

**FALSE
PROPHETS**
By
**Rev. Bertrand L.
Comparet**



Contact us for details of audio tapes and articles by:-

Dr. Wesley A. Swift

Rev. Dr. Bertrand Comparet, A. B., J. D.

Rev. William Gale

Captain K. R. McKilliam

Pastor Don Campbell

**New Crusade Christian Church
Calling The People of Britain
&
Celtic-Anglo-Saxon-Nordic-Germanic Kindred
in Europe and overseas realms**

FALSE PROPHETS

By
Rev. Bertrand L. Comparet

For many years, Christianity has been under constant, bitter and treacherous attack---until the major churches are now in disorganized retreat. The attack by those who are openly atheists or Communists has done little damage, as it could not appeal to anyone but our enemies in any event. The most vicious attack, and the most successful---has come from men who have gained high positions of power in church hierarchies and have used this power to destroy everything the Christian churches have stood for over the centuries, everything that brought Christianity greatness and kept it great. It is the old Marxist strategy of "boring from within."

The first step in this plot was to keep the church congregations ignorant of the real Biblical basis for Christian doctrine. The average man has no training in analysis of the Bible---and if he wished to, he could not spare the great

amount of time necessary for the years of studies which are required; and --- lets face it---the average man doesn't have the capacity to undertake such a study independently. He must trust his minister to give him the results in pre-digested form. But his trust is far too often betrayed. How much of what is actually in the Bible were you ever taught in your church? Think back over your own church-going experience: on how many Sundays did your minister ever tell you what was in more than just one verse of the Bible? Remember, he took just one verse as his "text"--- that is, the point of departure at which he left the Bible, never to return to it; and the rest of the sermon may have caused you to admire the cleverness of the man --- but when did he give you, word-for-word, what the Bible itself says?

I remember noticing, just a few months ago, that the text for the Sunday morning sermon in one of the great churches here in Southern California was "The Horse that Played Baseball."

Naturally, I would not expect a modernist minister to know anything about the Old Testament: he would be strangely proud of his ignorance, saying that he is "a New Testament Christian." Very well: let him now produce the verse in the New Testament where he found the text for that sermon! In my library I have eleven different translations of the Old Testament and twenty-one different translations of the New Testament --- and you can't find that sermon in any of them. Was it, possibly, an attempt to amuse the congregation, in the hope of getting more of them to attend the services? They could get enough amusement at the movies; but where can they hear the word of God instead of the word of man, if they can't get it in their churches?

The first step of the plot is, as I said, to keep the congregations ignorant of what is really in the Bible: go to almost any church you may select, and you will see a clear demonstration of the success of this step. The next step is to fill this vacuum with false doctrines which are not derived from the Bible. Any man-made doctrine can be dressed up plausibly in nice words: look at Communism, for an example. You know how they actually behave in every country they control: their brutal massacre of whole classes of the population, and the degrading slavery and poverty they force upon those who are allowed to survive. But you don't find any mention of this in Communist literature: they talk as though Communism were the most compassionate, generous and warm-hearted movement which had ever existed. But man-made doctrines can also be dressed up in nice words and then passed as "religion"-and so they are.

The process is simple---and familiar: first, they attack the existing social institutions of our civilization as cruel and evil. And when this comes from your minister, the man you have been taught to regard as a man of God, it is very easy for him to give you a guilt complex about every actual virtue you may have: they say that you are selfish because you love the members of your own family more than you love the people who would reduce your neighbourhood to a jungle in which no woman is safe at night---as, indeed, they have already made Washington D.C. a jungle in which a woman is not safe in the daytime in the corridors and yards of the government buildings. They say that you are wicked, and un-Christian because you don't want to bring this blight into your own neighborhood

and make it a menace to your own teen-age children. When your own minister, the man you have been led to trust, tells you this, it is hard to avoid a sense of guilt.

Those who have preached such things to you are false prophets, who bring you actually Satanic doctrines under the false guise of Christianity. The very Christ to whom they give nominal lip-service warned us of them: in Matthew 24:17 and Mark 13:22, Jesus Christ warned us that one of the signs of this end of the Age would be the coming of false prophets, who would preach a false Christ instead of the real one. Indeed, this is exactly what we are seeing today on a vast scale.

The most outstanding example of this which has come to my notice recently is an article in the May 18, 1965, issue of Look Magazine, in which the Director of the National Council of Churches' Commission on Religion and Race makes a bitter and abusive attack upon the White Race --- supposedly in the name of religion! The article contains so many utterly false statements that I cannot let it go unchallenged. It is my purpose to take up his points, one by one, and prove their falsity.

He begins by making this astonishing statement: "For years, most of our churches have aided and abetted the Anglo-Saxon White conspiracy. If an indictment were to be prepared, it might very well read like this: The Christian churches have not influenced their adherents to practice racial justice in housing, education, job opportunity and public accommodations. The Christian churches, in their own internal life, have practiced discrimination and built barriers to prevent open membership in the very household of faith."

Since this man appoints himself the supreme authority on what constitutes Christianity, we are entitled to test his knowledge of that subject; and he who claims authority to bring an indictment must prepare to hear the defence to his charges.

Of course the Anglo-Saxon White Christians are proud of their race, and want to keep it pure. We honour the equal right of the Negro and the Asiatic to be proud of his race, and to want to keep it pure so that it will

continue to have the qualities of which he is proud. While I do not condone the Black Muslims' threat of violent revolution, I do respect them for their own self-respect, that they are proud of being Negroes, not wanting to become imitation Whites. In that spirit they can accomplish wonders in raising the Negro to a level higher than he has ever attained in the past. But we insist upon that same right for ourselves, the right to be proud of our race and its accomplishments, the right to preserve it free from any mongrelisation, which would destroy forever its present qualities.

For any man to call this basic right "a conspiracy" is crass impudence which should not pass without rebuke. It is a fair sample of the tactics of the extreme left in their "boring from within". If the White Race were the hard-hearted -monsters which our enemies pretend we are, this tactic would be a total failure. It is only because the White Race, above all others, is conscientious, deeply concerned with being righteous in all their dealings with others, that these infiltrators can, give some of our people a guilt complex by means of false accusations. But the White Anglo-Saxons have a record of love and charity which stands absolutely alone in all history. Find me just one black nation in all Africa which is pouring out its wealth to help others --- even to help their fellow Negroes. Don't tell me that they are too poor to help anyone: their vast wealth of minerals, the plantations in their fertile soil, these produced wealth when the White Man operated them --- remember, that was one of the favourite accusations of our professional bleeding hearts, that the White Man was "exploiting the wealth of Africa." Find me just one Asiatic nation which is prodigally pouring out billions of dollars in aid to others, even to their fellow Asiatics. Don't tell me that they can't do it: Japan is one of the world's great industrial nations; the rice, rubber and tin of Southeast Asia brought in great wealth while the White Man ran them; China's poverty is the obvious and inevitable fruit of the way the Chinese are running it. The British were accused of pumping great wealth out of India:---now that the British are gone, why can't the Hindus spread some of that immense wealth around, if they claim to be our equals? And if there isn't any wealth there, why do they falsely accuse us of exploiting" it?

In my last broadcast, we started to consider the massive attack upon Christianity which has the major churches in confused retreat. We saw that the most effective attack was that which was made from within, by men who are opposed to the traditional position of the Christian churches, but who have infiltrated these churches and wormed their way into high position in church hierarchies, and who use such positions to confuse the policies of the churches. They are making the Christian churches a major weapon in the hands of those who are bringing about the Black Revolution as the first wave of the Red Revolution. Of most of them it can be said that "they know not what they do"---and when that revolution finishes wrecking the civilization which they first weakened, they will be surprised at the result. Never make the mistake of doubting that this is a Black Revolution. The Negro Congressman, Adam Clayton Powell, said this about it:

"The White Man is running scared. The Whites won't interfere with you. We are now in the majority in the world. The Whites are afraid of us. The Negro has to learn how to fight. This is a black movement. It is ridiculous for the White Man to try to lead the Negro. This is OUR LAND. We stand in the middle of THE BLACK MAN'S REVOLUTION, AND MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT: THIS IS A REVOLUTION. No Black Man should be anything but a fighter."

Christianity and the true church have always been the solid core around which our civilization was built. Our present civilization is the visible expression of those ideals. Like all human efforts, it has some imperfections; but it is in every respect the highest civilization the world has ever seen; and not one of its critics would like to live anywhere else in the world, which is the most eloquent testimony to the virtues we have developed. Those who are trying to reverse all of our efforts and take this civilization out of the control of the White men who created it and give complete mastery to the balance of power held by the various alien Minority Groups, and abolish the barriers which have thus far prevented large-scale mongrelisation and eternal destruction of the White Race, perhaps they have some fatuous notion that there is some vague good in this: but in truth it is the height of wickedness.

Americans successfully resisted these attempts to subvert our civilization until a new weapon against us was discovered: infiltrate our churches, and under the guise of "modernism" change their doctrines until our clergy would constantly condemn us for wanting to keep the good heritage which our God has given us. This tactic has been amazingly successful, because our ministers have long ago ceased to tell us what is in the Bible, but have only told us church doctrines of modernism, occasionally reinforced by a partial quotation of a single Bible verse taken out of context and applied to something the Bible was not talking about. Really, this is not new: it is just a repeat performance of the same attack upon our religion performed in the same way and with the same success, in the time of the prophet Jeremiah. In Jeremiah 5:30-31, he warned, "A wonderful and horrible thing is committed in the land: the prophets prophesy falsely and the priests bear rule by their means; and silly people love to have it so: and what will ye do in the end thereof?" Again, a vision of this evil was given to the prophet Ezekiel, in the 9th chapter of Ezekiel, where God showed him how the corruption of the true religion had penetrated to the very temple itself under the leadership of the priests. "And what will ye do in the end thereof?"---then, it brought upon them the Babylonian invasion and captivity as a punishment; just as in our own days the same evil will bring equally severe punishment. As God told Jeremiah, "Many pastors have destroyed 'My vine yard (Jeremiah 12:10) --- and how true that Is today.!

But to get back to the recent example I was talking about --- the article in Look magazine dated May 18th. The writer tries to make us believe that Jesus Christ wanted everyone jumbled together in an indiscriminate hash: he calls Him, "the Head of the Church, the friend of Samaritans, the destroyer of class distinctions of all kinds. Except for the words "Head of the Church" this is, of course totally false, as I will now prove. First let us take up that phrase, "friend of Samaritans". The Bible records only two instances in which Jesus Christ had anything at all to do with Samaritans. One is in Luke 17:12-19, which records that Jesus healed a group of ten lepers, and one of the ten was a Samaritan. The other is in the 4th chapter of John, which tells how He talked for a few minutes with a Samaritan woman who gave Him a drink of water; and on the request of the Samaritans who lived in the village, He stayed there two days and

preached to them. Does this make Him "the friend of Samaritans"? Remember, He also preached to the Pharisees --- but who will pretend that this shows that He was "the friend of Pharisees"? (Yes, I guess that our modern Pharisees would even claim that He was "the friend of Pharisees"!)

But what does the Bible tell us about Jesus Christ's real attitude toward the Samaritans? In the 10th, chapter of Matthew, we read that He sent out His 12 disciples on a preaching mission ---but forbade them to even so much as enter a city of Samaria: "These twelve Jesus sent forth, and commanded them, saying, 'Go not into the way of the Gentiles, AND INTO ANY CITY OF THE SAMARITANS ENTER YE NOT: but go rather to the lost sheep of the House of Israel.'" (Matthew 10:5-6) By Jesus' express command, they were not to try to convert the Samaritans, but to bring the message to the true Israelites.

Was Jesus Christ "the destroyer of class distinctions of all kinds"? Both Matthew 15:22-28 and Mark 7:25-29 record the incident of a woman of the Phoenician coast of Syria who asked Jesus to heal her daughter. She appealed first to Him as "Son of David", the way a true Israelite could appeal to Him; and Matthew 15: 23 records that He answered her not a word." When she still followed Him, asking for help, did He invite her to join the Disciples? Definitely not: He refused her again, saying, "I am not sent but to the lost sheep of the House of Israel." When she still persisted, He told her that it was not proper to take the children's bread and give it to the dogs --- does that sound like "the destroyer of class distinctions of all kind's"? Not until she recognized and accepted her place, and acknowledged that the good things of the Kingdom of God---including healing the sick---must come first to the true Israel, and then from them to the others when they are ready to accept it in the right spirit---not until then did He heal her daughter. She said, "Yes, Lord: yet the dogs under the table eat of the children's crumbs. And He said unto her, 'For this saying, go thy way: the devil is gone out of thy daughter.'"

But there is still -more. Remember that every time the Disciples travelled between Judea and Galilee, they passed through Samaria; and there were a few Samaritans also in Judea and Galilee. Did this "friend of

Samaritans" seek to bring them into the church? No, indeed! In Matthew 7:6, He warned His Disciples, "Give not that which is holy unto the dogs, neither cast ye your pearls before swine, lest they trample them under their feet, and turn again and rend you." Now Jesus Christ was not so foolish as to think that His disciples were going out to the barnyard and preach to the four-footed animals there: these "dogs" and "swine" of whom He spoke were all two-footed varieties---men, but not the kind of men who should be brought into His church on a basis of equal and indiscriminate fellowship. The entire Bible record of Jesus Christ's whole earthly life shows that He was carefully selective of those whom He brought into His church---the only exception being Judas Iscariot, and that was for the purpose of allowing the prophecies of Jesus' martyrdom to be carried out.

The writer of the article in Look magazine also makes the absurd statement that "It is only by mutilating Christ that men can justify theories of racial superiority." Remember that Jesus Christ Himself stood firmly upon the fact that He was not sent to anyone but the lost sheep of the House of Israel. If that is not the strongest "theory of racial superiority", how could you make it any stronger? Yes, these left-wing modernists are ashamed of Jesus Christ's own words, and try to make a Socialist of Him. But Jesus Christ Himself gave them their answer, in Mark 8:38, "Whosoever therefore shall be ashamed of Me and of My words in this adulterous and sinful generation, of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed when He cometh in the glory of His Father with the holy angels." Believe me, I don't envy these modernists their position: but it is one of their own choice.

In my last two broadcasts, we were discussing some of the many false statements contained in an article In Look Magazine, entitled "Our Churches Sin Against the Negro." We proved by exact quotations of Jesus Christ's own words out of the Bible itself that the writer's statements that Jesus Christ was "the friend of Samaritans, the destroyer of class distinctions of all kinds" was utterly false. Jesus Christ was carefully selective about the people to be admitted to His church rejecting others in words far more blunt than polite; Jesus Christ personally emphasized strongly a doctrine of racial selection, insisting that He was not sent to

any but the true Israelites. This does not mean that no hope of any kind was held out to the others: It does mean that not everyone can elbow his way into the select group on an equal basis. If he will accept God's plan and his proper place in it, his place will be a very favourable one; but the Kingdom of God is not a democracy in which God must be very careful lest the lower elements, who are always in the majority, vote Him out of office. He makes the rules, and it is up to all of us to accept them.

The writer of that article bitterly condemns the Christian churches because they have not forced the Negro into all phases of White life. He conveniently overlooks the consequences which have been demonstrated in those places where this has been done--for example, the city of Washington D.C., where the Negroes are now in the majority. It has become a jungle in which no woman is safe on the street at night, nor even in her own home alone; women have been assaulted in the corridors and parking lots of the government buildings; the very Supreme Court which has been most active in forcing all this upon us has found it necessary to provide an armed guard for its women employees when they leave work, to escort them safely to their automobiles in the Court's parking lot. (But how horrified that Supreme Court would be if you wanted an armed guard, so that your teen-aged daughter would be safe in the hallways of the apartment house in which you live!) Because you do not want this nightmare made a part of the daily life of every White neighbourhood, the writer of this article has the brazen impudence to condemn you as un-Christian!

The terrible race riots in several cities, a year or two ago, he shrugs off as being useful, to terrorize Whites into submission to the Negro demands. He says, "There are far worse things that can happen to a city than a race riot"--one of these worse things being a refusal to surrender to the Negroes. Tell that to the people of Harlem, Rochester, or Philadelphia, who lived through it!

He brings up that always-recurring Satanic falsehood, "the oneness of the human family under God . There is nothing which the Bible repudiates more emphatically than that! In Leviticus 20:26, God Himself told the ancestors of the true Israel, the Anglo-Saxon, Scandinavian and

Teutonic peoples, "Ye shall be holy unto Me: for I the Lord am holy, and have severed you from other people, that ye should be Mine." And again, in Deuteronomy 7:6, saying, "For thou art an holy people unto the Lord thy God: the Lord thy God hath chosen thee to be a special people unto Himself above all people that are upon the face of the earth."

Jesus Christ also confirmed this. In the 8th. chapter of the Gospel of John (8:31-55) --- a chapter you should frequently read ---those who were abusing Jesus Christ and His doctrines made this false claim of "the universal fatherhood of God and the universal brotherhood of man": they said, "We have one Father, even God. Jesus said unto them IF God were your Father, ye would love me: for I proceeded forth and came from God; neither came I of Myself but He sent Me. * * it YE ARE OF YOUR FATHER THE DEVIL, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth because there is no truth in him. it * He that is of God heareth God's words: ye therefore hear them not because ye are not of God." How any man can twist and falsify that into any theory of universal brotherhood of man and universal fatherhood of God, is beyond me! Yes, I know that most of the clergy do preach that; but you can see how strongly Jesus Christ Himself repudiated it.

Finally, the author of that article gives his whole case away; he complains that the failure of the churches to follow these Marxist doctrines has been largely due to "biblical literalism"---that is, believing that the Bible was divinely inspired, and that God really meant just exactly what He said. Yes, that has

been the greatest obstacle to the Marxist plan to destroy the White Race by mongrelisation, as part of their plan for complete world conquest. It is an obstacle because the Bible is so clearly and strongly against it. If you stick to what the Bible says, you can't fall into any of these traps. The only way to reverse the two-thousand-years-old traditions of the Christian churches and substitute modernism is to get away from the Bible, and spout new, man-made doctrines and claim that they are the real Christian doctrines; but if any minister tells his congregation what is really in the Bible, he will blow all forms of modernism sky-high.

Never let anyone give you a false sense of guilt because you are proud of your race and want to preserve your race and the civilization it has created. In all human history, the world has never seen anything as good. Its defects are not fundamental, but matters of detail, and can be corrected where necessary without burning down the house to get a few ants out of the kitchen. Other races who will receive our instruction, not merely how to use our weapons so they can turn them against us, but how to cultivate their lands and grow better plants so as to feed their people more adequately than they have ever done In the past; how to clean up the filth and introduce sanitation, so as to be rid of the plagues which have periodically ravaged their lands; how to manufacture useful articles which they can trade with other nations or use at home for their own betterment; the races which will do this can receive many benefits from the Kingdom of God. But those who have never developed a civilization of their own in forty thousand years in their own lands should not, on coming here, expect to take over command of any phase of our civilization and reduce it to a level which they can comprehend; and above all, they should not expect to mingle with us in our living quarters and our churches until this reaches their real purpose: that of intermarriage until we are completely absorbed into the other race and then no danger exists of a White Race to civilize the world.

The attack upon our political principles was not successful until by infiltration and corruption of our churches they instilled a false sense of guilt in so many of our people that the will to resist was broken. It is carried on by many, some of whom are just dupes who do not realize how they are playing into the hands of the world-wide Communist conspiracy. They have been taught these doctrines, and they don't know any better. While this may diminish the blame upon them, for what they do, it does not diminish the deadliness of its final result.

This does not mean that we want to oppress any other race. But it must be recognized that other races must eventually find the level their own ability can earn: the present agitation to put them in high places of power "to show that we are not prejudiced" is really prejudice in itself, for it urges this promotion purely on the ground of race, without requiring fair competitive tests for ability. But it is no part of God's plan, as revealed in

the Bible, that the other races shall rule over you, even if they produce some clever men. God only mentions this as a severe punishment for our wickedness. Deuteronomy 28:1-13 says that if we obey our God, "The Lord shall make thee the head and not the tail; and thou shalt be above only, and thou shalt not be beneath; if that thou hearken unto the commandments of the Lord thy God which I command thee this day, to observe and to do them." But if we disobey our God --- which we have done in our national life in most every way --- then the punishment is given in Deuteronomy 28:15:6 which mentions many troubles we will have, and among these we find, "The stranger that is within thee shall get up above thee very high: and thou shalt come down very low. * * he shall be the head and thou shalt be the tail." "Stranger" in this verse is the Hebrew word "nokree", meaning an alien, one of another race, to Whom we are not related. This is always a punishment for disobedience to God: for In Deuteronomy 17:15 we are positively forbidden to do this ? God saying "Thou mayest not set a stranger over thee, which is not thy brother'---and again the "stranger" is nokree", one of another race. In short, it may be clever politics to buy the minority Bloc votes by defying God: but it is always wicked, and it always brings its own punishment. This is what the writer of that article should have told the people, if he wanted to claim that he was loyal to God and could speak for Christianity.

End of Sermon

About Pastor Dr. Bertrand L. Comparet, A. B., J. D.

Upon reading these most interesting discussions one will quickly recognize that the author is an ardent student of the Bible and particularly eschatology or the study of the prophecies of the things which have already taken place, the things that are now taking place, and the things that are yet to take place in the future. He is an ordained minister. His studies in this area of thought extend back over a period of thirty years.

One will quickly appreciate also the straight forward manner of factual presentation and logical thinking. This is due to his professional training and occupation as a well recognized Attorney at Law. He not only writes in a clear, convincing style, but is distinguished in the pulpit, on the lecture platform, and over the air for his clarity of thought and profound logic.

Bertrand L. Comparet is a native Californian, born in San Diego. He was graduated from Stanford University with the degree of Bachelor of Arts and Juris Doctor. He was admitted to the California Supreme Court or California Bar in 1926 and by the United States Supreme Court in 1956. From 1926 to 1932 he was a Deputy District Attorney in San Diego County and from 1942 to 1947 was Deputy City Attorney for the City of San Diego.

After that time he was in private law practice.

Quite obviously, he is a tried and true Christian and a loyal and patriotic American, a believer in a Sovereign America under Constitutional government.

Pastor Bertrand L. Comparet started teaching in 1933 and continued for over 40 years. He returned to the Father in August of 1983.

**THE NEW CHRISTIAN CRUSADE
CHURCH**

CALLING THE PEOPLE OF BRITAIN

At last the bible makes sense!

At last we know its meaning.

Its the book of the RACE

