or moral interest, whatsoever, in these EXCLUSIVE CONTRACTS. Gen. 5:1, a verse which tells us whom the Bible is about, says "THIS IS THE BOOK OF THE GENERATIONS OF ADAM," meaning Adam and his descendants. Abraham, Isaac and Jacob are Adam's direct descendants through Noah. The word translated as "generations" comes from the Hebrew toledaw, meaning "descent," or "family."

The Bible is the history book of Our Adamic Family. It is the story of our Family Tree. The reason why Matthew and Luke recorded the genealogy of Jesus Christ is to prove his genetic descent from Adam and Yahweh. No Judeo-Christian minister will tell you this. They will tell you that this genealogy is irrelevant. If it is irrelevant, why did they bother to record it? The reason is very simple. Yahshua Messiah came to redeem Israel. It was Israel that was "put away in punishment," so only Israel needed to be redeemed. This is why He said, "I come not but unto the Lost Sheep of the House of Israel."

This is what the famous pastor W.G. Finlay of South Africa called the COVENANT MESSAGE. Since this doctrine also emphasizes the fact that True Israel is here to reestablish the Kingdom of God through the operation of the covenants and promises, it is also referred to as the KINGDOM IDENTITY MESSAGE. This is an exclusively Racial Covenant between Yahweh and His literal offspring, the Adamic/Hebrew/Israelite/Caucasian seedline. From this perspective, any doctrine which violates or denies the expressly stated purpose of these Covenants is HERESY, plain and simple. The claim that the WHOLE WORLD or that all the people of this planet were redeemed is a fabrication. On the contrary, only True Israel was redeemed because we are the people who were "lost." That word 'lost' is translated from the Greek apollumi, meaning, very specifically, "put away in punishment." Only the House of Israel was put away in punishment. And only the children of Israel are the subject of this Scripture anyway! Judaism and Judeo-Christianity both qualify as outright heresy because neither is mentioned in the Bible as being a party to the Covenants. Morally, BOTH violate Yahweh's Laws by inventing and teaching doctrines that cannot be found in Scripture, either in the Old Testament or in the New Testament. Thus they both violate the terms of the conditions of the PROMISES that Yahweh gave **EXCLUSIVELY** to the Children of Israel, and **NO ONE ELSE.** You might

be astonished to learn that Judaism is, in fact, NEVER MENTIONED by name or found in any page of the Holy Scriptures! This is yet another devastating example of the historical charade Judaism has perpetuated for the last 2,000 years.

Yahshua HIMSELF refers to the religion of the Old Testament as "the Law and the Prophets." Indeed, Judaism originated outside of the Old Testament in pagan Babylon; and it is still today being palmed off to the public as the religion practiced by the Israelites of Scripture. The fact that today's religious world equates the Mosaic Law with Judaism is one of the most astounding feats of advertising the world has ever seen. Although Judaism is not mentioned by name ANYWHERE IN THE BIBLE (if you don't believe me, start looking!!), it is first referred to in the New Testament at Matthew 15:2. Here, Jesus Christ (Yahshua Messiah) is passionately confronting the scribes and Pharisees, who have developed a NEW, ANTI-MOSAIC TRADITION, as Yahshua tells them:

"Why do you also transgress the commandment of God by your tradition? For God commanded, saying, Honour your father and mother: and, He that curses father and mother, let him die the death. But you say, Whosoever shall say to his father or his mother, It is a gift, by whatsoever you might be profited by me; And honour not his father or his mother, he shall be free. **Thus have you made the commandment of God of none effect by your tradition**. You hypocrites, well did Isaiah prophesy of you, saying, This people draweth nigh unto Me with their lips; but their heart is far from Me. **But in vain do they worship Me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men**." (Matt. 15: 1-9). This episode is repeated for us at Mark 7:3-13.

Those Christians who have bothered to actually read the New Testament are aware of the fact that Jesus Christ denounced the scribes and Pharisees as hypocrites on EVERY SINGLE OCCASION that He confronted them. Likewise, He NEVER failed to denounce their CORRUPT teachings, so there is no possible way that Yahshua considered these scribes and Pharisees as teachers of God's commandments. On the contrary, He denounced them as teachers of false doctrine that contradicted the clear teachings of the Law of Moses. Has your "pastor" ever explained this fact to you? I'll bet he has not ever bothered to mention this very important fact!

### **Pharisaism Became Known As Judaism**

It is these same Pharisees who contrived to put OUR BELOVED LORD JESUS to death. Now, the 1942 **Universal Jewish Encyclopaedia, Volume VIII**, p. 474, tells us this:

"The Jewish religion as it is today traces its descent, without a break, through all the centuries, from the Pharisees. Their leading ideas and methods found expression in a literature of enormous extent, of which a very great deal is still in existence. The Talmud is the largest and most important single member of that literature."

Stop now, dear Christian, and consider the fact that Yahshua's worst enemies were the inventors of the religion of Judaism. I find it to be quite incredible that so few Christians understand the significance this conflict. To the average Judeo-Christian, Yahshua's confrontations with the scribes and Pharisees are little more than a curiosity, if they know anything about them at all.

I would venture to say that the Gospel message contains equal parts of His performed miracles and of the enmity between Yahshua and the Pharisees, yet few Christians can give you any indication of latter. The black marking, to coin a term, of this message has been so thorough that you might as well take a black magic marker and black out every reference to the scribes and Pharisees.

Scriptural documentation of Pharisaic HYPOCRISY is like a big fat T-Bone steak on your plate, but the Scripturally-challenged prefer to dine on Kosher Ham instead. Please ask your "pastor"

why he has never preached a sermon about this hypocrisy of the Pharisees, especially since this subject occupies about one-fourth or more of the Gospels narrative.

Now, any rational person who has read the New Testament will see very clearly that Judaism is one and the same with the "leaven of the Pharisees" of Luke 12:1. And the rabbis of Judaism have never renounced their traditions. Therefore, there is only one possible conclusion. The religion of the Pharisees is the religion of hypocrisy; and the epitome of that hypocrisy and the epicenter of the historical deception is the pretence that Pharisaism is based on the Old Testament. The New Testament is ample witness of the fact that Judaism is the religion of the Pharisees; but, paradoxically, modern Christians are blinded to this fact and are seemingly unable to put two and two together to come up with four. The deluded Christian world has eyes that cannot see and ears that cannot hear.

**Let's see if this formula gets it right:**

**Pharisees + Deception = Judaism.**

**Or, perhaps even better:**

**The Mosaic Law + Pharisaic Deception = Judaism.**

The rabbis of Judaism know full well that they are one and the same with the practitioners of the "tradition of the elders" that Yahshua Messiah so thoroughly condemned. This is why Jews hate the New Testament and want to outlaw it! This is why there was a world-wide outcry by Jews against Mel Gibson's movie, "The Passion of the Christ," for a full year before it was even released. But, of course, they are not going to admit publicly the intimate connection between the Pharisees and Judaism, for no hypocrite ever publicly admits his hypocrisy. No thief wants to go to jail. No traitor wants to be exposed. No whoremonger wants to give up his sex toy. No practiced deceiver ever wants to give up his CON GAME. The liar and his family have become pathological. He just keeps on lying until he is caught in his lies, or until the victims have had enough of his evil machinations. And as long as the academics cooperate by remaining silent about these discrepancies, the Jews don't worry much. They also control the public schools, the curriculum (including "holocaust" studies), our sexual preferences, our entertainment choices and our reading habits, not to mention our choice of Bibles.

### **The False Shepherds of Christendom**

Christianity's problem is that there are no Christian ministers who have the guts to call the rabbinical bluff. Only those of us in Christian Identity are exposing this historical origin of Judaism. All others play the game of equating Judaism with Mosaism.

The Twentieth Century has witnessed an astounding about-face on the part of Christianity vis-à-vis Judaism. For nearly 2,000 years, it was axiomatic that Judaism and Christianity were diametric opposites. Simply put, Judaism was the religion of the rabbis. Christianity was the religion of Jesus Christ. Both sides never even bothered to question this arrangement, realizing that this was indeed the case, with these two diverse societies having almost nothing in common except commerce, with the Jews doing the money lending and the Christians doing the borrowing. Religiously, socially and historically, Jews and Christians were as far apart as their respective doctrines, like dogs and cats in the same neighbourhood. However, in the mid-1800's, the Jewish House of Rothschild began to mount a subtle, covert and quietly relentless propaganda campaign, based on deceptive advertising and the ongoing purchases of publishing houses. In the 1800's, the Rothschilds even tried to obtain a monopoly control of the paper industry, so as to prevent a Free Press from operating.

That pro-Zionist advertising campaign began with the hiring of one CI Scofield, a family deserter, convicted forger and con artist, who issued the Scofield Reference Bible. The purpose of that "Bible," and the ongoing brainwashing that his "Bible" represents, was to gradually and

systematically superimpose Talmudic doctrine over the anti-Judaic teachings of the Christian Bible.

Today, over 150 years later, this persistent and relentless brainwashing campaign has resulted in another new tradition – another bastard child, if you will. Its name is "Judeo-Christianity." This new religion, just like its predecessor, the "tradition of the elders," is diabolically clever in that it Satanically commingles Pharisaic and Scriptural teaching, not one Christian in a million being aware of the deception. Thus, Judeo-Christianity today has become the modern version of Pharisaism; and these Christians have become the complete and willing dupes of the Judaic tradition. Christians have been conditioned to give Judaism credit for composing the Old Testament, when the exact opposite is true. Hiding behind a false tradition, Judaism extorts nothing short of worship from deceived Christians who falsely believe that Judaism is the same religion from which Jesus and the Prophets taught.

They believe the damnable lie that "Christianity owes its origins to Judaism." On the contrary, Christianity owes its origins to the Old Testament. Judaism owes its origins to Babylon and Idumea.

### **A Thumbnail Sketch, Hollywood Style**

If this were a plot for a movie, it would go something like this: In Scene One, the Hero returns home after a long absence to redeem His family (Christian Israel) from their present state of subjection to an evil landlord. Prior to the Hero's appearance, a secret agent of the landlord had knocked on the family door, insisting that the Hero had long since died. This agent, claiming to be a forgotten relative, was contriving to steal the inheritance of the Hero's family by impersonating a family member.

Scene Two: The Hero discovers the plot and learns of the agent's impersonation. Before He is able to warn the family about what is going on (the Bible prior to Talmudic and Masoretic tampering), the villain ruthlessly murders the Hero, leaving the family ignorant of their predicament. But the elders of the family sense that there is something wrong, so the villain wisely extricates himself and goes into hiding until the family forgets all about him.

Scene Three: Patiently biding his time, he waits until he thinks the family is sufficiently distracted by their ever-growing problems. With the elders grown old and feeble and many dead, the villain returns once again when the family has totally forgotten about him. Subtly, he once again claims kinship as a long, lost heir. The compassionate family members take pity on him, although some members only begrudgingly accept his story. When he mentions the inheritance that the family is due, wanting only to claim his "share," he is told, "We'll wait until the day the Will is read, and then we'll sort it all out."

Scene Four: The villain, realizing what must be done, contrives to kill the real heirs while building up a false resume of his own family relationship. He presents the family with an elaborate family tree with himself prominently figured. With the elders gone, the family has no way of verifying or disputing his claim. Thus, when it is time for the Will to be read, he hopes to be the only, or the eldest, "heir" remaining, thus claiming the entire inheritance. His act is so convincing that the surviving family members are set at odds against each other (Judeo-Christianity versus Christian Identity), even killing each other in the name of the Hero, while the villain rubs his hands in gleeful anticipation of inheriting the estate (Kingdom).

Sound far-fetched? Hollywood has had blockbuster hits with lousier plots!!

The question is: How will this thriller end? The answer is contained in the Parable of the Wheat and the Tares in Matthew 13.

Yahshua Messiah foresaw this confusion of the heirs and predicted it when He said: "They shall put you out of the synagogues: yea, the time comes, that whosoever kills you will think that he doeth God service." (John 16:2) Ultimately, the Jews took control of the synagogues and put the True Judahites out of them. They have been impersonating Judah ever since.

Indeed, the rabbis of Judaism took over the synagogues and threw the Apostles, who were True Judahites of the House of Judah, and their true Christian followers out of them. Even today, the Jews reserve the right to call their temples "synagogues." Given this prophecy, it should be obvious who they are: the synagogue of Satan. Despite all this contrary evidence, the world's Judeo-Christians, continue to labour under the false assumption that they are doing God service by paying obeisance to the rabbis. They are filled with the bloodlust of Zionism, donating their time and money and even their very lives in military campaigns, to a completely delusional cause.

No greater catastrophe could have ever befallen mankind. Christianity has been brainwashed into becoming anti-Christianity (Judeo-Christianity), and this anti-Christianity is being shouted from the pulpits, with bells ringing and choirs singing, from every denomination in the Name of Christ. The ONLY denomination which stands as a rock against this deception is Christian Identity.

"Beware of false prophets, which come to you in sheep's clothing, but inwardly they are ravening wolves." (Matt. 7:15) The sheep do not have the discernment to see the wolf hiding behind the disguise because they have not read the Scriptures for themselves, thus they are blind sheep unable to see the truth. They blithely accept the modernistic interpretations coming from the Rothschild/Scofield seminaries of falsified theology, from which the Gospel of Jesus Christ, the COVENANT MESSAGE of redemption and repentance, has been perverted back into the "traditions of men."

"You shall know them by their fruits (the constant strife and war-mongering of Zionism). Do men gather grapes of thorns, or figs of thistles?" How do you explain the fact that these "God loves everybody" Judeo-Christians turn so nasty when you question their irrationally-held belief that the "Jews are G-d's chosen people"? Like someone who has been trained in the fashion of a Pavlovian attack dog, these mild-mannered Judeo-Christians turn into angry, spiteful accusers, if you should have the chutzpah to challenge their fundamental beliefs. "Grapes of thorns" and "figs of thistles" indeed!!

As the wise old sage once said, "Those who are asleep don't know they are asleep!" But the wake up call is sounding. "Arise, shine! For thy light is come!" (Isa. 60:1) Shake the sleep out of your eyes, stop grumbling about having to leave your warm, cosy bed, and prepare for the fight of your lives. Armageddon has arrived.

"Even so every good tree brings forth good fruit (peace and justice); but a corrupt tree brings forth evil fruit", i.e., corruption, violence and war, especially the phony "God is on our side" type of war that is crucifying the Palestinian people at this very moment, while the Judeo-Christians yawn and partake of Jewish animus served with flowery "Christian" rhetoric, plus a huge helping of hypocrisy and a collection plate full of the Devil's currency.

### **Judaism Is the Religion of the Anti-Christ**

The oldest deception going is the fable that Judaism is the religion of the Old Testament. In the Nov.-Dec. issue of **Messianic Perspectives**, a magazine devoted to the fable that Jesus was a Jew, Dr. Gary Hedrick and John Kanter (a graduate of the pro-Zionist Dallas Theological Seminary) say this: "In the Jewish Bible (the Tanakh, known to Christians as the 'Old Testament'), the Hebrew term for 'gospel' is besorah..." -- from page 1, an article entitled "The Gospel of Moses."

This is an example of myth-making, for there is NO SUCH THING AS "The Jewish Bible." The Bible was written by Israelites and Judahites, none of whom were ethnic Jews. Every time someone repeats this fable, the fabric of history is distorted; and the Big Lie that the Old Testament is a "Jewish Book" is, by endless repetition, maintained as a false fact in the minds of billions. This myth-making has been going on for centuries. As long as you assume that Jews and Israelites are the same people, then you will never understand how history has been distorted. It is quite easy to demonstrate the vast number of non-Covenantal teachings that are being purveyed in the name of the Bible. The Jews claim to speak in the name of Moses while the Judeo-Christians claim to speak in the name of Christ. Both Judaism and Judeo-Christianity are the fulfilment of the prophecy of the Great Apostasy as spelled out by Paul in many passages. They are also the fulfilment of the STRONG DELUSION prophecy.

II Thess. 2:3: "Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day [the coming of Christ in judgment] shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition."

Of course, that man of sin is the Talmudic rabbi, the deceiver of the whole world, the creator of Zionism and communism, the Great Pretender to the heritage of Moses.

### **Regarding those deceived:**

Isa. 66:4, "I also will choose their delusions, and will bring their fears upon them; because when I called, none did answer; when I spake, they did not hear: but they did evil before mine eyes, and chose that in which I delighteth not."

II Thess. 2:11,12: " And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they might all be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness."

Here, self-righteousness and unrighteousness can be considered equivalent. The Judeo-Christian world is self-righteous in its own conceits; and Judaism, the "tradition of the elders," passes itself off as the ultimate authority on scriptural interpretation. Thus, the two worlds of Judaism and modern "Christianity" can be seen as humanistic Apostasy, par excellence, having taken Scripture and reshaped it in such a way that it has become the opposite of what the Hebrew and Greek authors wrote and taught. Yahweh's prophets have been reinterpreted to be permissive lamebrains, when the reality is that they were "fire and brimstone" critics of humanism and Judaism.

This historical animosity between Judaism and Christianity never ceased until the advent of the modern communications media, which are monopolistically controlled by Zionist Jews. No Palestinians are ever allowed to present their case against Zionism. No Identity Christians are allowed to present their case against the Great Impersonation. No revisionist historians are allowed to present their case against the Hoaxocaust...and no one breathes a word about the House of Rothschild. You know who the real dictator is by the fact that no one dares to criticize him.

These two predominant themes, Judaism and Judeo-Christianity, present us with a FALSE DICHOTOMY of the Scriptures; and I can assure you that the rabbis of Judaism are masters at conceiving and implementing false dichotomies for our people to ruminate upon, thus wasting our time, lives, fortunes and ultimately our souls on needless debate and endless conflicts, while the rabbis guffaw at our gullibility. Whole denominations have been founded upon these false dichotomies and non-Covenantal doctrines. Here is an example of one of the most basic false dichotomies:

### **Replacement Theology**

(Explanatory Note: A Hegelian Dialectic (named after the German Philosopher, Hegel) is a philosophical argument which consists of two contradictory propositions, Thesis and Antithesis, that are mutually reconciled by a supposedly higher level of Truth, Synthesis. In political or religious terms, the Synthesis is often proposed by the very same people who have staged the two contradictory propositions, though few people are ever aware of this. Now, let us proceed.)

In the form of a Hegelian Dialectic:

Thesis: The Old Testament teaches that the Jews are "God's chosen people."

Antithesis: The New Testament teaches that the Jews were replaced by "Spiritual Israel."

Synthesis: Judeo-Christianity.

I am able to categorically refute all three of these propositions and state that the Synthesis is most definitely a false dichotomy. I can tell you right now that the Thesis is false; the Antithesis is false; and therefore the Synthesis is most definitely a false dichotomy. Only the most grandiose of deceptions could make this Synthesis possible; and that is what we have today: Grandiose Talmudic Deception posing as Scripture.

Jesus said, "No one comes to the Father but through me" (John 14:6); yet we have mainstream Christian churches touting Judaism, Hinduism, Buddhism, Islam and even witchcraft as fashionable. Christianity, having become tolerant of every anti-Christian doctrine that passes before the pulpit, has become delusional. Ecumenism, also known as universalism, has become the latest fad flowing from the pulpits.

Although the rabbis of Judaism are most happy to see Christians engaging in perverted doctrine, there are many Jews and Christian dupes who refer to this false dichotomy as "replacement theology." By this, they are referring to the replacement of physical Israel with spiritual Israel, the Christian "church." We in Christian Identity know that both Jew and Judeo-Christian have it all wrong. Since the Edomite Jews were never part of either Judah or Israel in Old Testament times, the Jews cannot be the same as Israel. And since the "church" is not named in the Covenants of Yahweh, their claim is also false. Both Jew and church are false claimants. Neither deserves any recognition for their claims and neither is a party to the Covenants of Yahweh, which were/are made exclusively to the Children of Israel, the physical descendants of the Twelve Tribes. There is absolutely nothing in Scripture that even remotely suggests that the Covenants were transferred from True Israel to some other group. That is scriptural fantasy.

Of course, there is still the moral requirement that we obey Yah's Law in order to qualify for citizenship in the coming Kingdom. At Romans 9:3-7, Paul elaborates on this theme:

For I could wish that myself were accursed from Christ for my brethren [not for any other people than the Covenant People, Israel], my kinsmen according to the flesh: [Still have any doubt whom he is talking to or about?] WHO ARE ISRAELITES; to whom pertaineth the adoption, [Is it not clear that the ADOPTION pertains only to Israelites and not to all races or nations?] AND THE GLORY, AND THE COVENANTS, AND THE GIVING OF THE LAW, and the service of God, and the promises."

How can such a statement be misconstrued as Replacement Theology? Only deliberate misinterpretation can twist these words into universalism or into replacement theology. Paul is here affirming the Covenants, the Promises, the Law, the Service, and the Adoption (which means bringing the rebellious ones of Israel back into the fold), ALL OF WHICH WERE MADE EXCLUSIVELY TO THE CHILDREN OF ISRAEL AND NO ONE ELSE.

Continuing with Paul:

"Whose are the fathers, and of whom as concerning the flesh Christ came, who is over all, God blessed forever, Amen. NOT AS THOUGH THE WORD OF GOD HATH TAKEN NONE EFFECT [which is exactly what the Judeo-Christian ministry teaches when they deny that God's promises to (True) Israel have been transferred to some "church" or to "Spiritual Israel"!!!!]. For they are not all Israel, which are of Israel."

What Paul is saying here is that, indeed, the promises were made to Israel as a hereditary nation ("as concerning the flesh"); but it is NOT ENOUGH to be an Israelite in the flesh. You also have to ACCEPT THE NEW COVENANT, WHICH IS NOTHING ELSE THAN ALLOWING THE LAW TO BE WRITTEN UPON OUR HEARTS, as per Jer. 31: 31-34.

You cannot be a full citizen of the Kingdom unless you have accepted Yahweh's Law within your own heart. Amazingly, those who teach that the Law has been abolished are completely ignorant of the Scriptural connection between the Old and New Testament verses just cited. The Law deniers ignore these passages because the Law is prominent in BOTH Covenants. I would say that these passages tend to refute their interpretation of Scripture.

If you reject His Law, it does not matter what your skin colour is. But as a fleshly Israelite, your first duty is to accept His Law and write it upon your heart. It is patently false that Paul teaches that the Law has been abolished. Even non-Identity scholars admit that "the law in ordinances that was nailed to the cross" was a reference ONLY to the blood rituals of the priesthood, the animal sacrifices for the remission of sins. The Old Testament clearly teaches that these rituals were only a temporary manifestation permitted by Yahweh for our instruction in the Law, so that we would take it seriously. Now, we are to become a kingdom of priests, such that all of us know the law so well that any formal priesthood will be obsolete. Instead, we will return to the priesthood of the order of Melchizedek, which is a return to patriarchal rule, with the head of each family being its High Priest. Paul explains this in great detail in the first ten Chapters of Hebrews.

The teaching that the Whole Law has been replaced by mere "faith" is APOSTASY. That is not what Paul teaches; but it is what the false shepherds of Churchianity have been teaching, because they confuse the Whole Law with the sacrificial ordinances.

I know what my critics are thinking: "But, Pastor Eli, aren't the other races and nations SAVED by the Gospel? Jesus taught that the Gospel should be preached in all the world!" And, yes it has. But that does not change the fact that Yahweh has a particular people, a peculiar people, a CHOSEN PEOPLE, to whom He has given this assignment of reestablishing His Government here on planet earth. And that's who we, the Anglo-Saxon, Caucasian people are. Now, are we going to fulfil our mission or are we going to try to "save the souls" of other races and nations while we let the Kingdom slip through our fingers?

At Matt. 21:43, when Yahshua told the scribes and Pharisees, "The Kingdom shall be taken from you, and given to a nation bringing forth the fruits thereof," He was not admitting to them they had any legitimate right to it. These words imply no such thing. He was talking to Edomite Jews, not Judah. He knew that they had taken the kingdom by force. He knew that they were false husbandmen, not the legitimate ones. In Matt., Chapter 23, He also told them that they were sitting in Moses' seat. That position was also usurped by them. They had no right to it in the first place; but there they were.

This is confirmed at Matt. 21:18,19, where Yahshua cursed the whole fig tree, not just particular evil figs. From the Garden of Eden on, trees are symbolic of races and nations of people. The evil tree is the seedline of the Jews, who will be judged at the Judgment Day for the evil they have done against God's True Chosen people, Anglo-Saxon Israel.

"Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord, whom ye seek, shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the Covenant, whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith Yahweh of hosts." (Mal. 3:1.)

When was the last time you heard a Jewish rabbi or a Judeo-Christian pulpit master preach a sermon on the covenants?

Instead of converting the world by our preaching, we are supposed to convert the world BY OUR NATIONAL (FAMILY) EXAMPLE. Trying to save individual souls has been a complete failure, because a nation or people cannot be saved one person at a time. There are always too many reprobates who backslide into their old ways, once the Christian missionaries leave. Rather, other nations and races are "converted" by our national example, when we, as a society set apart for this purpose, exemplify Yah's Law in our daily lives. History has proven that every time we try to convert other races to "Christianity," we wind up changing OUR RELIGION INSTEAD!! This is patently obvious today. For nearly one hundred years, America had set the exact example of segregated, Whites Only Christianity; and as a result, we became the most blessed nation and the most powerful nation on earth; and other nations looked to our example of liberty and justice, which very consciously, was based on our religious and racial exclusive.

The Gospel of the Kingdom has been replaced by the phony "Gospel of Personal Salvation," when the Bible clearly teaches that the ONLY WAY THIS WORLD IS GOING TO BE SAVED IS THROUGH HIS CHOSEN PEOPLE, ISRAEL...Anglo-Saxon Israel, that is, and not the Jews, who are impostors trying to prevent us from achieving our Glory. WE are His Chosen Family, and when we disabuse ourselves of this world's Jewish materialism, then all will be blessed through us, according to the promises that were made to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob.

"Brethren, my heart's desire and prayer to God is for ISRAEL [NOT the Jews, not some church, nor some "spiritual" Israel] is that they might be saved." (Romans 10:1.)

"Even so at this present time there is a remnant [of flesh and blood Israelites] according to the election of grace. [And this concept of "grace," meaning "favor," is accorded ONLY to the Covenant People, Israel.] And if by grace, then it is no more of works: otherwise grace is no more of grace. But if it be of works, then is it no more grace: otherwise work is no more work." (Romans 11:5-6.)

This confusing language is misconstrued by the universalists to apply to non-Israelites in their so-called "church age." It has no such meaning, for the language applies only to the literal children of Israel as having been redeemed by Yahshua at the cross. All that Paul is saying here is that we have been redeemed by Yahweh's good favour. We have not earned it by our works!!!! He is not saying that our works are meaningless or insignificant, only that the sacrificial works of the old priesthood could never be sufficient for our redemption. Indeed, even today, as sinful as we still are, how can our handful of good works count against the mountain of demerits we have built up through ages of sinfulness? To stretch Paul's words out beyond this simple concept of redemption through forgiveness is illegitimate. In the next line he proves it:

"What then? Israel [Who is he speaking of, children?] hath not obtained that which he [we] seeketh for; but the election hath obtained it, and the rest were blinded." (Verse 7.)

Now, who are the elect? The ministers of Judeo-Christianity? I don't think so!!! They, and their followers, are in fact those who are so BLINDED!!!! The elect are those of Israel who have seen the light of their election!!!! WE are Yahweh's servants and witnesses; and the ignorant ministers of Judeo-Christianity are trying to take away from us what Yahweh has elected us for!!!!

"Ye are my witnesses, saith Yahweh, and my servants whom I have chosen..." (Isa. 43:10.) Now, here is the 6 million dollar question: Which people has WITNESSED the True Gospel before the world? Certainly not the Jews with their Talmudic abominations!! Only White Christendom has been his historical witnesses. Amen.

For the rest of the world, the choice is very simple: it's either Jacob-Israel (the Adamic/Hebrew/Israelite elect) or the Jews. It's OUR witness versus the Jewish version of Scripture. Have you had enough of the Jewish version yet?

### **He Who Controls the Past Controls the Future**

Recently, I have stirred up a hornet's nest of debate within Christian Identity over the issue of "Who Is a Jew?" The proper resolution of this debate is of utmost concern because it impinges directly upon the False Dichotomies presented herein. The reason why I always fall back upon history is to show how, why and where our current false dichotomies have originated. Unfailingly, these false dichotomies originate in the mind of the Jewish rabbi. Then, taking the cue from the rabbinate, the Jewish Media spring into action and promote these false dichotomies for public consumption. This is then followed by a "ground-breaking, thought-provoking" Jewish propaganda piece, such as "The DaVinci Code" or "The Last Temptation of Christ." Jewish film producers fabricate the scripts and produce movies that promote the latest Jewish version of history. Next, the Jewish news outlets manufacture news with the false dichotomy built right in while heaping praise upon the latest Judeo-humanistic nonsense that has been fed into the propaganda mill. If this concoction does not find its way to the front page of tomorrow's Kosher Press tabloid, it most certainly is splashed all over the entertainment section. The Jewish college professors, taking their cue from the Babylonian priesthood and the Zionist Press, then introduce the latest Jewish versions of pseudo-history and pseudo-science as intellectually legitimate, plus an unhealthy dose of Sigmund Fraud. College students, looking to get good grades by pleasing their "professors," fall in line and are suitably brainwashed...at their parents' expense. Finally, the Jewish publishing houses print history books which reflect the current deception and solidify the newly-promoted perception as if it had always been a reality, thus creating the appearance that this latest deception has always been a fact. The entertainment-starved masses do not even notice that history has been manufactured or changed.

Few Christians can imagine that such coordinated levels of deception are possible, especially when the intrigue reaches the highest levels of authority within their society; but we are dealing here with the religion of the Pharisees, which is organized hypocrisy, subtle duplicity, with enormous amounts of posturing and pretentiousness thrown in. These talents are taught to every Jewish child from the cradle...and it is very profitable as well. Money buys the silence of those who would expose the charade. Truly, the love of money is the root of all evil in this world. As Arnold Leese put it so bluntly, "The demagogue rules the mob. Gold rules the demagogue. And the Jew owns the gold." If you've been paying attention, you know that whoever owns the Gold makes the Rules. And, for those who can't be bought, threats and intimidation serve to silence the rest. I realize that statements such as these constitute an indictment of Judaism as being the religion of the devil, but that's exactly what Judaism is. There can be no such thing as "Judeo-Christianity." Nothing can be both evil and good at the same time. Therefore, Judeo-Christianity is Judaism by default. The problem is that Christians just don't realize it.

### **The Systematic Substitution of the Unscriptural Word 'Jew' for the Scriptural Word 'Judah.'**

Given these many layers and levels of Jewish deception, our thoughts are thus programmed to think inside the Jewish box of their self-serving false dichotomies and biblical interpolations. This subtle preconditioning goes on with few people aware that an alien, anti-Christian society has generated these false ideas for their own purposes of exploitation and control.

We have to learn to think "outside the box." Unless we Christians realize what has happened to us and how we have been duped and exploited, there will never be TRUE PEACE in this world. We will only have Orwellian...Jewish "peace."

One NT verse worth considering in the light of this deception is I Corinthians 9:20, where Paul, according to the prevailing Jewish-approved interpolation/translation, identifies himself as a "Jew":

"And I became as a Jew [sic] to the Jews [sic] that I might gain the Jews [sic]; to those under Law as under Law, that I might gain those under Law."

Since the Edomite Pharisees who were brought in by King John Hyrcanus in 150 BC were non-Judahites who were NEVER under the Mosaic Law, the Pharisees could not possibly be the subject people under discussion. The word 'Jew' is falsely translated from the Greek 'Ioudaios,' which had only one meaning in those days: "Judean." Since a Judean was merely a citizen or resident of the nation of Judea, that word has no religious connotation, except for the original Judeans, who were racial Judahites!! The word 'American' has undergone the same transformation. Originally, an American, or US citizen, was an Anglo male. Now that we have become a motley crew, the modern meaning of 'American' is merely one who resides here or is a citizen of the country.

When reading the Bible, whenever you come across the word 'Jew,' it is important to understand that that word, with its modern connotations, cannot possibly be a synonym for 'Ioudaios.' There is no way that the modern conglomeration or breed of Jewish people today can be equated with the Judahites of the Bible. Today's Jews are a mixed breed of Canaanites and Khazars. The Judahites were/are White Adamites. Without this historical perspective, the inappropriateness of the word 'Jew' where it appears in the Bible cannot be appreciated.

In the Old Testament, the word should have been left untranslated as 'Judah.' In the New Testament, it should properly be 'Judean.' Thus, modern connotations cannot be read into these words. In the minds of people today, the modern Jewish people are automatically associated with these New Testament words, 'Judah' and 'Judean' simply because they have been translated as 'Jew.' There is no possibility that the authors of the New Testament had such people in mind. Rabbinical deception is designed to get you to ignore these distinctions and unthinkingly assume that 'Jew' and 'Judah' are equivalent. The New Testament has been turned into the "Jew Testament," even though the real Jews (the Edomites) were the arch enemy of both Yahshua and the Apostles.

Here is **my** translation of I Cor. 9:20 that will **never** receive rabbinical approval: "And I became as a Judean to the Judeans that I might gain the Judeans [since Paul was a Benjamite who grew up in Tarsus]; to those under the (Levitical) Law as under (Levitical) Law, that I might gain those under the (Levitical) Law."

I submit that my translation preserves the historical context in which these words were composed. Since Paul grew up in Tarsus, an Israelite stronghold away from Judea, what Paul is saying is that he had to get into their Levitical mindset in order to explain to them that the New Covenant, which was established on the cross at Calvary, had abolished the Levitical blood sacrifices. If you assume, as many well-meaning Christians do, that Paul was something like an ethnic Jew living in New York City speaking to other, similar ethnic Jews, then you cannot possibly understand the historical setting involved and captured in the verse above. In fact, you would be hopelessly confusing two entirely different ethnicities, two entirely different world-views and two entirely different doctrines.

In addition, your moral understanding of the New Testament would be skewered as well, because Paul was NOT, in this verse, addressing people who were practicing Judaism!!! Paul

would thus have been trying to reason with those who were practicing the "traditions of men," the Jewish Pharisees, which he actually condemns at Col. 2:8. If anybody was aware of the hypocrisy of Judaism it was Paul! Within the Gospel narrative, at no time did Jesus or any of the Apostles waste a single moment or sentence in trying to convert the Pharisees or their tainted kinsmen. Jesus Christ clearly regards them as His ENEMY, a people that cannot be reasoned with. That's why He spoke in parables when He spoke in public assemblies, so that those of Judah and Israel in the audience would get the message while the Jews would be scratching their heads in bewilderment and asking each other, "Is he condemning us?"

In contrast, Paul praises the traditions of Israel in Gal. 1:14 and in II Thes. 2:15. This confusion of ethnicities has been accomplished by replacing the word 'Judean' with the modern word 'Jew.' These two words are NOT equivalent, although the rabbis want you to think that both of these verses reference THEIR people when, in fact, they DO NOT. A Judean was a citizen or resident of Judea, period. A Jew is one who follows the teachings of the rabbis. So, when Paul was addressing these Judeans, he was clearly addressing his own racial kinsmen, not impostor Jews.

How could Paul be addressing Pharisaic Jews when he had renounced Pharisaism (Judaism)? That makes no sense at all! They would be objecting to everything he was saying! Plus, the Pharisaic Jews forbade their followers, AS THEY STILL DO TODAY, from listening to Christian doctrine!!! (The Israeli State today has a law which imposes a five-year prison sentence on any Christian who is convicted of proselytising a Jew!) The only possible Judeans Paul could have been addressing, at this juncture of time, place and ethnicity, were his kinsmen, Covenant Israel. Think about it. Who else would even be interested in what he had to say? Who else would have had the national and Scriptural history except the Judahites of Judea? -- for it was *they*, the Judahites of Judea, who were the children of Israel in Judea, not the Jews. The rest of the time, when not addressing these children of Judah, he was addressing the Israelites of the Dispersion.

Remember, the *true Judeans* (the historical Judahites of the Old Testament) were steeped in the Levitical sacrificial law which, from the year 150 BC onwards, was being appropriated by the Pharisees. The Pharisees of that day, just as the Judeo-Christians of today, edited the Scriptures to suit their own theology; and they kept the True Law under lock and key. Since Judaism, from its very inception, was an anti-Mosaic heresy, Paul could not possibly be talking about Judaic law. He had to be talking about the Mosaic Law. Therefore, his audience was the Mosaic Judahites of Judea, not the Pharisaic Jews of Idumean or mixed blood. Paul's writings are very anti-Pharisaic because, having rejected Pharisaism, he understood that it was this very heresy that Jesus said was the 'traditions of men' that made the Law "of none effect." The Pharisees of Paul's day hated him just as much as they hated Jesus Christ; and modern Jews still hate Paul, second only to their hatred of Yahshua Messiah. Haven't you heard how the Jews condemn the New Testament because it is so "anti-Semitic"? Many Jewish authors, such as Robert Eisenmann, are involved in the debunking of Paul, which has become fashionable within Christian Identity circles. The Jews of that day understood that the awakened Paul was just as much their adversary as was Jesus, but at present the anti-Paulians within CI don't understand this because of the horrible, universal-sounding translations of the KJV. They think Paul actually composed these universalistic passages when it was in fact the Catholic (universal) Church that doctored his original Greek writings, making them sound universalist, when the New Testament Greek actually retains the Israelite exclusivism and racial awareness of the Old Testament Hebrew. The fact is that all of the Apostles and Paul (or including Paul, depending on your point of view) were highly cognizant of the Jewish heresy that was incessantly seeking to usurp the Mosaic Law.

But the common people were not aware of this ongoing impersonation, a fact which is still true today.

Hebrews, Chapter 10: 1-17:

*"For the law having a shadow of good things to come, and not the very image of the things, can never WITH THOSE SACRIFICES which they offered year by year continually make the comers thereunto perfect...For it is not possible that the blood of bulls and of goats should take away sins." (quoting Isa. 1:11-17)*

Yet, Yahweh permitted those sacrifices as a temporary institution for our instruction, thus highlighting for our instruction the importance of the Law. All of those *sacrificial ordinances* in Numbers and Leviticus were exclusively recorded for the Levitical priesthood to follow and observe UNTIL THE SACRIFICE OF THE LAMB OF GOD. It is important to understand, however, that none of the non-sacrificial laws were abolished. Those are still fully applicable today, especially the Ten Commandments. Otherwise, how could Paul even talk of "righteousness," as he constantly does? Righteousness is obedience to the Law, plain and simple.

It is fascinating that many antinomian ministers concede that the Law was given for Israel's instruction, yet they teach that those days are over and that "the law was done away with at the cross." Well, what about OUR instruction? What about THEIR instruction? What kind of "faith" can you have without any rules? Apparently, the Sermon on the Mount was wasted on these people! Their "faith" consists in believing that they are "saved" no matter what laws they don't obey! Hasn't anybody warned them about the Judgment Day at which we will all be judged according to our WORKS? (Acts 26:20; Rom. 13:13 (What kind of charity is it that hath no works?); 2Cor. 11:15; Rev. 20:12-13.)

They simply ASSUME that Paul's conception of "faith" excludes the Law being written in our hearts. They never talk about this Covenantal connection between the Law and real Faith. And, if there is to be a Kingdom, what kind of Kingdom can there be without the protection of Law and the *internalization* and enforcement of it? The antinomians are seeing the fruit of their teaching today in the fact that Christian civilization is collapsing under the weight of its ignorant bliss.

### **Returning to Hebrews, Chapter 10:**

*"Above when he said, Sacrifice and offering and burnt offering for sin thou wouldest not, neither hadst thou pleasure therein; which are offered by the law [of sacrifices, not the Whole Law!!!]; Then said he, Lo, I come to do thy will, O God. He taketh away the first, that he may establish the second."*

What is the first? It is the law and the priesthood that was dedicated to the ritual sacrifices. It was that part of the Law, and only that part of the Law, that was done away with, in order to make room for the second.

### **What is the second?**

*"For by one offering [that is, Jesus Christ offering Himself as our Kinsman Redeemer for our past sins] he hath perfected forever them that are sanctified."*

Of course, the use of the past tense makes it sound like Paul is saying that this "perfection" was accomplished in us at Calvary that very day. We have to forgive Paul for a bit of fiery oratory, for we are still in the process of this perfecting experience. He is speaking of the potential that is within us. Yahshua Messiah took away the obstacle to our perfection, our original sin. It has taken us two thousand years to comprehend what the Scriptures are telling us, namely, that we, God's Chosen People Israel, are to be perfected by having the Law written in our hearts. Paul continues by quoting Jer. 31:31-34:

*"Whereof the Holy Ghost also is a witness to us; for after that he had said before, THIS IS THE COVENANT THAT I WILL MAKE WITH THEM AFTER THOSE DAYS, SAITH YAHWEH, I WILL PUT MY LAWS INTO THEIR HEARTS, AND IN THEIR MINDS WILL I WRITE THEM; AND THEIR SINS AND INIQUITIES WILL I REMEMBER NO MORE."*

Now, is Paul confirming the Covenant with Israel or isn't he? The simplistic interpretation of Paul as saying the Whole Law has been abolished is utter stupidity!! He teaches nothing of the sort! His context is the SACRIFICIAL LAW ONLY and he ABSOLUTELY VERIFIES THAT THE LAW WILL BE WRITTEN IN OUR HEARTS. This is the Promise, folks! And if Yahweh promises, He delivers!

*"For I speak to you "Gentiles" [true meaning: the Israelites of the Dispersion, not "non-Jews" as the lying scribes have led you to believe], inasmuch as I am the apostle to the Dispersed, I magnify mine office. [Now, ask yourself: "Would pagan non-Israelites of that day even have any idea what Paul was talking about, let alone have any interest in the promises of some religion they have absolutely no knowledge or tradition of?"] If by any means I may provoke to emulation THEM WHICH ARE MY FLESH, and might save some of them."*

*What part of THEM WHICH ARE MY FLESH don't you understand?*

*Given Paul's constant references to his Israelite flesh, it is amazing that so many completely ignore these references and simply assume he is either spiritualizing or generalizing to all nations!! Paul was a Benjamite of the Dispersion. As such, he understood the plight of the Dispersed of Israel better than Peter. That is why Peter yielded to Paul on this account, when Peter realized that just because a non-Judean Israelite was uncircumcised, he is not necessarily "unclean." Yahshua Messiah came to redeem All Israel, not just those who resided in Judea, but those Dispersed throughout Asia and Europe as well. And that is precisely TO WHOM the Apostles went!*

*The universalists have totally misinterpreted this exclusive message and they try to argue, deliberately avoiding the Covenant teachings, that the New Testament is about "salvation for all." For those of you who are concerned about the "salvation" of the other races, I can tell you that their salvation is dependent upon OURS. Non-Israelites are saved only through OUR intervention, through our ability to exemplify and establish the Kingdom. As soon as the blinded within True Israel step aside, the job can proceed. This is the "White Man's Burden," as Kipling so eloquently put it. Burden or destiny, the challenge is yours, IF you are of the Covenant Race. If you cannot see that "diversity" has been a complete failure, then you are most assuredly blinded by rhetoric and find it impossible to see reality. History has proven this fact over and over again: When Whites give up their authority, chaos ensues. Just ask the Whites in South Africa and Rhodesia (Zimbabwe) how well the ruling clique of Blacks treats them. They can't even feed themselves. Can you spell 'genocide'?*

*The Jews, the Judeo-Christians and the universalists have all rewritten Scripture in an attempt to deny the Covenant Message. They are the blind leading the blind. By redefining such words as 'Gentile' and 'Judah' to mean something not intended by the original authors, they have convinced most Christians that they cannot possibly be the True Chosen People, Israel. Now, dear Christian, do you see how thoroughly you have been deceived by Judeo-Christianity?*

### **Confusion About the Historical Josephus**

*I could write many books on this subject of false teaching alone; but today I will focus on Josephus, who was neither a Jew, nor a Judeo-Christian. Ethnically, he was an ISRAELITE of the House of Judah. Thus, he could not have been a Jew, for most Jews, as we should know, can easily be identified just by looking at them; they are of mixed racial heritage. "For Jerusalem is ruined, and Judah is fallen: because their tongue and their doings are against Yahweh, to*

*provoke the eyes of his glory. The shew of their countenance doth witness against them." (Isa. 3:8-9) The facial features of a half-breed are instantly recognizable. This trespass of mixed seed has always been emphasized in Scripture, yet no Judeo-Christian minister takes any heed.*

*The only possible definition of a Judahite is one who is of a **singular** racial stock, namely, a genetic descendant of the patriarch Judah. A male Judahite can choose his wife from any of the other twelve tribes or from the rest of the Adamic/Caucasian stock, which is, of course, the White Race. All other marriages are forbidden. NO ONE ELSE COUNTS AS A JUDAHITE. Since the Jewish people have never obeyed this law, they cannot be Judahites.*

*Now, we have two major discrepancies between Jews and Judahites. These discrepancies are COVERED UP or GLOSSED OVER by the word 'Jew.' It is part of the rabbinical deception that we have accepted the word 'Jew' to be synonymous with 'Judah.' If you cannot see through this deception, you will continue to think inside the box of their False Dichotomy. Remember, we have been preprogrammed to think Jewish thoughts 24 hours per day, 365 days a year, and this theme is reinforced every moment we watch television The sales pitch is relentless.*

*Returning to the First Discrepancy mentioned above, namely, that Judahites are of a distinct genetic heritage, we see that we are the household, family, progeny, descendants, seed, children of Judah. Contrast this with the fact that the vast majority of Jews have always been of mixed-race descent. The Second Discrepancy is that the Judahites practiced the Law of Moses while the Jews have always practiced Pharisaism. The Law of Moses is the Religion of Truth but Pharisaism is the Religion of Lies. There is a VAST CHASM of irreconcilable differences between these two theologies! Yet, modern Judeo-Christianity glosses over these differences as if they did not exist. The inevitable result of such glossing over is that the Religion of Lies will outwit the Religion of Gullible Christianity every time! Thus we have what is called "Judeo-Christianity," a religion which is built upon a Mountain of Lies, Jewish posturing and numerous false dichotomies.*

*Here is what Paul has to say about these interlopers:*

*But neither Titus, who was with me, being a Greek [Titus was an Israelite of the Dispersion, not a non-Israelite, as you have been led to believe. If you know our history, you know that that Greece was founded by the tribe of Zarah, son of Judah]...Let me start over here so you can get the complete thought: "But neither Titus, who was with me, being a Greek, was compelled to be circumcised. [None of the Israelites of the Dispersion had practiced circumcision since 745 BC when they were deported to the Caucasus by the Assyrians. Hence, they were uncircumcised Israelites.] And because of false brethren unawares brought in [the Edomites of Idumea under Hyrcanus II], who came in privily to spy out our liberty which we have in Jesus Christ, that they might bring us into bondage." (Gal. 2: 3-4.)*

*If you know the difference between a Judahite and a Jew, this statement by Paul is a perfect summary of our state of subjection to the Jewish impersonators ("false brethren") who had begun conspiring to deprive us of our liberty from 150 BC until today. They are still privily among us pretending to be us.*

*For 2,150 years, the Jews have been masquerading as the people of the Book, the Bible. But this association is a false one because the Jews are not the people with whom Yahweh made His Covenants. These Covenants were made EXCLUSIVELY with the FLESH AND BLOOD Israelites of the Twelve Tribes and their DIRECT, UNMIXED descendants.*

*In addition, the Covenant People followed the Law of Moses.*

*The Jews do not fit either description. They are neither Israelites nor have they ever followed the Law of Moses. These are simple, historical facts that cannot be disputed, once the Jewish*

*bluff is challenged. These two simple, historical facts stand in opposition to 2,150 years of Jewish propaganda to the contrary. But propaganda is not truth. Propaganda is/are lies that are told to you by your enemy who wants you to believe he is your friend. Once you believe this propaganda, you naturally let your guard down; and when you do, that's when he strikes you with all his force. The most recent strike was 9-11-2001. Now, we are dying in another Jew-promoted war. This is how the Jews operate. Keep in mind that Judeo-Christianity is just one front in this Jewish battle against True Christianity today. "Jews For Jesus" is another aspect of this deception.*

*With the exception of those today who are sounding the warning against Judaism and Zionism, the world has been totally deceived by this monster. (Rev. 12:9)*

*In the final analysis, it is Truth versus Lies. It is Honour versus Deception. It is the Bible versus the Talmud. It is God versus Satan. It is Christian Identity versus Judeo-Christianity. It is GOOD versus EVIL. It is Jesus versus the Pharisees. These are the REAL DICHOTOMIES that must be addressed; but these dichotomies are ignored or shelved in favour of the false dichotomies.*

*In order to gain clarity about the true nature of any false dichotomy, we have to clear up the confusion inherent in false definitions. One of the best ways to do this is to take someone else's writing on a subject and expose the false dichotomies that are part of the writer's assumptions. I do not intend to vilify or embarrass this particular author. The fact is that these false ideas are pervasive throughout Christianity. But once someone puts his or her ideas out for public consumption, then those statements are fair game for criticism. I just want it to make it crystal clear that this is constructive criticism from the Christian Identity point of view. I hope it is taken as such.*

### **Was Josephus a Jew or a Judahite?**

*Now, I know that there are many well-intentioned people within CI who doubt that Josephus was a Judahite, just as many within CI doubt that Paul was a Benjamite. I would simply suggest to these doubters, that, for starters, unless you can provide evidence to the contrary, we have no choice but to take the author at his word. In addition, I would proffer that an author's internal consistency is evidence of his sincerity and believability, if not total accuracy. Few historians can claim total accuracy. That is why we have to compare accounts by different historians. Then we do archaeology, geology, anthropology, etc., to find out whose account is backed up by the physical evidence.*

*From all evidence at hand, I can come to only one conclusion. Josephus is what he says he is, namely, a Judahite whose family comes from the Twenty-Four Courses. But if you are still thinking inside the Judeo-Christian box, you will make the false assumption that Josephus was a Jew. He was not. He was a Judahite. There are major differences between these two groups, as I explained in the earlier glossed-over discrepancies and as I will detail below.*

*I cannot hope, in this brief article, to settle the question of Josephus' ancestry to the satisfaction of most skeptics, but I think I can clarify some of the main issues that are completely confused by Judeo-Christianity. The essential fact is that Josephus was a Judahite, not a Jew.*

### **Was Josephus a Pharisee?**

*To address these issues, I am going to critique the work of Marco Rusterholz, who, in my opinion, is a decent, honest Israelite, but unfortunately, he still thinks inside the box of Judeo-Christianity. He labors under the assumption that he has climbed out of that box; but I will attempt to show that he has yet to find his way out of that matrix of deception, for he is still*

*under the delusion of several false dichotomies which he does not yet recognize. Marco has concluded that Josephus was a Pharisee. Is his conclusion correct?*

*[My comments will be in red, in brackets [ ], in italics and postsigned: – Eli]*

*[Marco's words will be in straight-up letters, regular black type. Where Marco quotes other authors, the words will be in regular blue type, and with "beginning and end quotes." -- Eli]*

*[Here is the beginning of his article. He begins by questioning the validity of the use of the Name, Yahweh, within Christian Identity:]*

### **Josephus: a Pharisee? Yes!**

First the pro YHVH crowd have thrown some statements at us – us who hold to the argument that the use of YHVH, and all of its derivatives, is a load of Babylonian hogwash. Or, as Elizabeth Dilling puts it on page 48 of 'The Plot Against Christianity'... "The skeleton letters of Jehova: Y-H-W-H, called the Tetragrammaton, is the "ineffable word" in Talmudic Judaism, being used in weird combinations to evoke the demons. Its use is restricted to the inner circle of Rabbinical Potentates."

*[Here, Marco Rusterholz is attempting to link the Yahwist Movement within Christian Identity to the Babylonian Talmudic teachings of the rabbis, suggesting that the use of the Sacred Name, YHWH, is Jewish in origin. First of all, Elizabeth Dilling admits that the use of the Name by Jews is limited to "Rabbinical Potentates." Secondly, Christian Identity cannot be held accountable for how the rabbis of Judaism make sacrilegious use of Scripture. It is important to understand that the Jews are, in fact, practitioners of such sacrilege. And this Marco fully understands. On the other hand, he believes that CI's use and promotion of the Name of Yahweh is "Babylonian hogwash." Nothing could be further from the truth. It is, in fact, Mr. Rusterholz who is teaching Babylonian lies not realizing that he does so. The fact is that the teaching that YHWH (translated as "I AM THAT I AM") is the Name of God comes directly from Exodus 3:13-15. No one, to my knowledge, has ever refuted Ex. 3:14. Even non-Identity scholars agree that YHWH is the True Name of the God of Israel. The Bible plainly states, "This is my name." One of the major lies that White Christendom is subject to is the claim that the "Old Testament was written by the Jewish scribes." If you believe this lie, then you will assume that the Bible is a "Jewish" book. It is NOT a Jewish book. It is a Hebrew/Israelite book. Jews are neither Hebrews nor Israelites, so it is NOT their book.*

*Although I am a great admirer of Elizabeth Dilling, who was a fellow Chicagoan and a most able critic of Judaism and Jewry, she was NOT aware of the distinction between the Judahites of the Old Testament and the modern Jew. She falsely believed, as do most outside of CI, that the Jews were Judahites who at one time practiced the Law of Moses. Starting with this false premise, Miss Dilling then falsely associates the rabbis with the Levitical priesthood. She does not realize it, but she has associated the wolves with the sheep! Miss Dilling was not aware of the impersonation that occurred at the end of the Hasmonean Dynast, when Antipater and Herod deposed the true Judahites from their lawful authority over their own people. She is not alone in being confused about this subject, because the rabbis of Judaism have done a masterful job of hiding this history from us. As far as I know, I am the only Christian historian who has ever broached this subject of the exact origin of Talmudism, so I am keenly aware of how the rabbis have deceived the whole world on this score.*

*Here are the facts: The Levitical priesthood was AN EXCLUSIVELY JUDAHITE PRIESTHOOD, both in terms of racial descent and in terms of their adherence to the Law of Moses. Under no circumstances can the Levitical priesthood be confused with the Pharisees of Yahshua's time. But this is exactly the historical deception which the rabbis have managed to accomplish in our minds. It was these Pharisees who originated the religion called Judaism.*

*The authors of the Bible had nothing to do with that diabolical religion called Judaism. That was started by the Pharisees. Both Dilling and Rusterholz have succumbed to the rabbinical deception that the two derive from the same source or that Judaism derives from the Mosaic Law. Here is the simple fact: Mosaism is Scriptural. Judaism is Babylonian. It is true that Judaism INCORPORATES the Torah into its tradition; but Judaism is far more than the Torah. Judaism is inseparable from the Babylonian Talmud; and the rabbis reserve unto themselves the right to have the Talmud overrule the Torah whenever it suits their agenda. Numerous rabbis have admitted this fact in publications intended only for Jews. Publicly, however, the rabbis have duped virtually everyone into believing Judaism derives from the Mosaic Law and that the rabbis are its lawful or rightful custodians.*

*An example of this confusion of rabbinism and Judaism with the Mosaic Law can be found in **The Interpreter's Dictionary of the Bible**, Vol 4. Under the topic of "Simon the Zealot," W.R. Farmer states on p. 938, "In addition to Simon the Zealot, the only other instance of pre-rabbinic Judaism where the cognomen 'zealot' is applied to an individual is found in II Maccabees, where Phineas is referred to as 'the Zealot Phineas' (18:12)."*

*What Farmer doesn't understand is that there was NO SUCH THING as "pre-rabbinic" Judaism. Judasim was INVENTED by the rabbis of Judaism, the Pharisees. There were no Pharisees during the Maccabean Period. The entire expression, "pre-rabbinic Judaism," is a non-sequitur because it presumes that Judaism existed before the Pharisaic invention. It's like saying "pre-Papal Catholicism." Well, there was no Catholic Church before the Popes declared it. The first Pope who deemed to declare himself the "Universal Bishop," or "Pope," was Boniface III in 607 AD. There was an abundance of Christian history prior to this, but none of the preceding Bishops (Patriarchs) of Christendom either took that title or recognized a "Pope" who had authority over any other Bishop. Catholicism and Popery go together, just as do Judaism and Pharisaism. This anachronistic use of words has given Judaism a false antiquity and a false standing in the eyes of all scholars and Christians who equate Judaism with the Mosaic Law.*

*Farmer compounds this error by retranslating Gal. 1:13-14, where he substitutes 'Judaism' for "the Jews' religion" as found in the KJV.*

*Here is the KJV: "For you have heard of my conversation in time past in the Jews' religion [Can it be any clearer that Paul regards the Jews' religion as DIFFERENT FROM Mosaism?], how that beyond measure I persecuted the church of God, and wasted it: And profited in the Jews' religion above many equals in my own nation, being more exceedingly jealous of the traditions of my fathers."*

*Here, Paul is telling us that he was raised in the traditions of the Pharisees, as everyone knows. Note that he makes a sharp line of distinction between the "Jews' religion" and that which was of "my own nation." Since Paul was a Benjaminite, he was an Israelite of the Covenants. The fact that he practiced Pharisaism does not change his race from Israelite to Edomite.*

*Here is Farmer's translation: "You have heard of my former life in Judaism, how I persecuted the church of God and tried to destroy it; and I advanced in Judaism beyond many of my own age among my people, so extremely zealous was I for the tradition of my fathers."*

*Although Farmer is technically correct in referring to the religion of the Pharisees as "Judaism," the fact is that there was no such name for Pharisaism at that time. His translation is anachronistic and conveys the standard impression that Judaism was a well-established religion before Pharisaism. So, the KJV translation is more accurate because it clearly differentiates between the Jews' religion versus the religion of HIS OWN PEOPLE. Remember, Christianity was still in the process of being developed from Mosaism at this time. Peter and the other Apostles were still operating under the Old Covenant.*

*Farmer, by using the word 'Judaism,' assumes that Judaism is the same as the Mosaic Law, which it never was. It is precisely such sloppy scholarship which gives credence to the false idea that the patriarchs of Judah practiced "Judaism." The proper understanding of this passage is this: Saul's family of transplanted Benjaminites in Tarsus practiced the religion of the Pharisees. Tarsus was a major center of commerce where you will always find Edomite Jews in abundance! It was not until his conversion experience that Saul/Paul realized that Pharisaism and the Law were not the same. Saul was zealous for the wrong law! Complicating this factor is the fact that Paul was one of the few who understood that the NEW COVENANT was put into effect at Calvary, thus abolishing the sacrificial priesthood, whether it be Levitical or Pharisaic. Whatever rituals the Pharisees practiced were either borrowed from the Mosaic Law or invented by them. This combination of expropriation and invention is today known as Talmudic Judaism. The Judahites of Judah never practiced this combination, for this "tradition" was unheard of until the time of the Pharisees, beginning around 150 BC.*

*At no time does Paul equate Pharisaism with the Mosaic Law. That is simply an assumption scholars have made because the rabbis of Judaism have been saying, for the last 2,000 years, that these two opposites are the same.*

*The historical fact is that the Pharisees (the first rabbis of Judaism) came into the nation of Judah as the first non-Judahite PRETENDERS. These non-Judahite Pharisees insinuated themselves into the company of Judah with the backing of the military power of the Roman army, because the Roman army was instructed to enforce the policies of one Antipater, an Idumean usurper of Judah's royal throne. Antipater had Rome install his son, Herod, another non-Judahite, as co-regent with King John Hyrcanus as Hyrcanus was growing old. This contrived co-regency presided over the newly combined territories of Judah and Idumea. This combining began in the year 150 BC. (A similar thing is happening today as the Jewish usurpers in America are attempting to combine America, Canada and Mexico into the new nation of Amerexico.) All these facts are given to us by Josephus himself in his writings. Josephus clearly voices his disapproval of these political developments and shows his loyalty to the Hasmonean Dynasty, of which John Hyrcanus was one, although it was not possible for Josephus to be privy to the secret machinations of Antipater and the Canaanite/Idumean merchants (the word 'Canaanite' means "merchant" or "traffiker") he represented. Josephus could only be aware of what happened on the political stage. He would have to deduce what might have happened behind the scenes. Josephus thus describes these events as an alliance between Hyrcanus and Antipater; but, in reality, it was a secret coup against Judah, by these outsiders from Idumea, who used Hyrcanus as their dupe.*

*The Jews did the same thing to Czarist Russia when they set up the Kerensky government to take a deliberate pratfall for the Bolsheviks. Thus, their imperialistic designs were cloaked by the cover of "democracy." The Jews are doing the same thing to America today. Claiming to be "Americans," they promote wars in our name; they infiltrate our highest offices; they pervert our educational system; they distort our culture with their sick forms of "entertainment," and our people are none the wiser, thinking that "the Jews are just like us," except for their religion, of course, which is presumed to be that of God's "chosen."*

*Is it possible for a nation to be more thoroughly brainwashed than America is today?*

*One crucial bit of information is contained in **Antiquities**, Book XIV, Chapter 9, Paragraph 5: "But when Hyrcanus saw that the members of the Sanhedrim were ready to pronounce the sentence of death upon Herod, he put off the trial to another day, and sent privately to Herod and advised him to fly out of the city, for that by this means he might escape."*

*Is this not a classic case of a traitor covering for an infiltrator? Just as Rosenfelt (FDR) covered for Alger Hiss and Harry Dexter White? If Josephus were a Jew pretending to be a Judahite, would he reveal such facts or would he leave them out of his narrative in order to protect the*

guilty? Clearly, Josephus, the Judahite, is telling us, his kinsmen, that the Idumeans were in league with Hyrcanus. He does not treat these two peoples as if they were the same. It is only the Jews and their modern dupes and mercenaries who treat Judahites and Idumeans as if they were the same ethnic culture.

Now, the scribes were instrumental in getting the Pharisees a foothold in Judah. This is another aspect of history that our people do not know about. It is a fact that the House of Judah and the nation of Judah had employed Edomite scribes as recordkeepers, accountants, copyists, and as helpers since the days of King David. (These official employees are referred to in Scripture as Nethinim. Some Nethinim were Judahites. Others were Edomites.) Some of these Edomite scribes may have been loyal employees, but I guarantee you that most of them were agents of the foreign devils, acting as spies for the Edomites. Just as Jews today are Jews first and Americans last, they are working for their own people, their own agenda, their own religion, their own culture, their own profit, their own Israeli State, and their own god, Satan. Anyone who gives a Jew credit for being an American is thoroughly deceived, for all Jews are Jews first, Americans last, even if a particular Jew may be friendly to a particular non-Jew. This is the Jewish way. Any Jew who deviates from it becomes an outcast with the full force of Jewish excommunication coming down upon him, as Arthur Koestler discovered when he published his book, *The Thirteenth Tribe*, exposing the fact that 95% of today's Jews are not even Shemites, let alone Judahites or Israelites!!!

Now, pay attention, folks, because here comes the historical meat:

When the nation of Judah, which was composed exclusively of the racial descendants of the patriarch Judah, plus some Benjaminites and some Levites, was combined with the nation of Idumea, two racially distinct people (one pure, one mixed) who practiced two diametrically opposed religions became one nation. But the ethnic differences fully remained! This was a marriage conceived in hell by Antipater, enforced by Rome, and carried out by Herod.

So, when all of this world's religious commentators, theologians, historians and scholars tell you that these Edomites CONVERTED to Judaism, they are teaching falsehood, because that explanation presumes that Judaism existed in Judah before the "conversion." The reality is that the combined sects of the scribes and the Pharisees ALTERED Mosaism so as to CREATE Judaism. There was no such thing as Judaism before this merging of two disparate nations and their separate traditions, one being Mosaic, the other pagan. To the novice, the differences between Judaism and Mosaism may seem subtle but the reality is that any similarity between Judaism and Mosaism has been CONTRIVED by the rabbis. Thus, the orthodox perception gives Judaism a legitimacy which it does not deserve. By the use of carefully contrived words and slightly altered history, Judaism has thus been falsely associated with the House of Judah, when the Judahites never practiced that religion at all.

Now, it can be seen that these scribes and Pharisees had formed a NEW SECT that never existed among the exclusive people of Judah. Before this sect, there was no such thing as a sect which taught that non-Judahites could become Judahites by decree or by adoption. This anti-Mosaic, anti-Levitical conversion policy began with the scribes and Pharisees; and this spelled the origin of the religion called Judaism; and this policy is still true of Judaism today; but it was NEVER true of Mosaism and it was never taught before the scribes and Pharisees came on the scene. The Law of Moses absolutely prohibits us from inviting non-Israelites to come into our congregation and practice our religion; and it absolutely prohibits our people from intermarrying with non-Israelites. The scribes and Pharisees broke both of these laws. This is how Judaism came into being. Thus, Judaism can only be understood as a DEVIATION from Mosaism, though it claims Mosaism as its basis. The simple reality is that the Pharisees ASSUMED Mosaism IN ORDER TO PERVERT IT to their purposes, and Yahshua Messiah understood this completely. This is why they conspired to murder Him, for fear of exposure, so that the populace would not catch on to their con game.

*The scribes and Pharisees, with the military force of Rome backing them up, foisted THEIR OWN TRADITIONS upon the people of Judah and claimed to be the inheritors of the Mosaic Law. So, Judaism began as a VIOLATION of the Laws of Moses, not as a continuation of those Laws. After three or four generations of this deception, even some Judahites were unable to see the differences between the Mosaic Law and the traditions of the Pharisees, just as Saul was fooled before he became Paul.*

*The rabbis of Judaism have always made their living pretending that their tradition existed among the people of Judah throughout Judah's history. As long as people believe this lie, their charade as Israel and Judah prospers at our expense. This impersonation of Judah has deceived the Entire World. In political terms, an act of sabotage by an outsider is thus falsely perceived as a mere civil dispute. It is due to this very clever deception that every historian, theologian and commentator mistakenly calls the Judahites and Israelites of Old Testament times "Jews." As I have said elsewhere, this is the "Immaculate Deception" of Judaism. [Here is a link to that article: [http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/newsletter/2006\\_12\\_1.php](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/newsletter/2006_12_1.php) ] By obscuring and occluding this historical period, Jewry has been able to convince the world that they originated in Judah when they in fact originated in Idumea.*

*Furthermore, they claim to have been present in Egypt during the Captivity, wandering through the land of Canaan for forty years after the Exodus, present at Mt. Sinai when the Law was delivered to Moses, etc., etc., when there were, in fact, no Jews involved in any of these historical events. These people were Israelites, not Jews.*

*The best way of putting it is this: A Culture of Lies has been taking advantage of a Culture of Honesty for the last 2,150 years, beginning with those turbulent years of John Hyrcanus and Herod the Great. Since that time, the Jews have been masquerading as both Israel and Judah simultaneously. Through their relentless efforts of rewriting and distorting history, they have convinced us that they are the Chosen People of Israel, when, in fact, they are Israel's WORST ENEMIES, pretending to be "kin" to Christian Israel in order to destroy us!...as related in the story plot above. This is classic parasitism!*

*The reality is that there were no Jews in the Egyptian Captivity. There were no Jews involved in the Exodus. There were no Jews wandering through the land of Canaan with Moses. There were no Jews present at Mt. Sinai for the reading of the Law. There were no Jewish members of either of the Two Houses (Judah or Israel) during their turbulent history. There were no Jewish people in the Captivity at Babylon. There were no Jews involved in the post-Babylonian Reconstruction of Jerusalem. There was no Jewish priesthood during any of these episodes of OUR history. These people were Israelites, not Jews.*

*By continuously promoting the idea that "Israel" and "Jewry" are identical or that "Judah" and "Jewry" are identical, the rabbis of Judaism have successfully created the impression that their ancestors were present at ALL of these historical events when they were, in fact, present at NONE of these events. They have nothing whatsoever to do with OUR history; however, they are a most subtle and crafty people, taking after their father, Nachash. This is what makes them such redoubtable experts at historical pretentiousness. In this, they have no equal.*

*From this it follows that Abraham was not a Jew, Isaac was not a Jew, Jacob was not a Jew, Judah was not a Jew, none of his brothers were Jews, Moses was not a Jew, Aaron was not Jew, Joshua was not a Jew, David was not a Jew, Daniel was not a Jew, Jeremiah was not a Jew, and, most certainly of all, Jesus was not a Jew. Before Jacob, they were Hebrews. After Jacob, they were collectively known as Israelites. They never referred to themselves as "Jews," and their contemporaries never called them Jews, because the Jews are an entirely different ethnic group, both racially and religiously. In fact, even in New Testament times, the Judahites of Judea preferred to refer to themselves as "Israel."*

*In the Book of Acts, after Yahshua's Resurrection, he appeared to the Apostles, who asked Him, "Wilt thou at this time restore again the kingdom to ISRAEL?" (Acts 1:6.) Note, they DID NOT SAY "Wilt thou restore the kingdom to the Jews?" The Kingdom never belonged to the Jews. The Jews are impostors who are trying to steal the Kingdom from us.*

*In the parable of the Householder, Yahshua speaks of the "husbandmen," who, seeing that the Son and Heir had come to the vineyard, consulted among themselves and said, "This is the heir; come, let us kill him, and let us seize on his inheritance." (Matt. 21:38, repeated at Mark 12:7 and Luke 20:14.)*

*What is this inheritance? Obviously, it is the Kingdom that is yet to come. But, also, dear Christian Israelite, it is YOU. "For I will forsake the remnant of mine inheritance, and deliver them into the hand of their enemies...Because they have done that which is evil in my sight." (II Kings 21:14-15.) YOU, dear Anglo-Saxon Israel, are the flesh and blood inheritance of Yahweh, redeemed by Yahshua. Don't let anyone tell you that this not Christian doctrine. It's the false priests who have invented the "spiritual Israel" doctrine and who deny the Covenants, the Promises and the Inheritance. The Jews and their cohorts have done everything in their power to make you feel ashamed of who you are. They will call you a "racist" and an "anti-Semite" or an "extremist" for asserting your right to your own, family inheritance. Instead of rebuking Satan, we become dazed and stupefied, saying, "I'm sorry if I have offended you! Please forgive me for presuming to take that which is rightfully mine by inheritance!" Satan, smiling, says, "Off with your head!"*

*We have tolerated Jewish supremacism and injustice long enough! The time has come to reclaim our inheritance and take it away from the impostor.*

*The historical fact is that the rabbis of Judaism have appropriated OUR history and have claimed to be Israel and Judah since the days of the scribes and Pharisees. Now, why would they do this? Because they know that they are the children of the devil and the only hope they have of continued life is to prevent True Israel from obtaining the Kingdom...like a disease organism trying to prevent the cure from taking effect, the rabbis are doing everything they can to prevent us from claiming our inheritance. AND THEY HAVE ALMOST SUCCEEDED! They are trying to do to us what they did to Yahshua Messiah, because they know that Yahweh created us in order to take dominion away from them. (Psa. 8:6; Psa. 114:2; Eph. 1:11-12; Eph. Chapter 2.) Rev. 3:9: "Behold, I will make of them of the SYNAGOGUE OF SATAN, which say they are Judeans and are not [because they are really Edomites!], but do lie [as Jews are wont to do!]; behold, I will make them to come and worship before thy feet, and to know that I have loved thee." (Rev. 3:9.)*

*If you think that the Jews are anything other than the Synagogue of Satan, you are thoroughly deluded and you need to throw away your blinders and start looking at their works. "By their fruits shall ye know them." This is a life and death struggle, folks, between the parasite and the host!!!!...between the children of darkness and the children of light. Shouldn't you be able to tell the difference?*

*By assuming Hebrew names, pantomiming Israelite rituals, creating their own version of the Hebrew language, and by writing themselves into the pages of history where they never set foot, they have convinced the world that they are the Hebrew Israelites.*

*Thus, when Christian scholars and secular historians talk about the "Jews" in ancient Egypt, or the "Jews" at Mt. Sinai, or the "Jews" that returned from the Babylonian Captivity, or Josephus the "Jewish" historian, they have no idea how they have been deceived. By cleverly inserting the word 'Jew' into the modern translations of the Bible where it was never used by the original Hebrew authors, and by constantly rewriting history to make themselves look like the heroes of*

*Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus -- yes, even the Book of Esther -- etc., they have impersonated us in the most thorough fashion.*

*Now, mind you that, if the Jews really were Israel, perhaps one or two of the many prophecies concerning the Twelve Tribes would be true of them, but they are not. Numerous Christian Identity authors have pointed out that the Jewish people do not fit any of the Marks of Israel, namely those Israelite prophecies which have been fulfilled exclusively by Anglo-Saxon Israel, and no one else. Two of these prophecies should illustrate what I am saying:*

*Illustration #1: As pointed out earlier, Jeremiah 31:31-33 says that Israel would be subject to a NEW COVENANT in which the Law will be written in their hearts. As we all should know, this process was begun at Calvary when our original sin (by Adam and Eve in the Garden) was redeemed by Yahshua Messiah. Now, which group of people has accepted the Redeemer and the New Covenant? Jews or Anglo-Saxon Israel? Jesus Himself said that He came only for the "Lost Sheep" of the House of Israel, which brings up the second illustration:*

*Illustration #2: The children of Israel would fall into a state of amnesia during which they would FORGET THEY ARE THE ISRAEL OF SCRIPTURE. The following passages verify this: Isa. 29:1-14; 42:16, 19-20; Hosea 1:9-10; 2:7-19; Romans 11:7,8,25. Now, we Anglo-Saxons have indeed forgotten our Israelite roots. Can this be said of the Jews? Absolutely not! The fact is that the Jews have persisted in claiming to be Israel down through the centuries, so THEY NEVER FORGOT to claim they are Israel. In order to maintain their masquerade as Israel, they could not allow themselves to forget whom they are impersonating, otherwise the game is up! In the meantime, the rabbis are scrambling to prevent the Marks of Israel from seeing the light of day! But this is like trying to prevent the sun from rising. This is why these last days are turning into ABSOLUTE CHAOS, because the parasite has to pull out all the stops to prevent his own demise.*

*[For a DISCUSSION OF TWENTY SUCH MARKS, please refer to this linked article: [http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/newsletter/2005\\_07\\_01.php](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com/newsletter/2005_07_01.php) ]*

*Please read the verses cited above. After Isa. 29:14, there is a warning to those false prophets who have turned Scripture upside down. "Surely your turning of things upside down shall be esteemed as the potter's clay: for shall the work say of him who made it, He made me not? Or shall the thing framed say of Him that framed it, He had no understanding?" (Isa. 29:14-15.) This is a reference to the Talmudic Edomite Jewish rabbis who have distorted Scripture so as to put themselves in the starring role, even above Yahweh.*

*Only Talmudic Judaism teaches that G-d consults a rabbi before making a decision and that a rabbi can overrule G-d. Has not this clay disesteemed its maker? Would you expect a priesthood that honors itself above God to accept His Son as the Messiah? Recall the parable of the husbandmen. No, they would rather choose their own messiah. Before the world, these human devils posture as "pious" and "learned" men. The reality is they are the servants of Lucifer.*

*Given this knowledge that Judaism is an impostor religion, it is clear that Christianity as practiced by Israelites and Judahites is the True inheritor of the Mosaic Tradition. The New Covenant is made with exactly the same people as the Old Covenant: the Houses of Israel and Judah. That New Covenant applies only to us and to no one else. Furthermore, anyone who claims that the Covenants were transferred from the Jews to some universal church is just as much a deceiver as any rabbi, for the Bible says NO SUCH THING. Both entities, the Jews and the Replacement Church, are false claimants to the Covenants.*

*So, if the Jews are not Israel or Judah, then who are they? Who was the first Jew? This is a question that is often asked.*

*Since the Jews are impostors and Judaism is an impostor religion, the first bona fide Jew was the first Edomite who accepted circumcision under John Hyrcanus, who, consciously or not, was one of our worst traitors. It's understandable that Hyrcanus feared the Roman army, but his deal with the Idumeans to count them as members of the nation of Judah was an abomination. He never had to agree to that, nor did he have any Scriptural right to do so. Rome would have been perfectly happy to deal with Judah and Idumea as separate provinces, but Antipater had already made a deal with Rome that his son, Herod, would be co-regent of the combined provinces. Given these historical circumstances, a Jew is automatically defined as a pretender, usurper, impersonator, impostor of Judah. This impersonation began in the year 140 BC, when the first Idumeans accepted circumcision and became part of the new nation of Judea, which is NOT THE SAME as the nation of Judah, because the nation of Judah was an exclusively Judahite nation, no foreigners having been allowed rulership or citizenship. The parallel to America today is again striking as America was once a White Christian nation. Having been Judaized by the usurpers in our midst, today's modern White Christian is truly clueless as to how greatly our religion has been perverted in the last forty years, let alone the last 2,000 years. Now, Mr. Rusterholz, not having this history as background to Jewish impersonation techniques, takes the Jews at their word and believes them when they claim that they worship Yahweh, when they in fact worship Yahweh's adversary, Satan.*

*It is very common for deceived Whites to accuse CI people of "practicing Judaism" because they do not have any awareness of Jewish deception in these areas. They see us as practicing the "religion of the Old Testament," which they falsely believe to be Judaism. Because they believe the Jewish lie that Mosaism and Judaism are identical, they do not see that we practice the True Faith and that the Jews are impostors who actually practice a completely corrupt tradition. They still believe that "Jesus was a Jew" and that "Christianity owes its origins to Judaism" and all that other nonsense, which is nothing but carefully contrived rabbinical variations on this central and straightforward Biblical theme.*

*So, Marco accuses us of the same thing. We must be practicing "Judaism," he says, because we use the Name of Yahweh. At the same time, virtually every biblical scholar under the sun, Jewish and non-Jewish, admits that the Masoretic rabbis doctored the paleo-Hebrew text of the Old Testament and deliberately replaced the Name of YHWH with the word LORD nearly 7,000 times!!! Now, if the Jews were trying to PRESERVE the Scriptures as they claim, why are they REMOVING the most Sacred Name instead of preserving it?*

*Here, Christian Identity is actually fulfilling End Time prophecy: "They shall sanctify MY NAME, and sanctify the Holy One of Jacob, and shall fear the God of Israel." (Isa. 29:23.) This prophecy is confirmed at Rev. 22:4. Halleluyah! The Talmudic rabbis do none of these things. How can you sanctify the Name Yahweh if you forbid its use?*

*Clearly, Mr. Rusterholz is still thinking inside the box. He has not figured out the depths of Jewish deception on this subject. The fact is that the rabbis of Judaism have always taught that the Name of Yahweh should not be used, basing this teaching on the completely unscriptural claim that the Name is "too holy to be pronounced." Marco has it backwards. Yahwism is scriptural. Anti-Yahwism is Jewish, even though there might be an occasional Jew who uses the Name.*

*History and archaeology have proven beyond a shadow of a doubt that the Israelites used the Name of Yahweh. Other nations also used it. But only the nation of Israel used the Name of Yahweh exclusively. And if our people prayed to other gods, they did so in violation of their own Law! All other surrounding nations worshipped other gods as well as Yahweh, if they invoked the Name of Yahweh at all. Paganism has always promoted any and every god under the sun. Now, since the English LORD can be translated from the Chaldean BAAL, we have another historical deception, but one which is now thoroughly understandable. Since Judaism is really a disguised form of Babylonian paganism, would they not craftily substitute the name of their*

*real god, Baal, in place of OUR GOD, YAHWEH? This is what the Masorettes did, causing us to forget His Holy Name; and this deception was even foreseen by Yahweh:*

*"Can any hide himself in secret places that I shall not see him? saith Yahweh. Do not I fill heaven and earth? saith Yahweh. I have heard what the prophets said that prophesy lies in My Name, saying, I have dreamed, I have dreamed. How long shall this be in the heart of the prophets that prophesy lies? Yes, they are prophets of the deceit of their own heart; **Which think to cause My People to forget My Name** by their dreams which they tell every man to his neighbor, as their fathers have forgotten My Name for Baal." (Jer. 23:24-27)*

*The **Zondervan NIV Exhaustive Concordance** has this to say about the word 'LORD' in a footnote on p. 683: "**LORD** distinguishes the words translated as "Lord" and "lord" from the proper name of God, Yahweh, indicated in the NIV by "LORD" and indexed under the heading **LORD**, pages 686-707."*

*It was the Jewish Masorettes who caused us to forget His Name, Yahweh, by writing it out of the Scriptures. So, if the Jews DENY Yahweh and CI affirms Him, then it is those who DENY YAHWEH who are practicing Judaism. By FALSELY ASSUMING THAT Yahweh is a "Jewish God," Mr. Rusterholz has unwittingly played into the hands of the rabbis who actually want us to deny the Name of our Creator! – Eli]*

*[Mr. Rusterholz continues, still quoting Dilling:]*

*The purveyors of this folly state ... " Probably the greatest misconception about Hebrew is that it has no vowels. Josephus says otherwise; ... Josephus lived long before the vowel pointing of Rabbinical Hebrew was employed. "*

*BOY OH BOY! If Josephus used this term and revealed that the 'sacred name of G-d' was actually emblazoned on their garb ... then surely there must be merit to it ... right??? Those who promote this really must think that we are stupid. To substantiate their argument that the Tetragrammaton (YHVH) has some merit in being used today in a Christian/Bible Believing society they quote Josephus – 'Antiquities of the Jews' Wars 5:5:7 "...A mitre also of fine linen encompassed his head ... about which there was another golden crown, in which was engraven the sacred name: it consists of **four vowels** ."*

*[Now, here, Mr. Rusterholz has made a mountain out of a molehill. First of all, nobody says that the paleo-Hebrew contained no vowels. That is a complete misunderstanding. The SPOKEN Hebrew clearly had vowels. Leaving the vowels out of the WRITTEN Hebrew was a conscious choice. Given a vocabulary of only 8,000 words in the paleo-Hebrew, the prophets and scribes could easily afford to leave out the vowels in the words without any loss of meaning. I believe that the vowels were deliberately LEFT OUT of the scrolls to save space. The sheepskin or parchment scrolls of the day were very expensive, heavy, and cumbersome. So, to save space, they deleted those vowels which were deemed unnecessary to the copyist. If you have to copy a 1,000,000 word document by hand, wouldn't you want to employ some economical devices as a form of shorthand?*

*Let's pick one of Mr. Rusterholz's sentences as an example: "If Jsphs usd ths trm nd rvld tht th scrd nm f Gd ws ctily mblznd n thr grb...thn srly thr mst b mrt t it, rght?" I'm sure the reader can pick out the sentence I am referring to in his previous paragraph. Your mind automatically fills in the blanks if you are a proficient English reader. I have kept the vowels for words that begin with vowels because English has far more words to choose from than did ancient Hebrew. If we add the pertinent vowels missing from the vry shrt wrds, then you have an idea of the shorthand technique that OUR SCRIBES employed. This was the burden of the copyists. (As I just typed the word 'copyists' without an 'o', my computer automatically inserted it for me!!!)*

*[Here is a link to an article by Helmut Richter, explaining how written paleo-Hebrew has come down to us largely without written vowels:*

*To make this molehill even more of mountain, Marco believes Dilling when she suggests that Josephus is lying to us when he asserts that the Name of Yahweh consists of four VOWELS instead of four CONSONANTS, as all scholars that I know of agree that the Hebrew scrolls consisted primarily of consonants. What he and Dilling do not understand is that YHWH can also be spelled i-e-v-e, meaning "to be" or "to become," and these are considered as VOWELS when written in the verb form. So, they make a big deal out of the fact that Josephus seems to be contradicting all of the world's known Hebrew experts when he says these are vowels, not consonants. Mr. Rusterholz suggests that we are somehow deluding ourselves by placing such importance on this Name when there is such confusion about it. His concern is well taken but it is a false concern.*

*Elizabeth Dilling and Marco Rusterholz are correct in being suspicious of anything that the rabbis teach; but here there is nothing that Josephus can be guilty of. His critics have jumped to a false conclusion. It is rare that both CI and non-CI scholars agree on such matters. Elizabeth Dilling has tried to create controversy where there is none. Since she was not aware of CI scholarship on any of these important issues, she also confuses the Levites with the Pharisees, just as the Pharisees have tricked her into doing!!! Her mistake is understandable because of her mistrust of Talmudic Judaism.*

*Following Dilling's lead, Marco Rusterholz has overreacted against the Sacred Name, believing it to be a Jewish concoction when it is, in fact, the True Hebrew Name of the Eternal God. Now, I can assure you that the rabbis' greatest delight is to see Christians disputing over doctrine. You can bet that they will not offer any type of clarification. This is part and parcel of the Pharisaic method. They love to see us squabbling over both trivial and major matters while they laugh! They are probably placing bets as to which side might win this argument. If anything, the only thing a rabbi might do is to inject even more confusion into the debate by making irrelevant or suggestive comments. Over the course of history, rabbinical confusion has been very well crafted, scripted and rehearsed, so they are not likely to interject anything unless they see us getting wise to their false doctrine.*

*What Christians do not realize is that everything about Judaism, from its beginning in Judea with the Pharisees, and Jewry is an act, a public performance designed to fool the mainstream Christian. Thanks to Judeo-Christianity, the Christian has become a bystander on the sidelines of life, a tragic spectator, uninvolved in his own destiny, utterly discouraged from contending for his Faith, believing that to do so would offend someone else. Who might that someone else be? Judeo-Christianity, if it does not deny the validity of the Old Testament for Christians, most certainly demands that the Christian be a passive spectator while the Jew commands the stage of politics, business, entertainment, publishing, and, most of all, religion. The Christian spectator tolerates the intolerable while the Zionist steamroller crushes the opposition. Christian Zionists are told, "Stand aside, 'G-d's Chosen People' are fulfilling their destiny." Yes, they are. They are fulfilling their destiny as the Serpent People, the children of the devil. The mild-mannered Christian cannot accept the possibility that the Jew is really the anti-Christ, not the Chosen. In spite of all the evidence revealing Zionism/Talmudism as the anti-Christ, such a suggestion is just too far-fetched and too "hateful."*

*When confronted with Zionism, the Christian bystander becomes rabidly involved, sacrificing his own interest for that of the Jews. Again, this is classic parasitism.*

*Whether the Name of YHWH consists of four consonants or four vowels is IRRELEVANT. Even in English, we have words that are written exclusively with vowels, such as the word "I." The word 'I' consists of the letter 'i' which is a VOWEL. Nevertheless, it is still a WORD. In French, the word 'oui' consists of three vowels, yet it is still a word which is understood as meaning*

"Yes," which in English consists of a consonant-vowel, 'y', a consonant, 's', and a vowel, 'e'. What Miss Dilling teaches about Josephus is just plain silly; and Mr. Rusterholz should have done more homework before jumping to the same conclusions. Under no circumstances can Josephus' character be questioned by such an argument. The fact is that the Jews have contrived to hide the Sacred Name from us Israelites; and Mr. Rusterholz, rather than attacking us for using the Sacred Name, Yahweh, should be attacking the Jews for their suppression of it. Gérard GERTOUX, a Hebrew scholar in France, a specialist in the Tetragrammaton, has argued that the letters Y, W, H can be read as the vowels I (or E), U (or O), and A. For the H, a mute e could be added in order to be better heard. Thus, according to Gertoux, the name is to be pronounced as if it consisted only of vowels. The result is that the Tetragrammaton is a Hebrew name which can be rightly considered as constituted, not of four consonants, but of four vowels, as noted by Josephus.

[Here is a link to his article on the subject of how the Sacred Name fell into disuse among the Judeans. Bear in mind that he is not Identity and does not distinguish between Jews and Judahites. He is a Hebrew scholar who has taken the Jewish bait as have all others, except those of us in CI:

[http://gertoux.online.fr/divinename/getbook/ordering/name\\_story1.doc](http://gertoux.online.fr/divinename/getbook/ordering/name_story1.doc)

My point is to show that there is honest debate about the consonants versus vowels issue, so accusing Josephus of being a liar and a Jew is unwarranted.]

At the Wedding Feast of the Lamb, the saints of the Twelve Tribes will once again be His servants: "And they shall see his face; and his name shall be in their foreheads." His Name is Yahweh, but the Jewish editors have tried to write it out of Our Book. – Eli]  
[Now, back to Rusterholz:]

Who was Josephus anyway? What if Josephus was actually a member of a sect whose teachings actually undermine those of our God and absolutely loath Jesus? Well read on.

*The Life Of Flavius Josephus* [In these following points, Marco is quoting Josephus. – Eli:]

"(1) The family from which I am derived is not an ignoble one, but has descended all along from the priests; ..."

[I am not going to accuse Mr. Rusterholz of deliberate character assassination, but the first point of this argument is a classic case of sloppy work, because it can lead to the false conclusion that the word 'priest' as used here can only mean "Pharisee," when it actually means Levites, as Josephus himself attests.

In this item, **Item 1**, he fails to give a complete quotation, thus leaving an open-ended invitation to all sorts of conjecture. Here is the complete quotation: "The family from which I am derived is not an ignoble one, but has descended all along from the priests; and as nobility among several people is of a different origin, so with us to be of sacerdotal dignity, is an indication of the splendour of a family. Now, I am not only sprung from a sacerdotal [sacred, by implication, holy seed of Israel – Eli] family in general, but from the first of the twenty-four courses. And as among us there is not only a considerable difference between one family of each course and another, I am of the chief family of that first course also; and, further, by my mother I am of the royal blood; for the children of Asmoneus from whom that family was derived, had both the office of the high priesthood and the dignity of a king for a long time together." -- from his autobiography, **The Life of Flavius Josephus**.

Josephus goes on to give more details of his hereditary background, naming his Judahite ancestors, but this quotation should suffice for detail. What is important for your consideration,

*dear reader, is that ONLY PURE-BLOODED JUDAHITES WERE RECORDED IN THE TEMPLE GENEALOGIES. No foreigners were so recorded This makes him a fully heritable Judahite, as he says, of noble blood. Thus, there were no Pharisees among them, because the Pharisees came from outside of Judah, from Idumea. So, if you think that Josephus is an impostor, then you are going to have to refute all of these claims and show, point by point, that he is a liar. It is one thing to say that a man is a liar. It is another to refute his statements.*

*Of course, Marco Rusterholz is not the only person who doubts the genuineness of Josephus; but that doubt has only come about because of Jewish deceptions. With respect to their priesthood, their main deception is the false equation of the Pharisees as comprising the hereditary priesthood of Judah!!! Their main racial deception is the pretence that they are either Judah or Israel. Add to this numerous literary deceptions, and you begin to understand how Talmudic Judasim has been able to impersonate Judah and Israel for the last two thousand years.*

*One very simple, deceptive, literary device is called an interpolation. An interpolation is a deliberately false translation. The Jews use this device in dozens of ways, some of which have already been discussed.*

*When the VERY TITLE of his own Book has been interpolated by very clever Jews, then we naturally assume that Josephus was a Jew, for the simple reason that his works are entitled "Antiquities of the Jews" and "Wars of the Jews," and virtually everybody in the world refers to him Josephus, the "Jewish historian." But Josephus himself very clearly tells us that he is a JUDAHITE of the House of Judah having both Levitical, priestly blood through his father and the royal blood of Judah through his mother. This is certainly not true of any modern Jew; and it was not true of any Jew at any point in history because the Jews are IMPOSTORS, not real Judahites. Furthermore, if the Jews are Judah, then why has the Davidic Dynasty died out in them, when Yahweh tells us that David's descendants shall rule upon earthly thrones as long as there is day and night? (Jer. 33: 17, 20-21.)*

*Now, the Jews have convinced both scholars and laymen that Josephus must be a "Jew" because the Jews themselves call Josephus a "Jew." They claim him as one of their own. Well, they, the very executioners of Christ, call Jesus a "Jew" too, don't they? Yet, they refused to accept Him as their Redeemer! Why? Because Yahshua Messiah was not a "Jew" by any definition of the word, and the Jews know it.*

*Was Jesus a Jew by religion? Absolutely not. He was the world's most vociferous denunciator of their religion. Was Jesus a Jew by race? Absolutely not. He was a pure-blooded Judahite, not a half-breed or an Edomite (Sephardic) or Khazar Jew. If you accept the Jewish definition of the word 'Jew,' then opposites are posited as identicals, and the whole world is deceived. The rabbis have invented these word-games for us and we play along in absolute ignorance of how the game is played!! By rabbinical logic, Jesus was a traitor to Judaism and to Judah...and too many of our people today still believe this Jewish fable. The reality is that the Pharisees were an impostor priesthood that rejected OUR MESSIAH IN OUR NAME!!!! They pretend to be of the same race as Yahshua to give themselves credibility in the eyes of the deceived masses.*

*Thanks, but NO THANKS!!! No Jew deserves the credit of belonging to the family of Yahshua Messiah! They are the husbandmen who killed the heir in order to seize his inheritance.*

*The next time some duped Christian walks up to you and says, "My boss is a Jewish carpenter," tell him, "Show me a Jewish carpenter! Don't hold your breath while trying to find one."*

*Because we have fallen for the Jewish trick of equating Judahites with Jews, then we confuse Jesus with one of them and we also confuse Josephus with being one of them. I am hoping that this is clear because we are discussing multiple layers of deception; and the deception begins*

*with false definitions created by the impostor himself. The rabbis of Judaism are the absolute masters of the deceptive use of words and pictures; and with their influence and money they have fooled us into believing both their gross and subtle distortions simply by using deceptive definitions, titles and captions. (By the way, this is exactly how the modern practice of Law has been corrupted as well. Of all ethnic groups, Jews comprise the greatest number and percentage of lawyers. "Shysters" is the common term for Jewish lawyers. Would you like to know what Jesus thinks of lawyers/scribes? Do your own research!!!)*

*The only reason we have for believing that Josephus was a Jew is the fact that Jews themselves claim he was. Following their lead, which is nothing other than a historic brainwashing process, we falsely equate True Judahites with the Impostor Jew. Like Jesus before him, Josephus was a pure-blooded Judahite, not a mongrelised Jew. And as a descendant of the First Course of Temple servants, he could only be a Levitical Judahite. From my study of this era, it is apparent to me that the Twenty-Four Courses was an area of Temple service that the scribes and Pharisees were not able to infiltrate or overthrow, because it was a hereditary service done exclusively by Judahites, who never referred to themselves as "Jews." That designation is falsely imposed upon them by the distorters of history, the Talmudic Jews.*

*These Temple "volunteers" of the Twenty-Four Courses spanned the gamut from rich to poor, but they were Judeans whose family genealogies were recorded in the Temple records. What many Christians fail to understand is that the Judahite people were forced to accept the authority of the scribes and Pharisees, who were by the Roman legions; and the Judahite people never accepted Herod as one of them. This is why Herod attempted to have the genealogical records in the Temple destroyed, because he knew he could not prove his Judahite ancestry. And this was true of all of the impostors who occupied Judea in that day. It is important to understand that these Pharisees were a sect and not the official priests of the Judeans. Their authority was forced upon the people of Judah from outside, just as the Zionist Neo-Kahns in the Bush administration have been thrust upon the American people, even though they are a small minority of the population.*

*WE have the Truth, but THEY have the Money. As long as the economy holds up, they can purchase the allegiance – and the lip service or the silence – of the televangelists -- the lawyers -- the doctors -- the teachers -- the pulpit masters -- and the "journalists."*

*Have you ever wondered what qualifies so many Jews for the trade of Sports talk radio? They positively dominate the scene even though the Jews, as an ethnic group, have the least knowledge and experience in sports. But it's a BUSINESS! Oy vey!*

*What the Herodians and Pharisees did to Jesus Christ then, the Zionists are doing to the whole of White Christendom today. First, they killed our KING, now they are trying to kill US. Just because 2,000 years has passed doesn't mean that they have changed their strategy! In total ignorance, the People of the Lamb lie down prostrate while the Wolves in Sheep's Clothing silently kill them in the name of Zionism. Indeed, while not being able to tell a wolf from a true shepherd, Christians volunteer to be slaughtered in wars arranged by the Jewish Money Power. The actual titles of the works of Josephus should read "**Antiquities of Judah**" and "**Wars of Judah**." (I can accept "Antiquities of Judea" and "Wars of Judea" as well, because the Judahites of those days understood who the REAL JUDEANS were and who the Edomite impostors were, just as Paul did; and it is imperative that WE understand that distinction as well.) Because the rabbis of deception have falsely equated these two words ('Judah' and 'Jew') in our mind-box of false associations, we are not able to think that a Judahite and Jew are a different species entirely. WE are Adam-man. THEY are the Serpent people, more subtle than any beast we have ever encountered...*

*The REAL DICHOTOMY IS Judahite versus Jew. The Jewish False Dichotomy is "Jew" versus "Gentile." Most Christians have no idea that the so-called "Gentiles" (from the Greek 'ethnos,'*

meaning "race" or "nation") of Scripture are almost always the Israelites of the Dispersion, those who were carried away captive ("lost") in 745 BC by the Assyrians. No Jew ever underwent that experience. The real meaning of the word 'Gentile' is "RACE," namely, our race, not the Jewish people. The Jewish definition of the same word is "non-Jew." Which are you going to believe -- the original Hebrew and Greek-speaking authors or the Pharisees who have deliberately changed the meanings of these words?

A sidenote to this Assyrian Captivity is the fact that most of the House of Judah was taken away later by Sennacherib (II Kings 18:13); and these Judahites were added to the body of the "Lost Sheep" of the House of Israel. Thus, ALL TWELVE Tribes (James 1:1) were scattered abroad and are represented as the "Lost Sheep," but the remnant of the two-tribed House of Judah was reestablished in Judea after the return from the Babylonian Captivity.

Once we stop accepting Jewish definitions of our words and Jewish versions of our history, then we will understand Scripture. Again, I am not unfairly singling out Marco Rusterholz. I am simply using his writing in order to convey the nature of the deception and to explain what is going on in our brainwashed minds. The vast majority of our people have been subjected to this simple technique of interpolation. Interpolation and impersonation go hand in hand. It's about time we understand how it works and understand how thoroughly we have been deceived by the rabbis. – Eli]

[Now, back to Rusterholz quoting Josephus, -- Eli:]

(2) "... in order to know my opinion about the accurate understanding of points of the law; (10) and when I was about sixteen years old, I had a mind to make trim of the several sects that were among us. These sects are three:—The first is that of the Pharisees, the second that Sadducees, and the third that of the Essenes, as we have frequently told you; for I thought that by this means I might, choose the best, if I were once acquainted with them all ; ... So when I had accomplished my desires, I returned back to the city, being now nineteen years old, and began to conduct myself according to the rules of the sect of the Pharisees, which is of kin to the sect of the Stoics, as the Greeks call them."

[Now, Marco Rusterholz expects us to accept his thesis that Josephus is a Pharisee because he studied with them. But, even in the passage quoted, Josephus clearly tells us that the very reason he studied with them was to find out what they teach so that he might decide which sect is the best. Mr. Rusterholz assumes, without proof, that Josephus had committed his life to them from that point on! -- Eli]

(5) "... yet did I go out of the temple again, after Manahem and the principal of the band of robbers were put to death, when I abode among the high priests and the chief of the Pharisees;" [Josephus tells us that he "abode," in the past tense, giving no indication that he still "abides" with them after those days. The implication by Josephus is that his association with the Pharisees was temporary and it now is over. This is the opposite of what Mr. Rusterholz implies. He would have us believe, "Once a Pharisee, always a Pharisee." This same sentiment is expressed by the Anti-Paulians within CI, who say virtually the same thing about Paul!!! Their intentions are good, but their understanding of the actual history is incomplete, at best. When one group is impersonating another, we cannot rely on the surface readings of the story. We have to look and see who is instigating all of this madness! Once you find the instigator, you will see the Jew or his agent hard at work!!! And True Israel and True Judah are always the victims!!

In paragraph 6 of his autobiography, Josephus tells us this – and please note the deceptive use of the word 'Jew' (an interpolation for either 'Judean' or 'Judahite' as the context will usually determine) which does not distinguish between those Judahites who opposed the Pharisees and those who were compelled to follow them. Speaking of the Scythopolans in their war against the

*Syrians, Josephus tells us: "...for when the Jews [sic, Judahites], their enemies, came upon them from without, they forced the Jews [sic, Judahites] that were among them to bear arms against their own countrymen, which it is unlawful for us to do..." Josephus is clearly identifying with the Judahites who were forced to fight in these wars. These battles were instigated by the various traitors of Judea who were working with the Idumean usurpers, the real Jews. Josephus points out that this is a violation of the Mosaic Law, not the Pharisaic Law, which even Elizabeth Dilling should know exploits the very people it pretends to protect!!! In Paragraph 4, Josephus tells us that he tried very hard to put an end to these wars because his people were being destroyed as a result. Josephus is trying to be a peacemaker and his own modern descendants are accusing him of being a conniving Jew!!!*

*Jewish leadership, on the other hand, has always displayed a cynical lack of regard for the suffering of their own people. Judaism has no regard for anything but the success of their commercial imperialism; so, if a few Jews are sacrificed to achieve the goals of the Oberjuden (Jewish overlords), so be it. Their history in the Twentieth Century and now this Century abundantly confirms this. Because of Jewish deception, Rusterholz cannot read these passages in Josephus objectively. He has concluded that Josephus is Jew because "everyone says he is" and he cannot discern the deception.*

*At the end of Paragraph 6, Josephus affirms the fact that the Judeans did not voluntarily make war with Rome but that they were pushed into these wars by various connivers. Who might those connivers be? The Jews are still contriving wars for us today, as Afghanistan and Iraq were clearly contrived by the Neo-Kahns, the modern-day Zionist agents of today's Pharisees, who operate behind the scenes of the Bush regime. Our people are woefully ignorant of how the Jews infiltrate our governments and hire traitors to destroy our own nations. This is a very old, very sad, oft-repeated story. – Eli]*

**(12)** "... Now, as soon as I was come into Galilee, and had learned this state of things by the information of such as told me of them, I wrote to the sanhedrin at Jerusalem about them, and required their direction what I should do. "

So ole Josephus is actually a member of, and from a long lineage of, a sect that promotes the Tetragrammaton and, as we shall prove later, whom are a bunch of God haters and Jesus killers. Well that gives him a heap of street 'cred' when it comes to the practices of the enemies of true Israel. But it does not give him any credibility at all when it comes to Israelite priestly practice! *[Rusterholz is correct in saying that the Jews are a bunch of God-haters and Jesus killers, but he doesn't know the half of it!!! He is absolutely wrong when he states that they are the same people who worship Yahweh!! This he has absolutely wrong!! That was the Levitical priesthood, NOT the Pharisee-Jews!!! Rusterholz apparently makes no distinction between the hereditary Levites and the Pharisees!! That's like not making a distinction between the Chicago Cubs and the Chicago White Sox when they play against each other!! Why bother keeping a record?*

*Concerning the passage he quotes from Josephus, what Rusterholz fails to mention is that Josephus goes on to say, "...I told them that I was sent there by the people of Jerusalem as a legate, together with these other priests, in order to persuade them to demolish that house which Herod the tetrarch had built there, and which had the figures of living creatures in it, although our laws have forbidden us to make any such figures..." So, here we have Josephus acting in accordance with the Law of Moses and our friend Rusterholz condemns him for it because he automatically assumes that Josephus is a Pharisee and an evil agent of the Sanhedrin. But Josephus clearly explains that he is acting against the Idumean, Herod, who is a pagan usurper! It is Herod who is the Babylonian Jew, not Josephus!!*

*Not knowing the difference between a real Judahite and the impostor Jew, Rusterholz lumps all these people together and assumes that anything Josephus does is no good! What he does not understand is that the Sanhedrin, the ruling Council, of that day was composed of loyal*

*Judahites, disloyal Judahites and usurper Jews! The Jews have always been our worst enemies, and they cover their crimes by pretending to be us and acting in our name! Jerusalem and Rome were the cities of Jewish intrigue then just as London, New York and Washington are the centers of Jewish intrigue today. Then and now, the Jews always act in the name of the nations they have infiltrated and corrupted. Rusterholz is not able to sort this out because he doesn't know the difference between a Jew and Judahite. – Eli]*

This next part is from the beginning of the book from where the 'sacred name' types like to quote ... 'Wars 5:5:7' "...A mitre also of fine linen encompassed his head ... about which there was another golden crown, in which was engraven the sacred name: it consists of **four vowels** ."

In 'The Jewish War - Book I - PREFACE . section 1 ) "I, Joseph, the son of Matthias, by birth a Hebrew, a priest also, and one who at first fought against the Romans myself, and was forced to be present at what was done afterward, [am the author of this work .] "

**REMEMBER:-** Josephus was a Priest of the Pharisees – he was NOT a Priest of the WORD! He was NOT a Priest, disciple or apostle of Jesus! His rituals and practices were those of the Pharisees!

*[I think I have shown by my objections that Rusterholz is wrong about Josephus and the 'cult' of Yahwists!! He has all of this history and religion confused. Here, in my opinion, are the facts: 1.) Yahweh is the Elohim of Israel, not the g-d of the Jews. 2.) Josephus is a Judahite who once had taken instruction from the Pharisees in order to find out what they taught. 3.) I don't think Josephus even claims to be an active priest. He received such training but his life became that of a historian and politician. Based on the skimpy evidence presented by Josephus, Rusterholz tries to condemn him by using his own words against him. I was brought up Catholic and I turned to Christian Identity. I'm sure there is not a Catholic in this world who, reading what I write, still considers me to be a Catholic!!! Rusterholz would assume that I am still a Catholic, even though I left the Church at the age of seventeen! Neither was Josephus a perpetual Pharisaic Jew. – Eli]*

Sure Josephus was a Hebrew (unmixed ?) [OK, Marco, this innuendo is very JEWISH of you! Two can play at this game!! Eli], but there is no mention of him being an Israelite. [Hogwash! You just don't understand that his genealogy makes him an Israelite of the House of Judah! – Eli] Remember in the book of John chapter 8 the scribes and Pharisees were involved in a number of discussions with Jesus. For the most part the purpose of the discussions was to trap him into doing something for which they could have an excuse to kill him. In verse 33 they (the Pharisees) said ... " They answered him, We be Abraham's seed, and were never in bondage to any man." Thus they were not Israelites at all as all of Israel had been in captivity to the Egyptians! [Marco, Excellent point! On this we are 100% agreed. But what has this got to do with Josephus other than your claim that he is one these same Pharisees? – Eli]

Furthermore; Jesus says to the Pharisees in verse 19 "... Ye neither know me, nor my Father : if ye had known me, ye should have known my Father also ."

Josephus doesn't know squat about the Father nor anything about Jesus. The reality was that as a member of the Pharisees living in and about Jerusalem, many years after the death of Jesus had caused the temple to be rent in two, he was NOT a follower of anything but Rabbinical tradition! As Finkelstein said, Pharisaism became modern Judaism. They are one and the same. [Marco, your general points about Judaism and Pharisaism are absolutely correct, but they do not apply to Josephus because you have incorrectly assigned to him permanent membership in a sect/group that he had actually left behind. – Eli]

Furthermore; the WORD of our Father has more to say of the sect known as the Pharisees. "I know that ye are Abraham's seed; but ye seek to kill me, because my word hath no place in you.

I speak that which I have seen with my Father: and ye do that which ye have seen with your father. They answered and said unto him, Abraham is our father. Jesus saith unto them, If ye were Abraham's children, ye would do the works of Abraham. But now ye seek to kill me, a man that hath told you the truth, which I have heard of God: this did not Abraham. Ye do the deeds of your father. Then said they to him, We be not born of fornication; we have one Father, even God. Jesus said unto them, If God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of myself, but he sent me. Why do ye not understand my speech? even because ye cannot hear my word. Ye are of your father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it."

*[Very good, Marco, I agree that Jesus says these things about the Pharisees in the 8th Chapter of John, but your claim that Josephus was one of them is erroneous. You are failing to make true distinctions among the people of those days because you do not recognize the subtlety of the Great Impersonation that was going on then and is still going on today. – Eli]*

Ok then; true Israel must now be able to see that Josephus' dress claims have no merit to us as Israelites. He is talking of a practice used by the priests of the Pharisees! We have proof that they do NOT know the Father, our God – "I am who I am" *[This is a fascinating comment since YHWH means "I AM that I AM." -- Eli]*, NOR our kinsman redeemer - Jesus. His writings are of an historical interest ONLY. The practices of the priestly types he talks of in ' Wars 5:5:7' have nothing to do with true Israel! The practices of true Israel, and their garb, are laid out in the bible and there is no mention of this addition to the dress of true Israel in Deuteronomy chapter 28, chapter 29 nor in Leviticus chapter 8. Thus the argument used by the 'sacred name' crowd is another Pharisee lie!

Marco Rusterholz

*[Mr. Rusterholz would have us read Josephus as if it were a lot of Jewish disinformation, thus it is "of a historical interest ONLY." I DISAGREE WITH THIS COMPLETELY. I see Josephus as a Judahite historian who gives us the straight dope about the days in which he lived. Once you drop the assumption that Josephus was Jew, then his distinctions between Judahites and Idumeans are quite revealing and understandable. If Josephus were, in fact, a Jew, he would have done everything possible to HIDE THESE DISTINCTIONS. Marco's assumed posture of animus against Josephus has clouded his ability to read Josephus for the accurate history he has provided.*

*Mr. Rusterholz places great weight on his assumption that name of Yahweh was a Jewish, not an Israelite, affair. He would have us rewrite Exodus 3:15 and all of the Scriptures that tell us to praise His Name and publish His Name. He thinks we're all dupes of the Pharisees. His concern I perceive is genuine and is well taken, but it is very clear to me who is being duped, and it is not the Yahwists!! WE are not teaching that the Jews are Judahites and we are not promoting any Jewish theology!! Quite the opposite! I hope I have clarified some very complex issues. Yah bless you all, Pastor Eli James]*



# **THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE CHURCH**

## **CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN**

**At last the bible makes sense!**

**At last we know its meaning.**

**Its the book of the RACE**

**"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the  
Word of the Lord from Jerusalem"  
(Isaiah 2:3)."**

