


Jesus Was Not A Jew Nick Goggin



Articles Available - By Dr. Wesley Swift

- 1) Was Yahshua The Messiah A Jew?**
- 2) The Standards of Israel**
- 3) Michael, Prince of Space**
- 4) What Really Happened in the Garden of Eden?**
- 5) Gathering of The Tares**
- 6) You: Before the world was Framed**
- 7) Give Not That Which Is Holy Unto Dogs**
- 8) Who Are The Jews?**
- 9) God's Call to Race**

Jesus Was Not A Jew

Nick Goggin

Many Christians and even church leaders are under the mistaken belief that Jesus was a Jew. Nothing could be further from the truth.

In the New Testament, the English word 'Jew' is a translation of the Greek Ioudaios (*Yehudah-os*), a Judean or inhabitant of Judah (*Yehudah*) - Judea - the former territory of the tribe of Judah (*Yehudah*).

Judea and Galilee were two separate states and political entities, as any Bible with maps of the Holy Land in New Testament times will show. Jesus Himself was not a Jew (Judean) living in Judea – **he was a Galilean** who lived in Galilee (Matthew 26:69, John 7:41), although a descendent of the Tribe of Judah. The Judeans of prominence were not of Judah, but of the evil Edomite people. Pilate was using irony when he wrote 'Jesus of Nazareth, King of the Jews [Greek = Judeans]' (John 19:19) – i.e. 'the Galilean who was King of the Judeans', as in 'Queen Victoria of England, Empress of India'. Jesus grew up in Nazareth in Galilee. His disciples were fishermen from the Sea of Galilee. Christ visited Jerusalem, but spent most of His life in Galilee. John 7:1 says, '**After this Jesus stayed in Galilee; He could not stay in Judea, because the Jews were out to kill him**'. His followers were constrained '**for fear of the Jews**' (John 7:13, 19:38, 20:19).

Why was this?

Psalm 83 says the people of God are 'hidden' (verse 3), and that they are under attack from a coalition of evil groups led by **Edom**. Who were/ are Edom?

Esau, the son of the patriarch Jacob, became the ancestor of the people called **Edom**, or **Idumea**. **The Edomites were converted as a group to become Jews** by Hyrcanus, in about 120 BC (Josephus, Antiquities, XIII ix 1; XV vii 9). Flavius Josephus the Jewish historian who lived just after the time of Christ, says '**They (Edom) were hereafter no other than**

Jews'. The Jewish scholar Cecil Roth in his *Concise Jewish Encyclopedia* (1980) says "John Hyrcanus forcibly converted [Edom] to Judaism. From then on they were part of the Jewish people... In the Talmud the name of Edom was applied to Christian Rome, and then used for Christianity in general" (!!!) (p 154)

Terrible judgements against Edom are made in most of the prophetic writings of the Old Testament. Have a look for yourself, for example, at Isaiah chapters 34 and 63, Jeremiah chapter 49 and the entire book of Obadiah.

In Isaiah chapter 63 we read, 'Who is this coming from **Edom .. in garments stained with crimson..?**'

'It is I [the Lord] who speak of integrity and am powerful to save.'

'Why are your garments **red**, your clothes as if you had trodden the **winepress?**'

- 'I have trodden the **winepress** alone.. In my anger I trod them down, trampled them in my wrath. **Their juice spattered my garments, and all my clothes are stained.** For in my heart was a day of vengeance, my year of redemption had come.. I crushed the people in my fury, trampled them in my anger, and **their juice ran all over the ground.**'

Reference Bibles connect these verses with the words of Revelations chapter 19 (verse 11 onwards), referring to the Second Coming of Christ: 'And I saw heaven opened, and behold a white horse: and He that sat upon him was called Faithful and True.. His eyes were a flame of fire, and on His head were many crowns, and He had a name written that no man knew but Himself. And **He was clothed with a vesture dipped in blood**, and His name is called The Word of God.. and He trod the **winepress of God's fierce anger.**'

Even Yahweh the God of Israel 'hated Esau (Edom)', a people 'against whom he has indignation forever' (Malachi 1:2-4). If Jesus will destroy Edom when He returns, **Edom is obviously still around and**

with us today, and also obviously evil and anti-Christian. God Himself is going to destroy the terrible anti-Christian Edomite-Babylonian money power, as the quoted chapters make clear.

An **Edomite**, Antipater, became the Procurator of Judea in 47BC. Ten years later his son **Herod actually became 'king of the Jews'**, starting an Edomite dynasty which ruled Palestine under the authority of Rome for over a hundred years. The Edomite 'conversion' clearly opened the way for a virtual takeover along the lines predicted by **Ezekiel**. This situation would in itself have been a stimulus for an influx of population from the arid country of Edom into the more hospitable neighbouring environment of Judea, an influx which would obviously have been encouraged for political reasons by the ruling Herodian dynasty. Edomites would have been appointed to the most influential positions, in order to extend and establish the Edomite grip on the territory and people. Herod became notorious amongst Christians for his 'massacre of the innocents', a supernaturally inspired attempt on the life of the infant Christ (Matthew 2:16). Herod's son Herod Antipas, continuing the work, was responsible for the grisly and appalling murder of John the Baptist (Matthew 14:6-12).

Christ himself consistently showed a really powerful antipathy towards the people that the English New Testament calls Jews, who (following the Greek text) were more accurately Judeans, the inhabitants of the Edomite-dominated territory of Judea. Jesus said to the Jew-Judeans '**Because you are not sheep of my flock** you do not believe' (John 10:24-27) and 'I was only sent to the lost sheep of the House of Israel' (Matthew 15:25). **In fact, Christ referred to those Jews** (people of Judea) '**who believed in him**', as being '**of their father the devil**' (John 8: 31,44), while in complete contrast He instructed His disciples - who were from Galilee, not Judea (Acts 1:11) - to pray to '**our Father who is in heaven**'. (There were exceptions, the true Judeans, who were of Israelite ancestry, for example Mary, Martha and Lazarus). Was He just suffering from alternating good and bad moods? John the Apostle in his book of Revelations refers to **those 'who say they are Jews** (Israelite Judeans, not Edomites) **and are not, but are the** (Edomite) **synagogue of Satan'** (2:9), repeated in the following chapter (3:9).

The Jews shouted ‘Crucify Him!’ (John 19:15) and ‘His blood be on us and on our children!’ (Matthew 27:25). In an appropriate turn about, when Jesus returns, **their blood** this time will stain His garments. The spiritual leaders of the Jews were the Pharisees, who unsurprisingly were associated with the (Edomite) Herodians ((Matthew 22:16, Mark 3:6 and 12:13). Jesus utterly condemned the Pharisees as **hypocrites**, using the word repeatedly (Matthew 15:7, 22:18, 23:13, 15, 23, 25 and 27).

He also called them ‘serpents, brood (offspring) of vipers’ (Matthew 3:8, 12:34, 23:33). Decide for yourself: was this just a loose hyperbolic turn of phrase, like ‘sons of bitches’, or was it the actual spiritual truth? As is well known, Satan was associated with the idea of *serpent*. The Jews of the western world like to portray WASPs (‘white Anglo-Saxon Protestants’) as the archetypal racists, but are adamant that Palestinians born in ‘Israel’ (formerly Palestine) and chased out by the violence and terror-tactics of the Jewish settlers, should not be permitted to return to their homes under any circumstances, although Jewish immigrants from Milwaukee or San Diego or anywhere else (even if atheists) should be given full citizenship and rights. This is radical racism.

A ‘Jew’ is defined in the Israeli constitution as someone born of a Jewish mother, irrespective of religious belief. The word does not refer therefore to a person’s religion, but to his or her ancestry or race. The virulent and violent racism of the Zionist-supporting anti-racists is therefore Pharisee-like in its hypocrisy. Jews have played a leading role in western countries in promoting multi-racialism in all spheres, the end result of which will be to destroy western culture and further reduce the influence of true Christianity. Jesus utterly condemned this hypocrisy and is going to utterly destroy the (Edomite) Jews. Christian churches that continue to support the anti-Christian Jews are, to put it mildly, going totally against the wishes of God.

‘Jesus spoke to the crowds in parables. He never spoke to them except in parables’ (Matthew 13:34). Why was this? Many churches and Sunday-schools teach that Jesus used parables to make His teachings clearer. **But all four Gospels say the opposite**. When Jesus was asked why He spoke to them in parables, He replied ‘Because the mysteries of the kingdom of

heaven are revealed to you, but not revealed to them' (Matthew 13:10-11, Mark 4:11,12, Luke 8:9, John 12:37+). In the passage in Mark, Jesus says 'The secret of the kingdom of God is given to you, but to those who are outside everything comes in parables, so that they may see and see again, but not perceive; may hear and hear again, but not understand; otherwise they might be converted and be forgiven'. Luke says Jesus told His disciples, 'The mysteries of the kingdom of heaven are revealed to you; for the rest there are only parables, so that they may see but not perceive, listen but not understand'.

Was Jesus simply concerned with the salvation of all the 'miserable sinners'? He said, 'Do not cast your pearls in front of swine, or they may trample them and turn and tear you to pieces' (Matthew 7:6). Are these actual pigs and was Jesus simply showing concern about his followers' jewellery? Who are these swinish-pigs if not the Jews, who follow the teachings of **the Talmud, wherein Jesus is described as the illegitimate son of a whore and cursed in the most terrible terms imaginable?** Why do Christians disobey Christ in trying to share that which is holy with the Jews?

One parable, that of the 'Wicked Husbandmen' (Matthew 21:33-46) describes a landowner who planted a vineyard. This landowner clearly refers to the Lord Himself, with the vineyard representing His true people Israel (Isaiah 5:7). He rents the vineyard out to tenants, who mishandle and even kill His servants who He sends to collect fruit and rent. Then He sends His son, and they say to themselves, 'This is the heir; come, let's kill him and take his inheritance.' Jesus asks what the lord of the vineyard will do to the tenants (the Edomites who took control of the Holy Land) and answers, 'He will bring them to a **terrible end**'. Eugene Peterson in his translation called *The Message*, translates this as '**He will kill them**'.

For Christians who actually study what Jesus said, including His explanation that the parables are in code, this parable is unambiguous and gives no excuse to those church leaders who teach that the Jews are the holy people. The Edomite-Jewish control of the United States, through patronage-sponsorship-BRIBERY of treasonous politicians and the ownership

of the media and powerful occupation of the universities and law system, and their Iraq-war-making activities whereby they expect non-Jews to fight and die for Neocon lies, shows how an ancient situation continues right into the present situation today.

Christ accused ‘those Jews who believed in Him’ (note this) of being ‘of their father the devil’, and that ‘(they) prefer to do what their father wants.. He was a murderer (blood-letter) from the beginning, he was never grounded in the truth; there is no truth in him at all.. because he is a liar and the father of lies..’ (John 8:31-44). The Concise Oxford Dictionary (1976) says the verb *jew* means ‘to cheat’. The Penguin English Dictionary (1965) says *jew* means ‘to defraud, to cheat’.

But do Jews ever come up with outstandingly audacious lies, lies which are enormous in their scope and implications and which truly live up to that quality of *chutzpah* so admired by Jews? Let us see.

Norman G. Finkelstein of the City University of New York says:

"The Holocaust has proven to be an indispensable ideological weapon. Through its deployment, one of the world's most formidable military powers, with a horrendous human rights record, has cast itself as a 'victim' state, and the most successful ethnic group in the United States has likewise acquired victim status. Considerable benefits accrue to this specious victimhood – in particular, immunity to criticism, however justified. " (Finkelstein, *The Holocaust Industry* (2000) published by Verso, p 3). In other words, Finkelstein exposes the HYPOCRISY.

Some further quotes:

"In an authoritative study, Leonard Dinnerstein reported: **Sixty thousand Jews.. walked out of the concentration camps. Within a week more than 20,000 of them had died.**". But ‘As it entered into negotiations with Germany [just two years ago, in 1999], the Holocaust industry demanded compensation for **135,000 still living former (camp inmates).**’

On page 83 he notes that ‘The Israeli Prime Minister’s office recently put the number of "living Holocaust survivors" at **nearly a million.**’ Finkelstein exposes the LIES.

On page 127 he further notes ‘If 135,000 former Jewish slave laborers are still alive today, some **600,000** must have survived the war. That’s at least a half-million more than standard estimates.. If Jews only constituted 20% of the surviving camp population and, as the Holocaust industry implies, **600,000** Jewish inmates survived the war, then fully 3 million inmates in total must have survived.

By the Holocaust industry’s reckoning, **concentration camp conditions couldn’t have been that harsh at all; in fact, one must suppose a remarkably high fertility and remarkably low mortality rate.** If, as the Holocaust industry suggests, many hundreds of thousands of Jews survived, the Final Solution couldn’t have been so efficient after all – exactly what Holocaust deniers argue. (pp127-8). ’

‘Both my father and my mother were survivors of the Warsaw ghetto and the Nazi concentration camps.. One of my father’s lifelong friends was a former inmate with him in Auschwitz, a seemingly incorruptible left-wing idealist who on principle refused German compensation after the war. Eventually he became a director of the Israeli Holocaust museum, Yad Vashem.

Reluctantly and with genuine disappointment, my father finally admitted that even this man had been corrupted by the Holocaust industry, tailoring his beliefs for power and profit. As the rendering of the Holocaust assumed ever more absurd forms, my mother liked to quote (with intentional irony) Henry Ford: "History is bunk." (*ibid*. p 7).

‘The Holocaust proved to be the perfect weapon for deflecting criticism of Israel’ (*ibid* p 30).

‘Much of the literature on Hitler’s Final Solution is worthless as scholarship. Indeed, the field of Holocaust studies is replete with nonsense, if not sheer fraud.’ (p 55).

‘Given the nonsense that is turned out daily by the Holocaust industry, the wonder is that there are so *few* skeptics’. (p 68).

‘Annual Days of Remembrance of the Holocaust are a national event. All 50 states sponsor commemorations, often in state legislative chambers.. Seven major Holocaust museums dot the American landscape. The centrepiece of this memorialisation is the United States Holocaust museum in Washington.. **(This) museum’s annual budget is \$50 million, of which \$30 million is federally subsidized.**’ (P 72). (This is in spite of the fact that, as he points out on page 32, per capita Jewish income in the US is almost double that of non-Jews). ‘With a reelection campaign looming, Jimmy Carter initiated the (US Holocaust Museum) project to placate Jewish contributors and voters, galled by the president’s recognition of the "legitimate rights" of Palestinians.’ (p 73). Finkelstein exposes the SWINDLE, a word formerly most often associated with Jews.

“The Holocaust" is an ideological representation of the Nazi holocaust. Like most ideologies, it bears a connection, if tenuous, with reality. The Holocaust is not an arbitrary, but rather an internally coherent construct. Its central dogmas sustain significant political and class interests.’ (p 3).
And:

‘The Holocaust may yet turn out to be the "**greatest robbery in the history of mankind**".. The Holocaust industry has clearly gone berserk.’(p 138-9).

Is this evaluation fair?

Have a look at a typical account by one of the seemingly endless number of survivors: Olga Lengyel’s *Five Chimneys: a woman survivor’s true story of Auschwitz* (Granada/ Ziff-Davis, 1947, 1972).

The blurb on the cover of the book quotes the New York Herald-Tribune: "Passionate, tormenting". Albert Einstein, the promoter of the US construction of the bombs used at Hiroshima and Nagasaki, is quoted as offering "You have done a real service by letting the ones who are now silent and most forgotten (sic) speak."

Lengyel says

‘After June, 1943, the gas chamber was reserved exclusively for Jews and Gypsies.. Three hundred and sixty corpses every half-hour, which was all the time it took to reduce human flesh to ashes, made 720 per hour, or 17,280 corpses per twenty-four hour shift. And the ovens, with murderous efficiency, functioned day and night. However, one must also reckon the death pits, which could destroy another 8,000 cadavers a day. In round numbers, about 24,000 corpses were handled each day. An admirable production record, one that speaks well for German industry.’ (Paperback edition, pp 80-81). [No trace of any remains of or in ‘death pits’ has been found.]

This implies almost 100,000 corpses per four working days, or a million in 40 days, or **six million in 240 days** (eight months).

Could this claim be a misprint?

Kitty Hart, in spite of her name a Jewish survivor born in Poland, fully confirms these figures:

‘Working around the clock, the four units together could dispose of about 18,000 bodies every twenty-four hours, while the open pits coped with a further 8,000 in the same period.’ (p 118; *Return to Auschwitz* - paperback edition by Granada (1981, 1983).

According to the cover blurb, ‘The subject of the award-winning Yorkshire television documentary of the same name.’ ‘Both engaging and harrowing...an important addition to the growing holocaust literature, very little of which conveys so courageously both the daily torment and the will to survive’ – Martin Gilbert, *The Times*.

Martin Gilbert, indefatigable Jewish campaigner on behalf of the ‘Holocaust’ and biographer of Winston Churchill, adds to the rich flavour and makes his own numerical claims, certainly not without *chutzpah*:

In his book *Auschwitz and the Allies* (1981) he states

‘The deliberate attempt to destroy systematically all of Europe’s Jews was unsuspected in the spring and early summer of 1942: the very period during which it was at its most intense, and during which **hundreds of thousands of Jews were being gassed every day** at Belzec, Chelmo, Sobibor and Treblinka.’ (p.26).

If we assume a minimum figure of 200,000 per day, this amounts to say a million a five-day working week, or **6 million in six weeks**, and this does not include the truly awe-inspiring claims for Auschwitz put forward by Hart and Lengyel with Gilbert’s blessing.

A detailed forensic examination of the site of the wartime Treblinka camp, using sophisticated electronic ground radar, has found no evidence of mass graves there.

For six days in October 1999, an Australian team headed by Richard Krege, a qualified electronics engineer, carried out an examination of the soil at the site of the former Treblinka II camp in Poland, where, Holocaust historians say, more than half a million Jews were put to death in gas chambers and then buried in mass graves.

According to the Encyclopaedia of the Holocaust (1997), for example, "a total of 870,000 people" were killed and buried at Treblinka between July 1942 and April 1943. Then, between April and July 1943, the hundreds of thousands of corpses were allegedly dug up and burned in batches of 2,000 or 2,500 on large grids made of railway ties.

Krege's team used an \$80,000 Ground Penetration Radar (GPR) device, which sends out vertical radar signals that are visible on a computer monitor. GPR detects any large-scale disturbances in the soil structure to a normal effective depth of four or five meters, and sometimes up to ten meters. (GPR devices are routinely used around the world by geologists, archaeologists, and police.)

In its Treblinka investigation, Krege's team also carried out visual soil inspections, and used an auger to take numerous soil core samples.

The team carefully examined the entire Treblinka II site, especially the alleged "mass graves" portion, and carried out control examinations of the surrounding area. They found no soil disturbance consistent with the burial of hundreds of thousands of bodies, or even evidence that the ground had ever been disturbed. In addition, Krege and his team found no evidence of individual graves, bone remains, human ashes, or wood ashes.

"From these scans we could clearly identify the largely undisturbed horizontal stratigraphic layering, better known as horizons, of the soil under the camp site," says the 30-year old Krege, who lives in Canberra. "We know from scans of grave sites, and other sites with known soil disturbances, such as quarries, when this natural layering is massively disrupted or missing altogether." Because normal geological processes are very slow acting, disruption of the soil structure would have been detectable even after 60 years, Krege noted.

While his initial investigation suggests that there were never any mass graves at the Treblinka camp site, Krege believes that further work is still called for.

"Historians say that the bodies were exhumed and cremated toward the end of the Treblinka camp's use in 1943, but we found no indication that any mass graves ever existed," he says. "Personally, I don't think there was a mass extermination camp there at all."

Krege prepared a detailed report on his Treblinka investigation. He says that he would welcome the formation, possibly under United Nations auspices, of an international team of neutral, qualified specialists, to carry out similar investigations at the sites of all the wartime German camps.

(Sources: "'Vernichtungslager' Treblinka: archaologisch betrachtet," by Ing. Richard Krege, in Vierteljahreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung, June 2000 [4. Jg., Heft 1], pp. 62-64; "'No Jewish mass grave' in Poland," The Canberra Times, Jan. 24, 2000, p. 6; "Poland's Jews 'not buried at Treblinka'," The Examiner [Australia], Jan. 24, 2000. Information provided by Richard Krege; M. Weber and A. Allen, "Treblinka," The

Journal of Historical Review, Summer 1992, pp. 133-158; Y. Arad, "Treblinka," in I. Gutman, ed., Encyclopaedia of the Holocaust [New York: 1997], pp. 1481-1488.)



**"For out of Zion shall go forth the law, and the
Word of the Lord from Jerusalem"
(Isaiah 2:3)."**



Contact us for details of audio tapes and articles by:-

Dr. Wesley A. Swift

Rev. Dr. Bertrand Comparet, A. B., J. D.

Rev. William Gale

Captain K. R. McKilliam

Pastor Don Campbell



Jesus Christ Was Not a Jew - Nick Goggin

THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE CHURCH

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE

