

# The New Ensign

No. 56 April 2014

This publication is for private circulation only



# Calling The True Israel Peoples

# Editorial

Dear Reader,

## The Ukraine

As we go to press more trouble is being stirred up in the Ukraine by the enemies of the white race. Below is a report from the **Daily Stormer** - 20<sup>th</sup> Feb. 2014:



**Above Israeli Platoon Leader "Delta"**

“Shockingly, even after exposing the fact that Klitschko is a Jew, and having an American Jew admit on leaked phone conversations that they are running the opposition, some still fantasize about the marvellous “Nationalist Revolution” happening in the Ukraine.

Well, now we have another level of Jewish influence in this Arab-spring style Jewish takeover of the Slavic state, as PressTV reports that the Israeli military is directing much of the violence.

According to the report, an unnamed Israeli officer was leading a group of twenty influential militants, with four other former Israeli military officers playing a role in the protests over the past weeks.

Ukrainian media is reporting that an Israeli tycoon is funding the protests, while the Israeli Mossad is active in spurring and directing the violence against the Putin-backed government.

This comes after the sick and evil Jew Bernard-Henri Lévy was cheered as a great hero by the crowds at Maidan after welcoming them into the EU.”

We would remember, our New Ensign readers in the Ukraine as the situation there continues to escalate our prayer is that Yahweh will keep them under his almighty protection.

**Editor**

[thenewensign@gmail.com](mailto:thenewensign@gmail.com)

**This magazine is for private subscription only and is not in any way connected to The Ensign Message Magazine which is a totally separate entity.**

## Contents

	Page
What is Baalams Doctrine -Arnold Kennedy	3
The Sacred Names: Yahweh and Yahshua (2) - Pastor Eli James	6
Harold Stough Notes - The Hill O Mary Stanes (3)	10
Where Do the Food Laws Fit into Christian Doctrine? Jason Blaha	11
God's Fire - John Trotter	17
Letters And Views	19
Silbury Hill And The Sanctuary Stone Circle - David Furlong	22
Arnold Leese (1878 - 1956)	26
A Message To The New Ensign Readers - Wynaand P. Swanepoel	29
40 Statements Re Corporations Masquerading As Government	32
William The Conqueror And The Jews - J. G. Edgar	35
Butcher Churchill's Mass Murder - John Whitley	36

# WHAT IS BALAAM'S DOCTRINE?

By  
Arnold Kennedy



**Rev 2:14 But I have a few things against thee, because thou hast there them which hold the doctrine of Balaam.**

**W**E find first mention of this doctrine in the book of Numbers, chapter 22, and involved in this doctrine is advocacy for race mixing. Balaam's doctrine is a subject that is seldom mentioned in the teaching of the denominational churches. But it must be very important if Jesus says He holds it against any church. When Balaam's doctrine is mentioned, generally it is suggested that holding the doctrine was a matter for that day and age because the pagan morality of that day would have corrupted the church. The subject is avoided because racial intermarriage is now thought to be a good thing, which fulfils the churches' wrong idea of what the "that they might be one" of John 17: 11+21+22 means. In this chapter, Jesus does not extend His words about oneness to include other than His disciples, and subsequent Israelite disciples, in this oneness.

In His messages to the "churches" in the Revelation, Jesus makes scathing remarks about Balaam, the Nicolaitanes and Jezebel, all of which are symbols of beliefs. We will see that Jesus holds something against every modern Judeo-Christian Church, as well as all cults that hold the doctrine of Balaam.

Jesus immediately carries on referring this matter back to the Old Testament and He goes on to tell us simply just what the doctrine is that Balaam taught:

Rev 2:14 Who taught Balak to cast a stumbling block before the Children of Israel, to eat things sacrificed unto idols, and to commit fornication.

Well the churches say, "we do not have any problem with that, we do not do, believe, or teach

any of those things". One reason why they say this is because they put an unbiblical modern meaning upon the word "fornication". Let us have a look and see if any hold the Doctrine of Balaam in fact, either directly or indirectly.

The Doctrine of Balaam deals with "fornication" = porneuo (subjunctive) that is not primarily about whoring after false gods. >From 1 Corinthians, 10:8 where we read, "Neither let us commit fornication, as some of them committed, and fell in one day three and twenty thousand", we can see from this example that we are taken back to the major passages about Balaam, and that "fornication" here is about Israelite males having sexual relationships with non-Israelite women, either single or married. In this passage, idolatry is a separate issue but the two subjects are coupled intimately together. Israel was warned for all time that God would act against His own people who transgressed His eternal law in this regard.

Jesus described the actions in the verse above as a stumbling-block [a stumbling-stone] to the children of Israel (and Israel only). Jesus is writing to the "churches", [that is, those who are the "called out" of Israel under the New Testament]. These are "children" = huioi of Israel, that is, they are descendants of Jacob. Jesus says that some among these hold a doctrine that is false. Eating things offered to idols may not be an issue today in the literal sense, but fornication (racially mixed marriage) is a major issue.

Immediately racial intermarriage is mentioned in churches, there is instant opposition. Those who hold the error of the doctrine of Balaam always oppose anything against their belief. But what is being quoted above is New Testament doctrine! It is Jesus who is speaking, so please tread carefully. The cherished denominational multi-racial concepts and the multi-cultural ideas have to go down the drain; we must pull the plug on them. The Book of the Revelation is not the only New Testament reference to the doctrine of Balaam. Jude calls it an error and Peter describes it as a teaching of false prophets, calling it

"damnable heresies"-(2 Peter 2:1). Now as this is a heresy that leads to damnation, we had better take heed! If any do not want certain damnation, then no longer hold to this doctrine!

That perhaps ninety odd percent of the so-called Christian Churches follow this pernicious doctrine of Balaam will not alter the fact that Jesus says, "I have this against you". Are we to believe Jesus or are we to believe today's false teachers? Jude claims that these teachers "speak evil of things which they know not ... after the error of Balaam". They do not know they are teaching error. This is no minor doctrine because Balaam appears by name 60 times through the Bible. Because of this, there is no excuse for not knowing what the doctrine is. To be damned for holding the doctrine of Balaam is no minor matter!

### WHAT DID BALAAM ADVISE BALAK?

The story of the hiring of the prophet Balaam by King Balak to curse the Children of Israel is found in the Book of Numbers, chapter 22. However, it is not until Num 31:16 that we discover the doctrine expressed:



**Num 31:16** Behold, these [the Moabite women] caused the children of Israel, through the counsel of Balaam, to commit trespass against the Lord in the matter of Peor, - In the first instance, Balaam was hired by Balak to curse Israel and God prevented him from doing so. In the end, Balaam counselled Balak to use their women to seduce Israel so that Israel would come to worship the gods of Moab and thus God would punish Israel.

The consequence to Israel of Balaam's advice was seen in a very short time:

**Num 25:1** And, Israel abode in Shittim, and the people began to commit whoredom with the

daughters of Moab. And they called the people unto the sacrifices of their gods: and the people did eat, and bowed down to their gods.

That it was the work of the women is confirmed in verse 18. God's judgement on the offenders was swift - everyone who had joined to Baal-Peor was executed.

In Ezra 10:10,11 and Nehemiah 9:2 we see the required divorce of the "holy" seed of Israel from the seed of others. In Ezra the word "wives" is used, and the words "separate yourselves" is about making a wall of separation between Israelites and their foreign wives, and mixed-blood children. "Shall we then hearken unto you to do this great evil, to transgress against our God in marrying strange wives?"-[Neh 13:27]. The "strange" in "strange wives" is nokriy meaning foreign, as being non-Israelite. It was the "strange" foreign wives that led to the sin of idolatry.

Neh 13:2,3 ... but hired Balaam against them, that he should curse them: howbeit, our God turned the curse into a blessing. Now it came to pass, when they heard the words of the law, that they separated from Israel all the mixed multitude.

There is a lesson in this! It is not just a divorce option; it is a divorce command!

Note here that most people seems to think that the "mixed multitude" refers to Israelites plus other races, never seeming to consider that it may refer to racially mixed offspring from their time in Egypt.

The prophet Micah also reminds Israel about this matter of Balaam. Through Micah, God asks tenderly in Micah 6:3, "Oh My people, what have I done unto you, and wherein have I wearied you? Testify against Me". Then Micah goes on to tell how He brought Israel out from Egypt, and then asks Israel to remember about Balaam.

Micah 6:5 O my people, remember now what Balak king of Moab consulted, and what Balaam the son of Beor answered him from Shittim unto Gilgal; that ye may know the righteousness of the LORD.

Some say that this is purely about Balak asking Balaam to curse Israel, but the consultation went on from Shittim in Moab right across the Jordan into Gilgal. It was in Shittim where the trouble started.

**Numbers 25:1** And Israel abode in Shittim, and the people began to commit whoredom with the daughters of Moab. And they called the people unto the sacrifices of their gods: and the people did eat, and bowed down to their gods.


Micah says that the consequence of inter-racial sexual activity is a controversy that the Lord has with His people. God will yet plead with Israel [verse 2]. This controversy is over pursuit of the wrong object, contrary to the beliefs in Israel's spirit.

Micah 6:16 For the statues of Omri are kept, and all the works of the house of Ahab [who took Jezebel to wife [1 Ki 16:30], and ye walk in their counsels --- The "righteousness of the Lord" in Micah 6:5 above carries the meaning of "an authoritative decision", and thus has a connection with this matter of racial intermarriage, although this is not commonly taught. This matter of righteousness is mentioned in most places where Balaam's doctrine, or whoredom by Israel, is found. Peter says it is forsaking the "right (immediate / straight / narrow) way and are gone astray following the way of Balaam the son of Bosor, who loved the wages of unrighteousness"-[2 Peter 2:15]. God warns Israel in Hosea 5:7, "they have dealt treacherously against the Lord for they have begotten strange children" and says they would be quickly consumed because of it.

The prophecies of Micah concern the Children of Israel, and Micah shows the elect remnant that is to be regathered from Israel at the end of this age. It is still the Children of Israel only and not any multi-racial church. The other nations "flow into the mountain of the Lord" after it is established and the other nations learn God's ways and thus there will be peace on earth. Micah makes this clear.

Balaam knew that no man could curse the Nation of Israel because "there is no enchantment against Israel"-(Num. 23:23). But he also knew that God would judge Israel for fornication and worshipping the gods of other races. Balaam answered Balak's consultation and advised that

Israel could be seduced to worship other gods through sexual activity with Moabite women. Micah says this is to be remembered. It is to be remembered for all time. If the seduction by foreign women is prohibited for Israelites, then it is entirely consistent that racial intermarriage is equally unacceptable - for the latter is only a ceremonial version of the former. The mixed multitude in our midst can intermarry as much as they like, for there are no constraints placed upon them. The sad part of this is that their life styles are held up as examples to the rest of the community. This is when the damage is done. These examples cause ignorant Israelites to be seduced into accepting and even promoting such "tolerant" behaviour. Every vote for such tolerance in this area is a vote for Balaam's doctrine. When we see it condoned or even put into practice by senior politicians, we know that the counsels of Ahab are still active today. And therefore, we know the Doctrine of Balaam is still taught today, in Church and State.



Racial equality, racial integration and anti-discrimination laws are the modern day equivalent of Balaam's doctrine. Under various racial vilification laws of one form or another, it is illegal to espouse anything to the contrary in most of the Anglo-Saxon world. Notice that it is not illegal anywhere else - not in Asia, not in India, not in the Middle East, not in Russia, not in Europe. It is only in the Israel countries. Challenge any of Ahab's councillors with this information and you will be told that once the basic human rights issues are resolved in these other countries, loftier issues, such as racial tolerance will be next on the agenda. It is a plausible answer, is it not?

Jesus says, "I have this against you – repent, or else I will come against you quickly". As it always is through the Bible, Israelites who marry out of Israel are cut off, or those foreigners whom they marry are destroyed or removed.

When reading this, please do not suppose that "Israel" refers to "Jews" because "The Jews" are most certainly not Israel. Israel refers to the Caucasian-Anglo-Saxon peoples.

**To Be continued**

## **The Sacred Names: Yahweh and Yahshua (2)**

by

**Pastor Eli James**



**M**A N Y scholars have pointed out that where the New Testament writers quote the Old Testament, it is almost always in agreement with the Septuagint, thus

suggesting that when Jesus Himself quoted from Scripture, He was quoting either the Septuagint, the original Hebrew scrolls, or the Aramaic Targums. **NONE OF THESE TEXTS ARE JEWISH LITERATURE.** The rabbis pretend that this is their literature, but no rabbi ever wrote a word of any of it; and certainly no Pharisee ever wrote a word of it. Of course, the Masoretic text didn't even exist in Jesus' time, *for it was not committed to writing until 1000 AD.*

In all, the Masoretes deleted the Sacred Name a total of 7000 times! **Who gave them the authority to do this?** What arrogance! Moses, in Deuteronomy 4:2, declared that **NO ONE** has the authority to add or subtract from God's word, yet these rabbis arrogate unto themselves the editorial power to delete the holiest of names!

Since the Masoretic text is the Jewish version, we *must* regard with suspicion any changes that the rabbis have made to the text, especially when it comes to crossing out the name Yahweh and replacing it with the title Lord. **Such a practice can only be described as blasphemous, for the Bible declares over and over that we are to use and praise His name.** Nowhere does the Bible even suggest the idea that the name is too holy to be pronounced. This false piety is just that: **FALSE** and deceptive.

You should know that the main job of all rabbis is to find clever and deceptive ways to use words to make their evil deeds sound good. They are hypocrites (Luke 12:1) the like of which the universe has never seen!

Unfortunately, the Committee, which is to be commended for dropping the use of the word Jehovah, nevertheless falls into another trap. Conclusion number 2, to repeat, states *The use of any proper name for the one and only God, as though there were other gods from whom He had to be distinguished, was discontinued in Judaism before the Christian era and is entirely inappropriate for the universal faith of the Christian Church.*

Dear Christian Israel, it just happens to be a fact that we **MUST** distinguish between Yahweh and other gods. If we do not, then we fall into the same old predicament which befell the rebellious Israelites in the land of Canaan. Even the New Testament tells us that there are many gods: *There be gods many and lords many.* (I Cor. 8:5). This is not a denial of monotheism. Monotheism does not deny the existence of other spiritual entities. It is, rather, the assertion that Yahweh has no equal. *Among the gods there is none like unto thee, O Yahweh.* (Psalm 86:8). The Old Testament frequently uses the expression Yahweh Elohim. Since *elohim*' means gods, this expression clearly implies that Yahweh is the God of gods, or the highest ranking god of the universe (the Most High God), indeed the Creator of the universe. [Universe, by the way, literally means One Word. (Yahweh's Word.)] It is only fitting that this Universal Being have a name unto Himself.

Considering the above-cited Biblical references concerning our God, Yahweh, and the

commanded use of His proper name, I find it inconceivable that these apparently well-intentioned Christian editors could make such an egregiously stupid statement. Nowhere in the Bible are we admonished not to use God's name **except for taking His name in vain**. On the contrary, *honouring and praising His holy Name is one of the highest virtues of a Biblical Christian*. Let there be no mistake: the avoidance of the proper use of God's name is a Pharisaic deception. It is the leaven of the Pharisees at its worst; and all Christians should beware this Judeo-Christian subterfuge, which is an integral part of the Talmudic end-time strategy designed to weaken and destroy Christianity and True Israel.


The fact is that, in the hearts and minds of Israelites and of all mankind, Yahweh is indeed competing against other gods, whether we realize it or not. The fact that He has given us His proper name is sufficient reason to use it. By using His proper name, Yahweh, *we remind ourselves of His omnipotence* rather than, as the Committee suggests, diminish the idea of His importance. This Committee was obviously influenced by deceptive Jewish fables. We pray that the deleterious influence of these fables ends once and for all, **in Yahweh's name. Amen.**

### The Tetragrammaton in the Septuagint

I have often argued that proper names should not be translated from one language to another unless there is a common and satisfactory equivalent in the new language. This is not the case for Yahweh.' There is no equivalent for 'Yahweh' any other language, therefore, all Bibles should contain the tetragrammaton, no matter what the language. There is no valid reason to translate a proper name if there is no equivalent in the object language.

Having established the non-translatibility of the tetragrammaton, I was tremendously gratified to discover that the original Septuagint translators, scribes of Judahite descent commissioned by King Ptolemy of Egypt, chose to retain the name of Yahweh in the original Paleo-Hebrew script within the Greek body of the text. Not only did they retain the Paleo-Hebrew script, they retained the original right-to-left direction of the Hebrew while using the left-to-right direction of the Greek translational text, confirming the non-translatibility of Yahweh.' The Judahite

scribes saw no reason to translate Yahweh' into Greek. Indeed, they could not translate it into Greek because the Greeks had no word for it...and neither does English. The best we can do is to transliterate it into I AM'; but this does not do the name justice, as you shall see in the next section.



For those readers who might be sceptical of this claim regarding the Septuagint, I invite you to inspect the on-line pictorial evidence of the Dead Sea Scroll version of the Septuagint. The Paleo-Hebrew script is unmistakable in its position. One web address is <http://www.elijah.com/lxx.html>. If this link is no longer active, just type the phrase Paleo-Hebrew in the Septuagint into your browser and you will get many references, many with pictorial evidence.

In my oldest copy of the **King James Bible**, I crossed out every instance of LORD, writing **YHWH** in its place. With regard to printed Bibles, such a change would be just the beginning of undoing the tremendous damage done to our heritage by the Jews. I was again gratified to learn that the **JERUSALEM BIBLE**, a Catholic version, retains the name of Yahweh throughout, even in the apocryphal books which are included as part of that Bible. There is also now available a Christian Identity version of the Bible which retains the name of Yahweh. It is called **The Book of Yahweh**. (The Book of Yahweh is available from The House of Yahweh, POB 2498 Abilene TX 79604.)

All of the preceding demonstrates that there is **no justification for substituting the title LORD for the proper name YHWH**. It is out of the question, for it is blatant human meddling in Divine affairs. Were it not for the satanic connivings of the rebels of Judaism, this apostate

tradition would have never crept into our religious experience. Only a Yahweh-hating bunch of serpents and vampires (like the Pharisees and Masorettes), conniving against us for the last two thousand years, could have accomplished this. And as you ought to know, they hate Yahshua even more, because Yahshua was Yahweh in the flesh come to condemn them specifically for their evil doctrines. There can be no doubt about this, for Isa. 43:11 tells us, “*I, even I, am Yahweh; and beside me there is no saviour.*” And the New Testament confirms it: “*I and my Father are One.*” (John 10:30.)

As we recapture our heritage from those who have been brazenly stealing it from us, we begin to understand the truly perfidious nature of the Jew. Yahshua (Jesus) told us that they are the children of the devil and that their religion is the religion of lies. (John 8:33-47.) It is no wonder that they hate the New Testament, because, in rejecting Jesus the Christ, they have repeatedly declared their complicity with the father of lies. Yahshua, the victim of deicide on the cross, will return the favour at the Judgment Day. Their perpetual wickedness will finally be recompensed. Praise Yah!

*“He that committeth sin is of the devil; for the devil sinneth from the beginning. For this purpose the son of God was manifested, that he might destroy the works of the devil.”* (I John 3:8.)


### The Meaning of Yahweh’

Catherine Ponder, in her book, **The Millionaire JOSHUA**, has this to say about the Sacred Name:

*The Hebrews used the term I AM so much that they became known as the people of the I AM. They considered the term I AM the lost word of power which, when released in meditation and through spoken decrees, could perform miracles in an instant... Whereas the phrase I AM, meaning the God-power within, had been the song of Moses and his secret text for success, it was the expanded phrase I AM THAT I AM, meaning the universal God-power, that had been the song of Joshua and his secret text for success.* (P. 14)

If Catherine Ponder is correct in her assertions, then it means that the Hebrews and the Israelites were known for their use of the Tetragrammaton,

not for their denial of it. It would also mean that our proper use of the Sacred Name is a very powerful prayer tool. This tool has been lost to us for many centuries, thanks to Jewish deception and Judeo-Christian complicity and stupidity.



### Joshua, Chapter 1, tells us this:

*“This book of the law shall not depart out of thy mouth; but thou shalt meditate therein day and night, that thou mayest observe to do according to all that is written therein: for then thou shalt make thy way prosperous, and then thou shalt have good success.”* -- Verse 8.

**The prosperity of our people is directly tied to our obedience to the Law.** This is not true for the Jews. Their prosperity is based on deception, usury and theft. It must also be understood that we are talking here about NATIONAL PROSPERITY. This is a national message. We, True Israel, the Celto-Saxon People, as God’s Chosen People, have been designed by Yahweh to prosper as a nation, not as individuals. This is the Divine Economy: Saxons working together under Yah’s Law to build prosperous, just, and flourishing nations. This has always been our history until the point comes where we allow the Jews into our midst: and then the exploitation, corruption, vice, usury and chaos begin.


*“Have I not commanded thee? Be strong and of a good courage; be not afraid, neither be thou dismayed: for Yahweh thy God is with thee wherever thou goest.”* – Verse 9.

Our prosperity and national success are directly related to obedience to and conversation with Yahweh Himself. It must be BOTH a personal and a national walk with Him. **Heretical Churchianity stresses only the personal walk.** We should know better. America became the most powerful nation on earth directly because we were once a “God-fearing people,” cooperating with one another in obedience to



Yah's Law. We are losing our nation in direct proportion to our loss of faith and heritage.

**Jeremiah 33:2,3:** *“Thus sayeth Yahweh the maker thereof, Yahweh that formed it, to establish it; Yahweh is His Name. **Call unto me and I will answer thee, and shew thee great and mighty things, which thou knowest not.**”*



The Old Testament is clearly establishing a twofold pattern of success: first, we must obey His Law; second, we must call upon His Name to help us accomplish what we cannot do for ourselves. The New Testament completely verifies

this twofold condition:

**John 14:13-15:** *“And whatsoever ye shall ask in my name [Yahshua], that I will do, that the Father may be glorified in the Son. **If ye shall ask anything in my name, I will do it. If ye love me, keep my commandments.**”*

The Gospel of John, Chapter 15, Verses 12-17:

*“This is my commandment, That ye love one another, as I have loved you. Greater love hath no man than this, that a man lay down his life for his friends. **YE ARE MY FRIENDS, if ye do whatsoever I command you. Henceforth I call you not servants; for the servant knoweth not what his lord doeth: but I have called you FRIENDS; for all things that I have heard of my Father I have made known unto you. Ye have not chosen me, but I have chosen you and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit, and that your fruit should remain: that whatever ye shall ask of the Father in my Name, he may give it you. These things I command you, that ye love one another.**”*

Of course, this type of “love” can only be the mutual respect created by virtue of communal obedience to Yah's Law. Jesus was speaking to the Judahites, Benjamites and Levites of His own Family Tree. He was NOT speaking to Christ-hating Jews of the Idumean/Edomite mixed tree, who are not capable of obeying His Law. The

Jews have always been lawbreakers, feigning piety while holding up the Torah as a prop to deceive us into thinking that they are the inheritors of the Covenants. For True Israel, as a people, the message of biblical Christianity is clear: **Call upon His Name and Keep His Commandments. This is our recipe for success.** We are to work together, as a nation, as a people, calling upon His Name and obeying His Law, loving one another and admonishing one another to do likewise. This is the only way in which we can both prosper and defeat the Anti-Christ.

In a pamphlet entitled *Yahweh's Name at the End of the Age* by Yahweh's New Covenant Assembly, we read these words:

*Substitute names and titles cannot serve as translations, for the human mind cannot put into one word all that is meant by the Name Yahweh. These common substitutes conceal the Holy Name of our Creator Yahweh and make Him to appear as nothing more than just another deity, much like the pagan deities of heathendom.*

Compare this statement with the diametrically opposite point of view of the editorial committee quoted above. Their opinion stated that we do not need to use His Holy Name because there are no other gods that can compete with Him. **BUT THAT IS NOT THE POINT.** The Point is that other gods **DO COMPETE WITH HIM IN OUR MINDS.** And when we use His Name, there is no competition because no other god is known by this name. By using His Name, Yahweh, we get closer to Him; and this is the means by which we develop a “personal relationship with God.”

Further on, the authors of this pamphlet say,

*There are other arguments and excuses such as, You are trying to say that unless I pronounce a certain Hebrew name as you do, I have no salvation. Rest assured that He who created all things also created man's tongue to pronounce His Name Yahweh. No one seems to have a problem with other biblical Hebrew names like Daniel, Ruth, and Saul, so why resist the most vital Name of all? Acts 4:12 says there IS no salvation in any other name, because Yahweh MEANS salvation!*

Isn't it simply common sense to suggest that the MOST HIGH GOD, YHWH, would have a Name reserved only for Himself? All the objections to the Sacred Name fall flat when you really understand what His Name means and that there is no other entity like Him. Now, it is true that the title, God,' shares *some* of the many connotations that His Sacred Name imply, such as majesty and power; but the word God' lacks the personal presence implied by Yahweh,' which, as Catherine Ponder pointed out, means

God within. It can hardly be denied that modern religion has lost this sense of God within. So many Christians seek their salvation through outside intercessors, such as charismatic priests and preachers, when Scripture tells us over and over, *The Kingdom of heaven is within you.* (Luke, 17:21.)

To be continued



## Harold Stough Notes The Hill O Mary Stanes

### Part Three

**I**N DUNVEGAN BAY, on another Scottish western isle, Skye, is to be found the island Eilean Isa (Island of Jesus), nowadays spelt Isay. The gaelic name for Jesus is Iosa, yet the naming of this island uses the Middle Eastern Arabic spelling for Jesus. There are no sites of religious dedication on the island and it would appear that it may have been so named because it had been sanctified by the presence of Jesus (Isa) himself, a practice which was common in such times when holy men and women visited certain places, as was the case with many of the early celtic saints. Moreover, there appears to have been an oral tradition held within the Scottish western isles that Jesus had indeed visited there. This was confirmed by Henry Jenner, a scholar at the British Museum in London, who visited the Scottish Hebridean isles in 1895.

There are apparently also legends which link Mary Magdalene to the west of Scotland, particularly the holy isle of Iona. Iona is less than twenty miles from Kilmore Church on Mull. The Scottish literary writer, William Sharp, refers to "The old prophecy that Christ shall come again upon Iona", which presupposes that Christ had already visited Iona according to oral tradition.

Jesus has been named the "Rose of Sharon" and in medieval times his Mother, Mary, was called "Santa Maria della Rosa". Could this suggest a Christic Rose lineage? It has been suggested that certain Scottish families, such as the Sinclairs of Roslin (founders of Rosslyn Chapel 1446) and



the royal Stewarts, are descended from such a Christic bloodline. Intriguingly, Sir William Sinclair, a former Earl of Rosslyn, signed his name St. Clair of Roselin and ancient Scottish charters were witnessed by a certain Roger de Roselyn. Furthermore, one of the telluric ley lines traversing Scotland has been named the "Rose Line" which appears to pass through the medieval Chapel at Roslin (Rose line?).

In the story of the holy grail, perhaps there is much more to the Scottish connection, as found in the Da Vinci Code novel, than has hitherto been revealed.

The Lord's Commissionaire, Leonardo da Vinci, invites and leads us into his cherished house which holds the secrets and knowledge of the Kingdom of Heaven. On his Son's left hand side is the Light-White-Sun, his house is intentionally completed equal, when the Darkness-Black-Moon of his Daughter-In Law balances the masculine and feminine energies within. In da


Vinci's Last Supper, 13 figures mirror the original Zodiac found at the start of Genesis. This time round, in the segment of Pisces, Mankind banished the veiled, black, Friday the 13th widow healer. The 4 black panels on Magdalene's right shoulder signify the 4 ambiguous Gospels. We view the contents somewhat differently when we find and decipher a hidden metaphorical story. The light on the 4 panels on Christ's left in turn reflect our new-found understanding when we start to learn for ourselves the occult, "speaking in tongues" neglected language.....Matthew, whose name

means 'Teacher', tells us, significantly in the critically important chapter 13, about the 'Parable of the Sower; which implies someone was busy getting their fair share of amorous oats? Matthew 13:13 is emphatically definite about who that was, highlighting the ominous Black High Priestess' number and going on to reiterate one of his master's favourite parables..... "Though seeing, they do not See". The Great renaissance Master da Vinci confirms through his symbology, the lost heritable property of LOVE.

**The End OS21528**

## Where Do the Food Laws Fit into Christian Doctrine?

By  
**Jason Blaha**



**I**N these Latter Days of Jacob's Troubles, we hear much talk, even from the clergy, of the food laws of the Old Testament being "taken back" or "nailed to the cross" (whatever that means). However, this is a false teaching that is brought about by either misinterpretation or ignorance of the Scriptures. In order to assess the truth of the matter we must not only look at what the Bible says regarding the fact that the food laws cannot be changed, but we must also address the arguments that these well-meaning Christian brothers and sisters use. In addition to this, the Bible verses that they draw their arguments from should be analysed. As with all of my Bible studies, I use the King James Version of the Holy Bible, but feel free to use any translation you wish. It is also a good idea to acquire a study tool that allows you to find the original Greek and Hebrew words which the English translations were translated from, as well as the various meanings of those words. My preference is a Strong's Concordance.

First let us look at the actual food laws. They are located in Leviticus chapter 11, and

Deuteronomy chapter 14, but for the sake of space, only Leviticus will be included in this study, but feel free to explore Deuteronomy on your own.

**Leviticus chapter 11:1:** "And the Lord [Yahweh, not Ba'al] spake unto Moses and to Aaron saying unto them,"

2: "Speak unto the children of Israel, saying, These are the beasts which ye shall eat among all the beasts that are on the earth."

3: "Whatsoever parteth the hoof, and is clovenfooted, AND cheweth the cud among the beasts, that shall ye eat."

4: "Nevertheless these shall ye not eat of them that chew the cud, or of them that divide the hoof: as the camel, because he cheweth the cud, but divideth not the hoof; he is unclean [Strong's #2931 "tame" meaning foul in a religious sense, defiled, infamous, polluted, and/or unclean] unto you."

5: "And the coney, because he cheweth the cud, but divideth not the hoof; he is unclean unto you."

6: "And the hare, because he cheweth the cud, but divideth not the hoof; he is unclean unto you."

7: "And the swine [yes, the other white meat], though he divide the hoof, and be clovenfooted, yet he cheweth not the cud; he is unclean to you."

8: "Of their flesh shall ye not eat, and their carcase shall ye not touch; they are unclean to you [note that the dead carcase of the swine is unclean even for you to touch]."

9: "These shall ye eat of all that are in the waters: whatsoever hath fins and scales in the waters, in the seas, and in the rivers, them shall ye eat."

10: "And all that have not fins and scales in the seas [eels, alligators, snakes, sharks, skates, rays, catfish, frogs, etc.], and in the rivers, of all that move in the waters, and of any living thing which is in the waters, they shall be an abomination [Strong's #8263, taken from the Hebrew word "sheqets" meaning filth or an idolatrous object] unto you:"

11: "They shall be even an abomination unto you; ye shall not eat of their flesh, but ye shall have their carcasses in abomination."

12: "Whatsoever hath no fins nor scales in the waters, that shall be an abomination unto you."

13: "And these are they which ye shall have in abomination among the fowls; they shall not be eaten, they are an abomination: the eagle, and the ossifrage, and the osprey;"

14: "And the vulture, and the kite after his kind;"

15: "Every raven after his kind;"

16: "And the owl, and the nighthawk, and the cuckow, and the hawk after his kind,"

17: "And the little owl, and the cormorant, and the great owl,"

18: "And the swan, and the pelican, and the gier eagles,"

19: "And the stork, the heron after her kind, and the lapwing, and the bat."

**Note**, that these are for the most part predatory birds, except for the bat, which is actually a mammal and should be unclean by verse 4, but no doubt, Yahweh knew that His people might classify it as a bird.

20: "All fowls that creep, going upon all four, shall be an abomination unto you."

21: "Yet these may ye eat of every flying creeping thing that goeth upon all four, which have legs above their feet to leap withal upon the earth;"

22: "Even these of them ye may eat; the locust after his kind, the bald locust after his kind, and the beetle after his kind, and the grasshopper after his kind."

23: "But all other flying creeping things, which have four feet, shall be an abomination unto you."

24: "And for these ye shall be unclean: whosoever toucheth the carcase of them shall be unclean until the even."

25: "And whosoever beareth ought of the carcase of them shall wash his clothes, and be unclean until the even."

26: "The carcasses of every beast which divideth the hoof, and is not cloven-footed, nor cheweth the cud, are unclean unto you: every one that toucheth them shall be unclean."

27: "And whatsoever goeth upon his paws, among all manner of beasts that go on all four [bears, apes, etc.], those are unclean: unto you: whoso toucheth their carcase shall be unclean until the even."

28: "And he that beareth the carcase of them shall wash his clothes, and be unclean until the even: they are unclean unto you."

29: "These also shall be unclean unto you among the creeping things that creep upon the earth; the weasel, and the mouse, and the tortoise after his kind."



30: "And the ferret, and the chameleon, and the lizard, and the snail and the mole."

31: "These are unclean to you among all that creep: whosoever doth touch them, when they be dead, shall be unclean until the even."

32: "And upon whatsoever any of them, when they are dead, doth fall, it shall be unclean; whether it be any vessel of wood, or raiment, or skin, or sack, whatsoever vessel it be, wherein any work is done, it must be put into the water, and it shall be unclean until the even; so it shall be cleansed."

33: "And every earthen vessel, whereinto any of them falleth, whatsoever is in it shall be unclean; and ye shall break it."

34: "Of all meat which may be eaten, that on which such water cometh shall be unclean: all drink that may be drunk in every such vessel shall be unclean."

35: "And everything whereupon any part of their carcase falleth shall be unclean; whether it be oven, or ranges for pots, they shall be broken down: for they are unclean, and shall be unclean unto you."

36: "Nevertheless a fountain or pit, wherein there is plenty of water, shall be clean: but that which toucheth their carcase shall be unclean."

37: "And if any part of their carcase fall upon any sowing seed which is to be sown, it shall be clean."

38: "But if any water be put upon the seed, and any part of their carcase fall thereon, it shall be unclean until the even."

39: "And if any beast, of which ye may eat, die; he that toucheth the carcase thereof shall be unclean until the even." The Law continues on this subject through the rest of the chapter, but we can stop here, if you get the general idea.

Those who understand what was just written, and have seen no verses that they believe disprove it, can skip to the last half of the last page of this study, which proves, by the words of Christ, that these Laws still apply. However, if you wish, feel free to read everything in between.

In order to understand where the argument against YHVH's (YHVH is the Hebrew name of God, pronounced Yahweh) food laws being practiced by Christians, we should take a look at the verses they use to arrive at this conclusion. This list may be far from complete, because I

can only use the verses that I have personally been confronted with by would-be scoffers.

**Deuteronomy 12: 15-16:** "Notwithstanding thou mayest kill and eat flesh in all thy gates, whatsoever thy soul lusteth after, **According** to the blessing of Yahweh thy God [Elohim] which He hath given thee: the unclean and the clean may eat thereof, as of the roebuck, and as of the hart. Only ye shall not eat the blood; ye shall pour it upon the earth as water."

Use a little common sense here. You may eat flesh according to the blessing of Yahweh. Well, is violating the Laws that He sets forth two chapters later (Deut. 14), in the same book, within His blessings? Now, does it say after that, that you may eat the clean and unclean? No, it says the unclean and clean, meaning people who are clean or unclean, may eat thereof. Now let us move on to the New Testament Scriptures that supposedly refute Leviticus 11, and Deuteronomy 14.



Matt 15:1:"Then came to Jesus [Yahshua] scribes and Pharisees, which were of Jerusalem, saying,"

2: "Why do thy disciples transgress the 'traditions of the elders' [Judaism, which is to say Satanism]? For they wash not their hands when they eat bread."

3: "But He answered and said unto them, Why do ye also transgress the commandments of God by you tradition?"

4: "For God commanded, saying Honour thy father and mother: and He that curseth father or mother, let him die the death."

5: "But ye say, Whosoever shall say to his father of his mother, It is a gift, by whatsoever thou mightest be profited by me;"

6: "And honour not his father or his mother, he shall be free. Thus have ye made the commandment of God of none effect by your traditions." That is much like those Pharisees among us today who say "Oh, 'the Lord' said not to eat that, but if you bless it in his name, it is ok to eat it."

7: "Ye hypocrites [oh how Christ so often said that to the Pharisees], well did Esaias prophesy of you, saying,"

8: "This people draweth nigh unto me with their mouth, and honoureth me with their lips; but their heart is far from me."


9: "But in vain they do worship me, teaching for doctrines the commandments of men."

10: "And he called the multitude, and said unto them, Hear, and understand:"

11: "Not that which goeth into the mouth defileth a man; but that which cometh out of his mouth, this defileth a man."

Taken out of context of the rest of the conversation, it would look like the words of Christ could be made to say that a man is not unclean if you eats something the Scriptures say will make him unclean, but this is not the case. Christ was rebuking the Pharisees, and Judaism [the traditions of the elders], and their filthy traditions of men. Think simplistically. If you pick up a pork chop, and begin eating it, was it the eating that made you unclean according to the Law in the books of Leviticus and Deuteronomy?

No. You were already made unclean by touching it. If you knew it was a sin already, because you read so in the Scriptures, you were already unclean, because you plotting to commit a sin knowing that you would go through with it. What a person eats is not what makes them unclean, but Yahweh did tell you to eat these things for a reason. If a person were to drink poison, knowing it could kill them, does the poison make the person spiritually unclean? No, but they are still just as dead, and it was still in their heart to do something wicked. Now, let us move on to something that could actually convince someone that the food laws were "nailed to the cross" by one who isn't paying attention.



**Romans 14:1:**  
"Him that is weak in the faith receive ye, but not to doubtful disputations."

2: "For one believeth that he may eat all things: another, who is weak, eateth herbs [no meat]." This seems to be an argument of meat eaters verses vegetarians, not clean verses unclean.

3: "Let not him that eateth despise him that eateth not; and let not him which eateth not judge him that eateth: for God hath received him."

4: "Who art thou that judgest another man's servant? To his own master he standeth or falleth. Yea, he shall be holden up: for God is able to make him stand." In otherwords, do not JUDGE those who are in violation of the Law, because it is not your place, however it is your duty to point out sin to a Christian brother or sister that they may recognize it, and seek repentance for it.

This chapter goes into more detail on not judging. Please read it on your own. However, if you take a long simplistic look at it, you will see that all through the chapter, the argument is meat verses no meat. It never says that there is any change in the Law. If a man does not know he is in sin by eating the unclean, then he sins without knowing it, thus his sin is not wicked, because it was not in his heart to do wrong, however, the earthly punishments [cancer, diseases, deteriorated health, earthly curses upon his household from God, etc.] for the sin will not be stayed. Let us move on to the most interesting piece of Scripture that I've seen to date, which has convinced some that the Law has somehow been changed.

Acts 10:10: "And he became very hungry, and would have eaten: but while they made ready, he fell into a trance,"

11: "And saw heaven opened, and a certain vessel descending unto him, as it had been a great sheet knit at the four corners, and let down to the earth:"



12: "Wherein were all manner of four-footed beasts of the earth, and wild beasts, and creeping things, and fowls of the air."

13: "And there came a voice to him, Rise, Peter; kill and eat."

14: "But Peter said, Not so, Lord; for I have never eaten any thing that is common or unclean."

15: "And the voice spake unto him again the second time, What God hath cleansed, that call not thou common."

Does this now give you permission to eat swine and other detestable beasts? Anything purified by God is not unclean, but does this mean that we have the same power? No, of course not. Think in simplicity. If God has not personally told you that something has been purified is it purified? Because you ask Yahweh to bless something, does that then mean that He purified it, and has removed the toxins or cancer causing agents in its flesh, that caused it to be unclean? If you wish to test this, just take a creature that is known to be deadly because of its poison, bless it, and eat it. This beautiful piece of Scripture does not revoke the food laws (as you will shortly see, the words of Christ prove that it does not revoke ANY part of the Law), but rather was a test of Peter's faith. Remember when Yahweh commanded Abraham to slay Isaac? Would not have Abraham sinned in killing his own son? Yes, but Yahweh did not allow him to do it, did he. It was only a test of faith and obedience. Is this any different, other than the fact that Peter did not quite live up to the test?

I Timothy 4:1-3: "Now the Spirit speaketh expressly, that in the latter times [that is this

modern era] some shall depart from the faith [meaning they are not Christians], giving heed to seducing spirits, and the doctrines of devils [well, it appears from reading earlier chapters of the Bible, that eating swine's flesh is a doctrine of Satan]: speaking lies in hypocrisy; having their conscience seared with a hot iron; Forbidding to marry and commanding to abstain from meats ...."

Stop and take a look at this, and use the common sense that Yahweh gave you. Who tells you not to marry, and to abstain from eating meat? Feminist and animal rights activists. Both groups are Left-wing. Liberalism is almost always opposed to Christianity. Who is telling you that beef, lamb, goat, and other red meats, which the Scriptures clearly say you can eat, are bad for you, but pork, the other white meat, which is very clearly a violation of Yahweh's commandments is better for you?

**I Timothy 4:3-5:** "... which God hath created to be received with thanksgiving of them which believe and know the truth. For every creature of God is good, and nothing to be refused, if it be received with thanksgiving: For it is sanctified by the word of God and prayer."

Well, who knows the truth? Those who read and understand the Scriptures. Well, does it say anywhere in the Scriptures that pork, lobster, shrimp, or some similar meats have been created for human consumption? How about blow-fish [deadly], rattlesnake [especially with the poison sacks still in it], or the golden arrow toad [contact with it kills people within a few seconds]?

So have these things been sanctified by the word of God? No, but in Leviticus 11 and Deuteronomy 14, the word of God sanctifies many creatures. All of whom may be eaten, and we should have thanks for every time we eat them, because Yahweh has provided them for us to eat. Scoffers who tell you not to eat the meats which the Scriptures have told you are good, are the ones it is talking about in this chapter. Now, let us see what else the Scriptures say about unclean beasts, especially the pig.

**Isaiah 65:1-4:** "I am sought of them that asked not for me; I am found of them that sought me

not: I said, Behold me, behold me, unto a nation that was not called by my name. I have spread out my hands all the day unto a rebellious people, which walketh in a way that was not good, after their own thoughts; A people that provoketh me to anger continually to my face; that sacrificeth in gardens, and burneth incense upon altars of brick; Which remain among the graves, and lodge in the monuments, which eat swine's flesh, and broth of abominable things is in their vessels;" Let is be made clear that even in the days of Isaiah, God still grew angry at His people eating swine's flesh. Read all of Isaiah 66 that you may know what is to behold those who do not find favour with Yahweh our Yahshua, look closely at these two verses.

Isaiah 66:3: "He that killeth an ox is as if he slew a man; he that sacrificeth a lamb, as if he cut off a dog's neck; he that offereth an oblation, as if he offered swine's blood; he that burneth incense, as if he blessed an idol. Yea, they have chosen their own ways, and their soul delighteth in their abominations."

Isaiah 66:17: "They that sanctify themselves, and purify themselves in the gardens behind one tree in the midst, eating swine's flesh, and abomination, and the mouse, shall be consumed together, saith Yahweh."

Bear in mind, that this entire chapter [Isaiah 66] is talking about the end of this earth age, and the coming of the Kingdom of Heaven to this terra firma.

**Mark 5:8:** "For He [Christ] said unto him, Come out of the man, thou unclean spirit."



9: "And he asked him, What is thy name? And he answered, saying My name is Legion: for we are many."

10: "And he besought him much that he would not send them away out of the country."

11: "Now there was there nigh unto the mountains a great herd of swine feeding."

12: "And all the devils besought him, saying, Send us into the swine, that we may enter into them."

13: "And forthwith Jesus gave them leave. And the unclean spirits went out, and entered into the swine: and the herd ran violently down a steep place into the sea (they were about two thousand) and were choked in the sea."

14: "And they that fed the swine fled, and told it in the city, and in the country. And they went out to see what it was that was done."

Now, why is it that Jesus Christ, knowing what would happen, would have done such a thing to those 2000 swine, that obviously belonged to someone, and helped honest men earn a living by tending to them? Could it be because the owners were in sin for selling swine's flesh when it is a violation of Yahweh's Law to eat it, or to even touch it? Ask yourself one more question, but unless you have eyes with which to see, the answer will allude you. Why did all of these evil spirits desire to be in the bodies of swine?

As we well know, there were many people in Christ's day, who thought that He had come to change or destroy the Law (Mosaic Law of the Old Testament) in some manner, and there are just as many among our own ranks today. Let the Scriptures, and the very words of Jesus Christ (Yahshua) dispel this myth forever.

**Matthew 5:17:** "Think not that I am come to destroy the Law, or the prophets: I am not come to destroy, but to fulfill [understanding that fulfillment requires a understanding of the Law, so we will not go into that in this Bible Study, as it is intended for babies in Christ]"

18: "For verily I say unto you, Till heaven and earth pass, one jot or one tittle shall in no wise pass from the law, till all be fulfilled." Read that over and over, until you understand the full significance of it. Not one jot or tittle of the Law shall be removed until heaven and earth pass away. Every single commandment of God, regardless of how small or great still apply.

19: "Whosoever therefore shall break one of these least commandments [how about eating swine, lobster and shrimp...definitely of the



least of commandments], and shall teach men so, he shall be called the least in the kingdom of heaven: but whosoever shall do and teach them, the same shall be called great in the kingdom of heaven."

Amen! Praise Yahweh our Yahshua! May our Father who art in Heaven shower His blessings upon you.

The End OS21561

## **GOD'S FIRE**

### **I Kings 18:21-40, Jeremiah 23:29, I Peter 1:7.**

**By**  
**John Trotter (Winmalee Australia)**



**T**HE DAY was 17<sup>th</sup> October 2013 and the weather conditions were 10% humidity, erratic strong 80k winds, 34% temperature and very dry bush. At around 1:30 pm the first fire was sighted. About 3 to 4 hours later the destruction was complete. The speed of the fire was such that for those at home there was no time to even turn on the tap. The final count was 193 homes destroyed and about 110 homes greatly damaged. In spots the temperature was thought to be about 2000 centigrade.

This very local devastation has caused much heartache and sadness as most of us living in Winmalee know many of those who have lost everything. As one lady said, "There is 52 years of marriage in the boot of the car". Like many, there was a need to leave the area. Whilst there has been shown tremendous generosity, in reality it is going to take some considerable time to rebuild. With the increased cost in building material and the new fire retardant clauses in the building code, there is going to be further financial pressure on families.

This destruction has caused many to realise possessions can come and go. Fortunately there was no loss of life. It is nevertheless true that

possessions are inherently tied in with our memories. The sadness that arises when one loses what is precious is certainly recognised. The outcome for me as a result of this devastation is a mixed message: firstly memories and relevant possessions help to make us who we are and secondly our lives can be changed in a moment of time. Ecclesiastes 6:12 is worthy of note when it says: "For who knoweth what is good for man in this life, all the days of his vain life which he spendeth as a shadow? For who can tell a man what shall be after him under the sun?"

The removing of rubbish and the remains of houses is the next major step in preparation for new homes. On a world scale, God who is a consuming fire (Hebrews 12:29) is preparing His people for the cleansing of everything that man in vanity has built for himself (2 Peter 3:10). Are we going to see supernatural fire coming down from heaven as in the case of Elijah when standing before the altar? Later on the prophets of Baal were taken away and killed. (1 Kings 18:21-40). Are we also going to experience the fire of God's Word being used as a two edged sword that is able to discern our very thoughts and intents of the heart? (Hebrews 4:12). Is God's Word going to be used to destroy all that is not allowed to enter His Kingdom on earth?(Jeremiah 5:14, 23:29).

If my judgment is correct Western Society has not fully reached the depths of rebellion against God. Many in the West are under the influence of evil leaders who are teaching rebellion against God (Jeremiah 28:15-17). If I am correct the above 2 types of fire might have to be used to bring mankind back from the brink of irrevocable destruction. Matthew 24:22 and Mark 13:20 clearly show that for the "elect's"

sake God will step in just before there occurs total annihilation. It is an indisputable fact that the advanced countries of the world have enough military power and nuclear capability to totally destroy all life on this planet.

Natural disasters fall upon the just and the unjust. Christian or atheist can experience the power of nature. I am very sure that the majority of those Israelites taken off into captivity in the Old Testament period, asked the question, “What did we do to deserve captivity in a foreign land?” For most, I am sure they were ordinary people who did nothing outstanding, other than raising a family under difficult circumstances just like today. They probably even did not agree with their leaders on many issues just like today.



I find it interesting that the word “mortgage” which means death grip aptly describes the current Western Society. Many years ago the world’s debt exceeded the world’s wealth and the debt will never be repaid unless we turn to God’s Laws which provides a solution to such matters. We are going to learn the hard way. Are the Western Nations going to progress to the level of Isaiah 1:15-17 where it says: “And when ye spread forth your hands, I will hide mine eyes from you; yea, when ye make many prayers, I will not hear: your hands are full of blood. Wash you, make you clean; put away the evil of your doings from before mine eyes; cease to do evil. Learn to do well; seek judgment, relieve the oppressed, judge the fatherless, plead for the widow”. Are prayers already an abomination unto the Lord because we have forgotten the Law as stated in Proverbs 28:9?

What has to happen to cause our hearts to be as clay so as the Potter can perform His Divine will and skill? I can assure you the collapsing of the

“twin towers” will be like a falling pile of dominos in comparison to the yet unfulfilled prophecy of God intervening in the affairs of Western greed and power. It is true that the non-Western countries might be more corrupt but it is my view that God is going to deal with His people first. God’s priority is still with His Wife and the Ecclesia.

Too many look to the God of the Old Testament as a harsh deity who has run out of mercy and patience. Even though there were times when God desired to totally destroy Israel (Exodus 32:10, Deuteronomy 9:14) He knew His Wife would still play a vital role in a restored earth. It follows on, that if we are to believe that Jesus Christ is God Incarnate then surely His condemnation and wrath for those who deserve judgment and purging, should be also seen as an overreaction to the failings of human nature. But is Jesus the passive, tolerant, almost insipid person in history, who whilst He showed kindness to the poor, also found Himself in a trial, resulting in His death, with no real positive bearing on history?

The answer to the above 2 questions is a resounding ‘NO’. If all the above is not true and we accept the Scriptures for what it says, then obviously with the right tools to have a deeper understanding of the meat of the Word (Hebrews 5:14), we have to accept the fact, that the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, will not only cleanse His Kingdom but His creation, to the point that there will be a New Heaven and New Earth (Isaiah 65:17, Revelation 21:1).

Whilst I may not be dogmatic, I am of the opinion, that the fire of God will continue until death is destroyed and up to the time that Christ must reign till all enemies are under His feet. After this, Christ will then be subject unto Him that put all things under Him so as God may be “all in all” (I Corinthians 15:20-28).

My view on the phrase “eternal fire” is probably at odds with most students of Scripture, for I see even here God’s mercy expressed. It is my view that the “eternal fire”, can only exist as long as there is something to burn. After death is destroyed there is nothing left to burn My point is that, as there is a time in the future where there is no more sin and resulting death (Romans 6:23) then the meaning of eternal and everlasting have a different meaning to that of God and His Word

, both of which will always be eternal and everlasting. The phrase “eternal fire” would be better expressed as lasting for an age. If you are thinking that I believe every human being that has lived will be saved, you are incorrect. In my view this is a subject that the Bible has little to say. Virtually all of Scripture speaks of events within the concept of “time”. Because of this I cannot understand how anyone can be so sure on such matters.

What I do see is God’s ongoing mercy and patience even during the millennial reign of Christ on earth. The Word of God as a fire will be used to penetrate the deepest dark valleys of the human heart. Man will have nowhere to hide. Christ and His over comers, who will be ruling with Him, will speak with authority. The tares will be taken from the Kingdom (Matthew 13:42). Their evil will be exposed when Christ as King sits upon the throne of Jacob. The words of Revelation 6:15-17 will be fulfilled as they try to hide from the wrath of the Lamb. The shepherds who have scattered the sheep will be tested with fire in the form of wrath poured upon them (Jeremiah 23:1-2).

In my view the above illustrates the ancient Berean Law of Ireland where the higher you were in society the greater the punishment if you broke the law of the land. Justice will be equitable upon all citizens in the Kingdom. We read in Matthew 18:6, the only begotten Son of God declaring His wrath upon those who offend the little ones who believe in Christ. Christ will rule the nations with the “rod of iron”, which I

believe is the perfect law that converts or turns around the soul (Revelation 19:15, Psalm 19:7). The King will return with the word as fire to purge all that offends (Luke 12:49).

Even the regathering of Israel to His Glory is going to be a time of chastisement. Our conscience will be pricked to the point that we will understand the errors of our ways. The people will be ashamed of their previous disobedience. Under the conditions of the New Covenant with both Houses of Israel there will arise the fulfilment of Isaiah 65:24 where it says: “And it shall come to pass that before they call, I will answer; and while they are yet speaking, I will hear”. No more will the prayers of His people be an abomination unto the Lord.

It is my wish that we will experience the same burning within our hearts, as those 2 disciples felt as they travelled on the way to Emmaus (Luke 24). In a world that has lost its way we must earnestly search the Scriptures to find that narrow path that leads unto life (Matthew 7:14). As Christ spoke from Moses to the prophets about Himself, so we must look to Christ, the Saviour of our souls, and the Redeemer of Israel His wife. May we say with assurance “that the trial of our faith is more precious than of gold that perishes, though it be tried with fire, and that we might be found unto praise and honour and glory at the appearing of Jesus Christ” (1 Peter 1:7). So be it.

**The End OS21564**

## Letters And Views

### **What has happened to our police service?**

**(A copy of a letter to a local Chief Constable)**

**Sir**\_\_\_, At the age of twelve I went to a residential boarding school on Hayling Island in Hampshire. The dormitory supervisor, who looked after twenty boys age twelve to sixteen, was a Mr Driver known to us as ‘Screwy’. He was anything but screwy he had been a three badge able seaman in the Royal Navy and a Sgt in the Metropolitan Police. Every night before



we went to sleep he would regale us with his adventures in the Navy and the Police Service.

From the age of twelve I was brought up to believe in an incorruptible Police Service where

adherence to the oath was something you did without thinking and where service to the people was the order of the day. These ideals were with me when I joined the Metropolitan Special Constabulary on the 19th May 1986. For the next thirteen years I held the office of Constable and in those thirteen years I made 200 personnel arrests some of these were violent people. It is a source of great pride to me that not once did I consider it necessary to draw my truncheon. Today I look at what has happened to the service that I loved more than life itself, indeed my colleagues frequently told me that I was mad and they would never do what I did. I firmly believe that when you are on the side of the Angels, the Angels tend to look after you.



Today as a trained police officer of the old school I am appalled at what I see. Ian Tomlinson was in all probability killed by an unknown police officer and not the one who went on trial. Blair Peach, who was a teacher, was struck over the head and killed by an unknown police officer. Jean Charles DeMenzies (above) was shot several times in the head after being mistaken for a terrorist.

One might say this was just a tad careless on the police's part. Sir Ian Blair's attempt to cover this up was inexcusable. Welsh officers managed to get themselves filmed beating the crap out of some poor soul on a bridge and then the two officers who stopped a seventy year old man in his Range Rover and without any attempt to talk to the man, smashed out the driver's side window whilst the other jumped on the bonnet and proceeded to kick out the windscreen and these incidents were witnessed by the public. The resultant enquiry said it was reasonable force. I would have arrested them for criminal damage and S4 1986 Public Order Act for causing a 70 year old man fear, alarm and distress.

We now know officers have covered up the abuse by Saville and a rake of other prominent

people since the 80's, They covered up the death of 96 and the injury of 766 people at Hillsborough, they covered up the Muslim rape and prostitution of our young girls because they thought they would be branded as racist.

So much for, 'without Favour, Fear, Malice or ill will'.

I and my colleagues accepted, as part and parcel of the job, being sworn at and disrespected. Now our police have grown so soft they arrest if you even look sideways at them.

'Screw you' I know would have emptied parliament over the expenses fraud by our MP's and some Barons. Yet it took a major national outcry of disgust to force honest John Yates to make 5 specimen arrests out of the 310 members of Parliament who set about systematically looting from the public purse. Did I say HONEST JOHN? Sorry about that because it is not true. He fraudulently misappropriated air miles which was the property of the Metropolitan police. But should that surprise us when his boss, the highest ranking police officer in the Kingdom, had to resign over a £12000 freebie? Corruption seldom starts at the bottom the reason being is that those at the bottom have supervising officers looking at what they are doing, corruption starts at the top and wends its way down.

Why I ask did the officers ordered not to investigate child abuse not arrest the senior officer who gave that order? I know for a fact that I would have, but what I would not have done was leave our children to suffer at the hands of the rapists. If necessary I would have arrested so many senior officers I would have been the highest ranking officer in the MET but the children would have been rescued.

Who is responsible for this decline in standards? The Government of course but we, the people, must also accept responsibility - we get the standard of policing we demand. If we do not insist on a high standard then we should not be surprised when we don't get a high standard!

But I ask, "what is the point of just one person insisting on a high standard because they won't listen to him? So a residents association should be formed and invitation extended to the local Borough Commander along with the local Inspector and the Home Beat Officer to the

meeting. It should then be made plain to the Borough Commander that if they don't get a very high standard of policing it will be his job and pension that they will be going after. Back at the police station the Borough Commander will kick the Inspector all round the police station and the Inspector will kick every one else. It must be remembered that there is strength in numbers.  
**Yours Truly, Albert Burgess**

### **The Conduct of War By Politicians In Cabinet Or Futility**

The main actor is W Churchill (Chur-Chill). I write his name thus, for this actor chills the very marrow of my bones. I trust every reader feels likewise, for I find this chill helpful for my spiritual, moral and mental health.

Our specimen was an alcoholic and supposed to have wit. He was drunk in the House and a lady rebuked him - "Winston you're drunk!" "Madam you are ugly and I am drunk, but I will be be sober tomorrow." "Let us see if tomorrow ever came. With the help of the Sunderland flying boat.

This was a magnificent aircraft. - The magnificent men in their flying machines. Very civilised too, there was a table in the officer's wardroom on which reposed the cut glass vase for flowers. British and Best!


For the first part of the war the German submarines had their "happy time". They were leaving the western French seaports and proceeding at 15 knots on the surface of the Bay of Biscay towards the killing grounds. A typical naval party "strength through joy" voyage. (They had built 20 cruise liners pre-war for the joy of the working classes, unlike the capitalist system).

To stop this rapid movement to and from the killing grounds our Admiralty wished to place Sunderland patrols over the Bay of Biscay to force the submarines under water where they are only capable of 6 knots. For with less time on the killing grounds, the less ships they will sink. Pure common sense.

Our Admiralty begged Churchill for a year before he gave permission. Then the submariners "happy time" was closing. Admiral Dönitz was upset by the Sunderlands, they were spoiling his TOPE (Total operations efficiency), so he fitted

light Ack-Ack guns on his boats. Unfortunately for him this did not work, our Sunderlands would fly in circles round an intercepted submarine until one of our surface ships arrived. The German crews would be taken prisoner while the captain was scuttling his submarine. However, often courageous men would board the sinking submarines and often got the Enigma Disks which enabled Bletchley Park to decode the German naval code. Some men were lost. We captured some submarines, very helpful for our war effort. "Happy times" and "Strength through joy" were over. Germany was losing the war. (We did not win!!)

Why did it take Churchill so long to give permission? Many strange scenarios for the reasons can be imagined, but the kindest one for this specimen was that he was so drunken for a year he could not see the "common" sense of the Admiralty's desperate plea until a miracle happened - he sobered up!!



Sunderlands were used for a proper patrol between North Britain and Iceland. Which made these very dangerous waters for German surface ships. Our battle fleet "Ready aye Ready" was waiting at Scapa Flow. But the sister patrol over in the Denmark Straights was lacking throughout the war! And it was through these Straights that the Bismark and Prince Eugen came out. Only part of our fleet met them - the Prince of Wales and the Hood for Admiral Tovey had been guarding the patrolled waters and was back in harbour. Had we had a Sunderland patrol over the Denmark Straights the German squadron would have met our whole battle fleet, which may have saved my honorary uncle Mook who was lost on the Hood.

The missing air patrol was drawn to my attention when I was master of a coaster leaving Bremen haven. The clown acting as a captain left the bridge, when it was his duty to be there with the pilot - I had another valuable lesson in the university of life.

The pilot spoke excellent English, with English sense of humour. Had I met him in London I would have thought he was that very fine type of humanity, almost the best, an Englishman. But he was a Saxon, like the English, in reality they are fellow countrymen. As a Highland laddie from the mountains of Caledonia, I have no racial prejudice against the Saxons whatsoever, unlike many people in North Britain, especially the Lowland Scots. It is these that infest the Scottish National Party, which supports the E. U. **Yours truly, An Ancient Mariner.**

### **By default: Global Smart ID For All UK Citizens**

Sir\_\_\_, Very recently, a chap said to me he could not make a doctors appointment (despite trying on the phone and then in person at the surgery) without being told by a useful idiot robot behind the desk to go online!

Some have said we need our own local resistance groups and the longer people leave it the greater the chance they will be micro-chipped (or at least their children will be). Are you going along with their agenda or are you boycotting it and

throwing a spanner in its progress - i.e how many are still shopping at Tescos who are now using facial recognition on you at petrol stations - is your saving of 2p a litre worth that loss of freedom? I prefer to help the smaller independent retailers - you could do the same which would be a start, but we all must do a lot lot more ourselves.

Only unity between all ranks and persuasions will stop this now. For goodness sake, stop all the political arguing - that is how the establishment have set us apart deliberately and whilst divisions run very deep, they should all be put to one side for the greater good. IF we had the resistance the current regime could not enforce this on us as we would be too powerful for them and their storm-trooper oppressors.

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gG6SdOQJg8M&feature=youtu.be>

Watch the video (link above) and pass onto those who can still think for themselves - this isn't going away! **Yours truly, Mike Clayton**

## **Silbury Hill And The Sanctuary Stone Circle**

### **David Furlong**



### **Introduction**

**T**HIS article looks at two enigmatic structures in the area of the Marlborough Downs that are thought to have been significant in the construction of the of the twin landscape circles described in the book *The Keys to the Temple*.

### **Silbury Hill**



**Looking SW towards Silbury Hill (grid ref: SU 1001 6853)**

The great mound known as Silbury Hill was built around 5000 years ago. It lies close to Avebury and many significant sites on the Marlborough Downs and is the largest prehistoric mound in Europe covering an area of around 5.5 acres. No burials have been found within and therefore its function has invoked much speculation over time. One thing is certain is that its height allows extensive views from the top across the back of Waden Hill that lies to the east and in the book *The Keys to the Temple* it was suggested that its

position and height could have been used for surveying and setting out the landscape circles.

It has a number curious coincidental parallel relationships with the Great Pyramid of Egypt. Silbury Hill has an angle of slope of 30 degrees and lies close to latitude 51 degrees 51 minutes (exact 51°-24'-58').

The Great Pyramid which has an angle of slope of 51 degrees 51 minutes and lies on a latitude of 30 degrees.

It would also appear that Silbury Hill was crucial to the setting out of the Marlborough Downs landscape circular leys acting as a geodetic and primary surveying point.



**Picture 2** (above). View looking east from the top of Silbury Hill. The long back of Waden Hill can be seen in the foreground and beyond that the highest points of the Marlborough Downs.

**Picture 3** (below). View looking west from the top of Silbury Hill. The Furze Knoll on Morgan's Hill and the clump of trees just east of Compton Bassett church can be easily made out on the skyline.



## Surveying the Landscape

By using Silbury Hill, linked to the West Kennett long barrow as a key surveying points the landscape circles of the Marlborough Downs could have been set out in the landscape. The plan below shows how this might have been drawn using a right angle triangle based on a ratio of 6:13.





Fig.1

**Diagram of The Sanctuary showing a possible way a shadow pole may have been used as a calendar to highlight festival days.**

Fig. 1 Diagram showing link between Silbury Hill, the West Kennett long barrow and the centre of the western landscape circle. The projected line from this centre across the top of Silbury Hill passes through the church at Compton Bassett on the western circumference.

The Sanctuary Stone and Post Circle - An Astronomical Calendar?

Close to Silbury Hill on the A.4 road from Marlborough lies the site of a ruined stone circle that also holds a number of puzzles.



**Picture 3.** Shows part of the Sancuary Circle. Most of the stone have been removed and replaced by concrete blocks. The positions of the posts are also shown by concrete.

This circle comprised a series of concentric circles formed by stones and timber posts which archaeologists believe could have been a hut temple, for it marks the start of the Avenue of stones that leads to the henge monument of Avebury. In addition this site could easily have been used as a sophisticated form of astronomical calculator.

One aspect that should be noted is the number of stones and posts in each quadrant of the

different circles when the site is divided into North, South, East and West.

In the outer stone circle there are 21 stones in each East - West half, whilst there are 20 stones in the northern segment and 22 stones in the southern half.

In the first post ring shown blue on the plan the posts increase in number from the north-western quadrant which has 7 through to the south-western which has 10. The sequence of each segment runs 7, 8, 9,10. This must have been deliberate.

The following extract is taken from the book *The Keys to the Temple*:

The Marlborough Downs area includes a number of enigmatic megalithic structures. Silbury Hill is certainly one of them, but so too is the Sanctuary. This circular monument standing on the edge of the A4 road with good views to Silbury Hill and the long barrows of East and West Kennett, comprises a number of concentric rings of both post holes and small standing stones. It is thought to have been put up in several stages commencing around 2,900 BC, with the posts supporting a thatched roof circular building, but little else is sure on the reasons for the erection of this edifice.



In order to calculate the rhythm of the seasons some system needs to be established which measures the angular declination of the Sun. One way to do this is by tracking its sunrise and sun set positions as it moves across the horizon. Monuments such as Stonehenge, which indicates Midsummer sunrise, Newgrange which shows Midwinter sunrise and Maes Howe which depicts Midwinter sunset provide this function.

I used to live on the western side of the Malvern Hills with extensive views out towards the Welsh Hills and in particular Hay Bluff near the town of Hay-on-Wye. During the spring and autumn months the passage of the Sun was very marked by its sunset positions as it moved forward in the Spring and back in the Autumn along the line of the distant hills. In early October and late February it set in a notch in the hills created by Hay bluff. I would often take the trouble to watch out for this moment and it was so apparent when watching the last rays of the sun dip below the horizon how powerfully this could act as both a religious experience as well as an astronomical calculator.

Another way to track the movement of the Sun is with a sun dial. Placing a vertical post in the ground or on a level surface will indicate the approximate time of day (providing the sun is shining) and by measuring the length of the Sun's shadow at noon the season could also be marked. To make these necessary calculations one effectively needs a giant sundial.

It so happens that the Sanctuary monument provides all of these requirements and much more. Fortuitously it does have a post hole right in the middle of the monument which we could suppose held a circular upright post with a pointed end. The monument would then become a sundial indicating the times of day, the seasons through the year and the time of the major solar configurations.

In refutation of Professor Thom's Megalithic Yard Aubrey Burl cites the concentric rings of the Sanctuary as evidence that megalithic peoples did not build in multiples of these units. For as he states:


"The Sanctuary with its seven concentric rings offers a unique opportunity to examine the validity of this 'yardstick' (Megalithic Yard) because consistency would be expected in the counting and measuring of these closely related rings. Yet, although a counting-base of four is manifest here from the number of posts in each ring, four is never used in the number of Megalithic Yards supposedly making up each diameter. Nor is any diameter an exact multiple of this Yard. Instead of a logical progression of 4 Megalithic Yards, 8, 12, and so on one finds an unconvincing mixture of 4.4 Megalithic Yards,



5.0, 7.1, 11.4, 12.6, 17.2, and 23.8 Megalithic Yards."

The reason for these discrepancies has, I am sure, nothing to do with the existence of the Megalithic Yard. It is, I am suggesting, because the designers of this monument were interested in marking significant dates of the year as part of their religious calendar. As the Sun travelled through the seasons so the length of the noon day shadow would either increase or decrease being at its longest at the mid-winter solstice and its shortest at the summer solstice.

These concentric rings could act as calendar to indicate different dates through the year highlighted when the sun's noon day shadow touched a specific ring of the circle. Using the measurements given by Aubrey Burl for the position of the rings I carried out a number of calculations based on the position of the sun's rays for this latitude at different times of the year. Firstly I needed to establish a hypothetical length for the shadow pole. Without direct evidence this can only be an assumption, but certain factual information helped.



**Fig. 2 - How the Sanctuary might have been used as an astronomical calculator**

### A Giant Sundial

At the time of the winter solstice the Sun casts a shadow of 15° which rises to 62° at its maximum summer height, whilst the equinoxes would show a shadow of 52°. Checking out all possible heights, for a shadow pole in increments of 3 inches or 7.5 centimetres a best fit of 8 ft 9 inches (2.67 m) would seem to be the optimum for establishing significant dates through the year. A hypothetical pole of this height erected at the centre of the circle would highlight, through the length of the shadow that it cast, the following dates of the year (based on our calendar.) Each date is indicated when the shadow from the centre pole at noon touches one of the rings that make up the Sanctuary monument.

The Sanctuary sundial, based on this shadow pole, does not show the equinoxes nor the summer solstice however each of the four main Celtic festival dates, Imbolc, Beltane, Lughnasad and Samhain are marked. If indeed this monument acted as a calendar it supports the idea that Druidic traditions could link back to much older pre-Celtic beliefs stemming from Neolithic period. A central pole position is marked at the Sanctuary and there is nothing to disprove its use as an astronomical time piece. Indeed I would hazard that this use best fits the evidence of the enigmatic concentric rings.

As a sundial the Sanctuary circle would indicate the following dates based upon the noon day sun.

Date	Event
20/21 December 2021	Midwinter solstice
30 November/11 January	21/22 days from solstice
4 February (normally 2 February)	Imbolc - Celtic festival
5 November (normally 1st November)	Samhain - Celtic festival
14 February (27 October)	St. Valentine's Day(?)
5 April (7 September)	Easter?
7 May (normally 1st May)	Beltane - Celtic festival
6 August (normally 1/2 August)	Lughnasad - Celtic festival
27 May/ 17 July	24/25 days from mid-summer
Dates generated by The Sanctuary Stone Circle using the noon day sun angles throughout the year, based upon a shadow pole of 8 ft 9 inches or 2.6 metres) in height.	

In order to operate effectively the priests and priestesses of the Sanctuary would need such a timepiece for establishing a rhythm for the great Festivals that undoubtedly took place at Avebury. If they did not use the Sanctuary for this purpose, to which it was ideally suited, where did they establish their Sundial?

Such ideas are speculative showing how such a calendar might have worked, rather than affirming the validity of the dates depicted. If such a pole were erected, the morning light of the Sun would cast a shadow across the circle to the great avenue of stones that leads down from the Sanctuary to the Avebury monument. Over the space of just two hours, the shadow line would open the gateway that gives access to this processional path. Through this simple means the timing of festivals and ceremonies could be judged to perfection.

There are a number of other similar monuments to the Sanctuary such as the one at Durrington Walls that comprise concentric rings of wood and stone. Orthodoxy suggests that these buildings were thatched over, in which case their calendrical function would be greatly reduced, unless of course certain sections, particularly to the south, remained open to the sky allowing for specific solar alignments to be highlighted.

**The End OS21563**

## **Arnold Leese (1878 - 1956)**

### **From Our North Of England Correspondent**



**A**RNOLD LEESE (1878 - 1956) was one of the only two openly avowed fascists to gain elected office in Great Britain so far. In his life, he expressed views considered so fanatical that even groups considered 'ultra-Right-wing' attempted to disown

and even silence him.

**Note:** The British Fascists were demonised because in order to join one had to be a Christian and white native born Briton - which excluded Jews.

Founder of what was to become the post-war National Socialist movement, and the newsletter '*Gothic Ripples*', Arnold Leese has been described as the 'most extreme racialist among the inter-war British Fascists'.

Arnold Spencer Leese was born in Lytham, Lancashire in 1878. The family moved to Southport a few years later, where the young Arnold grew up. Leaving school he soon found an intended career in accountancy was not for him. Instead he began to attend veterinary college, qualifying as a vet in 1903. This was the high point of the British Empire, and Leese was able to work in the 'Indian Civil Veterinary Department', before moving on to a similar post in British East Africa (Kenya).

Following the outbreak of the First World War in 1914, he was commissioned as a lieutenant in the Royal Army Veterinary Corps, later being promoted to captain. It was whilst serving in the Middle East that Leese first became aware of the methods of 'ritual slaughter' used by members of the Jewish and Moslem faiths to produce 'Kosher' and 'Halal' meat. This involved (and still involves) the animal having its throat cut without first being 'stunned' and then being left to bleed to death in agony. Some consider it was the 'horror' of seeing this that turned Arnold Leese into one of the most anti-Semitic people the world has ever known.

Political awakening came in the 1920's - the decade of the Depression and the General Strike. 6th May 1923 had seen Miss Rotha Lintorn-Orman found the British Fascists' (also known as the 'British Fascisti'). Leese joined the 'BF' a year later after being impressed by the Mussolini regime in Italy. But disagreeing with some of their policies, he did not remain a member for long, and later dismissed the BF as 'Conservatives with knobs on'. In the 1924 General Election the Conservative Party had promised to 'fight socialism', but failed to put up candidates to oppose the Left in Stamford Borough Council's local ballot. Disgusted by this, Leese and a colleague Harry Simpson, decided to stand as 'Fascist' candidates. To the astonishment of the entire town, both were elected. After serving his allotted three years in office, Leese declined re-election as he was leaving the area. Simpson however did stand again, and gained a second term as councillor.

In 1928 Leese moved to Guildford and set up his own London-based independent 'Imperial Fascist League' together with brigadier-general D.Erskine Tulloch, Major J.Baillie and L.H. Sherrard. The latter was leader of the IFL's paramilitary 'Fascist guard' section (disbanded following the 1936 Public Order Act). Leese became Director-General six months later, remaining in that position until 1939 when the government forced the League to close down. The IFL was structured on military lines and had the following ranks:

Commissioned:  
Ensign  
Cohort Commander  
Legion Commander  
County Commandant  
Commandant of the - Fascists Legions  
Non-commission:  
Corporal  
Sergeant  
Fascis Leader.

IFL activists were entitled to wear a uniform, and in 1929 this was prescribed as being as follows:

Shirts: Khaki  
Trousers: Black  
Boots: Black  
Cap: Black  
Belt: Brown (officers: Sam Browne-type)


The IFL's first insignia consisted of an oval badge carrying a brass 'fasces' emblem on black background, and was clearly based on Italian practice. But in 1934, Mussolini's support for Jewish immigration to British-controlled Palestine caused the League to replace it with a new design. This was circular, and featured an 'NSDAP'-style swastika superimposed upon the 'Union Jack' surmounted by a 'British Lion'. The same combination was also used on IFL armbands and flags.

By the early 1930's the IFL was facing competition from Sir Oswald Mosley's newly created 'British Union of Fascists'. Not for the last time, members of the 'Right' fought each other whilst the left looked on and laughed. In 1933, an IFL meeting in Trinity Hall, Great Portland Street, was attacked by a large group of BUF supporters and broken up in chaos.

The League's image was not helped by it's use of National Socialist style symbols, and in 1935 the Jewish Board of Deputies was already blaming it for 'scores' of anti-race mixing leaflets distributed in Jewish area of Ilford, Essex.

The IFL published a newspaper -The Fascist, and in 1936 Leese was sent to prison for six months for publishing "scandalous and libellous statements about Jews, thereby rendering His Majesty's subjects of the Jewish faith liable to suspicion, affront and boycott".

Gaining full remission for good behaviour, Leese was released from Wormwood Scrubbs in February 1937, triumphantly exiting through a cheering crowd of fascists assembled at the prison gate.



Not to be put off, he then wrote an account of both the trial and the circumstances leading up to it. Published by the IFL in 1938, his book Jewish Ritual Murder: My Irrelevant Defence remains in print, and since been read by thousands of Nationalists around the world.

His infamy increased after he criticised proposals for solving Europe's so-called 'Jewish problem' by resettling them on the French Island of Madagascar. Leese wrote The Fascist that a more permanent solution might be to "exterminate them by some humane method such as the lethal chamber".

His strident views on racial matters bought him praise and support from the notorious Nazi Party official and Nuremberg Regional Governor Julius Streicher. In 1935 four IFL members visited Germany as the guests of Herr Streicher's informative and widely read newspaper Der Sturmer (the only one Hitler admitted to reading each week from cover to cover). The IFL had done all it could to point out the folly of another World War between Britain and Greater Germany, but was no more successful in

influencing events than other parties on the so-called 'Extreme-Right'.

After the leading members of the BUF were arrested and interned under the infamous 'Defence Regulation 18B (1A)', Leese began to suspect that he would soon receive similar treatment. He therefore arranged for his wife to display a 'covert' signal at his house should anyone turn up to arrest him whilst he was out. When that day eventually came, she was able to exhibit the special sign in the window. Leese saw it upon coming home and immediately went into pre-arranged hiding with friends. After several months he thought it safe to return, as police had stopped keeping watch on the premises. But detectives arrived on 9th November 1940 (a coincidental date or what?) and Leese was taken into custody. Detention without trial followed, first at Wandsworth and then Brixton Prison. In protest, his wife returned his Great War medals to the King. Only life-threatening illness brought about his release on 2nd February 1944 after three years and four months incarceration. Once out of prison, Leese did not abandon the struggle. That year he started to produce the newsletter Gothic Ripples. Designed, as he said, "to keep already Jew-wise people up-to date in recent developments". (Colin Jordan continues its publication to this day).

In 1946 the Lord Chancellor said in the House of Lords that there were only five people in the country who would not be allowed passports should they apply. Leese didn't want one, but applied anyway. Not surprisingly, he was refused!

The same year, two Dutch POW's who had been fighting in the German Armed Forces as volunteer SS escaped from British captivity at Kempton Park racecourse in Surrey. In an ironic 'own goal' by the Reds, their frequent mischief-making disclosure of Leese's home address in Guildford backfired. The escapee's made straight for his well-known 'safe-house'! After sheltering the two, Leese passed them onto friends in East End of London. Unfortunately, an attempt to get the Argentinean Embassy to arrange safe passage to South America failed. They were later recaptured on the south coast, and for his part in the affair, Leese served eight months in Pentonville Prison.



In 1951, Leese was charged with "defamatory libel" against Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police. Leese had

written in Gothic Ripples that officers appeared to be under orders to arrest any street corner speakers who dared mention a certain minority 'religious' and 'ethnic' group in any "derogatory" Sense.. Although he had to conduct his own defence, the course of 'British Justice' was sometimes a little different in those days. In a sharp contrast to today's laws forbidding free speech, the jury took just nine minutes find him 'not guilty'. Following this, Leese received congratulatory messages from all over the White World.

Arnold Leese's influence continued from even beyond the grave! John Bean, who was active in the 'Union Movement', 'League of Empire Loyalists' and 'National Front', revealed that in 1959, Leese's widow had vetoed a plan for the 'National Labour Party' to use a building owned by her, and she did not consider them to be "sufficiently Jew-wise". (The Left wing sounding title of the NLP was a name designed to appeal to working class Nationalists. On 24th February 1960 it merged with the White Defence League, to form the Original BNP).

Such was Arnold Leese's reputation for fanaticism that in 1962 in the Court of Appeal, London; Lord Denning upheld a complaint from Mosley that he had been libelled by a newspaper report falsely linking him with the 'National Socialist Movement'. (The post-war descendent of Leese's IFL.)

And writing in 1983, former BUF Regional Organiser and long-time Mosley assistant Jeffery Hamm said, " he always referred to Mosley and those who followed him as 'Kosher fascists'... while he personified it's pure and undiluted form!"

Arnold Spencer Leese M.R.V.S. Died in 1956 aged 78. In his life he had endured war, imprisonment, and the hostility of those on both the Extreme-Left and Extreme-Right of politics. But never once did he cease fighting for Race and Nation. **The End OS21559**

# A Message To The New Ensign Readers From Believers In Kempton Park South Africa Pastor Wynand P. Swanepoel



## Information about Israel in South Africa

We have a large Israel movement in South Africa who believe that the European/Caucasian descendants stem from Abraham, Isaac & Jacob through the ELOHIM ordained dispersion as punishment of Israel's disobedience to HIM. As very adequately addressed in the "Gog & Magog" study we also reject all/any aspirations of the Jew to be included or recognised in any aspect of pure Israel stock, and regard them as imposters and perpetrators of the most vile kind.

However, here in South Africa we are divided within the Israel Identity basically along political lines (where "apartheid" suites the political motivations of extreme rightists) and of course then there are also those who in acknowledgement of our holy (set apart / apartheid) placement maintain our Israel integrity in obedience to our FATHER YHWH and HIS prescriptions.

The former grouping of Israelites (politically motivated) also adhere to the Torah as their base for religion and somehow accept YAHSHUA as MESSIAS but with difficulty in accepting the New Covenant HE had brought us. They fervently reject the teachings and writings of the Apostle Paul, and regard him as an imposter. The terms and conditions thereof in application in their views of religion expose them to intense bickering, argument and lack of love towards brethren. They are extremely demonstrative of the flesh in that they believe that salvation is to be found in the wearing of grown beards, sometimes wearing frocks, extreme Saturday Sabbath keepers, offerings by way of sacrificing

lambs etc, stone piling and many more. Needless to say, many of these brethren currently find themselves in prison due to unlawful activities.

Some of these groupings also seek and find current day prophets from all over the world, generate and base teaching on these forgeries and then are disappointed by such in time, leading to constant and continuous disintegrations of such groups and affiliations.

However, I would rather prefer to introduce you to the Israel in South Africa who know their identity and such accept the Bible as the Living WORD and who accept with great gratitude and appreciation our MESSIAH, YAHSHUA as the SON of ELOHIM, born here, lived and preached and then died for our (Israel's) salvation and through HIS BLOOD secured a New Covenant for the children of Israel whereby they have been reconciled with FATHER YHWH.

We believe that the Bible has been created by the ALMIGHTY for Israel, it is about Israel, and it serves them only as a guide and a manual in their relationship with ELOHIM.

We are obedient to the SCRIPTURAL instruction regarding the chronology of repentance, baptism and the acceptance of the gifts of the SET APART SPIRIT (Acts 2 : 38) and thereafter the importance of seeking 'holiness' as the way of life.

We have a number of congregations throughout SA under different pastoral leaderships (many with varying doctrine based on the origins from where these pastors have originated). So there will be varying aspects contained in their ministries such as that of speaking in tongues,

the rapture, ailments as disease of punishment requiring cleansing before readmission etc etc. Sad as it may be, I firmly believe that these dichotomies are as a direct result of the power of our FATHER's decree that HE would scatter and disperse Israel among the heathen nations where they will worship idols of wood and stone. (Deut 4 : 27 & 28)

Our Congregation accepts all Israelites as potential candidates for the Kingdom of ELOHIM at the return of our MESSIAH and that those who are called by our FATHER into the Body of YAHSHUA (HIS congregation) are HIS sheep. We as pastors have an obligation to feed them and to look after them; we do not possess them or contain them in folds for our own fortune (income and prosperity). Therefore we welcome all Israelite stock who wish to join us in worship and acknowledge that they are children of ELOHIM. In this vein we also recognize our fallen brothers and sisters as well as those seated in the 'other' Israel camps as part of the Body of YAHSHUA.

We the Ebenhaëser Congregation are situated in Kempton Park, Johannesburg and we have approximately 360 families who attend our services as their base or home congregation. Further to this we visit, support and serve many smaller groupings of Israelite congregations who are affiliated with us. These typically are a few families in a far off little country town who join in worship and assembling. Where there are single families in such towns without the means to take part in assembly with others, we also support them in ministry and visitation. These are scattered all over SA.


All our services are recorded and distributed to more than 380 addresses worldwide (SA expatriots) and in many instances serving as bases for worship amongst the smaller groupings and assemblies and individual families / individuals.

All the Israelites currently in S African prisons are visited and spiritually supported by our congregation (of course those who are interested in being ministered to).

We also vehemently reject all that our FATHER YHWH objects to as an abomination, we hate what HE hates and rejects what HE rejects.

We know the Sacred NAMES of our ALMIGHTY GODHEAD and believe that we should use them in honour and respect.

We accept our FATHER YHWH as the only true CREATOR ELOHIM, living and real and in total control.



We believe in the SCRIPTURE as a whole and that it had been inspired by ELOHIM and is driven by the SET APART SPIRIT. However we also believe that translations thereof was not so inspired and therefore contain many errors of translation, language inadequacies,

and deliberate distortion, Therefore we seek to verify the truth of ELOHIMS WORD against original source documents and text.

We believe in YAHSHUA as our MESSIAH, the only Incarnate, only Begotten SON of ELOHIM who was sent to redeem and save HIS family, HIS people, Israel.

We also believe in the workings of our ALMIGHTY through the Spirit of FATHER YHWH and YAHSHUA HIS SON....the SET APART SPIRIT.

We believe in the literal return of YAHSHUA MESSIAH to destroy all the kingdoms of the earth and to establish HIS Kingdom which never again shall be replaced.

We believe in the grace of ELOHIM only through which salvation is possible for the Israelite child who chooses to be saved by accepting YAHSHUA as MESSIAH and living a life in the fruits of the SPIRIT (Gal 5)

We believe in membership in the Body of YAHSHUA through Divine choice and calling.

We believe that YAHSHUA MESSIAH's presence in HIS first coming and second coming serves only for the salvation of Israel.

We believe that individual Israelites are destined for the Judgment.

We believe in the baptism by full submergence in water and by choice after repentance, in so doing accepting YAHSHUA and HIS New Covenant as your means of salvation.

We believe that YAHSHUA MESSIAH is our High Priest, last sacrifice, Redeemer and Mediator.

We believe that the Aryan/white-Celtic-Anglo-Saxon-Nordic-Germanic / Caucasian / Israelite / Hebrew/ Adamite (as their name had been known and changed through the ages) now fundamentally found in Israelite generations, are the ELECT and that our FATHER YHWH had by HIS own choice chosen them to be a special people unto himself, above all people that *are* upon the face of the earth. (Deut 7: 6) and that with them only HE was/is involved with covenants and undertakings and promises.

We believe in the physical reality of satan and his seed (generation of vipers) and that they are actively present in the opposition and destruction of ELOHIM's plan of salvation and the establishment of the Kingdom of ELOHIM.

We believe that Adam is the father of the *Awdawm* (white race) and also in the existence of pre-adamic humanoid races (chay) as part of the sixth day creation and that in them satan caused the initial corruption of the seed lines.

We believe that sin is being disobedient to YHWH the ELOHIM, that HIS laws, institutions and ordinances are part of HIS ever existing Righteousness.


We believe that Israel today finds itself under the power of ELOHIM's directed dispersion and division due to their disobedience in HIM and that this condition will perpetuate and prevail until HE HIMSELF will gather together the remnant of Israel from the corners of the earth (Isaiah 43 : 5 & 8). Nobody else has been appointed to gather Israel.

We believe that the end of all dispensations would be signified by the establishment of the Kingdom of ELOHIM at the second coming of YAHSHUA MESSIAH, where there will be two resurrections; one in glorified form (accompanied by the transformation of those found in the MESSIAH and still living, to the same (1 Cor 15)) and a second / another

resurrection in the flesh of those in Israel who had forsaken HIM, to appear in Judgment. (Rev 20)

With reference to the Sabbath, we are intent and dedicated to the essence of Sabbath keeping and honouring in content and intent. We understand that due to changes in times as prophesied (from YHWH's Sun calendar (Enoch) to the current Gregorian calendar) we are outside of YHWH's prescribed Sabbaths, confused and uncertain as to how to correct this. We have studied correcting possibilities but due to mathematical disagreement by so many attempts we have resorted to accepting the honouring of a Sabbath in the week and to accept in love our brethren who do so on another day of the week. We look forward to the restoration of YHWH's Sabbath as predicted in Hebrews chapter 8.

Albeit that we conduct our beliefs and worship on the full basis and intention of the SCRIPTURES we accept that we are now outside of the ambit of NWO prescriptions of equality, peace and brotherliness. We have numerous High Court Judgments in SA where the right of individuals is placed above religious and biblical prescription thus placing our beliefs in obedience to YHWH ALMIGHTY subject to criminal persecution expecting the day that the NWO lobby will catch up with us in this regard. Already we have '*become a word of astonishment, a proverb, and a byword among all nations*'



(Deut 28 :37) in being called racists, Afrikaners, Boers... all of course in derogatory tones).

I hold forth the belief that when you are a true confessing Israelite child of ELOHIM in these times, you will surely feel the oppression as prophesied in SCRIPTURES, as you will

understand the world we live in and that it stands in opposition to the way of YHWH. Those who are not there, live a full life of enjoyment casually at ease with the unrighteousness and injustices thereof, believing still that the world has never been a better place.

We are delighted and blessed to have been called to the righteousness and ways of our ALMIGHTY FATHER (Joh 6 : 44 & 65) and to know whence we come from, why we are here in this world (satan's kingdom), what YHWH expects from us and where we are heading.

What an honour and a privilege we have!

## 40 Statements Re Corporations Masquerading As Government From Our USA Correspondent

**T**HE COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA is a corporation registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission. If as stated in [4] below that the IMF is the US Treasury, then the US Treasury is Australia's financial controller.



What am I trying to accomplish is trying to get all to realize the truth. I too imagined a remedy within the constitution until I found out that it was not written for us. I want to try to save you all a lot of time. The powers that be will not allow a "free republic" (rule from the bottom up) they have developed a "political" process that rules from the top down. (see NWO insignia on 1\$ note) Everything you have been told about our America is a lie. EVERYTHING. I found the forty statements below some time ago and couldn't believe it, so I took the time to check them out (months / years) and found many other facts not listed in the forty. I am suggesting to you and the group to investigate for yourself and in the process you will find out who you really are. A divine heir of The Kingdom. [spiritual not physical being]

I trust that I could herein expose effectively the nature of our small assembly in Israel in this corner of the globe and once again thank you for your much appreciated brotherliness and interest.

You are of course also cordially invited to join us in worship whenever you may find your way to SA, trusting that we may someday meet.

**Kindest regards and blessings in  
YAHSHUA  
Wynand**

1. The IRS is not a U.S. Government Agency. It is an Agency of the IMF. (Diversified Metal Products v. IRS et al. CV-93-405E-EJE U.S.D.C.D.I., Public Law 94-564, Senate Report 94-1148 pg. 5967, Reorganization Plan No. 26, Public Law 102-391.)

2. The IMF is an Agency of the UN. (Blacks Law Dictionary 6th Ed. Pg. 816)

3. The U.S. Has not had a Treasury since 1921. (41 Stat. Ch.214 pg. 654).

4. The U.S. Treasury is now the IMF. The FED is the collection agency. (Presidential Documents Volume 29-No.4 pg. 113, 22 U.S.C. 285-288)

5. The United States does not have any employees because there is no longer a United States. No more reorganizations. After over 200 years of operating under bankruptcy its finally over. (Executive Order 12803) Do not personate one of the creditors or share holders or you will go to Prison. 18 U.S.C. 914

6. The FCC, CIA, FBI, NASA and all of the other alphabet gangs were never part of the United States government. Even though the "US Government" held shares of stock in the various Agencies. (U.S. V. Strang , 254 US 491, Lewis v. US, 680 F.2d, 1239).

7. Social Security Numbers are issued by the UN through the IMF. The Application for a Social



Security Number is the SS5 form. The Department of the Treasury (IMF) issues the SS5 not the Social Security Administration. The new SS5 forms do not state who or what publishes them, the earlier SS5 forms state that they are Department of the Treasury forms. You can get a copy of the SS5 you filled out by sending form SSA-L996 to the SS Administration. (20 CFR chapter 111, subpart B 422.103 (b) (2) (2) Read the cites above)

**8.** There are no Judicial courts in America and there has not been since 1789. Judges do not enforce Statutes and Codes. Executive Administrators enforce Statutes and Codes. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428, 1 Stat. 138-178)

**9.** There have not been any Judges in America since 1789. There have just been Administrators. (FRC v. GE 281 US 464, Keller v. PE 261 US 428 1Stat. 138-178)

**10.** According to the GATT you must have a Social Security number. House Report (103-826)<BR><BR>**11.** We have One World Government, One World Law and a One World Monetary System.



**12.** The UN is a One World Super Government.

**13.** No one on this planet has ever been free. This planet is a Slave Colony. There has always been a One World Government. It is just that now it is much better organized and has changed its name as of 1945 to the United Nations.

**14.** New York City is defined in the Federal Regulations as the United Nations. Rudolph Gulliani stated on C-Span that "New York City is the capital of the World" and he is correct. (20 CFR chapter 111, subpart B 422.103 (b) (2) (2)

**15.** Social Security is not insurance or a contract, nor is there a Trust Fund. (Helvering v. Davis 301 US 619, Steward Co. V. Davis 301 US 548.)

**16.** Your Social Security check comes directly from the IMF which is an Agency of the UN. (Look at it if you receive one. It should have written on the top left United States Treasury.)

**17.** You own no property, slaves can't own property. Read the Deed to the property that you think is yours. You are listed as a Tenant. (Senate Document 43, 73rd Congress 1st Session)

**18.** The most powerful court in America is not the United States Supreme Court but, the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania. (42 Pa.C.S.A. 502).

**19.** The Revolutionary War was a fraud. See (22, 23 and 24).

**20.** The King of England financially backed both sides of the Revolutionary war. (Treaty at Versailles July 16, 1782, Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80).

**21.** You cannot use the Constitution to defend yourself because you are not a party to it. (Padelford Fay & Co. v. The Mayor and Alderman of The City of Savannah 14 Georgia 438, 520)

**22.** America is a British Colony. (THE UNITED STATES IS A CORPORATION, NOT A LAND MASS AND IT EXISTED BEFORE THE REVOLUTIONARY WAR AND THE BRITISH TROOPS DID NOT LEAVE UNTIL 1796.) Respublica v. Sweers 1 Dallas 43, Treaty of Commerce 8 Stat 116, The Society for Propagating the Gospel, &c. V. New Haven 8 Wheat 464,

Treaty of Peace 8 Stat 80, IRS Publication 6209, Articles of Association October 20, 1774.).

**23.** Britain is owned by the Vatican. (Treaty of 1213)

**24.** The Pope can abolish any law in the United States. (Elements of Ecclesiastical Law Vol.1 53-54)

**25.** A 1040 form is for tribute paid to Britain. (IRS Publication 6209)

26. The Pope claims to own the entire planet through the laws of conquest and discovery. (Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1493)
27. The Pope has ordered the genocide and enslavement of millions of people.(Papal Bulls of 1455 and 1493).
28. The Popes' laws are obligatory on everyone. (Bened. XIV., De Syn. Dioec, lib, ix., c. vii., n. 4. Prati, 1844)(Syllabus, prop 28, 29, 44)
29. We are slaves and own absolutely nothing not even what we think are our children.(Tillman v. Roberts 108 So. 62, Van Koten v. Van Koten 154 N.E. 146, Senate Document 43; 73rd Congress 1st Session, Wynehammer v. People 13 N.Y. REP 378, 481)
30. Military Dictator George Washington divided the States (Estates) into Districts. (Messages and papers of the Presidents Vo 1, pg 99. Websters 1828 dictionary for definition of Estate.)
- 31." The People" does not include you and me. (Barron v. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore. 32 U.S. 243)
32. The United States Government was not founded upon Christianity. (Treaty of Tripoli 8 Stat 154.)
33. It is not the duty of the police to protect you. Their job is to protect the Corporation and arrest code breakers. Sapp v. Tallahasee, 348 So. 2nd. 363, Reiff v. City of Philadelphia, 477 F.Supp. 1262, Lynch v. N.C. Dept of Justice 376 S.E. 2nd. 247.
34. Everything in the "United States" is For Sale: roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, water, prisons airports etc. I wonder who bought Klamath lake. Did anyone take the time to check? (Executive Order 12803).
35. We are Human capital. (Executive Order 13037)
36. The UN has financed the operations of the United States government for over 50 years and now owns every man, women and child in America. The UN also holds all of the Land in America in Fee Simple.
37. The good news is we don't have to fulfil "our" fictitious obligations. You can discharge a fictitious obligation with another's fictitious obligation.
38. The depression and World War II were a total farce. The United States and various other companies were making loans to others all over the World during the Depression. The building of Germany's infrastructure in the 1930's including the Railroads was financed by the United States. That way those who call themselves "Kings," "Prime Ministers," and "Furor." etc could sit back and play a game of chess using real people. Think of all of the Americans, Germans etc. who gave their lives thinking they were defending their Countries which didn't even exist. The millions of innocent people who died for nothing. Isn't it obvious why Switzerland is never involved in these fiascoes? That is where the "Bank of International Settlements" is located. Wars are manufactured to keep your eye off the ball. You have to have an enemy to keep the illusion of "Government" in place.
39. The "United States" did not declare Independence from Great Britian or King George.
40. Guess who owns the UN?

**The End OS21577**

## **Steven Books**

**League Enterprises  
27. Old Gloucester Street  
London  
WC1N 3XX**

For books by identity authors –  
Kenneth McWilliam, Ria Splinter  
and Richard Porter plus many  
other subjects and difficult to  
obtain books.

<http://www.stevenbooks.co.uk/category/341/Religion>

# William The Conqueror And The Jews

## (From Danes, Saxon & Normans)

### By J. G. Edgar

#### 1863



**A**MONG the evils which the Saxons associated with the Norman Conquest, not the least was the introduction, by William the Conqueror, of a considerable number of Jews into England.

Doubtless, ere that event, the fame of their wealth, and of the atrocious means by which it had been acquired, had preceded them. But their arrival from Rouen caused much dismay. Accounts of their usury, their traffic in human beings, and the insults offered by them to the Christian religion, were carried through the land, and so influenced the popular mind, that, of all the nations of modern Europe, the Anglo-Saxons learned most thoroughly to despise the degraded remnants of the chosen people. There was something about the appearance of men of Hebrew race which raised involuntary antipathy in the breasts of the inhabitants of England; and wherever the face of a Jew appeared, with the sensual lip, the sharp, hooked nose, and features the reverse of beautiful, hands instinctively clenched and lips curled with scorn.

The Red King did not share the prejudices of his Saxon subjects. Being an infidel, he could not think the worse of them because they were not Christians; and being a spendthrift, he was glad to avail himself of their wealth, without particularly inquiring into the nefarious means by which it had been acquired. In any case, Rufus gave the Jews considerable encouragement in matters of religion; and, whenever an opportunity occurred, he showed that he was not above pocketing their gold.

It appears that on one occasion the Red King even consented to a disputation being held in his presence between Jews and Christians. Before

the arrival of the day appointed, the Jews came to Rufus, laid rich presents at his feet, and implored him to insure them a fair and impartial hearing.

"Doubtless," he answered; "and you must quit yourselves like men."

"Assuredly," said the Jews.

"And if you prevail in argument," exclaimed Rufus, "I swear by St. Luke's face that I myself will turn Jew, and be of your religion."

This disputation, like most disputations of the kind, came to nought, and Rufus was not called upon to redeem his pledge of becoming a convert. He did, however, contrive to turn the conversion of others to account. When a Jew happened to be brought to a knowledge of the truth, Rufus was quite ready, on certain terms, to lay his commands on the convert to return to Judaism. In this way, which ill became the king of a Christian people, he obtained considerable sums of money.

On one occasion a wealthy old Jew, whose son had seen the error of his ways, and embraced Christianity, appeared at the king's court, told his tale of woe, and entreated assistance.

"I am sore troubled," said the Hebrew; "I am bowed down with grief. O king," he continued, presenting Rufus with sixty marks, "command my son to return to the faith of his fathers."

"Ay," said Rufus, clutching the money; "bring your son to me, and I will bring him to reason." The old Jew retired, and soon after returned with his son. The young Israelite, however, was unabashed as he entered the Red King's presence, conscious of the goodness of his cause.

"Young man," said Rufus, by way of settling the business in as few words as possible, "I command you, without delay, to return to the religion of your nation."

"King," said the young Israelite, in a tone of mournful reproach, "I marvel that you can give

such advice. Being a Christian, you ought to feel it your duty rather to persuade me to remain steadfast to Christianity."

"Dog!" stammered out Rufus, in a loud tone; "get out of my sight without delay, or it will be the worse for thee."

The convert went his way, and the old Jew remained, deeply mortified at the result of the royal mediation, for which he had paid so high a price. But even at that instant his intense love of gold, prevailing over all considerations of propriety, prompted an attempt to recover his sixty marks.

"Since, king," he said, "you have not persuaded my son to return to his religion, it would be but fair to restore to me the gold I gave to that end."

"Nay," answered the king, with his usual oath; "I have taken trouble enough, and have done work enough, for the gold, and more. And yet I would like to show you how kindly I can deal. Therefore you shall have one-half of the sixty marks, and in conscience you cannot deny me the other."

**The End**

## **Butcher Churchill's Mass Murder Of Anti-Communist Russians John Whitley**

### **Countless prisoners of war handed back to Stalin by Churchill**



**IT** wasn't just Ike and the Germans, Jeff. British Gen. Alexander, a fervent Christian, refused to obey Churchill's direct orders to hand over anti-Communist Russian prisoners of war to Stalin after the war. Since he was too widely admired and respected to fire, Churchill moved him 'up and out' to be Governor-General of Canada, then proceeded to get his purposes accomplished. British troops were ordered to hunt down and shoot Russian prisoners who tried to escape their fate. Some British troops, weeping, refused to fire on the

hapless Russians and were then threatened by their officers with drawn pistols and made to do so. All were then read the Official Secrets Act and compelled to keep their silence. To this day, the vast majority of the British public know nothing of this war crime - directly ordered by Churchill.

And those handed over to the NKVD? As they crossed the bridge which was the handover point, multitudes of Russians threw themselves off it too their deaths on the rocks below as soon as they saw the black-uniformed troops waiting for them on the far side. The others all perished as slave labour.

### **A Footnote To Yalta**

By Jeremy Murray-Brown

In the National Archives in Washington there exists a short clip of film which would appear to be the only one of its kind ever made. It is the unedited footage taken by an American army camera unit at a prisoner of war camp in southern Germany in February 1946. A card, headed "Return of Russian Prisoners to Russia," identifies the subject


matter of the film and the location where it was taken.

For many years this unique piece of film was not available for public inspection. What it recorded was a small part of a vast operation that was one of the most sensitive of the Second World War, the handing over to Stalin of large numbers of Russians who in varying circumstances found themselves under German control by the war's end. Some of these Russians had been organized into military units to fight alongside German forces against the Red Army; in addition to them were well-known Cossack regiments who had left their homeland in the period 1917 - 1921 after the defeat of the White Russian armies by the Bolsheviks. In all, several hundred thousand Russians - a staggering number - took up arms against the Soviet Union in the years following the German invasion in June 1941.

The fate of these Russians was one of the best kept secrets of the war. As many as could surrendered to American and British forces, trusting that they would eventually be able to settle somewhere outside the Soviet Union. But in February 1945, at the Yalta conference, Roosevelt and Churchill agreed to Stalin's demand that they be handed over to him. The anti-Soviet Russians in the hands of the western allies would therefore be betrayed. To carry out the repatriation order, American and British servicemen often had to resort to deception and brute force. No one doubted what was in store for the Russians once they were in Soviet hands. Many were executed on the spot.

In some instances, Allied guards responsible for turning over their prisoners could see their bodies hanging in the forests where the exchange took place. Some were transferred on the same boat that had brought the British delegation to Yalta a few months previously. They were shot

behind warehouses on the quay side with low flying Soviet planes circling overhead to help drown the noise of the rifle fire. Many returned prisoners were tortured before being shot. The remainder disappeared into prison camps for long sentences, receiving the worst treatment of all the Gulag's inmates. Needless to say all were immediately stripped of the new winter clothing and personal equipment that had been generously issued to them by the British in response to the cynical demands of Soviet liaison officers. American and British officers were the appalled eyewitnesses to many desperate acts of suicide by Russian men and women who preferred their own death and that of their wives and children to falling into the hands of the Cheka/NKVD/GPU/KGB.



The Cossack General, Pyotr Krasnov, had fought against the Bolsheviks back in 1918 and hoped that the British would sympathize with his situation, remembering their own intervention at that time on the side of the White

Russians. Churchill, British Secretary for War in 1919, had then been the most ardent supporter of their cause; while the Allied Commander-in-Chief in Italy, Field Marshal Alexander, still wore a Russian Imperial order awarded to him for his services against the Bolsheviks in Courland. Krasnov in turn had then been decorated with the British Military Cross. He like other White Russians had never been a Soviet citizen. But his appeals were unavailing. Under the Yalta agreement, he, too was sent back to the Soviet Union to certain death. He was for Stalin a prize captive. Another bonus came Stalin's way when zealous administrators for good measure threw in individuals and groups from the Baltic republics and Yugoslavia

who found themselves on the wrong side when hostilities ended and whose repatriation had never been part of the Yalta negotiations.



Of all this, the public in the democracies knew nothing. For three decades the subject remained a closely guarded secret. Western eyewitnesses were obliged by official policy to keep silent. A few journalists knew that some handing over was taking place, but not its scale. But Alexander Solzhenitsyn had met some of the surviving Russians in Soviet prison camps and learned about their history. His account of their fate and that of their leader, General Vlasov, which appeared in the first volume of *The Gulag Archipelago*, published in 1973 - itself a sensation - was the first the general public in the west heard of the subject and the phenomenon, as Solzhenitsyn put it, of so many young Russians joining in a war against their own Fatherland. "Perhaps there is something to ponder here," he wrote.

When Western archives were at last available to historians, two remarkable books quickly appeared: *The Last Secret*, 1974, by Nicholas Bethel, and *Victims of Yalta*, 1977, by Nikolai Tolstoy, both shocking in their detailed accounts of what

had happened. The BBC joined in with a television documentary by a Hungarian film maker, Robert Vas, based on interviews with servicemen and civilians who had been involved in the tragedy or knew about it. Some of them confessed to still feeling traumatized by what they had been ordered to do. Solzhenitsyn had written harshly about the moral weakness of Western leaders in kowtowing to Stalin, about the duplicity and short-sightedness of their repatriation policy; and though others defended the decisions taken as a necessity of war, pointed questions continued to be raised over the reputation of prominent individuals who once had a hand in determining the policy. In 1989, a bitter libel action was fought in British courts between a senior establishment figure and his detractors who accused him of being one of the military officers responsible for repatriating Cossack and Yugoslav prisoners knowing what their fate would be. Tolstoy, the author of *Victims of Yalta*, was one of his accusers, arguing that senior British officers were in this matter just as guilty as German officers executed for war crimes.

The film in the National Archives is thus a unique visual document, an extraordinary witness to a dark episode in this century's history. To historians of documentary films it offers an absorbing text on the elusive correspondence between visual records and historical reality, between pictorial and literary descriptions of events, a subject that requires increasing attention in our image-conscious age. For me the discovery of this film clip came at the same time as I learned with a shock that none of the students I was lecturing to, and who were about to graduate from a leading mass communications institute, was aware of "the Gulag", or indeed had heard of the term. How can one explain the significance of visual records if there is no historical imagination to give them meaning?

**The End OS 21579**

# IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes [\[Listen to audio clip\]](#) \$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of \$20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

<http://www.iftruthbeknown.net/index.php/about/>

## Pastor Eli James on Air



The Voice of Christian Israel  
Sundays 2pm GMT- 9am  
EST

<http://www.republicbroadcasting.org/>



Christogenos  
Fridays 8pm EST- 1am  
GMT Saturday

<http://www.talkshoe.com/tc/30258>



A wide range of Literature and rare book reprints in hard copy, reasonably priced, now available from the Christ's Assembly web site:

<http://christsassembly.com/literature.htm>



the  
**ukcolumn**  
LIVE

FOR THE REAL  
NEWS

<http://www.youtube.com/user/ukcol>



TalkShoe

The Kingdom Message

Rev. Stephen Michael

Saturdays 10.30am (EST) 3.30pm (GMT)

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=73940&cmd=tc>

# Announcements

## The Christian Defence League

New Christian Crusade Church  
PO Box 25  
Mandeville, LA 70470. USA.  
Tel. No. +1 6017498565

## The Chronicles Of The Migrations Of The Twelve Tribes Of Israel From The Caucasus Mountains Into Europe

By  
Pastor Eli James

The above PowerPoint presentation is available at Pastor Eli's website:

[www.anglo-saxonisrael.com](http://www.anglo-saxonisrael.com)

Parts 1 - 6 plus a short introduction can now be viewed or downloaded - the latest addition part 6 covers the German people in relation to the migrations of the Tribes of Israel.




1 Copy: Send 3 x 2nd Class Stamps

5 Copies: Send 3 x Large Letter 2nd class stamps

P.O. Box 274,  
Hemel Hempstead,  
Herts HP3 9EQ  
Tel: 07984 775937  
[www.theflameuk.com](http://www.theflameuk.com)  
Tel. No. 07984 775937

## GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



## CONTACT

[pia-6@t-online.de](mailto:pia-6@t-online.de)

## Lawful Rebellion Meetings Reclaim Our Sovereignty

Watch this space for future events

The British Constitution Group

7 Holland Road

Wallasey  
Wirral  
CH45 7QZ

Telephone 07813 529 383  
[Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk](mailto:Emailinfo@thebcgroup.org.uk)